Far out-of-equilibrium many-body quantum dynamics in isolated systems necessarily generate interferences beyond an Ehrenfest time scale, where quantum and classical expectation values diverge. Of great recent interest is the role these interferences play in the spreading of quantum information across the many degrees of freedom, i.e. scrambling. Ultracold atomic gases provide a promising setting to explore these phenomena. Theoretically speaking, the heavily-relied-upon truncated Wigner approximation leaves out these interferences. We develop a semiclassical theory which bridges classical and quantum concepts in many-body bosonic systems and properly incorporates such missing quantum effects. For mesoscopically populated Bose-Hubbard systems, it is shown that this theory captures post-Ehrenfest quantum interference phenomena very accurately, and contains relevant phase information to perform many-body spectroscopy with high precision.
theory can be constructed for the many-body case by generalizing the time-dependent semiclassical techniques developed in the one-body context [36].

The goal here is to develop this method, illustrate it with a Bose-Hubbard model, and to show that such an approach, both, quantitatively accounts for quantum many-body interference effects and qualitatively provides insight into the underlying interference mechanisms. Furthermore, the time-energy Fourier transform of the semiclassical dynamics provides detailed spectroscopic information of the many-body system. Ahead comparing the semiclassical predictions with those derived from the classical TWA clearly indicates the onset and presence of many-body quantum interferences post-Ehrenfest. The approach taken is based on the coherent propagation of a Lagrangian manifold (to be defined below) of classical trajectories, here mean-field solutions. It is used to identify saddle trajectories whose classical actions determine the appropriate phases, thereby lifting the time-dependent semiclassical approximation à la Maslov [36] to many-particle systems.

Consider Bose-Hubbard systems with tunable tunneling and interaction terms, respectively:

\[
\hat{H} = -J \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( a_j^\dagger a_{j+1} + h.c. \right) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{n}_j (\hat{n}_j - 1) \quad (1)
\]

where \( N \) is the number of sites arranged on a ring. \( U \) denotes the strength of the two-body interaction, which depends on the s-wave scattering length of the atomic species considered. \( J \) controls the tunneling amplitude, which depends on the well depth. Instead of evaluating the evolution of single-particle observables as typically done in methods such as t-DMRG [28, 30] or MPS [31], our focus is on a more involved observable, i.e. the evolution of initial states corresponding to coherent states. Indeed, because they begin maximally localized with minimum uncertainty, they correspond to the most classical states, and therefore provide an excellent way to investigate the onset of genuinely quantum effects. Moreover, they have already been shown to be experimentally relevant in cold-atom physics [19].

We consider a coherent state density wave denoted

\[
|\text{n}\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \exp \left( - \frac{|b_j|^2}{2} + b_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right) |0\rangle \quad (2)
\]

where each site \( j \) is loaded with a coherent state with mean number of particles \( n_j = |b_j|^2 \). Such a density wave would, e.g., read \( |n, 0, n, 0, \ldots, n, 0\rangle \), and its time auto-correlation function gives a convenient measure that very strongly exhibits the post-Ehrenfest many-body quantum interferences. It is denoted

\[
C(t) = |\mathcal{A}(t)|^2 \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}(t) = \langle \text{n} | \hat{U}(t) | \text{n} \rangle \quad (3)
\]

where \( \hat{U}(t) \) is the unitary time translation operator. Such phase-sensitive time auto-correlation functions may play an important role in splitting processes in the spirit of Ref. [37], where the subsequent recombination of the split atomic clouds depend on their relative phase. Their explicit experimental detection is within reach using sophisticated single-site atom counting techniques [38]. This is somewhat analogous to the situation with pump-probe experiments that measured electronic wave packet revivals and fractional revivals in Rydberg atoms [38, 39].

The direct comparison of the quantum auto-correlation function along with the time-dependent semiclassical and TWA approximations for a 4-site coherent state density wave involving a mean of 40 particles is illustrated in Fig. 1 (using \( J = 0.2 \) and \( U = 0.5 \)). Note first that there are two relevant time scales,

\[
\tau_1 = \frac{2\pi}{Un_j} = 0.63 \quad , \quad \tau_2 = \frac{2\pi}{U} = 4\pi = 12.57 \quad ,
\]

that come from the Bose-Hubbard model on-site two-body interaction terms only (\( J = 0 \)): \( \tau_1 \) is a classical...
scale associated with first return of classical trajectories; \( \tau_2 \) is a quantum scale associated with the revival of the initial quantum state \[19\]. The TWA is essentially an accurate approximation up to the time scale \( \tau_1 \), up to which there exists either zero or one saddle trajectory. Shortly thereafter, multiple saddle trajectories signify the start of many-body quantum interferences, and the TWA becomes a rather poor approximation for the auto-correlation function. On the other hand, the semiclassical approximation remains extremely precise to times significantly larger than \( \tau_1 \). The distinct peak at \( 4\pi/3 \approx 4.19 \) is what is left of the 1/3 fractional revival of the \( J = 0 \) case, and whereas it is completely missed by TWA, it is perfectly well reproduced by the semiclassical approximation. In this particular case, it arises as the result of summing the contributions of roughly 60 saddle contributions at any fixed time in its neighborhood. The full revival at \( 4\pi = 12.57 \) is a similar situation, but requires the summation of roughly 600 saddle trajectories.

