The Role of Cyclooxygenase-1 and -2 in Sevoflurane-Induced Postconditioning Against Myocardial Infarction
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Abstract
Cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 mediates ischemic pre- and postconditioning as well as anesthetic-induced preconditioning. However, the role of COX-1 and -2 in anesthetic-induced postconditioning has not been investigated. We evaluated the role of COX-1 and -2 in sevoflurane-induced postconditioning in vivo. Pentobarbital-anaesthetized male C57BL/6 mice were subjected to 45 minutes of coronary artery occlusion and 3 hours of reperfusion. Animals received either no intervention, the vehicle dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 10 µL/g intraperitoneally), acetylsalicylic acid (ASA, 5 µg/g intraperitoneally), the selective COX-1 inhibitor SC-560 (10 µg/g intraperitoneally), or the selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (5 µg/g intraperitoneally). 1.0 MAC (minimum alveolar concentration) sevoflurane was administered for 18 minutes during early reperfusion either alone or in combination with ASA, SC-560, and NS-398. Infarct size was determined with triphenyltetrazolium chloride. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way and 2-way analyses of variance with post hoc Duncan testing. The infarct size in the control group was 44% ± 9%. DMSO (42% ± 7%), ASA (36% ± 6%), and NS-398 (44% ± 18%) had no effect on infarct size. Sevoflurane (17% ± 4%; P < .05) and SC-560 (26% ± 10%; P < .05) significantly reduced the infarct size compared with control condition. Sevoflurane-induced postconditioning was not abolished by ASA (16% ± 5%) and SC-560 (22% ± 4%). NS-398 abolished sevoflurane-induced postconditioning (33% ± 14%). It was concluded that sevoflurane induces postconditioning in mice. Inhibition of COX-1 elicits a myocardial infarct size reduction and does not abolish sevoflurane-induced postconditioning. Blockade of COX-2 abolishes sevoflurane-induced postconditioning. These results indicate that sevoflurane-induced postconditioning is mediated by COX-2.
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Introduction
Ischemic heart disease is the leading cause of death in developed nations. Extending myocardial ischemic tolerance could be a promising strategy to improve patient outcome after acute cardiovascular events. Volatile anesthetics such as isoflurane, desflurane, and sevoflurane confer cardioprotection by inducing preconditioning1-3 and postconditioning.4-6 Postconditioning might be of exceptional relevance in the clinical setting since myocardial ischemia usually is unpredictable, very much limiting the use of preconditioning. Improved outcome via pharmaceutical postconditioning is indisputable in animal studies but difficult to detect in patients.7 Various mechanisms, such as age, comorbidities, and the accompanying pharmacotherapy, can interfere with cardioprotection, thus presenting a challenge for successful clinical translation.

Inhibitors of cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and -2 are widely prescribed because of their anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties. However, application of COX-2 inhibitors is critical in patients with a high cardiovascular risk profile.8-10 In particular, administration of valdecoxib and its oral prodrug parecoxib was associated with serious cardiovascular events after coronary artery bypass graft
surgery. Therefore, some of the COX-2 inhibitors were taken off the market or were not approved in several countries. In the experimental setting, COX-2 inhibitors abolish the acute phase of ischemic and volatile anesthetic-induced preconditioning against myocardial infarction, indicating an important role for COX-2 in cardioprotection.

Whether cyclooxygenase enzymes 1 and 2 play a role in the signal transduction cascade of anesthetic-induced postconditioning has not been investigated to date. Hence, we tested the hypothesis that blockade of COX-1 and -2 abolishes sevoflurane-induced postconditioning in the murine heart in vivo.

Methods

Ethical Approval

All experimental procedures used in this investigation were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Government of Lower Franconia, Bavaria, Germany. All experiments were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and conformed to the Guiding Principles in the Care and Use of Animals of the American Physiological Society.

Animals

Male C57BL/6 mice (8-12 weeks old) were purchased from Harlan (Horst, The Netherlands). Animals were housed under controlled conditions (22°C, 55%-65% humidity, 12-hour light-dark cycle) and were allowed free access to water and a standard laboratory chow.

