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We demonstrate that the combined effect of a spatially periodic potential, lateral confinement and spin-orbit interaction gives rise to a quantum ratchet mechanism for spin-polarized currents in two-dimensional coherent conductors. Upon adiabatic ac-driving, in the absence of a net static bias, the system generates a directed spin current while the total charge current is zero. We analyze the underlying mechanism by employing symmetry properties of the scattering matrix and numerically verify the effect for different setups of ballistic conductors. The spin current direction can be changed upon tuning the Fermi energy or the strength of the Rashba spin-orbit coupling.

PACS numbers: 05.60.Gg, 73.23.-b, 72.25.-b

I. INTRODUCTION

Charge transport is usually studied by considering current in response to an externally applied bias. However, there has been growing interest throughout the last decade in mechanisms enabling directed particle motion in nanosystems without applying a net dc-bias. In this respect, ratchets, periodic structures with broken spatial symmetry, e.g. saw tooth-type potentials, represent a prominent class. Ratchets in the original sense are devices operating far from equilibrium by converting thermal fluctuations into directed particle transport in the presence of unbiased time-periodic driving. First discovered in the context of (overdamped) classical Brownian motion, the concept of dissipative ratchets was later generalized to the quantum realm. More recently, coherent ratchets and rectifiers have gained increasing attention. They are characterized by coherent quantum dynamics in the central periodic system in between leads where dissipation takes place. Proposals comprise molecular wires and cold atoms in optical lattices, besides Hamiltonian ratchets. Experimentally, ratchet-induced charge flow in the coherent regime was first observed in a chain of triangular-shaped lateral quantum dots and later in lateral superlattices.

Here we propose a different class of ratchet devices, namely spin ratchets which act as sources for spin currents with simultaneously vanishing charge, respectively particle currents. To be definite we consider coherent transport through ballistic mesoscopic conductors in the presence of spin-orbit (SO) interaction. Contrary to particle ratchets, which rely on asymmetries in either the spatially periodic modulation or the time-periodic driving, a SO-based ratchet works even for symmetric periodic potentials. As possible realizations we have in mind semiconductor heterostructures with Rashba SO interaction that can be tuned in strength by an external gate voltage allowing to control the spin evolution.

Among other features it is this property which is triggering recent broad interest in semiconductor-based spin electronics. Also since direct spin injection from a ferromagnet into a semiconductor remains problematic, alternatively, several suggestions have been made for generating spin-polarized charge carriers without using magnets. In this respect, spin pumping appears promising, i.e. the generation of spin-polarized currents at zero bias via cyclic variation of at least two parameters. Different theoretical proposals based on SO and Zeeman mediated spin pumping in non-magnetic semiconductors have been put forward and, in the latter case, experimentally observed in mesoscopic cavities.

While pumps and ratchets share the appealing property of generating directed flow without net bias, ratchet transport requires only a single driving parameter, the periodic ratchet potential has a strong collective effect on the spin current and gives rise to distinct features such as spin current reversals upon parameter changes.

II. MODEL AND SYMMETRY CONSIDERATIONS

We consider a two-dimensional coherent ballistic conductor in the plane \((x, z)\) connected to two nonmagnetic
Here, the prefactor $G$ restricted to the value 

$G = \frac{\hbar}{2m^*}(\hat{\sigma}_z \hat{p}_z - \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{p}_x) + U(x, z). \quad (1)$

Here $m^*$ is the effective electron mass, $U(x, z)$ includes the ratchet potential in $x$- and a lateral transverse confinement in $z$-direction, and $\hat{\sigma}_i$ denote Pauli spin matrices. The effect of the SO coupling with strength $\kappa_{SO}$ is twofold: it is leading to spin precession and it is coupling transversal modes in the confining potential.

In view of a ratchet setup we consider an additional time-periodic driving term $H_V(t)$ due to an external bias potential $V(t)$ with zero net bias (rocking ratchet). We study adiabatic driving (such that the system can adjust to the instantaneous equilibrium state), assuming that the driving period $T_0$ is large compared to the relevant time scales for transmission. This is the case in related experiments. The entire Hamiltonian then reads

$$H = H_c + H_V(t); \quad H_V(t) = V(t)g(x, z; V), \quad (2)$$

where $g(x, z; V)$ describes the spatial distribution of the voltage drop and should in principle be obtained self-consistently from the particle density.

