Enhanced expression of BMP6 inhibits hepatic fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
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ABSTRACT
Objective Bone morphogenetic protein 6 (BMP6) has been identified as crucial regulator of iron homeostasis. However, its further role in liver pathology including non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and its advanced form non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is elusive. The aim of this study was to investigate the expression and function of BMP6 in chronic liver disease.

Design BMP6 was analysed in hepatic samples from murine models of chronic liver injury and patients with chronic liver diseases. Furthermore, a tissue microarray comprising 110 human liver tissues with different degree of steatosis and inflammation was assessed. BMP6-deficient (BMP6−/−) and wild-type mice were compared in two dietary NASH-models, that is, methionine choline-deficient (MCD) and high-fat (HF) diets.

Results BMP6 was solely upregulated in NAFLD but not in other murine liver injury models or diseased human livers. In NAFLD, BMP6 expression correlated with hepatic steatosis but not with inflammation or hepaticocellular damage. Also, in vitro cellular lipid accumulation in primary human hepatocytes induced increased BMP6 expression. MCD and HF diets caused more hepatic inflammation and fibrosis in BMP6−/− compared with wild-type mice. However, only in the MCD and not in the HF diet model BMP6−/− mice developed marked hepatic iron overload, suggesting that further mechanisms are responsible for protective BMP6 effect. In vitro analysis revealed that recombinant BMP6 inhibited the activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and reduced proinflammatory and profibrogenic gene expression in already activated HSCs.

Conclusions Steatosis-induced upregulation of BMP6 in NAFLD is hepatoprotective. Induction of BMP6-signalling may be a promising antifibrogenic strategy.

INTRODUCTION
Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are multifunctional growth factors belonging to the transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) superfamily. The BMP family consists of more than 30 members. In humans, 19 BMP members are under the designation of BMPs, and according to their gene homology, protein structure and functions, they are further subdivided into at least four subgroups: BMP2/4, BMP5/6/7/8a/8b, BMP9/10 and BMP12/13/14.1

Although BMPs were initially identified as osteogenic factors present in demineralised bone capable of inducing ectopic bone formation, it is now evident that BMPs perform several other functions during embryonic development as well as in adult tissue regeneration and homeostasis. More recently, a role for BMPs in different human diseases has started being revealed. Similar to other TGF-β family members, such as TGF-β1, both tumour suppressor and tumour promoter activities have been described for BMPs, depending on various factors such as the dose and the type of the target cell.2

We found enhanced levels of several BMPs (BMP4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 15) in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) compared with normal liver tissues3 and demonstrated that general BMP inhibition decreased the invasive phenotype of HCC cells in vitro. In line with this, we confirmed enhanced BMP4 expression and its correlation with aggressive tumour growth in human HCC tissues.4 Most recently, Li et al5 identified that enhanced...
BMP9 expression induces epithelial to mesenchymal transition and herewith invasiveness of HCC cells in vitro. Besides HCC, Nakatsuka et al.7 reported transient expression of BMP2 and BMP4 in acute liver injury. The same group demonstrated the critical role of BMP signalling in the wound healing response in acute liver injury using mice with conditional knockout of Bmpr1a, a critical receptor for BMP2 and BMP4 signal transduction.7

In chronic liver disease, TGF-β is well known to play a central role in liver fibrosis, but surprisingly little is known about the role of Bmps. Present studies focused on BMP7 and here, the BMP signalling role in liver fibrosis appears controversial.4 Hepatic BMP7 expression is upregulated in adults with cirrhosis and in children with biliary atresia, and elevated BMP7 concentration in the serum was concomitant with the progression of liver fibrosis.9 10 Contrary, administration of BMP7 inhibits progression of fibrosis in experimental models in mice and rats.11 12

BMP6 belongs to the same Bmp subfamily as BMP5 and BMP7. Several studies demonstrated that BMP6 plays a central role in the control of iron homeostasis. Andriopoulos et al.13 and Meynard et al.14 identified BMP6 as an important endogenous regulator of the expression of hepcidin, a protein that is mainly expressed in hepatocytes and controls the iron entry into the plasma. Both groups demonstrated that BMP6-deficient mice develop massive iron overload, and in a previous study we added essential and complementary information to these two reports.15 Most importantly, we discovered that BMP6 is produced upon iron exposure predominantly in the small intestine.13 Still, BMP6 is also expressed in liver cells,16 and functional studies suggest a role for hepatocyte-derived BMP6 in the control of hepcidin expression levels.17 Notwithstanding these significant observations, the role of BMP6 in liver pathology remained elusive.

