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1. Introduction

The detection of (bio)molecules in in vitro or in vivo is a key issue in various fields of bioanalysis. In addition to the qualitative evidence of these targets, i.e. their presence in the biological system, their quantification is at least equally important. Changes in the (bio)molecule concentration for example can indicate responses of a cell to extracellular stimuli interacting with cell receptors. The detection system for a low concentration of the (bio)molecule has to be highly sensitive to the target but also highly selective to avoid false positive results by chemically or structurally similar compounds.

Both high sensitivity and selectivity can be achieved by using techniques that are based on optical phenomena. Especially fluorescence methods are among the most important detection systems in this regard since they are also versatile, non-invasive, and enable a high spatial resolution.[1] However, most (bio)molecules that are of high interest in research as well as in clinical studies rarely show intrinsic fluorescence. Therefore, a variety of luminescent labels is available that can be linked to the target with high selectivity, partially even directly in biological samples without the need for sample purification. Organic fluorophores are commonly used for this purpose, since these small molecules can easily be modified by conventional reactions to show the required binding functionality without any changes in their optical properties. A drawback of these labels is their susceptibility to photobleaching which hampers their use in long-term studies. Another class of labels is based on inorganic materials on the nanometer scale. The optical characteristics of nanosized semiconductor materials, so called quantum dots, only rely on the material employed and the nanoparticle size. They show no photobleaching[2,3], have a higher emission intensity signal, allow an optimization of both the synthesis and the surface modification, and enable the simultaneous introduction of various functionalities and properties into one nanoparticle. Consequently, these fluorescent labels are more suitable for bioanalysis than organic fluorophores. Most quantum dot materials, such as CdS or CdSe, however, display a high (cyto)toxicity due to the heavy metal cadmium rendering them unsuitable for any bioanalytical application[3,4].

Nanoparticles made of upconverting materials (UCNPs), in contrast, are not only photostable and basically nontoxic, but also enable a shift of the excitation wavelength from the ultraviolet or visible range to the near infrared domain. This change in irradiation light allows studies with drastically reduced photodamage and autofluorescence of biological species. The underlying Anti-Stokes process “upconversion” describes one way to convert low-energy photons (NIR) by sequential absorption into a photon with a shorter wavelength (mostly VIS). This phenomenon, investigated thoroughly by Auzel[5] in the 1960s, occurs with a high efficiency also in nanosized inorganic materials doped with lanthanide ions such as ytterbium, erbium, and/or thulium. The optical characteristics of...
the resulting upconversion emission can be divided in two classes according to their dependence on the nanoparticle size. While several parameters such as number, wavelength, and peak width of the emissions are unaffected by the reduction of the upconverting material, other properties like the emission intensity are strongly affected by the altered surface-to-volume ratio owing to enhanced quenching effects.

However, since most syntheses for monodisperse and bright UCNPs rely on hydrophobic size-controlling and surface-capping agents such as oleic acid, the resulting nanoparticles have hydrophobic surfaces unsuitable for any kind of bioanalytical applications. Therefore, surface engineering is indispensable in order to benefit from the advantageous chemical and optical characteristics of UCNPs. Several methods that were originally developed for other nanoparticle types like quantum dots\[6\] and silica nanoparticles\[7, 8\] are applicable for these modifications. However, this surface engineering process has to be adjusted to account for the differences in the surface chemistry of the UCNPs compared to the original targets of these functionalization techniques. Methods based on non-covalent interactions such as ligand exchange or covalent binding such as the growth of a silica shell and a potential silanization creates a hydrophilic UCNP surface and consequently renders these nanoparticles dispersible in aqueous systems. The use of Click chemistry, more precisely the Huisgen cycloaddition of an azide and an alkyne\[9, 10\], enables a potential direct reaction of the nanoparticle surface with a suitable compound both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}, since this reaction as well as both functionalities are bioorthogonal\[11\]. As a result, both functionalities as well as their coupling reaction neither interfere with any biochemical reactions nor are affected by (bio)chemical species. Additionally, both functional groups are exchangeable against each other enabling a flexible optimization of the Click reaction.

Although these modified UCNPs can be used as labels in different bioanalytical applications, they are prone to several detrimental effects. Changes, for example in the composition of the nanoparticle surroundings, can lead to enhanced aggregation tendencies, a loss or alteration of the surface functionalities, or accumulation of the nanoparticles, especially in \textit{in vivo} studies. Therefore, the creation of a biomimetic surface by using virus capsids is an approach of great interest to obtain stable nanostructures, so-called virus-like nanoparticles (VLPs)\[12, 13\]. They combine the extremely high long-term stability, biocompatibility\[12, 14, 15\] as well as long blood circulation durations of the virus capsids with the unique optical characteristics of UCNPs. The synthesis of these VLPs can be performed according to three different approaches.\[12\] Firstly, the nucleation and growth of the nanoparticle inside the assembled virus capsid via an introduction of the starting materials; secondly, the attachment of the nanoparticle material to protein parts that later form the inner surface of the
virus capsid; thirdly, the use of a surface-engineered nanoparticle to act as the new nucleation grain to initiate the capsid self-assembly after the removal of the viral RNA\(^{[16, 17]}\).

The use of UCNPs as luminescent labels is also hindered by the lack of measurement instruments with a NIR excitation source to detect the upconversion emission in biological systems. Since UCNPs have only recently become commercially available, upconversion devices usually are self-made in most research laboratories. Therefore, the manufacturing and optimization efforts of such devices have to be intensified to enable a more commercial usability of UCNPs. Especially imaging applications with UCNP labels currently rely more on more sophisticated methods such as luminescence microscopy\(^{[18-21]}\) or on self-made instruments, especially for whole animal imaging\(^{[22-24]}\). The transfer of scanning measurements of any kind of specimen from these instruments to a commercially available upconversion reader will greatly enhance the rapidity and accuracy of the scan, but foremost the comparability of results from different research groups which is necessary for a standardization of UCNP labels.

The aim of this thesis was on the one hand the creation of virus-like particles (VLPs) with surface-modified UCNPs as the nucleation grains. A variety of modifications, relying either on covalent or non-covalent interactions, were investigated regarding their stability in aqueous systems and thereby their aggregation tendency, any changes in the nanoparticle diameter after the modification, and the strength of the resulting surface charge. As-modified UCNPs with a negative surface charge were decisive for the assembly of virus-like particles (VLP). The concept of Click chemistry, more precisely the copper(I)-catalyzed Huisgen cycloaddition, was also applied on very small UCNPs in order to create a versatile functionalization platform on the nanoparticle surface. This modal functionalization system was suitable for subsequent reactions to generate a negative nanoparticle surface by different functionalities.

On the other hand, this work was focused on adapting and optimizing an upconversion microtiter plate reader for scanning UCNPs in (bio)imaging applications. UCNPs doped with ytterbium and either erbium or thulium were utilized for the instrument optimization as well as for the scanning real sample. The effect of several parameters such as the collecting time, scan point distance and the choice of filters were studied in order to optimize the scanning process. The lateral resolution, the limit of detection, and the signal-to-noise ratio were the most crucial optimization indicators during this process. The optimized scanning mode was applied to real samples by the readout of agarose gel electrophoresis and lateral flow assays. Furthermore, the increase of the UCNP emission by surface plasmon resonance by a gold surface was investigated.
2. Background

2.1 Upconversion

2.1.1 Mechanisms of Upconversion

Upconversion (UC), as described for the first time in the 1960s\cite{5}, belongs to the Anti-Stokes processes and describes one way to convert low-energy photons into a photon of higher energy with the others being simultaneous two-photon absorption (STPA) and second harmonic generation (SHG). Similar to other nonlinear optical processes, the upconversion luminescence intensity substantially depends on the power density of the excitation source.\cite{5, 25, 26} However, there are several photophysical features that clearly differentiate upconversion from other processes such as STPA and SHG and render it much more favorably for bioanalytical applications. Firstly, only discrete, metastable and long-lived energy levels are occupied during the excitation process without the need of virtual levels necessary for STPA and SHG (Figure 2.1). Secondly, the absorption of the two excitation photons has to occur simultaneously with almost no possible delay of the second photon both for the STPA and SHG process owing to these distinction of the energy level, whereas UC relies on the sequential absorption of at least two excitation photons with a longer possible delay between the different absorption processes.

![Diagram of UC, STPA, and SHG](image)

**Figure 2.1** Schematic illustration of the two-photon processes “upconversion” (UC), “simultaneous two-photon absorption” (STPA) and “second harmonic generation” (SHG). Solid lines: ground state (G), discrete energy levels (E<sub>1/2</sub>); dashed lines: virtual energy levels; red arrows: absorption; green arrows: emission. The shifted arrangement of the red arrows for UC indicates the sequential photon absorption, while the on-the-line arrangement for STPA and SHG indicates the simultaneous absorption.

Thirdly, the quantum efficiency (\(\eta\)) of the three processes differs significantly, provided the same excitation density is used. While the efficiency for STPA (\(\eta = 10^{-13}\)) and SHG (\(\eta = 10^{-11}\)) are quite low, UC (\(\eta = 10^{-5} - 10^{-3}\)) shows an efficiency several magnitudes higher depending on the upconversion mechanism. The high influence of the considered upconverting material on the quantum efficiency has to be taken into account for a conclusive comparison as well.\cite{27}
As implied previously, the upconversion process can be ascribed to three mechanisms: excited state absorption (ESA), energy transfer upconversion (ETU), and photon avalanche (PA). After the temporary storage in transition energy levels the accumulated energy of the excitation photons is combined during all three mechanisms to stimulate the emission of higher-energy light, mostly in the visible range.

ESA is the only mechanism taking place in a single ion and thus is independent of the ion concentration (Figure 2.2a). After the absorption of one photon of matching energy to promote the electron from the ground state \( G \) to the metastable energy level \( E_1 \), a second photon excites the electron to the final energy level \( E_2 \). Finally, the emission of a higher-energy photon occurs by an \( E_2 \)\(-\)\( G \) transition of the electron.\(^{[5, 26, 28]}\)

The ETU mechanism can be derived from the ESA by including a second ion in the excitation mechanism. The precise excitation process can occur according to different excitation sequences and two of them will be described in the following. The first possibility includes the excitation of one electron of each ion to the intermediate energy level \( E_1 \) (Figure 2.2b). A subsequent energy transfer from ion 1 (energy donor) to ion 2 (energy acceptor) promotes the electron of the energy acceptor to the emitting energy level \( E_2 \), while the electron of the energy donor returns to the ground state \( G \). Again, the transition of the electron from \( E_2 \) to \( G \) leads to the emission of a higher-energy photon. In the second excitation sequence one ion completely takes over the role as the energy donor while the other one solely acts as the energy acceptor (Figure 2.2c). In two sequential runs the energy donor (ion 2) absorbs a photon, transfers the energy to the second ion (ion 1) and its electron returns to the ground state and thus promotes the electron of the energy acceptor to energy level \( E_2 \) resulting in the emission of a higher-energy photon.\(^{[5, 26, 28]}\)

![Figure 2.2](image-url) Schematic illustration of the different mechanisms mainly contributing to the upconversion process: (a) excited state absorption (ESA), (b/c) energy transfer upconversion (ETU, way 1/2), (d) photon avalanche. Solid red arrows: absorption; dashed blue arrows: energy transfer; dash-dotted teal arrows: cross relaxation; solid green arrows: emission.
The third mechanism PA also employs two ions during the excitation process but a specific threshold of the excitation intensity has to be passed for this mechanism to occur. The first step during the excitation succession is a non-resonant transition of an electron of the ion 1 from G to \( E_1 \) followed by a resonant excitation to \( E_2 \) (Figure 2.2d). A cross relaxation between this excited ion and a neighboring ion leads to the transition \( E_2-E_1 \) in ion 1 and the transition \( G-E_1 \) in ion 2. The absorption of an excitation photon can promote both ions to the \( E_2 \) level starting the cross relaxation/absorption cycle anew. This “avalanche” process results in an exponential increase of the population of the \( E_2 \) energy level and thus enables a strong UC emission after the \( E_2-G \) transition of each ion.\(^{5,26,28}\)

The percentage of the overall upconversion emission of each mechanism differs strongly due to their substantially varying upconversion emission efficiency, i.e. quantum efficiency. The energy transfer upconversion (ETU) results in a nearly simultaneous emission after the excitation process without temporal delay which is independent of the intensity of excitation source. In contrast, the emission takes several seconds to occur after excitation for the photon avalanche (PA) process provided a sufficiently high excitation density is given.\(^{5,28}\) Although the ESA process features a lower efficiency by a factor of 100 compared to the ETU mechanism, it is still several magnitudes more efficient than other two-photon processes.\(^{27}\)

### 2.1.2 Design of Upconverting Materials

The development of upconverting materials requires a sophisticated and thorough tuning of the inorganic material, the so-called host material, the doped ions, and the dopant concentration to obtain a strong upconversion luminescence. While the host material sets the spatial properties of the dopants and the probability of non-radiative processes, the dopant type and its concentration defines the wavelengths of the upconversion emission and the efficiency of the upconversion process, respectively. Especially the number of different dopants strongly influences the upconversion phenomenon, since for an efficient ETU mechanism\(^{5}\) two ions are necessary, optimally with differing photophysical properties.

Requirements for the host material with declining priority are a high chemical stability to avoid degradation, low phonon energy to avoid non-radiative relaxation of the excited states of the dopants, and low crystal symmetry to enhance transition probabilities during the upconversion process. Various inorganic crystals including oxides\(^{29-38}\), oxysulfides\(^{39}\), oxyfluorides\(^{40-42}\), phosphates\(^{43}\), and halides\(^{44-50}\) are usable to create upconverting materials and meet these characteristics differently. Oxides and phosphates show a high stability in most chemically demanding systems but have high phonon energies of above 500 cm\(^{-1}\) and above 1000 cm\(^{-1}\),...
respectively. Oxysulfides show a strong proneness to acidic conditions. The class of fluoride materials bears a special position compared to the heavier halides. While a very low phonon energy (approx. 300 cm\(^{-1}\)) is typical for all halides, only fluorides are chemically stable and are therefore the materials of choice for most research applications. The importance of the last crucial characteristic mentioned above, the extent of crystal symmetry, can significantly be seen when investigating the two modifications of the fluoride NaYF\(_4\), one of the most commonly used host materials so far. While the cubic modification (\(\alpha\)-NaYF\(_4\)) creates a dopant environment with a high symmetry, the crystal structure around the various doped ions differs from each other in a host material with the hexagonal modification (\(\beta\)-NaYF\(_4\)). These differences in the dopant environment strongly influence the transition probability. Its extent increases with rising system asymmetry for specific transitions that are parity forbidden in highly symmetric systems according to the Laporte rule. Consequently, \(\beta\)-NaYF\(_4\) has a higher upconversion efficiency by one order of magnitude than \(\alpha\)-NaYF\(_4\). The central role of lanthanide ions as the dopants of choice for upconverting materials can be attributed to several of their unique and intrinsic characteristics. All lanthanides in nature can occur as trivalent ions (Ln\(^{3+}\)). The strongly similar ion radius of these ions to other cations like Na\(^+\) and Y\(^{3+}\) allows the formation of host materials with less point defects due to doping. Therefore, host materials consisting of these ions with lanthanide doping lead to an enhanced upconversion process compared to other inorganic crystals and thus doped NaYF\(_4\) features the highest upconversion efficiency known. Trivalent lanthanide ions with the electronic configuration [Xe] 4f\(^n\) (n= 0-14) also show only a weak electron-phonon interaction since the electrons in the completely occupied 5s and 5p orbitals (i.e. 5s\(^2\) 5p\(^6\)) efficiently shield off the 4f-electrons from the chemical environment. Although the electron transitions between two f-orbitals, i.e. f-f transitions, are parity forbidden according to the Laporte rule, the use of host lattices with a high asymmetry renders these transitions partially allowed and thus increases their probability, as mentioned above. These two electronic characteristics of lanthanide ions result in narrow and sharp f-f transition bands and a long lifetime of the excited states. A prerequisite for lanthanide ions to show upconversion is the presence of more than one excited energy level given the underlying mechanisms ESA, ETU, and PA (Figure 2.2). Almost all lanthanides meet these conditions more than satisfactory with the only exceptions being lanthanum (La\(^{3+}\)), cerium (Ce\(^{3+}\)), ytterbium (Yb\(^{3+}\)), and lutetium (Lu\(^{3+}\)) and thus can act as activators, i.e. as emitting ions during the upconversion process. The lanthanides erbium (Er\(^{3+}\)), holmium (Ho\(^{3+}\)), and thulium (Tm\(^{3+}\)), in particular, are popular activators. Their ladder-like configuration of the 4f energy levels perfectly enables an efficient upconversion process by photon absorption and energy transfers under single wavelength excitation.
Upconverting materials can be created by singly doping the host material with one of these emitting lanthanides. The resulting upconversion process, however, nearly exclusively relies on the quite inefficient ESA mechanism (Figure 2.2a) and these activators also feature low absorption cross sections. Consequently, only a low upconversion luminescence can be observed for these upconverting materials. A raise of the dopant concentration leads to a higher likelihood of occurrence of the ETU mechanism due to a decrease in the ion distance\cite{21, 60} and may enable an envisaged intensity increase of this emission. The impending quenching effect by cross relaxation between two excited ions at higher dopant concentrations\cite{21, 60-62} yet certainly limits the advantageous effect of this option. Therefore, the level of doping with erbium/holmium or thulium should not exceed 3% and 0.5%, respectively, of the overall lanthanide concentration in the material.\cite{28, 29, 60, 62} This disadvantageous phenomenon clearly diminishes the usefulness of just one dopant to obtain an efficient and thus bright upconverting material.

The co-doping with another lanthanide, a so-called sensitizer, can greatly enhance the upconversion efficiency. These additional dopants ideally feature a significantly larger absorption cross section than the activators whose cross section is generally low\cite{28, 63}. The lanthanide ion ytterbium (Yb\textsuperscript{3+}) with only one excited state may not be of use for upconversion as an activator but this lack of any emitting energy levels predestines it as a highly effective sensitizer. Additional to its high absorption cross section\cite{64, 65} the energy gap between its two energy levels \(^{2}F_{7/2}\) and \(^{2}F_{5/2}\) perfectly matches the excitation photon energy (\(\lambda = 980\text{nm}\)) as well as the energy necessary to promote electrons of the activators Er\textsuperscript{3+} and Tm\textsuperscript{3+} to their respective emitting energy level.\cite{52, 65, 66} This condition allows a highly efficient energy transfer to these activators and thus greatly strengthens the probability of the ETU process. In contrast to the activators, the concentration of the sensitizers can be chosen significantly higher, around 15-25% of the overall lanthanide concentration in the material.\cite{28, 60} The resulting upconversion luminescence is by far stronger compared to materials doped with only an activator due to the much higher efficiencies of the ETU and PA process. According to these unique characteristics the doping with both ytterbium (Yb\textsuperscript{3+}) and erbium (Er\textsuperscript{3+}) or thulium (Tm\textsuperscript{3+}) leads to the most efficient upconverting materials known so far. The proposed upconversion process for NaYF\textsubscript{4} doped with ytterbium (Yb\textsuperscript{3+}) and erbium (Er\textsuperscript{3+}) or thulium (Tm\textsuperscript{3+}) is given in Figure 2.3.

The upconversion process with erbium as the activator results in emissions in the green and red spectral range. The transitions \(^{2}H_{11/2} - ^{4}I_{15/2}\), \(^{4}S_{3/2} - ^{4}I_{15/2}\), and \(^{4}F_{9/2} - ^{4}I_{15/2}\), are two photon processes and are responsible for the green emissions at 520 nm or 540 nm and the red emission at 655 nm.\cite{67-74} Thulium-doping leads to an upconversion luminescence located at 450 nm, 475 nm, 646 nm, 696 nm, and 800 nm. The blue emissions corresponding to the \(^{1}D_{2} - ^{3}F_{4}\) and the \(^{3}G_{4} - ^{3}H_{6}\) transitions are based on a 4- and 3-photon process. The emissions in the red and near infrared range rely almost
exclusively on 2-photon processes and are assigned to the $^3F_2 - ^3H_6$, $^3F_3 - ^3H_6$, $^3H_4 - ^3H_6$ transitions, respectively.\cite{28, 29, 67, 68, 74, 75} An exception is the $^1G_4 - ^3F_4$ transition also resulting in an emission at 646 nm but relies on a 3-photon process.\cite{68, 74-76} The magnitude of luminescence at the different wavelengths strongly differs with the near infrared emission at 800 nm being the strongest and the red emissions at 646 nm and 696 nm being the weakest.\cite{61, 74, 75, 77, 78}

![Figure 2.3 Upconversion process with ytterbium as the sensitizer and erbium (left) and thulium (right) as the activators.](image)

### 2.1.3 Upconversion in the Nanometer Scale

For three decades after the first studies, the practical application of upconversion was limited to the use of the bulk material in form of films, glasses or fibers. Its use was a main focus of research especially in regard of optical devices including solid-state lasers\cite{46, 68}, lighting sources\cite{68, 79}, and temperature sensors\cite{30, 48}. Thereafter, finally a growing research effort for the development of efficient synthesis routes emerged to obtain upconverting materials in the micro- or nanometer range. Coprecipitation\cite{76, 80, 81}, thermal decomposition\cite{82}, solvothermal synthesis\cite{83-85}, and sol-gel processing\cite{86} yield upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs). The nanoparticle characteristics including size, monodispersity, shape, surface functionality, or phase modification strongly depend on the type of synthesis and its conditions. UCNPs with a hydrophilic surface, a prerequisite for bioanalytical applications, are obtainable directly during synthesis routes performed in aqueous media such as
hydrothermal synthesis\textsuperscript{[75, 87-90]} or sol-gel processes\textsuperscript{[38, 86]} but these nanoparticles lack a narrow size distribution and a sufficient long term stability in dispersion. Therefore, the synthesis routes such as high-temperature coprecipitation\textsuperscript{[91-93]} and thermal decomposition\textsuperscript{[82, 94-97]} carried out in high-boiling organic solvents like octadecene are favored, since they yield monodisperse and highly stable UCNPs. The necessary control of the nanoparticle nucleation and growth during these synthesis routes is preferentially performed by the use of oleic acid which at the same time acts as capping agent on the surface to stabilize the nanoparticles in dispersion. Other potential agents are triocetylphosphine\textsuperscript{[98]}, triocetylphosphine oxide\textsuperscript{[95]}, N-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine\textsuperscript{[45, 99, 100]}, or oleyl amine\textsuperscript{[101]} but they play only a marginal role. Since all these favorable synthesis techniques result in a hydrophobic UCNP surface, subsequent modification steps to create a hydrophilic surface are indispensable for bioanalysis. A short overview on the most common technique is given in chapter 2.2.

Furthermore, UCNPs are the only choice to use upconversion luminescence as a detection signal in (bio)analysis, since an efficient interaction with biological targets with a high selectivity and sensitivity is only feasible on the nanoscale, the same size dimension as most biomolecules. However, as the size of the upconverting material is reduced, surface-related effects are amplified due to an increasing surface-to-volume ratio. Most of these effects lead to a decrease of the upconversion emission intensity owing to an enhanced probability of non-radiative relaxation. Energy migration via lanthanide ions from the nanoparticle center to the surface\textsuperscript{[56, 71]}, point defects of the crystal structure of the host material at the surface created by lanthanide doping\textsuperscript{[102-104]}, or the high vibrational states of surface-bound molecules matching the phonon states of the host material\textsuperscript{[103-105]} can be considered as reasons or promoters of this detrimental impact on the upconversion luminescence. Especially water with its two O-H bonds show high vibrational states and thus has a stronger quenching effect than organic compounds being either solvent or capping molecules.\textsuperscript{[106-109]}

There are some preventive measures against this quenching including the growth of a pure host material shell, a so called inert shell, on the UCNP surface\textsuperscript{[105, 106, 110, 111]} or the use of bulky or branched surface ligands\textsuperscript{[112]}. While the first approach increases the distance between the doped lanthanide ions and capping molecules or disrupts the energy transfer to the surface\textsuperscript{[56, 71, 107, 113]}, the second option entails an improved shielding of the actual UCNP surface from solvent molecules, especially water in aqueous systems. The increase of the UCNP diameter is one of the most apparent options to improve the brightness. However, its benefit for bioanalysis is limited despite the consequent reduced impact of quenching effects on the detected luminescence signal. Especially smaller UCNPs are favorable as labels for bioanalytical applications since they exert only a very low influence on cellular structures. The cellular uptake mechanism such as endocytotic or paracellular
uptake also strongly depends on the particle size.\textsuperscript{[114-116]} Consequently, a compromise between the brightness and the (bio)applicability of UCNPs has to be found.

In the last years the influence of nanomaterials on the environment and especially on the health of living organisms, the so called cytotoxicity, became more and more an object of interest both for researchers as well as for the general public.\textsuperscript{[117]} The prominent example asbestos was a promising material for many different areas of application but showed a high cytotoxicity and carcinogenicity due to the presence of micron-sized fibers in the material that are easily released into the air by different processes.\textsuperscript{[118-120]} Therefore, the determination of the cytotoxicity of UCNPs as a new nanomaterial is crucial for bioanalytical applications. Various investigation methods are applied including viability tests of cells\textsuperscript{[121-126]} or small organisms\textsuperscript{[127]} or in vivo investigation of the nanoparticle biodistribution\textsuperscript{[22, 123-125, 127, 128]}.

2.1.4 Luminescence Enhancement by Surface Plasmon Resonance

The enhancement of the upconversion luminescence is a key topic of research for the optimization of the synthesis and design of upconverting materials. However, nanosized dimensions and a high hydrophilicity of these materials are required, particularly in bioanalytical fields. These necessary nanoparticle characteristics lead to enhanced surface-related effects like quenching which strongly reduces the achievable brightness, as described earlier. In addition to the coating with a shell made of host material, either undoped or doped\textsuperscript{[129]}, other materials proved to be useful to both provide a good shielding of the nanoparticle surface from quenchers and to strengthen the emission intensity. The plasmonic enhancement of the upconversion luminescence by noble metals is a method whose usage for bioanalysis just recently became the focus of enforced studies. Surface plasmon resonance describes the coherent oscillation of valence electrons of a metal induced by light.\textsuperscript{[4, 117, 130-132]} This phenomenon has been extensively employed as an analytical measurement signal in the past, especially with the noble metal gold, both in the form of surface layers or nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{[9, 133-136]} The enhancement of luminescence by this phenomenon is well known for different organic fluorophores\textsuperscript{[137-141]} and quantum dots\textsuperscript{[142, 143]} if the metal surface is in close proximity to these luminescent species with the right spatial distance. This rise in intensity can be attributed to an increased radiative rate of the emission or a strengthening of the excitation intensity. These effects can also occur simultaneously and thus combine their impact on the upconversion luminescence.\textsuperscript{[26]}

Recent studies showed that this effect also has a beneficial impact on the upconversion luminescence of UCNPs. There are three major structural designs used during these investigations (Figure 2.4) to take advantage of this enhancement\textsuperscript{[26]}, (a) the deposition of UCNPs on a gold or silver
surface\textsuperscript{31, 144, 145}, (b) the attachment of metal nanoparticles on the UCNP surface either by electrostatic interactions\textsuperscript{146-152} or by their direct synthesis on the UCNP surface\textsuperscript{126, 146, 153, 154}, (c) the design of a nanoparticle structure with a UCNP/metal core, a metal/UCNP outer shell, and a spacing layer of silica\textsuperscript{155-159}.

![Figure 2.4 Structural designs for plasmon enhancement: (a) deposition of UCNPs on a gold surface with a spacer layer, (b) attachment (top) or direct synthesis (bottom) of gold nanoparticles on the UCNP surface mediated by gold-specific binding sites, (c) coating of an UCNP (left) or gold nanoparticle (right) with a spacer shell on the surface and a second shell made of gold or UCNP material.](image)

All these options lead to an increase of the upconversion luminescence, partially by extremely high factors, but a thorough and efficient optimization of the design is indispensable for such results. While the enhancement obtainable by (a) and (c) depends first and foremost on the optimal distance between metal and UCNP, a precise tuning of the gold nanoparticle surface or the synthesis parameters for (b) is crucial for a successful attachment. All these factors place high demands on the synthesis reproducibility and accuracy of the different structural designs.

In contrast to these enhancement studies, there is also evidence that the surface plasmon resonance may lead to a decrease of the overall upconversion emission.\textsuperscript{26, 146, 155, 160} This phenomenon can be reduced to either a resonance energy transfer from the upconverting material to the noble metal or absorption of the upconversion emission by the noble metal.\textsuperscript{26, 161} The determination of the quantum yield can indicate if an enhancement or a quenching of the upconversion luminescence is prevalent and may be informative of the nature of the associated causes mentioned before. There are well established methods for fluorophores and quantum dots to obtain this information. However, an unambiguous cause assignment is complicated for UCNPs due to the non-linearity of the upconversion process and thus its dependency on the excitation density.\textsuperscript{26, 161} Nevertheless, it is unquestioned that a direct contact or a too close proximity of UCNP and metal strongly promotes luminescence quenching by SPR which again reinforce the necessity of an optimized structure design including a suiting spacer component.
2.1.5 Advantages of Upconverting Nanoparticles for Bioanalysis

Nowadays, organic fluorophores and quantum dots are well established and common luminescent tools for bioanalytical applications. Despite their vast use as probes, labels, or sensor parts they have quite a few intrinsic characteristics which are adverse to their applicability in biological systems. The photophysical and material-related nature of UCNPs addresses several of these drawbacks and thus either eliminates or at least weakens their impact on any potential application. The first advantage of UCNPs in this regard is at the same time one of the most fundamental and representative aspects of the upconversion process: the use of near infrared light as the excitation source. While fluorophores and quantum dots need high-energy UV/VIS irradiation to show luminescence, UCNPs emit visible light upon the absorption of NIR photons, mostly with a wavelength of 980 nm.

The near infrared spectral range between 750 nm and 1000 nm is often referred to as the "optical window"[18, 73, 77, 78, 102, 162-165] whose limit definition can vary about 100 nm. Photons of these wavelengths show only low interaction with biological systems in contrast to UV photons[166] entailing the following benefits of NIR light for bioanalytical applications. Biological species, above all biomolecules such as proteins or oligonucleotides, show no fluorescence under NIR excitation[5, 167-169] which is one of the major problems of the use of UV light in bioanalysis. This virtually zero background fluorescence allows studies with higher signal-to-noise ratio and thus improves the sensitivity and/or resolution of the respective application.[22, 95] Also hardly any photodamage against biological samples by NIR excitation is detectable due to its low energy content. Local thermal damaging, however, may occur due to heating effects[170, 171]. A shift of the excitation wavelength from 980 nm to 800 nm realizable by an additional doping with the sensitizer neodymium (Nd3+) can partly avoid this effect owing to a lower absorption coefficient of water at this wavelength[171, 172]. Furthermore, the penetration depth of NIR light is by far greater than for UV- or visible light ranging from a millimeter to several centimeters[173-176] instead of a few millimeters at most[166, 177, 178] and thus enables the excitation of labels or probes even in subjacent tissue layers[179].