We model spin-dependent transport within a scattering approach assuming that inelastic processes take place only in the reservoirs. Then the probability amplitude for an electron to pass through the conductor is given by the scattering matrix $S_{n,\sigma;n',\sigma'}(E, V)$, where $n', n$ denote transverse modes and $\sigma', \sigma = \pm 1$ the spin directions in the incoming and outgoing lead, respectively. Making use of the unitarity of the scattering matrix, $SS^\dagger = S^\dagger S = 1$, and summing over all open channels in the left (L) and right (R) lead, respectively, we find the relations

$$\sum_{n,\sigma \in L, n',\sigma' \in R,L} |S_{n,\sigma;n',\sigma'}|^2 = \sum_{n,\sigma \in R, n',\sigma' \in R,L} |S_{n,\sigma;n',\sigma'}|^2 = 1. \quad (3)$$

For the further analysis, we consider an unbiased square wave driving $V(t) = V_0 \text{sign} [\sin(2\pi t/T_0)]$, restricted to the values $\pm V_0$ ($V_0 > 0$): generalizations to, e.g., harmonic driving are straightforward. The ratchet current is then given by the average of the steady-state currents in the two opposite rocking situations, $\langle I(V_0) \rangle = [I(+V_0) + I(-V_0)]/2$, which we compute within the Landauer formalism relating conductance to transmission.

Contrary to charge current, spin current is usually not conserved. Thus it is crucial to fix the measuring point, which we choose to be inside the right lead. Then, in view of Eq. (4), the ratchet charge $\langle I_C \rangle$ and spin $\langle I_S \rangle$ currents can be expressed as

$$\langle I_{C/S}(V_0) \rangle = G_{C/S} \int_{E_C}^{\infty} dE \Delta f(E, V_0) \Delta T_{C/S}(E, V_0). \quad (4)$$

Here, the prefactor $G_{C/S}$ is equal to $e/2\hbar$ for the charge current and $1/8\pi$ for the spin current. $E_C$ denotes the energy of the conduction band edge, $\Delta f(E, V_0) = [f(E, E_F + V_0/2) - f(E, E_F - V_0/2)]$ is the difference between the Fermi functions in the leads, and

$$\Delta T_{C/S}(E, V_0) = T_{C/S}(E, +V_0) - T_{C/S}(E, -V_0). \quad (5)$$

With $T_{\sigma,\sigma'} = \sum_{n \in R, n' \in L} |S_{n,\sigma;n',\sigma'}|^2$, the transmission probabilities for charge and spin in (6) are defined as

$$T_C(E, V) = \sum_{\sigma' = \pm 1 \in L} T_{\sigma,\sigma'}(E, V), \quad (6)$$

$$T_S(E, V) = \sum_{\sigma' = \pm 1 \in L} [T_{+,\sigma'}(E, V) - T_{-\sigma'}(E, V)]. \quad (7)$$

The latter is given by the difference between the transmission of spin-up and spin-down electrons upon exit, with the spin measured with respect to the z-axis.

Equation (5) indicates that $\Delta T_{C/S}(E, V_0)$, and thereby the average conductance, vanishes in the linear response limit $V_0 \to 0$. In the following we consider the nonlinear regime and devise a minimum model for a spin ratchet mechanism by assuming identical leads and a spatially symmetric potential $U(x, z)$ in Eq. (1). The total Hamiltonian \( H = H_c + H_V(t) \) is then invariant under the symmetry operation $\mathcal{P} = C R_x R_y \hat{\sigma}_z$, where $C$ is the operator of complex conjugation, $R_x$ inverses the x-coordinate and $R_y$ changes the sign of the applied voltage ($\pm V \leftrightarrow -V$). The action of $\mathcal{P}$ on the scattering states is to switch between the two rocking situations and to exchange the leads, i.e., a mode index $n$ is replaced by its corresponding mode $n'$. Moreover, incoming (outgoing) states are
transformed into outgoing (incoming) states with complex conjugated amplitude. It is then straightforward to show that

\[ S_{n,\sigma;n',\sigma'}(E, \pm V_0) = \sigma \sigma' S_{n',\sigma';\sigma}(E, \pm V_0), \tag{8} \]

leading to a vanishing charge current \( \langle I_C(V_0) \rangle \) and a simplified expression for the ratchet spin transmission \( \Delta T_S \):

\[ \Delta T_S(E, V_0) = 2 \left[ T_{+, -}(E, +V_0) - T_{-, +}(E, +V_0) \right]. \tag{9} \]

### III. RATCHET MECHANISM: NUMERICAL RESULTS

We illustrate the prediction for a ratchet spin current (Eq. (4) with (9)) by performing numerical calculations for the Hamiltonian (12). The amplitudes \( S_{n,\sigma;n',\sigma'}(E, V) \) are obtained by projecting the Green function of the open ratchet system onto an appropriate set of asymptotic spinors defining incoming and outgoing channels. For the efficient calculation of the \( S \)-matrix elements a real-space discretization of the Schrödinger equation combined with a recursive algorithm for the Green functions was implemented for spin-dependent transport (21).

