The mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule antibody PF-00547,659 in ulcerative colitis: a randomised study
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ABSTRACT

Background and aims Leucocyte migration to gut mucosa, mediated by integrin binding to mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule (MAdCAM), is a promising target for therapeutic intervention in inflammatory bowel disease. This first-in-human study of a monoclonal antibody to MAdCAM, PF-00547,659, aimed to explore the safety and preliminary efficacy of this gut-specific mechanism in ulcerative colitis.

Methods In this randomised, double-blind placebo-controlled study, 80 patients with active ulcerative colitis received single or multiple (three doses, 4-week intervals) doses of PF-00547,659 0.03–10 mg/kg IV/SC, or placebo. Safety was assessed by adverse events, laboratory tests, and immunogenicity. Exploratory efficacy analyses were based on Mayo score and laboratory tests, and immunogenicity. Exploratory efficacy analyses were based on Mayo score and laboratory tests, and immunogenicity. Exploratory efficacy analyses were based on Mayo score and laboratory tests, and immunogenicity.

Results No obvious drug-related side effects were observed in the PF-00547,659 group, while patient numbers, especially those fully exposed, were small. Overall responder/remission rates at 4 and 12 weeks were 52%/13% and 42%/22%, respectively with combined PF-00547,659 doses compared with 32%/11% and 21%/0%, respectively with placebo. Equivalent endoscopic responder rates were 50% and 42% versus 26% and 29%, respectively. Faecal calprotectin levels decreased to a greater extent with PF-00547,659 than placebo (<43% and 29%, respectively). Despite variability, there was a trend for an increase in α4β7+ lymphocytes in patients receiving PF-00547,659.

Conclusions The favourable short-term safety profile and preliminary efficacy findings for PF-00547,659 in this first-in-human study pave the way for further investigation in larger trials, to establish the role of PF-00547,659 in ulcerative colitis treatment.

Trial Register No: NCT00928681.

INTRODUCTION

Biological therapies have an established role in the management of moderate-to-severe inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) that is refractory to conventional treatments. Currently, the only biological treatments for IBD which are licensed worldwide are tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) (infliximab, adalimumab and certolizumab pegol for Crohn’s disease, and infliximab for ulcerative colitis). While anti-TNF agents provide a significant advance in the treatment of refractory IBD, with short-term clinical response rates of approximately 60–70% in ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease, primary and secondary treatment failure remain a problem for many patients.1–4 Furthermore, there are safety concerns with anti-TNF agents, including susceptibility to intracellular opportunistic infections...
such as tuberculosis, and the potential risk of lymphoma and other malignancies.6 Therefore, a need remains for efficacious drugs with good safety profiles, to improve patient outcomes in the debilitating conditions of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.

Homing of leucocytes to the gut mucosa is a promising target for therapeutic intervention in IBD, shown by studies with the $\alpha_4\beta_7$-integrin antibody natalizumab in Crohn’s disease2–4 and ulcerative colitis.10 However, the use of natalizumab is limited by well-publicised concerns over the risk of progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy (PML),11–13 an opportunistic infection of the central nervous system (CNS) by the polyomavirus JC virus.14 Susceptibility to PML may arise as a result of disruption of immune surveillance in the CNS, which may be mediated in part by homing of $\alpha_4\beta_7$-positive leucocytes to vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM).15–18 The effects of natalizumab in the gut likely involve—among other mechanisms (including inhibition of $\alpha_4\beta_1$-integrin)—another population of cells expressing $\alpha_4\beta_7$-positive ($\alpha_4\beta_7^+$) leucocytes, which bind mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule (MAdCAM).19–21

MAdCAM is expressed predominantly on vascular endothelium in the intestinal lamina propria.22 Animal studies confirm the importance of MAdCAM in gut inflammation in colitis,23–28 and suggest that VCAM has a comparatively minor role.26–28 Selectively targeting the $\alpha_4\beta_7$/MAdCAM pathway, to attenuate leucocyte trafficking in the gut without disrupting VCAM-mediated leucocyte homing in other organs, would be expected to confer similar efficacy in IBD to that seen with a less selective anti-leucocyte trafficking mechanism, but with potential safety benefits due to the more localised effect. The concept of selectively targeting the $\alpha_4\beta_7$/MAdCAM pathway for IBD treatment is supported by animal studies,23 26 and initial clinical results with vedolizumab (MLN0002), a humanised monoclonal antibody for $\alpha_4\beta_7$-integrin.30 51

