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#### Abstract

Prologue

This thesis reports on the synthesis and characterization of mono-, di-, and oligonuclear cobalt complexes with diphosphacyclobutadiene and diphosphacyclobutene ligands. Chapter 1 reviews the chemistry of transition metal phosphaalkyne and diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes. Besides the synthesis and structural motifs of diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes, this chapter also deals with the coordination properties of such species. In chapters 2 and 3 , the functionalization of the diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand is discussed. Chapters 4 and 5 describe coordination studies, which have resulted in the synthesis and characterization of numerous new di- and oligonuclear complexes with diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands. Chapter 6 reports on the thermal properties as well as the potential application of complexes with diphosphacyclobutadienes and other phosphorus containing ligands in atomic layer deposition. The final chapter 7 summarizes the results of this thesis and gives a brief outlook.
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## 1 The Chemistry of Phosphaalkyne and <br> DIPhosphacyclobutadiene Complexes

### 1.1 Introduction

### 1.1.1 Historical Development of Phosphaalkyne Chemistry

Phosphaalkynes and their oligomerization products (in particular diphosphacyclobutadienes and triphosphabenzenes) have attracted much attention in the past three decades due to their versatile coordination properties. ${ }^{1,2}$ Several synthetic routes to a wide range of phosphaalkynes have been described. ${ }^{2, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}$ Phosphaacetylene, $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$, the simplest phosphaalkyne, and, according to the isolobal concept, the phosphorus-containing counterpart of acetylene, was initially proposed by Albers in 1950 and characterized by Gier in $1961 .{ }^{3}$ Nevertheless, Becker and co-workers were able to isolate $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ only 20 years later. ${ }^{4} t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ is kinetically stabilized by a tert-butyl substituent. To date, it remains the most commonly used phosphaalkyne. Subsequently, numerous related phosphaalkynes were synthesized and their reaction chemistry was explored in detail. ${ }^{5}$ Apart from serving as starting materials for a wide range of new phosphaorganic compounds, these investigations opened a new field in coordination chemistry. ${ }^{2,6,7}$
The original synthesis of phosphaalkynes devised by Becker and subsequently developed further by Regitz and co-workers is shown in Scheme $1 .{ }^{7}$ Phosphide 1a or phosphane 1b is treated with the corresponding acyl chloride to give a phosphaalkene 2, followed by base-catalyzed $\beta$-elimination of hexamethyldisiloxane. ${ }^{7}$ This procedure is most common for the synthesis of phosphaalkynes $\mathbf{3}$, however, there are also other protocols describing the elimination of hydrogen halides, chlorotrimethylsilanes, or lithium trimethylsilanolates. ${ }^{2 c}$ The scope of phosphaalkynes synthesized by this approach is limited by the stabilities of the corresponding precursor and the product. ${ }^{2 a}$


$$
\xrightarrow[\text { - } \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiOSiMe}_{3}]{\text { base }} \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{P}} \begin{array}{ll}
\text { 3a } \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { 3e } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ad} \\
\text { 3b } \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Pent} \\
\text { 3c } \mathrm{R}=i \mathrm{Pr} \\
\text { 3d } \mathrm{R}=i \mathrm{Bu}
\end{array}
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \text { 3f } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cy} \\
& 3 \mathrm{~g} R=\mathrm{Mes} \\
& 3 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Mes}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Scheme 1. Synthetic route toward common phosphaalkynes. ${ }^{7}$ ( $t \mathrm{Bu}=$ tert-butyl, tPent $=$ tert-pentyl, $i \mathrm{Pr}=$ iso-propyl, $i \mathrm{Bu}=$ iso-butyl, $\mathrm{Ad}=$ adamantyl, $\mathrm{Cy}=$ cyclohexyl, $\mathrm{Mes}=2,4,6-\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Mes} *=2,4,6-$ $\left.(t \mathrm{Bu})_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$

### 1.1.2 The Coordination Chemistry of Phosphaalkynes

Phosphaalkynes are versatile ligands to transition metal atoms and ions which display a wide range of coordination modes including both side-on (A) and end-on $(\mathbf{B})$ as well as diverse bridging modes (e.g. C-E, Figure 1). ${ }^{8}$ Furthermore, they can act as ligands donating a total of two, four or six electrons as demonstrated by prominent examples. The first complex featuring a phosphaalkyne in its structural framework was reported by Nixon and co-workers. This complex exhibits $t \mathrm{BuCP}$ side-on (type $\mathbf{A}$ ) coordinated to $\mathrm{Pt}^{0}$ acting as $2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$donating ligand (4, Figure 1). ${ }^{9}$ In the same publication, the authors also described the $4 \mathrm{e}^{-}$bridging mode $\mathbf{D}$, which is exemplified by the dicobalt complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(t \mathrm{BuCP})\right](\mathbf{7})$, which is prepared by adding $t \mathrm{BuCP}$ to a THF solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ and isolated as light-sensitive, red oil. While the crystal structure of this compound was not obtained due to its being an oil, addition of $\left[\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mathrm{THF})\right]$ afforded $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(t \mathrm{BuCP}) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right](\mathbf{8})$, in which a new Lewis-acidic $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ moiety is also bound to the $t$ BuCP ligand, now displaying the $6 \mathrm{e}^{-}$donating mode $\mathbf{E} \cdot{ }^{10}$ In comparison with side-on binding, $\eta^{1}$-type coordination $\mathbf{B}$ is usually unfavored and thus only accessible with sterically demanding phosphaalkynes such as $\operatorname{AdCP}$ (5) or bulky ligands such as dppe ( $=1,2$-bis(diphenylphosphano)ethane) in order to avoid competing $\eta^{2}$-type coordination ${ }^{11}$ or in combination with the side-on $\eta^{2}$-fashion (mode $\mathbf{C}$ ) demonstrated by $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dppe})(t \mathrm{BuCP}) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ (6). ${ }^{12}$ This competing ligation can be explained by the nature of the orbitals centered at the carbon and the phosphorus atom of the phosphaalkyne. Photoelectron spectroscopy revealed that the HOMO is essentially centered at the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ triple bond, while the HOMO-1 is located at the phosphorus lone pair. ${ }^{13}$
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Figure 1. Coordination modes of phosphaalkynes (A-E).

### 1.2 Transition Metal-Mediated Oligomerization

### 1.2.1 Dimerization and Trimerization

The first examples for the cyclodimerization of phosphaalkynes in the coordination sphere of transition metal centers were independently reported by Nixon and co-workers and the group of Binger and Regitz. ${ }^{14} t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ undergoes metal-mediated [2+2]-cycloaddition to give $\eta^{4}$-coordinated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. The first examples of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes obtained by cyclodimerization of phosphaalkynes. ${ }^{14}$

Subsequently, it was shown that iron(0), titanium(0), and molybdenum(0) also mediate the cyclodimerization of phosphaalkynes. ${ }^{15}$ Treatment of the $\mathrm{Ni}($ II $)$ precursor $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}(\mathrm{acac}=$ acetyl acetonate) with two equivalents of $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ at low temperature and subsequent addition of four equivalents of 3a afforded the bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene)nickel (17, Scheme 3). ${ }^{16}$ Alternatively, this complex can be obtained by reacting $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right]$ with four equivalents of phosphaalkyne. ${ }^{17}$ Remarkably, this was the first homoleptic 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complex.


17
Scheme 3. The first example of a homoleptic bis(1,3-diphosphayclobutadiene) complex. ${ }^{16}$
Wolf, Lammertsma and co-workers later found that the reaction of the anthracene metalates $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}^{18}$ afforded homoleptic $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ complexes $\left[\mathrm{K}([18]\right.$ crown- 6$\left.)(\text { (thf })_{2}\right][\mathbf{2 0}]$ and $[\mathrm{K}([18]$ crown-6)$\left.(t h f)_{2}\right][21]$, respectively (Scheme 4). ${ }^{19 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ Cyclic voltammetry studies showed that the $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anions (20 and 21) can be reversibly oxidized to the neutral species $\mathbf{2 2}$ and 23, which was achieved chemically by treatment with ferrocenium hexafluorophosphate ( $\mathrm{FcPF}_{6}$ ). ${ }^{19}$


Scheme 4. Synthesis of anionic bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene) complexes [K([18]crown-6)(thf)2][20] and $\left[K([18] \text { crown-6)(thf) })_{2}\right][21]$ and oxidation to the neutral compounds $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$ ( $\mathrm{Fc}=$ Ferrocene).

