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Abstract—The transmethylation catalysed by HMT (EC 2.1.1.8) has been considered as absolutely specific for histamine as acceptor substrate. In this investigation N'-MH, N',N'-DMH, spinacamine and synthetically prepared L-[3-aminoethylglycino]-methylimidazole could be identified as further methyl-group accepting substrates (optimum substrate concentration = 1 mM), but the yield of extractable 14C-labelled methylation products was never greater than 21 per cent of that of histamine. The 3 per cent methylation of N',N'-DMH was considerably smaller than that of 33 per cent reported in the literature. This discrepancy was resolved and found to be ascribable to an inappropriate product extraction procedure used in the former experiments. When N'-MH and N',N'-DMH were the substrates, the corresponding products were isolated by t.l.c. in four different solvent systems and identified to be N',N'-DMH and N',N',N'-triMII. Thus HMT catalysed in all cases a uniform methylation of the N'-nitrogen atom of the imidazole nucleus. The investigation of 11 series of various substituted imidazole compounds revealed that a methylation of the ring system had to be considered if it was not substituted in the N', 2 or N'-position and if it carried a 3 substituent with a strong basic aminogroup, whereas substitution in the ring 5-position seemed to be of minor importance. Furthermore H2-receptor antagonists, H1-receptor antagonists, the non-imidazole H2-receptor agonist dimaprit, as well as the enzyme inhibitors aminoguanidine, tramlycopyrine, pargyline and nicotinamide, were not methylated under the catalysis of HMT. The evidence for a less high substrate specificity of HMT may influence the relevance of histamine determinations using this enzyme: caution seems necessary.
Materials and Methods

Materials

Enzyme preparation. HMT was purified from the gastric mucosa of pig fundus, which contains a high concentration of this enzyme [25]. To get enough material the preparation was repeated four times: From the stomachs of two adult male Landrace pigs (regional slaughter-house), 95–115 g fundus mucosa was prepared by dissection. After homogenization with 2 vol. of ice-cold 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) using a Ultraturrax homogenizer, the homogenate was centrifuged for 30 min at 37,000 g (Sorvall RC-2B) and for 45 min at 143,000 g (Beckmann Spinco L-75B) at 2°C. After diluting 205–215 ml of the supernatant with the same volume of 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), the sample was filtered through a paper filter (Ecolot, qual. 15).

A 380–395 ml quantity of this enzyme solution (1600–1900 mg protein) which contained the whole enzyme activity was applied to a column of DEAE-cellulose (4.5 x 30 cm), equilibrated with 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4.

After washing the column with 1250 ml of 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), the enzyme was eluted by a discontinuous gradient. The same buffer was used containing increasing concentrations of sodium chloride [0.2 M (500 ml); 0.5 M (500 ml); 1.0 M (1000 ml)] (Fig. 1). The elution rate was 96 ml/hr. For monitoring the appearance of the proteins and further details of fraction collecting see Barth et al. [17].

On the average, in these four enzyme preparations the purification was 45 fold (range 37–51 fold), the yield 77 per cent (70–81 per cent) (all compared with the crude homogenate) and the specific activity was 12.0 (9.6–16.7) mmoles/min x mg protein) (protein determination according to Lowry et al. [24]).

Reagents for determination and purification of HMT. 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO). 2,2'-p-phenylene-bis-(5-phenyloxazole) (POPPO) and tolucene (all of scintillation grade), iso-amyl alcohol p.a., n-butanol Uvasol®, ethanol p.a., Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent, all inorganic salts, acids and bases p.a. (all Merck, Darmstadt); S-adenosyl-[14C]-methionine (45–60 μCi/mmol. New England Nuclear, Boston, MA); S-adenosyl-L-methionine hydrogenosulphate (Boehringer, Mannheim); [14C]tolune standard solution (9.5 x 104 d.p.m./ml, Amersham Buchler, Braunschweig): DEAE-cellulose-SS p.a. (Serva, Heidelberg).

Reagents for TLC Precoated glass plates (Silica gel 60, 20 x 20 cm, layer thickness 0.25 mm, without fluorescent indicator), chloroform Uvasol, methanol Uvasol, ammonia (d = 0.91) p.a., acetic Uvasol n-heptane Uvasol, fast blue salt B LAB (0.5% solution (w/v) in water), anisotin spray reagent (1:17 aerosol), iodine Suprapur (all Merck, Darmstadt). N,N'-DMH and N,N',N''-TMH were synthesized by one of us (W. Sch.) [25]. All aqueous solutions were prepared with twice distilled water.