Instrumentation and Surgical Procedures

Instrumentation and surgical procedures were performed as described previously. Briefly, mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 60 µg/g sodium pentobarbital (Merial, Hallbergmoos, Germany), and repeated intraperitoneal injections were given as needed to maintain anesthesia. Rectal temperature was maintained at 37.0°C ± 0.1°C using a servo-controlled heating pad (FMI, Seeheim, Germany). After intubation of the trachea, mice were ventilated with a 50%/50% air-oxygen mixture using a small rodent ventilator (SAR-P 830, CWE Inc, Ardmore, Pennsylvania) operating in pressure-controlled mode. A 3-lead needle-probe electrocardiogram (ECG) was attached to continuously monitor heart rate and ST-segment elevation. Saline-filled polyethylene catheters were placed into the right common carotid artery for measurement of mean arterial blood pressure and into the right jugular vein for continuous fluid administration (20 µL/g/h). A left thoracotomy at the fourth intercostal space was performed, and the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) was exposed. The ligature was set as previously described. Coronary artery occlusion was achieved using the hanging weight system and was verified by ECG ST-segment elevation and paleness of the myocardial area at risk (AAR). Adequate reperfusion was verified by epicardial hyperemia and reversion of ECG changes.

Experimental Protocol

After completion of surgical procedures, investigators randomly assigned mice to 1 of the 9 study groups by opening a sealed envelope containing information about the study group. Group size was n = 7 in each group. The experimental protocol is illustrated in Figure 1.

All mice were allowed a 30-minute equilibration period. Myocardial ischemia was induced by 45 minutes of coronary artery occlusion (CAO) followed by 3 hours of reperfusion. Control animals (CON) received no treatment before CAO. In group 2 (DMSO), the vehicle dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 10 µL/g) was injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) 10 minutes prior to the end of CAO. In group 3 (SEVO), 1.0 MAC (minimum alveolar concentration, 3.4 Vol-%) sevoflurane was given for 18 minutes starting 3 minutes prior to the end of CAO. Sevoflurane concentration was gradually increased from 0.0 to 1.0 MAC and gradually decreased from 1.0 MAC to 0.0 MAC over a time period of 2 minutes. Ten minutes prior to the end of CAO, animals received the nonselective COX inhibitor acetylsalicylic acid (ASA, 5 µg/g) either alone (ASA) or in combination with sevoflurane (SEVO+ASA). To evaluate the role of COX-1, the selective COX-1 inhibitor SC-560 (10 µg/g) was given i.p. 10 minutes prior to the end of CAO, either alone (SC-560) or in combination with sevoflurane (SEVO+SC-560). The selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 (5 µg/g) was administered i.p. either alone (NS-398) or in combination with sevoflurane (SEVO+NS-398) to investigate the role of COX-2 in sevoflurane-induced postconditioning.

Measurement of Myocardial Infarct Size

Myocardial infarct size (IS) and area at risk (AAR) were determined using methods previously described. Briefly, after 3 hours of reperfusion, the LAD was reoccluded and 1 mL of Evans Blue (0.1 g/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) was slowly injected into the carotid artery. After intraperitoneal injection of a lethal dose of sodium pentobarbital (150 µg/g), the heart was rapidly excised. The left ventricle was separated and cut into 7 or 8 transversal slices of each 1 mm thickness. Slices were incubated in 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride (20 mg/mL) for 30 minutes at 37°C. After overnight fixation in 10% formaldehyde, slices were weighted and digitally
photographed. Photographs were analyzed using Adobe Photoshop CS 8.0.1 (Adobe Systems Inc, San Jose, California), and the normal zone, AAR, and IS were determined gravitoplanimetrically by a blinded investigator. Animals with an AAR of less than 20% were excluded from the study.