Although most diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes reported in the literature incorporate the 1,3-isomer, some 1,2-derivatives have also been described, including titanium( 0 ) complexes 24a and 24b, ${ }^{20}$ the iron(0) complex 25, ${ }^{21}$ the tantalum(III) complex 26, ${ }^{22}$ and the tungsten( 0 ) complex 27, in which the diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand is stabilized by coordination of two $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ fragments ${ }^{23}$ (Figure 2).


24a $R=t B u$
24b $R=A d$


25


26


27

Figure 2. Illustration of examples of 1,2-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes.
Very recently, Scheer and co-workers reported the synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-1,2-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathbf{z}_{2}\right)\right]$ (28, $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\mathrm{C}_{5} t \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ). This 1,2-diphosphacyclobutadiene complex can be obtained either by thermolysis of the 1,3-derivative 29 or by release from the corresponding $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ coordination compound 30 (Scheme 5), which was prepared by treating [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (29) with $[\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{pftb})]\left(\mathrm{pftb}=\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{4}\right) .{ }^{24}$


Scheme 5. Synthesis of 28 by either (i) thermolysis of 29 or (ii) treatment of $\mathbf{3 0}$ with pyridine at room temperature.

While examples of phosphaalkynes cyclodimerizing in the coordination sphere of transition metals are far more numerous, ${ }^{25}$ a few examples have nevertheless demonstrated that phosphaalkynes can also cyclotrimerize forming 1,3,5-triphosphabenzenes in a manner analogous to alkyne trimerization reactions. The first such trimerization was reported for the molybdenum(0) complex 31 by Barron and Cowley. ${ }^{26 a}$ Refluxing the cycloheptatriene-carbonyl molybdenum complex $\mathbf{3 2}$ with 3a in THF gave $\mathbf{3 1}$ in moderate yield (Scheme 6). At the same time, Binger and co-workers described the formation of valence isomers of 1,3,5-triphosphabenzene mediated by vanadium ( $\mathbf{3 4}$ and $\mathbf{3 5}$, Scheme 6). ${ }^{26 \mathrm{~b}}$ Nixon has also reported the ruthenium(II)-mediated trimerization of 3a. ${ }^{27}$ The structural motif in this case is different, featuring a metallaphosphaalkene fragment bound to a bent, fluoro-substituted, four-membered $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring that exhibits a novel 2-phosphaallylic unit (37).


Scheme 6. Cyclotrimerization of phosphaalkynes in the coordination sphere of molybdenum(0), vanadium(I), and ruthenium(II).

### 1.2.2 Access to further Phosphaorganometallic Ligands by Phosphaalkyne Oligomerization

1,2- and 1,3-Diphosphacyclobutadienes are the most common products of phosphaalkyne oligomerization. However, other ligand types can also be accessible through co-condensation or high temperature approaches such as metal vapor synthesis. Nixon reported that the cocondensation of electron-beam generated molybdenum atoms with $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ (3a) affords the tris(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene)molybdenum complex 38 (Figure 3). ${ }^{28 a}$ The same compound can be obtained as side product when a THF solution of $f a c-\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]$ and $\mathbf{3 a}$ (four equivalents) is heated to reflux for eight hours, while the main product is $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$ (39). ${ }^{28 b}$

In contrast, reaction of cobalt atoms generated through a metal vapor approach with 3a gave three heteroleptic compounds featuring a combination of a 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand and a second ligand, i.e. 1,2,4-triphosphacyclopentadienyl (40), 1,3-diphosphacyclopentadienyl (41), and 1,3,5,7-tetraphosphabarrelene (42) (Figure 3). ${ }^{29}$


Figure 3. Complexes obtained via co-condensation of $t B u C P$ (3a) with metal atoms generated by electron beam or metal-vapor synthesis methods.

Meanwhile, treatment of iron, chromium or vanadium atoms generated by metal vapor techniques with 3a afforded pentaphosphametallocenes 43-45. ${ }^{30}$ By contrast, treatment of nickel atoms obtained by the same approach with 3a yielded two compounds of which one was identified as the known homoleptic $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right.$ ) (17). The second species (46) was characterized by X-ray crystallographic studies on the $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ adduct. These studies confirmed that this complex features a phosphirenyl cation $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)^{+}$(Figure 4). ${ }^{31}$

$43 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}$
$44 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}$
$45 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}$

Figure 4. Different structural motifs obtained by co-condensation reactions of $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Ni atoms with $t \mathrm{BuC}=\mathrm{P}$.

### 1.2.3 Coordination Compounds and Metal Aggregates

The phosphorus atoms in the structural framework of diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes can be used to coordinate further metal atoms, leading to oligonuclear coordination compounds. ${ }^{14 b, c}$ The first examples of such oligonuclear species, compounds 47 and 48 (Figure 5, top), were prepared by treating mononuclear $\left[\mathrm{CpCo}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)\right]$ (12a,b) with one and two equivalents of $\left[\mathrm{CpCo}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ (9), respectively. Subsequently, the coordination behavior of diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes was investigated in detail by several groups. ${ }^{32}$ Nixon and co-workers described the coordination of $\mathbf{1 2}$ to one or two platinum centers (49-51). ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~d}}$ The same authors reported the remarkable hexanuclear complexes 52 and 53 , in which four molecules of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 14, respectively, are coordinated to a $\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ fragment. ${ }^{32 a, d}$ Complexes 54 and 55 (Figure 5, bottom), reported by Stone and co-workers, show the anionic $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)\right]^{-}$ fragment coordinated to $\left[\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]^{+}$in $\mathbf{5 4}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$in $\mathbf{5 5}$, respectively. ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}}$


12a $R=t B u$
12b $R=i P r$
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47a $R=t B u$
47b $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{iPr}$
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$53 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}$
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Figure 5. First $\sigma$-coordination compounds of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes.
It is in this context that Wolf and co-workers have been investigating the coordination behavior of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich anion (21), which exhibits four phosphorus atoms in its structural framework. Reactions with coinage metal halides afforded various oligonuclear compounds (Figure 6). ${ }^{33}$ Di- and trinuclear structures 56-62 were formed when $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, t \mathrm{Pent}\right.$, Ad) was reacted with $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{4}\right], \mathrm{AgCl}$, or $\mathrm{AuCl}(\mathrm{tht})$ in a $1: 1$ ratio and in the presence of tertiary phosphanes. Complex 63 was obtained by reacting $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{3}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Pent}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with two equivalents of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ and an excess amount of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. In the absence of phosphanes, polymeric compounds 64 and 65 formed, which were characterized by solid-state NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. Treatment of $\mathbf{6 0}$ with four equivalents of $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ afforded the unprecedented molecular square $\left.\left[\mathrm{Au}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]_{4}$ (66), which consists of four units of anion 21 connected by four gold cations (Figure 6, bottom). ${ }^{34}$

$56 \mathbf{M}=\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{x}=2$
$57 \mathbf{M}=\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{R}=t$ Pent, $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{x}=2$
$58 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{R}=$ tPent, $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{x}=3$
$59 \mathbf{M}=A u, R=t$ Pent, $R^{\prime}=M e, x=2$

$\begin{array}{ll}60 & R=t B u, R^{\prime}=P h \\ 61 & R=A d, R^{\prime}=M e\end{array}$

$\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}$
62


63

$64 M=A g$
$65 M=A u$


Figure 6. Coordination compounds with coinage metals obtained by our group.
A second synthetic pathway to 66 is the $1: 1$ reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Tl}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (67) with $\mathrm{AuCl}(\mathrm{tht})$. Reaction of 67 with $\left[\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{I}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Br}\right]$ afforded dinuclear compounds 68 and 69 (Figure 7). ${ }^{35}$ Similar to the coinage metal complexes shown in Figure 6 and the earlier examples reported by Binger, Nixon, and Stone, the molecular structures of 68 and 69 show $\sigma$-coordinated diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands. ${ }^{14 b, c, 32}$


68


69

Figure 7. First examples of the homoleptic bis(diphosphacyclobutadiene)cobaltate coordinated to 3d transition metals.

### 1.3 Conclusion

Phosphaalkynes show a wide variety of coordination modes which have been investigated by several groups. These studies revealed that phosphaalkynes can act as two, four or six electron donating ligands. Moreover, they undergo cyclization reactions to form low-coordinate phosphorus heterocycles such as diphosphacyclobutadienes (dimerization), triphosphabenzenes (trimerization) as well as other cyclic oligomers like di- or triphospholides and phosphinines.