The aim of this study was to study the expression and function of BMP6 in chronic liver diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and cell culture

Primary murine and human hepatocytes, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and Kupffer cells were isolated and cultured as described.18 19 In vitro activation of HSCs was achieved by cell resection and stored at 80°C, had been available.20 21 All cases were reviewed by a surgical pathologist (AH). A modified histological non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)/non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) score according to the recommendations of the Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network was used.22

In the control of hepcidin expression levels.17 Notwithstanding functional studies suggest a role for hepatocyte-derived BMP6 (10, 50, 100 or 200 ng/mL) as indicated or with tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α, 10 ng/mL) (both from R&D Systems, Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany). Furthermore, cellular lipid accumulation in human and murine hepatocytes was induced by incubation with free fatty acids applying an in vitro model that we have recently described.20

Human tissues and tissue microarray

A tissue microarray (TMA) was constructed out of 110 paraffin-embedded human hepatic tissue specimens with different degree of steatosis and inflammation.21 All cases were reviewed by a surgical pathologist (AH). A modified histological non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)/non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) score according to the recommendations of the Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network was used.22

In addition to formalin-fixed liver tissues for TMA construction, liver specimens of patients with NAFLD (n=12) and patients with cirrhosis (n=15), and normal human liver tissues (n=8), which had been immediately snap frozen after surgical resection and stored at –80°C, had been available.

Human liver tissues were obtained and experimental procedures were performed according to the guidelines of the charitable state controlled foundation Human Tissue and Cell Research (HTCR), with the informed patients’ consent, and the study was approved by the local ethics committee of the University Regensburg.

Mice and murine models of hepatic steatosis, inflammation and fibrosis

129Sv/Ev wild-type (wt) (BMP6+/+) and BMP6−/− mice on 129Sv/Ev inbred background were obtained from the Robertson laboratory (Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA).23 Male wt and BMP6−/− were exposed to two different dietary NASH models (n=5–6 per group): (i) feeding with a methionine choline-deficient (MCD) diet for 5 weeks and (ii) feeding with a high-fat (HF) diet containing 30% lard, 1.25% cholesterol and 0.5% sodium cholate for 12 weeks.24 Control animals were fed for 5 and 12 weeks, respectively, with standard chow. All diets were obtained from Sniff (Soest, Germany). All animals were 8 weeks of age at the start of the study and were maintained under specific pathogen-free and controlled conditions (22°C, 55% humidity and 12 h day/night rhythm) and received human care in compliance with the guidelines outlined in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. After the indicated time periods, animals were sacrificed and liver tissues were immediately snap-frozen and stored at –80°C or were formalin-fixed for subsequent analysis. Furthermore, BMP6+/+ and BMP6−/− mice were subjected to a model of carbon tetrachloride (CCL4)-induced liver injury as described.25 In addition, we analysed liver tissues from wt mice that have been subjected to bile duct ligation (BDL) or sham operation.25 26

(Immunohistochemical analysis

For immunohistochemistry (IH) and HE staining, standard 5 µm sections of formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were used. Immunohistochemical staining was performed using the following antibodies: anti-BMP6 (S-20) sc-27408 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and anti-CD3 C7930 (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) or anti-BMP6 (55-9000) (Upstate, LakePlacid, NY, USA) or anti-α-SMA (ab5694 from Abcam, Cambridge, UK; 1:1000) or β-actin (MAB1501 from Merck Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA; 1:500).

Protein extraction and western blot analysis were performed as described applying antibodies against α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (ab5694 from Abcam, Cambridge, UK; 1:1000) or β-actin (MAB1501 from Merck Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA; 1:500).