Drugs. All drugs investigated were pure substances according to the statements of the companies. The generous supply of all these substances is greatly appreciated.

Histamine receptor agonists. Histamine dihydrochloride puriss. (Fluka, Buchs); dimaprit [S 5 (N,N-dimethyl-amino)propyl]-[3-(4-hydroxyphenyl]-urea], N,N'-guanil-histamine[2-(4-imidazolyl)-ethyl]guanidine, 3-(4-imidazolyl)-propyl guanidine; N,N'-dimethyl-2-(4-imidazolyl)-ethyl]imidazole; 2-MH [2-(4-imidazolyl)-ethyl]imidazole; 2-MH [2-(4-imidazolyl)-ethyl]imidazole; 3-MH [3-(4-imidazolyl)-ethyl]imidazole; 3-MH [3-(4-imidazolyl)-ethyl]imidazole; N,N'-DMH [4-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]imidazole]; N,N'-DMH [4-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]imidazole]; all synthesized by one of us (W. Sch.).

Histamine H2-receptor antagonists. The corresponding trade names and companies for the listed H2-receptor antagonists can be obtained from ref. [17]: antazoline, bumipine brompheniramine, chlorpheniramine, chlorpyramine, cinnamazol, cyproheptadine, dimethylprimidine, doxylamine, histapyroline, homophenazine, methyldroxy-mepyramine, pipiridylhydroxy, promethazine, trimlenamine, tolmipramine, WY 761.

Histamine H1-receptor antagonists. Burimamide, 5-methylburimamide, thioburimamide, metiamide, cimetidine (all SKF, Welwyn Garden City); ranitidine (Glaxo, Ware).

Imidazole compounds without marked actions on histamine receptors. 4-methylimidazole, 4-hydroxy-imidazole, 5-methyl-4-hydroxylimidazole; (all SKF, Welwyn Garden City); spinaconine (4.5,6,7-tetrahydro-3H-imidazol[4,5-c]pyridine), 4-[ (2-amino-ethylmercapto)-methyl]imidazole (Table 2. I), 4-[2-amino-ethylmercapto)-methyl]imidazole (Table 2. II), 4-[2-amino-ethylmercapto)-methyl]imidazole (Table 2. III), 4-[2-amino-ethylmercapto)-methyl]-5-methylimidazole (Table 2. IV) all synthesized by one of us (W. Sch.) [26, 27], 4-[2-amino-ethylmercapto)-methyl]-5-methylimidazole (Table 2. V) (SKF, Welwyn Garden City), 1-(methyl-1-imidazolyl)acetic acid, 5-(4-imidazolyl)-pyruvic acid (Calbiochem, Luzern); methyl 4-imidazoleacetate hydrochloride, methyl 4-imidazolepropanoate hydrochloride, 1:14-(4-imidazolyl)-3-butanone [18] synthesized by one of us (W. Sch.)

Enzyme inhibitors. Ammoniumrhodanide (sulphate).
nicotinamide (both Merck, Darmstadt); pargyline (Abbott, Brussels); tranylcypromine (SK&F, Welwyn Garden City).

Methods

Determination of HMT-activity according to Barth et al. [17]. HMT was determined by measuring the formation of N'-[14C]MH according to a modification of the method of Snyder and Axelrod [28]. The assays were carried out with 0.1 ml of the partly purified HMT which contained an enzyme activity of about 400 pmol/min. Furthermore, in a final volume of the incubation mixture of 0.5 ml the following substances were dissolved: S-adenosyl-L-[14C]-methionine hydrogen sulphate 350 pmol; S-adenosyl-L-[14C]-methylmethionine 3.6 nmol; histamine (optimum 88 nmol) or other substances tested as methyl group acceptor substrates in varying amounts and sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) 22.5 µmol.

The performance of the incubations, the preparation of blank values and the extraction of the products into a mixture of toluene and iso-amyl alcohol were the same as described by Barth et al. [17].