However, since the emission bands of UCNPs are primarily located in the visible range, i.e. outside the "optical window", absorption and scattering phenomena caused by biological species also start to influence the detectability of the luminescence emission signal.[180] An exception is the emission of thulium-doped materials at 800 nm which falls in the optical window and thus can be detected with a relatively high sensitivity.[49, 106, 162] Moreover, there is a significant advantage of the upconversion mechanism regarding the NIR excitation source usable compared to other Anti-Stokes processes. Techniques relying on two-photon absorption, for example, need quite sophisticated and high-cost
excitation sources with very high power density \(10^6 - 10^9 \text{ W cm}^{-2}\) that are predominantly utilized in a pulsed mode to avoid sample damages.\[^{28, 87}\] In contrast, the use of simple and inexpensive continuous-wave laser diodes as the excitation source with a comparatively low power output density \(1-10^3 \text{ W cm}^{-2}\) is both sufficient to induce upconversion and benign for most biological samples.\[^{28, 68, 87, 106, 179}\]

Luminescent technologies aiming at a long term detection of the target require probes or labels that are compatible with sustained or brief but frequent irradiation intervals and give a continuous signal during excitation. Common organic fluorophores are susceptible to photobleaching, i.e. molecular damages due to intense or prolonged excitation.\[^{20, 181-186}\] Although quantum dots hardly photobleach over time\[^{3, 28, 187-191}\], they show an intermittent emission behavior during excitation, so called "blinking"\[^{4, 133, 192, 193}\], with a dwell time in the dark state of up to several seconds.\[^{2, 3}\] In contrast, the upconversion emission remains stable without any indication of "blinking".\[^{168, 194, 195}\] It is also unaffected by NIR irradiation over a longer period of time\[^{23, 168, 171, 194-200}\] since the luminescence originates from 4f atomic orbitals instead of molecular orbitals.\[^{121, 151, 196}\] Therefore, UCNPs surpass both organic fluorophores and quantum dots as luminescent labels or probes in long-term studies.\[^{26, 91, 198, 201}\]

The emission bands of UCNPs, more precisely their respective wavelength, their width and their number, also result in several features favorable for bioanalytical applications. The emission wavelengths remain unaffected by any changes in UCNP diameter,\[^{202}\] whereas the absorption and emission spectra of quantum dots are strongly size dependent.\[^{6, 83, 203-205}\] The emission bands with a width of approximately 10 nm\[^{206, 207}\] are extremely sharp and are also well separated from the excitation peak owing to a large Anti-Stokes shift.\[^{79, 177}\] In contrast, the broad emission bands of organic fluorophores have only a quite small Stokes shift.\[^{76}\] The most striking characteristic of the upconversion emission spectra, however, is the presence of more than one emission band which enables the use of UCNPs in several important applications. Firstly, the assignment of a specific luminescent code to a sample, also called encoding, allows its direct identification for example in high throughput screening or combinatorial chemistry, especially important in the submicron domain where a purely spatial sample differentiation is impossible.\[^{175, 182, 208, 209}\] Secondly, a ratiometric measurement exploits the presence of an unaltered upconversion emission as an intrinsic reference to take drifts and fluctuations of the signal into account and to cancel out their influence on the evaluation.\[^{163, 179, 208, 210, 211}\] Thirdly, multiplexing, i.e. the simultaneous detection of several targets in one system,\[^{26, 105}\] can be realized with UCNPs, since the emission wavelengths of nanoparticles with different dopings are spectrally well separated and thus allow an unambiguous detection signal differentiation.\[^{83, 91, 212-219}\]
As has been mentioned earlier, a low cytotoxicity and thus a high biocompatibility are decisive for the use of luminescent nanomaterials in bioanalysis. Beside its many favorable characteristics, one of the major disadvantages of quantum dots is their harmful effect on biological systems. The most popular material for the quantum dot synthesis, CdSe, is highly susceptible to (photo)oxidation initiated by either air or UV irradiation necessary for excitation.\[^{3,4,204,220,221}\] The heavy metal ion Cd\(^{2+}\) released by this process has been found to be extremely cytotoxic\[^{204,220-222}\]. Although this release can be reduced by the growth of a shell made of a material either less susceptible to (photo)oxidation like ZnS or CdS\[^{3,220,221,223}\] or inert like silica\[^{224}\], long-term studies are indispensable to prove a potential improved biocompatibility and thus applicability in bioanalysis\[^{2,192,204}\]. In contrast, most host materials of UCNPs have a low cytotoxicity as has been shown for ligand-free UCNPs made of Y\(_2\)O\(_3\)\[^{79}\]. However, since hardly any UCNPs without any surface ligands or shells are used in bioanalytical applications, the surface composition is most decisive in this regard provided no detachment or degradation of the surface occurs during application. The range of investigated surface functionalities includes among others small molecules such as citrate\[^{123}\], polymers such as polyacrylic acid (PAA)\[^{57,125,225}\], polyethylenimine (PEI)\[^{178}\], or polyethylene glycol (PEG)\[^{166}\], biomolecules such as folic acid\[^{199}\] or oligonucleotides\[^{126}\], and additional shells such as pure or silanized silica\[^{121,128,183,184,226}\]. All these studies give evidence of a low cytotoxicity independent of the surface rendering UCNPs suitable for bioanalytical applications.

### 2.2 Surface Modifications of Upconverting Nanoparticles

The hydrophobic character of UCNPs originating from the reaction conditions during most favored syntheses is one of the major challenges that have to be overcome for UCNPs being usable as labels or probes in bioanalysis. Additionally, any surface changes should not affect the desired high monodispersity and long-term dispersion stability obtained after a research-intensive and time-consuming process of synthesis variations and optimizations. Therefore, modification methods have to be found that yield modified nanoparticles void of any aggregation tendencies. The countless publications and the magnitude of excellent reviews\[^{53,91,169,182,207,226-228}\] about the surface modification and functionalization of UCNPs from different point of views clearly shows the elevated efforts of research groups in this aspect of UCNP studies. Therefore, only a short insight in a few modification options that were used during this thesis is given in this chapter.
2.2.1 Silica Coating

Silica is one of the most popular materials in nanoscience to create nanoparticles\cite{7, 8, 229-237} or an additional shell on a foreign nanoparticle surface including quantum dots\cite{16, 224, 238}, metal oxide nanoparticles\cite{181, 239-243}, noble metal nanoparticles\cite{131, 132, 244, 245}, or UCNPs\cite{128, 165, 183, 184, 246}. This favoring of silica in nanosciences, especially in regard to surface coating with an adequate layer thickness, can be attributed to its high chemical inertness\cite{6, 229, 241, 243}, hydrophilicity\cite{235, 236}, and the increase of the nanoparticle dispersibility in aqueous systems\cite{8, 78, 80, 128, 165}. The high transparency\cite{247} of silica, a premise for its use as a modification material of luminescent compounds, is particularly pronounced in the NIR region enabling an unaltered excitation of silica-coated UCNPs by light at 980 nm or similar wavelengths\cite{51, 248}. Additionally, the upconversion emission in the visible range is only slightly affected by the coating due to scattering\cite{249}. The high biocompatibility\cite{165, 184, 229, 250}, non-toxicity\cite{231, 239, 250}, and potential prevention of non-specific binding\cite{78} of biological species justifies the popular use of silica in diverse biological applications as a coating material. Another more application-related advantage of silica is its potential functionalization with a well established and versatile process, the so called "silanization", which will be described in chapter 2.2.2.

There are a great number of methods to cover nanoparticles with a silica shell that are primarily based either on the Stöber process or the microemulsion technique. Although the Stöber process was one of the first synthesis routes for pure silica nanoparticles\cite{7}, its applicability can be extended by respective modifications to also grow a silica shell on a hydrophilic nanoparticle surface\cite{10, 51, 80, 251}. However, since most synthesis routes for UCNPs nowadays yield nanoparticles with a hydrophobic surface, the microemulsion technique (Figure 2.5) clearly is more important in research and application than the Stöber process for the growth of a silica shell on the UCNP surface.

![Figure 2.5](image.png)

**Figure 2.5** Scheme of the microemulsion process in three steps: (1) the formation of reversed micelles in an organic solvent by the detergent encompassing an aqueous ammonia solution, (2) Diffusion of TEOS molecules into the micelles and their hydrolysis initiating the growth of a silica shell, (3) growth of the silica shell by further TEOS hydrolysis until complete consumption.
2. BACKGROUND

The central element of this technique is a reversed micelle formed by a detergent in an organic solvent, e.g. cyclohexane. An added tetraalkyl silicate like tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) is hydrolyzed by an aqueous ammonia solution inside of these nanoreactors and forms the silica shell on the UCNP surface by polycondensation. The original hydrophobic surface ligands remain in the organic solvent. The amount of detergent defines the micelle size which should be just slightly larger than the nanoparticle to ensure an inclusion of only a single UCNP in the reversed micelle.[247] Additionally, the thickness of the resulting silica shell can be adjusted by the amount of the tetraalkyl silicate added[156, 244, 252]. This parameter is especially important for distance-dependent phenomena and their use in (bio)analytical applications. A relatively thin layer, for example, is required to ensure an efficient luminescence resonance energy transfer (LRET) from a UCNP to surface-bound dyes[76].

Although a coating of UCNPs by a silica shell introduces a variety of advantageous characteristics, it may also be responsible for enhanced aggregation of the modified UCNPs. As mentioned before, the micelle size sets the number of UCNPs encapsulated in its cavity and thus multicore encapsulation by silica may occur if the detergent concentration is inadequately low resulting in larger or non-spherical micelles.[240, 247, 253] This incorporation of more than one UCNP in a silica shell leads to a higher polydispersity of the nanoparticle dispersion[254] which counteracts the optimization efforts for synthesis routes yielding highly monodisperse UCNPs. Additionally, dispersions of silica-coated UCNPs can show an increased aggregation tendency due to the large surface area and hydrodynamic radius of the modified nanoparticles.[8, 166] The choice of the dispersant composition strongly influences this tendency and an optimization is crucial for the respective silica-coated nanoparticle with a special focus on pH and ionic strength of the dispersant.[69, 255] An easier and more favorable way to reduce aggregation of the silica-coated UCNPs in dispersion is a functionalization of the silica shell by silanization.

2.2.2 Silanization

For many bioanalytical applications, UCNPs have to be equipped with functionalities allowing a directed labeling, also called targeting, or a selective recognition of the respective analyte. Biomolecules like oligonucleotides, proteins, or antibodies are capable to meet these functions and can be easily attached to a silica surface by physical adsorption. However, a higher control over number and orientation of bound biomolecules is desired for most applications. Covalent binding processes provide a good regulation of the final number of biomolecules by preset binding sites and a high accessibility of the biomolecule's active site. Furthermore, covalently bound functionalities cannot detach from the nanoparticle surface during application. Consequently, a high control over the biomolecule attachment can be exerted by these processes. The silanol groups are not accessible
for the direct binding of biomolecules by common linkage reactions and thus a modification with suitable functional groups by silanization is indispensable for the use of silica-coated UCNPs in bioanalysis. This functionalization can be performed either subsequently or simultaneously to the silica shell growth. During the silanization process the alkoxy groups of a silane with the desired functionality are hydrolyzed and condensate to the silanols on the silica surface.

Amine and carboxylic functionalities are among the most popular binding sites for the attachment of biomolecules due to their great number in biomolecules and the well-established and understood activation with N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC). The positive charge of amines present at physiological pH leads to strong aggregation due to the strong electrostatic attraction of amines with the partly negatively charged silanol groups of the silica shell. A conversion into carboxylic acids via anhydrides or the simultaneous silanization with longer-chained or bulkier silanes with negative or neutral head groups are two options to minimize this attraction. In contrast, UCNPs functionalized with carboxylic acids are well stabilized in dispersion by electrostatic repulsion due to the intrinsically negative charge of these groups at physiological pH.

Although the abundant presence of amines and carboxylic acids in biological systems can be favorable for a magnitude of bioanalytical methods, their selective labeling in vitro or in vivo becomes extremely complicated or even impracticable. Functionalities with a high bioorthogonality prove to be convenient substitutes. They enable a binding process which does not interfere or interact with biological processes or species and can still be simply performed with a high selectivity. The bioorthogonal organic azides and terminal alkynes, the components of the copper(I)-catalyzed Huisgen cycloaddition, can easily be brought onto a surface of silica-coated UCNPs by silanization and are highly selective binding sites for (bio)molecules carrying the complementary functionality.

A way to alter the optical properties of UCNPs takes advantage of the simple and reliable silanization process by binding fluorophores to the silica surface. A radiative or non-radiative energy transfer from the UCNP to the bound fluorophore under NIR irradiation enables the tuning of the UCNP emission and thus the creation of nanolamps with an emission spectra customized for the respective application. In contrast, the additional excitation of the fluorophore with the respective UV/VIS light provides a downconversion emission usable as an additional detection signal for multimodal readout. Furthermore, this emission can also act as a signal substitute for the upconversion emission. This surrogate detection signal is especially necessary if no instruments with a NIR excitation source for UCNPs are available and applications, for example the imaging electrophoresis gels, are thus strongly complicated or even impossible.
2.2.3 Ligand Exchange

In contrast to the growth of a silica shell, a ligand exchange relies completely on non-covalent intermolecular interactions between the UCNP surface and hydrophilic molecules, similar to the interaction of the size-controlling and surface-capping agents during synthesis such as oleic acid. Both the original and new surface ligands with their negative moieties take advantage of the intrinsic positive surface charge of UCNPs originating from the doped trivalent lanthanide ions. The arising electrostatic interactions are by far stronger than other intermolecular interactions. According to this beneficial but not mandatory characteristic, hydrophilic species are favorably used for ligand exchange that show at least one negatively charged functionality under the exchange conditions, mostly acidic molecules or polymers. While this so called headgroup coordinates to the UCNP surface, the second functionality present in the new ligand defines the hydrophilicity of the UCNP, their dispersibility in the respective solvent, and their interaction with their chemical or biological surroundings. Consequently, citrate\textsuperscript{[58, 123, 194, 261]} and polyacrylic acid (PAA)\textsuperscript{[56, 112, 125, 146, 262-266]} are among the most favored surface ligands suitable to create hydrophilic UCNP surfaces by ligand exchange, but also other carboxylic acids\textsuperscript{[124, 225, 267, 268]} or derivatives of polyethylene glycol\textsuperscript{[101, 106, 107]} are objects of research in this regard.

A desired complete replacement of all hydrophobic by hydrophilic ligands requires the optimization of various process parameters including temperature, ligand concentration, and solvent composition. While elevated temperatures aligned with the thermal stability of the new ligand and the addition of the hydrophilic ligand in excess is sufficient for an optimization regarding the first two parameters, the solvent composition has to be chosen carefully. Additional to a high dispersibility or solubility of the hydrophobic UCNPs and the hydrophilic ligand, respectively, the attachment/detachment equilibrium of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic ligand, i.e. the dynamic solubility in this solvent system, should favor a complete exchange.\textsuperscript{[267]} Furthermore, some ligand characteristics can be exploited to force a completion of the ligand exchange process. Differences in the coordination ability of the ligand functionalities have a strong impact on the exchange efficiency. The exemplary functionality pair carboxylic acid/amine shows a higher coordination strength of the acid to the nanoparticle surface compared to the amine. Also the number of coordinating groups is decisive resulting in a preference of multidentate over monodentate ligands.\textsuperscript{[267]}

The treatment of hydrophobic UCNPs with NOBF\textsubscript{4} in dimethylformamide (DMF) presents a type of ligand exchange that features a high dispersion stability of UCNPs over extended periods of time, simple performance, and high process versatility regarding the final surface functionality.\textsuperscript{[269]} The original nanoparticle dispersion is simply mixed with a solution of NOBF\textsubscript{4} in DMF leading to a
replacement of the hydrophobic surface ligands by BF$_4^-$ anions and thus to a phase transfer. The resulting "naked" UCNPs are stabilized by these anions with the assistance of DMF molecules with a long-term stability of several years.$^{[269]}$ UCNPs with the desired hydrophobic or hydrophilic surface functionality can be derived from this stable dispersion by again simply mixing the nanoparticle dispersion with a solution of the respective surface ligand in a solvent immiscible with DMF. Both ligand exchange processes can be performed at room temperature with a procedure duration of only a few minutes.$^{[269]}$ The simplicity and briefness of this process clearly proves the favorability of the NOBF$_4$ method compared to other ligand exchange techniques needing strongly elevated temperatures$^{[58, 106, 112, 125, 267]}$, performance times between a few hours and several days$^{[107, 191, 255, 270]}$, and in some cases even a protective atmosphere$^{[56, 162, 266]}$.

2.3 Click Chemistry

2.3.1 Concept of Click Chemistry & Click Reactions

The term "click chemistry", firstly defined by Sharpless et al in 2001, describes a concept imitating nature that uses modular and selective building blocks to develop larger molecular structures.$^{[271]}$ The chemical reactions forming the necessary heteroatom bonds have to meet several criteria to be suitable for the "click chemistry" concept and thus can be termed "click reaction". Modularity, wide scope, high stereospecificity are expected as well as high yields of the resulting product without any offensive byproducts. The reaction process must feature a simple implementation, ideally without a susceptibility to water or air, readily available starting materials and reagents, no or at least benign solvents (e.g. water), and finally a facile product work-up and purification by non-chromatographic methods, for example distillation or crystallization.$^{[271-274]}$

Most "click reactions" known at present rely on carbon-heteroatom bonding during their process demonstrated in the following examples. Suitable cycloadditions of unsaturated components include 1,3-dipolar types such as the Huisgen cycloaddition$^{[271, 272]}$ or hetero-Diels-Alder reactions$^{[271, 272, 275]}$. Furthermore, nucleophilic substitution, especially in form of ring-opening reactions of strained heterocycles such as epoxides or aziridines$^{[271, 272]}$, or non-aldol carbonyl reactions like the formation of urea, thiourea, aromatic heterocycles$^{[271, 272, 276]}$ can be ascribed to the "click chemistry" concept. Finally, the addition to carbon-carbon multiple bonds can be used for example in form of epoxidation$^{[271, 272]}$, dihydroxylation$^{[271, 272]}$, aziridination$^{[271, 272, 277]}$, or nitrosyl and sulfenyl halide additions$^{[271, 272]}$. 
Additional to a "click chemistry" assignment some of these examples can also be called "bioorthogonal reactions" rendering them favorable for bioanalysis, especially for in vitro and in vivo applications. According to the concept of "bioorthogonal chemistry" these reactions neither interact nor interfere with biological processes and show fast kinetics, especially under physiological conditions, to ensure a sufficient product formation even with low reactant concentrations. Additionally, the participating functionalities should form a stable covalent bond, be nontoxic and inert in regard to the multitude of functional groups in biological systems such as water, reductants, nucleophils or other moieties of biomolecules. Especially small functionalities are of great interest, since they can be easily introduced in biological systems as "chemical reporters" to form the bioorthogonal binding sites in (bio)molecules. However, this artificial modification should be free of any structural perturbation to avoid detrimental impacts on the natural bioactivity of the molecule.

Organic azides and terminal alkynes as reactive groups clearly meet the conditions set by the "bioorthogonal chemistry" concept. Their corresponding reaction, the copper(I)-catalyzed Huisgen cycloaddition, is the classic example of the "click chemistry" concept explaining the synonymous use of the term "click reaction" for this specific reaction type. This simultaneous suitability for both demanding concepts highlights this reaction type as a convenient method for a variety of bioanalytical applications.

### 2.3.2 Huisgen Cycloaddition

The family of 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions was firstly described in detail by Huisgen in the 1960s and includes a wide variety of potential functionalities. Organic azides and terminal alkynes are among the most prominent functionalities that undergo a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition which is also called Huisgen cycloaddition due to his clarification of the mechanism involved and it is shown in Figure 2.6.

![Figure 2.6 Huisgen cycloaddition of organic azides and terminal alkynes.](image)

However, the necessary elevated temperature, long reaction times and low regioselectivity, apparent in the obtained 1:1 mixture of 1,4-disubstituted and 1,5-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles, prohibit the assignment of the native Huisgen cycloaddition to either the "Click chemistry" or the "bioorthogonal chemistry" concept. The independent discoveries of Sharpless et al. and
Meldal et al.\cite{258} showed that a catalysis of the cycloaddition by copper(I) ions drastically changes the reaction characteristics for the better. This copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) features a reaction performance at room temperature\cite{258, 259}, a reaction acceleration\cite{281, 282} by a factor of $10^7$-$10^8$ enabling a reaction performance in a few hours, and high regioselectivity for the 1,4-disubstituted triazole\cite{258, 259, 272, 274, 280, 282}. Additional to these characteristics rendering the CuAAC a "bioorthogonal reaction"\cite{278}, the high yield, the wide scope, the magnitude of usable solvents, and the simple work-up and purification process clearly demonstrates the high suitability of the reaction for the "Click chemistry" concept.\cite{259, 281, 282}

The mechanistic aspect of the CuAAC process has been studied thoroughly.\cite{259, 282} According to Sharpless et al.\cite{259} the stepwise process is clearly favored compared to a concerted 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition. Therefore, this mechanism will be explained by means of a schematic illustration of the catalytic cycle, given in Figure 2.7.

**Figure 2.7** Stepwise mechanism of the copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC)\cite{259}; the different mechanism steps and intermediates are indicated by letters or numbers; for a better recognizability the azide and alkyne functionalities are depicted in blue and red, respectively.

The formation of an acetylene $\pi$-complex by the Cu(I) species strongly decreases the $pK_a$ of the C-H group\cite{282} of the acetylene. This initiates the first step (A), the deprotonation of the terminal acetylene 1, to form the acetylide 2. Subsequently, a ligand exchange (B) occurs between one ligand of the Cu(I) complex and the organic azide whose nitrogen proximal to the carbon coordinates
to the Cu(I) ion resulting in species 3. Hereafter, a Cu(III)-containing metallacycle 4 is formed by the attack of the C-2 of the former acetylide by the distal nitrogen of the azide (C). The following ring contraction (D) results in the triazolye derivate 5 which is converted in the final triazole product 6 by a proton transfer (E) and the Cu(I) complex is regenerated for a new catalytic cycle.

The catalyzing effect of Cu(I) ions can be utilized by either an addition of a Cu(I) salt like CuI or an in situ generation via the reduction of Cu(II) ions by a reducing agent. Especially the combined use of CuSO₄ as the Cu(II) ion source and ascorbic acid/sodium ascorbate as the reducing agent clearly is favorable compared to Cu(I) salts due to lower costs, higher purity, and no solvent limitations. However, the use of ascorbic acid still holds some problems, since the formed dehydroascorbate and other reduction byproducts readily react with biological moieties. The reaction with the side chains of the amino acids lysine and arginine, for example, enhances the aggregation tendency of the protein due to a covalent modification.

The azide and alkyne functionalities themselves show a high metabolic stability, more precisely an inertness towards common biological functionalities, observable in the lack of any side reactions. There is also no need for protecting groups for these functional groups during other binding processes and protecting groups for common biological functionalities are unaffected during the CuAAC reaction. Despite the applicability limitation to terminal alkynes the CuAAC is one of the few reactions considered both a "bioorthogonal reaction" and a "click reaction". However, the introduction of the Cu(I) catalyst also gives rise to new problems, both during the CuAAC process itself and for its applicability in biological systems. Firstly, Cu(I) ions are prone to oxidation by atmospheric oxygen and therefore a stabilization of Cu(I) by suiting ligands is advisable to ensure an unaffected catalytic activity. This approach can even be beneficial for the reaction kinetics by reaction acceleration provided an appropriate optimized ligand is used. Secondly, the high cytotoxicity of copper completely precludes the use of the CuAAC in any living organisms such as living cells, bacteria or animals from the start. Again, a complexation of the Cu(I) ion can minimize this undesired impact on the in vivo applicability but also the replacement by other metals can counteract this restriction.

A Ru(II)-based complex, for example, greatly catalyzes the Huisgen cycloaddition generating exclusively the 1,5-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole but requires again elevated temperatures rendering this alternative useless for biological applications. A complete metal-free version of the CuAAC utilizes strained alkynes such as cyclooctyne. Their acceleration effect originates from deformation of the bond angle of the acetylene in these compounds. An optimization of the cyclic alkyne, e.g. by fluorination, allows to achieve a reactivity similar to the
CuAAC process\textsuperscript{[287, 288, 297, 299]}. Furthermore, a sequential modification process can be envisioned by using copper-free and copper-catalyzed cycloadditions\textsuperscript{[288]}.

The high efficiency and simplicity strongly highlights the use of the CuAAC to create a highly flexible and versatile platform on the surface of UCNPs enabling an easy and modulate attachment of a wide variety of different (bio)molecules. Therefore, focuses of this thesis included also the feasibility to introduce azides or terminal alkynes by silanization on silica-coated UCNPs and the efficiency of a subsequent coupling reaction via the CuAAC of these as-modified nanoparticles.

2.4 Virus-Like Particles

2.4.1 Viruses

Viruses are omnipresent in nature occurring in bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals\textsuperscript{[303-308]} and represent the second largest share of biomass on earth, only surpassed by prokaryotes\textsuperscript{[303, 308, 309]}. A classification of the vast and still growing number of viruses can be based on the host organism, virus morphology, replication method, or genome organization\textsuperscript{[303, 310-312]} and leads to more than 90 families\textsuperscript{[12]}.

Their basic blueprint always includes a protein cage, the virus capsid, and a nucleic acid core\textsuperscript{[12, 313]}. Additional to this simple components the viral structure can also feature a lipid bilayer which envelopes the capsid and originates from the host\textsuperscript{[12, 303]} or a multi-piece protein tail system which are predominantly present in eukaryotic viruses and bacteriophages, respectively. The viral genetic information can be stored in single- or double-stranded (ss and ds) RNA or DNA. The respective nucleic acid strand can be either linear or circular and may be split into several smaller parts instead of a complete single strand\textsuperscript{[303, 314]}. These oligonucleotides can be either of positive(+) or negative(-) sense\textsuperscript{[303]}. A direct translation into viral proteins is realizable if the strand is of positive-sense. In contrast, a prior conversion into the translatable positive-sense by a polymerase is required when a negative-sense is present. The number of proteins encoded by the genome can range from a few to several hundred different species\textsuperscript{[303]}. Among these proteins the class of capsomers are given the task to form the virus capsid by self-assembly of a defined number of proteins\textsuperscript{[12, 313, 315]}. This capsid provided with specific functionalities by the capsomers is responsible for genome protection, host recognition, cell attachment and entering, viral gene release, and formation and externalization of virions, the mature form of the virus\textsuperscript{[303, 313, 314]}. The possible symmetry of these protein cages includes icosahedral, helical, or more complex and it is ultimately defined by the genetic material contained owing to the dependence of the decisive factors such as capsomer composition and dimension of the nucleic acid on it\textsuperscript{[12, 316, 317]}. Furthermore, this symmetry
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Together with the respective capsomers dictate the outer appearance of capsid leading to a quasi-spherical (icosahedral) or a rod-shaped (helical) characteristic, for example.\[12\]

The brome mosaic virus (BMV) belonging to the Bromovirus genus, family Bromoviridae is the first plant virus with a split ssRNA whose genetic information was decoded.\[318-321\] Four positive (+) strands constitute the genome and store the blueprint for replication-related capsid proteins.\[14, 317, 321\] The icosahedral capsid with the symmetry-defining triangulation number $T = 3$\[322, 323\] consists of 180 capsomers with a protein mass of 20 kDa.\[14, 323-325\] The hexameric and pentameric substructures of the icosahedron are built by the self-assembly of preliminary formed capsomer dimers.\[14, 317, 323\] The resulting quasi-spherical virus capsid has an overall diameter of approximately 28 nm with an inner cavity of 18 nm (Figure 2.8).\[317, 321-323\]

\[Figure 2.8 \text{TEM image of brome mosaic virus (BMV) in their native form (100,000x magnification, scale bar: 200 nm).}\]

The self-assembly process is initiated by electrostatic interaction of the RNA with the positively charged basic amino acid residues of the capsomers forming the cavity interface\[14\]. The subsequent formation and stabilization of the resulting capsid is driven by weak interactions of capsomers with each other\[14, 313, 324\] and the nonspecific interaction between the RNA and the capsomers\[317, 326\]. A swelling and thereby a permeability of the capsid can be induced by pH changes as for all viruses that finally leads to a breaking of the capsid. While the capsid remains closed and stable around pH 5 and a moderate ionic strength of the solvent, it swells during a pH increase to 7 with a potential stabilization by Mg$^{2+}$ ions until finally the capsid breaks after passing the threshold of pH = 7.5 and an ionic strength of 0.5 M\[317\]. However, the broken capsid can easily be reassembled by a reduction of the pH and ionic strength provided the unaffected RNA or a suiting substituent is present.\[317, 324, 327\]
2.4.2 Definition of Virus-Like Particles

Viruses or more precisely their capsids were brought into the spotlight of research as a potential platform for a multitude of applications thanks to their intrinsic properties. The consequential preparation of so-called virus-like particles (VLP) addresses different capsid parts for the process including the cavity, the inner or the outer surface. Generally, these nanostructures can be described as virus capsids devoid of its genome with a potential replacement by non-genomic material. Consequently, they take advantage of the many outstanding features of capsids mentioned above for practical applications without any restrictions implied by the genome such as recombinogenic mechanisms. In the following some of the most striking advantages of the virus capsid are outlined in respect to their usefulness for an application as VLPs.

The generation of monodisperse and uniformly sized nanostructures with high reproducibility and symmetry is one of the greatest challenges in nanoparticle synthesis but is already intrinsically established in the capsid with no need for optimization. The capsid represents a biocompatible and flexible surface that shows excellent formability as a response to external influences. While its closed form efficiently protects its cargo from any adverse effects caused by the outer media, changes in pH lead to a permeability of the protein cage and allows a material exchange between the interior and the exterior of the virus, the so-called "gating". This mechanism is crucial for the use of capsids as nanoreactors or as transportation vehicles, since it enables a loading with materials like the starting material for nanoparticle synthesis, therapeutic molecules, or imaging agents and a selective release at the envisioned destination. The involved swelling of the virus capsid, however, may lead to changed capsid symmetry or appearance, i.e. a hysteresis is possible.

Furthermore, multivalent binding sites that originally have the task to specifically recognize the host cell surface or to avoid immune response of the host are intrinsically installed on the inner and outer surface of the capsid with the same chemical environment. Their identical reactivity facilitates a significant enhanced interaction with the target compared to monovalent systems. The exterior binding sites ensure a potential targeting mechanism and accessibility for modifications, partially crucial for refined target recognition. In contrast, the inner functionalities can be used as specific access points for the covalent cargo attachment or as initiators of a crystallization process, more precisely the generation of a nanoparticle inside the capsid.

The capsomers providing both the inner and outer functionalities are less prone to denaturation or proteolytic cleavage compared to other proteins. Their inducible dis- and reassembly with a high reproducibility, mostly at neutral pH and high ionic strength, enables an efficient
encapsulation of both genomic and non-genomic material such as polymers or nanoparticles. In addition, the possible assembly of empty capsids, for example at lower pH and ionic strength, allows the creation of nanoreactors or transportation vehicles. Since the amino acid sequence of the capsomers is encoded in the viral genome, selective engineering of the capsid can be achieved by the established methods such as mutagenesis. In this way, introducing new functionalities like polypeptides or changes in the capsid architecture, e.g. tubes or planar sheets instead of icosahedrons, can be realized as a part of a "tailoring" of the capsid characteristics optimized for the respective (bio)application. Going one step further, even artificial virus capsids can be engineered showing most of the native viral characteristics but allow an even more directed and sophisticated optimization of the protein cage for the respective application.

These beneficial characteristics, either intrinsic or introduced by genome engineering, allowed the creation of virus-like particles (VLPs) from a variety of different virus capsids that feature the same physicochemical properties as the respective native virus. Especially capsids of RNA viruses excel as the biological component for the VLP generation due to two reasons. Firstly, their self-assembly is thermodynamically well understood. Secondly, the electrostatic interaction of the positively charged inner capsid interface with the negative charge of the core is predominantly non-specific which allows a certain leeway in terms of the choice of the encapsulated cargo. Also the structural flexibility of a RNA virus capsid entails a significant adaptability to the size of the non-genomic material by changes in the triangulation number defining symmetry and the number of capsomers comprising the capsid. However, there are also some obstacles regarding the VLP applicability for different (bio)applications that have to be overcome for an unrestricted use. Some virus capsids need at least a part of the original nucleic acid strand as a starting point to accelerate the reassembly or to initiate the process at all. Also the anticipated response of the immune system towards the virus-related surface of the VLP and a potential arising toxicity has to be taken into account, especially for in vivo applications.

