We complete our earlier study of the “direct” part of the cross section and spin asymmetry for the photoproduction process $\gamma N \rightarrow hX$ by analyzing the “resolved” contribution, for which the photon couples like a hadron through its parton structure. The incident photon and nucleon are longitudinally polarized and one observes a hadron $h$ at high transverse momentum $p_T$. Soft or collinear gluon emissions generate large logarithmic threshold corrections which we resum to next-to-leading logarithmic order. We compare our results with recent spin asymmetry data by the COMPASS Collaboration, highlighting the role of the fragmentation functions.
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in which the initial lepton $\ell'$ scatters off a nucleon $N$, both longitudinally polarized, and (semi-inclusively) produces a charged hadron $h$ with high transverse momentum $p_T$. The scattered lepton $\ell'$ is required to have a small scattering angle with respect to the initial one, so that the underlying process can be treated as a photoproduction process $\gamma N \rightarrow hX$, for which the main contributions come from almost on-shell photons exchanged between the lepton and the nucleon.

At sufficiently large transverse momentum of the observed hadron, perturbative-QCD techniques may be applied. The differential spin-dependent cross section $d\Delta \sigma$ as a function of $p_T$ and the hadron’s pseudorapidity $\eta$ may be written in factorized form as [16,17]

$$\frac{p_T^3 d\Delta \sigma}{dp_T d\eta} = \sum_{ab} \int_{x_T}^{1} dx_{\ell'} dx_n \int_{s_{\text{min}}}^{1} d\zeta \times \frac{x_{\ell}\zeta^2}{2v} \Delta f_{a/\ell}(x_{\ell'}, \mu_F) \Delta f_{b/N}(x_n, \mu_F) D_{h/c}(z, \mu_F') \Delta \hat{\sigma}_{ab\rightarrow cX}(v, w, \hat{s}, \hat{\eta}, \mu_R, \mu_F, \mu_F'),$$

(2)

where the sum runs over all possible partonic channels $ab \rightarrow cX$. $\Delta f_{a/\ell}(x_{\ell'}, \mu_F)$ and $\Delta f_{b/N}(x_n, \mu_F)$ denote the polarized distribution functions for partons $a$ and $b$ in the lepton and the nucleon, respectively, depending on the momentum fractions $x_{\ell'}$ and $x_n$ and on the initial-state factorization scale $\mu_F$. The $D_{h/c}(z, \mu_F')$ are the parton-to-hadron fragmentation functions describing the hadronization of parton $c$. They depend on the fraction $z$ of the parton’s momentum taken by the hadron and on the final-state factorization scale $\mu_F'$. Finally, the $d\Delta \hat{\sigma}_{ab\rightarrow cX}$ are the differential spin-dependent partonic hard-scattering cross sections, which are perturbative and thus can be expanded in terms of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$:

$$d\Delta \hat{\sigma}_{ab\rightarrow cX} = d\Delta \hat{\sigma}_{ab\rightarrow cX}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} d\Delta \hat{\sigma}_{ab\rightarrow cX}^{(1)} + \cdots.$$  

(3)

They depend on the factorization scales $\mu_F, \mu_F'$, on the renormalization scale $\mu_R$ and on the kinematic variables introduced in Eq. (2):

$$v \equiv 1 + \frac{\hat{t}}{\hat{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad w \equiv -\frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{s} + \hat{t}},$$

(4)

with the partonic Mandelstam variables $\hat{s}, \hat{t}$ and $\hat{u}$. Moreover,

$$\hat{s}_T \equiv \frac{x_T}{z\sqrt{x_\ell x_n}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\eta} \equiv \eta - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x_T}{x_n},$$

(5)

where $x_T \equiv 2p_T/\sqrt{\hat{s}}$, with $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ and $\eta$ being the hadronic center-of-mass energy and rapidity, respectively, the latter counted positive in the lepton forward direction. The lower integration bounds in Eq. (2) are given by

$$x_{\ell'}^{\text{min}} = \frac{x_T e^\eta}{2 - x_T e^{-\eta}},$$

$$x_n^{\text{min}} = \frac{x_T x e^{-\eta}}{2x_T - x_T e^\eta},$$

$$x = \frac{x_T \cosh \hat{\eta}}{\sqrt{\hat{s}_T x_\ell}}.$$  

(6)

We note that all formulas presented so far equally apply to the spin-averaged case by simply dropping the “Δ” everywhere, so that the unpolarized partonic cross sections and parton distributions appear.