While natalizumab and vedolizumab target integrins, blockade of MAdCAM itself is a gut-specific therapeutic mechanism for IBD. Importantly, for reducing the risk of CNS infections such as PML, immunohistochemistry studies confirm an absence of MAdCAM expression in the human brain.52

PF-00547,659 is a highly specific, fully human anti-MAdCAM IgG2 antibody.53 We report the first study of PF-00547,659 in humans, in patients with active ulcerative colitis (NCT00928681, http://ClinicalTrials.gov/). The primary objective was to investigate the safety and tolerability of single and multiple doses of PF-00547,659. We also performed exploratory efficacy assessments based on clinical and endoscopic indices of ulcerative colitis activity, and explored effects on number of circulating CD4+ $\alpha_4\beta_7^+$ lymphocytes (a mechanistic biomarker), faecal calprotectin (a biomarker for disease activity), and plasma high-sensitivity C reactive protein (hsCRP; a biomarker for inflammation).

**METHODS**

**Study design, patients and setting**

This was a randomised, double-blind (sponsor-open), placebo-controlled, dose-escalating, parallel-group study. Patients were assigned to one of six single-dose or five multiple-dose cohorts, and within each cohort were randomised to receive placebo or PF-00547,659. Randomisation was conducted using a sequential numbering system based on the order of patient enrolment.

In the single-dose study phase, patients received single intravenous (IV) infusion of PF-00547,659 at doses of 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1.0 or 10 mg/kg or a single subcutaneous (SC) injection of PF-00547,659 at dose of 3.0 mg/kg, or matching placebo. Patients in the multiple-dose phase received three doses, 4 weeks apart, of PF-00547,659 at doses of 0.1, 0.3 or 3.0 mg/kg IV or 0.3 or 1.0 mg/kg SC, or placebo (figure 1). PF-00547659 was presented as a sterile, isotonic solution containing mannitol, Polysorbate and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (pH 5.5). Sodium chloride solution 0.9% w/v RP was used as the placebo.

Follow-up continued for 12 weeks in the single-dose phase and 16 weeks in the multiple-dose phase. If PF-00547,659 remained detectable in blood plasma at the last clinic visit, follow-up continued at 4-week intervals until drug concentrations fell below the detection limit of 20 ng/ml. To maintain blinding, some patients on placebo were followed up over an extended period.

**Inclusion criteria**

Male and female patients aged 18–70 years, with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of ulcerative colitis for at least 5 months prior to study entry, were enrolled. Patients were required to have active ulcerative colitis (total Mayo score ≥6, endoscopic subscore ≥2), despite being on stable doses of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) or sulfasalazine for 3 weeks; or azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine for 3 months, which were to be continued throughout the study; or oral steroids (up to 40 mg/day prednisolone or equivalent) for 2 weeks, which could be tapered at the investigator’s discretion.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients were excluded from the study if they had ulcerative colitis confined to proctitis; fulminant colitis; history of malignancy neoplasia; renal or hepatic impairment; significant cardiovascular disease in the previous 12 months; hepatitis B, hepatitis C or HIV infection; evidence of pathogenic infection in faecal culture; history of steroid dependence (determined at the investigator’s discretion); or allergic disease or sensitivity to heparin.

Patients were also excluded if they had undergone surgery for ulcerative colitis or were likely to require surgery during the study, or if they had used methotrexate, mycophenolate, cyclosporine or biological therapy within 3 months prior to study dosing, interferon or leucocyte apheresis within 12 months, intravenous steroids within 1 month, any rectally administered treatment within 1 week, or had previously used natalizumab or PF-00547,659. Women were excluded if they were pregnant or lactating.