2.4.3 Synthesis Routes for Virus-Like Particles

Two concepts distinguished themselves during research for VLP synthesis: (1) the direct generation of non-genomic material inside the capsid cavity acting as a nanoreactor, (2) the self-assembly of the capsid around the non-genomic material. Since each of them relies on a separate phenomenon, they can be considered as complementary methods.

The uniform cavity inside a capsid with its high degree of functionalities arranged regularly on the inner interface offers the perfect environment for syntheses in a confined space and thus can be
defined as "nanoreactors" (Figure 2.9). The processes applicable are inspired by nature's "biomineralization" and provide a way to generate an artificial core inside the capsid under biocompatible conditions without the need of necessarily breaking it.

The required starting material is introduced into the cavity either by enclosing the respective molecules during the capsid formation or by harnessing the "gating" mechanism of the virus capsid initiated by a pH increase and the entailed swelling of the protein cage. While the form of the nanoreactor is set by the overall symmetry of the virus capsid, the growth of the non-genomic material by mineralization inside proceeds until the constraints of the cavity interface are reached. As a result, the choice of an icosahedral or a helical protein cage leads to the generation of a quasi-spherical or rod-shaped nanostructure, commonly known as a nanoparticle and a nanowire, respectively.

![Figure 2.9 VLP synthesis via the "nanoreactor" route including (1) swelling of the virus capsid, (2) diffusion of precursor into the swollen capsid through the permeable protein layer, (3) shrinking of the virus capsid, and (4) the synthesis of non-genomic material induced by inner surface functionalities.](image)

The abundantly present functional groups at the cavity interface bear the role as nucleation points during the mineralization process and therefore strongly determine the type of non-genomic material producible inside the respective virus capsid. For example, native RNA viruses such as cowpea chlorotic mottle virus (CCMV) or brome mosaic virus (BMV) express a multitude of basic amino acid moieties on the inner capsid interface whose dense positive charge interacts with the viral genome ensuring the assembly of uniformly sized capsids. These functionalities allow highly efficient nucleation and mineralization of different materials such as the oxometallates tungstateWO₄²⁻ and the vanadate species V₁₀O₂₈⁶⁻ but only with an anionic starting material. An optimized engineering of the capsomers to obtain a negatively charged inner surface is required to extend the applicability of the "nanoreactor" approach for the VLP generation also to materials derived from cationic ions. The feasibility of this necessary step was shown by replacing the basic with acidic amino acids side chains enabling the mineralization of Fe₂O₃, Fe₃O₄, or Co₂O₃ inside a virus capsid. The accessibility of complementary inner surface characteristics and differing...
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Symmetries of various virus capsids led to the generation of nanoparticles or nanowires made of various materials inside a virus capsid. The potential materials include, in addition to the examples mentioned before, metals\cite{16, 313, 317, 363, 367, 369}, metal oxides\cite{14, 361, 362, 370}, bimetallic alloys\cite{368, 373}, and semiconductors\cite{370}.

Complementary to the approach mentioned before, the core material synthesis can be performed prior to the actual VLP generation which involves the so-called "encapsulation" (Figure 2.10). This process relies on the efficient self-assembly of the capsomers around the negatively charged nanomaterial core acting as the nucleation grain.

![Figure 2.10](image) VLP synthesis via the "encapsulation" route including firstly a disassembly of the virus capsid (top) and independent nanoparticle synthesis in parallel (bottom) and secondly a reassembly of the capsomers around the nanoparticle as the nucleation grain provided suitable surface engineering.

This split synthesis way allows a highly versatile choice of the capsid/core combination\cite{13, 374}, since (a) a biocompatibility of the reaction conditions during core synthesis including temperature, pH, and solvent is not mandatory, (b) usable core material is not restricted by the functionalities of the inner cavity surface making capsomer engineering optional not obligatory, and (c) the surface of the core material can be tailored to optimally meet the requirements for encapsulation\cite{12, 14}. While polymers present themselves as potential nucleation grains due to their flexibility similar to the native RNA or DNA, the reduced number of degrees of freedom of nanoparticles strongly simplifies the assembly process around these solid nanostructures.\cite{13} However, several parameters have to be optimized to ensure encapsulation of nanoparticles whose efficiency can be determined by the following arbitrary equation:

$$\eta = \frac{N_{VLP}}{N_{NP}}$$

with $N_{VLP}$ the number of virus-like particles with a complete capsid shell and $N_{NP}$ the overall number of nanoparticles both observed in transmission electron microscopy\cite{12, 317}.

The most decisive nanoparticle characteristics for a feasible encapsulation are the diameter, the surface composition, and the surface charge density of the respective core. Their importance was...
proven by studies on different virus capsids, nanoparticles and encapsulation protocols which will be described in the following. Nanoparticles smaller than the cavity size of the respective capsid can easily be incorporated inside the protein cage with a potential encapsulation of more than one core.\textsuperscript{375} In contrast, a larger diameter leads only to the formation of a disorganized capsid and prevents any kind of self-assembly of an intact capsid around the core.\textsuperscript{317, 359} Interestingly, for some virus types the size of the non-genomic core also influences the symmetry of the formed virus capsid. A decrease of the diameter starting close to the cavity size of the capsid results in a reduction of the triangulation number from $T = 3$ (180 subunits) and pseudo$T = 2$ (120 subunits) to $T = 1$ (60 subunits).\textsuperscript{317} This alteration of $T$ strongly influences the capsid symmetry, since hexameric substructures disappear in the process and a transformation of a truncated icosahedron ($T = 3$) into a dodecahedron ($T = 1$) as the geometric form takes place. Furthermore, the choice of the surface ligands on the nanoparticle surface shows a tremendous effect on the encapsulation efficiency. The utilization of citrate, phosphine, or DNA to imitate the negative charge of the viral RNA/DNA results in an encapsulation efficiency of only 2-3%. An inappropriate stoichiometric capsomer/nanoparticle ratio due to aggregation problems, a formation competition between encapsulated nanoparticles and empty capsids favoring the latter, or random and unspecific interactions between capsomers and nanoparticle can be named as potential reasons.\textsuperscript{12, 16, 313, 321, 376} A thorough optimization drastically improves the encapsulation probability, especially by the introduction of a biocompatible polymer such as polyethylene glycol to avoid non-specific binding of the capsomers to the nanoparticle surface during the assembly process yielding an encapsulation efficiency of 95%.\textsuperscript{16} Some ligands can be applied for different core materials with consistent result improvements\textsuperscript{12, 16, 375} indicating a dependency of the efficiency solely on the surface composition and not on the core itself. Especially for viruses which show a non-specific interaction between the genome and the capsomers, the negative charge density of the surface is crucial for a feasible encapsulation process. Since the first step during self-assembly is an electrostatic interaction between the core and the capsomers that is followed by a rearrangement of the proteins to form the actual capsid by pH reduction, the initial assembly phase can be described by a micelle model.\textsuperscript{13, 317} Therefore, any decrease of the number of negatively charged surface functionalities from the highest possible inevitably leads to a deterioration of the encapsulation efficiency until the charge density falls below a specific threshold and no VLP generation occurs.\textsuperscript{13}

A more virus-related factor influencing the encapsulation efficiency is the presence or absence of a part of the original genome for a feasible VLP generation. Capsids of different viruses including for example the Red Clover necrotic mosaic virus (RCNMV) need a specific part of their native viral genome for the VLP formation. The oligonucleotides attached to the nanoparticle core then act as a sort of nucleation starting point during self-assembly by directed interaction with the
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capsomers.\textsuperscript{[359, 377, 378]} In contrast, for viruses relying on more non-specific interaction of the core with the capsomers, such as BMV or CCMV mentioned earlier, no additional modification of the core with a native RNA/DNA strand is needed which drastically simplifies the nanoparticle synthesis and VLP generation process.\textsuperscript{[12, 317, 371]} Nanoparticles with no viral RNA/DNA modification curiously can even act as suitable core for encapsulation by bioengineered capsomers, while the native virus genome is incapable of performing this task.\textsuperscript{[315]} Consequently, the capsid of the brome mosaic virus as the encapsulating protein cage received considerable attention in research including theoretical calculations\textsuperscript{[379]} and in practical applications. The resulting VLPs contain for example nanoparticles consisting of gold\textsuperscript{[16, 313, 317]}, iron oxide\textsuperscript{[14]}, or semiconducting material\textsuperscript{[375]} and show the same physicochemical properties as the native virus\textsuperscript{[323]}.

In conclusion, virus-like particles (VLP) can be prepared from a wide variety of different virus capsids and non-genomic materials. Especially the prior synthesis and potential optimization of the respective cargo together with a subsequent encapsulation process is a suitable way to create UCNPs with a biomimetic surface. Consequently, the preparation of VLPs from UCNPs with an optimized surface composition and the convenient quasi-spherical BMV capsid was studied in this thesis regarding its feasibility and adaptability to differently functionalized artificial nucleation grains.

2.5 Imaging in Bioanalysis

Imaging is one of the most important methods for the investigation of biological samples and systems, both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. Imaging information like the temporal or spatial resolution or the creation of three-dimensional images as well as instrument-related factors like sensitivity, costs, or accuracy have to be taken into account to choose a suitable imaging technique to obtain the desired information.\textsuperscript{[380, 381]} Among the wide variety of detectable measurands in imaging applications such as magnetism or radioactivity, luminescence is highly favored in research\textsuperscript{[18, 20, 23, 24, 92, 97, 128]}, since techniques based on it are non-invasive, versatile, and specific\textsuperscript{[1, 127, 382, 383]} with a high detection sensitivity of the respective target\textsuperscript{[1, 162, 384, 385]}. Additionally, the fast and robust implementation\textsuperscript{[97, 162]} allows measurements of a variety of potential measurement signals, e.g. intensity, lifetime, or spectral characteristics\textsuperscript{[1, 386]}, with a high spatial\textsuperscript{[127, 162, 383, 384, 387-389]} and temporal\textsuperscript{[127, 387-389]} resolution. Furthermore the spectral resolution\textsuperscript{[389]} enables multicolor imaging and a multiplexed readout.

These beneficial characteristics led to the development of imaging concepts based on luminescence that employ a multitude of various luminophores as contrast agents for imaging including organic dyes, fluorescent proteins, metal nanoparticles, or quantum dots.\textsuperscript{[111, 127, 165]} The use of several fluorescent labels in one application enables a multiplexed readout by multicolor staining, i.e. the
simultaneous imaging of different biological structures or functions. However, conventional contrast agents, more precisely the required excitation wavelength, also cause detrimental phenomena which hamper or almost prevent the feasibility to obtain informative images. Visible or UV light only marginally penetrates biological tissue, is strongly scattered during the pass through the specimen, and causes severe damages to the DNA and potentially leads to cell death, especially after long exposure times. The arising autofluorescence originating from endogenous species such as flavins, NADH, or porphyrins greatly affects the imaging results, since it leads to a low signal-to-noise ratio and diminishes the achievable spatial and temporal resolution and the detection sensitivity.

Additionally, since a clear spectral separation is required for multiplexing, the number and range of suitable contrast agents is limited due to the broad emission bands of most organic dyes and fluorescent proteins. The application of a post-processing of the obtained data is an option to reduce the impact of both autofluorescence and to a minor part the overlapping of emission peaks. There are several analysis algorithms accessible for this purpose that can help to differentiate between the various luminescence signals of the contrast agent and the background but they all have their limitations in efficiency and do not improve the imaging depth.

The definition of several demands towards the luminescent label employed and their realization in form of optimized contrast agents enables a reduced background from the start and makes any post-analysis redundant. Firstly, the excitation and emission should be in the range of the biological window (750 nm - 1000 nm) to ensure good tissue penetration, low photodamage, low scattering, and reduced autofluorescence by endogenous species. Secondly, a large spectral shift between excitation and emission light is beneficial to further diminish background fluorescence. Thirdly, the quantum efficiency of the emission and thus the brightness of the contrast agent should be high. Consequently, the excitation power densities used can be lower and the tissue penetration of the emission light is enhanced. Fourthly, the contrast agents should be photostable, even after extended irradiation times. Fifthly and finally, the cytotoxicity of the agent as well as any changes in the pharmacokinetics of biomolecules after agent binding should be low to reduce damages to the specimen and ensure an unaltered targeting ability. These label requirements can be consulted for in vitro and in vivo applications to find the suitable contrast agent for the respective purpose and optimally allows its use in both application types with satisfactory results. Since for in vivo imaging the label is exposed to more complex biological influences and mechanisms, the biological stability can be named as a sixth demand. The degradation or clearance from the system, for example, hampers the efficiency of the label, especially in respect to targeting. This results in a potential different behavior of the label in in vitro and in vivo applications.
Developments and optimizations of new labels based on these demands led to two types of contrast agents that display either NIR emission generated by a Stokes process or visible/NIR emission based on an Anti-Stokes process\[^{162}\] after NIR excitation. The preference of NIR excitation\[^{18, 21, 392, 393}\] for imaging application can be attributed to the deeper tissue penetration, since an inefficient excitation strongly diminishes the use of a suitin bright label with a high quantum yield\[^{390}\]. Although the generation of a favored NIR emission\[^{192, 394}\] can also be realized by UV/VIS excitation, shown for special quantum dots\[^{381, 392}\], these labels suffer from an increased chemical instability\[^{392}\]. Therefore, the combined NIR excitation and NIR emission in form of a NIR-to-NIR system is highly favored\[^{123, 164, 390, 395}\] but current labels lack a sufficient brightness and biocompatibility\[^{395}\]. The use of a two-photon excitation\[^{263, 392}\], i.e. an Anti-Stokes process, is another way to exploit the favorable NIR excitation with a potential NIR emission. As a result, imaging techniques based on this phenomenon were developed including the two-photon fluorescence imaging (TPFI)\[^{92, 128, 165}\]. However, organic dyes, quantum dots or plasmonic nanoparticles only show a low cross section of the two-photon absorption decreasing the emission efficiency. The absence of suitable instruments for \textit{in vivo} imaging also limits the feasibility of the two-photon process for imaging applications.\[^{392}\]

Consequently, the use of the upconversion process, more precisely upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs), for imaging extensively solves most of the problems of organic fluorophores or quantum dots with NIR excitation/emission. The excitation with NIR light utilizing an inexpensive low-energy continuous wave laser diode generates visible and NIR light depending on the doping. No autofluorescence of endogenous species is generated due to the large Anti-Stokes shift, especially for the visible peaks\[^{19, 49, 58}\], which is highly accommodating for imaging applications. The low photobleaching, low toxicity and possible multiplexing of these contrast agents\[^{19, 49, 58}\] also improve their suitability for applications \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. These types of studies strongly differ in regard of their research focus and investigation method. While \textit{in vitro} applications employ microscopy techniques to study individual cells in an artificial environment, the behavior of cell organizations in natural surroundings is detected by whole animal imaging for answering \textit{in vivo} questions.\[^{396}\]

2.5.1 \textit{In Vitro} Imaging Methods

Microscopy techniques are the major tools for \textit{in vitro} imaging of cells and their response to exterior factors.\[^{198, 391}\] Since the first beginnings with a transmitted-light microscope several more sophisticated and more informative instruments, mostly based on luminescence, were developed such as wide-field microscopy, confocal microscopy, and two-photon microscopy.\[^{198, 391}\] These techniques significantly differ in detection sensitivity, speed of acquisition, and viability of the biological sample\[^{391}\]. A differentiation regarding the way of image acquisition can also be made with
the non-scanning way acquiring the whole image simultaneously and the scanning way assembling smaller sections to build the image whose data is collected separately.\[397\] The wide-field fluorescence microscopy allows a short image acquisition speed in a non-scanning way with moderate illumination intensities, but the high amount of detected out-of-focus fluorescence (up to 90\%) and the diminished intensity of in-focus fluorescence reaching the detector by scattering strongly lowers the image resolution, contrast and potential 3D information.\[198, 396-398\]

The invention of the confocal microscopy led to a reduced detection of scattered or out-of-focus fluorescence due to a pinhole in the confocal plane in all microscope designs. These instruments predominantly work in the scanning mode and thus a computerized data evaluation is required to obtain the actual images. The resulting images show an improved spatial resolution and a depth distinction of the fluorescence origin. This feature allows the generation of three dimensional images by additional post-processing.\[198, 397, 398\] A drawback of the pinhole introduction is the decreased overall emission reaching the detector that is intended in the first place by eliminating unwanted fluorescence but necessitates the use of high excitation power densities which strongly enhances photobleaching. This phenomenon is not limited to the in-focus fluorophores in contrast to the detected fluorescence and results in an even damage to both in-focus and out-of-focus fluorophores. Consequently, the feasibility of elongated irradiation times or the imaging of several specimen planes is strongly hampered.\[198, 396-398\] Also the primary use of UV light as the excitation source entails a comparatively short tissue penetration and photodamage to the biological sample.\[198\]

A further method development uses the Anti-Stokes process of two-photon absorption as the basic concept of a microscopy technique. The two-photon microscopy shows the same scanning option as confocal microscopy to acquire the image but shows the following improvements.\[396\] The excitation process includes the absorption of two low-energy photons almost simultaneously (within \(10^{-18}\) s)\[399\] and its probability is close to zero outside of the focus plane leading to an emission only in a very small volume. Therefore, the excitation wavelength inevitable lies in the NIR range, preferably in the biological window, with all its benefits such as deep tissue penetration, reduced photobleaching and photodamage outside the excited volume, and lower scattering.\[2, 198, 398, 399\] Additional to an improved three dimensional resolution, the background fluorescence is further decreased due to the small overall emitting volume and the low two-photon cross section of most biomolecules responsible for autofluorescence.\[198, 398\] This technique is also not limited to the two-photon processes but can be extended to processes based on multi-photon absorption or second- or third-harmonic generation.\[399\] However, being a Anti-Stokes process relying mostly on virtual energy levels, the two-photon microscopy needs extremely high excitation power densities to generate a detectable emission.\[399, 400\] Since no biological specimen tolerates this enormous intensity all at once,
expensive lasers producing very short pulses (ca. $10^{-13}$ s) are needed for this microscopy technique.\cite{198, 399} Furthermore, the photobleaching rate and the extent of photodamage is still high in the small excitation volume\cite{198} and the range of two-photon labels show mostly also a low two-photon absorption cross section\cite{398}.

Established labels for these different microscopy techniques include additional to conventional organic fluorophores also nanomaterials such as silica-based nanoparticles, gold nanorods, quantum dots or rods.\cite{298} However, in recent years more and more research efforts are focused on UCNPs as contrast agents for imaging applications. The microscopy techniques used for this purpose included both established techniques such as conventional fluorescence microscopy\cite{18, 21, 111, 127, 168, 401} or confocal microscopy\cite{20, 23, 58, 92, 97, 106, 124, 185, 388} and optimized methods adapted to the optical characteristics of UCNPs such as laser scanning upconversion luminescence microscopy\cite{19, 199, 261}.

These optimized microscopes have the potential to strongly improve the image quality acquired from UCNP-labeled cells compared to other designs.\cite{199} The feasibility of in vitro applications with these techniques was shown for a variety of UCNP dopings including erbium, thulium, or holmium ions using either one or all of their emission wavelengths as the detection signal. While the red emissions of the respective UCNPs\cite{124, 199, 388} played a minor role as the detection signal, the green emission of Er\textsuperscript{3+}-doped UCNPs\cite{19, 21, 23, 58, 97, 111, 127, 128, 165, 168} was favored due to its high emission intensity. For Tm\textsuperscript{3+}-doped UCNPs the focus was on the NIR emission\cite{18, 106, 162} due to its deep tissue penetration.

Since UCNPs are hydrophobic after most syntheses, their surface has to be modified with hydrophilic components such as citrate\cite{58, 261}, silica\cite{21, 92, 128, 165, 388}, or hydrophilic polymers\cite{23, 111, 127, 162} to enable imaging. This nanoparticle design allows a cell labeling by nonspecific interactions\cite{58, 162, 165} and a potential internalization\cite{18, 19, 106, 111, 128, 165, 261, 388} for example by endocytosis without the need of targeting functionalities. However, for most in vitro imaging applications a directed detection of biological structures or cell receptors is desired to be able to discriminate between healthy cells and cancer cells which are known to show an overexpression of specific groups on their surface. Any species, predominantly biological, can be used as a targeting functionality that specifically recognize the target including small biomolecules such as folic acid\cite{19, 23, 124, 168, 199}, proteins like transferrin\cite{401} or a cell- or structure-recognizing antibody\cite{20, 21, 185} such as anti-claudin-4 and anti-mesothelin antibodies\cite{97}. Consequently, a directed and specific attachment to the cell surface\cite{20, 21, 124, 168, 199, 401} and an efficient uptake of the contrast agent into the targeted cell\cite{97, 168} can be achieved.

Thorough studies verified a high photostability\cite{165, 199} of the UCNPs in in vitro imaging and the expected independency of the measurement noise on laser intensity\cite{20, 128}. Since the emission peaks of UCNPs are very narrow compared to other contrast agents and the emission can be tuned by the dopant type and concentration, multiplex labeling in form of a multicolor readout is easily realized.\cite{21}
2.5.2 *In Vivo* Imaging Methods

The imaging of whole animals puts new demands on the contrast agent used, since the complexity of the biological system increases drastically compared to *in vitro* applications. Consequently, great efforts were made by researchers, especially for diagnostic purposes, to develop methods that meet these requirements including target specificity and sensitivity, penetration depth, 3D tomography, real-time imaging, high spatial resolution, accuracy and cost\textsuperscript{380}. (Bio)luminescence-based imaging, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), X-ray computed tomography (X-ray CT), single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and ultrasound (US) imaging showed thereby the highest potential.\textsuperscript{122, 380, 381, 390, 402} These methods can be roughly divided into the two groups of functional (e.g. luminescence imaging, PET) or structural (MRI, CT) techniques.\textsuperscript{122}

Optical imaging based on luminescence excels in spatial resolution and sensitivity\textsuperscript{97, 123, 124, 380, 403} reaching almost to the single molecule level\textsuperscript{97}. The implementation of this technique is also quite robust to outer influences, inexpensive, and portable.\textsuperscript{97} However, the potential autofluorescence of biological specimen induced by the UV excitation light limits the spatial resolution and accuracy of the imaging and the low penetration depth of the excitation irradiation in tissue prevents any access to anatomical or physiological information.\textsuperscript{123, 124, 380, 402} Radionuclide imaging such as PET is based on the detection of gamma rays emitted by the radioactive contrast agent which drastically increases the penetration depth and spatial resolution compared to luminescence imaging.\textsuperscript{402} Being another functional imaging technique PET shows a relatively high sensitivity ranging in the picomolar domain\textsuperscript{122, 124, 403} and allows the computational reconstruction of 3D images\textsuperscript{404}. Despite recent improvements the achievable spatial resolution is still comparatively low for *in vivo* applications\textsuperscript{123, 124} due to the lack of recognizable anatomical structures in the PET images\textsuperscript{380}. For this purpose, structural imaging techniques have to be applied like MRI which relies on changes in the dipolar movement of hydrogen atoms in magnetic fields with the spin-lattice (T\textsubscript{1}) and spin-spin (T\textsubscript{2}) relaxation as the detection signals.\textsuperscript{403} MRI features the highest spatial resolution compared to the other imaging methods\textsuperscript{123, 402}, a good temporal resolution\textsuperscript{403} and together with the good in-depth imaging ability\textsuperscript{97, 123} the realization of 3D tomography with a high anatomical information content is made greatly feasible\textsuperscript{123, 380}. However, as a structural imaging technique MRI suffers from a low sensitivity and the miss of cellular information.\textsuperscript{97, 123, 380}

Since all imaging techniques have their advantages and disadvantages and thus no method is superior in all demands for *in vivo* applications, combinations of the different modalities have been investigated. The introduction of this highly favored multimodality greatly improves the informative
value of the images by using synergistic effects\textsuperscript{[122, 380]}, i.e. the compensation of one modality's drawback by an advantage of another modality\textsuperscript{[123, 124]}. Beneficial dual- or even tri-modalities can be especially obtained by the combination of a functional and a structural method, stated by the high preference of luminescence/MRI methods\textsuperscript{[97, 122, 123, 380]} but also dual-modalities like PET/MRI\textsuperscript{[380]}, luminescence/PET\textsuperscript{[380, 394, 405]} or tri-modalities like MRI/luminescence/PET\textsuperscript{[124, 403]} were realized.

Nanoparticles are favored as contrast agents compared to organic dyes, since they are photostable in most instances and improve the achievable imaging contrast.\textsuperscript{[392]} Additionally, they significantly increase the blood circulation time and enable the mentioned introduction of several modalities (e.g. fluorescence, PET or MRI imaging) for multimodal applications.\textsuperscript{[405]} The large surface of nanoparticles is accessible to modification with biomolecules in high numbers\textsuperscript{[97, 392, 405]}, also of different functionality, which simplifies the generation of multivalency\textsuperscript{[402]}. A targeting ability of nanoparticles can be realized by the attachment of a variety of different biomolecules such as peptides, proteins, antibodies, aptamers, or DNA oligonucleotides.\textsuperscript{[192]} However, their comparatively large size entails more complex mechanisms for body clearance which majorly employ either ways over the liver and the bile instead of the simpler renal system of the kidney or an uptake by the reticuloendothelial system which diminishes the imaging efficiency. A potential toxicity of the nanoparticles for the animal has to be excluded from the start or at least greatly reduced if imaging with these contrast agents is envisioned.\textsuperscript{[390]}

Similar to \textit{in vitro} applications, the use of UCNPs as contrast agents for \textit{in vivo} imaging focused on Tm\textsuperscript{3+}- and Er\textsuperscript{3+}-doped UCNPs with the NIR\textsuperscript{[18, 19, 162, 212, 261]} and green\textsuperscript{[124, 128, 212]} emission, respectively, as the detection signal. However, most studies were performed with self-made\textsuperscript{[19, 124, 261, 265]} or at least modified\textsuperscript{[18, 162, 212]} instruments due to the lack of commercially available devices with an NIR excitation source. Nonetheless, the upconversion process allows deep tissue penetration and high signal-to-noise ratio without any tissue damages even after elongated exposure times.\textsuperscript{[265]} Therefore, both animal pretreatments for imaging such as shaving\textsuperscript{[18, 162]} or skin removal\textsuperscript{[19]} are redundant and disadvantageous tissue features such as black skin with its increased absorption and scattering effect can be ignored\textsuperscript{[261]}. The expected lack of measurement interference by autofluorescence\textsuperscript{[199]} also enables the imaging of very small body structures like the lymphatic system whose differentiation potential can be further improved by an adjusted excitation intensity power.\textsuperscript{[19]} Since the emission peaks of UCNPs are very narrow and are spectrally good distinguishable, UCNPs doped with different activators and/or modified with various downconverting components allow the creation of multicolor contrast agents for a multiplexed \textit{in vivo} imaging of different regions of interest.\textsuperscript{[24, 212]} Tumor imaging studies crucial for any diagnostic applications also gave evidence that the design of
UCNPs with a targeting capability remains unchanged in the complex biological systems of animals and allowed a targeted imaging with high accuracy and specificity towards the target structure.\textsuperscript{[199]}

Additional to the feasibility of targeted imaging, the biodistribution of non-targeting UCNP and potential accompanying toxicity is an important factor of their applicability as contrast agents. Since the liver with its phagocytic cells and the spleen as the biggest organ of the immune system belong to the main elimination routes for foreign particles, a fast accumulation in these organs with a comparatively slow excretion is predicted and observed.\textsuperscript{[18, 124, 125]} Even the use of targeting functionalities cannot avoid a certain degree of uptake by these two organs.\textsuperscript{[199]} However, the aggregation of the nanoparticles can also lead to an observable luminescence in the lungs\textsuperscript{[124, 128]}, while most other organs like the kidney normally show no increased UCNP accumulation\textsuperscript{[125, 128]}. Since the clearance routes via liver and spleen are quite efficient and fast, the UCNP blood circulation is quite short which can be detrimental for the imaging application, especially if targeting is envisioned.\textsuperscript{[125, 128, 199]} During these biodistribution studies no toxicity was observed indicated by a good health and normal behavior of the laboratory animals during the time of in vivo imaging and nanoparticle excretion which ranged between a few days and several months.\textsuperscript{[125, 162]}
3. Materials & Methods

3.1 Chemicals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Purity</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>For analysis</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>For analysis (99.8%)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkyne-PEG5-acid</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-(Aminopropyl)triethoxysilan (APTES)</td>
<td>≥ 98%</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia solution (25%)</td>
<td>For analysis</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody Goat-anti-Mouse 10 nm gold label, IgG, secondary antibody</td>
<td>Optical density OD₅₂₀nm = 1.0</td>
<td>Aurion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody Goat-anti-Rabbit 10 nm gold label, IgG, secondary antibody</td>
<td>Optical density OD₅₂₀nm = 1.0</td>
<td>Aurion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L(+)-ascorbic acid, sodium salt</td>
<td>≥ 99%</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3-Azidopropyl)diethoxy (hydroxy)silane</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>University Regensburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boric acid</td>
<td>For analysis (≥ 99.5%)</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium chloride dihydrate</td>
<td>For analysis (≥ 99%)</td>
<td>Roth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyethylsilanetriol, sodium salt, 25% in water</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>ABCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>For analysis (≥ 99%)</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate</td>
<td>For analysis (≥ 99%)</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>VWR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylformamide</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>Absolute (99.8%)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Fluorescein-Azide</td>
<td>≥95%</td>
<td>Jena Bioscience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochloric acid (37%)</td>
<td>For analysis</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal® CO-520 (Mₙ = 441 g/mol)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium acetate tetrahydrate</td>
<td>For analysis (≥ 99.5%)</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium chloride hexahydrate</td>
<td>For analysis (≥ 99%)</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Mercaptopropionic acid</td>
<td>For Synthesis (&gt; 98%)</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrosyl tetrafluoroborate</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Octanthiol</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho-phosphoric acid</td>
<td>For analysis (85%)</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Materials & Methods

| P | Polyacrylic acid (M<sub>r</sub> = 2100), sodium salt | ----- | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Polyacrylic acid (M<sub>r</sub> = 5100), sodium salt | ----- | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Potassium chloride | For analysis (≥ 99.5%) | Merck |
| Propargylamine | 98% | Sigma-Aldrich |
| O-(propargyloxy)-N-(triethoxysilylpropyl)urethane | 90% | ABCR |
| S | Sodium acetate trihydrate | For analysis (≥ 99%) | Merck |
| Sodium chloride | For analysis (≥ 99.5%) | Merck |
| T | Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) | For synthesis (99.9%) | Merck / ABCR |
| Toluene | For analysis (99.99%) | Acros organics |
| Triethoxycylsilane | For synthesis | Merck |
| Triethylamine | For synthesis | Merck |
| 3-(Trihydroxysilyl)propyl-methylphosphonate, sodium salt solution, 42 wt% in water | ----- | Sigma-Aldrich |
| Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane | 99.8% | Merck |
| 10-Undecynylphosphonic acid | ≥ 95% | Sikémia |

* Supplier contacts:

  - ABCR [www.abcr.de](http://www.abcr.de)
  - Acros [www.acros.com](http://www.acros.com)
  - Aurion [www.aurion.nl](http://www.aurion.nl)
  - Jena Bioscience [www.jenabioscience.com](http://www.jenabioscience.com)
  - Merck [www.merck.de](http://www.merck.de)
  - Roth [www.carlroth.com](http://www.carlroth.com)
  - Sigma-Aldrich [www.sigmaaldrich.com](http://www.sigmaaldrich.com)
  - Sikémia [www.sikemia.com](http://www.sikemia.com)
  - VWR [www.vwr.com](http://www.vwr.com)

Additionally, the brome mosaic virus (BMV) in a virus inoculum and the rabbit-anti-BMV antibody (IgG, polyclonal) was purchased from the DMSZ Plant Virus Collection Braunschweig ([www.dmsz.de](http://www.dmsz.de)). The azide silane (3-azidopropyl)diethoxy(hydroxyl)silane and the alkyne fluorophore 4-amino-N-(2-propynyl)-1,8-naphthalimide was provided by Raphaela Liebherr at the Department of Analytical Chemistry, Chemo- and Biosensors, University of Regensburg.
3. MATERIALS & METHODS

3.2 Instruments

Upconversion luminescence spectra were recorded with a Varian Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer, modified with a continuous-wave diode laser with a wavelength of 980 nm and an adjustable power input ($P_{\text{max}} = 5$ W). A Hidex Plate Chameleon Multilabel Detection Platform (Figure 3.1) was used for microtiter plate measurements and scanning applications of UCNPs. The instrument was equipped with a NIR laser diode ($\lambda = 980$ nm, $P = 4$ W) as the excitation source and an excitation long pass filter (Schott RG-850, www.schott.com). The upconversion emission was selected by a short pass filter ($\lambda_{\text{cut-off}} = 525$ nm, $\varnothing = 25$ mm), a band pass filter (535 nm CWL, 25 nm FWHM, $\varnothing = 25$ mm) or a band pass filter (800 nm CWL, 25 nm FWHM, $\varnothing = 25$ mm). The short pass filter and 800 nm band pass filter were purchased from Edmund Optics (www.edmundoptics.de), while the 535 nm band pass filter was purchased from Hidex (www.hidex.com).

