Radiation-enhanced self- and boron diffusion in germanium
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We report experiments on proton radiation-enhanced self- and boron (B) diffusion in germanium (Ge) for temperatures between 515 °C and 720 °C. Modeling of the experimental diffusion profiles measured by means of secondary ion mass spectrometry is achieved on the basis of the Frenkel pair reaction and the interstitialcy and dissociative diffusion mechanisms. The numerical simulations ascertain concentrations of Ge interstitials and B-interstitial pairs that deviate by several orders of magnitude from their thermal equilibrium values. The dominance of self-interstitial related defects under irradiation leads to an enhanced self- and B diffusion in Ge. Analysis of the experimental profiles yields data for the diffusion of self-interstitials (I) and the thermal equilibrium concentration of B/I pairs in Ge. The temperature dependence of these quantities provides the migration enthalpy of I and formation enthalpy of B/I that are compared with recent results of atomistic calculations. The behavior of self- and B diffusion in Ge under concurrent annealing and irradiation is strongly affected by the property of the Ge surface to hinder the annihilation of self-interstitials. The limited annihilation efficiency of the Ge surface can be caused by donor-type surface states favored under vacuum annealing, but the physical origin remains unsolved.
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I. INTRODUCTION

During the last decade, germanium (Ge) has received renewed attention as semiconductor material for integrated circuits. This is due to the advantageous electron and hole mobilities that are higher than those of silicon (Si). Full integration of Ge in electronic devices requires a comprehensive understanding of the processes that affect the doping and diffusion at the atomic scale.

Fundamental research on diffusion in silicon performed over the past decades has revealed the significance of both vacancies (V) and self-interstitials (I) in self- and dopant diffusion (see, e.g., Ref. 5 and references therein). In the case of Ge, fundamental studies on diffusion clearly reveal the dominance of V in self- and dopant diffusion under thermal equilibrium conditions. This, in particular, holds for the diffusion of n-type (phosphorus, arsenic, and antimony) and p-type (aluminium, gallium, indium) dopants. No evidence of I has been found in conventional self-diffusion experiments. This is consistent with theoretical predictions that reveal a formation enthalpy of I being 1–2 eV higher than for V. However, recent experiments on self- and dopant diffusion in Ge under proton irradiation indicate the dominance of I rather than of V. This observation is of scientific and technologic significance as it provides not only information about the interaction of dopant atoms with I, but also insight into the property of the Ge surface that supports an I supersaturation and V thermal equilibrium under irradiation. The impact of the Ge surface is highly relevant as it will offer strategies to control diffusion in and doping of Ge.

This work presents a detailed description of self- and boron (B) diffusion in Ge under in situ proton irradiation. It is evidenced that I’s dominate self- and dopant diffusion under irradiation, whereas the vacancy concentration stays close to thermal equilibrium. Properties deduced for I and B/I pairs on the basis of a common set of diffusion reaction equations and model parameters support recent theoretical calculations for the migration energy of I (Ref. 30) and formation energy of B/I pairs.

II. EXPERIMENT

For our experiments of Ge self-diffusion under proton irradiation, we used three different structures Nos. 1 to 3 with alternating isotopically enriched Ge (96% enrichment) and natural Ge layers grown by means of molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on (100)-oriented single-crystalline Ge wafers (≈30 Ω cm). Structure No. 1 consists of five alternating bilayers of Ge(100 nm)/natGe(100 nm) with a top 300-nm-
more details on the Ge-isotope and B-doped multilayer structures. This multilayer structure grown by MBE. This structure served for studying self-diffusion by means of MBE and used in this work for studying self-diffusion and 3 were shifted by a factor of $10^{-2}$ in Ge under proton irradiation. For clarity, the profiles of Nos. 2 and 3 were performed with MBE grown B-doped Ge samples that anneals at higher temperatures as the thinner structure would be suited to study the self-diffusion as a function of distance from the surface. The thicker isotope structures serve for diffusion anneals at higher temperatures as the thinner structure would be already homogeneously broadened by conventional furnace annealing at such temperatures.

Experiments on the diffusion of B under proton irradiation were performed with MBE grown B-doped Ge samples that consist of six 25-nm-thick B-doped Ge layers separated by 100-nm undoped natural Ge. A SIMS analysis of the B-doped multilayer structure No. 4 is illustrated in Fig. 1(b).

Samples with lateral dimensions of $4 \times 4$ mm$^2$ were cut from the as-grown Ge wafers, thinned to a thickness of $30(\pm 5)$ μm, and polished with NaIc 2360 (Bucher AG, Switzerland) or Köstrosol 3550 (Chemiewerk Bad Köstritz, Germany) to obtain a scratch-free and specular surface on the back. The samples were mounted on a graphite holder and fixed via a graphite plate with a circular aperture of 3 mm in diameter. In this way, the outer part of the Ge sample was covered with graphite and not exposed to the proton beam. The graphite holder was placed on a boron nitride heating plate that enables heating of the Ge sample during irradiation. The temperature was controlled with a thermocouple mounted 1 mm below the sample in the graphite holder. The whole sample holder was attached to a high-vacuum chamber. Protons of 2.5 MeV were supplied via a beam line from a dynamtron accelerator of the RUBION Bochum. The beam was defocused and swept to achieve a homogeneously irradiated circular area with a diameter of about 1 cm. An electron suppression, which consists of a negatively biased (600-V) screen, ensures that the measurement of the proton current is not hampered by secondary electrons. Proton irradiations were performed for temperatures between 515 °C and 720 °C at proton fluxes varying between 0.8 and 2.5 μA. The high energy of the protons assures that the protons penetrate through the entire Ge sample as ascertained by simulations of the “stopping and range of ions in matter” (SRIM). After annealing under proton irradiation, the concentration profiles of $^{74}$Ge and B were measured with TOF-SIMS. The depth of the SIMS craters was determined using an optical profilometer. Cross-section transmission electron microscopy was performed to check the crystalline quality of samples before and after annealing.