Quantification of cytokine and chemokine levels

TNF and MCP-1 levels in the supernatant of cells were measured by ELISA analysis using the TNF and MCP-1 DuoSet ELISA Development kits from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis
Isolation of total cellular RNA from cultured cells and liver tissues and reverse transcription were performed as described.15 Quantitative real-time PCR was done with specific sets of primers (see online supplementary table) applying LightCycler technology as described.14 All other mRNA expression analyses were performed using QuantiTect Primer Assays according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The amplification of cDNA derived from murine 18S RNA (for: 5’ AAA CGG CTA CCA CAT CCA AG; rev: 5’ CCT CCA ATG GAT CCT CGT TA) was used for normalisation.

Quantification of hepatic iron and triglyceride content
Hepatic lipids were extracted using the method of Bligh and Dyer with slight modifications.20 Hepatic triglyceride levels were quantified using the GPO-triglyceride kit (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany) as described.20 Hepatic iron concentration was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry as described.10

Statistical analyses
Results are expressed as mean±SE (range) or per cent. Comparison between groups was made using the Student’s unpaired t test. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Contingency table analysis and the two-sided Fisher’s exact test were used to study the statistical association between clinicopathological and immunohistochemical variables. All calculations were performed by using the GraphPad Prism Software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, USA) or SPSS (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS
BMP6 expression in NAFLD
First, we analysed hepatic BMP6 expression in different murine models of chronic liver injury. After 2 weeks of BDL as well as after 6 weeks of repeated carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) application, BMP6 expression was not significantly altered compared with sham-treated mice (figure 1A). In contrast, after feeding a NASH-inducing HF diet or a NASH-inducing MCD diet, BMP6 expression was significantly increased compared with mice fed with control chow (ctr.) (figure 1B). Also, in liver tissues of 12 patients with NAFLD, BMP6 mRNA expression was significantly increased while in 15 cirrhotic human liver tissues from patients with alcoholic liver disease and chronic viral hepatitis B or C infection BMP6 expression was similar to normal human liver tissues (n=8) (figure 1C).

Figure 1  BMP6 expression in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). (A) Hepatic mRNA expression of BMP6 in different murine models of chronic liver injury. (B) Hepatic mRNA expression of BMP6 in different murine models of NAFLD. (C) BMP6 mRNA expression in human liver specimens with different diagnostic findings. (D) Representative images of immunohistochemical staining for BMP6 of normal human liver tissue (ctr.) and liver tissues from NAFLD and cirrhotic (Ci) human livers. (E) BMP6 mRNA expression in hepatocytes in an in vitro model of cellular lipid accumulation (*p<0.05).
Immunohistochemical analysis of BMP6 protein expression revealed hepatocytes as cellular source of hepatic BMP6 expression in human NAFLD livers with a distinct cytoplasmic staining pattern (figure 1D). Next, we applied IH and TMA technology to assess BMP6 expression in human liver tissues with different degree of steatosis and inflammation.21 IH was informative in 110 cases. For descriptive data analysis, livers were separated into tissues with positive and negative BMP6 immunosignal (representative examples are depicted in online supplementary figure S1). BMP6 immunoreactivity significantly correlated with the degree of hepatic steatosis, while no association was found with hepatic inflammation, ballooning of hepatocytes or patients’ age and sex (table 1). Also, in an in vitro model of cellular lipid accumulation,20 we observed a significant induction of BMP6 expression in primary human and murine hepatocytes (figure 1E and see online supplementary figure S2A). In contrast, stimulation with TNF-α, a proinflammatory cytokine that plays a pivotal role in NAFLD development and progression, did not affect BMP6 expression in primary human hepatocytes (PHH) (see online supplementary figure S2B). Fitting to this finding, there was no correlation between TNF-α and BMP6 expression in human NAFLD tissues (see online supplementary figure S2C). In addition to BMP6, we found also increased BMP2 and BMP4 in both NAFLD models (see online supplementary figure S3A-D) but not in the in vitro model of hepato-cellular steatosis (see online supplementary figure S3E,F). As described in the introduction section, expression of BMP2 and BMP4 has been reported in acute liver injury.5 Furthermore, BMP2, but not BMP4 or BMP6, expression was found to be significantly elevated in a model of chronic alcohol feeding in mice.5 Together, these data indicate that increased hepatic BMP6 expression in NAFLD results from hepatocellular lipid accumulation independent of liver inflammation and injury.

