Giant Spin Lifetime Anisotropy in Graphene Induced by Proximity Effects

Aron W. Cummings,^{1,*} Jose H. Garcia,¹ Jaroslav Fabian,² and Stephan Roche^{1,3,†}

¹Catalan Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (ICN2), CSIC and BIST, Campus UAB, Bellaterra, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

²Insitute for Theoretical Physics, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany

³ICREA, Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, 08070 Barcelona, Spain

(Received 16 May 2017; published 14 November 2017)

We report on fundamental aspects of spin dynamics in heterostructures of graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs). By using realistic models derived from first principles we compute the spin lifetime anisotropy, defined as the ratio of lifetimes for spins pointing out of the graphene plane to those pointing in the plane. We find that the anisotropy can reach values of tens to hundreds, which is unprecedented for typical 2D systems with spin-orbit coupling and indicates a qualitatively new regime of spin relaxation. This behavior is mediated by spin-valley locking, which is strongly imprinted onto graphene by TMDCs. Our results indicate that this giant spin lifetime anisotropy can serve as an experimental signature of materials with strong spin-valley locking, including graphene-TMDC heterostructures and TMDCs themselves. Additionally, materials with giant spin lifetime anisotropy can provide an exciting platform for manipulating the valley and spin degrees of freedom, and for designing novel spintronic devices.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.206601

Introduction.-Following the discovery of graphene in 2004 [1], a host of other two-dimensional (2D) materials have been synthesized and studied, each demonstrating unique properties and showing promise for technological applications [2]. Currently, there is a great deal of interest in layered heterostructures of these materials [3,4], where the combined system might be engineered for specific applications [5] or might enable the exploration of new phenomena [6,7]. In the field of spintronics, graphene has exceptional charge transport properties but weak spin-orbit coupling (SOC) on the order of 10 μ eV [8], which makes it ideal for long-distance spin transport [9–11] but ineffective for generating or manipulating spin currents. To advance towards spin manipulation, recent work has focused on heterostructures of graphene and magnetic insulators [12–16] or strong SOC materials such as transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) and topological insulators [17–19]. The SOC induced in graphene by a TMDC could enable phenomena such as topological edge states [20] or the spin Hall effect [21–23].

To this end, a variety of recent experiments have explored spin transport in graphene-TMDC heterostructures [21,24–29]. Magnetotransport measurements revealed that graphene in contact with WS₂ exhibits a large weak antilocalization (WAL) peak, revealing a strong SOC induced by proximity effects [24–26,30]. Fits to the magnetoconductance yielded spin lifetimes $\tau_s \approx 5$ ps, which is 2 to 3 orders of magnitude lower than graphene on traditional substrates [10,31]. It was later asserted that after the removal of a temperature-independent background, τ_s becomes at most only a few hundred femtoseconds [26]. Nonlocal Hanle measurements, meanwhile, have revealed spin lifetimes up to a few tens of picoseconds [27–29] that can be tuned by a back gate [28,29]. Finally, charge transport measurements on a Hall bar demonstrated a large nonlocal signal that was related to the spin Hall effect [21]. Fits to experimental measurements have estimated the induced SOC in graphene to be 10–20 meV [21,26], while most density functional theory (DFT) and tight-binding (TB) calculations find values closer to 1 meV [18–20,24,25,32]. While these studies have demonstrated that TMDCs induce strong SOC in graphene, the estimated values of τ_s vary by 3 orders of magnitude and nothing is yet known about the mechanisms governing spin dynamics and relaxation in these systems.

In this Letter, we employ dissipative quantum spin dynamics arguments, and quantum mechanical numerical simulations, to elucidate the nature of spin relaxation in graphene-TMDC heterostructures. We find that spin relaxation follows the Dyakonov-Perel (DP) mechanism, with $\tau_s = 1-100$ ps for realistic momentum relaxation rates and Fermi energies. Remarkably, the spin lifetime anisotropy, defined as the ratio of lifetimes for spins pointing out of the graphene plane to those pointing in the plane, can reach unprecedented values of tens to hundreds in the presence of intervalley scattering. This behavior is mediated by spinvalley locking induced in graphene by the TMDC, which ties the in-plane spin lifetime to the intervalley scattering time. In the absence of valley mixing this ratio reduces to 1/2, typical of systems dominated by Rashba SOC [33]. A giant spin lifetime anisotropy thus represents a qualitatively new regime of spin relaxation not typically seen in 2D systems, and its measurement [34,35] should be an experimental probe of systems with strong spin-valley coupling, which includes both graphene-TMDC heterostructures and TMDCs themselves. Furthermore, systems with giant spin lifetime anisotropy could serve as an exciting new platform for the manipulation of spin and the implementation of new spintronic devices.

