EDITORIAL VIEWS

Anesthesiology 63:351-352, 1985

Anaphylactoid Reactions and Histamine Release by Barbiturate Induction Agents: Clinical Relevance and Patho-mechanisms

THERE IS AN INCREASING awareness of adverse reactions and the importance of histamine release in anesthesia and surgery. Over the past 15 years, numerous case reports, epidemiologic studies, and surveys, including a number of randomized controlled clinical trials, have appeared in the literature. Recent removal of Althesin® and propanidid from clinical practice in Europe, as well as the increased appreciation of the frequency of these adverse reactions, prompted a recent conference in Nancy, France, attempting to establish the clinical relevance and pathophysiology of histamine release in the perioperative period. Investigators from the United States, Western Europe, and Australia shared their data on this subject. Understandably, anesthetists are reluctant to report adverse reactions.

Some of this reluctance may arise from an inability to interpret the clinical signs of anaphylactic or anaphylactoid reactions. Case reports on thiopental reactions are quite numerous, as Hirshman *et al.*³ indicate in this issue and others have previously documented. Nevertheless, the drug has been used and in widespread practice for many years and has been recommended as an essential drug by the World Health Organization in 1979. Methohexitone is considered to be even safer, yet there are reports in the literature suggesting that this drug may be more likely to cause allergic or pseudoallergic reactions.⁴ Thiamylal and pentobarbitone are less frequently involved in these reactions.⁵ Hence, the information available in the clinical literature on adverse reactions to barbiturates may not represent an accurate picture of the incidence of such

reactions but may be biased by the patterns of usage that occur throughout different countries.

While many clinical mediators have been implicated in allergic or pseudoallergic reactions, most of the animal studies have not been verified in humans. 6 The established criteria for ascertaining and describing a mediator are derived from Koch and Dale (presence in disease, absence in health, eliciting disease by exogenous administration, and blocking the effect by antagonists and preventing disease). These criteria have been fulfilled in humans only for histamine and only for a small number of anesthetic drugs (propanidid, polygeline,6 and morphine7). The ability to assay plasma histamine has been essential in fulfilling these rigorous criteria.1 Similar studies have been performed with thiopentone and methohexitone.8 Elevated plasma histamine levels were demonstrated in nine of 10 human volunteers following the administration of thiopentone and in six of eight patients following methohexitone administration. In addition, gastric acid secretion has been used as a clinical marker for histamine release following the administration of thiopentone.9 Thus, there is substantiating data in the clinical literature for barbiturate-induced histamine release, which has been demonstrated in this study by Hirshman et al.3 in vitro.

Several mechanisms have been proposed for histamine release by barbiturates in clinical conditions. ^{1,2,4,10} Pseudoallergic (nonimmunologic) interactions with human mast cells in vivo^{9,10} have been substantiated by the study of Hirshman et al. ³ for thiopentone in isolated cells. However, methohexitone was ineffective in vitro. The marked heterogeneity of mast cells and basophilic granulocytes with regard to their response to histamine release could explain these differences. It is possible that the foreskin model will not serve to identify the full extent to which anesthetic agents may induce histamine release.

In addition to the possible pseudoallergic mechanisms, Watkins¹⁰ indicates that approximately 50% of thiopen-

Accepted for publication June 12, 1985.

Address reprint requests to Dr. Lorenz: Department of Theoretical Surgery, Centre of Operative Medicine I, Klinikum Lahnberge—Baldingerstraße, D-3550 Marburg/Lahn, West Germany.

Key words: Anesthetics, intravenous: methohexital; pentobarbital; thiamylal; thiopental. Histamine: mast cells; release.

tone reactions are mediated by IgE antibodies or immunocomplexes and that there is activation of the complement system by the classical and alternate pathway. The same ratio between allergic and pseudoallergic reactions has been demonstrated for methohexitone by the same author. Fatal reactions to thiopentone were shown to be more likely to be due to allergic than to nonimmunologic mechanisms. Hence, the greater safety of methohexitone over that of thiopentone in clinical conditions cannot be deduced from the *in vitro* studies but only from randomized clinical trials.

Clinicians confronted with a plethora of case reports on adverse reactions and numerous in vitro reports such as that of Hirshman et al.3 may be somewhat bewildered as to how to alter their clinical practice. Is this histamine release important? The clinical literature reveals 23 prospective and randomized clinical trials on volunteers and patients in which the incidence of histamine release was 20-30%.8 The extent of clinical symptoms depended on the amount of histamine that was released. The systemic responses ranged from 1 to 5%, with life-threatening responses from 0.1 to 0.5%. Clinicians have the responsibility to select which, from a variety of drugs, will be the safest overall. For those patients who may be compromised cardiovascularly, it is clear that selecting a drug with less histamine release may be an appropriate choice. Another strategy for maintaining hemodynamic stability involves the prophylactic use of histamine H1- and H2-receptor antagonists, always in combination, which have been shown to be safe and effective^{1,2,7,8} and may be used for patients at risk when it is absolutely necessary to give drugs with histamine-releasing potency.

W. LORENZ, M.D. Full Professor of Theoretical Surgery

A. DOENICKE, M.D.
Associate Professor of Anesthesia

Centre of Operative Medicine I Philipps University of Marburg/Lahn West Germany

References

- Ahnefeld FW, Doenicke A, Lorenz W: Histamine and antihistamines in anaesthesia and surgery. Klin Wochenschr 60:871

 1062, 1982
- Laxenaire MC: Prévention des Réactions Anaphylactoides Peranesthésiques. Ann Fr Anesth Réanim 4:99-244, 1985
- Hirshman CA, Edelstein RA, Ebertz JM, Hanifin JM: Thiobarbiturate-induced histamine release in human skin mast cells. ANESTHESIOLOGY 63:353-356, 1985
- Fisher MMcD, Baldo BA: Anaphylactoid reactions during anaesthesia. Clinics in Anaesthesiology 2:677-692, 1984
- Fisher MMcD: Severe histamine mediated reactions to intravenous drugs used in anaesthesia. Anaesth Intensive Care 3:180-197, 1975
- Lorenz W, Röher HD, Doenicke A, Ohmann Ch: Histamine release in anaesthesia and surgery: a new method to evaluate its clinical significance with several types of causal relationship. Clinics in Anaesthesiology 2:403-426, 1984
- Moss J, Rosow CE: Histamine release by narcotics and muscle relaxants in humans. ANESTHESIOLOGY 59:330-338, 1983
- Lorenz W, Doenicke A: H₁ and H₂ blockade: A prophylactic principle in anesthesia and surgery against histamine-release responses of any degree of severity, Part I. NER Allergy Proc 6: 37-57, 1985; Part II 6:174-194, 1985
- Lorenz W, Doenicke A, Meyer R, Reimann H-J, Kusche J, Barth H, Geesing H, Hutzel M, Weissenbacher B: Histamine release in man by propanidid and thiopentone: pharmacological effects and clinical consequences. Br J Anaesth 44:355-369, 1972
- Watkins J: "Hypersensitivity response" to drugs and plasma substitutes used in anaesthesia and surgery. Trauma, Stress and Immunity in Anaesthesia and Surgery. Edited by Watkins J, Salo MV. London, Butterworth Scientific, 1982, pp 254-291