Scalable Tight-Binding Model for Graphene
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Artificial graphene consisting of honeycomb lattices other than the atomic layer of carbon has been shown to exhibit electronic properties similar to real graphene. Here, we reverse the argument to show that transport properties of real graphene can be captured by simulations using “theoretical artificial graphene.” To prove this, we first derive a simple condition, along with its restrictions, to achieve band structure invariance for a scalable graphene lattice. We then present transport measurements for an ultraclean suspended single-layer graphene pn junction device, where ballistic transport features from complex Fabry-Pérot interference (at zero magnetic field) to the quantum Hall effect (at unusually low field) are observed and are well reproduced by transport simulations based on properly scaled single-particle tight-binding models. Our findings indicate that transport simulations for graphene can be efficiently performed with a strongly reduced number of atomic sites, allowing for reliable predictions for electric properties of complex graphene devices. We demonstrate the capability of the model by applying it to predict so-far unexplored gate-defined conductance quantization in single-layer graphene.
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Graphene is a promising material for its special electrical, optical, thermal, and mechanical properties. In particular, the conic electronic structure that mimics two-dimensional (2D) massless Dirac fermions has attracted much attention on both the academic and industrial sides. Soon after the “debut” of single-layer graphene [1,2] and the subsequent confirmation of its relativistic nature [3–5], the exploration of Dirac fermions in condensed matter has been further extended to honeycomb lattices other than graphene, including optical lattices [6–8], semiconductor nanopatterning [9–12], molecular arrays on Cu(111) surfaces [13], or even macroscopic, dielectric resonators for microwave propagation [14,15], all of which have been shown to exhibit similar electronic properties as real graphene and hence are referred to as artificial graphene [16].

Here, we reverse the argument to show that transport properties of real graphene can be captured by simulations using “theoretical artificial graphene,” by which we mean a honeycomb lattice with its lattice spacing \( a \) different from the carbon-carbon bond length \( a_0 \) of real graphene; see Fig. 1. From a theoretical point of view, this can be achieved only if the considered theoretical artificial lattice, which will be shortly referred to as artificial graphene or scaled graphene, has its energy band structure identical to that of real graphene. In this Letter, we first derive a simple condition, along with its restrictions, to achieve the band structure invariance of graphene with its bond length scaled from \( a_0 \) to \( a \), even in the presence of a magnetic field. We then prove the argument by presenting transport measurements for an ultraclean suspended single-layer graphene pn junction device, where ballistic transport features from Fabry-Pérot interference to the quantum Hall effect are observed and are well reproduced by quantum transport simulations based on the scaled graphene. To go one step further, we demonstrate the capability of the scaling approach by applying it to uncover one of the experimentally feasible yet unexplored transport regimes: gate-defined zero-field conductance quantization.

We begin our discussion with the standard tight-binding model for 2D graphite [17], i.e., bulk graphene, and focus

![FIG. 1](color online). Schematic of a sheet of (a) real graphene and (b) scaled graphene and their conical low-energy band structures. In (a), the lattice spacing \( a_0 \approx 0.142 \text{ nm} \), the hopping energy \( t_0 \approx 2.8 \text{ eV} \), and the Fermi velocity \( v_F \approx 10^8 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \).
on the low-energy range (|E| \leq 1 eV) which is addressed in most graphene transport measurements. In this regime, the effective Dirac Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} = v_F \sigma \cdot \mathbf{p} \) associated with the celebrated linear band structure \( E(k) = \pm \hbar v_F |k| \) describes the graphene system well. Here, \( v_F \approx 10^6 \text{cm s}^{-1} \) is the Fermi velocity in graphene, and \( \hbar \mathbf{k} \), the eigenvalue of the operator \( \sigma \cdot \mathbf{p} \) [Pauli matrices \( \sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y) \) act on the pseudospin properties], is the quasimomentum with \( \mathbf{k} \) defined relative to the \( K \) or \( K' \) point in the first Brillouin zone. In terms of the tight-binding parameters, one replaces \( \hbar v_F \) with \((3/2)t_0a_0\), where \( t_0 \approx 2.8 \text{ eV} \) is the nearest neighbor hopping parameter and \( a_0 \approx 0.142 \text{ nm} \) is the lattice site spacing, i.e., \( E_0(k) = (3/2)t_0a_0k \) for real graphene [18]. Now, we consider the scaled graphene described by the same tight-binding model but with hopping parameter \( t \) and lattice spacing \( a \) and introduce a scaling factor \( s_f \) such that \( a = s_f a_0 \). The real and scaled graphene sheets along with their low-energy band structures are schematically sketched in Fig. 1. The low-energy dispersion for scaled graphene is naturally expected to be \( E(k) = (3/2)tak \). Thus, to keep the energy band structure unchanged while scaling up the bond length by a factor of \( s_f \), the condition