Dissipative spin dynamics model.—To clarify the nature of spin relaxation in graphene-TMDC systems, we follow the approach in Ref. [33], which describes spin dynamics in a randomly fluctuating magnetic field. The low-energy $(E_F < 300 \text{ meV})$ Hamiltonian of graphene on a TMDC substrate is given by $H=H_0+H_{\Delta}+H_I^{A/B}+H_R+H_{\text{PIA}}^{A/B}$, where [20]

$$H_{0} = \hbar v_{F} (\kappa \sigma_{x} k_{x} + \sigma_{y} k_{y}),$$

$$H_{\Delta} = \Delta \sigma_{z},$$

$$H_{I}^{A/B} = \frac{1}{2} [\lambda_{I}^{A} (\sigma_{z} + \sigma_{0}) + \lambda_{I}^{B} (\sigma_{z} - \sigma_{0})] \kappa s_{z},$$

$$H_{\text{PIA}}^{A/B} = \frac{a}{2} [\lambda_{PIA}^{A} (\sigma_{z} + \sigma_{0}) + \lambda_{\text{PIA}}^{B} (\sigma_{z} - \sigma_{0})] (k_{x} s_{y} - k_{y} s_{x}),$$

$$H_{R} = \lambda_{R} (\kappa \sigma_{x} s_{y} - \sigma_{y} s_{x}).$$
(1)

In Eq. (1), v_F is the Fermi velocity, $\kappa = 1(-1)$ for the *K* (*K'*) valley, σ_i (s_i) are the sublattice (spin) Pauli matrices, k_i are the wave vector components relative to *K* or *K'*, and a = 0.246 nm is the graphene lattice constant. H_0 represents the graphene Dirac cone, and H_{Δ} is a staggered sublattice potential induced by the TMDC. $H_I^{A/B}$ and $H_{PIA}^{A/B}$ are the intrinsic and the pseudospin inversion asymmetry (PIA) SOC, respectively, the latter of which is permitted by broken z/-z symmetry in graphene [36]. Because of the broken sublattice symmetry, these terms can have different strengths and signs on the *A* and *B* sublattices ($\lambda_I^{A/B}$ and $\lambda_{PIA}^{A/B}$). Finally, H_R is the Rashba SOC induced by a perpendicular electric field [8,37].

While Eq. (1) is useful for TB calculations, analytically it is more transparent to combine the sublattice-dependent terms, giving $H = H_0 + H_{\Delta} + H_I + H_{VZ} + H_R + H_{\text{PIA}} + H_{\Delta_{\text{PIA}}}$ with

$$H_{I} = \lambda_{I} \kappa \sigma_{z} s_{z},$$

$$H_{VZ} = \lambda_{VZ} \kappa s_{z},$$

$$H_{PIA} = a \lambda_{PIA} \sigma_{z} (k_{x} s_{y} - k_{y} s_{x}),$$

$$H_{\Delta_{PIA}} = a \Delta_{PIA} (k_{x} s_{y} - k_{y} s_{x}),$$
(2)

where $\lambda_I = (\lambda_I^A + \lambda_I^B)/2$, $\lambda_{VZ} = (\lambda_I^A - \lambda_I^B)/2$, $\lambda_{PIA} = (\lambda_{PIA}^A + \lambda_{PIA}^B)/2$, and $\Delta_{PIA} = (\lambda_{PIA}^A - \lambda_{PIA}^B)/2$. In this form, H_I is the usual intrinsic SOC in graphene, which opens a topological gap $2\lambda_I$ at the Dirac point [37]. H_{VZ} is a valley Zeeman term, which locks valley to spin and polarizes the bands out of the graphene plane with opposite orientation in the *K* and *K'* valleys. H_{PIA} renormalizes the Fermi velocity, while $H_{\Delta_{PIA}}$ leads to a *k*-linear splitting of the bands, as in traditional 2D electron gases with Rashba SOC [38].

Except for the PIA terms, this Hamiltonian is the same as that considered in previous works [24–26,32].