As is well known, the physical photoproduction cross section is the sum of two parts:

$$d\Delta \sigma = d\Delta \sigma_{\text{dir}} + d\Delta \sigma_{\text{res}},$$

(7)

where for the direct part $d\Delta \sigma_{\text{dir}}$ the photon couples directly in a pointlike way to the parton $b$ in the nucleon, while for $d\Delta \sigma_{\text{res}}$ it couples to quantum fluctuations containing quarks, antiquarks and gluons and hence is resolved into its own partonic structure. For a quasireal photon such contributions are not suppressed by additional, strongly virtual propagators, and the physical photon eigenstate contains an appreciable QCD part. This part is described by photonic parton distribution functions just as for normal hadrons; see Fig. 2. The resulting contribution is called the resolved photon contribution.

One can accommodate both the direct and the resolved contributions by introducing suitable “parton-in-lepton” distributions [16,17]:

$$\Delta f_{a/\ell}(x_{\ell'}, \mu_F) = \int_{x_{\ell'}}^{1} dy \Delta P_{\ell \ell'}(y) \Delta f_{a/\ell}(x_{\ell'}, y, \mu_F),$$

(8)

which are convolutions of the probability density $\Delta P_{\ell \ell'}(y)$ to have a polarized ("Weizsäcker-Williams") photon with lepton momentum fraction $y$ accompanying the initial-state.
lepton, and the probability density $\Delta f_{a/\gamma}(x_T, \mu_F)$ to find a polarized parton $a$ with momentum fraction $x_T$ in this photon. In the case of the direct contributions, one simply has $\Delta f_{T/\gamma} = \delta(1-x_T)$. $\Delta P_{T/\gamma}(y)$ is given by [18]

$$
\Delta P_{T/\gamma}(y) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1 - (1-y)^2}{y} \ln \left( \frac{Q^2_{\text{max}}(1-y)}{m_\ell^2 y^2} \right) + 2m_\ell^2 y^2 \left( \frac{1}{Q^2_{\text{max}}} - \frac{1-y}{m_\ell^2 y^2} \right) \right].
$$

(9)

Here $\alpha$ is the fine structure constant, $m_\ell$ the lepton mass, and $Q^2_{\text{max}}$ the maximum value of virtuality $Q^2$ allowed by the experimental conditions on the small-angle scattered lepton.

The direct contribution starts at lowest order (LO) with the subprocesses $\gamma q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ and $\gamma g \rightarrow g\bar{g}$, which are of order $O(\alpha\alpha_s)$. For the resolved contributions, the lowest-order partonic processes are the $2 \rightarrow 2$ QCD ones

$$
\begin{align*}
q\bar{q} &\rightarrow q\bar{q}', \quad q\bar{q}' \rightarrow q\bar{q}'', \quad q\bar{q} \rightarrow q'\bar{q}',
q\bar{q} &\rightarrow q\bar{g}, \quad q\bar{g} \rightarrow q\bar{g}, \quad qg \rightarrow qg,
q\bar{q} &\rightarrow g\bar{g}, \quad g\bar{g} \rightarrow g\bar{g}, \quad qq \rightarrow q\bar{q}.
\end{align*}
$$

(10)

These are of order $O(\alpha_s^2)$. Since, however, the photon’s parton distributions $\Delta f_{a/\gamma}$ are of order $\alpha/\alpha_s$ [5], the resolved contribution is of the same perturbative order as the direct one. This remains true to all orders. NLO $[O(\alpha\alpha_s^2)]$ QCD corrections to polarized high-$p_T$ photoproduction of hadrons have been derived in [16,17,19]. At LO, the partonic cross sections are always proportional to $\delta(1-w)$ since $\Delta (1-w)$ measures the invariant mass of the partonic recoil. At NLO various types of distributions in $(1-w)$ arise. Analytical expressions may be found in Refs. [16,19–23]. They can be cast into the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\hat{s}d\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_{ab\rightarrow cX}(v,w)}{dv dw} &= A(v)\delta(1-w) + B(v) \left( \frac{\ln(1-w)}{1-w} \right)_+ + 
\end{align*}
$$

$$
+ C(v) \left( \frac{1}{1-w} \right)_+ + F(v,w),
$$

(11)

where the “plus distributions” are defined through

$$
\int_0^1 dw f(w)[g(w)]_+ \equiv \int_0^1 dw [f(w) - f(1)]g(w).
$$

(12)