As a model for a spin ratchet we consider a ballistic two-dimensional quantum wire of width \( W \) with Rashba SO strength \( k_{SO} \) and a one-dimensional periodic modulation (period \( L \)) composed of a set of \( N \) symmetric potential barriers \( U(x) = U_0 [1 - \cos(2\pi x/L)] \). We assume a linear voltage drop across the system, \( g(x,z) = 1/2 - x/(NL) \) in Eq. (2). To simplify the assessment of the rich parameter space \( (E_F, U(x), V, k_{SO}, N) \) of the problem (\( L \) can be scaled out and \( W \) is fixed to \( 1.5L \)) and to analyze the mechanisms for spin currents, we first consider a strip with \( N = 5 \) potential barriers (see inset in Fig. 1) and few open transverse modes. Figure 1 shows the numerically obtained spin transmission probabilities \( T_S(E, V) \), Eq. (7), for \( k_{SO}L = 1.5 \) in the two rocking situations \( \pm V_0 \) (dashed and dotted line, respectively). The solid line represents the resulting ratchet spin transmission \( \Delta T_S \), Eq. (5). For comparison, the dashed-dotted curve shows \( T_C(+V_0) = T_C(-V_0) \), Eq. (6), and the staircase function the successive opening of transverse modes \( n = 1, 2, 3 \) in the overall transmission of the conductor without potential barriers and SO interaction.

At energies below \( U_0 \) and within the first conducting transverse mode the spin transmissions \( T_S(\pm V_0) \) are zero, while the total transmission \( T_C(\pm V_0) \) is suppressed up to a sequence of four peaks representing resonant tunneling through states which can be viewed as precursors of the lowest Bloch band in the limit of an infinite periodic potential. When the second mode is opened spin polarization is possible (see model below) and takes different values in the two rocking situations leading to a finite ratchet spin transmission. Two transmission peak sequences, related to the lowest one, reappear at higher energies (around \( E = 24 \) and 45), both for \( T_C(\pm V_0) \) and for \( T_S(\pm V_0) \), owing to corresponding resonant Bloch states involving the second and third transverse mode. The enhanced ratchet spin transmission at the opening of the third mode (at \( E = 38 \)) can be associated to a 'classical' rectification effect resulting from a different number of open modes in one lead in the two rocking situations.

Figure 2 demonstrates moreover that the associated spin current changes sign several times upon variation of the energy, opening up the experimental possibility to control the spin current direction through the carrier density via an external gate. This energy dependence

FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) Ratchet spin transmission as a function of the number of barriers \( N \) for \( k_{SO}L = 1.5, U_0 = 22, V_0 = 2, \) and energies \( E = (kL)^2 = 24 \) (black symbols, lower line), 33 (red, middle line) and 35.5 (green, upper green). (b) Ratchet spin conductance \( \langle I_S(eV_0) \rangle \) at zero temperature in units of \( eG_0 \) as a function of applied voltage \( V_0 \) for \( N = 20, k_{SO}L = 1.5, U_0 = 22 \) and \( E = 24 \) (black solid line), 33 (red dashed line) and 35.5 (green dash-dotted line).

FIG. 3: (Color online) Ratchet spin transmission as a function of energy \( E = (kL)^2 \) and SO interaction \( k_{SO}L \) for \( N = 20, V_0 = 2 \) and \( U_0 = 22 \). The dashed lines are a guide to the eye for the shift of the first Bloch band.
of the spin current implies also current inversion as a
function of temperature\(^{21}\). Such behavior is considered
as typical for quantum (particle) ratchets\(^{4,8}\).

In Fig. 2(a) we present the ratchet spin transmission
\(\Delta T_S\) as a function of the barrier number \(N\). Obviously,
\(\Delta T_S\) approaches different asymptotic values depending
on the Fermi energy: For energies in resonance with the
first Bloch band (lowest trace), \(\Delta T_S\) exhibits a long-
and a short-scale frequency oscillation owing to commensurability
between the spin precession length \(L_{SO} = \pi/k_{SO}\)
and the geometry of the periodic system. For off-resonant
injection energies two characteristic, distinct behaviors
are shown: a large-scale oscillation (upper curve) and
a nearly constant behavior (middle trace), respectively.
It is remarkable that in all cases the periodic structure
enhances considerably the absolute value of \(\Delta T_S\).

