Hofstadter butterflies of bilayer graphene
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We calculate the electronic spectrum of bilayer graphene in perpendicular magnetic fields non-perturbatively. To accommodate arbitrary displacements between the two layers, we apply a periodic gauge based on singular flux vortices of phase $2\pi$. The resulting Hofstadter-like butterfly plots show a reduced symmetry, depending on the relative position of the two layers against each other. The split of the zero-energy relativistic Landau level differs by one order of magnitude between Bernal- and non-Bernal stacking.

PACS numbers: 73.22.-f,81.05.Uw,71.70.Di,71.15.Dx

After the theoretical prediction of the peculiar electronic properties of graphene in 1947 by Wallace \cite{Wallace:1947} and the subsequent studies of its magnetic spectrum \cite{Kane:1985}, it took half a century until single layers of graphene could be isolated in experiment \cite{Novoselov:2004} and the novel mesoscopic properties of these 2D Dirac-like electronic systems, e.g., their anomalous quantum Hall effect, could be measured \cite{Novoselov:2005, Novoselov:2006, Novoselov:2007, Novoselov:2012}. For bilayers of graphene, an additional degeneracy of the Landau levels and a Berry phase of $2\pi$ were predicted to lead to an anomalous quantum Hall effect, different from either the regular massive electrons or the special Dirac-type electrons of single layer graphene \cite{Lee:2006}, which could be confirmed in experiment shortly afterwards \cite{Novoselov:2008} and used for the characterization of bilayer samples \cite{Novoselov:2008b}.

The low-energy electronic structure of a single layer of graphene is well described by a linearization near the corner points of the hexagonal Brillouin zone ($K$-points), resulting in an effective Hamiltonian formally equivalent to that of massless Dirac particles in two dimensions \cite{CastroNeto:2009}. A related Hamiltonian can be constructed featuring a supersymmetric structure which can be exploited to derive the electronic spectrum in the presence of an external magnetic field \cite{Nemec:2006}. The level at zero energy, characteristic for any supersymmetric system, maps directly to a special half-filled Landau level fixed at the Fermi energy $E_F$ henceforth called supersymmetric Landau level (SUSYLL).

In this letter, we use the non-perturbative method pioneered in 1933 by Peierls \cite{Peierls:1933} for the implementation of a magnetic field in a model which led Hofstadter, in 1976, to the discovery of the fractal spectrum of 2D lattice electrons in a magnetic field \cite{Hofstadter:1976}. Since its discovery, the so-called “Hofstadter butterfly” has been studied for a variety of different systems \cite{Hofstadter:1976, Luck:1980, Zawadowski:1982, Wachters:1983, Zawadowski:1983, Wachters:1986, Zawadowski:1986}. Featuring a large variety of topologies, all these systems have in common that the atoms inside the unit cell are sitting on discrete coordinates. All closed loops have commensurate areas and the atomic network is regular enough that the magnetic phases of all links can be determined individually without the need of a continuously defined gauge field. For bilayer graphene, such a direct scheme for implementing a magnetic field is possible only for highly symmetric configurations like the Bernal stacking \cite{Lee:2006, Lee:2007}. To handle more general configurations, such as continuous displacements between the layers, it is in general unavoidable to choose a continuously defined gauge that fixes the phase for arbitrarily placed atoms. The difficulty that arises can be seen immediately: For any gauge field that is periodic in two dimensions, the magnetic phase of a closed loop around a single unit cell must cancel out exactly, corresponding to a vanishing total magnetic flux. Reversely, this means that any gauge field that results in a nonzero homogeneous magnetic field will invariably break the periodicity.
of the underlying system.

A possible way to bypass this problem is based on defining a magnetic flux vortex, here oriented in the z-direction and located in \( (x_0, y_0) \), as \[ B (x, y, z) = \Phi_0 \delta (x - x_0) \delta (y - y_0) e_z, \]
where \( \Phi_0 = \frac{\hbar}{e} \) is the flux quantum. Physically, such a vortex is equivalent to a vanishing magnetic field, since it leaves the phase of any possible closed path unchanged modulo \( 2\pi \). One possible gauge field resulting in such a single flux vortex can be written as

\[
A (r) = \frac{\Phi_0 (e_z \times r)}{2\pi |e_z \times r|^2}.
\]