![Figure 3.1 Schematic illustration of the Hidex Plate Chameleon Multilabel Detection Platform (Chameleon reader)](image)

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded with a ZEISS LEO912AB 120 kV at the Institute of Pathology of the University Hospital Regensburg by Heiko Siegmund or with a Philips CM12 120 kV at the department of experimental and applied physics, chair Prof. Back, University of Regensburg by Stefan Wilhelm.

The size and zeta potential measurements were performed with a Malvern Zetasizer Nano Series Nano-ZS at the Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, University of Regensburg.

Fluorescence spectra were recorded with an Aminco-Bowman Series 2 Luminescence Spectrometer and fluorescence measurements of microtiter plates with a Tecan GENios Plus. Protein absorption was measured with a Varian Cary 50 Bio UV-Vis Spectrophotometer.

Infrared spectra of both dry and dispersed UCNPs were recorded with a Varian 670-IR FT-IR Spectrometer equipped with PIKE GladiATR unit.
3. **MATERIALS & METHODS**

The immunogold staining automat was a Leica EM Immunogold-Labeling-machine (IGL) at the Institute of Pathology of the University Hospital Regensburg.

For the surface modification of UCNPs with a silanized silica shell a Hettich Zentrifugen EBA 12 centrifuge (Rotor 4: max. 4,000 rcf, 6x120 g, Rotor 7: max. 36,000 rcf, 12x3 g) centrifuge was employed, while the functionalization with polyacrylic acid via ligand exchange was performed with a Hettich Zentrifugen Mikro 200 R (max. 21,000 rcf, 24x3 g). An Eppendorf Centrifuge 5415 R centrifuge (max. 16,100 rcf, 24x3,75 g, cooling option) was used in the preparation of virus-like particles (VLP) for the removal of viral RNA.

Dialysis was performed with GE Healthcare Mini Dialysis kits with an 8 kDa cut-off and a volume of 250 µL. The incubation for a constant temperature during click chemistry was performed in an Eppendorf Thermomixer Comfort (1.5 mL). A Bandelin Sonorex R4100 was used for the sonication treatment of UCNP dispersions.

### 3.3 Upconverting Nanoparticles

The upconverting nanoparticles used for all studies were synthesized according to literature\cite{93} by Stefan Wilhelm, Verena Muhr and Nadja Leibl. The nanoparticles of the type NaYF\textsubscript{4}:Yb\textsuperscript{3+}, X\textsuperscript{3+} showed a diameter of 30 nm for X\textsuperscript{3+} = Er\textsuperscript{3+} and 23 nm for X\textsuperscript{3+} = Tm\textsuperscript{3+}, respectively. The additional doping with gadolinium (Gd\textsuperscript{3+}) resulting in NaYF\textsubscript{4}:Yb\textsuperscript{3+}, Er\textsuperscript{3+}, Gd\textsuperscript{3+} nanoparticles decreased the overall diameter to 12 nm. All these UCNPs were coated with oleic acid and dispersed in cyclohexane and characterized by the group of Dr. Thomas Hirsch. The citrate-coated UCNPs with a diameter of 14 nm were obtained by a ligand exchange reaction of oleic acid by citric acid which was performed by Stefan Wilhelm according to literature\cite{269}.

### 3.4 Surface Modification of Upconverting Nanoparticles

#### 3.4.1 Silica Coating

The synthesis of UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2} based on a microemulsion technique was performed according to literature\cite{128}. The UCNP dispersion was diluted with cyclohexane to obtain a final nanoparticle concentration of 0.76 mg/mL. A UCNP dispersion volume of 10 mL were mixed with 50 µL of Igepal CO-520 and stirred for 5 minutes. The remaining 450 µL of Igepal CO-520 together with 80 µL of ammonia (25%) were added to this mixture and the reaction vessel sonicated for about 20 minutes
after sealing. Depending on the desired silica shell thickness a specific volume of tetraethyl orthosilicate was given into the reaction mixture which was then rotated at 4°C for 2 days.

After the silica shell growth was completed 5 mL of acetone were added to the reaction mixture and the reaction vessel was shaken manually so that the nanoparticles started to settle down. The resulting nanoparticles were washed immediately with ethanol/water (2:1 v/v) three times by centrifugation (1,800 rcf, 10 minutes) and sonication. Finally, the UCNP@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles were redispersed in 7 mL water and stored under rotation at 4°C.

### 3.4.2 Silanization

Two synthesis process based on the microemulsion technique were utilized for the silanization of a silica shell on the UCNP surface. The first way of silanization used in this work was performed simultaneous to the silica shell growth described in chapter 3.4.1 and was performed accordingly. The only change was the addition of the respective silane in excess subsequent to TEOS to the reaction mixture. In this way, silica-coated UCNPs functionalized with amine, alkyne, azide, or phosphonate groups were obtained.

The second method is adapted from literature$^{[248]}$ and also relies on the microemulsion technique but differs from the previously mentioned technique regarding the synthesis order and the solvents used for work up. Additionally, the protocol was optimized regarding solvents used for work up and amount of silane used leading to the following general implementation. An amount of 4.3 mg of UCNP dispersed in cyclohexane was diluted to a final concentration of 0.43 mg/mL. This dispersion with a volume of 10 mL was mixed with 500 µL Igepal CO-520 and 80 µL aqueous ammonia (25%) and stirred at 600 rpm for 10 minutes. After the addition of 46 µL TEOS the mixture was stirred for 3 h at 25°C. Afterwards, 46 µL carboxyethylsilanetriol (CEST) was added and the dispersion sonicated for 30 minutes. The resulting slightly turbid mixture was stirred at 600 rpm for 2 days on a multiposition magnetic stirrer.

Ethanol with a volume of 5 mL was added to the less turbid dispersion for work up and the mixture was shaken to start the settling down of nanoparticles. After the removal of the reaction solvent by centrifugation (1800 rcf, 15 minutes) the modified UCNPs were washed three times with ethanol (1,800 rcf, 15 minutes) and two times with a Britton-Robinson buffer (15 mM phosphoric acid, boric acid, acetic acid) with pH = 10 (19,000 rcf, 30 minutes). The resulting functionalized UCNPs were dispersed in the Britton-Robinson buffer and stored at 4°C.
This method was mainly employed for the silanization with carboxylic acid groups but was later also used for the simultaneous functionalization with carboxylic acid and azide groups. While the general process remained unchanged for this bifunctional modification, the amounts of chemicals had to be adjusted due to an increase of the nanoparticle diameter from 12 to 24 nm in order to match the new surface area of the UCNPs. Consequently, a volume of 58.8 µL ammonia (25%) and 367 µL Igepal CO-520 was added to the UCNP dispersion ($\beta = 0.43$ mg/mL, $V = 8.6$ mL). The amount of TEOS and silane (5% azide silane + 95% CEST) was decreased to 44.2 µL.

### 3.4.3 Coating with Polyacrylic Acid

The surface modification of hydrophobic UCNPs with polyacrylic acid (PAA) was performed by a ligand exchange reaction according to literature\(^{[269]}\) with minor modifications and employed NOBF\(_4\) as a temporary surface ligand. At first, a cyclohexane dispersion of UCNP with a nanoparticle concentration of 5 mg/mL and a volume of 2 mL was mixed with the same volume of dimethylformamide (DMF) to form a two-phase system. After the addition of 30 mg of NOBF\(_4\) the mixture was stirred vigorously for 10 minutes to ensure a complete phase transfer of the nanoparticles from the cyclohexane into the DMF phase. The clear cyclohexane phase is removed without shaking up the DMF phase and is partitioned equally on two centrifuge tubes and washed three times with chloroform (2,000 rcf, 5 minutes) and once with a DMF/chloroform mixture (1:1 v/v, 2,000 rcf, 5 minutes). The purified UCNPs were redispersed in 2 mL DMF.

Two types of PAA with different molecular masses (2,100 g/mol and 5,100 g/mol) were chosen as the new surface ligand of the UCNPs. 30 mg of each polymer were solved in double-distilled water with a maximal volume of 0.5 mL and 1 mL of the BF\(_4\)-stabilized UCNP dispersion was added to each sample. The mixture was stirred for 20 minutes and diluted with double-distilled water to a final nanoparticle concentration of 5 mg/mL.

### 3.4.4 Implementation of Click Chemistry

The Huisgen cycloaddition, i.e. the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of an azide with a terminal alkyne, was implemented according to a previously reported protocol\(^{[10]}\) with minor changes. For most click reactions azide-functionalized UCNPs were employed, while the alkyne-modification of UCNPs played only a secondary role in this work and their use was limited to a modification with an azide-functionalized fluorophore. Therefore, the click reaction process as described below is focused on the azide-modified UCNPs and molecules containing alkyne groups, although the two functionalities are exchangeable without any changes of the reaction protocol.
An aqueous dispersion of azide-modified UCNPs ($\beta = 1.08 \text{ mg/mL}$) was mixed with ethanol resulting in a water/ethanol dispersion of 1:1 (v/v). A solution of copper sulfate (5 µL, 20 mM), a solution of sodium ascorbate (5 µL, 100 mM) and 5 µL of triethylamine was added to 1 mL of the nanoparticle dispersion. Finally, a clickable reagent was added to this reaction mixture which was then shaken at 500 rpm in a thermomixer at 35°C for at least 14 h, mostly overnight. The resulting dispersion was centrifuged (22,000 rcf, 30 minutes) and washed three times with ethanol (22,000 rcf, 30 minutes). The UCNPs obtained were redispersed in 1mL water and stored at 4°C.

The Click reaction with azide-functionalized UCNPs with different clickable reagents yielded nanoparticles with phosphonate, carboxylic acid, or amine groups. Clickable fluorophores acted as sensitive labels to verify a successful click reaction by fluorescence spectroscopy for both azide- and alkyne-modified UCNPs. Click reactions without copper and/or with UCNPs coated with a pure silica shell were used as negative controls in these experiments with the fluorophore.

3.4.5 Zetasizer & Transmission Electron Microscopy

Both the hydrodynamic radius and the zeta potential were determined by a zetasizer device for all UCNP dispersions after surface modifications.

For the size measurements at the zetasizer the aqueous UCNP dispersion with a volume of 1 mL was transferred into a disposable polystyrene fluorimeter cuvette. The settings of the zetasizer for size measurements included the refractive index and absorption of the nanoparticle material Na$_2$YF$_4$, the refractive index and viscosity of the aqueous dispersant, the equilibration time of the temperature (at least 60 seconds), and the “normal” size distribution mode. The measurement position in the cuvette and attenuator position were automatically optimized by the software. The measurement consisting of at least 10 runs with a duration of at least 10 seconds was performed three to five times and an average was derived by the zetasizer software. The resulting distribution graph was given in the “intensity” version and the polydispersity index (PdI) was calculated by the software. A data processing to obtain the "number" version of the distribution graph required the refractive index (RI = 1.5) and the absorption coefficient (A = 0) of the host material Na$_2$YF$_4$. This conversion avoided an overrepresentation of larger particles owing to their higher scattering behavior.

The zeta potential determination of the aqueous UCNP dispersions was performed in a clear disposable zeta cell. The UCNP dispersion with a volume of approximately 700 µL was filled slowly into the cell so that the gold electrodes were inside the dispersant and the liquid level just below the filling opening. Afterwards the cell was inserted correctly in the cell holder of the zetasizer. Additional to the settings for the size measurements the dielectric constant of the aqueous
dispersant has to be known for zeta potential measurements. The set voltage was optimized by a test run to obtain a current below 0.5 mA to avoid sample degradation and electrode contamination. The measurement was performed in the distribution mode to record the potential distribution. Since the zeta cells can be used several times before disposal, after each measurement the cells were first cleaned with ethanol, flushed with a large amount of distilled water and dried with compressed air.

In some cases, also the absolute nanoparticle diameter was also determined by Heiko Siegmund or by Stefan Wilhelm via TEM imaging. Furthermore, the detection of BMV capsids or capsomers was carried out by TEM including a negative staining with phosphotungstic acid.

3.5 Synthesis of Virus-Like Particles

3.5.1 General Implementation

The preparation of virus-like particles was performed according to literature\textsuperscript{[375]} and consists of two parts: Firstly, the disassembly of the brome mosaic virus capsid into the single capsomer proteins and the removal of virus RNA and secondly, the encapsulation of the UCNPs by the reassembly of the capsomers by using the nanoparticle as the new nucleation grain. Except for centrifugation to remove the virus RNA, the only technique used for the whole VLP synthesis is dialysis at 4°C for 24 h against different buffer systems (Table 3.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5 M CaCl\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01 M Tris</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01 M Tris, 1.0 M KCl, 0.005 M MgCl\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.05 M Tris, 0.05 M NaCl, 0.01 M KCl, 0.005 M MgCl\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.05 M NaAc, 0.008 M MgAc\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The disassembly of the capsids started with the dialysis against buffer 1 containing a high concentration of calcium ions in order to break the virus capsid and release the viral RNA strands. The subsequent centrifugation at 4°C and with a speed of 16,000 g removed these RNA strands that would otherwise compete with the UCNPs for the role as the nucleation grain for the capsid self-assembly. The resulting protein solution was then first dialyzed against buffer 2 to remove the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions, then against buffer 3, the storage buffer for the capsomers, to stabilize the proteins and finally stored at 4°C.
The capsomers can reassemble to form the viral capsid in presence of an UCNP acting as a nucleation grain provided a suiting ratio between nanoparticles and capsomers is given. Therefore, a mixture of the UCNPs dispersion and the BMV capsomers solution was prepared to yield a UCNP/capsomer ratio of 1:180. This mixture was dialyzed against buffer 4, the reassembly buffer, to enable the self-assembly of the capsomers. Finally, a dialysis against buffer 5, the VLP storage buffer, was performed to stabilize the VLPs. The VLP dispersion was again stored at 4°C to avoid any kind of degradation.

3.5.2 Dialysis

Since the sample volumes for the VLP synthesis range from 50 - 200 µL and conventional dialysis tubes normally have a minimum volume of over 1 mL to simplify handling, special dialysis cups were used for the VLP synthesis (Figure 3.2A). These cups from GE Healthcare Life Sciences have a maximal volume of 250 µL and are equipped with a dialysis membrane with a molecular weight cut-off of 8 kDa. Since the BMV capsomers have a molecular weight over 20 kDa, the cut-off is sufficient for the capsid encapsulation of the UCNPs. The general dialysis performance with these cups is shown in Figure 3.2B. Since the dialysis cups are suitable for centrifugation, the necessary quantitative recovery of the reaction mixture for further use by this method is nearly 100 %.

Figure 3.2 Dialysis tube setup (A) with part names and general use (B) during VLP synthesis.

3.5.3 Absorption Spectroscopy

For VLP synthesis the concentration of the capsomer solution after the capsid disassembly was determined by absorption spectroscopy. Consequently, an absorption measurement of the protein solution at 280 nm in a quartz cuvette was performed. After background correction the absorption value was measured at least ten times and the resulting average value was used for the concentration calculations, described in chapter 5.3.
3.5.4 Immunogold Staining

The immunogold staining method was applied on the VLP samples in addition to the negative staining with phosphotungstic acid due to its higher specificity. The staining was performed at the University Hospital Regensburg under guidance of Heiko Siegmund and except the Rabbit-anti-BMV antibody and the Goat-anti-Rabbit antibody all chemicals and solutions were provided. All antibody solutions were centrifuged at 8,800 rcf for 2 minutes. Two controls for each sample were subjected in parallel to the staining procedure. The secondary Goat-anti-Rabbit antibody was replaced by a Goat-anti-Mouse antibody for the first control (Con 1) and the Rabbit-anti-BMV was replaced by a simple PBS solution for the second control (Con 2).

The pretreatment of the nickel grids (SCI - Science Services, Munich, Type 400 Mesh Square Nickel) included (1) a covering with Formvar plastic foil using a 1% (w/v) Formvar solution in chloroform, (2) a deposition of a carbon layer in an evaporation chamber (Leica BAL-TEC CTA005), and (3) a change of the surface from hydrophobic to hydrophilic by glow discharging in the same device. A drop of the VLP samples and the respective controls were applied on a Parafilm strip and the pretreated nickel grids were laid on top of the drops for incubation. After the removal of excess sample solution, a blocking step with a PBS/BSA solution (Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline, Sigma) was performed twice which included also an excess solution removal. The as-prepared grids were brought into a Leica IGL instrument, an immunogold staining automat, which performed the following steps automatically:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Incubation with</th>
<th>Solution volume per grid</th>
<th>Duration per step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Primary antibody solution</td>
<td>7 µL</td>
<td>60 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>PBS/BSA solution</td>
<td>30 µL</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Secondary antibody solution</td>
<td>7 µL</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>PBS/BSA solution</td>
<td>30 µL</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>PBS solution</td>
<td>30 µL</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Glutaraldehyde (2%) solution</td>
<td>30 µL</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-15</td>
<td>Double-distilled water</td>
<td>30 µL</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the removal of residual liquid from the grids outside of the device, the different samples were incubated with a 2 % solution of phosphotungstic acid and the grids were air-dried.
3.6 Chameleon Reader

3.6.1 Determination of Limit of Detection

The limit of detection (LOD) of the Chameleon reader was determined for unmodified UCNPs with a diameter of 12 nm. After preliminary studies setting the approximate concentration range a stock dispersion of these UCNPs with a concentration of 19.6 mg/mL was diluted twice to obtain two dispersions, one for an upper concentration range ranging from 50 to 1000 ng/mL and one for lower concentrations ranging from 1 to 10 ng/mL. Each concentration and pure cyclohexane as a blank with a volume of 200 µL was filled in five wells each of a 96 well microtiter plate (Greiner bio-one, polystyrole, flat bottom). The upconversion luminescence measurement was performed in the chameleon instrument with $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 980$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 535$ nm and each well was measured five times. The LOD was derived from the calibration curve obtained and the equation for the lowest detectable intensity (LDI)

$$LDI = x_{bg} + 3 \cdot \sigma_{bg}$$

with $x_{bg}$ the average and $\sigma_{bg}$ the standard deviation of the background intensity.

3.6.2 Scanning Mode

The scanning mode of the chameleon reader was implemented in the Chameleon software "Hidex CommFiler 2" (Version 2.64.00) by adjusting the following setting options:

- position of scan area
- number and spacing of scan points
- collecting time of the emission signal
- emission filter(s) employed

Preset parameters that are based on conventional microtiter plates with their respective dimensions or custom-made parameters can be used to create specified locations on a support. For this location programming the dimensions of the support, the number of locations desired and their relative positions on the support have to be known.

The total width $W_{\text{total}}$ and the total height $H_{\text{total}}$ is generally fixed by the plate holder of the Chameleon reader designed for microtiter plates and thus are set to 127.80 mm and 85.60 mm, respectively (Figure 3.3).
3. MATERIALS & METHODS

Figure 3.3 Support dimensions ($W_{\text{total}}$ & $H_{\text{total}}$) and relative positions of the starting position ($X_s/Y_s$) and the ending position ($X_e/Y_e$) in relation to the upper left corner (A). The software defines according to this starting and end position (black crosses) and the overall number of desired positions the remaining positions (2x2 matrix: black & blue crosses; 3x3 matrix: all crosses) to form a rectangular matrix (B).

The location positions are defined by firstly configuring the vertical and horizontal distance of the upper left position (start position) and the lower right position of the desired quadratic matrix. These distances, i.e. the horizontal distance $X_s$ and the vertical distance $Y_s$ for the start position and the horizontal distance $X_e$ and the vertical distance $Y_e$ for the end position, are in relation to the upper left corner of the support (Figure 3.3A). According to these start and end positions and the set number of locations the software determines the positions of the remaining locations to form a square pattern resulting for example in a 2x2 (Figure 3.3B, black & blue crosses) or a 3x3 matrix (Figure 3.3B, all crosses).

These set positions form the centers of the scan areas and simultaneously determine the size of the scan areas. Since the scan areas measured are square-shaped and should fit together to form a continuous area without overlaps or gaps, the side length of the scan area equals the distance of the center positions (Figure 3.4).

Figure 3.4 Dimensions of the optimal scan area (width $W_{\text{scan}}$ x height $H_{\text{scan}}$). The four areas fit together to form a larger continuous scanned area ($2W_{\text{scan}}$ x $2H_{\text{scan}}$) without overlaps or gaps due to the optimal side length equaling the center distance.
These scan area dimensions are set by the number of scanning points and their distance to each other. For example, with a position distance of 9 mm both a number of 324 scan spots (18x18 square, Figure 3.5A) with a distance of 500 µm and a number of 1296 scan spots (36x36 square, Figure 3.5B) with a distance of 250 µm can be set to obtain the predefined side length of 9 mm. During the scan these spots are measured sequentially starting from the lower left to the upper right position line-by-line starting from the left (Figure 3.5C).

Additionally, the collecting time at a single position defines the intensity of the detection signal and the measurement period. Furthermore, the emission filters chosen for the scan have to match the upconversion luminescence of the UCNPs labels, since they define the emission detected by the photomultiplier tube. Figure 3.6 shows the emission spectra of erbium- or thulium-doped UCNPs and the matching filter(s).

The shortpass filter is usable for both nanoparticle types to obtain scans with the overall emission as the measurement signal. If solely the green emission of NaYF₄:Yb, Er ranging from 510 to 560 nm or the IR emission of NaYF₄:Yb, Tm ranging from 775 to 825 nm is to be recorded, the respective
bandpass filter can be used. Their combination with the shortpass filter enables scan measurements with reduced background due to a more sufficient exclusion of excitation light.

For the scan itself the sample has to be fixed on a solid and even support whose material is not subjected to any limitations. The scan can then be performed to obtain either an image of the whole specimen or several smaller images with different settings by dividing the area into smaller subareas depending on the region of interest. The resulting data set was converted into a 2D matrix and in the case of subareas recomposed to an overall 2D matrix of the whole specimen. Finally, this matrix was used to create a pseudo-color image of the specimen with a color-coded intensity scale using the software "OriginPro 9" (Version 9.0.0).

### 3.7 Gel Electrophoresis

The separation of UCNPs by gel electrophoresis was performed by Dr. Antonín Hlaváček at the Central European Institute of Technology (CEITEC) of Masaryk University in Brno. The detailed nanoparticle preparation and electrophoresis implementation is given in literature$^{[260]}$.

### 3.8 Preparation of Lateral Flow Assays

The lateral flow assays were prepared by the group of Paul L. A. M. Corstjens at the department of molecular cell biology of the Leiden University Medical Center. A specific monoclonal mouse-anti-CAA antibody (MACAA) for the analyte *Schistosoma* circulating anodic antigen and a polyclonal goat-anti-mouse antibody (GAM, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) were bound on a laminated nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore) by a Linomat 5 device (Camag Scientific, www.camag.com) to form the test and the control line, respectively. Assays with a width of 4 mm were prepared according to literature$^{[407]}$ after the introduction of a glass fiber sample as the application pad and a paper absorbent pad. Additionally, the UCNPs of the type $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3\text{S}:\text{Yb}^{3+},\text{Er}^{3+}$ coated with a layer of silica were modified with the MACAA$^{[213]}$ to introduce a recognition capability during labeling.

The dilution of the crude *Schistosoma* extract in immunochromatography buffer (100 mM HEPES, 270 mM NaCl, 0.5 % (w/v) of Tween 20, 1% (w/v) of BSA, pH 7.2) yielded a series of solution concentrations ranging from 10 to 10,000 pg/mL. These samples were applied to one assay each with one assay treated with buffer only serving as the negative control. The resulting lateral flow assays were treated with 100 ng of modified UCNPs for labeling and subsequently dried for storage.
3.9 Wafer Preparation

The potential plasmonic enhancement of the upconversion luminescence by a gold surface was studied on the surface of commercially available silica wafers with a size of 10 mm x 3 mm and gold layers with two possible formats (Figure 3.7) but otherwise same characteristics. The gold layers with a thickness of 300 nm were deposited on the silica surface by vapor deposition and had an overall area of approximately 4 mm².

The microelectrodes were coated with the same functionality on both silica and gold to ensure that changes in intensity solely results from the plasmonic enhancement by the gold surface.

![Figure 3.7 Scheme of silica microelectrodes with 2x1 (left) and 2x2 (right) setup of gold electrodes with an area of 4 mm².](image)

The functionalization process was performed as follows. The wafers were first cleaned overnight in 1 mL ethanol under shaking at 500 rpm to remove any residues from the wafer preparation and impurities. The silanization of the silica surface was carried out in a 5% toluene solution of the octanyl or carboxyl silane overnight. After a washing step in ethanol to remove any residual silanes the wafers were immersed in an ethanol solution of the respective thiol with a concentration of 3 mmol/L and shaken overnight. Any access thiols were subsequently removed by washing, at least two times, with ethanol and the solvent was allowed to evaporate to dry the wafers.
4. Surface Modifications for Biofunctionalization

4.1 Demands on Upconverting Nanoparticle for Encapsulation

Upconverting nanoparticles after surface modification had to fulfill three requirements to be suitable cores for the generation of virus-like particles (VLP) by capsid encapsulation. Firstly, a general dispersibility of the nanoparticles in aqueous media involved a shift of the nanoparticle surface from hydrophobic to hydrophilic and thus only polar or ionic groups were reasonable choices as surface functionalities. Secondly, the nanoparticles had to be smaller than the diameter of the inner cavity of the virus capsid (18 nm for the BMV capsid) with a narrow size distribution, i.e. monodispersity, to allow a general encapsulation. Thirdly, a negatively charged UCNP surface at physiological pH 7.4 was mandatory for the self-assembly of the virus capsid by taking on the role of the original viral RNA.

Nanoparticles in dispersion scatter light depending on their size which is the measurement principle of the dynamic light scattering (DLS) method utilized for characterization. Additionally, this techniques are predominantly non-destructive and do not affect the sample enabling a subsequent use without any limitations. Therefore, DLS was suitable to determine the monodispersity of modified nanoparticles. Especially the polydispersity index (PdI) was a measure of the nanoparticle size distribution and was informative about the uniformity of the nanoparticle size, both of aggregates and single nanoparticles. While a narrow size distribution and thus monodispersity was given for polydispersity indices of 0.1 or lower, the nanoparticles were polydisperse with a moderate (0.1 < PdI < 0.4) or a broad (PdI > 0.4) size distribution.\cite{408}

Although the hydrodynamic diameter, i.e. the sum of the actual nanoparticle diameter and thickness of its solvation shell, and not the actual nanoparticle size was determined by DLS techniques, a precise overview of the whole dispersion regarding aggregates or single nanoparticles was evaluated. The distribution of the hydrodynamic diameters was represented by the detected intensity or the number of nanoparticles (Figure 4.1).

![Size Distribution by Intensity](image1.png) ![Size Distribution by Number](image2.png)

**Figure 4.1** Exemplary distributions of the hydrodynamic diameter by the detected intensity (left) or the number of nanoparticles (right).
The distribution by intensity was directly obtained by the DLS measurement and the hydrodynamic diameter was depicted regarding the intensity of the scattered light caused by the respective nanoparticle fraction. Since there is a proportionality between this signal intensity and the sixth power of the (nano)particle diameter\(^4\), larger nanoparticles have higher peaks in this distribution diagram. The data conversion by the instrument software to obtain the distribution according to number leveled out this overrepresentation. Therefore, the distribution of the hydrodynamic diameter is represented more accurately but depiction according to number is more affected by arising errors during data evaluation by the software. Consequently, its informative value was limited, yet a general conclusion about aggregation or the presence of small nanoparticles was still possible, especially when the distribution according to intensity was also taken into account for evaluation.

The actual size of a modified UCNP decided about the fit of the nanoparticle into the cavity of the virus capsid. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is capable to illustrate both UCNPs and a potential silica shell with a high contrast owing to their high and distinguishable electronic densities. Therefore, an accurate determination of the actual nanoparticle diameter was realized which allowed statements about the encapsulation probability, since sizes larger than the inner cavity of the capsid of 18 nm excluded encapsulation feasibility. A maximum diameter of 16 nm was chosen to take into account the contribution of the surface functionalities to the nanoparticle size since they were not detectable by TEM. Furthermore, TEM images also confirmed the presence of aggregates and additionally their structure. However, since TEM was time consuming and costly, the sampling number had to be restricted to one testing per batch. In combination with DLS measurements this imaging was sufficiently representative for the whole sample, although only a small volume fraction was analyzed.

An additional characterization method for nanoparticles relies on the determination of the zeta potential. It is an informative indicator for the surface charge of a modified UCNP and its absolute value allows conclusions about the stability of the nanoparticle dispersion. The zeta potential is the electric potential present at the outer border, i.e. slipping layer, of the electrostatic double layer forming on the surface of a charged nanoparticle (Figure 4.2). The net charge of this nanoparticle surrounded by ions in the Stern and slipping layer defines a specific electrophoretic mobility which can be harnessed to determine the zeta potential. The measurement principle of this mobility, more precisely the velocity of the charged nanoparticles in an applied electric field, is based on intensity fluctuations caused by the combination of the scattered light and a laser reference beam. The information is converted to the zeta potential by the instrument software.\(^4\)
The actual zeta potential of nanoparticle dispersion was measured in the distribution mode. This measurement type allowed a detailed presentation of the zeta potential regarding its maximum, average, and standard deviation which was especially important for samples with several nanoparticle fractions. The zeta potential of the nanoparticle sample provided information about the dispersion stability. The border between a stable and unstable dispersions is generally accepted as a potential of +30 mV and -30 mV, respectively, and thus an absolute value higher than 30 mV indicates a good dispersion stability.\textsuperscript{[409]} Furthermore, since the nanoparticles acted as a replacement of the original RNA of the virus, only UCNPs with a negative zeta potential were logical options for the generation of VLPs by encapsulation.

The utilization of these methods to characterize UCNP modified with diverse surface functionalities provided satisfactory information about the monodispersity, absolute diameter and surface charge. Consequently, an informative conclusion about the suitability of these nanoparticles for the generation of VLPs was made by consulting these characteristics.