The functions $A(v), B(v), C(v), F(v,w)$ in (11) depend on the partonic process under consideration. $F(v,w)$ collects all terms without distributions in $(1-w)$. The terms with plus distributions give rise to the large double-logarithmic threshold corrections that are addressed by resummation. They arise from soft-gluon radiation and recur with higher logarithmic power at every higher order of $\alpha_s$. At the $k$th order we have leading corrections proportional to $\alpha_s^k[\ln^{k-1}(1-w)/(1-w)]_+$; subleading terms are down by one or more powers of $\ln(1-w)$. We next describe the all-order resummation of these threshold logarithms.

### III. RESUMMED CROSS SECTION

Our goal is to resum the logarithmic corrections to next-to-leading logarithmic (NLL) level, so that we take into account the three most leading “towers” $\alpha_s^k[\ln^{k-1}(1-w)/(1-w)]_+$, $\alpha_s^k[\ln^{k-2}(1-w)/(1-w)]_+$ and $\alpha_s^k[\ln^{k-3}(1-w)/(1-w)]_+$ to all orders of perturbation theory. This is also what we have previously achieved for the spin-averaged cross section [6] and in our study [15] of the direct part of the spin-dependent cross section. Here we extend the resummation to the polarized resolved part. We note that the cross section for the resolved part of $pN \rightarrow hX$ has the same structure as that for, say, $pp \rightarrow hX$, so that many of the relevant techniques are rather well established in the literature [9–13], where spin-averaged cross sections were considered. We also note that in Ref. [24] threshold resummation for polarized hadronic scattering $pp \rightarrow hX$ was investigated where, however, only the cross section integrated over all rapidities of the produced hadron was considered. Here we perform the resummation at arbitrary fixed rapidity of the produced hadron, using the techniques developed in Refs. [6,15,25–27].

#### A. Transformation to Mellin moment space

We perform the resummation in Mellin moment space. Starting from Eq. (2) we write the convolution of the partonic cross section with the fragmentation function as the Mellin inverse of the corresponding product of Mellin moments. In this way we find (see [6,26])
\[ \frac{d^2 \sigma}{d \eta d \xi} = \sum_{abc} \int_0^1 dx_b \int_0^1 dx_c \Delta f_{a/\hat{c}}(x_b, \mu_F) \Delta f_{b/\hat{a}}(x_c, \mu_F) \times \int \frac{dN}{2\pi} \left( x^2 \right)^{-N} D_{h/c}^{2N+3}(\mu_F) \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cX}(\eta), \]

where \( D_{h/c}^{2N+3}(\mu) \equiv \int_0^1 dz z^{N-1} D_{h/c}(z, \mu) \). The integration contour \( C \) is initially a line from \( C - \infty \) to \( C + \infty \), with the positive real number \( C \) chosen such that \( C \) passes to the right of all singularities of the integrand. In numerical applications the contour is usually tilted with respect to the real axis as described in [6,15], in order to improve numerical convergence of the Mellin integral. The hard-scattering function in Mellin moment space is given by

\[ \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cX}^{N}(\hat{\eta}) \equiv 2 \int_0^1 d\zeta (1 - \zeta)^{N-1} \frac{\zeta^2 - z^2}{8v} \frac{d\Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cX}}{dv dw}, \]

with \( \zeta \equiv \eta (1 - w) = 1 - \zeta \), \( \eta \). Note that \( \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cX}^{N} \) depends on \( \zeta \) and on the factorization and renormalization scales. In Mellin space, the logarithms \( \alpha_s^2 \ln^m(1 - w)/ (1 - w)^{1-h} \) discussed above turn into logarithms of the form \( \alpha_s^2 \ln^{m+1}(N) \). As seen from Eq. (13), we keep the parton distribution functions in \( x \)-space: the moments of the fragmentation functions in (13) alone lead to a sufficiently fast falloff of the integrand of the inverse Mellin transform that the convolution with the parton distribution functions can be carried out numerically.