The next step is to derive the effective spin-orbit field arising from the SOC terms. This is done by rewriting Eq. (2) in the basis of the eigenstates of H_0 and projecting onto the conduction and valence bands. At Fermi energies away from the Dirac point ($E_F \gg 1$ meV), this gives

$$H = H_0 + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\vec{\omega}(t)\cdot\vec{s},$$

$$\hbar\omega_x = -2(ak\Delta_{\rm PIA}\pm\lambda_R)\sin\theta,$$

$$\hbar\omega_y = 2(ak\Delta_{\rm PIA}\pm\lambda_R)\cos\theta,$$

$$\hbar\omega_z = 2\kappa\lambda_{\rm VZ},$$
(3)

where k is the wave vector magnitude, θ is the direction of k with respect to k_x , and $\vec{\omega}$ is the spin precession frequency of the effective spin-orbit field. The in-plane components of $\vec{\omega}$ give a Rashba-like spin texture, where +(-) is for the conduction (valence) band. Strong PIA SOC thus leads to electron-hole asymmetry, as will be seen for graphene on WS₂. The out-of-plane component of $\vec{\omega}$ is determined by λ_{VZ} and changes sign between valleys. The overall texture of the effective spin-orbit field is depicted in Fig. 1.

Owing to momentum scattering, each component of $\vec{\omega}$ will fluctuate in time. A simple model for the correlation of the fluctuating spin-orbit field is [33]

$$\overline{\omega_{\alpha}(t)\omega_{\beta}(t')} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\omega_{\alpha}^{2}} e^{-|t-t'|/\tau_{c,\alpha}}, \qquad (4)$$

where the correlation time of fluctuation $\tau_{c,\alpha}$ depends on the component of $\vec{\omega}$. The in-plane components $\omega_{x/y}$ depend only on θ , and thus $\tau_{c,x} = \tau_{c,y} = \tau_p$, the momentum relaxation time. Meanwhile, the out-of-plane component ω_z depends only on the valley index, giving $\tau_{c,z} = \tau_{iv}$, the intervalley scattering time. Assuming that $\tau_{c,\alpha}\omega_{\alpha} \ll 1$, applying Eqs. (3) and (4) to the equation of motion for the density matrix [33] yields the final expressions for the spin relaxation rates



FIG. 1. Schematic of spin relaxation in graphene-TMDC heterostructures. The tall arrows depict the effective spin-orbit field within the Dirac cones at K and K' valleys. Intervalley scattering dominates the in-plane spin dynamics, while overall momentum scattering controls the out-of-plane behavior.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{s,x}^{-1} &= \overline{\omega_z^2} \tau_{iv} + \overline{\omega_y^2} \tau_p, \\ \tau_{s,y}^{-1} &= \overline{\omega_z^2} \tau_{iv} + \overline{\omega_x^2} \tau_p, \\ \tau_{s,z}^{-1} &= (\overline{\omega_x^2} + \overline{\omega_y^2}) \tau_p. \end{aligned}$$
(5)

In Eq. (5), the out-of-plane spin relaxation follows the usual DP relation, $\tau_{s,\perp}^{-1} \equiv \tau_{s,z}^{-1} = [2(ak\Delta_{\text{PIA}} \pm \lambda_R)/\hbar]^2 \tau_p$, with the Rashba SOC augmented by the PIA term. However, the inplane relaxation includes contributions from both the intervalley and the overall momentum scattering, and is given by $\tau_{s,\parallel}^{-1} \equiv \tau_{s,x}^{-1} = \tau_{s,y}^{-1} = (2\lambda_{VZ}/\hbar)^2 \tau_{iv} + \tau_{s,z}^{-1}/2$. The nature of the spin relaxation, with $\tau_{s,\parallel}$ determined by τ_{iv} and $\tau_{s,\perp}$ by τ_p , is shown schematically in Fig. 1. Ignoring the PIA term, the spin lifetime anisotropy is

$$\frac{\tau_{s,\perp}}{\tau_{s,\parallel}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_{VZ}}{\lambda_R}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\tau_{iv}}{\tau_p}\right) + 1/2.$$
(6)

Equation (6) is the main result of this work, and indicates that a giant spin lifetime anisotropy, with the in-plane spins relaxing much faster than the out-of-plane spins, should be a defining characteristic of systems with strong spin-valley locking. Using DFT values of $\lambda_{VZ} = 1.2$ meV and $\lambda_R =$ 0.56 meV for graphene on WSe₂ [20], and assuming relatively strong intervalley scattering ($\tau_{iv} \sim 5\tau_p$), we obtain a spin lifetime anisotropy of ~20. This represents a qualitatively different regime of spin relaxation than the usual case of 2D Rashba systems, where without valley Zeeman SOC the anisotropy is 1/2, with the in-plane spins relaxing more slowly than the out-of-plane spins.