### III. RESULTS

Figures 2(a)–2(c) show concentration profiles of $^{74}$Ge obtained after concurrent diffusion annealing and proton irradiation of samples 1 to 3, respectively. The short-dashed profile in Fig. 2(c) illustrates the $^{74}$Ge distribution of the as-grown isotope structure No. 3. The long dashed profile represents self-diffusion under thermal equilibrium beneath the covered, not proton-exposed, part of sample No. 3. A radiation-enhanced diffusion is clearly evident. The self-diffusion profiles obtained for the covered part of the sample served as a calibration of the temperature established during irradiation. Beforehand, we checked the quality of the Ge isotope structures by thermal anneals without proton exposure and verified the literature data on Ge self-diffusion.

In contrast to self-diffusion of silicon under irradiation, the $^{74}$Ge self-diffusion profiles do not reveal an increasing self-diffusion with increasing penetration depth. This holds for both the thick (Nos. 1 and 2) and thin (No. 3) isotope structures. Usually, a depth dependence of self-diffusion under irradiation is expected because the surface of a material is believed to be an efficient sink for native defects. Hence, the native defect concentration established under irradiation should decrease near the surface and with it the self-diffusion. The absence of any significant gradient in self-diffusion with depth indicates a limited probability of defect annihilation at the Ge surface. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) investigations reveal the single-crystalline quality of the Ge sample after irradiation, i.e., no extended defects were found.
referred to the right axis. The distributions of both (a)–(c) show the 74Ge profiles of the respective as-grown isotope concentrations in high supersaturation. The short-dashed profiles in and concurrent irradiation with 2.5-MeV protons at a flux of 1.5 V homogeneous with after concurrent annealing and proton irradiation at 587 °C with a proton flux of 1.0 μA. Solid lines in (a)–(c) represent best fits to the experimental 74Ge profiles obtained on the basis of the sample 1 measured with SIMS after annealing at 682 °C for 1800 s and concurrent irradiation with 2.5-MeV protons at a flux of 1.5 μA. (b) SIMS 74Ge concentration profile (+) of sample 2 obtained after annealing at 660 °C for 900 s and concurrent irradiation with protons at a flux of 1.3 μA. (c) 74Ge concentration profile (+) of sample 3 after concurrent annealing and proton irradiation at 587 °C for 5400 s with a proton flux of 1.0 μA. Solid lines in (a)–(c) represent best fits to the experimental 74Ge profiles obtained on the basis of the model proposed in Sec. IV A for Ge self-diffusion under irradiation. The calculated normalized concentrations \( CV/C_{V,I} \) of \( V \) and \( I \) are referred to the right axis. The distributions of both \( V \) and \( I \) are homogeneous with \( V \) concentrations in thermal equilibrium and \( I \) concentrations in high supersaturation. The short-dashed profiles in (a)–(c) show the 74Ge profiles of the respective as-grown isotope structures. The long-dashed line in (c) represents the Ge profile beneath the covered part of the Ge sample that is not affected by proton irradiation during annealing.

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Concentration profile of 74Ge (+) of sample 1 measured with SIMS after annealing at 682 °C for 1800 s and concurrent irradiation with 2.5-MeV protons at a flux of 1.5 μA. (b) SIMS 74Ge concentration profile (+) of sample 2 obtained after annealing at 660 °C for 900 s and concurrent irradiation with protons at a flux of 1.3 μA. (c) 74Ge concentration profile (+) of sample 3 after concurrent annealing and proton irradiation at 587 °C for 5400 s with a proton flux of 1.0 μA. Solid lines in Figs. 2(a)–2(c) represent numerical simulations of self-diffusion under irradiation. The simulations consider the formation of Frenkel pairs due to irradiation, mutual annihilation of \( V \) and \( I \) in the bulk, and a limited annihilation efficiency at the surface. The accurate modeling of the experimental profiles supports the considered diffusion model, which is described together with its mathematical formulation in Sec. IV A.

B profiles measured after concurrent annealing and irradiation of sample No. 4 also reveal a homogeneous broadening as demonstrated by the profiles shown in Fig. 3. The homogeneous broadening is in compliance with the behavior of self-diffusion under irradiation. Moreover, the B profiles reveal an atypical diffusional broadening, i.e., a stronger broadening at low than at high temperatures and characteristic B spikes at the position of the original B-doped Ge layers that become apparent after diffusion at 570 °C for 3 h (see upper profile in Fig. 3). These B spikes are attributed to immobile B clusters that presumably are B-I clusters (BICs). However, the nature of the clusters still remains unsolved since we were not able to find any clusters by means of high-resolution TEM (HRTEM).

In the following, the diffusion of self- and B atoms in Ge under concurrent annealing and irradiation is described on the basis of appropriate atomic diffusion and defect reaction mechanisms. It is evidenced that the properties of Ge interstitials determine the characteristic diffusion behavior in Ge under proton irradiation.

FIG. 3. (Color online) SIMS concentration profiles of B in Ge after concurrent annealing and irradiation (symbols) compared to the distribution of B in the as-grown structure (lower thin solid line). The profiles marked with blue triangle and red square represent B diffusion under irradiation at 630 °C and 550 °C, respectively, for 1 h and a proton flux of 1.5 μA. The B profiles reveal an atypical diffusion behavior under irradiation, i.e., stronger diffusional broadening of B at low compared to high temperatures. The upper profile (circle) obtained after concurrent annealing and irradiation at 570 °C for 3 h with a proton flux of 1.5 μA supports the presence of an immobile B fraction that adds to the total B profile measured with SIMS. The solid lines that reproduce the experimental B profiles are calculated on the basis of the B-diffusion model described in Sec. IV B. The model considers contributions of substitutional B, B/I pairs, and immobile B clusters to the total B concentration measured with SIMS.