**B. NLL-resummed hard-scattering function**

To NLL accuracy, the resummed hard-scattering function reads (see [6,9,10,25])

\[ \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cd}^{N}(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cX}^{N}(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) \times \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cX}^{N}(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) \times \text{Tr} \{ \Delta H S_N^S S_{S_N} \}_{ab-cd}, \]

with \( \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cd}^{N}(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) \) and \( \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cX}^{N}(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) \). The functions \( \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cd}^{N}(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) \) and \( \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cX}^{N}(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) \) are independent (and spin independent) and may describe soft-gluon radiation collinear to the initial-state parton \( a \), the initial-state parton \( b \) and the fragmenting parton \( c \), respectively. They are exponentials and given in the MS scheme by [9]

\[ \ln \Delta \tilde{w}_{ab-cd}^{N}(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) = - \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N-1} - 1}{1 - z} \int \frac{dt}{(1 - z)^2} A_1(\alpha_s(\hat{\eta})) \]

\[ - 2 \int \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\eta}} \mu}{\mu} \gamma_1(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) \]

\[ + 2 \int \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\eta}} \mu}{\mu} \gamma_{ii}(N, \alpha_s(\mu^2)), \]

where the functions \( A_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_{ii} \) are perturbative series in the strong coupling and are given explicitly for example in [15]. The function \( J_d^N \) describes soft and hard collinear emission off the unobserved recoiling parton \( d \). We have [9]

\[ \ln J_d^N(\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mu_F, \mu_R) = \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{1 - z} \frac{z^{N-1} - 1}{1 - z} \times \left\{ \int \frac{(1 - z)^2}{(1 - z)^2} A_1(\alpha_s(\hat{\eta})) \gamma_d(\alpha_s((1 - z)\hat{\eta})) \right\} \]

\[ + 2 \int \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\eta}} \mu}{\mu} \gamma_d(\alpha_s(\mu^2)). \]

Each of the functions in the trace term in (15) is a matrix in the space of color exchange operators, and the trace is taken also in this space [10,11,26]. The function \( \Delta H_{ab-cd} \) describes the spin-dependent hard scattering and \( S_{ab-cd} \) is a soft function for wide-angle gluon radiation. Following the formalism of [26] one can expand each of the functions perturbatively, so that the hard-scattering function reads

\[ \Delta H_{ab-cd}(\hat{\eta}, \alpha_s) = \Delta H_{ab-cd}^{(0)}(\hat{\eta}) + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Delta H_{ab-cd}^{(1)}(\hat{\eta}) + O(\alpha_s^2). \]

The lowest-order terms are given in [24]. Analogously we get for the soft function

\[ S_{ab-cd}(\hat{\eta}, \alpha_s) = S_{ab-cd}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} S_{ab-cd}^{(1)}(\hat{\eta}, \alpha_s, \sqrt{\hat{\eta}}, N) + O(\alpha_s^2). \]

In the latter the \( N \) dependence shows up only at next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic level (NNLL). The LO terms \( S_{ab-cd}^{(0)} \) are \( \eta \) independent (and spin independent) and may for example be found in [28]. Finally, the functions \( S_{N,ab-cd} \) are path-ordered exponentials of integrals over soft anomalous dimension matrices \( \Gamma_{ab-cd} \) [10,11,26]:

\[ S_{N,ab-cd}(\hat{\eta}, \alpha_s) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ \int \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\eta}} \mu}{\mu} \Gamma_{ab-cd}(\hat{\eta}, \alpha_s(\mu)) \right]. \]

The soft anomalous dimension matrices start at \( O(\alpha_s) \),

\[ \Gamma_{ab-cd}(\hat{\eta}, \alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Gamma_{ab-cd}^{(1)}(\hat{\eta}) + O(\alpha_s^2), \]

and can be found to first order in [10,11,28,29]. According to [13,26], to NLL we can approximate

\[ \text{Tr} \{ \Delta H S_N^S S_{S_N} \}_{ab-cd} \]

\[ \approx \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Delta C_{ab-cd}^{(1)}(\hat{\eta}) \right) \text{Tr} \{ \Delta H^{(0)} S_N^S S_{S_N} \}_{ab-cd}, \]

where we have introduced \( N \)-independent, spin-dependent hard-scattering coefficients \( \Delta C_{ab-cd}^{(1)} \) that are determined by
the $\Delta H_{ab\rightarrow cd}^{(1)}$ and $S_{ab\rightarrow cd}^{(1)}$. They originate from virtual corrections at NLO and match the resummed cross section to the NLO one. Hence we can extract them by comparing the first-order expansion of the resummed partonic cross section with the exact NLO one from [20]. The coefficients for the direct case have been published in our previous paper [15].