**Data Acquisition and Statistical Analysis**

ECG, systemic hemodynamic parameters, and body temperature were continuously recorded and analyzed on a personal computer (Fujitsu Siemens, Augsburg, Germany) using a hemodynamic data acquisition and analysis software (Notocord hem 3.5, Croissy sur Seine, France).

Drawing from other studies on the same experimental model, we expected a myocardial IS between 45% and 50% (IS/AAR). Power analysis revealed a group size of \( n = 7 \) to detect a difference in means of 20% with a power of 0.8 at a \( \alpha \)-level of 0.05. Statistical analyses were done by analyses of variance (ANOVAs), which were based on 2-tailed \( F \) tests for comparison of components of the factors’ total deviation. Analysis for body weight, left ventricle weight, left ventricle weight/body weight, AAR, IS, IS/left ventricle weight, and AAR/left ventricle weight was performed using 1-way ANOVA including the factor treatment (CON vs DMSO vs SEVO vs ASA vs SEVO+ASA vs SC-560 vs SEVO+SC-560 vs NS-398 vs SEVO+NS-398) and post hoc Duncan’s test for significant main effects and interactions. Analysis of hemodynamic data was performed by a \( 9 \times 7 \) ANOVA for repeated measures, including the between-factor treatment (CON vs DMSO vs SEVO vs ASA vs SEVO+ASA vs SC-560 vs SEVO+SC-560 vs NS-398 vs SEVO+NS-398) and the within-factor time point (baseline vs pre-CAO vs CAO vs

![Figure 1](image-url). Schematic diagram illustrating the experimental protocol. CAO is coronary artery occlusion. CON, control group. DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide (10 µL/g) intraperitoneally (i.p.) 10 minutes prior to the onset of reperfusion. SEVO, 1.0 MAC (minimum alveolar concentration) sevoflurane for 18 minutes starting 3 minutes prior to the onset of reperfusion. ASA, acetylsalicylic acid (5 µg/g) i.p. 10 minutes prior to the onset of reperfusion. SEVO+ASA, acetylsalicylic acid (5 µg/g) i.p. 7 minutes prior to sevoflurane (1.0 MAC). SC-560, SC-560 (10 µg/g) i.p. 10 minutes prior to the onset of reperfusion. SEVO+SC-560, SC-560 (10 µg/g) i.p. 7 minutes prior to sevoflurane (1.0 MAC). NS-398, NS-398 (5 µg/g) i.p. 10 minutes prior to the onset of reperfusion. SEVO+NS-398, NS-398 (5 µg/g) i.p. 7 minutes prior to sevoflurane (1.0 MAC).
post-CAO vs reperfusion for 60 minutes vs reperfusion for 120 minutes vs reperfusion for 180 minutes). In case of any significant main effects or interactions, post hoc 1-way ANOVAs were conducted for each group and each time point. Statistical analysis of data was performed using SPSS 19.0 software (The Apache Software Foundation, Forest Hill, Maryland). Changes in means were considered statistically significant when $P < .05$. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

### Results

Overall, 68 mice were included in the study to obtain 63 successful experiments. Five animals were excluded because of pump failure during CAO (2 in the SEVO+SC-560 group, 1 in the NS-398 group, 1 in the SEVO+NS-398 group) or because the AAR was less than 20% (1 in the SEVO+SC-560 group).

### Hemodynamic Parameters and AAR

Hemodynamic parameters at baseline and AAR were not different among groups (Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 2). Compared with baseline values, the heart rate was decreased during the administration of sevoflurane, although statistical significance was only observed in the SEVO and the SEVO+ASA groups. It was not present if sevoflurane was administered in combination with SC-560 or NS-398. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was significantly decreased during CAO compared with the respective baseline values in the DMSO and SEVO+NS-398 groups. During sevoflurane administration, MAP was significantly decreased in the SEVO+SC-560 group.