**Ethics**

The research protocol was approved by the appropriate institutional review board or independent ethics committee for each study site. All participants gave written informed consent.

**Study procedures**

**Safety evaluations**

All adverse events (AEs) and the likely relationship to study treatment were recorded. Additional safety evaluations included laboratory tests, vital signs, and 12-lead ECG. To assess whether PF-00547,659 induced an immunogenic response, antidrug antibodies were measured in serum from blood samples taken predose and every 4 weeks up to week 12.

In the evaluation of efficacy and biomarker data, week 4 of the single-dose cohorts and predose week 4 of the multiple-dose cohorts were combined in the week 4 timepoint assessment.
Efficacy evaluations: Mayo scores

Mayo scores were derived at week 4 and week 12 from disease diaries completed by patients throughout the study (stool frequency and rectal bleeding components), endoscopic examination by flexible sigmoidoscopy, and the physician’s global assessment. Mayo scores were summarised as responder rates (proportion of patients with \$3\text{-point reduction and }30\%\text{ improvement in total Mayo score, and }
\$1\text{-point decrease in rectal bleeding subscore or absolute rectal bleeding score of 0 or 1) and remission rates (proportion of patients with total Mayo score }\leq 2\text{ points with no individual subscore exceeding 1 point). Endoscopic response was defined as }\geq 1\text{-point improvement in endoscopic subscore, and endoscopic remission as endoscopic subscore of 0 or 1. The proportion of patients with complete mucosal healing (endoscopic subscore of 0) was also quantified.}

Measurement of biomarkers

Feces and blood samples were collected predose and at intervals during 12–16 weeks’ follow up, for measurement of faecal calprotectin, hsCRP, and \(\alpha_4\beta_7\)-expressing lymphocytes. Fecal calprotectin was quantified by ELISA (ELISA, Calpro AS, Oslo, Norway), while plasma hsCRP was quantified using a standard in vitro diagnostic assay (Tina-Quant, Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Lymphocyte sub-populations expressing \(\alpha_4\beta_7\)-integrin were determined in whole blood by 4-color flow cytometry using anti-\(\alpha_4\) and anti-\(\beta_7\) antibodies (FACSCalibur and antibodies, Becton Dickinson, New Jersey, USA) and analysed with FloJo software (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, Oregon, USA).

Statistical methods

Sample sizes for this first-in-human study were selected to balance the need to minimise exposure of patients to PF-00547,659 with the need to provide adequate safety and tolerability information (primary outcome measure). The study was not powered to detect statistically significant differences in clinical/endoscopic response or remission rates, and biomarkers (secondary outcome measures).

Clinical response and remission rates were calculated for each PF-00547,659 dose strength (IV and SC routes combined) at weeks 4 and 12 to explore the dose–response relationship. Clinical response and remission rates were also calculated for the combined group of all PF-00547,659-treated patients, as were endoscopic response and remission rates. Only patients in the multiple-dose cohort were included in the week 12 analyses. Clinical and endoscopic response and remission rates were compared between PF-00547,659 (all dosages combined) and placebo groups, at weeks 4 and 12, using Fisher’s exact test with a one-sided 5% significance level (SAS; Release 8.02, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All patients who were randomised and received at least one dose of study treatment were included in efficacy analyses; patients who discontinued due to AEs or lack of efficacy were considered treatment failures and classed as non-responders.