### Table 1 BMP6 immunoreactivity (IR) in liver tissues of 110 patients with NAFLD and controls in relation to clinicopathological characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Categorisation</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI classf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steatosis&lt;5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–33%</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34–66%</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥67–100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballooning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological iron deposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fisher’s exact test (two-sided); bold value representing p value <0.05.
†Class 0: <25 kg/m²; class 1: ≥25.0 and <29.9 kg/m²; class 2: ≥29.9 and ≤34.9 kg/m²; class 3: ≥35.0 kg/m².
* n.a., not available.

### Functional role of BMP6 in NAFLD

To get insight into the function of enhanced BMP6 expression in NAFLD, we compared BMP6-deficient (BMP6−/−) mice23 and wt mice in two different well-established dietary NASH models.32

First, we fed BMP6−/− and wt mice with a MCD diet or control chow (ctr.) for 5 weeks. MCD-diet caused similar mainly macroversicle hepatic steatosis in BMP6−/− and wt mice (figure 2A), and also hepatic triglyceride levels were similar in MCD-fed BMP6−/− and wt mice (figure 2B). Also, the number of apoptotic cells in the liver and serum transaminase levels did not significantly differ between BMP6−/− and wt mice fed with the MCD diet (figure 2C and see online supplementary figure S4A). However, BMP6−/− mice showed higher hepatic expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines (figure 2D and see online supplementary figure S4B–D) and immune cell infiltration (figure 2E) in response to MCD-feeding compared with wt mice. Furthermore, α-SMA, a well-established marker of HSC activation, was significantly higher expressed in the liver of MCD-fed BMP6−/− mice compared with MCD-fed wt mice (figure 2F). The activation of HSCs is one of the central pathophysiological mechanisms of liver fibrogenesis.33 34 Fitting to this, also hepatic expression of collagen α1(I) (Coll-I), the most abundant extracellular matrix (ECM) protein of fibrotic liver tissue, and TGF-β1, a strong profibrogenic cytokine, was significantly higher in MCD-fed BMP6−/− mice compared with MCD-fed wt mice (figure 2G and see online supplementary figure S4E). In line with this, sirius red/fast green staining revealed pronounced ECM deposition in the liver of MCD-fed BMP6−/− mice compared with wt mice (figure 2H).

As second model we applied a HF (30% lard) diet supplemented with cholesterol and cholate, which has been shown to induce pathological changes closely resembling human NASH.21 24 Feeding this HF diet for 12 weeks caused similar hepatocellular ballooning and mainly microvesicular steatosis in liver of BMP6−/− and wt mice (figure 3A). Also, hepatic triglyceride levels, number of apoptotic cells in the liver and serum transaminases were similar in HF diet-fed BMP6−/− and wt mice (figure 3B, C and see online supplementary figure S3A). In contrast, proinflammatory gene expression and immune cell infiltration in response to feeding the HF diet was significantly higher in livers of BMP6−/− compared with wt mice (figure 3D, E and see online supplementary figure S3B–D). Within the feeding time of 12 weeks, the HF diet caused a slight induction of hepatic TGF-β1 and Coll-α(I) mRNA expression in wt mice (see online supplementary figure S3E and figure 3G), and histological analysis did not show significant ECM deposition in wt mice (figure 3H). In contrast, the HF diet induced a marked increase of hepatic α-SMA expression as well as evident hepatic fibrosis in BMP6−/− mice (figure 3F–H).
In summary, these results suggest that BMP6 protects against hepatic inflammation and fibrosis in mice fed with a NASH-inducing diet. To analyse whether this effect is only related to NAFLD induced injury, we also applied the model of toxic liver injury by CCl4 to BMP6−/− and wt mice. Also in this model, hepatic expression of proinflammatory and profibrogenic genes was higher in BMP6−/− mice compared with wt mice (see online supplementary figure S6). Although only differences in MCP-1 and α-SMA expression reached the level of significance, these data suggest that also in this model, BMP6 expression exhibits anti-inflammatory and antifibrogenic effects. Still, together with the missing induction of BMP6 upon liver injury not related to NAFLD (figure 1), the observed mild difference between BMP6−/− and wt mice in the CCl4 model suggests that the hepatoprotective effect of the BMP6 pathway mainly plays a role in fatty liver disease.

