10-(ω-Carboxyacyl)-dithranol-Derivatives

Helene Tanzer, Matthias Seidel, and Wolfgang Wiegrebe^{*,**)}

Institute of Pharmacy, University, P.O. Box 397, D-8400 Regensburg

Received November 11, 1988

The title compounds are not available by hydrolysis of the pertinent esters on a preparative scale. Therefore, they were prepared by base catalyzed condensation of dicarboxylic acid dichlorides or succinic acid monobenzylester-chloride, respectively, with dithranol (1). Their IC_{50} -values for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase are lower than that of dithranol (1), whilst 10-ethyldithranol (6) and the o-(ω -carboxyalkyl)-derivatives 8 and 9 are weaker inhibitors.

10-(w-Carboxyacyl)-Derivate des Dithranols

Die Titelverbindungen sind nicht aus den entspr. Estern präparativ zugänglich, sondern wurden aus Dicarbonsäuredichloriden bzw. Bernsteinsäuremonobenzylesterchlorid und Dithranol (1) hergestellt. Die IC₅₀-Werte dieser Substanzen für Glucose-6-phosphat-Dehydrogenase sind kleiner als der von Dithranol (1), 10-Ethyldithranol (6) und die o-(ω -Carboxyalkyl)-Derivate **8** und **9** sind dagegen schwächere Inhibitoren.

10-Acyl-derivatives of dithranol (1) have been introduced as antipsoriatic agents by *Mustakallio*¹⁾, butantrone (2b) being the most effective compound of a series with 2 to 5 and with 14 C-atoms in the C-10 side chain²⁾. According to *Krebs*³⁾ the C-10-acylated dithranol derivatives are considered to be pro-drugs on account of their phenylogous β -dicarbonyl moiety.



We have reported on the synthesis of 10-(@-methoxycarbonyl)-acyl-dithranol derivatives (type 3)⁴⁾. One of these compounds has been described by Rychener et al.⁵⁾ as the ethoxy analogue in the meantime. Compounds 3 cannot be hydrolized to the corresponding 10-(w-carboxyacyl)-derivatives 4 on a preparative scale⁶⁾. We tried LiBr/pyridine; BBr₃/absol. CH₂Cl₂;ClSi(CH₃)₃/NaI/CH₃CN and NaI/AlCl₃/ CH_3CN , but we obtained always dithranol (1) besides - in some cases - starting material 3. These findings are corroborated by efforts of Rychener et al.⁵⁾ with compound 3a (ethoxy), who found a peak in the HPLC-chromatogram which they considered to be acid 4a, but they could neither isolate nor identify it. We have synthesized the carboxylic acid 4a not via the corresponding esters but by acylation of 1 at C-10 making use of succinic acid monobenzylesterchloride (vielding 10) with subsequent hydrogenolysis. For the synthesis of 4b and 4c we reacted the dichlorides of glutaric and adipic acid, respectively, with dithranol (1). With adipic acid dichloride we isolated the corresponding lactone 5b as a side product. With succinvlchloride only the lactone 5a is formed⁴⁾. The tlc of the crude material formed from 1and glutarylchloride indicates traces of an analogous lactone which was not isolated. The retention time of acid 4a (t = 4.55 min) under the HPLC experiment conditions reported



**) Dedicated to Prof. Dr. E. Röder, Bonn, on the occasion of his 60th birthday.



442

by *Rychener* et al.⁵⁾ corroborate their assumption that the compound not isolated in their experiments (vide supra) is indeed 4a.

Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.49, from Baker's yeast, G-6-PDH) is highly increased in psoriatic tissues⁷). So a lot of publications are concerned with the interaction of (potential) antipsoriatics with this enzyme in vitro (e.g. *Raab*⁸), *Wiegrebe*⁹), *Shroot*¹⁰ ...). This kind of experiments is important because psoriasis is not known in animals and, therefore, there are analogous^{11),12}) but no strict animal models available to test new compounds.