\[
a = s_f a_0, \quad t = \frac{t_0}{s_f}
\]

becomes self-evident.

Clearly, Eq. (1) applies only when the linear approximation is valid. In terms of the long wavelength limit, this means that the Fermi wavelength should be much longer than the lattice spacing: \( \lambda_F \gg a \), from which using Eq. (1) the following validity criterion can be deduced:

\[
s_f \ll \frac{3t_0 \pi}{E_{\text{max}}},
\]

where \( E_{\text{max}} \) is the maximal energy of interest for investigating a particular real graphene system. Considering graphene on a typical Si/300 nm SiO\(_2\) substrate, the usually accessed carrier density range is less than \( 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) [1]. This implies that the energy range of interest lies within \( E_{\text{max}} \approx 0.4 \text{ eV} \), leading to \( s_f \ll 66 \) from Eq. (2) (using \( t_0 = 2.8 \text{ eV} \)). For suspended graphene, typical carrier densities can hardly reach \( 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) [19], so that \( E_{\text{max}} \approx 0.1 \text{ eV} \) allows for a larger range of the scaling factor \( s_f \ll 264 \).

In the presence of an external magnetic field, the Peierls substitution [20] is the standard method to take into account the effect of a uniform out-of-plane magnetic field \( B_z \) within the tight-binding formulation. In addition to the long wavelength limit (2), the validity of the Peierls substitution, however, imposes a further restriction for the scaling [21]:

\[
l_B \gg a, \quad l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB_z}
\]

is the magnetic length. In terms of \( a = s_f a_0 \) given in Eq. (1), this restriction reads

\[
s_f \ll \frac{l_B}{a_0} \approx \frac{180}{\sqrt{B_z}},
\]

where \( B_z \) is in units of T. Equations (1)–(3) complete the description of band structure invariance for scaled graphene.

The above discussion is based on bulk graphene, but the listed conditions apply equally well to finite-width graphene ribbons. To show a concrete example of band structure invariance under scaling, we consider a 200-nm-wide armchair ribbon and compare the band structures of the genuine case with \( s_f = 1 \) and the scaled case with \( s_f = 4 \) in Fig. 2(a) for \( B_z = 0 \). The scaled graphene band structure well matches the genuine one at low energy \( |E| \lesssim 0.1 \text{ eV} \) and starts to deviate at higher energy but stays rather consistent within the shown energy range of \( |E| \lesssim 0.2 \text{ eV} \). Both of the band structures are well bound by the linear Dirac model that corresponds to the bulk graphene. The band structure invariance remains true when a magnetic field is applied, as seen in Fig. 2(b), where \( B_z = 5 \text{ T} \) is considered. The pronounced flat bands in both cases match perfectly with the relativistic Landau levels \( E_{n_{\text{L}}} = \text{sgn}(n_{\text{L}}) \sqrt{2eB_z \hbar v_F^2} |n_{\text{L}}| \) solved from the Dirac model [3–5,21], where \( n_{\text{L}} = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \). The band structure invariance based on Eqs. (1)–(3) can be easily shown to hold also for zigzag graphene ribbons.