**Mechanisms underlying the hepatoprotective effect of BMP6 in NAFLD**

In search for the mechanisms by which BMP6 exhibits anti-inflammatory and antifibrogenic effects in NAFLD, we first looked at hepatic iron deposition since BMP6 is a critical regulator of hepcidin expression,13 14 and increasing data from experimental and clinical studies indicate that iron sustains disease activity and/or contributes to its progression in NAFLD.35 The MCD model is known to enhance hepatic iron uptake,36 and as shown in our previous study15 hepatic hepcidin expression was significantly lower (figure 4A) while hepatocellular iron deposition was markedly increased in BMP6−/− mice compared with wt mice after feeding the MCD diet.
In contrast, hepatic hepcidin expression was only slightly lower in BMP6−/− mice compared with wt control mice fed with the NASH-inducing HF diet (figure 4B) and this diet also did not lead to significant iron accumulation in BMP6−/− mice compared with wt control mice (figure 4C, D). These data indicate that at least in the HF diet model disruption of iron metabolism is not the cause for increased hepatic injury in BMP6−/− mice. Moreover, these findings further underscore that the increased BMP6 expression observed in wt livers after feeding the MCD or HF diets is caused by hepatic steatosis and is not or only partially caused by increased iron deposition. In line with this, Prussian blue staining of the human NAFLD-TMA revealed more often visible iron deposition in NAFLD (20/65; 30.8%) compared with non-steatotic liver tissues (7/45; 15.6%; p=0.07) (see online supplementary figure S7A). Representative examples of iron-positive and iron-negative liver tissues are depicted in online supplementary figure S7B. However, there was no correlation between iron deposition and immunohistochemical BMP6 detection (table 1). Moreover, feeding with NASH-inducing diets did not lead to significantly different hepatic expression levels of other BMPs, such as BMP2 or BMP4, which might be responsible for the observed differences between BMP6−/− and wt control mice (see online supplementary figure S8).

In further search for the BMP6 mechanisms of hepatic protection, we assessed the effects of BMP6 on Kupffer cells, the liver-resident macrophages. Kupffer cells initiate and perpetuate the inflammatory response by releasing inflammatory mediators that contribute to inflammatory cell recruitment and development of fibrosis in NAFLD.27 However, stimulation with recombinant BMP6 did not significantly affect MCP-1 and TNF-α.

Figure 3 Effect of BMP6 deficiency in mice fed with a non-alcoholic steatohepatitis-inducing high-fat (HF) diet. (A) HE staining of liver sections from wt mice (wt) and BMP6-deficient (BMP6−/−) mice after feeding a HF diet for 12 weeks. (B) Hepatic triglyceride levels in HF-fed BMP6−/− and wt mice. (C) Representative images of TUNEL staining of hepatic tissue from HF diet-fed BMP6−/− and wt mice (left panel). Numbers of TUNEL positive cells were counted in three different fields per mouse (right panel). (D) Hepatic mRNA expression of tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) in response to HF feeding compared with control animals (ctr.) fed with standard chow. (E) Analysis of hepatic immune cell infiltration by CD3 immunohistochemical staining of livers of HF diet-fed wt mice and BMP6−/− mice (left panel). Numbers of CD3 positive cells were counted in three different fields per mouse (right panel). (F) Analysis of hepatic α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) protein expression by western blot technique of liver cell lysates of control-fed and HF diet-fed BMP6−/− and wt mice. β-Actin was used as loading control. (G) Hepatic expression of collagen alpha I(1) (Coll-I) in response to HF diet feeding compared with control animals. (H) Representative images of sirius red/fast green staining of liver sections from wt and BMP6−/− mice after feeding HF diet (left panel). Quantification of sirius red-positive areas using image analysis (right panel) (*p<0.05).
secretion of primary murine Kupffer cells in vitro (see online supplementary figure S9). This suggests that Kupffer cells are not the primary target cells by which BMP6 exerts its disease-modulating and fibrosis-modulating effects.

Next, we analysed the effects of BMP6 on HSCs. First, we aimed to analyse the effect of BMP6 on the in vitro activation process of HSCs. Two days after isolation, primary human and murine HSCs were exposed to different BMP6 doses for 3 days.