4.2 Silica Shell & Control of Thickness

Silica is a convenient material both to create a hydrophilic surface on the UCNP surface crucial for bioanalytical applications and to introduce a wide variety of useful functionalities such as amines, phosphonates, or carboxylic acids. Furthermore, the omnipresent silanol groups with a higher acidity than the aliphatic alcohols can be deprotonated in aqueous solution. The resulting negatively charged nanoparticle surface stabilizes the hydrophilic nanoparticles in dispersion by electrostatic repulsion. The silica-coated UCNPs (UCNP@SiO$_2$) were prepared according to literature\textsuperscript{[128]} and the thickness of the silica shell was optimized by the adjustment of added tetraethoxy orthosilicate (TEOS).
An approximate correlation between the shell thickness and the TEOS amount was determined by the addition of 10, 5, or 4 µL of TEOS to the synthesis batch of UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2} utilizing UCNPs with a diameter of 12 nm. The absolute diameter of these nanoparticles determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is given in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 TEM results of the silica coating of UCNPs with varying TEOS volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2}-10</td>
<td>12 - 15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5 - 6</td>
<td>22 - 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2}-5</td>
<td>12 - 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td>16 - 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2}-4</td>
<td>12 - 15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td>14 - 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both the shell thickness and the absolute diameter of the UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2} decreased logarithmically by the reduction of the TEOS volume added during synthesis. Furthermore, the synthesis using 4 µL yielded nanoparticles (UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2}-4) with an average overall size of 16.5 nm sufficient for encapsulation (Figure 4.3). A TEOS volume below this 4 µL may lead to a silica shell growth with a more uniform thickness of 1 nm for all nanoparticles but also an insufficient coating of the UCNP surface with silica becomes more probable. Furthermore, studies about the monodispersity of the different types of UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2} revealed an opposite trend regarding the aggregation tendency. The polydispersity indices of the different UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2} ranging between 0.20 and 0.35 suggested a moderate size distribution of the nanoparticles with an increased polydispersity observable for thinner silica shells. Additional to these aggregates found in the respective TEM images, DLS studies showed a strong increase of the average hydrodynamic diameter from 330 nm to 3100 nm (Figure 9.1-9.3). Especially the data comparison of UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2}-4 and UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2}-10 confirmed the formation of larger aggregates due to a thinner silica shell which was also found for the silica coating of other kinds of nanoparticles\textsuperscript{[410, 411]}. This reduced stabilization of UCNP@SiO\textsubscript{2} with decreasing shell thickness was also indicated by the investigation of the zeta potential which decreases from -30 mV to -15 mV. However, the coating of UCNPs with pure silica created a negatively charged surface despite this decline in its absolute value.

Consequently, a reduction of the thickness of the silica could only be obtained by accepting an increased aggregation tendency of the nanoparticles, apparent in the hydrodynamic and absolute diameter of the coated UCNPs and their zeta potential. This phenomenon was traced back to three potential causes. Firstly, an incomplete surface coating of the UCNPs by the silica shell may cause electrostatic attraction of the resulting "naked" UCNP surface with its positive charge originating from the trivalent lanthanide dopants and the negatively charged silica surface of other (partly)
coated UCNPs. Secondly, if highly reactive silanol groups remain on the nanoparticle surface, covalent bonding reactions between two nanoparticle surfaces in close proximity might occur which led to an irreversible formation of larger aggregated structures. During work up an enhanced aggregation arises especially by centrifugation necessary for pellet formation or drying of the nanoparticles. Thirdly, a covalent surface linkage can also be promoted by any impurities in the reaction vessel initiating aggregation by nucleation during shell growth.

![Figure 4.3 TEM image of UCNP@SiO₂-NH₂ (left: 10,000x magnification, scale bar: 1 µm; right: 160,000x magnification, scale bar: 50 nm).](image)

In conclusion, the generation of pure silica shells and a good control over the resulting shell thickness could be achieved by an adjustment of the TEOS amount added as suggested by literature[239]. The increasing aggregation tendency strongly hampered the suitability of silica-coated UCNPs for (bio)analytical application, although these nanoparticles with their small absolute size owing to the very thin shell and negative surface charge were potential initiators for capsid encapsulation. A simultaneous silanization was a new approach to increase the nanoparticle stability in dispersion and to introduce more accessible functionalities for future modifications while maintaining the beneficial low thickness of the silica shell. Organyl silanes with different functional groups such as amines, carboxylic acids, or phosphonates were suitable for this modification.

### 4.3 Amine Functionalization

The functionalization of UCNPs with amines (UCNP@SiO₂-NH₂) by silanization with 3-(aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) creates an accessible surface for the introduction of a magnitude of different species of biological compounds. These functionalities allow a fast, robust and simple linkage of different (bio)molecules or nanostructures, especially with activated carboxylic acids via ethyl(dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS). Furthermore, the dominant positive charge of ammonium ions formed by the protonation of amines
at physiological pH potentially stabilizes the modified UCNPs in dispersion owing to electrostatic repulsion.

The conversion of the negative charge of pure silica by the silanization with amines was recognizable in the strongly differing zeta potential of the UCNP@SiO₂-NH₂ (+21.8 mV, Figure 9.20) and the reference sample (UCNP@SiO₂, -4.2 mV) which was synthesized according to the same protocol but without the amine silane. In addition, this change in sign of the potential verified the presence of amines on the nanoparticle surface. The decrease of the polydispersity index (0.134) and the hydrodynamic diameter (460 nm) indicated an improvement of the monodispersity and general size of the as-modified UCNPs compared to non-functionalized nanoparticles (UCNP@SiO₂-4) with the same thin shell. Consequently, a simultaneous silanization during silica shell growth exerted a beneficial effect on the nanoparticle quality. Its compatibility with the coating process to obtain a thin silica shell was demonstrated by TEM imaging (Figure 4.4) showing a thickness of 1-2 nm. However, both DLS and TEM measurements revealed a strong aggregation tendency of the UCNP@SiO₂-NH₂ and significant multicore encapsulation by silica similar to the modification with pure silica (Figure 4.3).

This strong susceptibility of these nanoparticles to aggregation was explained by amine-specific electrostatic interactions with negatively charged surfaces. An insufficient conversion of silanol groups into amines creates positively charged subareas on the UCNP surface whereas the remaining surface keeps its negative charge. These opposite charges lead to an attraction of different nanoparticles and enhance the aggregation of the nanoparticles. Furthermore, a back bonding of the positively charged amines to the negative silica surface of the same nanoparticle can occur which was also found for amine-functionalized silica nanoparticles\(^8\). The resulting cancellation of the stabilizing positive charges leads to an increased aggregation tendency.
In conclusion, the improved monodispersity and smaller hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$ demonstrated the positive influence of the simultaneous silanization on the stability of modified UCNPs. However, for the encapsulation with the BMV capsid the intrinsic positive surface charge excluded the utilization of these nanoparticles. In contrast, the fast and simple coupling chemistry of amines with carboxylic groups of biomolecules using EDC/NHS activation is a promising way for the attachment to the UCNP surface as long as the still remaining strong aggregation is addressed by future optimization. The resulting monodisperse nanoparticles with a specific recognition entity like antibodies are of great interest especially for bioanalytical targeting applications.

### 4.4 Carboxylic Acid Functionalization

In contrast to amine functionalities, carboxylic groups feature an intrinsic negative charge at physiological pH leading to a good stabilization of as-modified UCNPs and they are accessible to well established coupling reactions at the same time. Especially the EDC/NHS activation mentioned before is a general coupling option for a fast and efficient biomolecule attachment. Furthermore, an incomplete surface modification by carboxyl functionalities has a lower impact on aggregation, since the present electrostatic interactions of carboxyl and silanol groups are of repulsive nature. These advantageous characteristics led to a more thorough study and optimization of the UCNPs modified with carboxylic groups (UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH).

The influence of the pH on the dispersion stability of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH was investigated by using a buffer system with a neutral pH 7 or alkaline pH 9 which simultaneously counteracts acid-base-reactions by the carboxyl functionalities. Acidic buffer systems were ignored since UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH strongly aggregate below a pH of 6 due to the loss of the negative surface charge$^{[248]}$. The two batches studied were synthesized by the same route for improved comparability. The zeta potential as an informative indicator for dispersion stability averaged about -25 mV for the UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH batches of both pHs. In contrast to this similarity in the overall potential of both samples, the distribution of the zeta potential for pH 9 featured a single peak with a maximum of -35 mV (Figure 9.21) indicating a high potential uniformity, whereas a partitioning into three subdivisions occurred for a neutral pH with the major fraction with a maximum potential of -11 mV (Figure 9.22). Alkaline buffers were thus favorable for the work up and storage of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH, since an improved dispersion stability was realized owing to a more homogeneous and stable surface charge.

Furthermore, the adaption of the preparation protocol of UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$ for the synthesis of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH nanoparticles necessitated a process adjustment. There were three major focuses for this optimization studies.
Firstly, the breaking of the nanoparticle-containing micelles in the microemulsion of the same UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH batch was induced either by acetone according to the synthesis of UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$ (work up A) or ethanol according to literature$^{248}$ (work up B). The impact of these solvents on nanoparticle aggregation was assessed by differences in the hydrodynamic diameter of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH. The precipitation of the nanoparticles by acetone in work up A seemed to lead to a stronger temporary destabilization and thus a slightly enhanced formation of aggregates (Figure 9.5). In comparison, the addition of ethanol in work up B (Figure 9.6) to the microemulsion destabilized the UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH to a lesser extent resulting in predominantly monodisperse small nanoparticles. Both work up designs were repeated for different batches confirming a high reproducibility despite minor variations which justified the preference of work up B.

Secondly, a change in purpose of the threefold washing of the nanoparticles by centrifugation was determined during the optimization studies of the work up protocol. While a removal of reaction components including organic solvent, detergent, TEOS, and organyl silanes was initially anticipated by this process, the high stability of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH in dispersion caused instead a separation of aggregates from smaller nanoparticles. This new function of the three washing steps I-III was verified by investigating the different washing solutions regarding the hydrodynamic diameter of dispersed nanoparticles. The first washing solution (Figure 9.7) contained primarily nanoparticles with a very small size (hydrodynamic diameter: 18 nm) and good monodispersity (PDI 0.275) and their zeta potential of approximate -25 mV as well as visual long-term controls for nanoparticle precipitation confirmed their good stabilization in dispersion. The larger hydrodynamic diameters (105 - 300 nm) with hardly any indication of smaller nanoparticles and the broader size distribution (PDI 0.595) for washing solutions II confirmed a nearly complete transfer of larger aggregates to this dispersion by centrifugation (Figure 9.8). A slight shift to larger diameters for the washing step III indicates only minor separation of the large nanoparticle aggregates.

Thirdly, the volume of added TEOS and CEST for the synthesis of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH had to be adjusted to take into account the differences in mass concentration, size and surface area of the nanoparticles utilized in this thesis and literature$^{248}$. The detailed calculation for this adjustment to obtain the conversion factor is given in chapter 9.5 in the Appendix. The necessary volumes of TEOS and CEST were determined with the calculated factor of 2.06 ensuring a good conformity of the adapted synthesis with literature (Table 4.2). The minimal volume of 41 µL for TEOS and CEST to obtain a complete silica coating and functionalization was increased to an actual volume of 46 µL to ensure an efficient shell growth and simultaneous silanization. A CEST volume of 60 µL had no influence on nanoparticle stabilization or aggregation behavior which was checked in additional experiments.
Table 4.2 TEOS and CEST volumes needed for adapted synthesis from literature with a correction factor of 2.06

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$V_{\text{TEOS}}$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{CEST}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literature</td>
<td>20 µL</td>
<td>20 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaption</td>
<td>41 µL</td>
<td>41 µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual used</td>
<td>46 µL</td>
<td>46 µL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consequently, these investigations of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH synthesis led to the utilization of ethanol instead of acetone as the precipitation solvent, the isolation of monodisperse small nanoparticles by the washing step $i$, and the increased TEOS and CEST volume of 46 µL compared to the original 20 µL and yielded the general procedure described in the Experimental Part.

The qualitative detection of carboxylic acids on the nanoparticle surface was realized by infrared spectroscopy due to the strong IR-activity of these functionalities. A requirement for an unambiguous detection is the absence of other carboxyl species like acetic acid, e.g. as a buffer component, in the measured sample. Furthermore, IR spectroscopy allows a measuring of nanoparticles in both dry and dispersed state. Especially, the dried sample gave good informative IR spectra (Figure 4.5) owing to the absence of the very strong O-H valence band originating from water molecules. These findings allowed an unambiguous detection of carboxyl functionalities with a high reliability and thus a confirmation of a successful functionalization process.

The optimized UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH featured a very small hydrodynamic diameter of about 18 nm (Figure 9.9) with a good monodispersity (Pdi 0.271). The majority of nanoparticles in the dispersion were of this small size, whereas aggregates formed a nearly insignificant fraction. Furthermore, a negative surface charge of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH was confirmed by the average zeta potential of -19 mV (Figure 9.23) indicating a moderate dispersion stability and this potential was reproducibly
observable for different synthesis batches of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH. Precipitation studies by bare eye and determinations of the hydrodynamic diameter revealed no aggregation over several weeks. TEM imaging showed an absolute diameter of 13 nm for UCNP@SiO₂-COOH and confirmed the high monodispersity and small size of these nanoparticles (Figure 4.6, left) with hardly any observation of larger aggregates (Figure 4.6, right).

In conclusion, UCNP@SiO₂-COOH nanoparticles were monodisperse with a very low aggregation tendency. The low diameter as well as the negative surface charge of these single nanoparticles enabled their use for the encapsulation by the BMV capsid to form VLPs.

### 4.5 Coating with Polyacrylic Acid

A renunciation from a covalent approach toward non-covalent methods for the generation of UCNPs equipped with carboxylic groups entails several advantages for the nanoparticle surface modification. A drastically reduced expenditure of time and simplified work up procedure with minimized chances for aggregation can be achieved and are met by the ligand exchange performed according to the NOBF₄ method[^269]. The polymer polyacrylic acid (PAA) has a multitude of acidic groups suitable for surface coordination and functionality introduction. Additional to studies about the general suitability of this polymer for the coating of UCNPs by the NOBF₄ method two different molecular masses, 2100 g/mol and 5100 g/mol, were utilized to investigate potential influences of the chain length on the stabilization efficiency and yielded nanoparticles of the type UCNP@PAA(2100 g/mol) and UCNP@PAA(5100 g/mol).

A zeta potential above -35.0 mV for both nanoparticle types demonstrated a high dispersion stability as well as a strongly negative surface (Figure 9.24-9.25). Furthermore, the hydrodynamic diameter of
UCNP@PAA(5100 g/mol) which was determined by DLS to be 12 nm according to the number representation was slightly larger than the 10 nm of UCNP@PAA(2100 g/mol) (Figure 9.10-9.11). A reason for this increase of the solvation layer thickness around a nanoparticle was reduced to a stronger attraction of water molecules by PAA with molecular mass of 5100 g/mol due to its higher number of negatively charged carboxylic groups.

Moreover, a moderate size distribution of UCNP@PAA(5100 g/mol) was assumed apparent in a PdI of 0.270, whereas the UCNP@PAA(2100 g/mol) seem to be strongly polydisperse (PdI 0.609). However, the hydrodynamic diameter according to nanoparticle number featured a quite narrow size distribution with a maximum around 10 nm without any indication of aggregates and the zeta potential suggested a low tendency for aggregation. The measured broad size distribution was attributed to the presence of contaminations in the dispersion which had no unfavorable interference on the encapsulation process, as shown later. Consequently, the UCNP@PAA(2100 g/mol) were assumed to have similar degree of monodispersity as the UCNP@PAA(5100 g/mol) which was also supported by the high similarity of the hydrodynamic diameters of both nanoparticle types.

The determination of the absolute diameter of UCNP@PAA(5100 g/mol) by TEM (Figure 4.7) revealed a nanoparticle size of only 7-8 nm which was lower by a third than the assumed diameter of 12 nm.

![Figure 4.7 TEM image of UCNP@PAA(5100) (left: 40,000x magnification, scale bar: 500 nm; right: 200,000x magnification, scale bar: 100 nm).](image)

Consequently, for the investigation of this discrepancy also the original oleate-coated UCNPs utilized for the synthesis of both types of PAA-coated UCNPs were imaged by TEM. A comparison of their absolute size to the diameter of UCNP@PAA(5100 g/mol) showed a high conformity in size of both nanoparticle types (Figure 4.8). This result was also an explanation for the high difference between the expected and the actual hydrodynamic diameter of the PAA-coated UCNPs. This dimension has to
be larger than the absolute diameter of the nanoparticle due to the inclusion of the solvation shell around the nanoparticle. However, the hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@PAA(2100 g/mol) or UCNP@PAA(5100 g/mol) was 10 nm and 12 nm, respectively, which was equal to or smaller than the assumed absolute diameter of 12 nm. The lower absolute diameter of 7-8 nm found by TEM explained these results.

Finally, the functionalization of UCNPs with PAA was shown to be realizable, also with different molecular masses of the polymer. These nanoparticles fulfilled the requirements of a high monodispersity and negative surface charge which were necessary for the encapsulation by the BMV capsid. The formation of VLPs was also unaffected by the lower absolute diameter compared to the assumed size.

4.6 Phosphonate Functionalization

A more realistic imitation of the native phosphate backbone of the viral genome compared to a modification with carboxylic acid is the introduction of phosphonate groups on the UCNP surface. Since a functionalization with the silane 3-(trihydroxysilyl)propyl-methylphosphonate (TPMS) showed a high stabilization capability of as-modified UCNPs, this organic silane was chosen for the UCNP modification (UCNP@SiO$_2$-Ph). The obtained phosphonate-modified surface might be capable to be the nucleation grain in the self-assembly process of the capsid.

DLS measurements revealed that UCNP@SiO$_2$-Ph feature a very high monodispersity (PdI 0.072) and a hydrodynamic diameter of 333 nm (Figure 9.12). Consequently, this type of nanoparticles seemed to form aggregates with a high size uniformity, in contrast to most other modified UCNPs described in this work. Furthermore, the imaging of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Ph by TEM (Figure 4.9) showed circular
structures containing nanoparticles with an absolute diameter of 13 nm. The high number of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Ph as well as the high electronic density by overlapping was also shown (Figure 4.9, inset). A hypothesis for this phenomenon was a higher attraction of the modified nanoparticles to each other than to the surrounding aqueous medium. The zeta potential of -32 mV confirmed a negatively charged surface and high stability in dispersion of these aggregates (Figure 9.26). In addition, a low impact of external influences was demonstrated, since extensive washing did not affect the integrity of these aggregates.

![Figure 4.9 TEM image of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Ph (left: 10,000x magnification, scale bar: 2 µm; right: 100,000x magnification, scale bar: 200 nm).](image)

In conclusion, the strong negative surface charge as well as the absolute nanoparticle diameter of 13 nm enables the use of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Ph as artificial cores for the VLP synthesis but the high affinity of the nanoparticles to each other might hamper the self-assembly process of the capsid due to the low number of single nanoparticles.

### 4.7 Alkyne & Azide Functionalization

The functionalities mentioned in the previous chapters are omnipresent in biological systems but their high abundance has the disadvantage of a reduced selectivity and efficiency of their coupling reactions in *in vitro* and *in vivo* systems. In contrast, so-called bioorthogonal functionalities such as organic azides and terminal alkynes show no interaction with biological species and thus no interference with biochemical processes. Azides and alkynes are naturally absent in biological systems and can be coupled by the highly selective copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) which is a Click reaction. An efficient linkage of (bio)molecules to the nanoparticle surface can be realized with these clickable functionalities without disturbances by the biological system.
The existence of these functionalities on the nanoparticle surface as well as the feasibility of the CuAAC coupling reaction was investigated fluorometrically after the attachment of a fluorophore with the complementary functionality (Figure 4.10). Furthermore, the need of the copper catalyst on the coupling reaction was verified during these studies.

In a first study, a surface functionalization with alkynes allowed the coupling of azide-containing species to the nanoparticle. The presence of these groups on these UCNPs (UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk) was confirmed by the attachment of a fluorescein derivative Fl$_{az}$ ($\lambda_{exc} = 492$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 517$ nm), UCNP@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles as the negative control and a fluorescein solution as the positive control. Together with the study of the copper catalyst efficiency, the four samples UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk with or without Cu(II) ions ($F^+/F^-$) and UCNP@SiO$_2$ with or without Cu(II) ions ($O^+/O^-$) were investigated. The fluorescence spectra of the different samples are given in Figure 4.11 which were recorded under the same conditions to ensure comparability.

The spectra unambiguously illustrated a successful labeling of only the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk in presence of the copper catalyst. $F^+$ shows a distinct peak at 525 nm similar to the pure fluorescein with a
negligible red shift compared to the expected 520 nm. The controls $O^+$ and $O^-$ cannot be distinguished in the spectrum since they show no emission at any wavelength. The weak signal of $F^-$ is attributed to the occurrence of a minor coprecipitation of Flazo due to aggregation of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk during the labeling and washing processes.

The strong aggregation was assumed to be a result of the unpolar character of the alkyne functionalities leading to a hydrophobic character of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk surface. Its occurrence was observed by the bare eye in form of rapid precipitation both during work up and storage. Furthermore, these aggregates featured a high polydispersity (PdI 0.548) and their hydrodynamic diameter of over 1000 nm (Figure 9.1.3) was only reliably detectable if visible precipitates were permitted to settle for a longer period of time. The zeta potential of +5 mV (Figure 9.2.7) confirmed the insufficient stabilizing effect of the hydrophobic alkyne groups and indicated a close to neutrality charged nanoparticle surface owing to the lack of a real charge or at least a significant dipole moment. TEM imaging revealed an absolute diameter of 13 nm of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk and also the high number and large size of the aggregates were observable (Figure 4.1.2), partially covering whole grid sections. Similar to UCNP@SiO$_2$-Ph the higher attraction of the nanoparticles among each other was evident but the bulky and shapeless structures suggested a more undirected hydrophobic interaction.

![Figure 4.12 TEM image of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk (left: 1,000x magnification, scale bar: 10 µm; right: 200,000x magnification, scale bar: 50 nm).](image)

In a second study, the clickable groups were exchanged so that the UCNPs were modified with azides which could be coupled with species featuring alkyne moieties. The existence of azide groups on the UCNP surface (UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az) and a feasible coupling reaction was again verified fluorometrically after the attachment of the naphtalimide fluorophore Fl$\text{alk}$ ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 450$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 540$ nm, Figure 4.1.0) with UCNP@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles serving as the negative control. Furthermore, the addition or omission of a copper(II) species was performed for catalyst studies as for UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk. The
fluorescence of the four samples UCNP@SiO₂-Az with or without Cu(II) ions (F⁺/F⁻) and UCNP@SiO₂ with or without Cu(II) ions (O⁺/O⁻) was detected (Figure 4.13) using the same measurement settings to ensure comparability.

![Fluorescence spectra of the F⁺, F⁻, O⁺, and O⁻ samples of UCNP@SiO₂-Az after Click reaction with Flalk](image)

The high fluorescence of F⁺ provided direct proof of azide functionalities on the surface of UCNP@SiO₂-Az. The copper-free controls F⁻ and O⁻ showed no emission in the relevant wavelength range around the assumed maximum of 540 nm showing the importance of the copper(II) species for the CuAAC. An unspecific binding of the fluorophore to the silica surface of the UCNP@SiO₂ was indicated by the fluorescence signal of sample O⁺ which was anticipated to be reduced after additional washing steps.

Furthermore, dispersions of UCNP@SiO₂-Az had a satisfactory size distribution (Pdi 0.169) and the hydrodynamic diameter of the majority of nanoparticles was around 300 nm (Figure 9.14). These improved characteristics compared to the also clickable UCNP@SiO₂-Alk were attributed to the dipolar nature of azide functionalities and indicate a good hydrophilicity of the nanoparticle surface. A negative surface charge of the nanoparticles was confirmed by a zeta potential of -28 mV (Figure 9.28) which suggested a moderate dispersion stabilization. The presence of small or medium sized aggregates was also demonstrated by TEM (Figure 4.14) and UCNP@SiO₂-Az had an absolute diameter of 13 nm. Moreover, the simultaneous existence of single nanoparticles suggested a weaker driving force of aggregation, in contrast to UCNP@SiO₂ and UCNP@SiO₂-NH₂.

In conclusion, the introduction of the clickable alkyne of azide groups was demonstrated and their presence on the nanoparticle surface was verified by the detection of an attached fluorophore. Both functionalities were generally accessible binding sites for the attachment of (bio)molecules via the bioorthogonal coupling reaction CuAAC. Despite the high accessibility of these functional groups the stability characteristics of these as-modified UCNPs differed significantly. While single UCNP@SiO₂-Az
nanoparticles were prepared with a high reproducibility and stability in dispersion, UCNP@SiO₂-Alk featured a hydrophobic surface with a nearly neutral charge leading to strong aggregation of the nanoparticles in aqueous dispersion. Consequently, UCNP@SiO₂-Az nanoparticles were solely utilized for the more detailed investigation of the CuAAC as a bioorthogonal coupling reaction for different molecules in chapter 4.9.

Figure 4.14 TEM image of UCNP@SiO₂-Az (left: 10,000x magnification, scale bar: 2 µm; right: 200,000x magnification, scale bar: 100 nm).

### 4.8 Carboxylic Acid & Azide Functionalization

A surface modification of UCNPs with more than one functional group has the potential to harness synergistic effects. A multifunctional nanoparticle features improved properties such as dispersion stability, selectivity of coupling reaction, or biocompatibility compared to a monofunctionalization. The combination of azides and carboxylic acids create synergetic nanoparticles with a high stability in dispersion due to electrostatic repulsion and an exceptional selectivity of their surface binding sites due to bioorthogonality. These UCNP@SiO₂-COOH/Az nanoparticles were synthesized by using UCNPs with a diameter of 25 nm instead of 12 nm as for the other functionalizations. The resulting brighter upconversion emission due to reduced quenching effects is beneficial for labeling purposes. The adjustments of the synthesis procedure consisting of a change in the silane volumes due to a larger nanoparticle surface were in accordance to the calculations described for UCNP@SiO₂-COOH in chapter 9.5 in the Appendix. The percentage of azide and carboxylic groups on the nanoparticle surface was defined by the volume ratio of the respective silanes during silanization. An amount of 5% azide was chosen as a compromise between stabilization efficiency and guaranteed presence of a sufficient number of Click functionalities on the surface of each UCNP.

The hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH/Az around 70 nm (Figure 9.15) was significantly lower than for the purely azide functionalized UCNP@SiO₂-Az owing to the presence of carboxylic
4. SURFACE MODIFICATIONS FOR BIOFUNCTIONALIZATION

Surface modifications for biofunctionalization are crucial for creating nanoparticles with specific properties. The introduction of carboxylic and azide groups if the larger absolute diameter is taken into consideration. However, there was an increase in polydispersity (PDI 0.388) which varies for different batches of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH/Az and thus suggested optimization of the synthesis. Furthermore, the predominant presence of carboxylic groups on the nanoparticle surface was proven by the zeta potential (-18 mV) of these nanoparticles with a high similarity to the UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH. This additionally confirmed good dispersion stability. The detection of the azide functionalities was achieved by the attachment of the fluorophore Fl$_{alk}$ via CuAAC, as for UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az, which led to a significant fluorescence of the washed nanoparticles. TEM imaging to determine the absolute diameter was omitted, since the UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH/Az nanoparticles were not considered for the VLP synthesis by capsid encapsulation.

Consequently, a simultaneous modification of UCNPs with carboxyl and azide groups has been demonstrated which allowed the preparation of efficiently stabilized nanoparticles in dispersion with highly specific binding sites for the bioorthogonal CuAAC. The small number of azides is especially useful for single targeting functionality on the UCNP surface ensuring improved labeling efficiency. A detailed study about the influence of the azide percentage of the UCNP functionalization on the nanoparticle characteristics as well as an investigation about the attachment of biomolecules by CuAAC are the main focus of the master thesis of Uwe Käfer$^{[413]}$ and were thus not further pursued.

4.9 Functionalization by Click Chemistry

The main attention of the studies concerning the potential subsequent functionalization of UCNPs by Click chemistry was on UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az nanoparticles, since they featured a moderate dispersion stability in contrast to UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk. These nanoparticles can be modified with a large variety of new functionalities such as carboxylic, amine or phosphonate groups which can act as binding sites for biomolecules. Another option is the direct attachment by the CuAAC reaction to biomolecules if they were previously equipped with an alkyne group. The selection of clickable compounds for this thesis (Figure 4.15) was chosen according to their specific properties. Furthermore, such an approach offered the possibility to compare the properties of as-modified UCNPs with nanoparticles modified directly with the respective functionality.

![Figure 4.15](image_url) Overview of the Click compound utilized including propargylamine (1), alkyne-PEG5-acid (2), and 10-undecynylphosphonic acid (3).

The small size of propargylamine (1) enables a coupling to a high number of azide groups on the nanoparticle surface without any steric hindrance during the process. These amine-functionalized
nanoparticles by Click chemistry (UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-NH$_2$) featured a hydrodynamic diameter larger than 250 nm (Figure 9.16) and moderate polydispersity (Pdi 0.254). Consequently, the nanoparticle size hardly changed after the Click reaction but the size distribution broadened significantly compared to the original UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az acting as a reference (chapter 4.7). This broadening was explained by a slightly increased aggregation due to altered interactions between the nanoparticle surfaces. The comparison to UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$ synthesized by silanization revealed a high conformity of the hydrodynamic diameter but with a higher variation in the size (chapter 4.3). TEM images of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-NH$_2$ showed the presence of smaller aggregates (Figure 4.16) which formed larger network-like structures similar to UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$ which verified the size broadening observed by DLS studies. However, the zeta potential (+31 mV, Figure 9.30) proved a higher dispersion stability of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-NH$_2$ nanoparticles and aggregates, in contrast to the UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$ (+21.8 mV, Figure 9.20). This difference in the zeta potential implied a reduced probability for back bonding of the ammonium groups and thus a higher number of positive surface charges remain.

![TEM Images](image)

**Figure 4.16** TEM image of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-NH$_2$ (left: 10,000x magnification, scale bar: 2 µm; right: 250,000x magnification, scale bar: 50 nm).

The carboxyl species alkyne-PEG5-acid (2) is assumed to prevent aggregation and non-specific binding due to the steric repulsion by its polyethylene glycol (PEG) spacer. The hydrodynamic diameter of the as-modified UCNPs (UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH) at approximately 300 nm (Figure 9.17) showed a high similarity in size compared to the original UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az. However, the size distribution was improved apparent in a Pdi of 0.067 and thus a high monodispersity of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH was concluded. Consequently, a good stabilization of the previously formed aggregates of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az by the new carboxyl and PEG functionality was found including a prevention of further aggregation. The zeta potential (-19 mV, Figure 9.31) gave information about a moderate stability of the dispersion and the binding of 2 could only be concluded from the decrease of the absolute value of the potential compared to UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az. TEM studies of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH showed a drastic decrease of the overall number of observable nanoparticles (Figure 4.17). In
addition to a few single nanoparticles only smaller aggregates were present with similar structures as the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az aggregates. This observation confirmed the narrowing of the size distribution and suggested a removal of larger aggregates by sedimentation.

Figure 4.17 TEM image of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH (left: 10,000x magnification, scale bar: 2 µm; right: 200,000x magnification, scale bar: 100 nm).

The introduction of phosphonates in form of 10-undecynylphosphonic acid (3) with its two negative charges has the advantage of a stronger electrostatic repulsion due to a higher charge density on the nanoparticle surface compared to functionalities with only a single negative charge. Although the hydrodynamic diameter of these nanoparticles (UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-Ph) increased (Figure 9.18) compared to UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az, the polydispersity decreased significantly (Pdl 0.095). An improved dispersion stability of the aggregates was indicated by these results similar to the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH. The zeta potential (-14 mV) was conclusive about the moderate stability of the nanoparticles in dispersion (Figure 9.32) and only indicated a different surface composition modified by the Click reaction with 3. In addition, the TEM studies of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-Ph showed a good conformity to the UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH in regard of number and size of the nanostructures (Figure 4.18).