In particular, the metal-mediated [2+2]-cyclodimerization in the coordination sphere of transition metal atoms has turned out to be a facile route to form metal-complexed diphosphacyclobutadienes which are unstable in their free, uncoordinated form. Depending on the steric demand of the substituents on the phosphaalkyne, 1,2- as well as 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadienes are accessible, however, due to the wide use of sterically encumbered tert-butylphosphaalkyne most reported complexes feature the 1,3-derivative. The chemistry of such diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes has been substantially explored in the past 30 years with the main focus being on the investigation of the coordination behavior. Most of the reported coordination compounds feature coordination of the diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand to coinage metal cations and precious metal cations of rhodium, palladium, and platinum. Notably, the formation of $\sigma$-complexes has been exclusively observed with such metal cations.

Wolf and co-workers contributed to this area by developing homoleptic bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene) sandwich anions which afforded new coordination compounds. The most striking example is the octanuclear $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]_{4}(\mathbf{6 6})$ macrocycle. Expanding the investigations to 3d metal atoms afforded two novel dinuclear compounds 68 and 69, where the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion (21) coordinates to $\left[\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$. Further investigations into the coordination behavior of 21 toward 3 d and 4 d metal cations was one of the main goals of this thesis. In this course, we wished to explore the ability of 21 to act as a $\pi$ ligand to another metal cation forming a tripledecker or a tripledecker-like complex. Therefore, a suitable precursor was essential to enable this rare coordination mode.

Furthermore, functionalization of the diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand was also a fascinating goal which might give access to novel P-containing ligands. This could be realized by introducing reactive groups ${ }^{36}$ (e.g. electrophiles) followed by addition of suitable, organic substrates. Reactivity toward nucleophiles might also give access to diverse new complexes bearing one or even two functionalized ligand moieties. While the reactivity of diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes toward electrophilic transition metal complexes has been extensively explored, reports on the reactivity of diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes toward nucleophiles are scarce. ${ }^{28 b, 37}$
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## 2 FUNCTIONALIZATION OF 1,3-DIPHOSPHACYCLOBUTADIENE Cobalt COMPLEXES VIA SI-P BOND INSERTION ${ }^{[a, b]}$

Christian Rödl, Jennifer Bissmeyer neé Malberg, and Robert Wolf
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### 2.1 Introduction

The synthesis of tert-butyl-phosphaalkyne $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ by Becker and co-workers was a milestone in organophosphorus chemistry. ${ }^{1}$ Since then, the chemistry of phosphaalkynes has been extensively developed, including their coordination behavior toward transition metals where $\sigma$ - and $\pi$ coordination as well as the cyclization of phosphaalkynes in the coordination sphere have been observed. ${ }^{2,3}$ Numerous contributions have shown that cyclodimerizations in the coordination sphere of transition metal cations may result in 1,2- and 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes. ${ }^{4,5}$ The preferred formation of the $1,2-$ vs. the 1,3 -isomer depends on the steric demand of the substituent on the phosphaalkyne. 1,3-Diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes are much more prevalent in the literature due to the sterically encumbering nature of the most commonly used phosphaalkynes such as $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$.

We have shown that the sandwich complex anion $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}(\mathbf{1 a}$, Figure 1$)$ is readily accessible on a multigram scale by reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}=\right.$ anthracene $)$ and $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P} .{ }^{6}$ This complex exhibits a $C_{2 v}$ symmetrical structure with two $\eta^{4}$-coordinated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands. Preliminary reactivity studies have shown that anion 1a reacts with $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, MeI, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$ to afford neutral sandwich complexes $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C}$ (Figure 1). ${ }^{6 a}$ The structural diversity of these new sandwich compounds was intriguing to us, however, this approach is limited by the redox activity and the basicity of $\mathbf{1 a} .^{7}$


This work: P-Si bond insertion

substrates:
ethers, epoxides, lactones, aldehydes, ketones, isocyanates

Figure 1. Functionalization of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes by P-Si bond insertions.

Here, we report a new approach to access functionalized bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene) cobalt complexes (Figure 1). This new method is based on the observation that the carbon-oxygen bonds of epoxides and carbonyl compounds readily insert into the phosphorus-silicon bond of silylated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene compounds. We describe the synthesis of the reactive silylated sandwich compounds $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, t \mathrm{Pent})$. In addition, we report the synthesis of a stannylated derivative $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnPh}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (4). The
utility and the limitations of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Si}$ bond insertion are demonstrated by a series of reactions of $\mathbf{2 a}$ with epoxides and ethers (styrene oxide, 1,2-epoxy-2-methylpropane, 1,2-epoxyoctane, diethyl ether and 1,2-dimethoxyethane), $\gamma$-butyrolactone, aldehydes (benzaldehydes and cinnamaldehyde), ketones (acetone, 3-pentanone, acetophenone, dibenzylketone, and $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha-$ trifluoroacetophenone), and phenyl isocyanate. From these reactions, several new complexes 5-12 have been identified. We describe our attempts to isolate and characterize these complexes, including the crystallographic characterization of $\left[K(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}], \mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}$, and 9-12.

### 2.2 Results and Discussion

### 2.2.1 Synthesis and Structural and Spectroscopic Characterization of Silylated

## 1,3-Diphosphacyclobutadiene Complexes

In order to access trimethylsilylated derivatives, the synthesis of the THF-free salt $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{tol})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}_{\left.\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 a}] \text {, tol }=\text { toluene }\right) \text { was necessary. Deep-orange }\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 a}] .\right] . ~}^{\text {a }}\right.\right.$ is readily obtained by recrystallization of the known THF solvate $\left.\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}_{\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right.}^{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ $\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 a}]\right)^{8 \mathrm{azc}}$ in hot toluene (Scheme 1a). The closely related tert-pentyl-substituted complex
 analogous manner. The latter complex was also structurally characterized by X-ray crystallography.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of complexes 2a, 2b, 3a-c, and 4 .
Crystals of $\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}]$ were obtained at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from a concentrated toluene solution. The molecular structure (Figure 2, left) is closely related to that of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ ( $\left.\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{4}\right][\mathbf{1 c}]\right)^{8 a}$. The potassium cation interacts with one 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ring of the cobaltate anion in an $\eta^{4}$ fashion. The coordination sphere of the potassium atom is completed by two toluene molecules that coordinate to K 1 in an $\eta^{6}$ fashion ( $\mathrm{K} 1-\mathrm{C}_{\text {tol }} 3.148(4)-3.270(4) \AA$ ). The remaining structural parameters are unexceptional and very close to those of previously reported structures of anion 1a. ${ }^{\text {an, } \mathrm{c}}$ In comparison with some of the structures discussed below, the Co -P distances in $\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}]$ are in a very similar range (2.2452(9)-2.256(1) $\AA$ ).




Figure 2. Solid-state molecular structures of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { tol })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \text { Pent }\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ ([K(tol) $\left.)_{2}\right][1 \mathrm{~b}]$, left), $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2}\right)\right]$ (2a, center), and $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnPh}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2}\right)\right]$ (4, right). The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40\% (for 2a) and 50\% (for [K(tol)2][1b] and 4) probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for [K(tol)2][1b]: P1-K1 3.272(1), P1-Co1 2.2452(9), P1-C1 1.812(3), P1-C2 1.808(4), C2-P1-C1 80.4(2); 2a: P1-Si1 2.2895(9), P1-Co1 2.1685(7), P1-C1 1.785(3), P1-C2 1.782(3); C2-P1-C1 84.4(1); 4: P1-Sn1 2.5347(4), P1-Co1 2.1824(4), P1-C1 1.789(1), P1-C2 1.787(1); C2-P1-C1 83.62(6).

Reaction of $\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 a}]$ and $\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}]$ with one equivalent of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ in toluene afforded the desired silylated complexes $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{2 a}: \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathbf{2 b}: \mathrm{R}=t$ Pent, Scheme 1a). The reaction is quantitative according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR monitoring. Deep-red $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ were isolated in $77 \%$ and $72 \%$ yield, respectively, by crystallization from $n$-pentane. It is important to note that the reactions must be performed in strictly THF- and water-free solvent, otherwise the THF insertion products $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ (vide infra) or the hydride complexes $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{A}: \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathbf{A}^{\prime}: \mathrm{R}=t\right.$ Pent $)$ are formed as side products. In solution, $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ even react with traces of THF contaminant (even THF contamination present in a glovebox atmosphere).

The composition of 2a and $\mathbf{2 b}$ was unequivocally confirmed by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray structure determinations. Crystals of 2a suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were grown from a concentrated $n$-pentane solution at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound 2a crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / n$ with four formula units per unit cell. The structure of $\mathbf{2 a}$ (Figure 2, center) comprises one $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ fragment connected to a phosphorus atom of a $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}$ unit with a P1-Si1 bond length of $2.2895(9) \AA$. This results in a tetrahedral environment for the silicon atom Si1. The P1-Sil distance is in the range of typical phosphorus-silicon single bonds. ${ }^{9}$ As a consequence of the coordination to Si1, the Co1-P1 distance $(2.1685(7) \AA)$ is shorter than the other Co1-P distances (2.2560(8)-2.2706(7) $\AA$ ). Compound 2b is isostructural with 2a (see the Supporting Information, Figure S17 and Table S1).