Finding a periodic gauge follows straightforwardly: to the homogeneous magnetic field, we add a periodic array of flux vortices with a density such that the average magnetic field is exactly zero. For the resulting field, which is physically equivalent to the original, it is now possible to find a gauge field with the same periodicity as the array of vortices. If the underlying system is periodic and the array of flux vortices has commensurate periodicity, there exists a supercell where the magnetic Hamiltonian is periodic. One possible periodic gauge that is especially advantageous for numerical implementation consists in a two-dimensional periodic system with \( \tau \)-lattice vectors \( \mathbf{a}_x \) and \( \mathbf{a}_y \). The reciprocal lattice vectors (scaled by \( 2\pi \)) are \( \mathbf{a}_i \) such that \( \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j = \delta_{ij} \). The magnetic field is \( \mathbf{B} = \ell \Phi_0 (\mathbf{a}_x \times \mathbf{a}_y) \) with \( \ell \) integer. The usual linear—but aperiodic—gauge for this field would be \( A_{\text{lin}} (r) = \ell \Phi_0 (r \cdot \mathbf{a}_x) \mathbf{a}_y \). A periodic gauge can now be defined as:

\[
A (r) = \ell \Phi_0 \left[ r \cdot \mathbf{a}_x \right] \left( \mathbf{a}_y - \delta \left( \left[ r \cdot \mathbf{a}_y \right] \right) \right)
\]

where \( \left[ \cdot \right] \) denotes the fractional part of a real number. To make sure that the phase of every link between two atoms is well-defined, the gauge field is displaced by an infinitesimal amount such that every atom sits either left or right of the divergent line.

The Hamiltonian without magnetic field—based on a tight-binding parametrization originally used for multiwalled carbon nanotubes \[22, 26\]—consists of a contribution for nearest neighbors within a layer \( (i,j) \) and one for pairs of atoms located on different sheets \( \left\{ i,j \right\} \):

\[
\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{(i,j)} \gamma_{i,j}^{\text{intra}} c_i^\dagger c_j - \sum_{\left\{ i,j \right\}} \gamma_{i,j}^{\text{inter}} c_i^\dagger c_j.
\]

In absence of a magnetic field, the intralayer hopping is fixed to \( \gamma_{i,j}^{\text{intra}} = \gamma_0 = 2.66 \text{ eV} \), while the interlayer hopping depends on the distance only

\[
\gamma_{i,j}^{\text{inter}} = \beta \exp \left( \frac{a - |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}{\delta} \right),
\]

\( a \) and \( \delta \) are the array width, \( \delta \) is the distance between the centers of two successive layers. In the absence of a magnetic field, the total energy is fixed to \( \gamma_0 \).
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FIG. 2: The Hofstadter butterfly of a bilayer graphene in two differently shifted configurations. Top panel: AA-stacking (two layers exactly aligned). The band structure for this highly symmetric stacking (same rotational symmetry as for Bernal-stacking in Fig. 1) shows the single-layer cone simply split up in energy. Bottom panel: intermediate position between Bernal- and AA-stacking. The rotational symmetry is broken up and the bands split into two cones at different offsets from the \( K \)-point and different energies. The straight lines overlaid at the energy min- and maximum are the regular Landau levels of the massive bands. Near \( E_F \), one can make out the parabolic traces of the relativistic Landau levels and the horizontal lines of the SUSYLLs (see text). Insets at the lower right of each panel: The DOS of a finite-width ribbon shows the corresponding behavior in each case.
with $\beta = \gamma_0/8$, $a = 3.34$ Å and $\delta = 0.45$ Å. A cutoff is chosen as $r_{\text{cutoff}} = a + 5\delta$. Following the Peierls substitution [13], the magnetic field $B$ is now implemented by multiplying a magnetic phase factor to each link between two atoms $i$ and $j$: \[
abla_{i,j}(B) = \nabla_{i,j}(B = 0) \exp \left( \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_{r_i}^{r_j} A_B(r) \cdot dr \right) \]
where the integral is computed on a straight line between the atomic positions $r_i$ and $r_j$.

For the bilayer graphene, we arrive thus at a periodic Hamiltonian with a two-dimensional unit-cell containing four atoms and spanning the area of one hexagonal graphene plaquette: $A_{\text{plaquette}} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} d_{CC}^2$, where $d_{CC} = 1.42$ Å is the intralayer distance between neighboring carbon atoms. The effect of a perpendicular magnetic field, measured in flux per plaquette $\Phi = A_{\text{plaquette}}B$, can be calculated for commensurate values $\Phi = (p/q) \Phi_0$ ($p, q$ integers) by constructing a supercell of $q$ unit cells. The corresponding Bloch Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(k)$ is a $4q \times 4q$ matrix that can be diagonalized for arbitrary values of $k$ in the two-dimensional Brillouin zone of area $4\pi^2/q A_{\text{plaquette}}$.