In conclusion, nanoparticles of the type UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az were successfully modified with different clickable compounds. While a strongly positive surface charge can be created by the functionalization with propargylamine (1), a strong aggregation due to electrostatic attraction was observed similar to UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$. The attachment of alkyne-PEG5-acid (2) or 10-undecynylphosphonic acid (3) allowed an improvement of the stability against aggregation of the as-modified nanoparticles. However, since the original UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az already formed aggregates during synthesis, this stabilization is limited to small aggregates and a minority of single nanoparticles. Therefore, an optimization of the synthesis process to obtain single UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az is preferable to take full advantage of the beneficial effects of the compounds 2 and 3.
4. Surface Modifications for Biofunctionalization

Figure 4.18 TEM image of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-Ph (left: 10,000x magnification, scale bar: 2 µm; right: 200,000x magnification, scale bar: 100 nm).

4.10 Summary

The modified UCNPs described in the chapters 4.2 - 4.8 featured different properties regarding their dispersion behavior and surface. The polydispersity index (PdI), hydrodynamic diameter, absolute nanoparticle size determined by TEM, and the zeta potential were the respective indicators for the monodispersity, aggregation tendency, nanoparticle size, or surface charge. These characteristics of the differently modified UCNPs allowed statements about the suitability for an encapsulation by the BMV capsid to generate VLPs and are summarized in Table 4.3.

The fulfillment of the three requirements of a hydrophilic surface to ensure dispersibility in aqueous systems, an absolute nanoparticle size below 16 nm to fit in the cavity of the BMV capsid, and a negative surface charge to imitate the viral RNA was proven by the nanoparticle size and the zeta potential. Firstly, most functionalities utilized for UCNP modification were hydrophilic and thus generate water-dispersible nanoparticles. The only exception was alkynes owing to their hydrophobic nature. Secondly, the size of a single nanoparticle was below the limit of 16 nm for almost all modifications. Only the nanoparticles coated with pure silica of the type UCNP@SiO$_2$-10 and UCNP@SiO$_2$-5 and the intrinsically larger UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH/Az exceeded the suitable maximal size. Thirdly, except a functionalization with amine or alkyne groups all surface modifications of UCNPs resulted in the necessary negative surface charge at physiological pH. Since a simultaneous satisfaction of these requirements by one nanoparticle type is a challenging aim, the presence of aggregates of small or moderate size as indicated by the hydrodynamic diameter or the polydispersity index (PdI) were accepted. In conclusion, the selection for the generation of VLPs included UCNPs directly functionalized with carboxyl groups (UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH, UCNP@PAA(2100), UCNP@PAA(5100)), with a thin silica shell (UCNP@SiO$_2$-4), or with phosphonate groups
Moreover, amine- and azide-functionalized UCNPs might be beneficial for other bioanalytical applications such as labeling, targeting, or sensor development. Amines can be efficiently used for binding reactions by well established processes such as the EDC/NHS activation, while the bioorthogonal azides and their coupling reaction CuAAC allow an unaffected binding process in \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} systems.

Table 4.3 Overview of nanoparticle characteristics described in chapter 4.2 - 4.8 and their suitability for encapsulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Nanoparticle size [nm]</th>
<th>Zeta potential [mV]</th>
<th>PdI</th>
<th>Hydrodynamic diameter [nm]</th>
<th>Suitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>3091</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+22</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@PAA(2100)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@PAA(5100)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-Ph</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>2737</td>
<td>–*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>+*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH/Az</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>–*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-NH$_2$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>+31</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>–*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>+*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-Ph</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>+*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Nanoparticles not considered for encapsulation
5. Synthesis of Virus-Like Particles

5.1 Disassembly & Reassembly of Virus Capsids

The preparation of virus-like particles (VLPs) by encapsulation relies on three basic steps: firstly, the efficient and complete disassembly of the virus capsid into its single components capsomers and viral RNA; secondly, the removal of the whole genetic material of the virus; thirdly, the reassembly of the capsomers around a negatively charged artificial nucleation grain, in this case an UCNP core. A first basic study focused on the dis- and reassembly of the virus capsid and aimed for an exclusion of any effects on the VLP synthesis which were unrelated to the modified UCNP utilized as an artificial nucleation grain.

In general, the disassembly of the virus capsid included three dialysis steps with different functions (Figure 5.1). The actual breaking of the virus capsid already occurred during the first solvent exchange by buffer 1. The dialysis against buffer 2 removed Ca\(^{2+}\) ions from this capsomer solution, while buffer 3 stabilized these proteins during storage in solution.

![Figure 5.1](image)

Figure 5.1 Scheme of the general disassembly process of brome mosaic virus (BMV) capsids via three dialysis steps and a centrifugation step.

The interposed centrifugation step at 4°C was meant to remove the viral RNA and thus only the artificial core induced the reassembly of the capsomers to form an unaltered BMV capsid. The omission of this step for this study enabled a reassembly to demonstrate the general feasibility of the complete dis- and reassembly process. The detection of the capsomers was carried out by TEM imaging (Figure 5.2) using a negative staining by phosphotungstic acid (PTA) to allow an illustration of these proteins. The images clearly demonstrated the presence of biological material in high abundance. Most of this material formed self-contained structures with even boundaries and were in a similar size range (Figure 5.2). Larger species with a more irregular shape and outer limit constituted only a minor percentage of the overall biological species. A classification of these components as viral capsomers was plausible, since this protein type was the predominant biological component in the solution.
The actual VLP synthesis started with the reassembly of the capsomers around the artificial UCNP core. A ratio of 180:1 of the absolute protein and nanoparticle number had to be set in the respective synthesis batch to enable encapsulation by reassembly, since 180 capsomers form one complete virus capsid. The process itself was triggered by a change in the solvent composition caused by dialysis against buffer 4 (Figure 5.3). The negatively charged UCNP acted as the nucleation grain during this self-assembly process which leads to the formation of VLPs. The final dialysis against buffer 5 changed the salt composition and ensured a stabilization of the VLPs dispersed.

![Figure 5.2 TEM images of disassembled BMV capsids with PTA negative staining (left: 10,000x magnification, scale bar: 1 µm; right: 100,000x magnification, scale bar: 100 nm).](image)

For the feasibility study of the complete process sequence the reassembly of the BMV capsids was performed in presence of the viral RNA to act as the nucleation grain. Images of the self-assembled biological structures of the capsomers were recorded by TEM (Figure 5.4) including negative staining. Several nanostructures (Figure 5.4, right, 1) with similar size and shape were distinguishable from smaller aggregates of biological materials. Their high uniformity suggested a specific formation and thus was identified as reassembled brome mosaic viruses with a high reliability. While there were some structures resembling disassembled capsomers (Figure 5.4, right, 2), a very low number of only partially assembled capsids was observed (Figure 5.4, right, 3). Consequently, the virus capsids were
predominantly formed to completion with only a minor tendency towards incomplete protein cages and the capsomers were either part of a complete capsid or remain as individual unities.

Figure 5.4 TEM images of reassembled BMV capsids with PTA negative staining (left: 40,000x magnification, scale bar: 200 nm; right: 100,000x magnification, scale bar: 100 nm).

A comparison of these reassembled BMV with a native virus solution yielded information about potential changes in the number or shape of the virus capsids. The TEM images allowed also a direct diameter comparison of the native and reassembled BMV capsids (Figure 5.5).

Figure 5.5 TEM images of reassembled BMV capsids (left: 100,000x magnification, scale bar: 100 nm) and native BMV (right: 100,000x magnification, scale bar: 200 nm) with PTA negative staining.

The size and shape of the capsids remained unchanged with an average diameter of 27 nm. The drastically reduced number of BMVs after the dis- and reassembly process was attributed to dilution phenomena during dialysis. Furthermore the solution of disassembled capsomers was partitioned for several reassembly tests. In conclusion, both the capsid disassembly to obtain single capsomers and the capsomer reassembly to generate either native BMVs or VLPs were feasible with only minor drawbacks regarding the reassembly efficiency and final capsid number.
5.2 Dialysis during the Synthesis of Virus-Like Particles

The encapsulation of optimized UCNPs by the reassembly of capsomers was only realizable when these nanoparticles were accessible in dispersion for the proteins. Since surface adsorption can reduce the overall number of dispersed UCNPs, the adsorption tendency to the walls and membrane of the dialysis tube used for VLP preparation was investigated by upconversion luminescence measurements. An exemplary UCNP batch dialyzed against buffer 4 was chosen for this study since this dialysis step was meant to induce the actual VLP generation and this purpose was affected the most by a nanoparticle attachment to the dialysis tube surfaces.

The samples studied were the dispersion inside the dialysis tube (T), the dispersion of UCNPs extracted from the dialysis membrane by sonication and shaking (M), the dialysis buffer volume itself (B), the original UCNP batch as the positive control (C) and pure Britton-Robinson buffer as the blank. The volume of the dialysis buffer was reduced by evaporation to take into account its higher volume compared to the other sample volumes and the related dilution effects. The blank-corrected luminescence of the samples using the green emission for detection is depicted in Figure 5.6.

![Figure 5.6](image)

**Figure 5.6** Upconversion emission intensities of the dispersion in the dialysis tube (T), extracted dispersion of the membrane (M), the dialysis buffer (B), and the original UCNP batch (C) acting as the control.

While the luminescence of sample T was in the same range of magnitude as the control C, the signals of the samples M and B were close to the background. The small difference in intensity of T compared to C was attributed to losses of UCNPs during sample handling and was insignificant for the current investigation. Consequently, a predominant presence of the UCNPs in dispersion with only minor adsorption tendencies to the dialysis membrane was confirmed and a removal of UCNPs by surface adsorption and thus a reduced accessibility of these nanoparticles were excluded during the VLP preparation.
5.3 Calculations of Capsomer Concentration

After the disassembly the concentration of capsomers dissolved in stabilizing buffer was determined by the absorption at 280 nm based on the UV-absorbing amino acids tryptophan and tyrosine and disulfide bonds, also called cystine, in the capsomer. The amino acid sequence was available in the free online protein database “www.uniprot.org” and stated the presence of three tryptophan, five tyrosine and no cystine units.

The molar absorption coefficient necessary for concentration determination was calculated (Eq. 1) according to literature\textsuperscript{[414]}.  

\[ \varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} = 5500 \cdot N_{\text{Trp}} + 1490 \cdot N_{\text{Yyr}} + 125 \cdot N_{\text{Cys}} \left( \frac{L}{\text{mol} \cdot \text{cm}} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 1}  

with the molar absorption coefficient \( \varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} \) of the capsomer at a wavelength of 280 nm and the number of occurrence \( N_x \) of the respective amino acid in the capsomer. For the BMV capsomer a molar absorption coefficient of 23,950 L/mol cm\(^{-1}\) was determined.

The inclusion of the molar mass of the capsomer (20,385 g/mol) enabled the calculation (Eq. 2) of the specific absorption coefficient.  

\[ 0.1\% A_{280\text{nm}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{280\text{nm}}}{M_{\text{Capsomer}}} \left( \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{mg}} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 2}  

with the specific absorption coefficient \( 0.1\% A_{280\text{nm}} \) at the wavelength 280 nm of a 0.1% protein solution, the molar absorption coefficient \( \varepsilon_{280\text{nm}} \) at a wavelength of 280 nm and the molar mass \( M_{\text{Capsomer}} \) of the capsomer. Calculations yielded a specific absorption coefficient of 1.174 cm\(^2\)/mg for the BMV capsid protein.

The absorption of the capsomer solution was measured in a quartz glass cuvette due to its low absorption in the UV range and could be easily converted into the capsomer concentration by Eq. 3.  

\[ c_{\text{Capsomer}} = \frac{A_{280\text{nm}}}{0.1\% A_{280\text{nm}}} \cdot d \left( \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{mL}} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 3}  

with the concentration \( c_{\text{Capsomer}} \) of the capsomer in the respective solution, the absorption \( A_{280\text{nm}} \) measured at 280 nm for the respective solution and the specific absorption coefficient \( 0.1\% A_{280\text{nm}} \) at the wavelength 280 nm of a 0.1% capsomer solution.
This concentration allowed the determination of the absolute number of capsomers in the solution by calculations including the Avogadro constant, the molar mass and the respective volume. This number was used to define the volume ratio of the capsomer solution and the UCNP dispersion to obtain a protein/nanoparticle ratio of 180:1 which was crucial to form complete virus capsids around an UCNP during self-assembly.

5.4 Upconverting Nanoparticles

The size as well as the surface characteristics of a modified UCNP was decisive for the encapsulation by a BMV capsid to generate VLPs. The considered diameter of the nanoparticle included both the UCNP core and the functionalization layer and had to be lower than the size of capsid cavity. While hydrophilic surface groups allowed a general dispersibility in aqueous systems, their intrinsic negative charge imitated the viral RNA and thus induced the reassembly process of the capsomers. These requirements and high monodispersity with a complete absence of aggregates were difficult to ensure simultaneously. Therefore, a small size and a negative surface charge of the nanoparticle were prioritized for the choice of modified UCNPs suitable for encapsulation. Additional to citrate-coated nanoparticles (UCNP@Cit) several modified UCNPs from chapter 4 were selected for the investigation of the VLP synthesis (Table 5.1).

Table 5.1 Overview of surface functionalities used for VLP synthesis with their respective introduction method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Surface species/ligand</th>
<th>Surface functionality</th>
<th>Introduction method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@Cit</td>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>Carboxylic acid</td>
<td>Ligand exchange (NOBF_4 method) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO_2</td>
<td>Silica</td>
<td>Silanol groups</td>
<td>Shell growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO_2-COOH</td>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>Carboxylic acid</td>
<td>Silanization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@PAA</td>
<td>Polycrylic acid</td>
<td>Carboxylic acid</td>
<td>Ligand exchange (NOBF_4 method) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP@SiO_2-Ph</td>
<td>Propylphosphonic acid</td>
<td>Phosphonate</td>
<td>Silanization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Synthesis by Stefan Wilhelm

5.5 UCNP@Cit as Artificial Cores

Citric acid with its three carboxylic groups efficiently coordinates to the UCNP surface and generates a hydrophilic and negatively charged surface at physiological pH. It is commonly used for ligand exchange modifications to create nanoparticles dispersible in water or buffers. This hydrophilic character allows a good dispersibility of UCNP@Cit dried for storage. Since the nanoparticles were weighed in and dispersed in double distilled water, an adjustment of an exact nanoparticle
concentration in dispersion was enabled which simplified the ratio setting of the nanoparticle and capsomer number (1:180) for the VLP synthesis. After the encapsulation procedure the UCNP@Cit were imaged by TEM (Figure 5.7) including a negative staining with PTA.

Figure 5.7 TEM images of VLP with UCNP@Cit as artificial core (left: 10,000x magnification, scale bar: 1 µm; right: 40,000x magnification, scale bar: 200 nm) with PTA negative staining.

Despite some UCNP aggregates in very low number the images were nearly void of any single nanoparticles. The biological material present featured a larger structure with a more irregular shape comparable to some forms of disassembled capsomers. Additionally, the expected structural characteristics of VLPs according to literature\[^{16}\] were not observable in the sample. Consequently, the preparation of VLP was not feasible with UCNP@Cit as the nucleation grain. The main reason was a nearly complete absence of any kind of nanoparticles due to destabilization effects on the nanoparticles during VLP synthesis leading to their precipitation. This phenomenon was explained by detachment of the surface ligands. Since the citrate molecules were bound non-covalently to the UCNP surface, a detachment/reattachment equilibrium of these ligands took place. However, the citrate molecule featured a smaller size than the cut-off size of the dialysis membrane and thus the balancing of the detachment/reattachment was severely disturbed by the removal of free citrate molecules during dialysis. Additionally, the long process time, 24h on the average, and the high buffer volume compared to the VLP sample strongly promoted the clearance of citric acid from the reaction system.

5.6 UCNP@\textit{SiO}_2 as Artificial Cores

Covalent functionalizations like the growth of a silica shell are free of any functionality detachment problems from the nanoparticle surface during dialysis. At pH 7.4 present during encapsulation the silanol groups of a silica shell are negatively charged and a nanoparticle diameter about 16 nm was achievable after the optimization of the shell growth process yielding nanoparticles of the type
UCNP@SiO$_2$-4. Therefore, the encapsulation of these nanoparticles was studied by TEM (Figure 5.8), although the aggregation of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-4 presented a problem for the accessibility of single nanoparticles.

The images demonstrated a complete lack of single UCNPs in the VLP sample, while biological material was detected after negative staining. A proceeding aggregation of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-4 was confirmed as the cause for the missing of detectable UCNPs by a comparison with the native UCNP@SiO$_2$-4 dispersion (Figure 4.3). A disappearance of the originally present smaller aggregates and single nanoparticles from dispersion was shown thereby. Furthermore, several VLP synthesis studies with UCNP@SiO$_2$ indicated an attachment of capsomers to the silica surface by unspecific binding (Figure 5.9).

Additional to a reduction in number of capsomers capable to undergo self-assembly this binding led to an enhancement of nanoparticle aggregation. A bridging effect of the proteins by the attachment
to more than one nanoparticle surface was named as a potential driving force. Consequently, the failure of the VLP synthesis with silica-coated UCNPs as the cores was caused by the high aggregation tendency proceeding both in storage and during synthesis and the affinity of capsomers to the silica surface reducing their accessible number in dispersion.

5.7 UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH as Artificial Cores

The silanization of silica-coated UCNPs with carboxylic groups allows a prevention of aggregation due to the strong electrostatic repulsion. In addition to an absolute diameter of 13 nm and a satisfactory negative surface charge at pH 7.4 the as-modified UCNPs were highly monodisperse and stable in dispersion as shown in chapter 4.4. The preparation of VLPs with these nanoparticles was highly promising and was studied by TEM imaging (Figure 5.10).

![TEM images of VLP with UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH as artificial core](image)

The high abundance of nanoparticles demonstrated their high stability in dispersion in contrast to UCNPs coated with pure silica. Most UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH nanoparticles were part of larger structures which were predominantly formed by a bridging effect of the virus proteins and only to a minor extent by aggregation. Indicators for this effect were the low overall density and an intermediate area between the individual UCNPs with moderate brightness, in contrast to the dark UCNP structures observable for other modified nanoparticles such as UCNP@SiO$_2$-4. In addition to attached capsomers, there was also free biological material observable which most likely could be defined as unassembled capsomers. However, the most striking observation was the resemblance of specific species to the expected VLP structure in literature\[^{16}\] (Figure 5.10, right and inset). Despite the small number of these structures their existence led to the assumption of a potential encapsulation of the UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH by the virus capsid. Consequently, immunogold staining was performed for a more reliable verification (chapter 5.9).
5.8 UCNP@PAA as Artificial Cores

A ligand exchange with the polymer polyacrylic acid (PAA) is a way to introduce a high number of negatively charged carboxylate groups on the UCNP surface without the risk of aggregation or unspecific binding of proteins due to the growth of a silica shell. This polymer showed a lower probability of detachment from the UCNP surface compared to citrate due to its multidentate property. Furthermore, the negative surface charge as well as the high monodispersity of the PAA-modified UCNPs confirmed the suitability of these nanoparticles as potential nucleation grains for the virus capsid reassembly. The TEM images of the VLP synthesis with UCNPs coated with the low weight PAA (2100 g/mol) as the artificial core are given in Figure 5.11.

![Figure 5.11](image)

**Figure 5.11** TEM images of VLP with UCNP@PAA(2100) as artificial core (left: 40,000x magnification, scale bar: 500 nm; right: 200,000x magnification, scale bar: 100 nm) with negative staining.

Both nanoparticles and biological material was clearly detected in high numbers by TEM after negative staining. Their close proximity indicated a strong interaction between both species in form of an almost complete enclosing of the UCNP@PAA(2100) by the capsomers. However, the binding organization was strongly disordered in contrast to the structured and uniform appearance of a VLP shown in literature\(^{[16]}\) for gold nanoparticles. The attachment of the capsomers to the nanoparticle surface was thus unspecific and included no directed interaction. A specific coordination of the positively charged side chains of the capsomers to the negatively charged nanoparticle surface was yet indispensible for an organized capsid assembly. Furthermore, for the formation of the capsid the capsomers had to interact at their specific binding groups but this process was disturbed by the polymer.

The VLP preparation with the high-weight polymer (5100 g/mol) as the surface modification of the UCNPs, in contrast, yielded differing results (Figure 5.12).
5. SYNTHESIS OF VIRUS-LIKE PARTICLES

![Figure 5.12 TEM images of VLP with UCNP@PAA(5100) as artificial core (left: 40,000x magnification, scale bar: 500 nm; right: 20,000x magnification, scale bar: 1 µm) with PTA negative staining.](image)

The UCNP@PAA(5100) nanoparticles were only detected in moderate numbers unlike the high abundance in the original nanoparticle dispersion. The present biological material was classified as capsomers, since they had a similar structure as disassembled capsomers. There was no apparent interaction between the UCNP@PAA(5100) nanoparticles and the virus proteins in contrast to the unspecific interactions observable for UCNP@PAA(2100). These results were explained by two reasons for the arising effects during the VLP synthesis. Firstly, the high weight PAA partially lost its stabilization efficiency of the nanoparticles. This assumption can be attributed to enhanced bridging effects of longer polymer chains after partial detachment from the nanoparticle surface. Secondly, the absence of any unspecific binding of the capsomers might be caused by different surface characteristics compared to the low weight polymer. A reduced negative charge density on the nanoparticle surfaces and increased steric hindrance by the longer polymer chain might diminish effective electrostatic interactions with the proteins which were crucial for the initiation of the self-assembly.

5.9 Immunogold Staining

The promising results of the VLP synthesis using UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH as the artificial core led to an investigation of the VLP samples with the immunogold staining technique (Figure 5.13). The utilization of antibodies for this method allowed a selective labeling of the BMV capsomers with gold nanoparticles and thus their specific identification by TEM imaging. Therefore, more detailed information about the potential encapsulation of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH was obtained compared to a simple but unspecific negative staining with PTA.

The general immunogold staining process included the recognition of and binding to the BMV capsid, more precisely the BMV capsomers, by the primary antibody. The secondary antibody reacted with
the primary antibody and carried a gold nanoparticle with a fixed size which was detectable by TEM. This identification specificity of both antibodies improved the accuracy of this staining method. The secondary antibody was selected according to the host animal the primary antibody was isolated from. Furthermore, secondary antibodies not binding to the primary antibody were utilized for the negative controls during the staining investigation. The gold nanoparticle bound to the secondary antibody for both the sample and the control had to be chosen according to their size so that they were detectable by TEM and distinguishable from the UCNPs.

Figure 5.13 Schematic illustration of the immunogold staining principle including (A) the selective binding of the primary antibody to the BMV capsid and (B) the recognition of the secondary antibody labeled with a gold nanoparticle of the primary antibody.

The negative controls of the immunogold staining studied the impact of the antibody selectivity and of unspecific binding on the labeling efficiency and thus were crucial to exclude any false positive results. For the investigation the secondary (control 1) or primary (control 2) antibodies were replaced by a mismatching substitute. Consequently, while the actual sample should feature a high number of bound gold nanoparticles in the respective TEM images, a complete absence of these labels was expected for both controls in the case of a high antibody selectivity and low unspecific binding. The primary/secondary combinations and actual species used in this thesis are given in Table 5.2 with (+) for matching and (−) for mismatching antibodies.

Table 5.2 Combinations of primary and secondary antibody for sample and controls with respective example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Primary antibody</th>
<th>Secondary antibody</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Rabbit-anti-BMV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goat-anti-rabbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Rabbit-anti-BMV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goat-anti-mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>PBS buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goat-anti-rabbit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first sample studied by the immunogold staining technique was a native BMV solution to verify the general applicability of the method for BMV capsomer detection and to obtain TEM pictures of labeled capsids (Figure 5.14) for comparison with the actual VLP samples.
The multitude of observable gold nanoparticles verified the high binding affinity of both primary and secondary antibody. Additionally, an apparent increased accumulation of the gold labels around uniform biological material (Figure 5.14, right) showed the potential detection of BMV capsids by this staining method. However, the missing high abundance of intact capsids in contrast to the native sample (Figure 5.5, right) suggested a disassembly effect during the immunogold staining procedure. The staining conditions potentially caused a disassembly of capsids in the process. Furthermore, if the epitope of the capsomer for the primary antibody was not positioned at the outer and accessible surface of the capsid, the antibody attachment might have caused a denaturation of the viral protein. A simultaneous dilution effect was excluded due to the presence of the gold nanoparticles in the images in high numbers. TEM studies of the controls 1 (Figure 5.15, top) and 2 (Figure 5.15, bottom) allowed to conclude that this observation was a result of an effective binding and not of potential unspecific binding of both antibodies.

Only isolated gold nanoparticles (Figure 5.15, top left & bottom right, white circle) were found in the TEM images for both control 1 and 2 while biological structures were observable in higher amount (Figure 5.15, top right, white circle). Therefore, the primary and secondary antibody was highly selective and unspecific binding of the antibodies was nearly absent. Furthermore, the disassembly phenomenon mentioned for the BMV sample could be majorly attributed to the staining conditions, since the number of capsids was similarly low for control 2 despite the omission of the primary antibody. In conclusion, the immunogold staining of BMV capsids was feasible with a high selectivity of the two antibodies and a high detection sensitivity of the gold nanoparticles.
Figure 5.15 TEM images of control 1 (top) and control 2 (bottom) of BMV virus for immunogold staining (top left: 20,000x magnification, scale bar: 1 µm; top right: 100,000x magnification, scale bar: 200 nm, bottom left: 20,000x magnification, scale bar: 1 µm; bottom right: 40,000x magnification, scale bar: 500 nm) with negative staining.

The immunogold staining of the VLP sample described in chapter 5.7 should allow an identification of the found encapsulated product (Figure 5.10, right) which resembled the appearance of VLPs in literature\cite{16}. However, the TEM images of the sample after staining revealed a low number (Figure 5.16).

The absence of UCNPs in the images was explained by their aggregation and precipitation during the sample preparation for the immunogold staining. After the deposition of the TEM grid on the top of a sample drop the dispersed species attached to the grid by electrostatic or dispersion forces, whereas larger aggregates precipitated and thus were not bound to the grid. This phenomenon is even more pronounced for an increasing aggregation tendency. Furthermore, the very low number of gold nanoparticles suggested an altered behavior of the antibodies in this sample, especially regarding selectivity, or a degradation of the capsomers during longer storage times. In consequence of these results, no TEM images of the two controls were recorded.
A repeated preparation and staining of VLPs with UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH nanoparticles as the artificial core was performed for a more detailed study but yielded similar results (Figure 5.17).

Although the number of detectable gold nanoparticles drastically increased and matched the results of the BMV sample, no UCNPs in form of single nanoparticles or aggregates were observable in the images. These findings further confirmed the assumptions made for the previous VLP sample. Firstly, a great majority of UCNPs were removed from the sample during the preparation for the immunogold staining, also owing to enhanced aggregation tendencies. Secondly, the capsomeres were not stable over longer storage times (more than a month) in the storage buffer for the VLPs (buffer 5), since this VLP sample was prepared only a few days before staining and showed a high number of detectable capsomer entities. The complete absence of any structures resembling the one found in chapter 5.7 in both VLP samples allowed the conclusion that the nanostructure found was a random accumulation of UCNPs with biological material which not necessarily was capsomeres.
5.10 Summary

The preparation of virus-like particles (VLP) by the encapsulation with a BMV capsid was studied utilizing UCNPs with different negatively charged surface functionalities. Citrate as the surface ligand led to negatively charged nanoparticles dispersible in aqueous systems but they were prone to removal during VLP synthesis. Since their molecular mass was far below the cut-off size of the dialysis membrane, they easily diffused out of the reaction system after detachment from the nanoparticle surface. Consequently, precipitation of the UCNPs occurred due to the loss of stabilization by the negative charge of citrate ligands. In contrast, silanol groups of a silica shell are stable during dialysis due to a covalent modification process. However, silica-coated UCNPs strongly aggregated both in storage and VLP synthesis and were thus unusable for encapsulation despite the reduced shell thickness (UCNP@SiO$_2$-4). A silanization with carboxylic groups (UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH) promised a stabilization of single nanoparticles also during the encapsulation process. Their use as artificial cores led to the formation of nanostructures resembling the VLPs described in literature and thus a more specific characterization method, the immunogold staining technique, was applied to directly identify the viral capsomers. Although the staining proved to be applicable for a native BMV sample showing high antibody selectivity and low unspecific binding, no evidence of a successful encapsulation was established by this method for two VLP syntheses with UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH. The coating of UCNPs with polyacrylic acid was a non-covalent method to introduce carboxylic groups without the problem of ligand removal during dialysis, since its multidentate character reduced the probability of polymer detachment from the nanoparticle surface. While the high molecular mass polymer on the UCNP surface led to no initiation of self-assembly during the VLP synthesis, PAA with the lower mass on the surface interacted with the capsomers in the process. However, these interactions led to a disordered organization of the proteins around the nanoparticle indicating only an unspecific and not directed character. The functionalization with phosphonate groups were not shown since the studies of their VLP samples were strongly hampered by detection problems and the few informative images featured a high similarity to the preparations with citrate- or silica-coated UCNPs. In conclusion, no encapsulation by the BMV capsid was feasible with the modified UCNPs of this thesis acting as the artificial core. The promising tendencies of encapsulation found for carboxyl-modified UCNPs suggests a potential overcoming of the arising problems by proceeding optimizations, for example by the introduction of PEG spacer to avoid non-specific binding which was described for the encapsulation of gold nanoparticles by BMV capsids\textsuperscript{[16]}. 

6. Scan mode & Limit of Detection of the Chameleon Reader

6.1 Upconverting Nanoparticles

The second focus of this thesis was on the UCNP detection system "Hidex Plate Chameleon Multilabel Detection Platform", in short Chameleon reader. This microtiter plate reader equipped with excitation laser of 980 nm was utilized for the detection of UCNP both in dispersion and in a dried state. While dispersed nanoparticles were measured in conventional microtiter plates and are of great interest for labeling or assay applications, an even support for dried UCNPs could be imaged by the so-called "scan mode" of the Chameleon reader whose development and application on real samples is described later. Since the excitation and detection system was recorded from above, a transparency of the plate or support was not mandatory and white materials have the advantage of total reflection of the upconversion luminescence.

The determination of the limit of detection (LOD) of UCNPs in dispersion is a fundamental characteristic for many bioanalytical applications using UCNPs as a luminescent label. This parameter was studied for unmodified erbium-doped UCNPs in cyclohexane with a diameter of 12 nm whose detection additionally confirmed the high sensitivity of the Chameleon reader due to their small size and the resulting lower brightness. A more thorough investigation of the potential detection of UCNPs dispersible in water is discussed in a master thesis with a priority more on assay applications[413].