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 2a in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ features three signals in an intensity ratio of 1:1:2. A singlet at $\delta=-1.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ is assigned to the silylated phosphorus atom. No $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling was
observed. The second phosphorus atom of the silylated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene resonates at $\delta=19.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. The phosphorus atoms of the remaining 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand resonate at $\delta=21.7 \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR data are likewise consistent with the proposed molecular structure, showing three distinct tert-butyl environments. The trimethylsilyl group gives rise to a signal at $\delta=0.28 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum with ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ satellites $\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{29} \mathrm{Si},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$. No ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ coupling was observed for this signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR spectrum shows a doublet of doublets at $\delta=12.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ with ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}^{31}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=26.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and ${ }^{3} J\left({ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}^{31}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The NMR spectroscopic data of $\mathbf{2 b}$ are very similar to those of $\mathbf{2 a}$ (see the Supporting Information) and will therefore not be discussed in further detail.

Isolated samples of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ were contaminated with small amounts of the hydride complexes $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]\left(\mathbf{A}: \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathbf{A}^{\prime}: \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Pent}\right) .{ }^{6 \mathrm{a}}$ This is presumably caused by the presence of traces of moisture in the solvent or traces of HCl in the $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ solution. The amount of hydride by-product varied from $3 \%$ to $23 \%$ in the samples investigated by us (as shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy). Attempts to separate these products by recrystallization or sublimation were thwarted by the very similar solubility and sublimation properties. Nonetheless, the isolated samples $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ can be successfully used in subsequent reactivity studies even when contaminated with $\mathbf{A}$ or $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$, because the hydride complexes are rather unreactive.
$\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right] \quad\left(\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { thf })_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 a}]\right)$ reacts cleanly with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}$ affording $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnPh}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](4)$ in $78 \%$ yield (Scheme 1 b$)$. Note that THF insertion was not observed even though the reaction was performed in THF solvent. Orange crystals of 4 suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained from a saturated diethyl ether solution at room temperature. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ crystallizes in the space group $P \overline{1}$ with two formula units per unit cell. Similar to 2a, the molecular structure of 4 (Figure 2, right) features the $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ group attached to one phosphorus atom of the sandwich molecule. The $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Sn} 1$ bond length of $2.5347(4) \AA$ is in the usual range of phosphorus(III)-tin(IV) single bonds. ${ }^{9}$ As in 2a, the Co1-P1 distance $(2.1824(4) \AA)$ is shorter than the other Co1-P distances $(2.2505(4)-2.2797(4) \AA)$ due to the coordination to Sn 1 .

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 4 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ shows three signals at $\delta=-14.5,22.9$, and 24.6 ppm . The spectrum is similar to that of $\mathbf{2 a}$. The signal at $\delta=-14.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ features ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ satellites $\left[{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=1000 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and therefore can be assigned to phosphorus atom P1 attached to tin. The singlet at $\delta=22.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ also features ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ satellites with a smaller coupling constant $\left[{ }^{3} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=30 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$. Hence, this signal can be assigned to P 2 in the same $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring, while the second 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand in 4, which is not coordinated to tin, gives rise to the signal at $\delta=24.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. In accord with this, the ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum of 4 displays a doublet of doublets at $\delta=-120.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ with coupling constants of ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=1000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and ${ }^{3} J\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=30 \mathrm{~Hz}$, respectively.

### 2.2.2 Functionalization Reactions by Insertion into the Phosphorus-Silicon Bond

### 2.2.2.1 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t B u_{2}\right)\right]$ (2a) with epoxides and ethers

Attempts to synthesize the silylated target compounds $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{2 a}$ : $\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathbf{2 b}: \mathrm{R}=t$ Pent, 2c: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ad})$ by reacting $\left[\mathrm{K}(\operatorname{thf})_{x}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{x}\right][\mathbf{1 a - c}]\right)$ $(x=2-4)$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ in THF were unsuccessful. Instead, NMR monitoring revealed the quantitative formation of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)\right]$ ( $\left.\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{c}\right)$ via $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond cleavage of THF. ${ }^{10} \mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were even obtained from $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 a}]\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{K}(\operatorname{thf})_{3}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Pent}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{3}\right][\mathbf{1 b}]\right)$ in toluene solvent via reaction of the THF solvate molecules coordinated to the potassium cations. They may also be synthesized via reaction of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ (vide supra) with THF (Scheme 1a). Compounds 3a-c were isolated in $37 \%$ to $56 \%$ yield from $n$-hexane or $n$-pentane. These relatively modest yields can presumably be attributed to the high solubility of $\mathbf{3 a - c}$ in apolar solvents; the reactions are essentially quantitative according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. 3a, $\mathbf{b}$ were isolated as orange oils. Attempts to crystallize $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were hampered by their remarkably high solubility in apolar solvents. 3c was obtained as orange X-ray quality crystals from a concentrated toluene solution at $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The molecular structure (Figure 4, left) confirms that the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}$ fragment is bound to one phosphorus atom (P1) of one 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand (P1-C45 1.813(4) $\AA$ ). The structure is related to that of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{B}$, Figure 1$) .{ }^{6 \mathrm{a}}$

Multinuclear NMR spectroscopy has unequivocally supported the proposed molecular structures of $\mathbf{3 a - c}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra each feature one signal for an $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ moiety as well as four multiplets for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups of the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}$ fragment. The tert-butyl, tert-pentyl or adamantyl substituents give rise to a set of three signals in the NMR spectra in a ratio of 1:1:2. In accord with these details, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra (Table 1) show three signals in a ratio of 1:2:1 with a pattern similar to that observed for the methylated complex $\mathbf{B}$ (Figure 1). ${ }^{\text {6a }}$

Table 1. Comparison of the assignment of the ${ }^{31}\left\{\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\right.$ resonances of $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ with the resonances of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2}\right)\right](\mathrm{B})$. Chemical shifts are given in ppm, the multiplicity and the ${ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ coupling constants are given in parentheses. The general labeling scheme is shown in Figure 3.

| Assignment | 3a | 3b | 3c | $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{2 8}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P1 | $55.5(\mathrm{~d}, 15 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $55.4(\mathrm{~d}, 15 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $55.5(\mathrm{~d}, 16 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $41.7(\mathrm{br})$ |
| P2 | $-50.0(\mathrm{~d}, 15 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $-45.5(\mathrm{~d}, 15 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $-57.3(\mathrm{~d}, 16 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $-50.5(\mathrm{~d}, 14 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| P3 and P4 | $25.8(\mathrm{~s})$ | $29.4(\mathrm{~s})$ | $19.1(\mathrm{~s})$ | $25.5(\mathrm{~s})$ |



$$
\mathrm{E}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}(\mathbf{3 a - c}) \text { or } \mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{B})
$$

Figure 3. Labeling scheme for the insertion products 3a-c and the methylated complex B.




Figure 4. Solid state molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OSiMe} \mathrm{M}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)\right]$ (3c, left), $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)-\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2}\right)\right]$ (5, center), and $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left(\eta^{4}-P_{2} C_{2} t B u_{2}\right)\right]$ (9, right). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $40 \%$ probability level. H -atoms and the toluene solvate molecule of $\mathbf{3 c}$ are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths $\left[\AA \AA\right.$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\mathbf{3 c}$ : P1-C45 1.813(4), O1-Si1 1.646(3), P1-C1-P2-C2/P3-C3-P4-C4 1.38; 5: P1-C21 1.853(2), O1-Si1 1.662(2), P1-C1-P2-C2/P3-C3-P4-C4 4.76; 9: P1-C21 1.867(4), O1-Si1 1.652(7), P1-C1-P2-C2/P3-C3-P4-C4 2.60.