For large total particle numbers, the mean field solutions of the Bose-Hubbard model in a phase space representation follow by a substitution of the quadrature operators \( (\hat{q}_j, \hat{p}_j) \) by \( c \)-numbers, which after attention to operator ordering issues results in a classical Hamiltonian

\[
H_{cl} = -J \sum_{j=1}^{N} (q_j q_{j+1} + p_j p_{j+1}) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( \frac{q_j^2 + p_j^2}{2} \right)^2
- U \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{q_j^2 + p_j^2}{2}.
\]

The solutions of the resulting Hamilton’s equations for various initial conditions up to propagation time, \( t \), give the mean field solutions.

In a \( q \)-representation, coherent states appear as Gaussian wave packets \[40\], and in particular

\[
\langle \hat{q} | n \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \right)^{N/4} \exp \left[ -S_{wp}(\hat{q}) \right],
\]

\[
S_{wp}(\hat{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (q_j - \sqrt{2n_j})^2.
\]

This gives rise to the corresponding density operator Wigner transforms,

\[
W(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) = \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \right)^N \prod_{j=1}^{N} \exp \left[ - \left( q_j - \sqrt{2n_j} \right)^2 - p_j^2 \right].
\]

This density is used for the TWA calculations, which formally are given by solving the evolution equation

\[
\frac{d}{dt} W(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) = \{ H_{cl}, W(\hat{q}, \hat{p}) \},
\]

with \( \{ \cdot, \cdot \} \) the Poisson bracket. Typically, a Monte Carlo weighted sampling of initial conditions is propagated with Hamilton’s equations.

Implementation of the semiclassical theory \[36\] can be summarized as follows. First, the collection of initial conditions (in classical phase space) represented in the initial state’s Lagrangian manifold is propagated a time \( t \); and all those mean-field trajectories whose ending points lie on the final state’s Lagrangian manifold give rise to a contribution to the quantity of interest (here \( C(t) \)). The trajectories satisfying this prescription are saddle trajectories, \( \text{i.e.} \), they are associated with a saddle point condition in the overlap integral. For a given saddle trajectory, its contribution is then expressed in terms of the following quantities: the time integral of the Lagrangian along the trajectory, the Maslov phase index \[37\], and the stability matrix \( M \) describing the linearized motion near the trajectory.

Generically, the Lagrangian manifold associated with a semiclassical wavefunction \( \Psi_{sc}(\hat{q}) = a(\hat{q}) \exp[i S_{sc}(\hat{q})] \) is given by \( \hat{p}(\hat{q}) = \nabla S_{sc}(\hat{q}) \). A coherent state, Eq. \[6\], is indeed in the usual semiclassical form with the peculiarity that \( S_{sc} = i S_{WP} \) is an imaginary function. The

FIG. 2. (Color online) Comparison of the quantum and semiclassical many-body spectra. To reduce spurious ringing, a Gaussian cutoff was applied to the Fourier transforms with \( \sigma = 40.6 \) for the quantum and semiclassical \( \mathcal{A}(\tau) \), respectively. Semiclassical theory, good to \( \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \), cannot reproduce the energy centroid exactly. With a constant energy shift of \( E_0 = 0.9 \), the quantum and semiclassical spectra align perfectly, as seen on an enlarged scale in the inset.
Lagrangian manifolds of the initial and final states, respectively, are thus given by the relations [41]

\[ q_j - \sqrt{2n_j} = -ip_j \text{ (initial)}, \quad q_j - \sqrt{2n_j} = +ip_j \text{ (final)} \]

for each value of \( j \) where the phase space coordinates \((q_j, p_j)\) and Hamiltonian, Eq. (4), have been analytically continued to complex variables. Note that this approach is quite general [39]. Fock states could be treated in roughly the analogous way as coherent states. For occupied sites, the Lagrangian manifold of a Fock state would involve only real trajectories. However, since for unoccupied sites, the Fock state and coherent state are identical, a hybrid method with a Lagrangian manifold relying on real initial conditions for occupied sites and complex trajectory initial conditions for initially empty sites would result.