The scan mode of Chameleon reader was investigated and optimized utilizing erbium- and thulium-doped UCNPs synthesized by the group of Thomas Hirsch at our institute. These nanoparticles with a size of 30 or 23 nm featured an enhanced upconversion emission intensity which was beneficial for optimization of the device parameters. This stronger luminescence was especially important for the use of the scan mode for studies about the plasmon enhancement phenomenon of the upconversion luminescence and for real samples such as electrophoresis gels and lateral flow assays. While the enhancement effect of the surface plasmon resonance of a gold surface was investigated with the same UCNPs as for the mode optimization, the nanoparticles for the real samples were prepared by the respective cooperation partner and subsequently employed in the intended application. An overview of the UCNPs employed during development and application of the scan mode are given in Table 6.1.
Table 6.1 Overview of UCNPs used for both optimization and application of the scanning method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Dopants</th>
<th>Surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er₁</td>
<td>30 nm</td>
<td>NaYF₄</td>
<td>Yb³⁺ / Er³⁺</td>
<td>Oleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er₂</td>
<td>12 nm</td>
<td>NaYF₄</td>
<td>Yb³⁺ / Er³⁺ / Gd³⁺</td>
<td>Silica shell (funct.) *¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er₃</td>
<td>24 nm</td>
<td>NaYF₄</td>
<td>Yb³⁺ / Er³⁺ / Gd³⁺</td>
<td>Silica shell (funct.) *¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er₄</td>
<td>400 nm</td>
<td>Y₂O₃S</td>
<td>Yb³⁺, Em³⁺</td>
<td>Silica shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Tm</td>
<td>23 nm</td>
<td>NaYF₄</td>
<td>Yb³⁺ / Tm³⁺</td>
<td>Oleic acid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*¹ funct.: Functionalized with carboxylic groups and fluorescein

6.2 Limit of Detection in Dispersion

The general limit of detection (LOD) of the upconversion luminescence is an important parameter about the sensitivity of the respective detection method. Therefore, the lowest detectable concentration of UCNPs with a diameter of 12 nm was determined for a concentration range of 10 - 1000 ng/mL or of 1 - 10 ng/mL. After two intermediate dilution steps of the stock dispersion the final concentrations were prepared by a direct dilution inside the respective five wells of the microtiter plate with cyclohexane yielding the calibration concentrations 10 / 50 / 100 / 500 / 1000 ng/mL for the range 10 - 1000 ng/mL or the concentrations 1 / 2 / 4 / 6 / 8 / 10 ng/mL for the range 1 - 10 ng/mL. The upconversion luminescence was measured threefold for each well yielding 15 values for each concentration and the cyclohexane blank to allow an informative statistical evaluation. After blank correction the respective calibration curves of the two concentration ranges were determined by a linear fit (Figure 6.1).

\[
\begin{align*}
I &= 276.2 \ \text{mL} \cdot \text{c} \left( \frac{\text{ng}}{\text{mL}} \right) - 1109.1 \\
R^2 &= 0.9897 \\
I &= 190.1 \ \text{mL} \cdot \text{c} \left( \frac{\text{ng}}{\text{mL}} \right) - 84.5 \\
R^2 &= 0.9585
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 6.1 Calibration curves for the LOD determination in the concentration range (A) 10 - 1000 ng/mL and (B) 1 - 10 ng/mL with the resulting linear equation and coefficient of determination R².
The curve for 10 - 1000 ng/mL demonstrated a good fit with only minor deviations which was also evident from the coefficient of determination $R^2$. The larger deviations for the lower concentration range led to a lower matching of the fit. These results were attributed to a larger variation of the intensity values for the different wells of one concentration which is also indicated by comparatively high standard deviation. The stronger impact of dilution inaccuracies for lower concentrations was assumed as a reason for this observation.

These fits with their respective linear equation enabled the calculation of the LOD by determining the respective intensity. This lowest detectable intensity (LDI) was defined as the intensity that was at least three times the standard deviation higher than the background and thus could be significantly distinguished from the background. The calculations were performed with equation (Eq. 4).

$$\text{LDI [a.u.]} = x_{bg} + 3 \cdot \sigma_{bg}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 4}

with the average $x_{bg}$ and the standard deviation $\sigma_{bg}$ of the background intensity. For the background of the two calibrations the LDI are given in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2 Calculation of the lowest detectable upconversion luminescence intensity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_{bg}$ [a.u.]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{bg}$ [a.u.]</th>
<th>LDI [a.u.]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>259.14</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>304.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, the lowest concentration detectable by the Chameleon reader was calculated by converting this intensity into a mass concentration by the two linear equations (Table 6.3). Similar to these calculations the LOD can also be determined for the whole measured concentration range.

Table 6.3 Calculation of the LOD for both concentration ranges and the overall concentration range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concentration range 1 - 10 ng/mL</th>
<th>Concentration range 1 - 1000 ng/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOD [ng/mL]</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, an UCNP concentration of 5 ng/mL was detected in dispersion with high significance and low standard deviation. For a calibration with low concentrations this limit was reduced to 2 ng/mL but a stronger impact of measurement uncertainties on the results was assumed. A linear fitting of all concentrations from 1 - 1000 ng/mL resulted in a similar LOD which confirmed a sufficient high determination accuracy of this concentration for the 1 - 10 ng/mL and a low influence of determination uncertainties on the LOD. Therefore, the lowest detectable concentration of oleic acid-coated UCNPs in cyclohexane was defined as 2 ng/mL with high reliability. This general low limit
of detection is an even more informative indicator for a high sensitivity, since the UCNPs employed had a size of only 12 nm and thus had a reduced brightness compared to larger nanoparticles due to the stronger impact of quenching effects on their upconversion emission. Therefore, the utilization of UCNPs with a larger diameter should enable a reduction of the LOD and thus an improvement of the detection sensitivity of the Chameleon reader.

6.3 General Optimization Settings for the Scan Mode

The "scan mode" of the Chameleon reader was the second utilization option studied for bioanalysis and its implementation development and optimization as well as its application for real samples were the second major focus of thesis besides the UCNP modification and VLP preparation. The most crucial instrument parameters for the mode development included the following settings whose optimization studies are given in the indicated chapters:

- collecting time Chapter 6.4
- filter combination Chapter 6.5
- number of the scanning points Chapter 6.6
- distance of the scanning points Chapter 6.6

Firstly, the collecting time defined the period of time for which the detector records upconversion luminescence at a single scan point. Consequently, the measurement time for one scan process as well as the exposure of a scan point to the NIR laser was adjusted to both minimize the expenditure of time for a scan and to avoid sample damages due to heating effects. In addition, since the collecting time was the only instrument setting that the detected maximal luminescence intensity nearly exclusively depended on, this parameter was utilized to obtain intensity signals below \(3.0 \times 10^8\) a.u. to spare the detector from oversaturation.

Secondly, the choice of filter or filter combination defined the wavelength range of the detected upconversion luminescence. While the utilization of a shortpass filter (395 - 825 nm) allowed signal recording over the whole emission range of the respective UCNP, the bandpass filter focused on the emission of a narrow wavelength section. Furthermore, a combination of filters improved the signal-to-noise ratio of the scan and suppressed the influence of scattering effects of the excitation light on the scan results.

Thirdly, the number and distance of the measurement points were essential for the definition of the size of the scan area and the lateral resolution. Since the scan area had a quadratic form, the scan points per line or column comprised the square root of the overall number of scan points. Together
with the preset point distance this number defined the edge length and thus the size of the scan area which ranged from \(81 \text{ mm}^2\) (edge length: 9 mm) to \(2025 \text{ mm}^2\) (edge length: 45 mm). Moreover, both settings allowed the optimization of the lateral resolution which is an important indicator for the differentiability of structural characteristics.

6.4 Collecting Time

The duration of intensity recording at one single spot, i.e. the collecting time, was crucial for the development studies to achieve a compromise between significant signal detection and short measurement time. Longer collecting times during scanning allowed a detection of very small amounts of UCNPs in the specimen. However, they soon meet their limits of practicability since the achievable information content remained constant for increasing collecting times whereas the time for a single scan increased. Consequently, the optimization of this parameter targeted a collecting time short enough for a good time practicability of the scan procedure without any significant information losses. The studies for this purpose included the scans of the same UCNP spots whose inhomogeneous form was caused by dispersion spreading during application. The set of collecting times studied started with 500 ms (Figure 6.2).

Figure 6.2 Scan images of UCNP-Er\(_1\) using a collecting time of (A) 500 ms, (B) 400 ms, (C) 300 ms or (D) 250 ms; the same pseudo-color and logarithmic scale is used to ensure comparability and a background correction of the data was performed.
The maximal intensity was reduced with decreasing recording time per spot but for 250 ms a sufficient high signal was achievable. The identical logarithmic scale of the same intensity range was applied for all images to ensure comparability. However, areas with a lower UCNP concentration disappeared for shorter collecting times apparent for 250 ms (Figure 6.2D, right side) leading to a potential missing of information. A rescaling could counteract this information loss for shorter collecting times but a simultaneous increase of the background noise limited this improvement. However, an additional reduction below 250 ms revealed significant limiting factor for the choice of the collecting time (Figure 6.3).

**Figure 6.3** Scan images of UCNP-Er\(_3\) using a collecting time of (A) 250 ms or (B) 200 ms utilizing a pseudo-color linear scale

The comparatively high background and weak signal intensity of the emitting areas for a collecting time of 200 ms (Figure 6.3B) led to indistinct outlines of the UCNP spots in contrast to the well defined structures for a collecting time of 250 ms. This low contrast originated from restrictions by the start-up time of the NIR laser until an even excitation intensity was reached. Durations lower than 250 ms were too short for the laser to build up a homogeneous excitation density and only an insufficient amount of UCNPs showed detectable luminescence signals.

In conclusion, a collecting time of at least 250 ms was suitable for a scan of the specimen detecting nearly all emissive areas. A scan with longer recording times, favorably for 500 ms, visualized more details, since weak emitting structures were additionally illustrated. Collecting times higher than 500 ms were also studied but showed no improvement of the information content of the resulting images. A collecting time of 500 ms was thus a good compromise between high information content and a short scan time. Additional to these instrumental factors the sample imaged and the UCNPs utilized had to be taken into account for the choice of the optimal collecting time. Since the NIR laser (P = 4 W) induced also a heating effect in the sample, the thermal resistance of the sample materials defined their susceptibility to damages or destruction by NIR laser. Shorter collecting times thus were advantageous to prevent any damages to the specimen. Furthermore, the size of the UCNP labels
strongly influenced the choice of the optimal collecting time. While for smaller nanoparticles a longer recording time was beneficial for efficient luminescence detection, UCNPs with larger diameters, e.g. in the micron range, required shorter durations especially to avoid an oversaturation of the detector.

### 6.5 Choice of Filters

The emission filters utilized for the scan measurement defined and set either the sum of all upconversion luminescence emissions, called overall emission, or a selected emission of a narrow wavelength range, called single wavelength emission, as the detection signal. A shortpass filter with cut-off wavelength below the 980 nm was chosen for the overall emission which featured high signal intensity and thus enabled an improved sensitivity. The single wavelength emissions were more promising to blend out any scattering of the excitation light and a bandpass filter for the green or NIR range was selected due to the highest emission intensity for erbium- and thulium-doped UCNPs, respectively, in this spectral domain. A combination of the shortpass filter and a bandpass filter had the potential to additionally improve the scan results. Consequently, a shortpass filter and two bandpass filters were studied for the optimization of the scan method (Table 6.4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter for</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cut-off wavelength / Bandwidth</th>
<th>Transmitted wavelengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall emission</td>
<td>shortpass</td>
<td>825 nm</td>
<td>395 - 825 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green emission</td>
<td>bandpass</td>
<td>535 ± 25 nm</td>
<td>510 - 560 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrared emission</td>
<td>bandpass</td>
<td>800 ± 25 nm</td>
<td>775 - 825 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The shortpass filter and the bandpass filter for the green range were used individually or in combination for the scan of erbium-doped UCNPs (UCNP-Er) to study their effect on the scan images (Figure 6.4). A logarithmic pseudo-color scale was chosen to take into account the broad intensity range of the upconversion emission, especially if the overall emission was the detection signal.

The highest luminescence signal was found for the shortpass filter (Figure 6.4A), whereas the average intensity decreased by a factor of 0.47 for the bandpass filter only or a factor of 0.44 for the shortpass/bandpass filter combination. This reduction of signal intensity was a result of the complete shielding of the complete red and parts of the green emission, in particular the second emission peak around 523 nm. The minor difference between the reduction factors for the bandpass filter or the filter combination confirmed the usability of both filter settings for the detection of the upconversion luminescence in the green spectral range. However, the combination (Figure 6.4C) excelled in regard of the detection suppression of scattered light indicated by the uniform spot shape and even
background intensity. In contrast, more irregular spot shapes (Figure 6.4A) or an inhomogeneous background intensity (Figure 6.4B) were found for the shortpass filter or the bandpass filter alone. The combination of the shortpass filter and a bandpass filter was thus preferable for scans with a single wavelength emission as detection signal.

Figure 6.4 Scan images of UCNP-Er$_1$ using (A) a shortpass filter (395 - 825 nm), (B) a bandpass filter (535 ± 25 nm), or (C) a shortpass/bandpass filter combination (395 - 825 nm / 535 ± 25 nm); an identical pseudo-color and logarithmic scale is used for all images to ensure comparability and a background correction was performed.

Studies for thulium-doped UCNPs of the type UCNP-Tm focused on the shortpass filter for the overall emission and the shortpass/bandpass filter combination for the IR emission. A pseudo-color logarithmic scale of the same intensity range was again chosen to ensure compatibility of the scan images (Figure 6.5). The maximal intensity of overall emission was in the same intensity range as for UCNP-Er$_1$ and a reduced background noise was again present for the filter combination compared to the overall emission scan, similar to the erbium-doped UCNPs. However, the intensity for the single wavelength emission scan decreased by a factor of 0.66 although the IR emission at 800 nm represented the majority of the overall upconversion emission of UCNP-Tm. An explanation for this phenomenon was the varying sensitivity of the detector for different wavelength ranges. While the photomultiplier tube was sensitive in the visible range peaking in the green domain, the sensitivity at 800 nm diminished to 2.4% referred to the green wavelength range. Consequently, the low sensitivity of the detector hampered the exploitation of the strong NIR emission of thulium-doped UCNPs for scan applications despite its stronger emission signal compared to the green emission of erbium-doped UCNPs.
The signal-to-noise (STN) ratio was an indicator for the potential discrimination between the upconversion emission and the background and gave information about the quality of the scan images achievable by the different filter settings. A normalized intensity, i.e. the average intensity of a single scan point, of the UCNP or background area was favorable for the calculation since dilution effects by spreading during the nanoparticle application on the scan support were taken into account. The comparison of these intensities for erbium- and thulium-doped UCNPs with the average background intensity showed general similarities of both nanoparticle types (Figure 6.6).

The intensity per scanning point was significantly higher than the background for both overall emissions and single wavelength emissions. However, there was a noticeable increase of the background for both UCNP types if the shortpass filter was employed only. Additionally, the absolute intensity value of the UCNP-Er₁ (Figure 6.6A) was higher compared to the UCNP-Tm (Figure 6.6B).
which confirmed the higher sensitivity of the detector for green and a restricted detection of NIR emission. The calculated signal-to-noise ratios for both types of UCNP and of detection signals are given in Table 6.5.

**Table 6.5 Signal-to-noise ratios for the overall and single wavelength emission of erbium- or thulium-doped UCNPs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dopant</th>
<th>Signal-to-noise ratio for overall emission</th>
<th>Signal-to-noise ratio for single wavelength emission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erbium</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thulium</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The green emission as the detection signal allowed the best discrimination between the upconversion luminescence and the background among all nanoparticle and signal options, especially due to the very low background intensity for these scans. The intensity of the overall emission for both nanoparticle types was high enough compared to the background for a reliable detection despite the increase of the background intensity. However, differentiation problems might arise for lower nanoparticle concentrations due to a decreased intensity and they were thoroughly studied by the determination of the limit of detection (LOD) described in chapter 6.7. Furthermore, the small STN ratio for the overall and the single wavelength emission of UCNP-Tm nanoparticles was attributed to the low detector sensitivity for the NIR emission of only 2.4% referred to the green emission of erbium-doped UCNPs.

In conclusion, both the overall emission as well as a single wavelength emission of erbium- and thulium-doped UCNPs was a useful detection signal for scan applications. While the detection of all upconversion emissions by using a shortpass filter as the emission filter was favorable for maximal signal intensity, the combination of the shortpass and a bandpass filter allowed an improved discrimination between upconversion emission and the background. Moreover, the high NIR emission intensity of thulium-doped UCNPs was only limited detectable and thus suitable for sensitive imaging since the detector showed only a reduced sensitivity in the NIR range. An improvement of this NIR sensitivity by a detector replacement might improve the signal-to-noise ratio for the NIR emission. A Hamamatsu photomultiplier tube R12829 or R12896, for example, feature a quantum efficiency of 10% or 7% at 800 nm which is at least one magnitude higher than other photomultiplier tubes.\textsuperscript{415, 416} Moreover, the anode dark current, i.e. the small current present while operating in the complete dark, is comparatively high for detectors with a high NIR sensitivity and one of the major causes is the thermionic emission of electrons. Therefore, a general cooling of the detector leads to a reduction of the background noise and thus increase of the signal-to-noise ratio in the NIR range.\textsuperscript{417}
6.6 Lateral Resolution

The lateral resolution is a crucial factor for the content of structural information achievable by an imaging method and defines the discriminability of luminescent areas from each other or from the background. The focusing of the NIR laser diode as the excitation source had the advantage of a smaller area of illumination than conventional lamps important for high resolutions. The circular-shaped excitation area on the scan surface with a diameter of 0.8 mm featured a higher excitation density in its center than in its outer rim which allowed the realization of a lateral resolution far below this diameter.

The highest lateral resolution, i.e. the shortest possible distance between two scan spots, was investigated by the scanning of gel bands in close proximity with varying distances between two scan spots (Figure 6.7). Gel bands were selected as the studied objects due to their uniform shape without any inhomogeneity in the signal distribution. The discriminability of luminescent structures was the chosen indicator for an improved illustration of structural characteristics. The shortpass/bandpass filter combination was chosen to detect the green emission of UCNP-Er2 described in chapter 6.5.

Figure 6.7 Scan images of UCNP-Er2 with a lateral resolution of (A) 500 µm, (B) 250 µm, (C) 200 µm or (D) 180 µm and a direct display of scanning points; an identical pseudo-color scale is used for all images to ensure comparability.
The structural information was improved by reducing the distance of the scanning points from 500 µm to 180 µm. The single scan points were illustrated as pixels for better illustration. The contours of the UCNP bands became more smooth leading to an improvement of the overall shape of the gel bands. Furthermore, areas of different intensities inside the bands were more refined which allowed a better localization of the majority of UCNPs in the respective band especially important for preparative electrophoresis. Furthermore, neighboring structures were more distinguishable from each other apparent in the gap between the two bands for lateral resolutions of 200 and 180 µm. The structural information content of the images yet remained the same for these distances (Figure 6.7C/D) which was shown by nearly coinciding border smoothness and band differentiation.

Moreover, the application of software algorithms allowed a post-treatment of the scan images (Figure 6.8). A smoothening of contours (Figure 6.8B) improved the shape illustration and border separation of luminescence areas. In contrast to a simple grayscale (Figure 6.8D) a pseudo-color scaling (Figure 6.8A/C) enabled a better recognition of luminescent structures and their discriminability from the background. An increased color number allowed a better distinction of areas with different intensities by a more significant segmentation (Figure 6.8C). Therefore, all data of the scan measurements were presented in a pseudo-color scale with a smoothening algorithm.

![Figure 6.8](image-url)

**Figure 6.8** Scan images of UCNP-Er2 with a lateral resolution of 200 µm (A) before and (B) after smoothening, (C) and (D) with an alternate pseudo-color and grey scale, respectively; an identical scale is used for all images to ensure comparability.
6.7 Limit of Detection

The lowest detectable nanoparticle amount, also called the limit of detection (LOD), is a good indicator for the sensitivity of the imaging method. Specific amounts of UCNPs were applied on multiple positions of a scan surface with pure cyclohexane as the background. The relation between these nanoparticle amounts and the obtained luminescence signal allowed the determination of the LOD achievable by different detection signals.

The scan of erbium-doped UCNPs (UCNP-Er₁) with masses per spot ranging from 1 - 100 ng is given in Figure 6.9. Since the green emission featured a better signal-to-noise ratio than the overall emission, a lower minimum intensity of 100 a.u. instead of 400,000 a.u. could be chosen for the images without any interferences of the background on image evaluation. A qualitative evaluation revealed that an UCNP amount of 1 ng or 5 ng for the green and the overall emission, respectively, was detectable significantly compared to the cyclohexane background sample (0 ng).

For a more quantitative determination of the LOD a calibration curve was derived from these images by using the normalized intensity, i.e. the average intensity of a single scan point, as the measurand (Figure 6.10).
Figure 6.10 Calibration curves in an amount range of UCNP-Er₁ from 1 to 100 ng with (A) overall and (B) green emission as the detection signal and a normalization to a single scanning point; the linear equation and coefficient of determination \( R^2 \) are given below.

A linear correlation between the upconversion luminescence intensity and the UCNP amount was confirmed by these fittings which featured a good conformity indicated by the high coefficient of determination \( R^2 \). The calculation of the LOD was analogue to the determination of the LOD of UCNPs in dispersion described in chapter 6.2. The LODs for both emission types (Table 6.6) proved a very good sensitivity enabling the significant detection of 1 ng UCNP.

Table 6.6 Lowest detectable intensity (LDI) and limit of detection (LOD) of UCNP-Er₁ with the respective detection signal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detection signal</th>
<th>LDI [a.u.]</th>
<th>LOD [ng]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall emission</td>
<td>7.22 x 10⁵</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green emission</td>
<td>3.04 x 10²</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scan of different amounts of thulium-doped UCNPs (UCNP-Tm) showed that an amount of 5 ng UCNPs were distinguishable from the background for both emission types by a qualitative evaluation (Figure 6.11).
Figure 6.11 Scan images of UCNP-Tm amounts ranging from 1 to 100 ng for LOD determination utilizing the (A) overall and (B) infrared emission as the detection signal; an identical pseudo-color and logarithmic scale is used for both images to visualize the broad intensity range.

The calibration for the LOD determination again included the plotting of the average intensity of a single scanning spot over the UCNP amount (Figure 6.12).

\[ I = 5.46 \cdot 10^4 \frac{1}{\text{ng}} \cdot \text{m [ng]} + 64.3 \cdot 10^4 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9852 \]

\[ I = 1.74 \cdot 10^4 \frac{1}{\text{ng}} \cdot \text{m [ng]} + 2.40 \cdot 10^4 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9816 \]

Figure 6.12 Calibration curves in an amount range of UCNP-Tm from 1 to 100 ng with (A) overall and (B) green emission as the detection signal; the linear equation and coefficient of determination \( R^2 \) are given below.
A linear fit again verified a linear correlation between UCNP amount and upconversion emission intensity indicated by the coefficients of determination $R^2$. Analogue calculation as for erbium-doped UCNP nanoparticles revealed a LOD in the range of 1 ng (Table 6.7). The higher LOD for the overall emission was explained by the comparatively high background of the scan images leading to a lower signal-to-noise ratio.

### Table 6.7 Lowest detectable intensity (LDI) and limit of detection (LOD) of UCNP-Tm with the respective detection signal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detection signal</th>
<th>LDI [a.u.]</th>
<th>LOD [ng]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall emission</td>
<td>$7.25 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrared emission</td>
<td>$4.10 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, both UCNP types showed a linear correlation between the upconversion luminescence and the UCNP amount. Calculations yielded a LOD around 1 ng for the overall and the single wavelength emission of erbium- or thulium-doped UCNPs. Consequently, they are suitable as luminescent labels for (bio)analytical applications, especially for assay techniques where only low nanoparticle amounts have to be detectable. An improvement of the LOD might be realizable if the determination process is optimized by ensuring a reproducible spot size with distinct boundaries or if the sensitivity of the detector is enhanced, especially important for NIR emission of thulium-doped UCNPs. Furthermore, since a hydrophilic surface is indispensable for bioanalysis but causes a reduction of the upconversion luminescence due to quenching effects, the influence of these surface modifications on the LOD is important to determine.

### 6.8 Scan of Electrophoresis Gels

The applicability of the scan mode on real samples was investigated after the development and optimization of the general scan process including studies about the lateral resolution, the limit of detection and the signal-to-noise ratio. The imaging of UCNPs in electrophoresis gels by their upconversion luminescence was hampered for a long time due to the lack of commercially available instruments with a NIR excitation source and thus luminescence substitutes such as organic fluorophores had to be employed. Despite these instrumental limitations for a direct upconversion detection gel electrophoresis proved to be a convenient method both for the separation of modified UCNPs from aggregates and for the isolation of single nanoparticles\(^{260}\). Consequently, the first imaging studies of electrophoresis gels by the scan mode of the Chameleon reader focused on its general feasibility. The nanoparticles employed (UCNP-Er\(_2\)) were silica-coated and functionalized with carboxylic groups to ensure dispersibility in the aqueous electrophoresis system and electrophoretic
mobility. Additionally, the organic fluorophore fluorescein was introduced into the silica shell to allow a comparison of conventional fluorescence imaging methods.

An electrophoresis gel of a UCNP batch after surface modification was imaged with the overall or the green emission serving as the detection signal (Figure 6.13). The undiluted and two diluted dispersions of this batch were applied twofold on neighboring lanes. A lower minimum intensity of 200 a.u. for the green emission was chosen for the images, since a better signal-to-noise ratio was given for this emission type.

Figure 6.13 Scan images of the exemplary electrophoresis gel after the separation of UCNP-Er$_2$ utilizing the (A) overall and (B) green emission as the detection signal; an pseudo-color and logarithmic scale is used for both images to visualize the broad intensity range.

Both lanes of the undiluted and the higher concentrated diluted sample of the UCNP-Er$_2$ nanoparticles displayed two sets of luminescent bands for both emissions which confirmed the method feasibility for electrophoresis gels. The detection of two bands in each lane was attributed to two subpopulations of nanoparticles in the UCNP batch and their varying migration distance indicated a difference in size of these nanoparticles. The same position of these bands for both the undiluted and diluted samples confirmed the absence of any overlapping of bands and thus the only presence of these subpopulations. Furthermore, also structural characteristics of the gel itself such as the gel edges and the gel pockets were depicted in the images which simplified lane identification.

While these observations were conformably found for both the overall and the single wavelength emission, there were also differences in the content of information for these detection signals. The image of the overall emission showed a good discrimination of the luminescence signals from each other and from the background. However, an illustration was hampered for lower nanoparticle concentration which was verified by missing luminescent bands in the two lanes A (Figure 6.13A). In contrast, the image of the green emission indicated the gel bands of the lowest UCNP concentration but it suffered from a strongly increased background inside the lanes B and C (Figure 6.13B). A partial obscuring of UCNP bands was evident for the upper weaker emission band in lane C. Since this high background was detectable only in the sample lanes and was absent in the sample-free lanes, a
scattering effect of the synthesis components was a potential cause for this raise in intensity. In conclusion, although the overall emission allowed a good distinction between the UCNP bands and the background, an improved sensitivity was achieved by utilizing the green emission of the UCNP-Er$_2$ but along with an increased background in the sample lanes.

After the general feasibility of the scan mode for electrophoresis gels was demonstrated, the electrophoresis and subsequent upconversion scan were investigated as a UCNP characterization method during surface modification studies. The functionalization of UCNPs by the growth of a silica shell and the simultaneous silanization with carboxylic groups was chosen for this purpose, since its modification process was well understood (chapter 4.4) and showed a dependency of the nanoparticle characteristics on the silane amount during synthesis. Therefore, nanoparticles were synthesized with varying TEOS and CEST volumes (Table 6.8) for the investigation of this dependency and separated by gel electrophoresis. A simultaneous fluorescein labeling with a fluorescein-labeled amine silane (FITC-APTES) enabled an imaging with a conventional fluorescence technique important for a method comparison. Both the nanoparticle synthesis and the subsequent electrophoretic separation were performed by Dr. Antonín Hlaváček.

Table 6.8 Volume ratios of TEOS/FITC-APTES and CEST utilized for UCNP-Er$_3$ preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>TEOS/FITC-APTES volume [µL]</th>
<th>CEST [µL]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er$_3$-A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er$_3$-B</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er$_3$-C</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er$_3$-D</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er$_3$-E</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP-Er$_3$-F</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The image obtained of the electrophoresis gel by the scan mode of the Chameleon reader is shown in Figure 6.14. A distance of 500 µm of the scanning points was enough to obtain a sufficient resolution of the different gel bands and a logarithmic pseudo-color scale chosen to take into account the broad intensity range of the upconversion emission.

The two gel scans were informative about the quality of the synthesis optimization process. Except for the UCNP-Er$_3$-D sample all nanoparticle types migrated into the gel and were separated into two bands, at least to a certain extent. Especially, the UCNP-Er$_3$-C and UCNP-Er$_3$-F nanoparticles featured high uniformity of their electrophoretic separation and their similar surface characteristics were confirmed by the same position of their lower band with high emission intensity. In contrast, the
major part of the other nanoparticle types, above all UCNP-Er$_2$-A and UCNP-Er$_3$-E, remained in the well pockets indicating a strong aggregation of these as-modified UCNPs. Consequently, a high nanoparticle stabilization was guaranteed by a large CEST volume, while the amount of added TEOS played only a minor role. The scan images also confirmed the differing illustration characteristics for the overall and green emission as described before for the feasibility study (Figure 6.13) such as the increased background luminescence for the green emission.

![Figure 6.14](image)

**Figure 6.14** Scan images of the electrophoresis gel after the separation of UCNP-Er$_2$ synthesized with varying silane volumes utilizing the (A) overall and (B) green emission as the detection signal; a pseudo-color and logarithmic scale is used for both scan images to visualize the broad intensity range and the codes of the different nanoparticle types are stated for a simpler assignment.

A comparison with the fluorescence image (Figure 6.15) recorded with an excitation wavelength of 488 nm and an emission wavelength of 532 nm was informative about the result comparability of the new scan method with well established techniques. The position and the relative intensity of the gel bands coincided in the fluorescence and upconversion image (Figure 6.14). The differing band shape in the images was attributed to the larger excitation area of NIR laser and the resulting luminescence emission of UCNPs excited by the outer rim of the laser. However, the upconversion scan allowed an illustration of bands with a weak intensity which partly disappeared into the background for the fluorescence image, for example in lane C, which confirmed the higher detection sensitivity of UCNPs compared to conventional fluorophores. The large fluorescent area below the bands in the fluorescence image was identified as unbound fluorophore whose presence was explained by the application of the UCNP sample directly on the gel without any purification steps. The absence of this area in the upconversion scan images verified an independence of the UCNP and fluorophore detection which enables a bimodal readout without any impact of the other label necessary for multiplexing applications. Furthermore, the sole illustration of UCNPs in the upconversion images is advantageous for preparative approaches where a nanoparticle identification is mandatory to allow an isolation of a single subpopulation of UCNPs with a high purity.
In conclusion, the scan mode of the Chameleon reader was applicable to electrophoresis gels. The agarose gel proved to be resistant against heating effects by the NIR laser indicated by the absence of any damages, even after repeated scans. The utilization of the overall and the green emission allowed the detection of all luminescent bands containing UCNPs as well as the gel edges and pockets with similar contents of information. However, while the green emission was favorable to detect very weak UCNP bands but caused an obscuring effect of the gel bands by increased background intensity, the overall emission resulted in images with a low background but lacked a sufficient resolution of lower UCNP concentrations. Consequently, a scan of an electrophoresis gel with both emissions yielded different information about the presence and amount of UCNP and thus can be employed as complementary measurements.

6.9 Scans of Lateral Flow Assays

The imaging of lateral flow assays (LFA) was the second application option of the scan mode on a real sample. The general design of these assays comprised a mixing zone and at least two reaction stripes. The first reaction stripe passed by the sample fluid is the actual detection line, the so-called test line, while the second reaction stripe, the so-called flow control line, serves as a confirmation of the process completion. As an exemplary analyte the *Schistosoma* circulating anodic antigen (CAA) originating from the parasitic worm *Schistosoma* was quantified by such lateral flow assays. The assays comprised a nitrocellulose membrane as the support matrix, an application pad made of glass fiber, a filter paper pad for waste absorption, and a laminate foil covering the actual assay compartment of the nitrocellulose membrane. The monoclonal mouse-anti-CAA antibody (MACAA) was immobilized on the test line to bind the CAA analyte solved in the sample, while a polyclonal goat-anti-mouse (GAM) antibody was bound on the flow control line owing to its specificity against the MACAA. A MACAA antibody labeled with nanoparticles of the type UCNP-Er₄ acted as the detection component of both antigen bound to the test line and GAM antibody bound to the flow
control line. For a quantification of the CAA analyte by the LFA technique, a dilution series of a crude worm extract were prepared (Table 6.9) and an individual assay was performed with each of these samples. The preparation and performance of the lateral flow assays were performed by the group of Dr. Paul Corstjens resulting in dried assays ready for imaging.