Solid lines in Figs. 2(a)–2(c) represent numerical simulations of self-diffusion under irradiation. The simulations consider the formation of Frenkel pairs due to irradiation, mutual annihilation of \( V \) and \( I \) in the bulk, and a limited annihilation efficiency at the surface. The accurate modeling of the experimental profiles supports the considered diffusion model, which is described together with its mathematical formulation in Sec. IV A.
IV. MODELING DIFFUSION UNDER IRRADIATION

A. Self-diffusion

Self-diffusion profiles established under irradiation and illustrated in Fig. 2 reveal an enhanced diffusion compared to thermal equilibrium [see Fig. 2(c)] but no significant depth-dependent broadening. Each profile indicates a constant depth-independent self-diffusion coefficient. Since self-diffusion in matter depends on both the concentration and mobility of native point defects, the depth-independent self-diffusion reflects a homogeneous distribution of native point defects even under irradiation. A homogeneous distribution under irradiation is not expected in the case the Ge surface is an efficient sink for native defects. Hence, the annihilation of at least one type of native defect must be hindered. We propose that $V$ readily annihilate at the surface, whereas $I$ are reflected. This correlation is supported by the diffusion behavior of arsenic (As) in Ge. Under thermal equilibrium, As diffusion is mediated by $V$ (Refs. 7 and 10) and under irradiation no significant enhancement of As diffusion is observed.$^{28,36}$ Obviously, the concentration of $V$ under irradiation equals the concentration under thermal equilibrium. At first glance, this seems to be rather unlikely as irradiation continuously creates $V$ and $I$. However, in the case the Ge surface acts as sink for $V$ and reflects $I$, the disparity in the annihilation at the surface leads not only to a homogeneous distribution of $V$ and $I$, but also to a strong $I$ saturation and $V$ concentrations close to thermal equilibrium. This is confirmed by numerical solutions of the following differential equations that describe Ge self-diffusion under in situ irradiation:

$$\frac{\partial C_V}{\partial t} - D_V \frac{\partial^2 C_V}{\partial x^2} = k_0 - k^+ C_V C_I + k^- C_0 C_0,$$  

$$\frac{\partial C_I}{\partial t} - D_I \frac{\partial^2 C_I}{\partial x^2} = k_0 - k^+ C_V C_I + k^- C_0 C_0,$$  

$$\frac{\partial C_Ge}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{Ge} \frac{\partial C_{Ge}}{\partial x} = 0.$$

$C_{V,I,Ge}$ and $D_{V,I,Ge}$ are the concentrations of $V$, $I$, and $^{74}$Ge as functions of depth and time and the corresponding diffusion coefficients, respectively. $D_{Ge}$ is the self-diffusion coefficient of $^{74}$Ge. Assuming a contribution of both $V$ and $I$ to self-diffusion, $D_{Ge}$ is given by

$$D_{Ge} = (f_V C_V D_V + f_I C_I D_I) / C_0 = (f_V C_{eq}^{eq} D_V S_V + f_I C_{eq}^{eq} D_I S_I) / C_0 = f_V D_{eq}^{eq} S_V + f_I D_{eq}^{eq} S_I,$$

where $S_{V,I} = C_{V,I}(x,t) / C_{eq}^{eq}$ represents the local concentration of $V$ and $I$ established under irradiation and normalized by the thermal equilibrium concentration $C_{eq}^{eq}$. $f_V$ and $f_I$ is the diffusion correlation factor for self-diffusion via $V$ and $I$. The values are set to $f_V = 0.5$ and $f_I = 0.56$ according to recent calculations on correlation effects of self-diffusion in diamond structures.$^{37,38}$ $k_0$ in Eqs. (1) and (2) is the production rate of $V$ and $I$ due to irradiation. This rate is equal for both native defects because $V$ and $I$ are created simultaneously. The parameter $k_0$ is proportional to the flux density and is considered to be constant across the entire isotope structure, in good agreement with binary collision simulations performed with SRIM.$^{33}$ For a specific proton flux, the generation rate $k_0$ is determined by the number of $V$-$I$ pairs created by 2.5-MeV protons. Calculations with SRIM yield $k_0 = 2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ for a proton flux of 1 $\mu$A and an irradiated area of 0.785 cm$^2$. The term $k^+ C_V C_I$ describes the annihilation of $V$ and $I$ via the Frenkel pair reaction $I + V \rightarrow 0$, where 0 reflects a Ge atom on a regular lattice site with an atom density of $C_0 = 4.413 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$. The term $k^- C_0 C_0$ considers the thermal formation of $V$ and $I$. Applying the law of mass action to the Frenkel pair reaction, the rate constants $k^+$ and $k^-$ are interrelated via