We tested 10-ethyl-dithranol (6)¹³⁾, representing a C-10alkylated dithranol, 1-hydroxy-9-anthrone (7)¹⁴⁾, the minimal antipsoriatic structure according to *Krebs* and *Schaltegger*¹⁵⁾, C-2-(ω -carboxy-alkyl)-dithranol derivatives [8,9]⁴⁾, C-10-acylated dithranol derivatives [2,3,4]^{16),4)} and the lactones 5 without a "free" CH₂-moiety. We want to emphasize that these lactones are effective by themselves: after 30 min of incubation (cf. Experimental Part) about 75% of the lactone 5b were determined unchanged, about 10% of chrysazin (1a) have arisen, but no significant quantity of the corresponding acid 4c was detected by HPLC.

The IC_{50} -values of the compounds listed in table 1 were determined by graphic means from at least 7 points (fig. 1). For each concentration the mean value of three



Fig.1: Inhibition of Glucose-6-phosphate Dehydrogenase; IC50 values of selected compounds



Fig.2: HPLC-separations

4 b 52.4

Table 1: IC₅₀-values of all tested compounds

compound	IC ₅₀ -value	
8	6.6·10 ⁻⁴ M	
9	3.8·10 ⁻⁵ M	
6	2.2·10 ⁻⁵ M	
9b	1.8·10 ⁻⁵ M	
7	9.4·10 ⁻⁶ M	,
3b	9.2·10 ⁻⁶ M	
1	8.0·10 ⁻⁶ M	
- 3a	6.4·10 ⁻⁶ M	
2a	5.0·10 ⁻⁶ M	
5a	1.7·10 ⁻⁶ M	
5b	1.7·10 ⁻⁶ M	
2b	1.3·10 ⁻⁶ M	
4c	9.0·10 ⁻⁷ M	
4 a	2.8·10 ⁻⁷ M	
4b	2.2·10 ⁻⁷ M	

determinations was used. The inhibition rate is shown as % of the control (cf. Experimental Part).

According to Rychener et al.⁵⁾ dithranol (1) is a more potent inhibitor than his ester 3a (ethyl instead of methyl). In our experiments the IC₅₀-values of 3a and 3b are of similar magnitude as that of 1 (table 1). On the other hand the free acids 4a-c are far stronger inhibitors than dithranol. This holds true also for the lactones 5a,b and for butantrone 2b, so making Krebs' hypothesis of a pro-drug character³⁾ of C-10-acylated dithranol derivatives disputable. Moreover, our HPLC experiments of the incubation tests indicate that there is neither dithranol (1), nor its degradation product 1b to be seen after 30 min of incubation of all the C-10-acylated dithranol derivatives tested (fig. 2a)). Dithranol (1), however, is degraded nearly perfectly, bianthron (1b, about 60%) and chrysazin (1a, about 20%) were found as degradation products (fig. 2b)). The mechanism for the formation of minor amounts of chrysazin (1a) from the lactone 5b and the acid 4b (fig.2) is unknown.

These experiments indicate that compounds 3, 4, and 5 are effective by themselves in this test. If dithranol (1) formed by hydrolysis of 3-5, respectively, were the active principle, the efficacy of the lactones 5 and acids 4 should not exceed that of 1.

Experimental Part

Devices: Mp.: (uncorr.) apparatus according to Dr. *Tottoli* (Büchi).- UVspectra: Shimadzu 210; 1 cm cells.- IR-spectra in KBr: Beckman Acculab III.- ¹H-NMR-spectra: Varian EM 390, CDCl₃, 35°C, TMS as int. stand.-MS: Varian MAT CH5, 70 eV.- NI-FAB-MS (glycerol/DMSO 1:1; Xe) Varian MAT 311A.- HPLC: pump: Kontron 420; UV-detector: Kontron Uvikon 735 LC; integrator: Merck-Hitachi D-2000; injection system: Rheodyn.- All the reactions were performed under N₂ and light protection.