Equation (5) assumes strong intervalley scattering, $\tau_{iv}\omega_z \ll 1$, such that fast fluctuation of ω_z results in motional narrowing of the in-plane spin precession and an inverse dependence of $\tau_{s,\parallel}$ on τ_{iv} . In contrast, when $\tau_{iv} \rightarrow \infty$, electrons experience a constant out-of-plane spinorbit field and only the in-plane components fluctuate with time. In this limit, the procedure above yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{s,x}^{-1} &= \overline{\omega_y^2} \tau_p^*, \\ \tau_{s,y}^{-1} &= \overline{\omega_x^2} \tau_p^*, \\ \tau_{s,z}^{-1} &= (\overline{\omega_x^2} + \overline{\omega_y^2}) \tau_p^*, \end{aligned}$$
(7)

where $\tau_p^* = \tau_p / (\omega_z^2 \tau_p^2 + 1)$. Without intervalley scattering the spin lifetime anistropy thus collapses to 1/2, as found in Rashba systems [33]. Interestingly, in this regime an external perpendicular magnetic field B_z can induce an imbalance in the spin population of each valley by enhancing (canceling) the spin-orbit field at *K* (*K'*). The ratio of spin lifetimes in each valley thus becomes

$$\frac{\tau_{s,\alpha}^{K}}{\tau_{s,\alpha}^{K'}} = \frac{(g\mu_B B_z + 2\lambda_{\rm VZ})^2 \tau_p^2 + 1}{(g\mu_B B_z - 2\lambda_{\rm VZ})^2 \tau_p^2 + 1},$$
(8)

where g is the electron g factor and μ_B is the Bohr magneton. For graphene on WSe₂ with $\tau_p = 100$ fs, the difference in τ_s can reach 10% for $B_z \approx 4$ T. Although this difference is too modest to achieve a complete valley-spin imbalance, it should be considered when observing spin relaxation in these structures in a magnetic field.

Numerical simulations.—To verify the above results, we perform numerical simulations of spin relaxation. The graphene-TMDC system is described by the TB form of Eq. (1), to which we add a disorder term $H_{\text{dis}} = \sum_{i,s} V_{\text{dis}}(\vec{r}_i) c_{is}^{\dagger} c_{is}$, where $c_{is}^{\dagger}(c_{is})$ is the creation (annihilation) operator at site *i* with spin *s*, and $V_{\text{dis}}(\vec{r}_i)$ is the potential at site *i*. We assume the disorder consists of Gaussian-shaped electron-hole puddles [39], such that $V_{\text{dis}}(\vec{r}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \epsilon_j \exp(-|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|^2/2\xi^2)$, with the strength ϵ_j of each scatterer randomly chosen within $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, and with a uniform width $\xi = \sqrt{3}a$. In the dilute limit, τ_p and τ_{iv} are inversely proportional to the number of scatterers *N*, while ϵ controls their relative magnitude, with larger ϵ giving stronger intervalley scattering [40,41].

To calculate charge and spin transport, we employ a realspace wave packet propagation method that allows for efficient simulation of large-scale disordered graphene systems [42-44]. For charge transport we use the meansquare spreading of the wave packet $\langle X^2(E,t) \rangle$ to calculate the diffusion coefficient $D(E, t) = \partial \langle X^2(E, t) \rangle / \partial t$, which in turn gives the momentum relaxation time $\tau_p(E) =$ $\max D(E,t)/2v_F^2$. We simultaneously calculate the expectation value of the spin of the wave packet $\vec{s}(E, t)$, from which the spin lifetime is evaluated by fitting to $\exp(-t/\tau_{s,\alpha})$ or $\exp(-t/\tau_{s,\alpha})\cos(\omega_z t)$, as appropriate. The density of charge scatterers is characterized as a percentage of the number of carbon atoms, $n = N/N_C \times$ 100%. We consider a 500 nm \times 500 nm system with 9.2 \times 10⁶ carbon atoms, and TB parameters are taken from Table I of Ref. [20].



FIG. 2. Spin dynamics in the graphene/WSe₂ system for (a) strong and (b) weak intervalley scattering. The insets show the corresponding momentum relaxation times.

17



FIG. 3. Spin lifetime with strong intervalley scattering for graphene on (a) WSe_2 and (b) WS_2 . The red (blue) lines are for out-of-plane (in-plane) spin lifetime. Solid (dashed) lines are for an impurity density of 0.1% (1%). The open circles are from Eq. (5).

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show \vec{s} and τ_p for disorder profiles corresponding to strong and weak intervalley scattering, respectively. In the former we set n = 0.1% and $\epsilon = 2.8 \text{ eV}$, and in the latter n = 1% and $\epsilon = 0.5 \text{ eV}$. The τ_p for these two cases are shown in the insets, with values typical of those found experimentally [24-26]. The different energy dependence of τ_p , with a minimum or maximum at the Dirac point, is indicative of the contribution of intervalley scattering [45]. In Fig. 2(a), where intervalley scattering is strong, the in-plane component of \vec{s} decays much more quickly than the out-of-plane component, and spin precession is suppressed. Meanwhile, in Fig. 2(b) the in-plane spin precesses about the effective spin-orbit field with frequency $\omega_z = 2\lambda_{\rm VZ}/\hbar$, and relaxes more slowly than the out-of-plane spin. This behavior is consistent with Eqs. (5)-(7).