Table 6.9 CAA concentration applied to the different lateral flow assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Assay 1</th>
<th>Assay 2</th>
<th>Assay 3</th>
<th>Assay 4</th>
<th>Assay 5</th>
<th>Assay 6</th>
<th>Assay 7</th>
<th>Assay 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAA concentration [pg/mL]</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>A6</td>
<td>A7</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3162</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall and the green emission of the UCNP-Er₄ nanoparticles were utilized as the detection signal for the CAA quantification (Figure 6.16). A lateral resolution of 500 µm was sufficient to discriminate the test and the flow control line of the assays from the background. The collecting time had to be optimized, since the laminate foil cover of the nitrocellulose membrane was strongly heat sensitive. A collecting time of 250 ms reduced the probability of occurrence of damages and also avoided any oversaturation of the photomultiplier tube due to the higher brightness of the large UCNP-Er₄.

The whole assay was imaged by the scan mode of the Chameleon reader using the overall (Figure 6.16A) or the green (Figure 6.16B) emission as the detection signal. The assay support matrix of white nitrocellulose showed a high scattering and reflection capability of the upconversion luminescence which resulted in an intensity increase between the assay and the scan support and thus enabled the illustration of the assay. This difference in intensity led to a background correction of the images in Figure 6.16 utilizing the average of the detected intensity of the nitrocellulose membrane. Furthermore, after this correction a sensitive and accurate detection of the test and the flow control line was realizable. The upconversion luminescence of the test line (upper band) decreased due to
the reduction of the CAA concentration from A7 to A1, while the intensity signal of the flow control line (lower band) remained constant.

For the quantitative evaluation of the scan images the sum intensity of each test and flow control line was determined which were also corrected by the intensity of the test line of the blank assay B. The resulting sum intensity of the flow control line strongly varied between the assays A1-A7 (Figure 9.37A) which indicated differences in the assay preparation such as flow characteristics of the sample or binding efficiency of the antibody. A ratiometric evaluation was performed in form of the intensity ratio of test and flow control line \( \frac{I_T}{I_C} \) to take account of these variations and to level out their influence (Figure 9.37B). The \( \frac{I_T}{I_C} \) ratios were fitted with a logistic 4-parameter function (Figure 6.17). While a coefficient of determination \( R^2 \) of 0.9901 for the green emission confirmed a high conformity of the fit to the data, a coefficient of 0.9257 for the overall emission was determined. Consequently, the utilization of the shortpass/bandpass filter combination for the green emission was of great advantage for scans of real samples, since the strongly improved signal-to-noise ratio and a lower background signal compared to the overall emission allowed a better signal detection.

\[ \text{Figure 6.17 Intensity ratio of test (I) and control (I) strip for the different UCNP concentrations with a logistic 4-parameter function fit utilizing the overall (A) or the green (B) emission as the detection signal} \]

A second study of these assays focused on the influence of the assay state, i.e. dry or wet, on the evaluation, since the emission of UCNPs is quenched by water molecules due to their high vibrational states. Therefore, the dried assays were wetted by applying 200 µL of double-distilled water onto the application pad and after the removal of excess fluid the assays were imaged with the same settings as before. The comparison of the evaluation data (Figure 9.38) revealed a very low influence of the assay state on the intensity ratios of the test and flow control line apparent in the high conformity of the ratios for the dried and the wet assays. Consequently, an imaging and evaluation of the lateral flow assays was realizable both in a wet state and after drying for storage without any losses of information.
Finally, a calculation of the limit detection (LOD) was performed for the assays in the dried and the wet state. A linear fit of the dynamic range and the lower limit of the respective logistic 4-parameter function were determined and the intersection of the linear regressions was utilized to calculate the LOD (Table 6.10).

Table 6.10 Limit of detection (LOD) of UCNP-Er4 with the respective detection signal and assay condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detection signal</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>LOD [pg/mL]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall emission</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>64.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>43.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green emission</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>130.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>119.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The general low detectable concentrations confirmed a high detection sensitivity of the UCNP labels used for lateral flow assays. Furthermore, a wetting of the assays seemed to improve the LOD as indicated by the lower concentrations of detectable nanoparticles for the wet state. Furthermore, the assays were also evaluated by the group of Corstjens using a Packard scanner or an ESE Quant scanner suitable for UCNP detection. The measurements with these instruments were performed in a line scan mode and the upconversion emission was detected along the vertical middle line of the assay. Consequently, the luminescence of only a small part of the test and flow control line of the assay was measured by this mode in contrast to the detection of the complete lines by the scan mode of the Chameleon reader. Evaluations of the line scans revealed a limit of detection of 129 pg/mL for a Packard scanner and of 120 pg/mL for an ESE Quant scanner. The scan mode of the Chameleon reader was capable to detected UCNPs at least in the same concentration range (green emission) or lower concentrations (overall emission) compared to these detection devices which was also attributed to the utilization of the whole test and flow control line for evaluation.

In conclusion, the scan mode of the Chameleon reader was able to image lateral flow assays both in a dried and a wet state. A ratiometric method utilizing the sum intensity ratios of the test and flow control lines allowed an evaluation unaffected by differences in the assay preparations such as flow characteristics. A fitting with a logistic 4-parameter function proved to be in good conformity with the data and was used to determine the limit of detection of UCNPs for the wet and dry assays. Especially the overall emission as the detection signal enabled a lowest detectable nanoparticle concentration far below the LOD of other instruments employed for assay evaluation. These results confirmed the high detection sensitivity of the scan mode for UCNPs and suggested a good usability of such nanoparticles as luminescent probes for lateral flow assay applications.
6.10 Luminescence Enhancement by Surface Plasmon Resonance

The enhancement of the upconversion luminescence is one of the most important aspects of the design optimization of the UCNP. Additional to a shielding of the nanoparticle surface from quenchers by bulky ligands or an additional shell, there is also an enhancing effect on the upconversion luminescence by the surface plasmon resonance of a gold surface. Studies of this effect using the scan mode of the Chameleon reader were performed by depositing UCNPs on the gold or silica surface of a shared support. Commercially available silica wavers with gold electrodes usually used for electrochemical (bio)analysis were selected as this scan support since they featured a small size enabling short scan times and the presence of silica and gold areas large enough for UCNP application allowing a direct referencing. A modification of the gold and silica surface with an identical functionality, i.e. an alkyl chain or a carboxylic group, was performed to introduce a spacing layer between the UCNPs and the gold surface and to generate a surface with the same chemical characteristics. Especially the hydrophilicity of the microelectrode surface determined the spreading of the UCNP dispersion.

A functionalization with a thiol and a silane featuring the same functional group was studied with non-functionalized electrodes as references (Figure 9.39). The modification with a layer of long-chained octanyl groups created a surface with a very hydrophobic character leading to significant spreading of the applied UCNP dispersion in cyclohexane. The water droplet test showed a lower spreading compared to non-functionalized surfaces confirming a functionalization with hydrophobic groups. Images of the as-modified microelectrode recorded by a digital camera (Figure 9.40) demonstrated an even functionalization without any indications of contaminations during the modification process. The reduced number of prior present dust or other contaminations was attributed to the extensive washing of the surface before and after functionalization and the storage in ethanol to avoid new contaminations. Consequently, the microelectrodes modified with octanyl groups were suitable for the investigation of the surface plasmon enhancement of the upconversion luminescence.

An analogue modification process was performed for the functionalization of the microelectrode with carboxylic groups utilizing the respective thiol and silane component. The creation of a highly hydrophilic surface was confirmed by the strong spreading of a water droplet. However, the silica surface of the as-functionalized microelectrodes was coated with a layer of precipitate visible both by the naked eye and a digital camera (Figure 9.41) after the silanization step. While the gold electrodes remain almost free of any contamination, the silica surface was nearly completely covered by this amorphous layer. This dependence on the surface material suggested a strong interaction of this
contamination with the unmodified silica surface. A scratch test revealed a simple removability of the contamination layer and an uncovering of an unaffected silica surface (Figure 9.42) which confirmed the non-covalent character of the interaction between contamination and surface and excluded any degradation processes of the silica. Therefore, the contamination layer originated from a precipitation of the carboxyl silane during silanization which was induced by the solvent change from an aqueous solution to the hydrophobic toluene phase as the reaction system. The high affinity of this precipitate to the silica surface only was attributed to a similar polarity of both materials.

The surface plasmon enhancement studies focused on the microelectrodes modified with octanyl groups as the UCNP support due to the difference in modification efficiency. The UCNP-Er or UCNP-Tm dispersions were applied onto the gold and silica surface of the microelectrodes by a steel wire onto the microelectrode to ensure a small spot size. The filters chosen for the imaging included the shortpass filter and the two band pass filter for respective single wavelength emission of erbium- or thulium-doped UCNPs. Additionally, the red emission of erbium-doped UCNPs was recorded by using the combination of the longpass filter (395 - 825 nm) and a shortpass filter (600 - 2000 nm). An individual study of the blue or red emission of UCNP-Tm type was neglected, since the NIR emission presented nearly the complete upconversion emission of these nanoparticles. The scan images of both UCNP types with the overall emission as the detection signal are given in Figure 6.18 and the microelectrode outline was illustrated for the identification of the UCNP spots on the gold electrodes.

Two microelectrodes were utilized for each UCNP doping resulting in four or six evaluable spots on the gold and silica surface, respectively. The statistical evaluation included the determination of the average intensity of the UCNP spots on gold and silica and the standard deviation between the
different spots on the same surface material. The gold/silica intensity ratio was calculated to directly show an enhancement (> 1) or a quenching (< 1) of the upconversion emission by the surface plasmon resonance of gold (Figure 6.19).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dopant</th>
<th>Intensity ratio (gold : silica)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erbium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall emission</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green emission</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red emission</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thulium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall emission</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrared emission</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6.19** Average intensities of a single scanning point on a gold or silica surface modified with octanyl groups of UCNPs doped (A) with erbium or (B) with thulium using different emissions as the detection signal; the resulting quenching (< 1) or enhancement (> 1) factors are given below.

While the signal intensity of both overall emissions was reduced by the gold surface, an enhancement of the green or infrared emission of UCNP-Er$_1$ and UCNP-Tm, respectively, was evident. However, the red emission for the erbium-doped nanoparticles was also quenched by the gold surface similar to the overall emission. Consequently, the kind of influence of the plasmon resonance of a gold surface on the upconversion emission strongly depended on the wavelength. A reason for this dependency might be a shift in the transition probabilities towards a higher population of the green-emitting energy states of the erbium ions. An intensity comparison of the green and red emission of erbium-doped UCNPs was determined to study this dependency. While the intensities at both wavelengths were almost identical for a gold surface, the red emission was stronger on a silica surface. This alignment in intensity by a change in the support material from silica to gold suggested an intensity increase of the green emission with a simultaneous decrease of the red emission intensity. However, since the overall emission was decreasing, the enhancement of the green emission signal could not compensate the intensity reduction of the red emission.

In conclusion, the gold and silica surface of commercially available microelectrodes were successfully modified with hydrophobic octanyl chains. The upconversion luminescence of UCNPs on these surfaces was determined by the scan mode of the Chameleon reader to study the influence of a gold...
surface on the emission intensity of these nanoparticles. While an enhancement was indicated for
the green or infrared emissions of erbium- or thulium-doped UCNPs, the overall emission seemed to
be quenched by the gold surface. A comparison between the red and green emission of erbium-
doped UCNPs revealed a strong wavelength dependency of the luminescence enhancement by the
surface plasmon resonance of a gold surface. However, since the overall emission decreased for
UCNPs on gold, a predominant quenching effect was assumed.
7. **Summary**

7.1 **In English**

The surface modification of upconverting nanoparticles was a main focus of this thesis and a wide variety of different functionalities were introduced on the nanoparticle surface in the process. The growth of a silica shell with a preferably simultaneous silanization proved to be a convenient way to create both a hydrophilic surface crucial for bioanalytical applications and an accessible functionality for subsequent modification. The utilization of silanol, amine, carboxyl, or phosphonate groups yielded UCNPs with strongly differing stabilities in dispersion and surface charges. A strong aggregation was observed for both an amine modification and the non-modified silanol groups of a pure silica shell with a tendency enhancement for a reduced shell thickness. Furthermore, surface phosphonate groups led to the formation of aggregates with high uniformity in both size and shape. In contrast, an optimized modification process to introduce carboxylic acids on the surface yielded monodisperse UCNPs with a diameter of 13 nm that are efficiently stabilized in aqueous dispersion. The presence of these predominantly single nanoparticles in high abundance was confirmed both by dynamic light scattering and transmission electron microscopy studies. This high monodispersity was also realizable by a ligand exchange utilizing the polymer polyacrylic acid. The method applied relied on a prior exchange of the hydrophobic oleic acid with BF$_4^-$ ions allowing a subsequent modification with the polymer with simplified process conditions and reduced expenditure of time.

Furthermore, the copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) was studied as a potential beneficial coupling reaction since it merged the advantages of the "Click chemistry" and "bioorthogonal reactions" concept. The associated alkyne and azide groups were bound to the nanoparticle surface by silanization of silica-coated UCNPs and the nanoparticles differed drastically in their dispersion stability. While an azide-functionalized surface exerted a certain degree of stabilization, alkyne functionalities led to a strong nanoparticle aggregation and precipitation in a very short time. However, both functional groups allowed an efficient and highly specific binding of their respective counterpart shown by an exemplary Click reaction with a fluorophore and also the important role of the copper(I) catalyst was demonstrated. The attachment of more complex molecules including biochemical functionalities or additionally stabilizing moieties revealed a good feasibility of a modification by the copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition. A simultaneous modification of the UCNPs with azide and carboxyl groups led to an enhanced nanoparticle stability compared to a pure azide functionalization.
Studies about the creation of a biomimetic nanoparticle surface comprised the encapsulation of UCNPs by virus capsid leading to the formation of virus-like particles (VLPs). After the process feasibility was confirmed to both dissemble and reassemble the capsid of a brome mosaic virus (BMV), small nanoparticles with an optimized surface were utilized to act as an artificial nucleation grain for self-assembly. These modified UCNPs met the three requirements for encapsulation: a hydrophilic surface to be dispersible in aqueous systems, an absolute diameter below 16 nm to fit in the capsid cavity of the BMV, and a negative surface charge to initiate the self-assembly of the capsomers similar to the viral RNA. In addition to the options described, citric acid was investigated as a potential surface ligand. While silanol and phosphonate groups were inappropriate to induce any kind of self-assembly, a form of unspecific interaction of the viral proteins with carboxylic acids was found both for covalent and non-covalent methods. An exception was citric acid, since it was prone to an irreversible removal from the UCNP surface during the VLP preparation process. However, for all nanoparticles with a modified surface no clear evidence of encapsulation was found as confirmed by both TEM imaging and immunogold staining.

The second research focus of this thesis was the development of a new imaging method of UCNPs. At first, different imaging parameters of the scan mode of a Hidex Plate Chameleon Multilabel Detection Platform with a 980 nm excitation source were studied and optimized for UCNPs of the type NaYF$_4$: Yb$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$ or NaY$_2$:Yb$^{3+}$, Tm$^{3+}$. A collecting time from 250 to 500 ms was sufficient to obtain an upconversion emission signal distinguishable from the background and to minimize laser-induced damages to the sample due to heating effects. Furthermore, both the overall emission of the UCNPs and the emission in a narrow wavelength domain were suitable as the detection signal for the image acquisition. The respective filter or filter combination additionally influenced the signal-to-noise ratio and thus the detection sensitivity. While the green emission of erbium-doped UCNPs was favorable in this regard, limitations of the detector sensitivity in the near infrared range hampered the utilization of the strong near infrared emission of thulium-doped UCNPs for scans with sensitive detection. The lateral resolution of the resulting scan images was reduced to 200 µm providing both a good resolution of luminescent structures and a good discrimination of signal and background. Since a lower scan point distance resulted in longer scan times without additional structural information, a lateral resolution of 200 µm was defined as the lower limit of the lateral resolution of the scan modus. Finally, a limit of detection of 1 ng was determined for both erbium- and thulium-doped UCNPs with high accuracy regardless of the emission utilized as the detection signal.

The applicability of this optimized process to real samples was demonstrated for both electrophoresis gels and lateral flow assays. The imaging of these gels and assays showed a high accuracy and reproducibility and allowed a good discrimination of the UCNP signal from the
background and an illustration of differences in the UCNP concentrations or sample materials. Furthermore, the downconversion emission of fluorescein doped in the silica shell of the UCNP allowed a comparison between the imaging methods based either on up- and downconversion. In addition to a high conformity of the images regarding the position and intensity of the gel bands the absence of any signal from the fluorophore in the upconversion scan images of the gels confirmed a high discriminability of both signal types. This enables a bimodal readout. Moreover, the evaluation of the lateral flow assays yielded a limit of detection of the exemplary analyte "Schistosoma circulating anodic antigen (CAA)" of 44 or 64 pg/mL for the wet and dry condition of the array, respectively. For these measurements the the overall emission of the erbium-doped UCNP was utilized as the detection signal. Consequently, a high sensitivity of this new imaging method was evident compared to other instrument options.

Finally, the scan mode of the Chameleon reader was also utilized for studies about a potential enhancement of the upconversion emission by surface plasmon resonance of a gold surface. Both NaYF₄: Yb³⁺, Er³⁺ or NaY₄:Yb³⁺, Tm³⁺ were applied on a gold or silica surface of a commercial wafer with gold electrodes whose complete surface was modified before with the same functionality to ensure the same chemical properties. The comparison of the emission intensities of UCNP on the different surface materials indicated a strong dependency of the enhancement effect on the emission wavelength. While the intensity of the green or near infrared emission of erbium- or thulium-doped UCNP was increased by the gold surface, the overall emission of both UCNP types was reduced indicating a simultaneous quenching of the upconversion emission at other wavelengths.


Der zweite Forschungsschwerpunkt dieser Arbeit war die Entwicklung einer neuen Abbildungsmethode von UCNPs. Zuerst wurden verschiedene Darstellungsparameter des Scanmodus einer "Hidex Plate Chameleon Multilabel Detection Platform" mit einer 980 nm Anregungsquelle untersucht und für UCNPs des Typs NaYF₄: Yb³⁺, Er³⁺ oder NaY₄:Yb³⁺, Tm²⁺ optimiert. Eine Detektionszeit von 250 bis 500 ms war ausreichend, um ein vom Hintergrund unterscheidbares Signal der Aufwärtskonversion-Emission zu erhalten und um Schäden an der Probe durch Erhitzungseffekte zu minimieren. Desweiteren waren sowohl die Gesamtmission als auch die Emission in einem schmalen Wellenlängenbereich als Detektionssignal für eine Bilderzeugung geeignet. Der jeweilige Filter oder die jeweilige Filterkombination beeinflusste auch das Signal-zu-Rauschen-Verhältnis und somit die Detektionsempfindlichkeit. Während die grüne Emission von Erbium-dotierten UCNPs sich diesbezüglich besonders auszeichnete, hemmte eine geringe Detektorempfindlichkeit im Nahinfrarot die Verwendbarkeit der starken Nahinfrarotemission von Thulium-dotierten UCNPs für Scans mit einer nachweisstarken Detektion. Die laterale Auflösung der Scanbilder wurde auf 200 µm verringert, wobei sowohl eine gute Auflösung lumineszierender Strukturen als auch eine gute...
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9. Appendix

9.1 Dynamic light scattering measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pdl 0.215</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>190.1</td>
<td>329.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.1** Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-10: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pdl 0.343</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.2** Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-5: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
Figure 9.3 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-4: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.293</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max [nm]</td>
<td>Ø [nm]</td>
<td>σ [nm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$ peak</td>
<td>3091</td>
<td>3054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^{rd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.4 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-NH$_2$: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.134</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max [nm]</td>
<td>Ø [nm]</td>
<td>σ [nm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$ peak</td>
<td>396.1</td>
<td>458.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^{rd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.5 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH with work up A: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.691</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max [nm]</td>
<td>Ø [nm]</td>
<td>σ [nm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$ peak</td>
<td>50.75</td>
<td>45.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$ peak</td>
<td>68.06</td>
<td>64.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^{rd}$ peak</td>
<td>458.7</td>
<td>452.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.500</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>16.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>164.2</td>
<td>182.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>825.0</td>
<td>812.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.6 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH with work up B: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.275</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>21.04</td>
<td>21.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>164.2</td>
<td>158.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>255.0</td>
<td>281.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.7 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH after washing step I: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.595</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>190.1</td>
<td>174.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>342.0</td>
<td>340.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>1261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.8 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH after washing step II: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pdi 0.271</th>
<th><strong>Intensity (DLS)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Number (DLS)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>21.04</td>
<td>20.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>220.2</td>
<td>222.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>369.1</td>
<td>403.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.9 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO<sub>2</sub>-COOH after optimization: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pdi 0.609</th>
<th><strong>Intensity (DLS)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Number (DLS)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>18.17</td>
<td>18.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>295.3</td>
<td>348.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>5560</td>
<td>4756</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.10 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@PAA(2100): Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pdi 0.270</th>
<th><strong>Intensity (DLS)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Number (DLS)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>24.36</td>
<td>26.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>1621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.11 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@PAA(5100): Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.072</th>
<th><strong>Intensity (DLS)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Number (DLS)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>295.3</td>
<td>333.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.12** Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO<sub>2</sub>-Ph: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.548</th>
<th><strong>Intensity (DLS)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Number (DLS)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>2669</td>
<td>2547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>4801</td>
<td>4387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.13** Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO<sub>2</sub>-Alk: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PdI 0.169</th>
<th><strong>Intensity (DLS)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Number (DLS)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>458.7</td>
<td>491.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>5560</td>
<td>5198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.14** Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO<sub>2</sub>-Az: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
Figure 9.15 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH/Az: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average ($\bar{\Omega}$), and deviation ($\sigma$).

Figure 9.16 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-NH$_2$: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average ($\bar{\Omega}$), and deviation ($\sigma$).

Figure 9.17 Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average ($\bar{\Omega}$), and deviation ($\sigma$).
Table: Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-Ph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pdi 0.095</th>
<th>Intensity (DLS)</th>
<th>Number (DLS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$ peak</td>
<td>396.1</td>
<td>432.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^{rd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.18** Hydrodynamic diameter of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-Ph: Size distribution according to signal intensity or nanoparticle number and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
9.2 *Zeta potential measurements*

![Zeta Potential Distribution](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zeta potential distribution</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(^{st}) peak</td>
<td>-51.2</td>
<td>-51.2</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(^{nd}) peak</td>
<td>-28.0</td>
<td>-28.1</td>
<td>6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(^{rd}) peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 9.19* Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO\(_2\)-10: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

![Zeta Potential Distribution](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zeta potential distribution</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(^{st}) peak</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(^{nd}) peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(^{rd}) peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 9.20* Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO\(_2\)-NH\(_2\): Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
Zeta Potential Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zeta potential distribution</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>-35.3</td>
<td>-34.8</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.21 Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH at pH 9: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

Zeta Potential Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zeta potential distribution</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>-67.4</td>
<td>-65.4</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-41.3</td>
<td>-39.1</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>-10.7</td>
<td>6.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.22 Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH at pH 7: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

Zeta Potential Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zeta potential distribution</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>-25.6</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.23 Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH after optimization: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
Figure 9.24 Zeta potential of UCNP@PAA(2100): Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>-55.0</td>
<td>-52.8</td>
<td>9.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-36.4</td>
<td>-32.6</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.25 Zeta potential of UCNP@PAA(5100): Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>-38.0</td>
<td>-36.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-0.749</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.26 Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO₂-Ph: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>-31.0</td>
<td>-32.6</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Zeta potential distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>7.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.27** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Alk: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

### Zeta potential distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>-28.1</td>
<td>-28.4</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.28** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

### Zeta potential distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td>-9.78</td>
<td>-17.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.29** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-COOH/Az: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
**Zeta Potential Distribution**

**Table 9.30** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-NH$_2$: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$ peak</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^{rd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.30** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-NH$_2$: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

**Table 9.31** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$ peak</td>
<td>-20.1</td>
<td>-19.0</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^{rd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.31** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-COOH: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

**Table 9.32** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-Ph: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Max [mV]</th>
<th>Ø [mV]</th>
<th>σ [mV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$ peak</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^{rd}$ peak</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.32** Zeta potential of UCNP@SiO$_2$-Az-Ph: Zeta potential distribution and peak data including maximum (Max), average (Ø), and deviation (σ).
### 9.3 Transmission electron microscopy images

**Figure 9.33** TEM image of UCNP@SiO$_2$-10 (left: 10.000x magnification, scale bar: 200 nm; right: 160.000x magnification, scale bar: 10 nm).

**Figure 9.34** TEM image of UCNP@SiO$_2$-5 (left: 10.000x magnification, scale bar: 200 nm; right: 160.000x magnification, scale bar: 10 nm).
9.4 Infrared Spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber / cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>Band assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>925.67</td>
<td>(O-H)-deformation vibration in hydrogen bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024.03</td>
<td>(C-O) vibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1120.45</td>
<td>(C-O) vibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1309.44</td>
<td>Symmetric stretching vibration (carboxylate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1405.87</td>
<td>Symmetric stretching vibration (carboxylate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1558.22</td>
<td>Asymmetric stretching vibration (carboxylate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2920.70</td>
<td>(O-H) stretching vibration in hydrogen bonds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.35** Infrared spectrum of UCNP@SiO\(_2\)-COOH as dried powder with band assignment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber / cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>Band assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1636.32</td>
<td>Asymmetric stretching vibration (carboxylate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3321.82</td>
<td>(O-H) stretching vibration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.36** Infrared spectrum of UCNP@SiO\(_2\)-COOH in dispersion with band assignment.
9.5 Calculations for Synthesis Adjustments of UCNP@SiO₂-COOH

The different surface areas of a single UCNP utilized in this thesis and literature\(^{[248]}\) were determined with the assumption of a quasi-spherical shape of the UCNPs (Eq. 5). The calculation results are given in Table 9.1.

\[ S_{NP} = 4 \cdot r_{NP}^2 \pi \quad \text{Eq. 5} \]

with the surface area \(S_{NP}\) of the respective UCNP and the radius \(r_{NP}\) of the respective UCNP.

Table 9.1 Surface area calculations of a single UCNP with different radii

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(r_x) [nm]</th>
<th>(S_{NP}) [nm(^2)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCNP (Literature)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1661.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP (Thesis)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>530.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The absolute number of UCNP had to be considered for the calculation of the overall surface of all UCNPs. This number was derived from the mass concentration in a specific volume. Both the volume and the density of the host material had to be known. Since both nanoparticles were made of NaYF₄ with a density of 4 g/mL and again assuming a spherical shape for the volume determination (Eq. 6), the necessary numbers of UCNPs for each synthesis route were calculated by means of the mass of UCNPs utilized for synthesis in 1 mL (Table 9.2).

\[ V_{NP} = \frac{4}{3} r_{NP}^3 \pi \quad \text{Eq. 6} \]

with the volume \(V_{NP}\) of the respective UCNP and the radius \(r_{NP}\) of the respective UCNP.

Table 9.2 Volume and number calculations of UCNPs of different synthesis routes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(r_x) [nm]</th>
<th>(V_{NP}) [nm(^3)]</th>
<th>(m_{NP}) [mg]</th>
<th>(m_{UCNP}) [mg]</th>
<th>(N_{UCNP})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCNP (23 nm)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>6370.6</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>3.4 \times 10^{14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP (13 nm)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1150.3</td>
<td>0.5 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.2 \times 10^{14}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall surface area of all UCNPs in the respective synthesis batch was calculated by a simple multiplication of the surface area of a single nanoparticle and the absolute number of UCNPs.

Table 9.3 Calculations of the overall surface area of UCNPs of different synthesis routes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(S_{NP}) [nm(^2)]</th>
<th>(N_{UCNP})</th>
<th>(S_{overall}) [nm(^2)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCNP (23 nm)</td>
<td>1661.9</td>
<td>3.4 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>5.6 \times 10^{16}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNP (13 nm)</td>
<td>530.9</td>
<td>2.2 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>11.5 \times 10^{16}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The correction factor for the silane volumes added during synthesis was determined by calculating the ratio between these two overall surface areas (Eq. 7).

\[
R = \frac{S_{\text{NP}(25\text{nm})}}{S_{\text{NP}(13\text{nm})}} \quad \text{Eq. 7}
\]

Finally, the necessary volumes of TEOS and CEST for an adjusted synthesis providing a good conformity were derived with a correction factor of 2.06 (Table 9.4).

**Table 9.4** TEOS and CEST volumes needed for adapted synthesis from literature with a correction factor of 2.06

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>V_{\text{TEOS}} [µL]</th>
<th>V_{\text{CEST}} [µL]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literature</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaption</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual used</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.6 Evaluation of Lateral Flow Assays

**Figure 9.37** Intensities (A) or intensity ratio (B) of the test and control stripe for different antigen concentrations utilizing the green emission as the detection signal.

**Figure 9.38** Comparison of the intensity ratio for the dry or wet condition of the lateral flow assays utilizing the overall (A) or the green (B) emission as the detection signal.
9.7 Wafer Images

Figure 9.39 Real images of non-functionalized microelectrodes illustrating the large silica surface (left) and the two gold electrodes (right)

Figure 9.40 Real images of microelectrodes modified with octanyl groups illustrating the large silica surface (left) and the two gold electrodes (right)

Figure 9.41 Real images of microelectrodes modified with carboxyl groups illustrating the original silica surface (left) and the two gold electrodes (right) confirming the strong contamination of the silica areas and slight tarnishing effect on gold.

Figure 9.42 Real images of the same microelectrode area modified with carboxyl groups before (left) and after (right) scratching test in the framed area.
## 9.8 Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-NaYF$_4$</td>
<td>cubic modification of NaYF$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTES</td>
<td>3-(Aminopropyl)triethoxysilane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$-NaYF$_4$</td>
<td>hexagonal modification of NaYF$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMV</td>
<td>brome mosaic virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>bovine serum albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>circulating anodic antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMV</td>
<td>cowpea chlorotic mottle virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEST</td>
<td>carboxyethyilsilanetriol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuAAC</td>
<td>copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWL</td>
<td>central wavelength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da</td>
<td>Dalton (unit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ds</td>
<td>double-stranded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>erbium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA</td>
<td>excited state absorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETU</td>
<td>energy transfer upconversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM</td>
<td>full width at half maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM</td>
<td>goat-anti-mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAR</td>
<td>goat-anti-rabbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>gadolinium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACAA</td>
<td>mouse-anti-CAA antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADH</td>
<td>nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (reduced form)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS</td>
<td>N-hydroxysuccinimide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>near infrared</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PA  photon avalanche
PAA  polyacrylic acid
PBS  phosphate-buffered saline
PdI  polydispersity index
PEG  polyethylene glycol
PET  positron emission tomography
PTA  phosphotungstic acid
rcf  relative centrifugal force (unit)
RNA  ribonucleic acid
rpm  revolutions per minute (unit)
SHG  second harmonic generation
SPECT single photon emission computed tomography
ss  single-stranded
STPA  simultaneous two-photon absorption
TEM  transmission electron microscopy
TEOS  tetraethyl orthosilicate
TPMS  3-(trihydroxysilyl)propyl-methylphosphonate
Tm  thulium
UC  upconversion
UCNP  upconverting nanoparticle
US  ultrasound
UV  ultraviolet
VIS  visible
VLP  virus-like particle
v/v  volume per volume
Yb  ytterbium
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