We are now ready to insert all ingredients into Eq. (15) and expand the result to NLL. Such expansions are standard; see, for example, Refs. [6,15], and we do not provide them here. We have checked that all single- and double-logarithmic terms of the exact NLO cross section are reproduced by our NLL partonic cross section.

C. Inverse Mellin transform and matching procedure

After carrying out the resummation procedure for the hard scattering, we have to perform an inverse Mellin transform as seen in Eq. (13). Here we have to deal with singularities appearing in the NLL exponents caused by the Landau pole in the perturbative strong coupling. As in our earlier papers [6,15] we use the minimal prescription method introduced in [30] and choose the integration contour such that the Landau poles lie to the right of the contour. Furthermore, in order to make sure that NLO is included in our theoretical predictions, we match our contour such that the Landau poles lie to the right of the transverse momentum to be at least $p_T = 1.75$ GeV, although experimental data are available down to $p_T = 0.7$ GeV.

For the calculations of the unpolarized NLL resummed cross section we follow Ref. [6] and use the numerical code of that work. Unless stated otherwise, we choose the renormalization and factorization scales as the transverse momentum of the produced charged hadron, $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_T \equiv \mu = p_T$. To have some confidence that our perturbative methods are valid, we require the hadron transverse momentum to be at least $p_T = 1.75$ GeV, although experimental data are available down to $p_T = 0.7$ GeV.

We use the helicity-dependent parton distributions of Ref. [31] (DSSV2014) and the unpolarized ones of Ref. [32] (MSTW). For the resolved processes we adopt the polarized and unpolarized photonic parton distributions of Refs. [33,34], respectively. In the case of the polarized ones, we choose the “maximal” set of distributions, corresponding to the simple assumption that the polarized and spin-averaged photonic parton distributions are equal at some low initial scale. As shown in Ref. [17], the “minimal” set of [33] will lead to rather similar results, since for the kinematics we consider that the process $\gamma N \rightarrow hX$ mostly probes high values of $x_i$ where the inhomogeneous term in the photon evolution equations tends to dominate and the photonic parton distributions become relatively insensitive to the boundary condition assumed for evolution.

The situation concerning the fragmentation functions is a bit more involved. The COMPASS data are for all charged hadrons, specified only by charge, but not by species. In our previous paper we used the set of Ref. [35] (DSS07) which provides fragmentation functions for such “unidentified” hadrons. On the other hand, fragmentation functions for pions and kaons were substantially updated recently in [36] (DSS14) and [37] (DSS17), and it seems prudent to make use of this latest information. As pions and kaons constitute by far the largest fraction of produced charged hadrons, we hence also adopt the recent sets, adding pions and kaons to by far the largest fraction of produced charged hadrons, we hence also adopt the recent sets, adding pions and kaons to the maximal virtuality of the exchanged photon, which we use in the Weizsäcker-Williams spectrum (9). We also introduce the COMPASS cut $0.2 \leq z \leq 0.8$ for the energy fraction of the virtual photon carried by the hadron, as well as their cut on the lepton’s momentum fraction carried by the photon, $0.1 \leq y \leq 0.9$. (Note that in our previous publication [15] we used $0.2 \leq y \leq 0.9$; however the impact of the precise choice is small.) The scattering angle of the detected hadrons lies between $10 \leq \theta \leq 120$ mrad, corresponding to the pseudorapidity range $-0.1 \leq \eta \leq 2.38$. When analyzing the asymmetries, we consider this full rapidity range as well as the smaller bins $[-0.1,0.45],[0.45,0.9]$ and $[0.9,2.4]$.

IV. PHENOMENOLOGICAL RESULTS

The goal of our calculation is to provide improved theoretical predictions for the polarized cross section and the double-longitudinal spin asymmetry for the photoproduction process $\mu N \rightarrow \mu' hX$, and to compare with the published COMPASS data [1,2]. COMPASS uses a longitudinally polarized muon beam with a mean beam energy of $E_\mu = 160$ GeV. With a stationary nucleon target (we approximate the deuteron as a system of a free proton and neutron) this corresponds to a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{S} = 17.4$ GeV. COMPASS adopts $Q^2_{\max} = 1$ GeV$^2$ for
A. Polarized and unpolarized resummed cross sections

In Fig. 3 we show the polarized and unpolarized cross sections for the process $\mu d \rightarrow \mu' (\pi, K)^\pm X$ at next-to-leading order and for the resummed case including the matching described in Eq. (23). The symbols in the figure show the results of expansions of the corresponding nonmatched resummed cross sections to NLO. As described above, we use the DSS14 and DSS17 fragmentation functions and sum over the contributions from produced pions and kaons.