$$k^+ k^- = \frac{C_0 C_0}{C_{eq}^{eq} C_{eq}^{eq}}.$$

This equation allows us to replace $k^-$ in Eqs. (1) and (2) by $k^+ C_{eq}^{eq} C_{eq}^{eq} / (C_0 C_0)$. Assuming a diffusion-limited annihilation of $V$ and $I$. $K^+$ is given by $4\pi r (D_V + D_I)$,$^{35}$ where $r$ represents the capture radius. The capture radius is of the dimension of the Ge lattice constant ($a_0 = 5.6579$ Å) and set to $r = 0.5 \times a_0$. Equation (4) can be written in terms of the individual contributions $D_{eq}^{eq} = C_{V,I} D_{V,I} / C_0$ to the total Ge self-diffusion coefficient $D_{Ge}^{eq} = f_V D_{eq}^{eq} + f_I D_{eq}^{eq}$ under thermal equilibrium. $D_{Ge}^{eq}$ has been determined for temperatures between 429°C and 904°C.$^{6,16}$ The temperature dependence is accurately described with a single diffusion activation enthalpy of 3.13 eV and a preexponential factor of 25.4 cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$. For the simulation of Ge self-diffusion under irradiation $D_{Ge}^{eq}$ and $D_{eq}^{eq}$ are not independent, i.e., a variation of $D_{Ge}^{eq}$ changes $D_{eq}^{eq}$ via $D_{eq}^{eq} = (D_{Ge}^{eq} - f_I D_{eq}^{eq}) / f_V$. In this way, it is ensured that the sum of $D_{Ge}^{eq}$ and $D_{eq}^{eq}$ equals the experimentally determined total self-diffusion coefficient under thermal equilibrium. The thermal equilibrium concentration of $V$ is approximated by $C_{eq}^{eq} / C_0 \approx 2 \times 10^2 \exp(-1.97 \text{ eV} / k_BT)$. This temperature dependence has been deduced by Vanhellemont et al.$^{34}$ from resistivity changes measured after quenching of Ge from high temperatures. For the analysis, the author considered isolated $V$ to be responsible for the measured acceptor concentration. Accordingly, the temperature dependence of $C_{eq}^{eq}$ represents an upper bound since other defects may have contributed to the resistivity change. Different settings of $C_{eq}^{eq}$ under the constraint $C_{eq}^{eq} \gg C_{eq}^{eq}$ do not significantly affect the simulation result. This, in particular, holds as the boundary conditions assumed for $V$ and $I$ and expressed by

$$C_V(0,t) = C_{eq}^{eq},$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial C_I}{\partial x}\right)_{(x=0,t)} = 0$$

lead under steady-state conditions to a homogeneous distribution of $V$ and $I$ with $C_{eq}^{eq}(x,t) \approx C_{eq}^{eq}$ and $C_I(x,t) \approx C_{eq}^{eq}$ due to the disparity in the annihilation of $V$ and $I$ at the surface. As a consequence, Ge self-diffusion under irradiation is not sensitive to $C_{eq}^{eq}$, $C_I$, and $D_{eq}^{eq}$, i.e., higher values assumed for $C_I/C_0$ can be compensated by lower $D_{eq}^{eq}$ values. Mainly, the ratio $D_{eq}^{eq} / C_{eq}^{eq} / C_0 = D_I$ determines self-diffusion of Ge under proton irradiation. For the temperature dependence of $C_{eq}^{eq} / C_0$, we assume a prefactor of $2.4 \times 10^6$ and a formation enthalpy of 3.2 eV that is consistent with recent results of atomistic calculations.$^{20}$
The scatter in the experimental data reflects the limited accuracy to determine both the actual temperature of the sample during irradiation and the proton beam current.

### B. Boron diffusion

Experiments on the diffusion of B in Ge under thermal equilibrium conditions show a much slower mobility for B than for self-atoms.\(^7,16,39\) The activation enthalpy of B diffusion exceeds with 4.65 eV (Ref. 39) clearly the value of 3.13 eV determined for self-diffusion.\(^16\) The lower B diffusivity and higher activation enthalpy compared to self-diffusion led Uppal \textit{et al}. \(^39\) to propose that I rather than V mediate B diffusion in Ge. \textit{Ab initio} investigations seem to support a B diffusion in Ge via an interstitialcy rather than a vacancy mechanism.\(^32\) However, the calculated diffusion activation enthalpy for B diffusion via I (V) is significantly lower (higher) than the experimental result.\(^32\) This demonstrates on one hand the difficulties of atomistic modeling methods to accurately predict the diffusion properties of dopants in semiconductors and on the other hand that a V-mediated diffusion of B can not be excluded, in particular, for thermal equilibrium conditions. In fact, the experimentally observed high activation enthalpy of B diffusion can be described with a V-mediated diffusion and a repulsive interaction between B and V. Atomic calculations based on density functional theory confirm such repulsive interactions.\(^40,41\) In contrast, under nonequilibrium conditions, i.e., experimental conditions that favor the formation of self-interstitials to concentrations that exceed the thermal equilibrium concentration by several orders of magnitude, diffusion of B can be mainly mediated by self-interstitials. A I- rather than V-mediated diffusion of B under irradiation is even more likely because under irradiation the V concentration in Ge is close to thermal equilibrium. This is a consequence of the disparity in the annihilation behavior of I and V at the Ge surface (see Sec. IV A). The absence of any radiation-enhanced diffusion of arsenic in Ge,\(^36\) whose diffusion is mainly mediated by V,\(^7,10\) and the heavily enhanced diffusion of B (Refs. 25, 26, and 28) demonstrates that the migration of B under irradiation can not be mediated by V. Accordingly, B diffusion in Ge under irradiation must be controlled by self-interstitials and the following defect reactions are considered for modeling its diffusion behavior:

\[
\begin{align*}
(BI)^+ & \rightleftharpoons B_I^- + I^{2+}, \\
(BI)^+ + V^{2-} & \rightleftharpoons B_I^-, \\
I^{2+} + V^{2-} & \rightleftharpoons 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Reaction (9) describes the formation of substitutional B, and I via the dissociation of a BI pair. These pairs can be annihilated by means of V thereby forming B, as expressed by reaction (10). Direct annihilation of I and V is considered by reaction (11). The reverse direction of reactions (9) to (11) characterize the conversion of B to mobile BI pairs and the formation of a Frenkel defect. The superscripts indicate the charge states assumed for the point defects. The single acceptor nature of B\(^{3+}\) is generally accepted. Experiments on the simultaneous diffusion of n-type dopants and self-atoms demonstrate that...
the vacancy in Ge is doubly negatively charged even under electronically intrinsic conditions.\textsuperscript{8,9} Investigations of the electronic properties of defects in Ge resulting from electron irradiation reveal an acceptor energy level of 0.14 eV above the valence band and two donor states with energy positions of 0.08 and 0.24 eV below the conduction band of Ge.\textsuperscript{42} The acceptor state is assigned to the $V_{2}^{++}$ ionization level of the vacancy and the donor state at 0.08 eV (0.24 eV) to the ionization level of $I_{2}^{++}$ ($I_{2}^{+}$).\textsuperscript{42} Accordingly, the vacancy under $p$-type doping conditions is likely also negatively charged. A donor state for $I$ in the upper half of the Ge band gap was also postulated from the trapping of point defects at radioactive $\text{In}^{111}$ in probes studied by means of perturbed angular correlation spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{43} In accord with these results, the vacancy (self-interstitial) is considered to be doubly negatively (positively) charged. In order to ensure charge neutrality of reactions (9) and (10), the $BI$ pairs are assumed to be singly positively charged. The mathematical formulation of B diffusion based on reactions (9)–(11) is described by the following set of coupled partial differential equations:

$$\frac{\partial C_{BI}^{t_{+}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( D_{I_{t_{+}}} \frac{\partial C_{BI}^{t_{+}}}{\partial x} + \frac{C_{BI}^{t_{+}} - D_{I_{t_{+}}} \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x}}{p(x)} \right)$$

$$+ k_{1}^{+} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{I_{2}^{t_{+}}} - k_{1}^{-} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{I_{2}^{-}} + k_{2}^{+} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{I_{2}^{-}} - k_{2}^{-} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{0}, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_{I_{2}^{-}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( D_{I_{2}^{-}} \frac{\partial C_{I_{2}^{-}}}{\partial x} - 2 \frac{C_{I_{2}^{-}} \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x}}{p(x)} \right)$$

$$+ k_{0} + k_{2}^{+} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{0} - k_{1}^{+} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{I_{2}^{-}} - k_{2}^{+} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{I_{2}^{-}} + k_{2}^{-} C_{0} C_{0}, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_{V_{2}^{-}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( D_{V_{2}^{-}} \frac{\partial C_{V_{2}^{-}}}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{C_{V_{2}^{-}} \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x}}{p(x)} \right)$$

$$+ k_{0} - k_{2}^{+} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{V_{2}^{-}} + k_{2}^{+} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{0} - k^{+} C_{I_{2}^{-}} C_{V_{2}^{-}} + k_{0} C_{0}, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_{I_{2}^{t_{+}}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( D_{I_{2}^{t_{+}}} \frac{\partial C_{I_{2}^{t_{+}}}}{\partial x} - \frac{C_{I_{2}^{t_{+}}} \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x}}{p(x)} \right)$$

$$- k_{1}^{+} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{0} + k_{1}^{-} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{I_{2}^{-}} - k_{2}^{-} C_{BI}^{t_{+}} C_{V_{2}^{-}} + k_{2}^{-} C_{0} C_{0}. \tag{15}$$

Again, $C_X$ and $D_X$ with $X \in \{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}, V_{2}^{t_{-}}, I_{2}^{t_{+}}\}$ are the concentrations and diffusion coefficients of the particular defects. The second term inside the brackets on the right-hand side of Eqs. (12)–(15) considers the possible impact of a built-in electric field on the diffusion of charged defects.\textsuperscript{44} $p(x)$ is the free-hole concentration, which is determined by the concentration of the charged defects via the neutrality equation. Under electronic intrinsic conditions, the hole concentration equals to a good approximation the intrinsic carrier concentration $n$, i.e., $p(x) \approx n$, and thus $\partial p(x)/\partial x \approx 0$ holds. $k_{1}^{+}$ ($k_{2}^{+}$) and $k_{1}^{-}$ ($k_{2}^{-}$) are, respectively, the forward and backward reaction constants of reaction (9) [reaction (10)]. Applying the law of mass action to reactions (9) and (10), the rate constants are interrelated via

$$k_{1}^{+} = \frac{C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq} C_{I_{2}^{t_{+}}}^{eq}}{C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq} C_{I_{2}^{t_{-}}}^{eq} + C_{0}}, \tag{16}$$

$$k_{1}^{-} = \frac{C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq} C_{I_{2}^{-}}^{eq}}{C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq} C_{I_{2}^{t_{+}}}^{eq} + C_{0}} \tag{17}$$

with the concentrations of the defects in thermal equilibrium. $k_{0}$ in Eqs. (13) and (14) accounts for the formation of $V$ and $I$ in equal numbers via proton irradiation. Equation (16) [Eq. (17)] is used to replace $k_{1}^{+}$ ($k_{1}^{-}$) in Eqs. (12), (13), and (15) [Eqs. (12), (14), and (15)] in terms of $k_{1}^{+}$ ($k_{1}^{-}$). Assuming a diffusion-limited formation of $BI$ pairs via reaction (9) and of $B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}$ via reaction (10), the rate constants are given by

$$k_{1}^{-} = 4 \pi r D_{I_{2}^{t_{+}}}, \tag{18}$$

$$k_{2}^{+} = 4 \pi r (D_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}} + D_{V_{2}^{-}}), \tag{19}$$