The extremely facile insertion of even traces of THF into the P-Si bonds of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ inspired us to study insertion reactions of other organic substrates containing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds (Scheme 2). Initial investigations focused on the reaction of epoxides with 2a. Addition of styrene oxide to an $n$-hexane solution of 2a afforded the insertion product [ $\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)$ -$\left.\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (5, Figure 4, center), which was observed as the sole product by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy and was isolated in $80 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{5}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ feature similar patterns as found for $\mathbf{2 a}$ with one signal for the $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ group and three sets of signals in a 1:1:2 ratio assigned to chemically inequivalent tert-butyl substituents. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5}$ features two doublets at $\delta=-26.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and $\delta=60.8 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ assigned to the functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand, while the unfunctionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobtadiene ligand gives rise to a singlet at $\delta=25.5 \mathrm{ppm}$.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of complexes 5-12.
In contrast to the reaction with styrene oxide, reactions of 2a with 1,2-epoxy-2-methylpropane and 1,2-epoxyoctane afforded isomers $\mathbf{6 / 6}$ ' (observed in a ratio of $63: 37$ by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, Table 2) and 7/7' (74:26 ratio), respectively, according to the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra (see the Supporting Information for more detailed data). Presumably, these species are regioisomers arising from the insertion of one or the other of the two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds of the epoxides into the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Si}$ bond. So far, we could not obtain crystals of these complexes, which are highly soluble in $n$-pentane and other apolar solvents. 6/6' and 7/7' are difficult to separate as they form red oils in apolar solvents such as $n$-hexane.

Table 2. Comparison of the assignment of the ${ }^{31} P\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR resonances of $\mathbf{5 - 7}$. Chemical shifts are given in ppm , the multiplicity and the ${ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ coupling constants are given in parantheses. The general labeling scheme is shown in Figure 5.

| Assignment | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6 / 6}$ | $7 / 7$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| major isomer |  |  |  |
| P1 | $60.8(\mathrm{~d}, 13.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $81.8(\mathrm{~d}, 17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $72.0(\mathrm{~d}, 14.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| P2 | $-26.0(\mathrm{~d}, 13.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $-22.4(\mathrm{~d}, 17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $-29.6(\mathrm{~d}, 14.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| P3 and P4 | $25.5(\mathrm{~s})$ | $24.7(\mathrm{~s})$ | $23.7(\mathrm{~s}), 25.2(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| minor isomer |  |  |  |
| P1 | - | $-35.4(\mathrm{~d}, 33.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $29.8(\mathrm{~d}, 16.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| P2 | - | $-46.2(\mathrm{~d}, 33.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $-57.8(\mathrm{~d}, 16.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| P3 and P4 | - | $24.6(\mathrm{~s})$ | $25.9(\mathrm{~s}), 26.5(\mathrm{~s})$ |



Figure 5. Labeling scheme for the insertion products 5-7.
Reactions of 2a with acyclic ethers were also examined (Scheme 2). With 1,2-dimethoxyethane and tert-butylmethyl ether, this resulted in the cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond as evidenced by the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (B, Figure 1) observed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. By contrast, reaction of $\mathbf{2 b}$ with diethyl ether (two hours at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) afforded the ethyl-substituted complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{8})$ according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy (see the Supporting Information, Figures S2-S4).

### 2.2.2.2 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2}\right)\right]$ (2a) with carbonyl compounds

We also studied the reactivity of 2a towards carbonyl compounds. Reaction of $\gamma$-butyrolactone with 2a in $n$-hexane afforded $\left.\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right)\right](9$, Figure 4, right), which was isolated in $56 \%$ yield from $n$-hexane. The very similar ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data compared to 3a indicates that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ single bond of the lactone is cleaved. This is also confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of the dark orange crystals of 9 (space group $P 2_{1} / n$ with four formula units per unit cell) obtained upon storing a concentrated $n$-hexane solution of 9 at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The molecular structure (Figure 4 , right) shows that the insertion of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ single bond of the lactone resulted in the formation of a silyl ester moiety connected to the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}$ sandwich via a spacer of three methylene units ( $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 211.867(4) \AA$ ).

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{9}$ features two doublets at $\delta=-48.7\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=15 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and $54.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=15 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ which are assigned to a functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand. The unfunctionalized $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring gives rise to a singlet at $\delta=26.1 \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{9}$ feature similar patterns as $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{c}$. According to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, compound 9 is contaminated with approximately $20 \%$ of the hydride complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{A})$.

Reaction of $\mathbf{2 a}$ with benzaldehyde in $n$-hexane at room temperature afforded $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{1 0})$ via the insertion of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond of the aldehyde into the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Si}$ bond of $\mathbf{2 a}$. A single-crystal X-ray structure determination of red crystals of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (space group $P 2_{1} / m$ with four formula units per unit cell) showed that the former carbonyl carbon atom is bound to a P atom of one of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands ( $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 21$ $1.88(1) \AA$ ), while the $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ is attached to the oxygen atom. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ shows two doublets at $\delta=-39.9\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and $75.2 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ assigned to the functionalized $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring, while the unfunctionalized diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand gives rise to two singlets at $\delta=25.0$ and 28.0 ppm . The observation of two NMR signals for the unfunctionalized $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ unit is likely due to the diastereotopic nature of the P atoms that arises from slow rotation of this ring around the cobalt- $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring axis. In accord with this, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$ reveals four sets of resonances for the tert-butyl substituents, indicating that the $t \mathrm{Bu}$ groups on the unfunctionalized $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring are also diastereotopic. Similar ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were obtained when $\mathbf{2 a}$ was reacted with $p$-methylbenzaldehyde and p-tert-butylbenzaldehyde. By contrast, reaction of 2a with cinnamaldehyde yielded two isomers in a ratio of 3:1 as evidenced by the observation of two sets of signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at $\delta=-34.5,24.6,25.4$, and 77.6 ppm , and at $\delta=-38.2,24.2,27.0$, and 75.4 ppm , respectively.

We furthermore used ketones as substrates. Acetone reacted quantitatively with 2a, affording the insertion product $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (11), which was characterized by X-ray crystallography. Red crystalline $\mathbf{1 1}$ precipitated from a concentrated $n$-hexane solution at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The molecular structure (Figure 6, center) is very similar to that of $\mathbf{1 0}$ as is the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, which features two doublets at $\delta=-27.0\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=20 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and $90.4 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=20 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ for the functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand and a singlet at $\delta=25.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the unfunctionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene unit.
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Figure 6. Solid-state molecular structures of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2}\right)\right]$ (10, left), $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (11, center), and $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CON}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SiMe} 3\right)\right.$ $\left.\left(\eta^{4}-P_{2} C_{2} t B u_{2}\right)\right](12, r i g h t)$. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50\% probability level. H atoms and the $n$-hexane solvate molecule of 12 are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and dihedral angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for 10: P1-C21 1.88(1), C21-O1 1.39(1), O1-Si1 1.672(7); 11: P1-C21 1.902(2)(1), C21-O1 1.414(2), O1-Si1 1.662(2); 12: P1-C21 1.865(1), C21-O1 1.249(2), C21-N1 1.350(2), N1-Si1 1.804(1), Co1-O1 2.037(1), Co1-P1 2.8241(4), P1-C1 1.844(1), P1-C2 1.847(2), P2-C1 1.790(1), P2-C2 1.794(1); C2-P1-C1/C1-P2-C2 38.3.

Samples of $\mathbf{1 1}$ were found to be contaminated with ca. $18 \%$ of $\mathbf{A}$ according to their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. 11 and $\mathbf{A}$ could not be separated by recrystallization or by sublimation due to very similar solubility and sublimation properties. Reactions of 2a with the sterically more demanding ketones 3-pentanone and acetophenone selectively yielded $\mathbf{A}$ according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy. Dibenzylketone afforded a minor amount of the desired insertion product, but A was the major product (ca. $88 \%$ of the P content determined by integration of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ). Interestingly, $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$-trifluoroacetophenone did not react with $\mathbf{2 a}$.

An unusual ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signal pattern was detected when we reacted 2a with phenyl isocyanate (PhNCO) in $n$-hexane at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Orange crystals (space group $P 2_{1} / n$, four formula units in the unit cell) suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained from a concentrated $n$-hexane solution at room temperature. Remarkably, the molecular structure shows that the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond of the isocyanate molecule became inserted into the phosphorus-silicon bond of the starting complex 2a. This leads to an elongation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond (1.350(2) $\AA$; $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ reported for PhNCO $1.21 \AA^{11}$ ). Furthermore, an interaction between the isocyanate oxygen atom and the cobalt atom is observed $(\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{O} 2.037(1) \AA)$. This results in a severely tilted $\eta^{3}$-coordinated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene-2-yl ligand showing a dihedral angle between the C1-P2-C2/C2-P1-C1 planes of $38.34^{\circ}$. The C21-O1 bond, however, is not affected by this interaction as shown by the bond length of $1.249(2) \AA$ (sum of covalent radii $1.24 \AA^{9}$ ). An IR (ATR) spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2}$ shows three characteristic bands at 1516,1487 , and $1454 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which are assigned to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ vibrations, respectively. The bands at 1487 and $1454 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ confirm the weakening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond compared to the spectrum of free PhNCO $\left(v_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})=2260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})=1445 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{12}$

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ features two doublets at $\delta=77.0\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=33 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and $140.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=33 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ which are assigned to a functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene-2-yl moiety. Two overlapping signals are observed for the P atoms of the unfunctionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ring at $\delta=21.55$ and 21.60 ppm . This could be due to partially restricted rotation of the $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}$ moiety around the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. The amido group gives rise to a set of five signals in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum. The most downfield shifted signal was detected at $\delta=140.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ and is assigned to the ipso-carbon atom of the phenyl substituent. The signal for the carbon atom of the amido moiety was detected at $\delta=124.3 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Regarding the synthesis of 12, the work of Itoh and co-workers should be noted, who previously studied reactions of PhNCO with $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PPh}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiPPh}_{2} \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiPPh}_{2}$ was found to be an effective catalyst for the cyclization of phenyl isocyanate to the cyclic dimer and trimer, while $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PPh}$ gave a mixture of the two different insertion products shown in Scheme 3, which are in equilibrium.