Having demonstrated that under proper conditions (1)–(3) the scaled graphene band structure can be identical to that of real graphene, we next perform quantum transport simulations for a real graphene device, using the scaled graphene. To this end, we have fabricated ultraclean suspended graphene \( pn \) junctions as sketched in Fig. 3(a). First, bottom gates were prepatterned on a Si wafer with 300 nm SiO\(_2\) oxide. Afterwards, the wafer was spin coated with lift-off resist, and the graphene was transferred on top following the method described in Ref. [22]. Palladium contacts to graphene were made by \( e \)-beam lithography and thermal evaporation, and the device was suspended by

![FIG. 2 (color online). Band structure consistency check using an armchair graphene ribbon with width 200 nm (a) in the absence of magnetic field and (b) in the presence of a uniform magnetic field \( B_z = 5 \text{ T} \). The comparison is done for both (a) and (b) between the genuine graphene with \( s_f = 1 \) and scaled graphene with \( s_f = 4 \), which correspond to chain numbers \( N_a = 800 \) and \( N_a = 200 \), respectively.](image-url)
exposing and developing the lift-off resist. Finally, the graphene was cleaned by current annealing at 4 K. The fabrication method is described in Refs. [23,24] in detail.

Following the device design of our experiment [sketched in Fig. 3(a)], we first build a three-dimensional (3D) electrostatic model to obtain the self-partial capacitances [25,26] of the individual metal contacts and bottom gates, which are computed by the finite-element simulator FENICS [27] combined with the mesh generator GMSH [28]. The extracted self-partial capacitances from the electrostatic simulation provide us the realistic carrier density profile (see the Supplemental Material [29]) \( n(x, y) \) at any combination of the left and right bottom gate voltages \( V_L \) and \( V_R \), respectively. In Fig. 3(b), we plot the mean carrier density \( \bar{n} \) averaged over the whole suspended graphene region as a function of \( V_g = V_L = V_R \). The slope reveals a charging efficiency of the connected bottom gates of about \( 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ V}^{-1} \), which is slightly lower than the experimental value of \( 1.24 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ V}^{-1} \) extracted from the unipolar quantum Hall data [29].

In the absence of magnetic field, the Fermi energy as a function of carrier density within the low-energy range can be well described by the Dirac model \( E(n) = \text{sgn}(n) \hbar v_F \sqrt{|n|} \). This suggests that for a given carrier density at \((x, y)\), applying a local energy band offset defined by \( V(x, y) = -\text{sgn}(n(x, y)) \hbar v_F \sqrt{|n(x, y)|} \) guarantees that the locally filled highest level fulfills the amount of the simulated carrier density \( n(x, y) \) and is globally fixed at \( E = 0 \) for all \((x, y)\). We therefore consider the model Hamiltonian

\[
H_{\text{model}} = \sum_i V(x_i, y_i) c_i^\dagger c_i - t(s_f) \sum_{(i,j)} c_i^\dagger c_j
\]

and apply the Landauer-Büttiker formalism [30] to calculate the transmission function \( T \) at energy \( E = 0 \) and temperature zero. In Eq. (4), the indices \( i \) and \( j \) run over the lattice sites within the scattering region defined by an artificial graphene scaled by \( s_f \), and the second term contains the nearest neighbor hopping elements with strength \( t(s_f) \) given in Eq. (1).