1,8-Dihydroxy-10-(3'-benzyloxycarbonyl-1'-oxopropyl)-9(10H)anthracenone (10)

The suspension of 2.26 g 1 (10 mmol) and 3.0 g (13.25 mmol) succinic acid benzylester-chloride¹⁷⁾ in 80 ml of absol. toluene and 1.0 g (13 mmol) of dry pyridine is refluxed for 6 h. The resulting orange solution is evaporated i.vac., the residue, dissolved in a small volume of CH₂Cl₂, is separated from 1 (1 g, 44%) by CC (SiO₂/CH₂Cl₂): 1.4 g **10** (60%, related to reacted 1), yellow crystals, m.p. 125°C.- C₂₅H₂₀O₆ (416.4).- Calc. C 72.1 H 4.84 Found C 71.9 H 4.80.- UV (MeOH): λ max (log ε) = 359 (4.02), 279 (3.97), 261 (4.18), 208 nm (4.44).- IR: 1750 (COOR); 1720 (C=O); 1640; 1615; 1605 cm⁻¹ (C=O···HO).- ¹H-NMR: δ (ppm)= 12.20 (s; 2H, OH), 7.60-6.83 (m; 11H aromat.), 5.22 (s; 1H at C-10), 5.0 (s; 2H; -O-CH₂-Ph), 2.39 (s; 4H; -CH₂-CH₂-).

4-(1,8-Dihydroxy-9(10H)-anthracenon-10-yl)-4-oxo-butyric acid (4a)

600 mg **10** are dissolved in 30 ml of absol. THF. After addition of 70 mg Pd-C (5% Pd) the solution is stirred until the theoretical amount of H₂ has been absorbed (24 h, tlc-control). Having sucked off the catalyst the solution is evaporated i.vac. Recrystallisation from MeOH/H₂O leads to 350 mg (75%) **4a**, yellow crystals, m.p. 165°C.- $C_{18}H_{14}O_6$ (326.3).- Calc. C 66.3 H 4.32 Found C 66.3 H 4.63.- UV (MeOH): λ max (log ε) = 357 (3.96), 285 (3.88), 257 (3.94), 203 nm (4.37).- IR: 3060-2600 (COOH); 1720 (C=O); 1640; 1615; 1605 cm⁻¹ (C=O···HO).- ¹H-NMR: δ (ppm) = 11.98 (s; 2H, OH). 7.75-6.98 (m; 6H aromat.), 5.70 (s; 1H at C-10), 2.90 (t;

J = 7Hz; 2H; CH₂ at C-2), 2.31 (t; J = 7Hz; 2H, CH₂ at C-3).- NI-FAB-MS: m/z = 325 [(M-H)⁻; 3.4%], 225 [(M-H)⁻ - C₄H₅O₃; 100%].- PI-FAB-MS: m/z = 327 (MH⁺; 54%), 227 (MH⁺ - C₄H₅O₃; 100%).

5-(1,8-Dihydroxy-9(10H)-anthracenon-10-yl)-5-oxo-pentylic acid (4b)

2.26 g 1 (10 mmol) and 4.0 g (23.7 mmol) glutarylchloride¹⁸⁾ are suspended in 70 ml of absol. toluene and 1.0 g (13 mmol) of dry pyridine. The suspension is refluxed for 2 h (tlc-control). The resulting solution is evaporated i.vac. The residue is dissolved in ether and shaken with saturated NaHCO3-solution changing the colour of the alkaline solution to red. Acidifying the aqueous layer with acetic acid yields a yellow coloured solution which is extracted with ether. The combined ether layers are dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated i.vac. Recrystallisation from MeOH/H₂O leads to 2.21 g (65%) 4b, yellow crystals, m.p. 125°C.- C₁₉H₁₆O₆ (340.3).-Calc. C 67.1 H 4.74 Found C 66.9 H 4.74.- UV (MeOH): $\lambda \max (\log \epsilon) =$ 357 (3.99), 281 (3.99), 260 (4.07), 215 nm (4.20).- IR: 3040-2600 (COOH); 1720 (C=O); 1705 (COOH); 1635; 1615; 1605 cm⁻¹ (C=O···HO).- ¹H-NMR ([D₆]DMSO/CDCl₃ 1:1): δ (ppm) = 12.0 (s; 2H; OH), 7.68-6.9 (m; 6H aromat.), 5.4 (s; 1H at C-10), 2.4 (t; J = 7Hz; 2H; CH₂ at C-2), 2.0 (t; J = 7Hz; CH₂ at C-4), 1.58 (quint.; J = 7Hz; CH₂ at C-3).- NI-FAB-MS: $m/z = 339 [(M-H)^{2}; 58\%], 225 [(M-H)^{2} - C_{5}H_{7}O_{3};$ 100%].- PI-FAB-MS: m/z = 341 (MH⁺; 36%), 227 (MH⁺ - C₅H₇O₃; 100%).