Scheme 3. Reactivity of ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2}$ PPh with PhNCO reported by Itoh and co-workers. ${ }^{13}$

### 2.3 Conclusion

In extension of our previous investigations on the synthesis and characterization of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene sandwich complexes, ${ }^{6,8}$ this study has shown that trimethylsilated complexes $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ are readily accessible by salt metathesis of the previously known potassium salts $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{b}$ with trimethylchlorosilane. Interestingly, we have found that the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Si}$ bond in these complexes is very reactive: Complex 2a reacts with a range of ethers, including epoxides, as well as aldehydes, ketones, esters and phenyl isocyanate to afford functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes. In particular, the reaction with cyclic ethers, cyclic esters and with the isocyanate indicates that this approach is a promising avenue to introduce functional groups and generate interesting new structures. The unselective nature of the insertion reaction of certain epoxides and aldehydes, and the sluggish reactivity of larger ketones represent limitations of this methodology. Nevertheless, these P-Si bond insertion reactions are a viable alternative to the previously established salt metathesis approach. ${ }^{6 a}$ The insertion of other element-element bonds and an investigation of the subsequent reactivity of some of the new complexes such as $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ prepared in this study appear to be worthwhile targets for future investigations.

### 2.4 Supporting Information

### 2.4.1 General Procedures

All experiments were performed under an atmosphere of dry argon using standard Schlenk techniques or a MBraun UniLab glovebox. Solvents were dried and degassed with a MBraun SPS800 solvent purification system. Tetrahydrofuran, toluene, and diethyl ether were stored over molecular sieves $(3 \AA$ ). $n$-Hexane was stored over a potassium mirror. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 and Avance 400 spectrometers at 300 K and internally referenced to residual solvent resonances. Melting points were measured on samples in sealed capillaries on a Stuart SMP10 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. UV/Vis spectra were recorded on an Ocean Optics Flame Spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded with an Agilent Cary 670 FTIR spectrometer equipped with a diamond ATR unit. Elemental analyses were determined by the analytical department of Regensburg University. $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and stored over molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$ ) prior to use. $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnCl}$ was purchased from TCI and used as received. Styrene oxide, isobutylene oxide, 1,2-epoxyoctane, $\gamma$-butyrolactone, benzaldehyde, acetone, and PhNCO were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and distilled prior to use.

### 2.4.2 Synthesis and Characterization

### 2.4.2.1 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { tol })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { tol })_{2}\right][1 \mathrm{a}]\right)$

$\left[K(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][1 a]$ was obtained as a light red crystalline solid by recrystallization of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 a}], 9.65 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in hot toluene $(\mathrm{ca} .350 \mathrm{~mL})$ and storage at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The dried solid contains a variable amount of toluene molecules. The number of toluene molecules present per formula unit was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy individually on each sample. Yield $8.20 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%, x=1.0) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.13 MHz, 300 K , $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, toluene $), 6.98-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, toluene $) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=21.3$ (s, toluene), $32.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.1$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 101.9\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=55.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 125.8(\mathrm{~s}, 4-\mathrm{C}$ of toluene), 128.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3,5-\mathrm{C}$ of toluene), 129.5 (s, 2,6-C of toluene); The ipso-C $\mathrm{C}_{\text {tol }}$ was not detected; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=3.2$ (s).

### 2.4.2.2 Synthesis of $\left.\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { tol })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \text { Pent }\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{tol})_{2}\right][1 \mathrm{~b}]\right)$

$\left[K(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}]$ was obtained as a light red crystalline solid by recrystallization of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2.5}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \text { Pent }_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{3}\right][\mathbf{1 b}], 0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in hot toluene $(\mathrm{ca} .180 \mathrm{~mL})$ and storage at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. X-ray quality crystals were obtained from a concentrated toluene solution at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dried solid contains a variable amount of toluene molecules. The number of toluene molecules present per formula unit was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy individually on each sample. Yield $0.69 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%, x=1.25)$; m.p. $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400.13 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\left.300 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right) \delta=0.90-0.94$ (overlapping s and $\mathrm{t}, 36 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{q}, 8 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3.75 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of toluene); 7.06-7.17 (m, 6.25 H ; CH of toluene). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8} \mathrm{ca} 1: 1.\right) \delta=10.6$ (s; $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.2$ (s; $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of toluene), 29.3 ( $\left.\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.4$ (s; $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.1\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 101.2\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}=51.93 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$ ring $), 125.8(\mathrm{~s} ; 4-\mathrm{C}$ of toluene), 129.5 ( $s ; 3,5-\mathrm{C}$ of toluene), 138.2 ( $\mathrm{s} ; 2,6-\mathrm{C}$ of toluene). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 161.98 MHz , $\left.300 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right) \delta=9.6$ (s); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{CoKP}_{4} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}(M=692.76$, calculated for a sample which contains 1.5 molecules toluene): C 59.82 , H 8.12; found: C 59.97, H8.12.

### 2.4.2.3 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t B u_{2} S i M e 3\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t B u_{2}\right)\right]$ (2a)

A solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ in toluene ( $0.15 \mathrm{M}, 5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{tol})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][1 \mathbf{1 a}], 0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in toluene ( 30 mL ). After stirring the reaction mixture overnight, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with $n$-pentane ( 20 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 10 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Red crystals of $\mathbf{2 a}$ formed upon storage over two days. Complex $\mathbf{2 a}$ is contaminated with variable amounts ( $3 \%$ to $23 \%$ ) of the protonated compound $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{A})$. Attempts to remove the impurity by recrystallization or sublimation $\left(1 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar} / 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ failed due to the very similar solubility and sublimation properties of both compounds. The content of $\mathbf{A}$ was determined for each sample individually by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. Yield 0.32 g ( $77 \%$ ); m.p. $>135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.28\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}$, $18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.6$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.5\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.7(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.2\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 86.0\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=62.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 113.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=53.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 124.0\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=56.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-1.7$ (s, 1P; P1), 19.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2$ ), 21.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P4); ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ DEPT NMR ( $79.49 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta=12.0$; UV/Vis ( $n$-hexane, $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}$, $\left(\varepsilon_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 277 (shoulder, 15200 ), 318 (10000), 370 (2600); the sample which was submitted for elemental analysis was contaminated with $5 \%$ of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{A})$ : elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{Si} \cdot 0.05 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{M}=555.54)$ : $\mathrm{C} 51.89, \mathrm{H} 8.50$, found: $\mathrm{C} 52.12, \mathrm{H} 8.53$.