Counting of radioactivity in an aliquot of the organic extract was performed in a liquid scintillation spectrometer (Packard TriCarb 3380). 565 c.p.m. corresponded to the formation of 1 nmol N'-MH (recovery about 60 per cent).

The range of blank values was 605–805 c.p.m. above the instrumental background of 30 c.p.m. The efficiency of the counting procedure was tested by the addition of 50 µl [14C]toluene standard solution (cf. Materials) to the organic phase obtained after incubation, extraction and centrifugation and was found to be 90 per cent on the average.

The extraction yield for the methylated products from the incubation mixture into the iso-amyl alcohol/toluene mixture was only known for N'-MH [15]. Therefore absolute values could only be given for the methylation of histamine [expressed as pmol N'-MH formation (min × mg protein)], whereas the methylation rates for all other compounds were expressed as per cent methylation compared to optimum N'-MH formation (gained at a final optimum histamine concentration of 1.16 × 10⁻⁴ M). Product formation below 1.5 per cent was indistinguishable from zero.

Determination of HMT-activity according to Gustafsson and Forshell [22]. In a few additional experiments the formed radioactive products were extracted into n-butanol (cf. [22]) instead into the mixture of iso-amyl alcohol/toluene. In these experiments the reactions were stopped after 0.15, 30, 45 and 60 min by the addition of 0.2 ml N NaOH (saturated with Na₂SO₄) and in the same vials the formed products were extracted into 2.0 ml water-saturated n-butanol. A 0.5 ml aliquot of the n-butanol phase was added to the scintillation mixture consisting of 10 ml of toluene scintillator and 2 ml ethanol. Since the yield of this n-butanol extraction procedure was not determined, values for these experiments were given in c.p.m./incubation time.

T.l.c.-identification of enzymatically formed N'-, N''-DMH and N''', N'''-TMH. Using N'-MH or N'', N'''-DMH as methyl-group accepting substrates of HMT radioactive methylation products could be extracted into this iso-amyl alcohol/toluene mixture. In order to get these substances in larger quantities for identification, 40 incubations of the above mentioned composition were run for 4 hr, 20 containing N'-MH and the other 20 N'', N'''-DMH as substrate (final concentration of the substrates 10⁻⁴ M). Simultaneously with these 40 incubations another 60 were run, 20 without any methyl-group accepting substrate whose volume was replaced by 0.1 ml 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and another 40 (20 with N'-MH and another 20 with N'', N'''-DMH) using an enzyme solution which had been boiled for 10 min. To simulate the conditions for routinely performed enzyme assays, the solvent extraction step was carried out separately for every incubation mixture. Then 5 ml of the iso-amyl alcohol/toluene mixture were aspirated from each sample and collected for every group of 20 incubations. Each of the five combined extracts was concentrated in an evaporator to a final volume of 500 µl. After addition of 3.0 ml n-heptane and 0.5 ml 0.1 N HCl, the mixture was shaken for 5 min and the supernatant fraction discarded by aspiration. For t.l.c.-identification 25 µl samples of this acidic solution were mixed with 75 µl of acetonitrile.

Twenty microancies of standard solution (histamine and N'-MH 0.1 mg/ml; N'-MH and N'', N'''-DMH 0.5 mg/ml; N'', N'''-DMH and N'', N'''-TMH 2.5 mg/ml) and 100 µl of the above described combined extracts were applied to silica gel coated glass plates 1.5 cm from the bottom (Fig. 2). The spots were dried in a stream of warm air. In a glass developing tank lined with chromatographic paper (Whatman No. 1) dipping into the solvent each chromatogram was developed vertically to a line 10 12 cm above origin. The developing time of 50–65 min depended on the various solvent systems: I: chloroform/methanol/ammonia (d = 0.91) (60 + 35 + 5); II: chloroform/methanol (80 + 20), amonia atmosphere; III: methanol (100), amonia atmosphere; IV: 8% aqueous sodium chloride (100).

After air-drying, the chromatograms were developed. Histamine and N'-MH were indicated by purple spots which arose after spraying the plates with 0.1% ninhydrin aerosol and drying them at 65° for 10 min; spraying the plates with fast blue salt B [0.5% solution in water (w/v)] and developing them in an ammonia atmosphere for 10–15 sec visualized ochre spots corresponding to N''-MH and N'''N'''-DMH; N''', N'''-DMH and N''', N''''-TMH were visualized by staining the plates with sublimated iodine in a glass tank (Fig. 2, panel a). In all cases the detection limit could be lowered by examining the plates using diaphanous light.