For semiclassical coherent state propagation, the need to work with complexified phase space variables comes with a host of its own technical challenges [41, 42]. At the most basic level, one must find the saddle trajectories, which in a high dimensional complex phase space is nontrivial, and second one must know which saddle trajectories must be thrown away because of the square integrability boundary condition. An approach to the latter problem is given in [43] following the work of [44, 45]. In short, the complex set of saddles that contribute can be put in a one-to-one correspondence with contributions of real classical transport pathways, and a Newton-Raphson algorithm locates the complex saddle trajectory for each pathway. That leaves finding a practical solution to locating these transport pathways. It requires understanding the asymptotic structure and flow in phase space. Certain directions lead to maximal exploration, whereas others lead to none. Identifying the relevant directions allows one to reduce greatly the dimensionality of the search space, in fact to just a few dimensions [45, 50].

Once the relevant saddle trajectories have been identified, the computation of the various quantities needed (action integral \( S(q^i_0, q^f_0; t) \), Maslov index \( \nu^i_f \), and stability matrix \( M^f \)) is essentially straightforward. Introducing the “scaled” time variable \( \tau \equiv t/h \), and the corresponding scaled action \( S(q^i_0, q^f_0; \tau) = h^{-1}S(q^i_0, q^f_0; t) \), the auto-correlation function \( C(\tau) \) can be expressed as [41]

\[
C(\tau) = \left| \sum_\gamma c^1/2(\tau) \exp[i\phi_\gamma(\tau)] \right|^2,
\]

\[
i\phi_\gamma(\tau) = iS(q^i_0, q^f_0; \tau) - i\nu^i_f \pi \right) + F^{\gamma^-,\gamma^+},
\]

\[
c_\gamma(\tau) = \text{Det}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (M^1_{11} + M^2_{22} + iM^3_{21} - iM^3_{12}) \right],
\]

with

\[
F^{\gamma^-,\gamma^+} = i\rho^{R}_{0} \cdot \rho^{d}_{d} - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{d}_{0} \cdot \rho^{R}_{d} - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{d}_{d} \cdot \rho^{R}_{0} - \rho^{R}_{d} \cdot \rho^{d}_{0},
\]

\[
F^{\gamma^-,\gamma^+} = i\rho^{R}_{0} \cdot \rho^{d}_{d} - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{d}_{0} \cdot \rho^{R}_{d} - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{d}_{d} \cdot \rho^{R}_{0} - \rho^{R}_{d} \cdot \rho^{d}_{0}.
\]

(where \( R, I \) refer to real and imaginary parts) and

\[
M^1_{11} = \frac{\partial \rho^{R}_{0}}{\partial \rho^{R}_{0}}, \quad M^2_{22} = \frac{\partial \rho^{R}_{d}}{\partial \rho^{R}_{d}}, \quad M^3_{12} = \frac{\partial \rho^{R}_{0}}{\partial \rho^{R}_{d}}, \quad M^4_{21} = \frac{\partial \rho^{R}_{d}}{\partial \rho^{R}_{0}}.
\]

Interestingly, the saddle trajectories provide an alternative way of producing the TWA. In the limit of large particle number and an “infinitely” dense Monte Carlo, the approximation (10) is asymptotically equivalent to the diagonal contribution in Eq. (10), \( \tilde{C}_{\text{diag}}(\tau) \equiv \sum_\gamma c_\gamma(\tau) \).

In summary, the semiclassical approach to mesoscopic many-body quantum dynamics produces an accurate approximation with fully incorporated many-body interferences. It is developed here as a practical technique, i.e., the technical problems of implementation are solvable. It is best adapted to systems with mesoscopic populations of particles or more, and most accurate over short to intermediate time scales. The semiclassical approximation being effectively an expansion in the inverse of the density, the accuracy improves with increasing particle number. Furthermore, its accuracy is capable of giving rather detailed many-body spectroscopic information. In stark contrast, the TWA smooths over all the post-Ehrenfest many-body quantum interferences and cannot provide spectroscopic information.

The relaxation dynamics of a mesoscopically populated, coherent state density wave in the strong interaction regime provided both a physically interesting and stringent test of the theory. The remnants of matter revivals occur in its quantum dynamics, and the reconstruction of their presence is highly non-trivial. In addition, this density wave gives rise to a spectrum that is quite reminiscent of those found in various cases of high-resolution molecular spectroscopy [51, 52].

The semiclassical method is extremely general. For example, it can be adapted to other measures besides autocorrelation functions, such as fidelities and out-of-time-ordered correlators, which are so important in quantum information studies. The method is also equally well adapted to the study of all interaction regimes; i.e., the saddles can be found for any dynamical regime, chaotic or not. However, for chaotic dynamical systems, the exponential proliferation of saddles may shorten the time scale of practical application. Our semiclassical calculations have also been performed for 6- and 8-site rings with up to 160 particles, a challenging regime for full quantum calculations. With a bit more effort, they could be extended to greater numbers of sites, and other configurations besides the rings considered.

Finally, with the help of a semiclassical propagator in fermionic Fock space (such as proposed in Ref. [32]), the present method can be extended to the case of fermionic atoms. This opens various perspectives for studying the interplay of scrambling and localization phenomena in the context of ultracold (bosonic or fermionic) gases.
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