### 2.4.2.4 Synthesis of $\left[C O\left(\eta^{4}-P_{2} C_{2}\right.\right.$ tPent $\left.{ }_{2} S i M e 3\right)\left(\eta^{4}-P_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$ Pent 2$\left.)\right]$ (2b)

A solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ in toluene ( $0.39 \mathrm{M}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$, ca. 3.7 mL ) was added to a suspension of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{tol})_{1.5}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Pent}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}], 0.91 \mathrm{~g}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in toluene $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight whereupon a dark red solution was formed. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the deep red residue was dissolved in ca. 50 $\mathrm{mL} n$-pentane. The dark red solution was filtered and concentrated to ca. 10 mL . Dark reddish
brown crystals of complex $\mathbf{2 b}$ were isolated after storage at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. Compound $\mathbf{2 b}$ is contaminated with ca. $7 \%\left[\mathrm{CoH}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{Pent}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\right)$ according to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and elemental analysis and with small amounts of an unidentified silicon containing species ( $\left.\delta{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ $=7.5$ and -21.4 ppm ) according to the ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR spectrum. The isolated compound still contains traces ( 0.13 molecules per formulat unit) of $n$-pentane after drying in vacuo for 45 min according to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum. X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{2 b}$ were obtained by storing a concentrated $n$-pentane solution at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. Yield $0.56 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$; m.p. $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.28\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{H}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right.$ ), $0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 0.8 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of $n$-pentane), $1.00-1.34$ (overlapping s, t and $\mathrm{q}, 42 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of $n$-pentane), 1.46-1.73 (overlapping $\mathrm{q}, 7 \mathrm{H}$; $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\left.\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-1.0(\mathrm{~d}$, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ), 10.0-10.4 (br. overlapping s; $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 14.2 ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of $n$-pentane), 22.7 ( $\mathrm{s} ; 2,4-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of $n$-pentane), 27.4 ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 29.1 ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 29.9 (s; $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.2$ ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 34.4 ( $\mathrm{s} ; 3-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of $n$-pentane), 37.2 (s; $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 38.3 (s; $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 38.9-39.3 (overlapping s; $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). The signals for the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ rings were not observed. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=25.5$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{FWHM}}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3\right.$ and P 4$), 23.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{FWHM}}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right),-2.6\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{FWHM}}=40.9\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=25.6$ (s, 2P; P3 and P4), 23.1 (s, 1P; P2), -2.6 (s, 1P; P1). ${ }^{29}$ Si DEPT NMR ( $79.49 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=11.8$ (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{P}}=28.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}}=23.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.5 (impurity), -21.4 (impurity). UV/Vis ( $n$-hexane, $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}$ ( $\varepsilon_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ )): 251 (26500); 281 (shoulder); 326 (13500); elemental analysis calcd. for $0.93\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \cdot 0.07\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{CoP}_{4}\right) \cdot 0.13 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \quad(\mathrm{M}=592.96): \mathrm{C} 55.58, \mathrm{H} 9.18$; found: C 55.41, H 8.70.

### 2.4.2.5 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HBu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OSiMe} 3\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{Bu} 2\right)\right]$ (3a)

Compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ was prepared by adding a solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ in toluene $(0.39 \mathrm{M}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$, ca $1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to a suspension of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}^{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{2}\right][1 \mathbf{1 a}], 0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}\right) \text { in } .}\right.\right.$ toluene (ca. 35 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature whereupon a light red solution was formed. The solvent was then removed in vacuo, and the dark red residue was dissolved in ca. $25 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane. After filtration the solution was concentrated to ca. 10 mL . Complex 3a precipitated as a red oily solid after storage at $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for ten days. Yield: $79 \mathrm{mg}(37 \%)$. An elemental analysis could not be performed due to the oily consistence of the isolated compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.06$ ( s with ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ satellites, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{H}}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H} ;$ $t \mathrm{Bu}$ ), $1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ;$ $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.37 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=5.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-0.6\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 25.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz} ;\right.$
$\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 27.8 ( dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=19.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 32.3 (very br s; $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 33.0-33.9 (br overlapping s; $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ of $t \mathrm{Bu}$ ), 35.8-36.2 (very br overlapping s; $C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ of $t \mathrm{Bu}$ ), 61.3 (s; $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 77.8 (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=66.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$; substituted $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring), 114.4 (t, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=51.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$; free $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring), 122.2 (d, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=54.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$; free $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, labeling scheme analogous to 2 a$) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-50.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 25.8(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$), 55.5(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right) .{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ DEPT NMR ( $79.49 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=17.2$.

### 2.4.2.6 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.\right.$ PPent $\left.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OSiMe} 3\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$ tPent 2$\left.)\right]$ (3b)

Compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ was prepared by two synthetic routes:
Method A: A solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ in toluene ( $0.39 \mathrm{M}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$, ca 0.9 mL ) was added to a light red solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.25}\left\{\mathrm{Co}^{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Pent}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{3}\right][\mathbf{1 b}], 0.21 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}\right) \text { in THF (ca. }}\right.\right.$ 40 mL ) at room temperature. After the reaction mixture had been stirred overnight the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the red residue was dissolved in ca. $30 \mathrm{~mL} n$-pentane. The mixture was filtered, and the solvent was evaporated to dryness. Complex 3b was obtained as a dark red oil. Complex $\mathbf{3 b}$ is contaminated with the protonated compound $\left[\mathrm{CoH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} t \mathrm{Pent}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\right)(\mathrm{ca} .16 \%$ according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy). Attempts to remove the impurity by recrystallization failed due to the similar solubility of both compounds. Yield: $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(56 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400.13 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.06\left(\mathrm{~s}\right.$ with ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ satellites, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{H}}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 0.96-1.18$ (overlapping s, and $\mathrm{t}, 40 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ ), 1.35-1.50 (overlapping q and $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 1.62-1.69 (overlapping q, $6 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ ), $2.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ), $2.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.36\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=5.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-0.6\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 10.0\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 10.3(\mathrm{~s} ; ~}^{\text {; }}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 10.4\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 27.8-29.7 (overlapping s; $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 33.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 36.7$ (d, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 38.4-38.7 (br overlapping s; $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 38.9 (br s; $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.2$ (br s; $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.6$ (br s; $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 61.3$ (s; $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $77.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=66.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$; substituted $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring), $113.2\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=54.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$; free $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring), 120.4 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=54.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$; free $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-45.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 29.4(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$), 55.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 1P; P1). ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ DEPT NMR ( $79.49 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=17.2$.

Method B: Complex 2b ( $69 \mathrm{mg} ; 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ca. 1 mL THF at room temperature and stirred overnight. The deep red solution was then filtered and evaporated to dryness giving complex 3b as red oil in $59 \%$ yield ( 46 mg ). The isolated compound was contaminated with ca. $7 \%\left[\mathrm{CoH}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \text { tPent }\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathbf{A}^{\prime}\right)$ (according to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum) due to presence of $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ in the
starting material $\mathbf{2 b}$. The spectroscopic properties for this sample are identical within experimental error to that of the sample prepared by method A.

### 2.4.2.7 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)\right](3 \mathrm{c})$

$\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}(0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a light red solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ ( $\left.\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{4}\right][\mathbf{c}], 1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in THF (ca. 45 mL ) at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The solvent was then removed in vacuo, and the deep red residue was dissolved in ca $30 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane, filtered, and concentrated to ca. 15 mL . Complex 3 c precipitates as a red solid after storage at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two days. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from a concentrated toluene solution at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The isolated compound still contains one $n$-hexane molecule per formula unit after drying in vacuo for 30 min according to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Complex 3c is contaminated with the protonated compound $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]\left(\mathbf{A}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (ca. $12 \%$ according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy). Attempts to remove the impurity by recrystallization failed due to the similar solubility of both compounds. Yield: 0.35 g (38\%); m.p. $235{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.09$ (s with ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ satellites, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{si}, \mathrm{H}}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ), $0.89\left(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of $n$-hexane), $1.24\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of $n$ hexane), 1.49-2.24 (overlapping m, 69 H ; Ad-H of $\mathbf{3 c}$, Ad-H of $\mathbf{A}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.21 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $2.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=5.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$; $\left.\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, see Figure S 1 for the labeling scheme) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-0.6\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 14.3\left(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of $n$-hexane), 23.1 ( $\mathrm{s} ; 2-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and of $n$-hexane), $26.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P})=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ), 29.3 (br s; C-3, C-5 and C-7 of Ad), 29.5 (br s; C-3, C-5 and C-7 of Ad), 29.6 (br s; C-3, C-5 and C-7 of Ad), 31.9 (s; 3-CH2 of $n$-hexane), 33.7 (d, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 35.3 (d, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 36.7 (br s; C-1 of Ad), 36.9 (br s; C-4, C-6 and C-10 of Ad), 37.1 (br s; C-4, C-6 and C-10 of Ad), 37.1 (br s; C-4, C-6 and C-10 of Ad), 46.0 (br s; C-2, C-3 and C-9 of Ad), 46.4 (br s; C-2, C-3 and C-9 of Ad), 47.1 (br s; C-2, C-3 and C-9 of Ad), 61.6 ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The signals for the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ rings were not observed. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-57.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 19.1$ (s, 2P; P3 and P4), 55.5 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1$ ). ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ DEPT NMR $\left(79.49 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=16.8$.


Figure S1. Labeling scheme adamantyl substituent.