Figure 3 shows the numerical spin lifetimes in the case of strong intervalley scattering for graphene on (a) WSe₂ and (b) WS₂. The solid lines, for n = 0.1%, indicate a giant anisotropy with $\tau_{s,\perp} = 20-200$ ps and $\tau_{s,\parallel} \approx 1$ ps. There is also a significant electron-hole asymmetry in $\tau_{s,\perp}$ for graphene on WS₂, arising from the larger PIA SOC in this system; $\lambda_R = 0.56$ meV and $\Delta_{\text{PIA}} = 75 \ \mu \text{eV}$ for WSe₂, while $\lambda_R =$ 0.36 meV and $\Delta_{PIA} = 1.4$ meV for WS₂ [20]. The open circles are the values of τ_s estimated from Eq. (5), showing good agreement between the numerical simulations and the spin dynamics model. To fit $\tau_{s,\parallel}$ we assumed $\tau_{iv} = 5\tau_p$; although our calculations do not permit an exact determination of τ_{iv} , this ratio is consistent with prior numerical results [40]. As shown by the dashed lines, increasing the disorder density to n = 1% scales τ_s by a factor of 10, confirming the inverse relationship between τ_s and $\tau_{p,iv}$.

The numerical spin lifetimes in the absence of intervalley scattering are shown in Fig. 4, where $\tau_{s,\parallel}$ is now larger than



FIG. 4. Spin lifetime without intervalley scattering for graphene on (a) WSe_2 and (b) WS_2 . The red (blue) lines are for out-ofplane (in-plane) spin lifetime. The open circles are from Eq. (7), and the insets show the anisotropy for strong and weak intervalley scattering.

 $\tau_{s,\perp}$. The agreement with the predictions of Eq. (7), shown as the open circles, is very convincing. However, we note that the agreement worsens at low energies, as the effective spin-orbit field in Eq. (3) is only valid for energies away from the Dirac point. The insets of Fig. 4 show the numerical values of the spin lifetime anisotropy. As predicted by the semiclassical theory, the anisotropy is giant in the case of strong intervalley scattering, and collapses toward 1/2 otherwise.

Summary and conclusions.—Using realistic quantum spin dynamics modeling and numerical simulations, we have presented a unified picture of the spin relaxation in graphene on TMDCs. We predict a giant spin relaxation anisotropy, which emerges in graphene due to proximity effects but should exist in any system with strong spinvalley locking, including TMDCs themselves. In the absence of spin-valley locking or intervalley scattering the anisotropy falls to 1/2, as expected for Rashba systems. This large variation indicates a qualitatively new regime of spin relaxation in graphene and other 2D materials.

It should be noted that the theory presented here is applicable when spin relaxation is dominated by SOC effects, but other spin relaxation mechanisms can take over when the SOC is small. This appears to be the case for graphene on SiO₂ substrates, where measurements yielded no anisotropy, i.e., $\tau_{s,\perp} = \tau_{s,\parallel}$ [34,35]. In these systems the SOC is small and spin relaxation is likely dominated by paramagnetic impurities [46,47]. Meanwhile, very recent measurements have confirmed our prediction of giant spin lifetime anisotropy in graphene-TMDC heterostructures, with an anisotropy of ~11 (40) for graphene on MoSe₂ (WSe₂) at a temperature of 75 K [48]. Another recent measurement found an anisotropy of ~10 in graphene on WS₂ at room temperature [49], suggesting that temperature-dependent effects driven by electron-electron or electron-phonon scattering should have a weak impact.

These results also have important implications for the WAL analysis of magnetotransport in graphene-TMDC heterostructures. Previous analyses have concluded that the spin relaxation is dominated by Rashba SOC [25,30], which is seemingly at odds with the presence of giant spin lifetime anisotropy. By reanalyzing the magnetoconductance measurements of Ref. [30], and introducing valley Zeeman SOC into the analysis, the experimental results can be shown to be consistent with our theory [50].

On the more applied side, the giant spin lifetime anisotropy in graphene-TMDC heterostructures might be utilized for practical purposes in spin logic devices [53,54] or in relation with opto-valleytronic spin injection in graphene-TMDC spin valves [55,56]. One possible application would be the design of a linear spin polarizer, where the in-plane components of an incoming spin current would be filtered out, leaving only the net out-of-plane polarization.