In Fig. 2(b) we show the ratchet spin conductance,
\langle I_S \rangle(e/V_0), as a function of the applied driving voltage for
a system with 20 barriers. For energies within the
first Bloch band (solid line), the ratchet spin conductance
exhibits a non-monotonic behavior. For the off-resonant
cases (dashed and dashed-dotted line) it is monotonically
increasing in the voltage window considered.

In Fig. 3 we present the ratchet spin transmission as a
function of injection energy \(E\) and Rashba SO interaction
\(k_{SO}\). We find a rich structure in the explored parameter
space, where both large positive and negative values of
the ratchet spin transmission can be observed. In the
whole energy range peaks due to resonant tunneling are
visible, which are shifted to lower energies for increasing
SO coupling (\(e.g., \) region between dashed lines). Further-
more, we observe discontinuities in the spin transmission
at energies where an additional transversal mode in one
of the leads opens up (marked by arrows).

For InAs quantum wells \(L_{SO} \) is of the order of 0.2 \(\mu m\)^{25},
in InGaAs it has been tuned from 0.7 to 1.6 \(\mu m\)^{24}
and in GaAs from 2.3 to 5.6 \(\mu m\)^{25}, the range of SO coupling
\(k_{SO} L = \pi L/L_{SO}\) given in Fig. 3 can be achieved in experi-
ments for period \(L\) on scales of \(\mu m\). Spin-polarized
currents as predicted here observed with experi-
mental detection schemes, reported, \(e.g., \) in Ref. 17.

IV. RATCHET MECHANISM: SIMPLIFIED
MODEL

Finally we present a simplified model providing ad-
tional insight into the underlying mechanism for the
occurrence of a finite ratchet spin current. We con-
sider a wire with two open transverse modes \(n = 1, 2\)
and a smooth symmetric potential barrier \(U(x)\) in the
two rocking situations, see Fig. 4. Upon adiabatically
traversing the barrier from A via B to C, the spin-orbit
split energy spectrum \(E_n(k_x)\) for electrons is shifted up
and down. For fixed Fermi energy \(E_F\), the initial shift
causa a depopulation of the upper levels \(n=2\) and a
spin-dependent repopulation while moving from B to C.
When \(E_F\) is traversing an anti-crossing between succes-
sive modes (see the region indicated by the dashed win-
dow in Fig. 1), there is a certain probability \(P\) for the
electrons to change their spin state. This causes an asym-
metry between spin-up and -down states for the repop-
ulated levels^{20}. The related transition probability can
be computed in a Landau-Zener picture and reads, for a
transverse parabolic confinement of frequency \(\omega_0\),

\[
P(\pm V_0) = 1 - \exp \left\{ \frac{-\pi k_{SO} \omega_0 \Sigma_z}{(\partial/\partial x)(U(x, z) \pm V_0 g(x, z))} \right\}.
\]

Here \(\Sigma_z\) denotes the difference in the polarizations of
the two modes involved. The spin transmission is propor-
tional to \(P(V)\) and thus different in the two rocking
situations. Hence, the ratchet spin current \(\langle I_S(V_0)\rangle\)
is nonzero, even in the case of a symmetric barrier.
Expanding Eq. (10) for small \(V_0\) allows to qualitatively un-
derstand the linear dependence of the ratchet spin con-
ductance for small \(V_0\) in Fig. 2. However, a quantitative
explanation of the spin ratchet effect for a periodic, non-
necessarily adiabatic potential is beyond this model.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The overall analysis indicates that the ratchet setup,
carrying features of a spin rectifier, differs from the pro-
sposal\(^{14,15,17}\) for spin pumps, since it operates with a
single driving parameter, invokes quantum tunneling ef-
effects, and the spin transmission is governed by the spatial
periodicity of the underlying potential. Further calcula-
tions\(^{21}\) for combined Rashba- and Dresselhaus\(^{26}\) SO coupl-
ing do not alter the overall picture but show that the
spin current direction can be changed upon tuning the
relative strength of the two coupling mechanisms.

To summarize, we showed that ratchets built from
mesoscopic conductors with SO interaction generate
spin currents in an experimentally accessible parame-
ter regime. Many further interesting questions open up within this new concept, including the exploration of spin ratchet effects for non-adiabatic driving and for dissipative and non-equilibrium particle and spin dynamics.
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