where $r$ represents the capture radius. As in the case of self-diffusion (see Sec. IV A), the capture radius is set to $r = 0.5 \times a_{0}$. Equations (6), (7), and thermal equilibrium are assumed as boundary and initial conditions for $V$ and $I$. The initial total concentration of $B$ is set to the $B$ profile of the as-grown structure measured with SIMS. The concentration of $B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}$ is set to a maximum value of $5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ because the diffusion broadening of the $B$ doped multilayer structure reveals the presence of immobile $B$ spikes probably due to $B$ clusters (see upper profile of Fig. 3). The initial concentration of $BI$ pairs is adjusted to fulfill local equilibrium of reactions (9) and (10). Since the experimental $B$ profiles measured after diffusion annealing do not reveal any significant dopant loss to the surface, reflecting boundary conditions are assumed for $BI$ pairs and $B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}$. Data of $B$ diffusion in Ge reported by Uppal et al.\textsuperscript{39} are extrapolated to the temperatures used in this work and considered for the intrinsic $B$ diffusion coefficient $D_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq} = D_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq}/C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq}$ with $C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq} \approx 5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. This implies that we consider an $I$-mediated $B$ diffusion in Ge under thermal equilibrium although a $V$-mediated diffusion via the vacancy mechanism can, in principle, not be excluded (see above and discussion in Sec. V). The model parameter $D_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq}$ of Eq. (15) is expressed by $D_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}} = D_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq} \times C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq}/C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq}$. Taking into account the $I$- and $V$-related parameters that model self-diffusion under irradiation, the quantity $C_{B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}}^{eq}$ remains as free parameter to describe the experimental $B$ profiles.

Numerical solutions of the differential equations (12)–(15) are shown by the solid lines in Figs. 3 and 5. Figure 3 demonstrates that the calculations accurately reproduce the atypical behavior of $B$ diffusion under irradiation. This is illustrated by the stronger broadening of the $B$ profiles at low temperatures (red square) compared to high temperatures (blue triangle) under otherwise identical irradiation conditions. The individual contributions to the total $B$ diffusion profiles and the concentrations of $I$ and $V$ established under irradiation are illustrated in Figs. 5(a)–5(d). The total $B$ concentration is given by contributions due to $B_{I_{t_{+}}}^{t_{-}}, BI$, and $B$ atoms in clusters. The contribution of $B$ clusters is evident by the spikes that remain after long diffusion times [see Fig. 5(c)]. Taking into account these immobile clusters, whose fraction to the total $B$ concentration is described by reactive Gaussian functions illustrated in Fig. 5 with slight variations in the maximum concentration of...
the Gaussian peak, the contributions of $B_s$ and $B_I$ to the total B concentration are obtained by fitting numerical solutions of Eqs. (12)–(15) to the experimental B profiles with $C_{eq}^{B_I}$ as free parameter. The values deduced for $C_{eq}^{B_I}$ are listed in Table II and illustrated in Fig. 6 as function of the inverse temperature. The temperature dependence is best described by

$$C_{eq}^{B_I} = 2.0 \times 10^{15} \left(\frac{1.6 \times 10^{16}}{1.8 \times 10^{14}}\right) \times \exp\left(\frac{(3.84 \pm 0.16) \text{ eV}}{k_B T}\right) \text{cm}^{-3}. \tag{20}$$

The scatter in the experimental data reflects the limited accuracy to determine the actual temperature of the sample during irradiation. For the range of temperatures studied in this work, the equilibrium concentration of $B_I$ pairs is well below the concentration of $B_s$ ($C_{eq}^{B_s} = 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$). Under proton irradiation, the concentration of $I$ is highly supersaturated with
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**FIG. 5.** (Color online) Concentration profiles of B (symbols) measured with SIMS after concurrent irradiation with 2.5-MeV protons at a flux of 1.5 $\mu$A and annealing at (a) 550 °C for 1 h, (b) 570 °C for 1 h, (c) 570 °C for 3 h, and (d) 630 °C for 1 h. The contributions of $B_s$ (green short-dashed line), $B_I$ (green long-dashed line), and B aggregated in immobile clusters (black fine-dashed line) adds up to the total B concentration (black solid line). The calculated total B concentration accurately describes the experimental profile. The corresponding undersaturation and supersaturation of $V$ and $I$ are displayed by the blue and red solid lines, respectively. These profiles are referred to the right y axis.
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**FIG. 6.** Thermal equilibrium concentration $C_{eq}^{B_I+}$ of $(B_I)^+$ pairs in Ge vs the inverse temperature. Data (symbols) were determined from modeling B diffusion in Ge under proton irradiation. The temperature dependence (solid line) is reproduced by Eq. (20).
respect to thermal equilibrium as illustrated in Figs. 5(a)–5(d). As a consequence, the concentration of $B_I$ under irradiation can exceed the concentration of $B_T$. This, in particular, holds for irradiations at low temperatures where a high-$I$ supersaturation is favored due to a reduced $I$-$V$ annihilation. The simulations illustrate that the enhanced diffusion of $B$ with decreasing temperature is due to the formation of mobile $B_I$ pairs, whose concentration exceeds the concentration of $B_T$. The formation of $B_I$ pairs and their diffusion leads to a decrease of the $I$ concentration within the $B$-doped Ge region [see $I$ profiles shown in Figs. 5(a)–5(d)]. This is associated via Frenkel pair annihilation with a $V$ concentration in the $B$-doped regions that exceeds the $V$ concentration in regions outside of the $B$ spikes.