Changes from baseline in natural log-transformed faecal calprotectin and plasma hsCRP levels were compared between PF-00547,659 and placebo at weeks 4 and 12, using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) model that allowed for variation in baseline levels. These results were back-transformed to give point estimates of the percentage changes and associated 95% CI. Change from baseline in CD4\(^+\) \(\alpha_4\beta_7^+\) and CD4\(^+\) \(\alpha_4\beta_7^-\) lymphocytes was summarised using descriptive statistics (mean, SD) for patients receiving each PF-00547,659 dose strength and placebo, at weeks 4 and 12. For the CD4\(^+\) \(\alpha_4\beta_7^+\) and CD4\(^+\) \(\alpha_4\beta_7^-\) lymphocyte analyses, PF-00547,659-treated patients were also subdivided into high-dose (1–10 mg/kg) and low-dose (0.03–0.3 mg/kg) groups.
RESULTS
Patient demographics and disposition
Patients were enrolled at 17 sites in Europe from September 2005 to September 2008. In total, 80 patients were assigned to treatment following screening: 30 to single-dose cohorts; 50 to multiple-dose cohorts (figure 1). Twenty-one patients (70%) completed the single-dose study phase and nine (30%) discontinued: five due to lack of efficacy and four due to AEs not considered to be related to study drug. Thirty patients (60%) completed the multiple-dose phase of the study and 20 (40%) discontinued: 17 due to lack of efficacy and three due to AEs; one patient’s AEs were considered to be related to the study drug (general physical health deterioration, frequent bowel movements and abnormal laboratory tests, on PF-00547,659 1 mg/kg SC). The majority of patients were white males (possibly due to entry criteria excluding women of childbearing potential at the start of the study; this was later amended due to low recruitment to allow also females of childbearing potential with adequate contraception), with similar distributions of demographic and baseline clinical characteristics across placebo and active treatment groups (table 1).

Safety and tolerability
AEs were reported by similar proportions of patients on PF-00547,659 and placebo. The most common AEs affected the gastrointestinal system, including abdominal pain or tenderness; mild or moderate in intensity. Few AEs affecting the central nervous system (CNS) (mostly dizziness) were reported, with the majority of cases not considered to be treatment related.

There were no deaths during the study. Three patients reported nine serious AEs (SAEs) within the 16-week study (one patient in each of the placebo, 5 mg/kg IV and 1 mg/kg SC multiple-dose groups). Only the patient receiving PF-00547,659 1 mg/kg SC had SAEs that were investigator-assessed as potentially related to treatment: rectal haemorrhage, general physical health deterioration, joint (ankle) abscess, wound infection (abdominal wall wound from proctocolectomy undertaken upon worsening of ulcerative colitis), and abnormal laboratory tests (elevated leucocyte count; low haemoglobin); this patient discontinued the study. SAEs were recorded for six more patients at follow-up visits after study end; these AEs were considered to be related to underlying ulcerative colitis or other illnesses. Laboratory test abnormalities did not suggest any treatment- or dose-related patterns in changes in any parameter.

There was no evidence of an immunogenic response to the drug as no anti-drug antibodies were detected in the presence of the drug, or 1 month after the last injection, and no injection site reactions were observed. Measurements at later time points after complete disappearance of the drug would be needed to determine clearance.

Table 1  Demographic and baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Placebo (single and multiple dose cohorts) (N=20)</th>
<th>PF-00547,659 (all active treatment groups) (N=60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17 (85%)</td>
<td>52 (87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>20 (100%)</td>
<td>58 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>47.9 (14.8)</td>
<td>45.1 (13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg), mean (SD)</td>
<td>83.7 (13.1)</td>
<td>80.2 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years), median (range)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.3–23.5)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.6–41.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior drug treatment for ulcerative colitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalazine</td>
<td>17 (85%)</td>
<td>47 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>22 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant drug treatment for ulcerative colitis during the study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalazine/prodrugs*</td>
<td>18 (90%)</td>
<td>50 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td>19 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercaptopurine</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids†</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td>30 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline total Mayo score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>7.5 (7.0–8.5)</td>
<td>8.5 (7.0–9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline faecal calprotectin (mg/kg), geometric mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>N=20</td>
<td>N=57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>733 (340 to 1578)</td>
<td>629 (425 to 932)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline hsCRP (mg/dl), mean (SD)</td>
<td>N=19</td>
<td>N=58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3 (11.2)</td>
<td>10.8 (21.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the study commenced all women of childbearing potential were excluded; this criterion was subsequently relaxed to increase enrolment of younger women and make the study population more representative of patients with ulcerative colitis seen in the clinic, providing women of childbearing potential used at least two contraceptive methods.