To obtain the butterfly plots as displayed in Figs. 1 and 2, we chose $0 \leq p \leq q = 512$, reducing the fraction $p/q$ for efficiency. For each value of $\Phi$ the density of states was calculated from a histogram over the spectral values for a random sampling of $k$ over the Brillouin zone. The number of sampling points was chosen individually for different values of $p$ to achieve convergence. In Figs. 1 and 2 the Hofstadter spectra of three differently aligned graphene bilayers are presented. The Bernal stacking (Fig. 1) stands out, as it is the configuration of layers in natural graphite [23, 27]. Alternative configurations like AA-stacking were found to be unstable in ab initio calculations [28], they can, however, be thought of as either mechanically shifted samples or sections of curved bilayers (e.g. sections of two shells in a large multiwall carbon nanotube) where the alignment unavoidably varies over distance. Compared to the Hofstadter butterfly of a single sheet of graphene [16], two asymmetries are visible in all three plots: The electron-hole symmetry ($E \leftrightarrow -E$) is broken down by the interlayer coupling already at zero magnetic field: while the lowest energy states of a single graphene layer have constant phase over all atoms and can couple efficiently into symmetric and antisymmetric hybrid states of the bilayer system, the states at high energies have alternating phases for neighboring atoms, so interlayer hybridization is prohibited by the second-nearest-neighbor interlayer coupling. For low magnetic fields, two sets of Landau levels can therefore be observed at the bottom of the spectrum, indicating a split of the massive band of graphene at the Gamma point ($E_{\text{min}} = -3\gamma_0$, $m^* = 2\hbar^2/3\gamma_0 d_{CC}^2$) into two bands at different energy and with different effective masses ($E^{\pm} \approx E_{\text{min}} \pm 1.1$ eV, $m^* \approx m_0/[1 \mp 2.1\beta/\gamma_0]$, independent of the relative shift of the two layers, see straight lines overlaid in the bottom panel of Fig. 2). At the top of the spectrum, where the split is prohibited, only one degenerate set of Landau levels appears as in single layer graphene. The original periodic symmetry along the B-field axis at one flux quantum per graphene plaquette is broken down due to the smaller areas formed by interlayer loops. The breaking of this symmetry is comparably small in the AA-stacking configuration (Fig. 2 top) where loops of the full plaquette area are dominant. In the two other configurations smaller loops are more dominant, so the periodicity is perturbed more severely. In the intermediate configuration (Fig. 2 bottom), the fractal patterns appear slightly smeared out for high magnetic fields due to the reduced symmetry of the system.

The right insets of Fig. 1 and 2 display the spectra of (200,0) bilayer graphene nanoribbons, each in corresponding configuration, obtained by a method described before [22] that allows handling of continuous magnetic fields [32]. For low magnetic fields, these spectra are strongly influenced by finite size effects [29].
for magnetic fields larger than $B^* \approx 4\Phi_0/d^2$, which for a ribbon of width $d = 50$ nm relates to $\sim 7 T$, the spectra of two-dimensional bilayer graphene begin to emerge. Prominent in all three insets are the dark, horizontal pairs of lines at the center, the supersymmetric Landau levels (SUSYLL). While these represent discrete levels in two-dimensional graphene sheets, they are broadened by the finite width of the ribbon to a peak of the same shape as in carbon nanotubes [22, 30]. The mesoscopic character of these splitted SUSYLL in dependence of the width $W$ of the ribbon is captured by the functional form of the density of states

$$\rho(E, B, W) = f((E - E_0) W, BW^2)$$

where $E_0$ is the position of the maximum.

Single layer graphene is known to feature an anomalous supersymmetric Landau level (SUSYLL) at the Fermi energy [2, 4, 12]. Neglecting Zeeman-splitting, this level is fourfold degenerate (twice spin, twice valley) and half-filled. For bilayer graphene in Bernal stacking (Fig. 11), the SUSYLLs of the two layers have been shown to be protected by symmetry and to remain degenerate, giving in total an eightfold degeneracy [8]. In Fig. 2, this degeneracy can be observed to be lifted for displaced bilayers, leading to a split of the SUSYLL into a bonding and an antibonding hybrid state in the two layers, each four-fold degenerate. The continuous evolution of the split for varying displacement of the two layers against each other is displayed in Fig. 3. The split reaches its maximum of $\Delta E \sim 0.3$ eV for AA-stacking configuration and is minimal for Bernal stacking. For simpler tight-binding parametrizations that take into account only first-neighbor interactions within one layer [31].

In conclusion, we have developed a method that allows the non-perturbative implementation of a magnetic field in periodic systems with arbitrarily positioned atoms. A $\pi$ orbital parametrization for graphitic interlayer interactions with arbitrary displacements was then used to calculate the Hofstadter spectrum of bilayer graphene in various configurations, revealing common features like the electron-hole symmetry breaking and differences, especially in the breaking of the magnetic field periodicity. A close look at the supersymmetric Landau level at low fields near the Fermi energy revealed a breaking of the previously found symmetry, resulting in a split of the level, depending on the lateral displacement of the two graphene layers against each other.
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Adapting the conventional notation for carbon nanotubes, a (n,0) ribbon has a width of $n$ hexagons and armchair edges.