For zero-field transport, we compute the conductance map \( G(V_R, V_L) \) from the transmission function \( T \) using \( G = (e^2/h)[T^{-1} + R_c/(h/e^2)]^{-1} \), where the contact resistance is deduced from the quantum Hall measurement to be \( R_c \approx 1080 \Omega \approx 4.2 \times 10^{-2} (h/e^2) \). The measured and simulated conductance maps are reported in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), respectively, both exhibiting two overlapping sets of Fabry-Pérot interference patterns in the bipolar blocks similar to Refs. [31,32]. Strikingly, the theory data reported in Fig. 3(d) are based on a scaled graphene with \( s_f = 100 \) because of the rather low density (energy) in our ultraclean device. From the estimated maximal carrier density [Fig. 3(b)], we find \( |E_{\text{max}}| \approx 28 \text{ meV} \), such that Eq. (2) roughly gives \( s_f \ll 10^3 \), suggesting that \( s_f = 100 \) is acceptable. Simulations with smaller \( s_f \) have been performed and do not significantly differ from the reported map.

To correctly account for the magnetic field effect in the transport simulation, the first step, similar to the zero-field case, is to extract the proper energy band offset from the given carrier density through the carrier-energy relation, for which an exact analytical formula does not exist. Numerically, the carrier density as a function of energy and magnetic field \( n(E,B_z) \) can also be computed using the Green’s function method (see the Supplemental Material [29]) and subsequently provide \( E(n, B_z) \). The desired energy band offset is then again given by the negative of it. Thus, the magnetic field in the transport simulation requires, in addition to the Peierls substitution of the hopping parameter, the modification on the on-site energy term of Eq. (4) \( V(x_i, y_i) \rightarrow V(x_i, y_i; B_z) = -E(n(x_i, y_i), B_z) \), where \( n(x_i, y_i) \) is obtained from the same electrostatic simulation and is assumed to be unaffected by the magnetic field; i.e., we assume the electrostatic charging ability of the bottom gates is not influenced by the magnetic field.

At field strength \( B_z = 0.2 \text{ T} \), Fig. 3(e) shows the measured conductance map and is qualitatively reproduced by the simulation [Fig. 3(f)] done by an \( s_f = 50 \) scaled graphene in the presence of weak disorder. We observe very good agreement in the conductance range as well as in the conductance features in the unipolar blocks. In the bipolar blocks, however, the simulation reveals a fine structure that is found to be sensitive to spatial and edge disorder but is not observed in the present experimental data. Nevertheless, the conductance in the bipolar blocks varies between 0 and \( 2e^2/h \) in both experiment and theory, and neither of them exhibits the fractional plateaus [33]. Thus, the bipolar blocks of Figs. 3(e) and 3(f) reveal a conductance behavior due to the ballistic smooth graphene \( pn \) junctions.

FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Sketch of the suspended graphene \( pn \) junction device with suspension height \( h = 600 \text{ nm} \), contact spacing \( L = 1680 \text{ nm} \), and average flake width \( W = 2125 \text{ nm} \). (b) Mean carrier density as a function of \( V_g = V_L = V_R \), based on a 3D electrostatic simulation. Experimental and theoretical data of the two-terminal conductance at (c), (d) \( B_z = 0 \) and (e), (f) \( B_z = 0.2 \text{ T} \). Both (c) and (e) were measured at temperature \( T = 1.4 \text{ K} \), while the simulations were done at zero temperature using (d) \( s_f = 100 \) and (f) \( s_f = 50 \) scaled graphene.
very different from the diffusive sharp ones [34–36]. Note that here we have considered Anderson-type disorder by adding to the model Hamiltonian (4) the potential term $\sum_i U_i c_i \dagger c_i$, where $U_i$ is a random number $U_i \in [-U_{\text{dis}}/2, U_{\text{dis}}/2]$ with disorder strength $U_{\text{dis}} = 6 \text{ meV}$ used in the theory map of Fig. 3(f). The quantized conductance in the unipolar blocks of the simulated map is found to be robust against the disorder potential, whose quantitative effect is yet to be established for the scaled graphene and is beyond the scope of the present discussion.