We first consider the spin-averaged cross section. Here, the difference between the NLO cross section and the resummed one is sizable, especially at high $p_T$ when the threshold is more closely approached. The NLO expansion of the resummed cross section shows very good agreement with the full NLO result, illustrating that threshold resummation correctly reproduces the dominant parts of the cross section. This plot is very similar to the one shown in [6] before, except for our use of the more recent fragmentation functions (and parton distribution functions).

Concerning the polarized case, we first see that the first-order expanded resummed cross section describes the full NLO one somewhat less accurately than in the unpolarized case (although still rather well), indicating that subleading NLO contributions are more relevant here. The same observation was made in [15]. It may be partly explained in the following way: The direct part of the polarized cross section includes the two competing LO contributions $\gamma q \rightarrow qg$ and $\gamma g \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, which enter with opposite signs and cancel to some extent. This was already observed in the NLO calculation of Ref. [17]. The full spin-dependent direct resummed cross section (using DSS14 and DSS17 fragmentation functions) is negative, while the resummed resolved contribution turns out to be positive and dominant for our choice of polarized parton distributions of the

FIG. 3. Spin-averaged and spin-dependent NLO and resummed (matched) cross sections for combined pion and kaon production in $\mu d \rightarrow \mu' hX$. We consider the full COMPASS rapidity range $-0.1 \leq \eta \leq 2.38$. We also compare the NLO expansions of the (nonmatched) resummed cross sections to the full NLO results (symbols).

FIG. 4. (a) Scale dependence of the spin-dependent “pion-plus-kaon” production cross section in $\mu d$ scattering at LO, NLO, and for the resummed case, using the DSS14 + DSS17 fragmentation functions. We have varied the scales $\mu = \mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_F$ in the range $p_T/2 \leq \mu \leq 2p_T$. The upper borders of the bands correspond to $\mu = p_T/2$, and the lower ones to $\mu = 2p_T$. We show results only when the scale exceeds 1 GeV. We use the rapidity range $-0.1 \leq \eta \leq 2.38$. (b) Same for the DSS07 fragmentation functions.
photon. On aggregate, this results in a positive polarized cross section. It is perhaps to be expected that in the presence of such partial cancellations the expanded resummed cross section cannot trace the full NLO one too faithfully.

For the same reason, the polarized cross section would be expected to be quite sensitive to higher-order perturbative corrections. Nonetheless, threshold resummation turns out to give only relatively small corrections to the NLO

FIG. 5. Double-longitudinal spin asymmetries $A_{LL}$ for (a) $\mu d \rightarrow \mu^0 hX$ and (b) $\mu p \rightarrow \mu^0 hX$ in three rapidity bins, compared to the COMPASS data [2]. We show the NLO and resummed results using the combined pion-plus-kaon fragmentation functions of DSS14 and DSS17. The symbols denote the results for the asymmetry when the (nonmatched) resummed cross sections are expanded to first order.
results, as may be seen from Fig. 3. The resummed cross section shows only a modest enhancement over the NLO one up to \( p_T \lesssim 3.75 \) GeV and even falls slightly below NLO for yet higher \( p_T \). As a consequence of this different behavior higher-order threshold effects will not cancel in the spin asymmetries, as we will show explicitly below. The fact that the various spin-dependent subprocesses conspire to produce overall relatively small QCD corrections is an important outcome of our threshold resummation study.

In order to estimate the sensitivity of the polarized cross section to the choice of renormalization and factorization scales \( \mu = \mu_R = \mu_F = \mu'_F \) we vary them in the range

![Graphs showing spin asymmetry as a function of \( p_T \) for different ranges of \( \eta \).](image-url)

**FIG. 6.** Same as Fig. 5, but now also showing the results for the DSS07 set of charged-hadron fragmentation functions.
The results are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) for the “pion-plus-kaon” fragmentation functions of DSS14 and DSS17 and the charged-hadron ones of DSS07, respectively. In both cases we find a certain reduction of scale dependence when going from NLO to the resummed case, although it remains unpleasantly large. On the other hand, at LO the scale uncertainty is an order of magnitude, clearly demonstrating the need for higher-order theory calculations.