*Predominantly mesalazine; also includes sulfasalazine, olsalazine.
†Includes prednisolone, methylprednisolone, prednisone, beclometasone, betamethasone, cortisol acetate, hydrocortisone.
hsCRP, high-sensitivity C reactive protein; IQR, interquartile range.
### Table 2 Adverse events occurring in ≥2 patients in placebo or PF-00547,659 combined groups (single- and multiple-dose study phases combined)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System organ class/preferred term</th>
<th>Placebo (N=20)</th>
<th>PF-00547,659 (N=60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any adverse event</td>
<td>75 (20)</td>
<td>82 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe adverse events</td>
<td>10 (0)</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
<td>30 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain*</td>
<td>25 (0)</td>
<td>20 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsening of ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>15 (0)</td>
<td>13 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>10 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza/influenza-like illness</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>10 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenteritis/enteritis</td>
<td>5 (0)</td>
<td>8 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia†</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory tract infection†</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>10 (0)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>5 (0)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral oedema</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>15 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>5 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erthema</td>
<td>5 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia (initial)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eczema</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot flush</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain (not otherwise specified)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes preferred terms abdominal pain, upper abdominal pain and lower abdominal pain.
†Includes preferred terms respiratory tract infection and upper respiratory tract infection.

The reduction in mean faecal calprotectin from baseline was significantly higher with PF-00547,659 than with placebo at week 4 (63% vs 18%, respectively) and of borderline significance at week 12 (73% vs 54%, respectively) (figure 4A). At the individual patient level, there was a trend for a high proportion of patients in the PF-00547,659 group to have reductions in faecal calprotectin from baseline to week 4 or week 12 (data not shown). In the placebo group, individual patient responses were highly variable and there was no clear trend for a reduction in faecal calprotectin from baseline at either timepoint.

Clinically meaningful changes in plasma hsCRP could not be detected as baseline levels were close to normal values (table 1). Individual changes in plasma hsCRP from baseline with both PF-00547,659 and placebo were also highly variable, with no significant differences in mean values between the two treatments at week 4 (−14% vs −28%, respectively) or 12 (−57% vs 7%, respectively).

There was a trend for an increase from baseline in the number of circulating CD4+ αβ7+ lymphocytes in patients receiving PF-00547,659, but not in patients receiving placebo (table 5); however, this was not statistically significant due to a large inter-subject variability. The effect was sustained at 4 weeks in both the high-dose (1–10 mg/kg) and low-dose (0.03–0.3 mg/kg) PF-00547,659 groups (figure 4B). The mean number of CD4+ αβ7− cells in the high- and low-dose PF-00547,659 groups, and the placebo group, remained close to baseline values throughout the study (figure 4C). For both cell types, individual responses were subject to considerable variation.

### DISCUSSION

Blocking MAcCAM is a rational mechanism of action for therapeutic intervention in IBD. MAcCAM represents an organ-specific target, as expression is restricted mainly to gastrointestinal tract mucosa. Blocking MAcCAM in the brain microvasculature suggests that drugs acting on MAcCAM would not impact the body’s ability to respond to CNS infections such as PML.

Indeed, this first-in-human trial of PF-00547,659 had a relatively low incidence of non-gastrointestinal AEs. Gastrointestinal AEs were common in both PF-00547,659 and placebo groups, owing to the disease under study, and most AEs were not considered to be treatment related. The majority of SAEs occurred after follow-up and were related to underlying disease rather than study drug. While nine patients (30%) discontinued the single-dose phase and 20 (40%) discontinued the multiple-dose phase of the study, only seven withdrew due to AEs. Moreover, only one of these patients’ AEs were considered to be related to the study drug. Overall, PF-00547,659 had an AE profile similar to placebo, and no safety concerns emerged in laboratory tests or other safety monitoring.