### Myocardial Infarct Size

Myocardial infarct size (IS/AAR) was 44% ± 9% in the control group (Figures 3 and 4). Neither the vehicle dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; 42% ± 7%) nor the nonselective COX inhibitor acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; 36% ± 6%) alone altered the resulting infarct size. Application of 1.0 MAC sevoflurane during early reperfusion significantly reduced the ischemic injury compared with control animals (SEVO; 17% ± 4%). The nonselective COX inhibitor ASA did not abolish sevoflurane-induced postconditioning (SEVO+ASA; 16% ± 5%). Inhibition of COX-1 using the selective inhibitor SC-560 reduced the IS to 26% ± 10% (SC-560) while not affecting sevoflurane-induced
postconditioning (SEVO+SC-560; 22% ± 4%). The selective COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 alone did not affect myocardial IS (NS-398; 44% ± 18%) but abolished the protective effects provided by sevoflurane-induced postconditioning (SEVO+NS-398; 33% ± 14%).

**Discussion**

The present study investigates the role of both cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in sevoflurane-induced postconditioning (APOC) against myocardial infarction using a murine in vivo model. The results demonstrate a major role for COX-2 within the signal transduction pathway of APOC, whereas COX-1 is dispensable in this model.

The volatile anesthetic sevoflurane was administered during early reperfusion after coronary artery occlusion and conferred cardioprotection as reflected by a pronounced reduction in myocardial infarct size compared with control animals. Sevoflurane-induced postconditioning (APOC) reduces myocardial infarct size up to 60% in this mouse model. Cardioprotection by APOC has been described in different species and models, such as in isolated rat hearts,17 and in the rat18 and rabbit myocardium in

### Table 2. Body Weight and Planimetry.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>BW, g</th>
<th>LV, mg</th>
<th>LV/BW, %</th>
<th>AAR, mg</th>
<th>IS, mg</th>
<th>IS/LV, %</th>
<th>AAR/LV, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.3 ± 2</td>
<td>70.4 ± 7</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>23.0 ± 9.7</td>
<td>10.0 ± 4.5</td>
<td>13.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>32.1 ± 11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.3 ± 3.4</td>
<td>76.1 ± 7.2</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
<td>24.5 ± 8.2</td>
<td>10.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>13.3 ± 4.5</td>
<td>32.3 ± 11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>69.8 ± 7.6</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>23.7 ± 5.1</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.1b</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.5b</td>
<td>34.1 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>72.9 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
<td>22.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>7.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>10.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>30.3 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVO+ASA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>68.2 ± 8.7</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.03</td>
<td>21.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.6b</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.7b</td>
<td>30.5 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-560</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>72.5 ± 9.2</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.04</td>
<td>20.2 ± 5.0</td>
<td>5.5 ± 3.1b</td>
<td>7.3 ± 3.7b</td>
<td>27.6 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVO+SC-560</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>77.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
<td>27.6 ± 4.7</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.4b</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.0b</td>
<td>35.6 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS-398</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>73.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>22.2 ± 6.1</td>
<td>9.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>13.3 ± 6.6</td>
<td>30.2 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVO+NS-398</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>73.5 ± 5.7</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
<td>28.7 ± 9.6</td>
<td>9.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>12.7 ± 5.8</td>
<td>39.4 ± 14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AAR, area at risk; ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; BW, body weight; CON, control; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; IS, infarct size; LV, left ventricle; NS-398, a selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor; SC-560, a selective cyclooxygenase-1 inhibitor; SEVO, sevoflurane.

aData are mean ± SD.
bSignificantly (P < .05) different from CON.