### B. Double-spin asymmetry

Our results for the double longitudinal spin asymmetries $A_{\text{LL}}$ for single-inclusive charged hadron production with a deuteron or a proton target are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, compared to the COMPASS data [2]. The asymmetry is defined as the ratio of the spin-dependent and the spin-averaged cross section:

$$A_{\text{LL}} = \frac{d\Delta\sigma}{d\sigma}.$$  

Figure 5 shows our NLO and resummed results for the pion-plus-kaon fragmentation functions of DSS14 [36] and DSS17 [37]. We investigate the three rapidity bins $[-0.1, 0.45]$, $[0.45, 0.9]$ and $[0.9, 2.4]$. The symbols in the figure show the results for the asymmetry when the (nonmatched) resummed polarized and spin-averaged cross sections are expanded to first order.

As was to be anticipated from the results shown in Fig. 3, the threshold effects do not cancel in the double-longitudinal spin asymmetry $A_{\text{LL}}$ and rather tend to decrease the asymmetry when going from NLO to the resummed case. This implies that threshold logarithms beyond NLO cannot be ignored and have to be resummed to all orders.

Within the rather large experimental uncertainties we find an overall fair agreement between our resummed results and the COMPASS data. Some tension is observed perhaps for positively charged hadrons produced off a proton target. Resummation, especially that for the resolved contribution, tends to improve the description of the experimental results.

Figure 6 investigates the sensitivity to the choice of fragmentation functions, by comparing the results shown in Fig. 5 with those obtained for the DSS07 set [35]. We find that the more recent fragmentation functions lead to a significantly better agreement with the experimental data, especially for the case of negatively charged hadrons produced off a proton target. This improvement is the result of an interplay of several features. By and large, the cross section for $\mu p \rightarrow \mu' h^- X$ is expected to be more sensitive to “nonfavored” fragmentation functions than the one for production of positive hadrons. These functions are now a little better determined from data taken in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, in the spin-dependent case there are several competing contributions (of partly opposite signs) to the cross section. As a result, even relatively small differences in the fragmentation functions can make a sizable effect. Even the gluon fragmentation, which is smaller in DSS14 than in DSS07, plays a role here. These findings clearly demonstrate the need for further improved determinations of the fragmentation functions.

### V. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have presented a detailed phenomenological study of the impact of next-to-leading logarithmic threshold resummation on the spin-dependent high-$p_T$ photoproduction cross section for $\mu N \rightarrow \mu' hX$ and on the corresponding double-longitudinal spin asymmetry $A_{\text{LL}}$. Compared to our previous calculation [15] which focused on the direct “pointlike” contribution to the cross section, we have now also included resummation for the resolved-photon contribution, for which the photon behaves like a hadron.

Our phenomenological studies have shown that for the kinematics relevant for the COMPASS experiment the spin-dependent cross section receives smaller corrections from resummation than the spin-averaged one. As a result, the threshold corrections do not cancel in the double-spin asymmetry but rather tend to decrease the asymmetry, leading to an overall better agreement between the COMPASS data and theory. Thus, inclusion of threshold resummation is vital for phenomenology for COMPASS kinematics. We expect this to remain true also at a future Electron Ion Collider (EIC) where the process $\mu N \rightarrow \mu' hX$ could be explored with unprecedented precision and kinematic reach [38]. While our present calculation marks the state of the art for theoretical studies of $\mu N \rightarrow \mu' hX$ it appears that at an EIC an even higher level of theoretical precision will be needed. This may be achieved, for example, by extending our resummation studies to next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy.

We have also found that the parton-to-hadron fragmentation functions have a strong impact on the size and shape of the predicted spin asymmetries $A_{\text{LL}}$. The most recent sets which contain much more up-to-date experimental information help to improve the description of the COMPASS data. Nevertheless, the fragmentation functions arguably remain a primary source of systematic theoretical uncertainty, so that continued improvements of the functions are necessary. Promising avenues in this direction are perhaps offered by studies of hadron fragmentation inside jets [39–42].
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