ICN2 is supported by the Severo Ochoa program from Spanish MINECO (Grant No. SEV-2013-0295) and funded by the CERCA Programme / Generalitat de Catalunya. A. W. C., J. H. G., and S. R. acknowledge the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and the European Regional Development Fund (Project No. FIS2015-67767-P MINECO/FEDER), the Secretaría de Universidades e Investigación del Departamento de Economía y Conocimiento de la Generalidad de Catalunya (2014 SGR 58), PRACE and the Barcelona Supercomputing Center (Project No. 2015133194). J.F. acknowledges support from DFG SFB 1277 projects A09 and B07. All authors acknowledge the European Union Seventh Framework Programme under Grant Agreement No. 696656 Graphene Flagship.

aron.cummings@icn2.cat

- *stephan.roche@icn2.cat
- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films, Science **306**, 666 (2004).
- [2] G. R. Bhimanapati, Z. Lin, V. Meunier, Y. Jung, J. Cha, S. Das, D. Xiao, Y. Son, M. S. Strano, V. R. Cooper *et al.*, Recent advances in two-dimensional materials beyond graphene, ACS Nano 9, 11509 (2015).
- [3] A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, van der Waals heterostructures, Nature (London) 499, 419 (2013).
- [4] K. S. Novoselov, A. Mishchenko, A. Carvalho, and A. H. Castro Neto, 2D materials and van der Waals heterostructures, Science 353, aac9439 (2016).
- [5] A. C. Ferrari, F. Bonaccorso, V. Fal'ko, K. S. Novoselov, S. Roche, P. Bøggild, S. Borini, F. H. L. Koppens, V. Palermo, N. Pugno *et al.*, Science and technology roadmap for

graphene, related two-dimensional crystals, and hybrid systems, Nanoscale 7, 4598 (2015).

- [6] L. J. Li, E. C. T. O'Farrell, K. P. Loh, G. Eda, B. Özyilmaz, and A. H. Castro Neto, Controlling many-body states by the electric-field effect in a two-dimensional material, Nature (London) 529, 185 (2016).
- [7] D. Costanzo, S. Jo, H. Berger, and A. F. Morpurgo, Gateinduced superconductivity in atomically thin MoS₂ crystals, Nat. Nanotechnol. **11**, 339 (2016).
- [8] M. Gmitra, S. Konschuh, C. Ertler, C. Ambrosch-Draxl, and J. Fabian, Band-structure topologies of graphene: Spin-orbit coupling effects from first principles, Phys. Rev. B 80, 235431 (2009).
- [9] L. Banszerus, M. Schmitz, S. Engels, M. Goldsche, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. Beschoten, and C. Stampfer, Ballistic transport exceeding $28 \ \mu m$ in CVD grown graphene, Nano Lett. **16**, 1387 (2016).
- [10] M. Drögeler, C. Franzen, F. Volmer, T. Pohlmann, L. Banszerus, M. Wolter, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, C. Stampfer, and B. Beschoten, Spin lifetimes exceeding 12 ns in graphene nonlocal spin valve devices, Nano Lett. 16, 3533 (2016).
- [11] S. Roche, J. Åkerman, B. Beschoten, J.-C. Charlier, M. Chshiev, S. P. Dash, B. Dlubak, J. Fabian, A. Fert, M. Guimarães *et al.*, Graphene spintronics: The European Flagship perspective, 2D Mater. 2, 030202 (2015).
- [12] H. X. Yang, A. Hallal, D. Terrade, X. Waintal, S. Roche, and M. Chshiev, Proximity Effects Induced in Graphene by Magnetic Insulators: First-Principles Calculations on Spin Filtering and Exchange-Splitting Gaps, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 046603 (2013).
- [13] Z. Wang, C. Tang, R. Sachs, Y. Barlas, and J. Shi, Proximity-Induced Ferromagnetism in Graphene Revealed by the Anomalous Hall Effect, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 016603 (2015).
- [14] S. Dushenko, H. Ago, K. Kawahara, T. Tsuda, S. Kuwabata, T. Takenobu, T. Shinjo, Y. Ando, and M. Shiraishi, Gate-Tunable Spin-Charge Conversion and the Role of Spin-Orbit Interaction in Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 166102 (2016).
- [15] A. Hallal, F. Ibrahim, H. Yang, S. Roche, and M. Chshiev, Tailoring magnetic insulator proximity effects in graphene: first-principles calculations, 2D Mater. 4, 025074 (2017).
- [16] J. C. Leutenantsmeyer, A. A. Kaverzin, M. Wojtaszek, and B. J. van Wees, Proximity induced room temperature ferromagnetism in graphene probed with spin currents, 2D Mater. 4, 014001 (2017).
- [17] K.-H. Jin and S.-H. Jhi, Proximity-induced giant spin-orbit interaction in epitaxial graphene on a topological insulator, Phys. Rev. B 87, 075442 (2013).
- [18] T. P. Kaloni, L. Kou, T. Frauenheim, and U. Schwingenschlögl, Quantum spin Hall states in graphene interacting with WS₂ or WSe₂, Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 233112 (2014).
- [19] M. Gmitra and J. Fabian, Graphene on transitionmetal dichalcogenides: A platform for proximity spin-orbit physics and optospintronics, Phys. Rev. B 92, 155403 (2015).
- [20] M. Gmitra, D. Kochan, P. Högl, and J. Fabian, Trivial and inverted Dirac bands and the emergence of quantum spin