Radioactive spots were localized under a 2π-detector (LB 6290) of a t.l.c.-scanning device (LB 2723 equipped with power supply (BF 1017-1), amplifier/high-voltage unit (BF 2301), rate meter/integrator (BF 2305), Autochrom x-t-recorder (LB 2740) and plotter (LB 2745); and (all Berthold, Wildbad). Chromatograms were plotted (sham window 2.5 × 2.5 mm, scaling factor 4) and integrated (sham window 16 × 1 mm, 1 integration-cycle ≥ 100 impulses/min) using a scanning speed of 300 mm/hr (Fig. 2b).
RESULTS

Histamine analogues as methyl-group accepting substrates of gastric HMT. Various imidazole compounds (histamine receptor agonists, H2-receptor antagonists and others without action at histamine receptors) as well as a number of substances used in research on biogenic amine metabolism (enzyme inhibitors) and pharmacology (H1-receptor antagonists) were tested to serve as methyl-group acceptor substrates of HMT from pig fundus mucosa.

From this number of compounds two groups of imidazole compounds were found to be acceptor substrates: (1) Ring- and side-chain-methylated histamines (Table 1) as well as (2) 1 and its methylated analogues (Table 2). Under the chosen incubation conditions which were optimal for the determination of histamine methylation, none of those imidazole compounds was a better acceptor substrate than...
Table 1. Histamine and alkylated histamines as acceptor substrates of HMT from pig fundus mucosα

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceptor substrates</th>
<th>Methylation (%) at a substrate concentration (M) of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N^\alpha$-methylhistamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N^\alpha, N^\alpha$ dimethylhistamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-methylhistamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-ethylhistamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,$N^\alpha$-dimethylhistamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N^\gamma$-methylhistamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methylhistamine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N^\gamma$-methylhistamine</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinacemine</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

α Incubations were carried out under conditions that were optimum for histamine (pH 7.4; [S-adenosyl-L-methionine] = 0.71 mM; iso-amyl alcohol/toluene extraction procedure). Incubations containing histamine in a final concentration of 0.116 mM equaled 100 per cent. Mean values from four to eight determinations. For further details of the incubation conditions and calculations of methylation rates see Materials and Methods.

Table 2. 4-[(2-Amino-ethylmercaptomethyl)-imidazole and its methylated analogues as acceptor substrates of HMT from pig fundus mucosaα

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Acceptor substrates</th>
<th>Methylation (%) at a substrate concentration (M) of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure I" /></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure II" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure III" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure IV" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

α I = 4-[(2-amino-ethylmercaptomethyl)-methyl]-imidazole; II = 4-[(2-amino-ethylmercaptomethyl)-methyl]-5-methyl-imidazole; III = 4-[(2-amino-ethylmercaptomethyl)-methylmethyl]-5-methyl-imidazole; IV = 4-[(2-aminoethylmercaptomethyl)-methyl]-2-methyl-imidazole.

For further details see legend of Table 1.
Table 3. Determination of radioactive methylation products from histamine and N',N'-DMH using two extraction procedures*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product extraction procedure</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Extracted radioactivity (c.p.m./incubation time)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iso-amyl alcohol/toluene</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butanol</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Exemplary demonstration of one out of four experiments. Every incubation was run (substrate concentration in all cases 0.1 mM) and stopped in duplicate after various time-intervals. The formed products were extracted using the one or the other of the two extraction procedures described in Methods. Specific activity of HMT 0.63 nmoles/(min x mg protein): protein content 26 &incubation mixture. For further details of the incubations see Materials and Methods.

† Blanks subtracted.

§ Evidence for this reaction product is given later in the paper.

imidazole compounds dimaprit (histamine receptor agonist [29]), ranitidine (H2-receptor antagonist [30]), H4-receptor antagonists and enzyme inhibitors (all listed in Materials) were not methylated under the catalysis of gastric HMT from pig fundus mucosa (N = 4 for every concentration investigated; range of concentration 1 μM–10 mM).