Finally, we apply the scaling approach to uncover one of the experimentally feasible but unexplored transport regimes: gate-defined zero-field conductance quantization of single-layer graphene. We consider a ballistic graphene device with encapsulation of hexagonal boron nitride [22,37] subject to a global backgate and a pair of trap-pezoidal bottom gates, forming a 150-nm-wide gate-defined device with encapsulation of hexagonal boron nitride of single-layer graphene. We consider a ballistic graphene regimess: gate-defined zero-field conductance quantization of the experimentally feasible but unexplored transport

against the disorder potential, whose quantitative effect is yet to be established for the scaled graphene and is beyond the scope of the present discussion.

In the unipolar configuration ($n_{\text{bg}} n_{\text{bog}} > 0$), electrons can freely tunnel between the bottom- and backgated regions, such that no conductance quantization is expected. In the bipolar configuration ($n_{\text{bg}} n_{\text{bog}} < 0$), however, Klein collimation [38] suppresses oblique tunneling across the $pn$ interfaces, separating the conduction through the narrow channel from that through the $n_{\text{bog}}$ region, and the total conductance is expected to vary in discrete quanta of $4e^2/h$ (valley and spin degeneracies) when tuning the channel density $n_{\text{bog}}$. This is indeed observed in the 2D map of the transmission function $T(n_{\text{bg}}, n_{\text{bog}})$ reported in Fig. 4(b), assuming fixed density of $1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the left and right leads (mimicking $n$-doping contacts). A line cut at $n_{\text{bog}} \approx -5.14 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ is shown in Fig. 4(a), where a clear profile of the quantized conductance plateaus in the bipolar regime can be seen.

Contrary to the reported signatures of quantized conductance of graphene nanoribbons [39] and suspended graphene nanoconstrictions [40], the proposed scheme here is based on a flexible and tunable way of electrical gating using unetched wide graphene such that no localization is expected, and the fabrication process does not require any poorly controlled etching or electrical burning process. In addition, the conductance plateaus predicted here have a rather different origin compared to the usual size quantization (e.g., Ref. [41]). This is illustrated by showing the band structure, considering a unit cell cut from the right part of the same model flake [marked by the dashed stripe in the right inset of Fig. 4(a)]. Examples of the resulting hybrid band structures are shown in Figs. 4(c)–4(f), each composed of a dense Dirac cone from the outer wide ($n_{\text{bog}}$) region and discrete bands from the inner narrow ($n_{\text{bg}}$) region. The former is responsible for a background contribution to the total $T$ leading to a conductance minimum well above 0 (contrary to, e.g., Ref. [40]), and the latter influences $T$ in a different way depending on the relative polarities of the two regions. In the unipolar examples of Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), bands of the two regions mix together, such that $T$ changes abruptly whenever a discrete band is newly populated or depopulated [such as Fig. 4(f)].

In conclusion, we have shown that the physics of real graphene can be well captured by studying properly scaled, artificial graphene. This important fact indicates that the number of lattice sites required in transport simulations for graphene based on tight-binding models need not be as massive as in actual graphene sheets. The scaling parameter $s_f$, also applicable to bilayer graphene [42], scales down the amount of the Hamiltonian matrix elements of the simulated graphene flake by a factor of $s_f^{-4}$ and hence strongly reduces the computation overhead (see the Supplemental Material [29]), making previously prohibited micron-scale 2D devices accessible to accurate simulations. Our findings advance the power of quantum transport simulations for graphene in a simpler and more natural way as compared to the
finite-difference method for massless Dirac fermions [43], allowing for reliable predictions for electric properties of complex graphene devices. The illustrated example of applying the scaled graphene to explore one of the new transport regimes—gate-defined zero-field conductance quantization—can be one of the next challenges for graphene transport experiments.
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[29] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.036601 for numerical examples of the carrier density profile \( n(x, y) \) simulated for the device, the carrier density as a function of energy and magnetic field \( n(E, B_z) \) using scaled graphene ribbons, unipolar quantum Hall data for the measurement and simulation, evaluation of the gate efficiency from the Landau fan diagram, and comments on the speedup and bilayer graphene.