V. DISCUSSION

The model proposed for the simulation of the self- and $B$ diffusion in Ge under proton irradiation provides a consistent interpretation of the experimental diffusion profiles. The model parameters used for the simulation of self-diffusion were considered for modeling $B$ diffusion. The observed behavior of self- and $B$ diffusion in Ge under irradiation is strongly linked to the limited efficiency of the Ge surface to annihilate $I$. As a consequence, a high-$I$ supersaturation is established whereas the $V$ concentration stays close to thermal equilibrium. The $I$ supersaturation favors the formation of mobile $B_I$ pairs, whose concentration exceeds the concentration of immobile $B_T$, and leads to an enhanced $B$ diffusion compared to thermal equilibrium conditions. Under constant irradiation conditions ($\phi = 1.5 \mu A$ for $t = 60$ min) an increasing supersaturation of $I$ with decreasing temperature evolves due to the decreasing $I$-$V$ annihilation efficiency. This is experimentally reflected by the increasing diffusion of $B$ under irradiation with decreasing $\phi$ (see Fig. 3). Modeling of the experimental self- and $B$-atom profiles provides information about the migration enthalpy of $I$ and formation enthalpy of $B_I$ pairs. The temperature dependence of $D_I$ shown in Fig. 4 and given by Eq. (8) yields $\langle 1.84 \pm 0.26 \rangle$ eV for the migration enthalpy of $I$. The preexponential factor $D_0 = \langle 0.67^{+0.64}_{-0.60} \rangle \ cm^2 s^{-1}$ is interrelated via $D_0 = g_I a_I^3 \nu_0 \exp(S''_I/k_B)$ to the migration entropy $S''_I \approx (4.4 \pm 3.4) k_B$ of $I$, in which $g_I = 1$, $\nu_0 \approx 10^{13} \ s^{-1}$, and $a_I = 5.6579 \ \AA$ are the geometry factor, attempt frequency ($\approx$Debye frequency), and lattice constant, respectively. The temperature dependence deduced for the equilibrium concentration of $(B_I)^+\ pairs$ yields $H''_{B_I^+} = (3.84 \pm 0.16) \ eV$ for the formation enthalpy of this defect. The preexponential factor equals $C_0 \exp(S''_{B_I^+}/k_B)$. With the Ge atom density of $C_0 = 4.413 \times 10^{22} \ cm^{-3}$ a formation entropy $S''_{B_I^+} \approx (29 \pm 2) k_B$ is obtained. The high energy and entropy of $B_I$ formation is consistent with the slow diffusion of $B$ in Ge under thermal equilibrium conditions.

The data obtained for the enthalpy of $I$ migration and $B_I$ formation can be compared with recent results of theoretical calculations. Carvalho et al. determined by means of density functional theory the migration energies for Ge interstitials in various charge states. For neutral and singly positively charged Ge interstitials, a migration energy of 0.5 and 0.3 eV is predicted. A value of 1.2 eV was found for the migration energy of $I^{2+}$. This value is in acceptable agreement with the migration enthalpy of $H''_{I^+} = (1.84 \pm 0.26) \ eV$ determined in this work for $I$. Consistently, the self-interstitials that mediate Ge self-diffusion under irradiation are concluded to be doubly positively charged. This is also supported by Haesslein et al., whose experiments reveal a donor state of $I$ in the upper half of the Ge band gap. Consistently, the charge state of $I$ has been considered for modeling the behavior of $B$ diffusion under irradiation [see reactions (9) and (11)].

Delugas and Fiorentini calculated via first-principles methods the formation enthalpy of both Ge interstitials and $B_I$ pairs. According to their calculations, the formation of $B_I$ is more favorable than the formation of $B$ interstitials. For singly positively charged $(B_I)^+$ pairs, formation energies between 2.77 and 3.04 eV depending on the position of the Fermi level are predicted. More recent theoretical investigations of Janke et al. report formation energies between 2.5 and 3.0 eV for $(B_I)^+$. However, the authors state that the disregard of electronic thermal excitations can cause corrections of $\sim 1$ eV. In view of these uncertainties, an acceptable agreement is obtained for the formation energy of $(B_I)^+$ pairs determined experimentally ($[3.84\pm0.16] \ eV$) and theoretically (2.5–3.0 eV). The overall consistency obtained by means of the proposed model to describe self- and $B$ diffusion in Ge under irradiation supports an $I$-mediated diffusion of $B$ under thermal equilibrium as already suggested by Upfal et al.

Bruno et al. report on experiments of $B$ diffusion under proton irradiation for temperatures between $-196$ °C and 800 °C. The proton flux used in their experiments was about one-fifth of the flux used in this work. The authors confirm an enhanced diffusion of $B$ and ascribe this to the formation of $I$ that mediate $B$ diffusion. A slight decrease in $B$ diffusion with decreasing temperature between room temperature and 550 °C with an activation enthalpy of 0.1 eV is reported. No increasing diffusion with decreasing temperature is observed. Instead, a change in the shape of the $B$-diffusion profiles becomes evident. For temperatures above 750 °C, Gaussian-shaped profiles are observed, whereas for temperatures below 400 °C profiles with long exponential tails are obtained. This observation is neither consistent nor contradictory to our results because a direct comparison between the experiments performed by Bruno et al. and those described in this work is difficult. Bruno et al. utilize H$^+$ ion implantation in relatively thick Ge samples rather than high-energy H$^+$ irradiation of thin Ge samples. Whereas H implantation in thick samples leads to end-of-range defects, the H$^+$ irradiation performed in this work leads to a penetration of H through the entire Ge sample (thinned to 30 μm) and a depth-independent formation of isolated Frenkel pairs. We ensured by means of transmission electron microscopy (TEM) that no extended defects were formed during the preparation of the thin Ge samples. Moreover, high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) of irradiated samples could not resolve any $B$ clusters that are evident from the immobile part of the $B$ profiles (see upper profile in Fig. 3). Presumably, these $B$ clusters are too small to be detected by HRTEM or the part of the sample with clusters was missed in the preparation of samples for cross-section analysis. Nonetheless, the stability of the immobile $B$ clusters under $I$ supersaturation shows that the clusters likely consist of $B$-$I$ clusters (BICs), whose
dissolution is hindered under \( I \) supersaturation. Such clusters are known to exist in Si (see, e.g., Ref. 48 and references therein) but have to the authors knowledge not yet been discovered in Ge. This demonstrates that the experimental conditions established by implantation on one hand and irradiation on the other hand are very different. In the case of H implantation, the kinetics of formation and dissolution of end-of-range defects mainly controls B diffusion, whereas in the case of \( I \) irradiation the diffusion of \( I \) and \( V \) and the kinetic of \( V-I \) annihilation mainly affects B diffusion. Nonetheless, the B diffusion study of Bruno et al.\textsuperscript{26,27,29} demonstrates an enhanced B diffusion due to \( I \). However, detailed information about the distribution, concentration, and properties of the individual defects involved in B diffusion and on the impact of the Ge surface are not accessible through their study in conjunction with the \( g/\lambda \) approach that was used for the analysis of the experimental profiles.