**Determination of the methylation rate of N',N'-DMH using two different product extraction procedures.** Compared to histamine, N',N'-DMH was a very poor methyl-group acceptor substrate for HMT [2.3, 2.5, 2.6 and 2.8 per cent methylation at 0.1 mM final concentration (cf. Table 1); mean value from four determinations in four experiments], whereas Gustafsson and Forsell [22] described this substance (also 0.1 mM final concentration) to be methylated by 31 per cent compared to histamine. In order to elucidate this discrepancy, parallel incubations for HMT-activity determinations were run using both the iso-amyl alcohol/toluene [17] and the butanol [22] extraction procedure, since this methodological difference seemed to contribute mainly to the observed contradictory results.

The blank values gained in the two procedures could explain a great part of the observed differences: Those obtained for the butanol extraction procedure were 6.4 times higher than those of the iso-amyl alcohol/toluene procedure (Table 3). Moreover in the butanol procedure blank values did not rise steadily with longer incubation time, but were distributed randomly, so that negative c.p.m.-readings could arise (Table 3). Thus, using histamine as methyl-group acceptor substrate, the signal-blank ratio was 2.79–10.08 for the iso-amyl alcohol/toluene procedure, but only 0.15–0.73 for the butanol extraction procedure, whereas ratio-values for the incubations with N',N'-DMH were 0.03 to 0.29 and -0.03 to +0.02. Table 3 shows one out of four identical experiments. Using the iso-amyl alcohol/toluene procedure, however, only in one experiment a mean methylation rate of 1.6 per cent could be observed, whereas in 3 experiments radioactivity extracted from incubations with intact enzyme was slightly smaller than that extracted from blank incubations. These latter values are completely insufficient to detect any methylation of N',N'-DMH at all.

Table 4. Rf-values of histamine and methylated histamines using four different solvent systems for development of t.l.c.-chromatograms*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Rf-values using solvent system</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histamine</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N'-MH</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N',N'-DMH</td>
<td>(authentic)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N',N'-DMH</td>
<td>(enzymatically formed)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Adenosyl-l-methionine</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Plates: glass coated with silica gel 60; Solvent systems: I = chloroform/methanol/ammonia (d = 0.91) (60 + 35 + 5); II = chloroform/methanol (80 + 20); ammonia atmosphere; III = methanol (100); ammonia atmosphere; IV = 8% aqueous sodium chloride (100). For the preparation of standard solutions see Methods. Twelve micro-litres of these corresponded to 2 μg of histamine and N'- MH as well as to 10 μg of N',N'-DMH, N',N'-DMH, N',N'-DMH and N',N'-TMH. Staining: 0.5% fast blue salt B; 0.1% ninhydrin aerosol or iodine. Enzymatically formed methylation products were also localized under a t.l.c.-scanning device. For further details see Materials and Methods.
Methylation of imidazole compounds

T.l.c.-identification of the methylation products of \( N^+\)-MH and \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH formed under the catalysis of HMT. In the four different solvent systems it could be shown that the reaction products isolated from the corresponding pool of 20 incubation mixtures (cf. Methods) containing \( N^+\)-MII or \( N^+,N^+\)-DMII as substrate had the same \( R_f \)-values as authentic \( N^+\), \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH and \( N^+,N^+,N^+\)-TMH (Table 4). In all systems, the \( R_f \)-values of the methylation products (\( N^+\)-MH, \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH, \( N^+,N^+,N^+\)-TMH) were clearly greater (unpolar solvent systems I-III) or smaller (polar solvent system IV) than those of the corresponding substrates. Solvent system IV can also be used with unpurified histamine containing enzyme preparations, since beyond the clear separation of \( N^+\)-MH, \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH, \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH and \( N^+,N^+,N^+\)-TMH, also histamine and the reaction product \( N^+\)-MH could be separated from each other and from all other methylated histamines listed in Table 4. The \( R_f \)-values for \( S \)-adenosyl-\( L \)-methionine were given for comparison.

Thus with a very high probability the reaction products of \( N^+\)-MH and \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH were \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH and \( N^+,N^+,N^+\)-TMH.