6-(1,8-Dihydroxy-9(10H)-anthracenon-10-yl)-6-oxo-hexylic acid (4c)

4c is prepared analogously to 4b from 2.26 g 1 (10 mmol) and 2.77 g (15 mmol) adipic acid dichloride¹⁹): 360 mg (30%), yellow crystals, m.p. 128°C.- $C_{20}H_{18}O_6$ (354.4).- Calc. 67.8 H 5.12 Found C 67.8 H 5.18.- UV (MeOH): λ max (log ε) = 358 (3.99), 260 (4.18), 209 nm (4.31).- IR: 3420 (OH); 3040-2800 (COOH); 1720 (C=O); 1705 (COOH); 1640; 1620; 1610 cm⁻¹.- ¹H-NMR: δ (ppm) = 12.15 (s; 2H, OH, br.), 10.5-9.1 (s; 1H; COOH, br.), 7.6-6.78 (m; 6H aromat.), 5.19 (s; 1H at C-10), 2.18-1.7 (m; 4H; CH₂ at C-2 and at C-5), 1.45-1.12 (m; 4H; CH₂ at C-3 and at C-4).- NI-FAB-MS: m/z = 353 [(M-H)⁻; 14%], 225 [(M-H)⁻ - C₆H₉O₃; 100%].- PI-FAB-MS: m/z = 355 (MH⁺; 21%), 227 (MH⁺ - C₆H₉O₃; 100%).

1,8-Dihydroxy-10-(7'-oxepanyliden-2'-on)-9(10H)-anthracenone (5b)

Working up the ether layer of 4c by CC (SiO₂/CH₂Cl₂) yields 510 mg 1 (23%) and the lactone **5b**: 60 mg (1.8%), yellow crystals, m.p. 188-190°C.-C₂₀H₁₆O₅ (336.3).- Calc. C 71.4 H 4.79 Found C 71.4 H 4.83.- UV (MeOH): λ max (log ϵ) = 383 (4.12), 299 (4.01), 228 (4.54), 205 nm (4.38).- IR: 1765 (ϵ -lactone); 1630; 1605 cm⁻¹ (C=O···HO).- ¹H-NMR: δ (ppm) = 12.11 (s; 1H; OH), 12.05 (s; 1H; OH), 7.6-6.9 (m; 6H aromat.), 2.92-2.51 (m; 4H; CH₂ at C-2' and at C-5'), 2.18-1.82 (m; 4H; CH₂ at C-3' and at C-4').- MS: m/z = 336 (M⁺; 100%), 318 (M⁺ - H₂O; 62), 290 (72), 252 (M⁺ - (CH₂)₄CO; 84), 226 (100), 224 (67).

Inhibition of G-6-PDH

Solutions: For all the solutions bidistilled water is used. G-6-P-solution: 130 mg G-6-P-di-Na (Sigma) are dissolved in 10 ml water.- NADP⁺-solution: 100 mg β -NADP⁺-mono-Na (Sigma) are dissolved in 5 ml of 1% NaHCO₃-solution.- Buffer: Ringer solution: 8.0 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 1.0 g NaHCO₃, 0.2 g CaCl₂ and 0.1 g MgCl₂ are dissolved in 900 ml of water. PH is adjusted to pH 7.5 with HCl and water is added to 1000.0 ml.-Enzyme-dilution: G-6-PDH (Sigma, type VII, from Baker's yeast) is used in a dilution of 1:1000 with buffer.- Test-solution: The concentration of the stock solution is about 4·10⁻³M (1 mg/ml of substance to be tested, dissolved in acetone, p.a. Merck). Addition of 20 µl of the stock solution to the incubation volume leads to a concentration of 1.75·10⁻⁵M in this solution (5 ml). The variety of concentrations is prepared by diluting different amounts

444

of stock solution with acetone. Incubation preparation (5 ml): For each value 4.48 ml buffer, 0.5 ml enzyme dilution and 20 μ l test-solution of the required concentration are shaken in a water bath for 30 min at 37°C under light protection. The corresponding control value (buffer - enzyme dilution - acetone), measured analogously, is determined every h because the activity of the enzyme decreases steadily. All solutions are freshly prepared just before measurement. For each concentration three determinations are made.