Biological therapy is often associated with immunogenic reactions, development of antidrug antibodies, which diminish treatment response and can lead to infusion/injection site reactions. PF-00547,659 is a fully human monoclonal antibody developed using Abgenix XenoMouse technology (Amgen, Thousand Oaks, California, USA), a platform that has yielded antibodies with low intrinsic immunogenicity. In this study, no antidrug antibodies were detected during treatment up to week 12 for all patients, and no injection site reactions were observed. Longer-term studies, involving a much larger number of patients, are required to confirm a lack of immunogenicity with...
prolonged treatment (administered at clinically relevant doses) and assess other aspects of long-term safety, including risk of opportunistic infections, which only tend to become evident with extended treatment. Biological agents for IBD are likely to be subject to scrutiny in this regard following cases of PML with long-term natalizumab therapy. Up to 80% of adults carry the JC virus in a latent form, after being exposed during childhood. The virus resides in the kidneys, bone marrow and the gastrointestinal tract and re-activation, most likely via the haematogenic route to the brain, causes PML. It is not clear if defective homing of cytotoxic T cells to the brain or the appearance of more virulent JC virus in the brain contribute to the risk of PML as seen after natalizumab therapy.

This study investigated the preliminary efficacy of PF-00547,659 in patients whose ulcerative colitis is not controlled with conventional therapy and found that, within small sample size limitations, PF-00547,659 produced some potential benefits over placebo on clinical and endoscopic endpoints. However, no statistical differences between PF-00547,659 and placebo were reported for any efficacy endpoints. Overall Mayo responder rates were approximately 40–50% with PF-00547,659. No clear dose–response relationship was observed with PF-00547,659,
Although small groups (most doses administered to four patients) and limited sensitivity of the clinical and endoscopic endpoints confounded full exploration of dose–response relationships within this initial study. The high discontinuation rate due to lack of efficacy (22/80) was not surprising for a patient population with a fairly extensive, multidrug treatment history and advanced disease that was not adequately controlled with conventional medications.

Results of biomarker assays supported the clinical findings of this study. Levels of faecal calprotectin, which reflects disease activity and is a good predictor of disease course in ulcerative colitis,35–37 declined in patients treated with PF-00547,659, and were significantly reduced with PF-00547,659 relative to placebo at week 4. An increase in the number of CD4+ α4β7+ lymphocytes following PF-00547,659 administration, with no change in CD4+ α4β7− cell numbers, was consistent with MAdCAM blockade, and reflects the expected pharmacology that has been reported in primate studies with PF-00547,659.33 It should be noted, nonetheless, that individual lymphocyte responses were subject to huge variation. Lack of change in α4β7− cell numbers provided validation for the sensitivity of the assay to any potential drug-related effects. As mean hsCRP levels were close to normal levels at baseline and post-treatment changes were subject to considerable variation, hsCRP was not considered a useful biomarker for ulcerative colitis inflammation in this study.

This first-in-human study was conducted in patients with ulcerative colitis, but the drug’s mechanism, blocking MAdCAM to attenuate lymphocyte migration to the gut mucosa, would also be expected to be effective in Crohn’s disease. The next steps in development of the compound for either indication should include fully powered dose-ranging studies, this time applying an even gender distribution (which was skewed in the

---

**Table 3** Mean change in CD4+ α4β7-positive lymphocytes from baseline in whole blood following dosing with PF-00547,659 or placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>PF-00547,659</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>% change, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.7 (20.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12†</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.4 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Combined data for single- and multiple-dose cohorts following the first dose.
†Multiple-dose cohorts only.
present study due to the initial exclusion of women of childbearing potential. Dose selection for such studies can be guided by pharmacokinetic profiles, which indicate that MADCAM becomes fully saturated at PF-00547,659 doses of 1 mg/kg or higher,38 and levels of CD4+ CD235a+ lymphocytes, which showed some differentiation of dose effect. Longer-term studies are needed, to assess efficacy in maintenance as well as induction of remission, and to confirm the apparently positive safety profile observed in this study.

In conclusion, the favourable short-term (typically 12–16-week) safety profile and preliminary efficacy results observed with the MADCAM antibody PF-00547,659 in this first-in-human study pave the way for a further longer-term investigation of clinically relevant doses in larger numbers of patients, as will be necessary to establish the potential role of PF-00547,659 in the treatment of IBD.
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