**DISCUSSION**

**Detection of further methyl group accepting substrates of HMT.** HMT was reported to be an enzyme with extremely high substrate specificity. This was shown for the enzymes from guinea-pig brain [1, 3], feline gut [3] and from livers of pigs [2, 22], as well as from animals of various phylogenetic stages of development [22], but never for HMT from any gastric mucosa preparation. Substances like histidine and structurally related imidazole amino acids, substrates of other methyltransferases, substituted imidazoles and other \( 2 \)-aminoethyl substituted heterocycles were tested as potential methyl-group accepting substrates for HMT, but never substances being structurally (and thus in many cases pharmacologically) closely related to histamine itself.

Especially suitable in this respect was the investigation of methylated histamines which differ from histamine only by a small non-polar substituent as well as of 4-substituted imidazole compounds whose side chains had a more or less similar polarity as the \( 2 \)-aminoethyl chain of histamine.

Actually it could be shown in the work that under incubation conditions which were optimum for the methylation of histamine beside this main substrate and \( N^+\)-MH [4] also \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH, spinaecainade and I could be methyl-group accepting substrates.

Out of the substances tested in this work only \( N^+\)-methylimidazole acetic acid (enzyme source: guinea pig brain [1]) as well as \( N^+\)-MH, \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH and 4-hydroxyethylimidazole (enzyme source: pig liver [22]) (all in 0.1 mM concentration) had been investigated before. In agreement with these reports we found no methylation of \( N^+\)-MH and \( N^+\)-methylimidazole acetic acid. Gustafsson and Forshell [22], however, observed a 9 per cent methylation of 4-hydroxyethylimidazole and even one of 31 per cent of \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH, whereas no methylation of 4-hydroxyethylimidazole and only a small one of about 3 per cent of \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH was seen in this investigation. These discrepancies could be founded on differences in incubation conditions (0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) (see Methods) vs 0.5 M Tris buffer (pH 8.1)), solvent extraction procedures for the reaction products [iso-amyl alcohol/toluene vs n-butanol (see Methods)] and enzyme sources (pig fundus mucosa vs pig liver). Tris buffer in 0.5 M concentration is a very strong inhibitor of HMT (Barth et al., unpublished), whereas phosphate buffer does not influence HMT-activity. The reported butanol extraction procedure was inappropriate (cf. Results and Table 3) and the different enzyme source should not be the reason since HMT from various sources showed comparable \( K_m \)-values and was inhibited by various compounds to the same extent [4, 17]. High blank values and a very small signal/blank-ratio (see Results) seem to be the most likely causes for the far too high methylation rate of \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH published by Gustafsson and Forshell [22]. Thus it seems very unlikely that \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH was methylated to a rate that was 33 per cent of that of histamine. Also from theoretical reflections such a high methylation rate (higher than that of \( N^+,N^+\)-MH!) seems unlikely, since (1) the less pronounced electron-withdrawing effect of \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH reduces the population of the imidazole-\( N^+\)-tautomer and (2) the bulky residue of two methyl groups at the side chain \( N^+ \)-nitrogen represents steric hindrance (see below).

Since \( N^+\)-MH and \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH could serve as methyl group acceptor substrates of HMT and since these substrates occur in nature [8–11, 13, 14, 31–35], it seemed interesting to identify the corresponding methylation products. By t.l.c. these products could be shown unambiguously to be \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH and \( N^+,N^+,N^+\)-TMH. This is the first report of a pathway by which \( N^+\)-MH and \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH could be metabolized. The products have not yet been isolated from any biological material, but the synthesis of \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH and \( N^+,N^+,N^+\)-TMH [25] performed especially for this work should make possible the microanalytical identification of these substances.

The theory of Code [36] that a gastric stimulant is in a 'preferred position' as long as it is not metabolized within the gastric mucosa was invalid for histamine [23] and is now invalid for \( N^+\)-MH and \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH, both substances postulated by the same author [10, 11] to be the final secretagogues. The fact, however, that the gastric secretagogues histamine, \( N^+\)-MH and \( N^+,N^+\)-DMH are all metabolized to pharmacologically inactive products [25] by the action of HMT emphasizes this enzyme’s physiological importance in gastric secretion.