Determination: wavelength 340 nm, temp. 25°C, volume 3.0 ml, 1 cm cuvettes.

buffer	2.40 ml
NADP ⁺ -solution	0.05 ml
mix	

mix

G-6-P-solution		0.05 ml
----------------	--	---------

After mixing the extinction is measured every min during 5 min. The inhibition is expressed in % of the control.

HPLC-conditions

Column: Nucleosil 100, RP 18, 7 μ m, 280 x 4 mm Kontron.- mobile phase: MeOH/H₂O/acetic acid (85:15:0.1).- flow: 1 ml/min.- pressure: 106 bar.- detection: 254 nm.- injection volume: 20 μ l.

Determination of the retention time of each substance: about $1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M solutions in methanol are prepared and 20 µl are injected.

Retention time (min): 4a (4.55), 4b (4.75), 4c (5.25), 3a (5.87), 3b (6.4), 5b (8.24), 2b (8.84), 1a (9.44), 1 (10.21), 1b (15.2).

In order to scrutinize the stability of these substances during 30 min of incubation (pH 7.5, water bath, light protection, 37° C), we examined these preparations by HPLC; especially we looked for dithranol (1): after 30 min the incubation volume (5 ml) is diluted with 10 ml of water and purified by a *Baker*-column (C-18, 10 SPETM). Elution of the substance with 3 ml MeOH; HPLC-injection. The recovery rate was determined for **3a** and **4b** and found to be 90 and 105%, respectively.

Results of HPLC

After 30 min of incubation 70-85% of the substances 2, 3, 4, and 5 are found; dithranol (1) was never detected, but there are small amounts of chrysazin (1a, 5-20%). Dithranol (1) is not stable during this incubation (vide supra).

References

- K.K. Mustakallio, A.K. Pippuri, and E.J. Honkanen, Patent Nr. 57743, Int. Cl C 07 C 49/747. Finnish Patent Office 1980. C.A. 93: P 204348z (1980).
- 2 K.K. Mustakallio, Acta Derm. Venereol. 60, 169 (1980).
- 3 A. Schaltegger, U. Bloch, and A. Krebs, Dermatologica 165, 363 (1982).
- 4 H. Tanzer, M. Seidel, and W. Wiegrebe, Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim) 321, 447 (1988).
- 5 M. Rychener, W. Steiger, and A. Krebs, Pharm. Acta Helv. 63, 111 (1988).
- 6 H. Tanzer, unpublished results.
- 7 H. Hammar, N. Thyresson, and S.E. Brolin, Acta Derm. Venereol. 48, 175 (1968).
- 8 W. Raab, Hautarzt 26, 452 (1975).
- 9 A. Retzow, E. Plumier, and W. Wiegrebe, Pharm. Ztg. 126, 2150 (1981).
- 10 D. Cavey, J.C. Caron, and B. Shroot, J. Pharm. Sci. 71, 980 (1982).
- 11 W.W. Zmolek, W.-R. Chao, and J.P. Miller, Br. J. Dermatol. 108, 25 (1983).
- 12 A. du Vivier and R.B. Stoughton, J. Invest. Dermatol. 65, 235 (1975).
- 13 A. Schaltegger and W. Steiger, Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim) 319, 575 (1986).
- 14 A. Steyermark and J.H. Gardiner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 52, 4887 (1930).
- 15 A. Krebs and H. Schaltegger, Hautarzt 20, 204 (1969).
- 16 B.L. Van Duuren, A. Segal, S.-S. Tseng, G.M. Rusch, G. Loewengart, U. Mate, D. Roth, A. Smith, S. Melchionne, and I. Seidman, J. Med. Chem. 21, 26 (1978).
- 17 R.P. Linstead, B.C.L. Weedon, and B. Wladislaw, J. Chem. Soc. 1955, 1097.
- 18 S. Skraup and S. Guggenheimer, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 58, 2493 (1925).
- 19 R. Meyer, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 347, 49 (1906). [Ph585]