Hall states in graphene on transition-metal dichalcogenides, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 155104 (2016).

- [21] A. Avsar, J. Y. Tan, T. Taychatanapat, J. Balakrishnan, G. K. W. Koon, Y. Yeo, J. Lahiri, A. Carvalho, A. S. Rodin, E. C. T. O'Farrell, G. Eda, A. H. Castro Neto, and B. Özyilmaz, Spin-orbit proximity effect in graphene, Nat. Commun. 5, 4875 (2014).
- [22] J. H. Garcia, A. W. Cummings, and S. Roche, Spin Hall effect and weak antilocalization in graphene/transition metal dichalcogenide heterostructures, Nano Lett. 17, 5078 (2017).
- [23] W. Savero Torres, J. F. Sierra, L. A. Benítez, F. Bonell, M. V. Costache, and S. O. Valenzuela, Spin precession and spin Hall effect in monolayer graphene/Pt nanostructures, 2D Mater. 4, 041008 (2017).
- [24] Z. Wang, D.-K. Ki, H. Chen, H. Berger, A. H. MacDonald, and A. F. Morpurgo, Strong interface-induced spin-orbit interaction in graphene on WS₂, Nat. Commun. 6, 8339 (2015).
- [25] B. Yang, M.-F. Tu, J. Kim, Y. Wu, H. Wang, J. Alicea, R. Wu, M. Bockrath, and J. Shi, Tunable spin-orbit coupling and symmetry-protected edge states in graphene/WS₂, 2D Mater. **3**, 031012 (2016).
- [26] Z. Wang, D.-K. Ki, J. Y. Khoo, D. Mauro, H. Berger, L. S. Levitov, and A. F. Morpurgo, Origin and Magnitude of 'Designer' Spin-Orbit Interaction in Graphene on Semiconducting Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, Phys. Rev. X 6, 041020 (2016).
- [27] S. Omar and B. J. van Wees, Graphene-WS₂ heterostructures for tunable spin injection and spin transport, Phys. Rev. B 95, 081404 (2017).
- [28] W. Yan, O. Txoperena, R. Llopis, H. Dery, L. E. Hueso, and F. Casanova, A two-dimensional spin field-effect switch, Nat. Commun. 7, 13372 (2016).
- [29] A. Dankert and S. P. Dash, Electrical gate control of spin current in van der Waals heterostructures at room temperature, Nat. Commun. 8, 16093 (2017).
- [30] B. Yang, M. Lohmann, D. Barroso, I. Liao, Z. Lin, Y. Liu, L. Bartels, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and J. Shi, Strong electron-hole symmetric Rashba spin-orbit coupling in graphene/monolayer transition metal dichalcogenide heter-ostructures, Phys. Rev. B 96, 041409 (2017).
- [31] M. V. Kamalakar, C. Groenveld, A. Dankert, and S. P. Dash, Long distance spin communication in chemical vapour deposited graphene, Nat. Commun. 6, 6766 (2015).
- [32] A. M. Alsharari, M. M. Asmar, and S. E. Ulloa, Mass inversion in graphene by proximity to dichalcogenide monolayer, Phys. Rev. B 94, 241106 (2016).
- [33] J. Fabian, A. Matos-Abiague, C. Ertler, P. Stano, and I. Žutić, Semiconductor spintronics, Acta Phys. Slovaca 57, 565 (2007).
- [34] B. Raes, J. E. Scheerder, M. V. Costache, F. Bonell, J. F. Sierra, J. Cuppens, J. Van de Vondel, and S. O. Valenzuela, Determination of the spin-lifetime anisotropy in graphene using oblique spin precession, Nat. Commun. 7, 11444 (2016).
- [35] B. Raes, A. W. Cummings, F. Bonell, M. V. Costache, J. F. Sierra, S. Roche, and S. O. Valenzuela, Spin precession in anisotropic media, Phys. Rev. B 95, 085403 (2017).