**Structural characteristics of methyl group accepting substrates of HMT.** Histamine is still the best substrate of HMT, but also methylated histamines, I and its methylated analogues, could serve as methyl-group acceptors. A methyl group is tolerated at the side-chain \( N^+\)-atom and to a smaller extent at the ring 5-position; it is accommodated less well at the ring 2-position. Substrates which were formally derived from histamine, methylated histamines or I by additional methylation were worse substrates than their parent compounds. This was valid both for a methylation of the \( N^+ \)-nitrogen atom of the
side chain (histamine > N"-MH > N",N"-DMH; 5-MH > 5,N"-DMH) and for the 5 position of the imidazole ring system (histamine > 5-MH: N"-MH > 5,N"-DMH; I > II). An additional methyl-group in the side chain (in III), an ethyl-group (in S-ethylhistamine) or any substitution in the 1-, 2- or 3-position of the imidazole nucleus (in N", N'-I, N'-2, or IV) vigorously reduced the methylation rate at the N"-position of the imidazole nucleus. Like for H-agonists and H-antagonists as well as for activators of HMT [17] also for presumed methyl-group acceptor substrates the N-1, C-2 and N-3 position of the imidazole nucleus must be unsubstituted.

Furthermore, bulky residues like in N"-guanilyhistamine and its homologue as well as in the H-receptor antagonists abolished any methylation of the imidazole nucleus.

Besides the necessity of an imidazole nucleus (dimaprit was no substrate for HMT, despite the fact that the isotheiocourea group simulates the functional nature of the imidazole ring of histamine [37]) the second important moiety was a basic centre within the side chain, since imidazole compounds with unpolar or negatively charged side chains could not serve as substrates. Also delocalization of the positive charge as in N"-guanilyhistamine abolishes substrate properties.

Thus in the presence of S-adenosyl-l-methionine HMT-catalysed methylation of unknown molecules must be considered, if there are imidazole compounds which have no N", 2- or N"-but a 4-substituent with a strongly basic side chain similar to that of histamine. Substitution in the ring 5-position seems only to play a role in steric access to the active centre.

Activation of histamine methylation by other methyl-group accepting substrates. The previously described activation of HMT by N",MII [4] and I [19] have to be refocused since these substances were good substrates of HMT (Table 1 and 2) and the activation was determined by the amount of radioactivity extracted into the organic solvent mixture without analysing the radioactive products. Thus the increase of radioactivity was the sum of increased N"-MH formation and radioactive methylation product of the HMT-activating substance.

Thus the reported 22 per cent activation of HMT by 0.1 mM N",MII [4] consists of 12 per cent methylation of N",MH (Table 1) and only the difference of 10 per cent can be ascribed to an activation of HMT.

(1 mM) seemed to ‘activate’ HMT by 17 per cent [19]. Actually this increase in extracted radioactivity can fully be ascribed to the methylation of this compound (Table 2), whereas in 0.1 mM and 10 μM concentration only 41 per cent resp. 33 per cent of the observed activation of 27 per cent resp. 9 per cent were caused by this direct methylation of the activator.

All other substances which were good activators of HMT [4, 17–20] could not serve as methyl-group acceptors to any relevant extent. Thus, e.g. the clinically important histamine-H1-receptor antagonists metiamide and cimetidine exert their action on histamine catabolism only by a strong activation of HMT [17, 19]. On the other hand there did not exist any methyl-group accepting substrate of HMT up till now, which did not activate HMT-catalysed methylation of histamine, what indicates a great similarity of the active and the postulated allosteric centre [18] of HMT.

Significance of a reduced methyl-group acceptor substrate specificity of HMT for the radiometric and the fluorometric histamine determination. HMT is an enzyme with a high, but not absolute acceptor substrate specificity for histamine. Because of this property, the methylation reaction of histamine is used for its radiometric determination in tissues and body fluids (for a survey see ref. 6) and for testing the specificity of the fluorometric histamine assay [7]. Since N",MII, N",N"-DMII, and spinacine are further substrates of HMT and occur in nature [8–11, 13, 14, 31–35], the determination of histamine by the isotope test can only be called specific, if the absence of these interfering substances was shown.

The fluorometric determination of histamine does, however, not raise this difficulty, since only the testing of specificity is concerned, whereas N",MII, N",N"-DMII and spinacine do not form fluorescing complexes with ortho-phthalaldehyde [7]. Therefore any interference with the test system can better be avoided by using the fluorometric method, whereas for the application of the radiometric assay caution seems necessary.
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