Figure 4  Mechanisms underlying the hepatoprotective effect of BMP6 in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. (A) Hepatic hepcidin expression in methionine choline-deficient (MCD) diet-fed and (B) high-fat (HF) diet-fed BMP6−/− and wt mice. (C) Hepatic iron levels in control-fed, MCD diet-fed and HF diet-fed BMP6−/− and wt mice. (D) Prussian blue staining of liver sections from control-fed, MCD diet-fed and HF diet-fed BMP6−/− and wt mice. (E) Expression of α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) mRNA (upper panel) and protein (lower panel) in activated primary murine hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) after exposure to BMP6 in doses as indicated. (F) mRNA expression of collagen alpha I(1) (Coll-I) and MCP-1 in activated murine HSCs after BMP6 stimulation. (G) Analysis of mRNA expression of type I and (H) type II BMP-receptors in human HSCs in vitro at different time points after isolation (day 2, day 4, and day 10) (*p<0.05).
Quantitative RT-PCR analysis revealed that BMP6 stimulation dose-dependently reduced the expression α-SMA in murine and human HSCs (figure 4E and see online supplementary figure S10A). In contrast, in vitro activation of HSCs isolated from BMP6−/− and wt control mice did not show significant differences in α-SMA and Coll-I expression (see online supplementary figure S10B). Once they are activated, HSCs are characterised by excessive expression of profibrogenic genes and ECM proteins. Furthermore, activated HSCs significantly contribute to the initiation and perpetuation of hepatic inflammation by (de novo) expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Noteworthy, BMP6 stimulation of activated HSCs led to a reduced expression of Coll-I as well as the chemokine monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) in activated murine and human HSCs (figure 4F and see online supplementary figure S10C). Together, these results indicate that BMP6 inhibits the activation process of HSCs and proinflammatory and profibrogenic gene expression of already activated HSCs. Fitting to this was the expression pattern of type I (Alk2, Alk3, Alk6) and type II (BMPRII, ActRIIA) BMP receptors known to mediate BMP6 signal transduction during the in vitro activation course of human HSCs. Alk2, Alk6, BMPRII and ActRIIA expression was already detectable in quiescent HSCs (d2), and Alk2, Alk6 and ActRIIA further increased during HSC activation (d4, d10) (figure 4G, H). In contrast, no Alk3 expression was detectable in quiescent or activated HSCs (data not shown), which is in line with a previous study reporting that this type I receptor is not expressed in the liver.

DISCUSSION

The first aim of this study was to investigate the expression of BMP6 in chronic liver diseases. Analysis of hepatic BMP6 expression in experimental murine models and patient samples revealed an increase restricted to NAFLD but not in other chronic liver diseases. NAFLD is characterised by increased uptake and accumulation of lipids in hepatocytes. Simple hepatic steatosis may progress to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with hepatic inflammation and tissue damage.41 NAFLD is characterised by increased uptake and accumulation of lipids in hepatocytes. Simple hepatic steatosis may progress to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with hepatic inflammation and tissue damage.41 However, young BMP6−/− mice show no overt defects in the liver.23 It appears that BMP6 plays a minor role in hepatic development, or that its functions are covered in this context by functional redundancy from other BMPs. In contrast, compensatory mechanisms are apparently not sufficient to protect the adult animals during hepatic injury in NAFLD. This is notable as, for example, BMP6 and BMP7 share 87% amino acid identity and signal via the type I receptors activin-like kinase ALK2, ALK3 and ALK6.48 49 and activated HSCs express high levels of these three, and other BMP receptors and coreceptors (BMPRII, ActRIIA and Eng).10 50 51

The restricted increase of BMP6 in NAFLD but not in other liver diseases and the mild differences between BMP6−/− and wt mice in the toxic CCl4 model suggest the BMP6 pathway mainly plays a role in NAFLD and that therapeutic efforts should be mainly directed at this entity. Future studies have to unravel whether the antifibrogenic effect of BMP6 is restricted to NAFLD and to further investigate the therapeutic potential of BMP6 in (other) models of chronic liver injury. Certainly, caution will be necessary not only in the light of BMP6’s role in iron homeostasis. However, more detailed insight into BMP6 signalling in HSCs may allow the development of a tailored antifibrogenic therapeutic strategy for NAFLD and eventually also other chronic liver diseases.
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