The defect reactions proposed in this work consistently explain the diffusion behavior of self- and B atoms in Ge. Moreover, the results are consistent with the diffusion of \( n \)-type dopants in Ge under irradiation.\textsuperscript{30} The unusual behavior of Ge under irradiation is directly related to the limited efficiency of the Ge surface to annihilate Ge interstitials. This property of the Ge surface was postulated\textsuperscript{55} in order to explain all experimental self- and dopant-atom diffusion profiles established under irradiation. To our understanding, no other mechanisms are able to explain the drastic disparity in the concentration of \( V \) and \( I \) under irradiation. Accordingly, understanding of the Ge surface property is the key to the strong \( I-V \) imbalance. Although the experiments performed so far are not able to decide on the mechanisms behind the limited \( I \)-annihilation efficiency of the Ge surface, the physical mechanism is likely related to Coulomb and/or elastic interactions. As discussed above, the self-interstitial in Ge is positively charged. On the other hand, electronic states established at the Ge surface or at interfaces are known to pin the Fermi level.\textsuperscript{49} This is already known since the pioneering work of Brattain and Bardeen\textsuperscript{50} on the Ge point contact transistor. The operation of the point contact transistor relied on the fact that surface acceptor states have pinned the Fermi level near the valence band. As a consequence, an inversion layer of holes is formed near the Ge surface. The electric field established within the inversion layer would attract rather than repel positively charged self-interstitials created thermally in the bulk by irradiation. However, a few years later, Clarke\textsuperscript{51} reported that surface acceptor states can be removed by heating in vacuum. Following this line, it is possible that the conditions realized by concurrent annealing and proton irradiation at a vacuum pressure of \( \leq 2 \times 10^{-5} \) mbar favor donor-type surface states rather than acceptor states. In this case, the electric field within the inversion layer would hinder positively charged self-interstitials to annihilate at the surface. On the other hand, self-interstitials approaching the Ge surface may also be repelled by a strain field close to the surface. Such a strain field should cause a higher formation enthalpy of \( I \) at the Ge surface compared to the bulk. Recent \textit{ab initio} studies of Kamiyama et al.\textsuperscript{52} report on lower formation energies of \( V \) and \( I \) at the surface of Si crystals compared to the bulk due to structural relaxation. No similar theoretical studies have been performed for Ge to the authors’ knowledge. Certainly, atomistic calculations can help to understand the interaction between \( I \) and the Ge surface, but in the end experiments have to prove the concept.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

Experiments on self- and B diffusion in Ge under \textit{in situ} proton irradiation reveal enhanced diffusion compared to thermal equilibrium conditions. An accurate description of the experimental diffusion profiles is achieved on the basis of the Frenkel pair reaction and the dissociative and interstitialcy mechanisms of B diffusion. Thereby, the Ge surface is considered to be an efficient sink for vacancies but not for self-interstitials. The disparity in the annihilation of native point defects at the Ge surface leads to homogeneous, high concentrations of self-interstitials, which exceed their thermal equilibrium concentration by several orders of magnitude, and to homogeneous concentrations of vacancies close to thermal equilibrium. The unusual Ge surface property becomes evident by a depth-independent self- and B diffusion and an atypical B diffusion behavior that increases with decreasing temperature under otherwise same irradiation conditions. Comprehensive modeling of self- and B diffusion under irradiation is achieved on the basis of a common set of model parameters. The experimental diffusion profiles are reproduced by numerical simulations that consider the diffusion coefficient of doubly positively charged self-interstitials (\( I^{2+} \)) and the thermal equilibrium concentration of singly positively charged (\( B/I \))\textsuperscript{+} pairs as free parameters. Best fits provide data for these two quantities. From their temperature dependence, the migration enthalpy \( H_{\text{m}}^{B/I} \) = (1.84 ± 0.28) eV of \( I^{2+} \) and formation enthalpy \( H_{\text{f}}^{B/I} \) = (3.84 ± 0.16) eV of (\( B/I \))\textsuperscript{+} pairs in Ge is obtained. Theoretical calculations support \( I^{2+} \) and (\( B/I \))\textsuperscript{+} pairs with thermodynamic properties in acceptable agreement with our results. The overall consistency of the diffusion model proposed to explain self- and dopant diffusion in Ge under concurrent annealing and irradiation supports the assumption that B diffuses via an \( I \)-mediate diffusion mechanisms even under thermal equilibrium conditions. The presence of B-I clusters (BICs) is concluded indirectly from the immobile fraction of the B profiles. These clusters are hindered to dissolve under irradiation due to the strong \( I \) supersaturation. The limited efficiency of the Ge surface to annihilate self-interstitials is the key for the unusual diffusion behavior of self- and B atoms in Ge under irradiation. This could be related to donor-type Ge surface states which are favored under vacuum conditions. The understanding of this surface phenomena will help to design strategies for controlling diffusion, doping, and defect reactions in Ge.
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The maximum peak concentration decreases with increasing temperature and remains within an accuracy of 20% constant at a given temperature. This indicates that the B clusters tend to dissolve with increasing temperature but still remain fairly stable for given temperature and irradiation conditions. The uncertainty due to B clusters does not significantly alter the main results of our simulations since their contribution to the total B profile stays approximately constant and can be fairly well extracted from the B profile shown in Fig. 5(c).
Substitutional B, is virtually immobile compared to the diffusion of B via BV and B pairs. For our simulations of B diffusion under irradiation, the diffusion coefficient of B, [see Eq. (12)] is assumed to \( D_{BC} \approx 0 \).
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