Figure 2. Mean values of heart rate (HR) and mean arterial pressure (MAP). For better visibility, standard deviations and indicators of significance are not shown. Please refer to Table 1 for further information. Data were analyzed at the end of the baseline period (BL); before (PreCAO), during (CAO), and after (POST) coronary artery occlusion; and 60, 120, and 180 minutes after the onset of reperfusion (Rep60, Rep120, and Rep180). ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; CON, control; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; NS-398, a selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor; SC-560, a selective cyclooxygenase-1 inhibitor; SEVO, sevoflurane.
induced preconditioning. Additionally, other studies demonstrated that sevoflurane-induced postconditioning was as effective as ischemic postconditioning and sevoflurane-induced preconditioning was shown to be COX-2 dependent since selective COX-1 inhibitors like FR12204733 and mofezolac have not been tested. One might further speculate that selective COX-1 inhibition leads to a shift of the thromboxane/prostaglandin balance toward the prostaglandins, which in turn causes vasodilatation of coronary arteries. This might result in increased myocardial oxygen supply during the reperfusion period, thus decreasing the resulting myocardial infarct size. Moreover, the preponderance of prostaglandins might reduce platelet aggregation, helping to prevent coronary microemboli during reperfusion. Nevertheless, these various effects could have been actuated by any available COX inhibitor due to different affinities and variety of mechanisms.

Whereas COX-2 is a well-known mediator of early and late ischemic and anesthetic-induced preconditioning, the role of COX-1 is not clear regarding anesthetic-induced preconditioning. It has been demonstrated that COX-1 mediates the delayed phase (after 48 hours) but not the early phase (after 24 hours) of morphine-induced delayed preconditioning.16 Functional blockade of COX-1 by SC-560 neither inhibited APOC nor had any additive beneficial effect on APOC in the current study. Additionally, the beneficial effect of APOC was not altered by pretreatment with acetylsalicylic acid (ASA). Primarily ASA inhibits COX-1.38 These results confirm that interference between anesthetic-induced preconditioning and COX inhibition most likely depends on the COX-2 affinity. However, we found no cardioprotective effect of ASA itself. This emphasizes the relevance of comedications and

**Figure 3.** Sevoflurane-induced postconditioning against myocardial infarction is mediated by cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), whereas blockade of cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) itself is cardioprotective. Myocardial infarct size (IS) expressed as percentage of left ventricular area at risk (AAR). Values are mean ± SD. n = 7 in each group. *Significantly (P < .05) different from CON. Mice received either no intervention (CON), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), sevoflurane (SEVO), acetylsalicylic acid alone (ASA) or in combination with sevoflurane (SEVO+ASA), SC-560 alone (SC-560) or in combination with sevoflurane (SEVO+SC-560), or NS-398 alone (NS-398) or in combination with sevoflurane (SEVO+NS-398).
their interference with protective effects subsequent to ischemic injury, a topic relevant for several endangered tissues, such as the kidney\textsuperscript{37,38} and liver\textsuperscript{39}.

The results of the current study should be interpreted within the constraint of several potential limitations. The left ventricular area at risk and the amount of coronary collateral blood flow are crucial determinants of myocardial infarct size. However, the area at risk was not different among groups. Coronary collateral blood flow was not measured in this study. However, rodents are reported to have little if any coronary collateral blood flow.\textsuperscript{40} Thus, it is unlikely that AAR and coronary collateral blood flow account for the differences in myocardial infarct size. Furthermore, triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) staining was the only indicator of myocardial infarct size. Other markers of myocardial injury such as troponin values were not determined in this study. Alterations in myocardial oxygen supply and demand ratio might have affected the observed results. Sevoflurane decreased heart rates during early reperfusion in the SEVO and SEVO+ASA groups and tended to decrease heart rates in the SEVO+SC-560 and SEVO+NS-398 groups. Coronary venous oxygen content was not measured, and myocardial oxygen consumption was not directly quantified in this study. Therefore, we cannot completely exclude that changes in myocardial oxygen supply-demand ratio might contribute to the infarct size reduction by sevoflurane-induced postconditioning. It has been shown that SC-560 at 10 µg/g and NS-398 at 5 µg/g effectively inhibit COX-1 and COX-2, respectively.\textsuperscript{16} However, potential effects of SC-560 and NS-398 on other proteins involved in the signal transduction cascade of sevoflurane-induced postconditioning cannot be excluded.

In summary, the present study demonstrates that the in vivo administration of 1.0 MAC sevoflurane during early reperfusion induces postconditioning against myocardial infarction in the murine heart. The results further indicate that these protective effects are mediated by COX-2.
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