- [36] D. Kochan, S. Irmer, and J. Fabian, Model spin-orbit coupling Hamiltonians for graphene systems, Phys. Rev. B 95, 165415 (2017).
- [37] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Quantum Spin Hall Effect in Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 226801 (2005).
- [38] Y. A. Bychov and E. I. Rashba, Properties of a 2D electron gas with lifted spectral degeneracy, Pis'ma Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 39, 66 (1984) [JETP Lett. 39, 78 (1984)].
- [39] S. Adam, S. Jung, N. N. Klimov, N. B. Zhitenev, J. A. Stroscio, and M. D. Stiles, Mechanism for puddle formation in graphene, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235421 (2011).
- [40] Y.-Y. Zhang, J. Hu, B. A. Bernevig, X. R. Wang, X. C. Xie, and W. M. Liu, Localization and the Kosterlitz-Thouless Transition in Disordered Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 106401 (2009).
- [41] F. Ortmann, A. Cresti, G. Montambaux, and S. Roche, Magnetoresistance in disordered graphene: The role of pseudospin and dimensionality effects unraveled, Europhys. Lett. 94, 47006 (2011).
- [42] S. Roche, Quantum transport by means of O(N) real-space methods, Phys. Rev. B 59, 2284 (1999).
- [43] A. W. Cummings, A. Cresti, and S. Roche, Quantum Hall effect in polycrystalline graphene: The role of grain boundaries, Phys. Rev. B 90, 161401 (2014).
- [44] D. Van Tuan, F. Ortmann, A. W. Cummings, D. Soriano, and S. Roche, Spin dynamics and relaxation in graphene dictated by electron-hole puddles, Sci. Rep. 6, 21046 (2016).
- [45] S. Roche, N. Leconte, F. Ortmann, A. Lherbier, D. Soriano, and J.-C. Charlier, Quantum transport in disordered graphene: A theoretical perspective, Solid State Commun. 152, 1404 (2012).
- [46] D. Kochan, M. Gmitra, and J. Fabian, Spin Relaxation Mechanism in Graphene: Resonant Scattering by Magnetic Impurities, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 116602 (2014).
- [47] D. Soriano, D. V. Tuan, S. M.-M. Dubois, M. Gmitra, A. W. Cummings, D. Kochan, F. Ortmann, J.-C. Charlier, J. Fabian, and S. Roche, Spin transport in hydrogenated graphene, 2D Mater. 2, 022002 (2015).
- [48] T. S. Ghiasi, J. Ingla-Aynés, A. A. Kaverzin, and B. J. van Wees, Large proximity-induced spin lifetime anisotropy in transition metal dichalcogenide/graphene heterostructures, arXiv:1708.04067.
- [49] L. A. Benítez, J. F. Sierra, W. Savero Torres, A. Arrighi, F. Bonell, M. V. Costache, and S. O. Valenzuela, Strongly anisotropic spin relaxation in graphene/transition metal dichalcogenide heterostructures at room temperature, arXiv:1710.11568.
- [50] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.206601 for an analysis of the magnetoconductance measurements of Ref. [28]. This Supplemental Material also includes Refs. [51,52].
- [51] E. McCann and V. I. Fal'ko, $z \rightarrow -z$ Symmetry of Spin-Orbit Coupling and Weak Localization in Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 166606 (2012).
- [52] H. Ochoa, A. H. Castro Neto, and F. Guinea, Elliot-Yafet Mechanism in Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 206808 (2012).

- [53] H. Dery, H. Wu, B. Ciftcioglu, M. Huang, Y. Song, R. Kawakami, J. Shi, I. Krivorotov, I. Žutić, and L. J. Sham, Nanospintronics based on magnetologic gates, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 59, 259 (2012).
- [54] H. Wen, H. Dery, W. Amamou, T. Zhu, Z. Lin, J. Shi, I. Žutić, I. Krivorotov, L. J. Sham, and R. K. Kawakami, Experimental Demonstration of xor Operation in Graphene Magnetologic Gates at Room Temperature, Phys. Rev. Applied 5, 044003 (2016).
- [55] Y. Luo, J. Xu, T. Zhu, G. Wu, E. J. McCormick, W. Zhan, M. R. Neupane, and R. K. Kawakami, Opto-valleytronic spin injection in monolayer MoS₂/few-layer graphene hybrid spin valves, Nano Lett. **17**, 3877 (2017).
- [56] A. Avsar, D. Unuchek, J. Liu, O. Lopez Sanchez, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. Özyilmaz, and A. Kis, Optospintronics in graphene via proximity coupling, DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.7b06800.