### 2.4.2.8 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (5)

Styrene oxide ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 a}(84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $n$-hexane. After stirring the reaction mixture for 60 min the solvent was removed in vacuo. In order to remove the hydride compound $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{A})$ present from the contaminated starting material (ca. $23 \%$ ) the residue was redissolved in THF ( 1.5 mL ) and treated with $\mathrm{NaH}(1 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.04 mmol ). After stirring the reaction mixture for further 60 min the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with $n$-hexane ( 4 mL ). Dark orange crystals formed upon storage over two days. Yield $69 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$; m.p. $>178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.13 MHz, $\left.300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.25\left(\mathrm{br}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu})$, $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.54\left(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.88\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=51.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CHH}\right), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=23.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH} H\right), 7.01-7.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}-/ \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=4.7\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 32.4\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $32.9\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.2\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.0(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.1\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 77.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=65.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 113.6$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=53.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right.$ ), 127.7 (overlapping with solvent signal, o- $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $128.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 129.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~m}_{-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}}\right), 130.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}(\mathrm{Ph}) C \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right), 137.5$ $\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right), 138.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, ipso-C $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (161.98 MHz, $\left.300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-26.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 25.5(\mathrm{~s}$, 2P; P3 and P4), $60.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right)$; UV/Vis ( $n$-hexane, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}$, $\left.\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right): 280(10800), 344$ (shoulder, 4200); IR(ATR) ( $\tilde{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2944(s), 2921(w), 2892(w), 2856(w), 1452(s); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{CoOP}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}=652.68)$ : C 57.05, H 8.19, found: C 57.32, H 7.98 .

### 2.4.2.9 Synthesis of $6 / 6^{\prime}$ and $7 / 7^{\prime}$ (NMR experiments)

Isobutylene oxide ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) or 1,2 -epoxyoctane ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to solutions of 2a ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The NMR samples were submitted for measurements after one, seven, and 27 hours. No changes in ratios were observed for the different reaction times. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) of 6: $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-22.4(\mathrm{~d}$, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2$ ), $24.7(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$), 81.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right) ; \mathbf{6}: \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=$ $-46.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=33.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right),-35.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=33.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right), 81.8$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$) ; 7$ : $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-57.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 25.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ or P 4$), 26.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 4$ or P3), 29.8 (d, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right) ; 7{ }^{\prime}: \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-29.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 23.7$ (s, 1P; P3 or P4), 25.2 (s, 1P; P4 or P3), $72.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right)$.

### 2.4.2.10 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t B u_{2} E t\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t B u_{2}\right)\right]$ (8, NMR experiment)

Diethyl ether ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 a}(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was heated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two hours. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR
(161.98 MHz, $\left.300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-47.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 25.6(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$)$, $60.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right)$.

### 2.4.2.11 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COOSiMe} 3\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)\right]$ (9)

$\gamma$-Butyrolactone ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 a}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $n$-hexane. After stirring the reaction mixture for 30 min the solvent and volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in $n$-hexane ( 2 mL ). Dark orange crystals formed upon storage over one day at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum 9 was contaminated with $18 \%$ of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathrm{A})$. Yield $67 \mathrm{mg}(56 \%)$; m.p. $>176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.21$ (s, with ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ satellites, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{H}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 2.03-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, lactone), 2.31-2.41 (m, 2H, lactone); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-0.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)$, $23.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), 27.0\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=20.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ ), $33.0\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.2\left(\right.$ br d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $33.9\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=13.3 \mathrm{HzC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.1(\mathrm{t}$, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ), 36.2 (overlapping with $t \mathrm{Bu}$ signals, $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ ), 78.1 (dd, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 67.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) 114.6\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=58.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 122.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=55.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, $172.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-48.7(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 26.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$), 54.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right)$; UV/Vis (THF, $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 274 (79800), 302 (shoulder, 46700), 333 (shoulder, 26700); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoO}_{2} \mathrm{Si} \cdot 0.18 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{M}=701.48)$ : C 52.39, H 8.29, found: C 53.10, H 7.85.

### 2.4.2.12 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{OSiMe} 3\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (10)

Benzaldehyde ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 a}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring the reaction mixture for 30 min the solvent and volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was sublimed ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{mbar} / 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to remove $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]$ (A). Red crystals formed after redissolving in $n$-hexane upon storage over one day at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield 92 mg $(72 \%)$; m.p. $>172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.13 MHz, $300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=$ $-0.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu})$, $5.95\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})\right), 6.97-7.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-/ \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.40-7.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.0$ (s, with ${ }^{29}$ Si satellites, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Si}}=59 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ), $32.9\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.3\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.4$ (br, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 33.8 (br, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.1\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.1\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.2(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 76.4\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}(\mathrm{Ph})\right), 77.4(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 65.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 78.5\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 65.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 113.6\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=53.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ), 128 (overlapping with solvent signal, $p-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 129.1 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, m-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 129.6 (d, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, o-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $139.4\left(\mathrm{~s}\right.$, ipso- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \quad \mathrm{NMR}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$
$\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-39.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 25.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ or P 4$), 28.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ or P 4$), 75.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right) ; \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{ATR})\left(\tilde{\mathrm{v}} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2951(\mathrm{~s}), 2918(\mathrm{w}), 2892(\mathrm{w}), 2855(\mathrm{w}), 1452(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ UV/Vis ( $n$-hexane, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}$, $\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 304 (34500), 346 (shoulder, 17800 ), 420 (shoulder, 4500); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{CoOP}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}=638.60)$ : C 56.42, H 8.05, found: C 56.62, H 7.59.

### 2.4.2.13 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2} \mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (11)

Acetone ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 a}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $n$-hexane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring the reaction mixture for 30 min the solvent and volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in a minimum amount of $n$-hexane ( 1 mL ). Dark orange crystals formed upon storage over one day at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum 11 is contaminated with $18 \%$ of A. Yield 55 mg ( $82 \%$ ); m.p. $>118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.02$ ( s , with ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ satellites, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{H}}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ), $1.30(\mathrm{br}, 27 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=2.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{~s},-\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{~s},-\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $32.9\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.5\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.9\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.2$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 74.9\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=64.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 80.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ), 128.0 (overlapping with solvent signal, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-27.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=20.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 25.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$), 90.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=20.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 1P; P1); UV/Vis ( $n$-hexane, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 282 (39500), 340 (shoulder, 15900), 408 (shoulder, 3700); IR(ATR) ( $\tilde{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2943(s), 2920(w), 2893(w), 2856(w), 1452(s); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{CoOP}_{4} \mathrm{Si} \cdot 0.18 \mathbf{A}(\mathrm{M}=673.47)$ : C 52.88, H 8.70, found: C 52.82, H 8.10.

### 2.4.2.14 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CON}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{m}_{2}\right)\right]$ (12)

PhNCO ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 a}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $n$-hexane. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was heated up to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent and volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in $n$-hexane ( 2 mL ). Dark orange crystals formed upon storage over three days at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield $55 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$; m.p. $>143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.08$ (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ), 0.89 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}$ ), $0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 6.49\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.81-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}-/ \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)$, $27.9\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.2$ $\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.5\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 96.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 107.5(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ), $124.3(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NCO}), 126.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.7$ (overlapping with solvent signal, o- $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 128.1 ( s , $\mathrm{m}_{-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}}$, $140.0\left(\mathrm{~s}\right.$, ipso- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=21.6(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P}), 77.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right), 141.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right)$; UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{THF}, \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right)$ : 276 (45300), 308 (35200), 375 (12900); $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{ATR})\left(\tilde{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2954(\mathrm{~s}), 2892(\mathrm{w}), 2855(\mathrm{w})$,

1516(vs), 1487(s), 1454(s); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoONSi}(\mathrm{M}=651.65)$ : C 55.30, H 7.73, N 2.15 found: C 55.94, H 7.42, N 2.02.
2.4.3 ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR Spectra of $6-8$


Figure S2. ${ }^{31}$ P $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum (161.49 Mhz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\mathbf{6 / 6}$.


Figure S3. ${ }^{31}{ }^{1}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum (161.49 Mhz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $7 / 7^{\prime}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 4 .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum ( $161.49 \mathrm{Mhz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of 8 .

### 2.4.4 UV/Vis and IR Spectroscopy



Figure S5. UV/Vis spectrum of 2a in $n$-hexane.


Figure S6. UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{2 b}$ in $n$-hexane.


Figure S7. UV/Vis spectrum of 4 in THF.


Figure S8. UV/Vis spectrum of 5 in $n$-hexane.


Figure S9. UV/Vis spectrum of 9 in $n$-hexane.


Figure S10. UV/Vis spectrum of 10 in $n$-hexane.


Figure S11. UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $n$-hexane.


Figure S12. UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $n$-hexane.


Figure S13. IR(ATR) spectrum of 5.


Figure S14. IR(ATR) spectrum of 10.


Figure S 15 . IR(ATR) spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1}$


Figure S16. IR(ATR) spectrum of 12

### 2.4.5 X-ray Crystallography

The single crystal X-ray diffraction data were recorded on Agilent Technologies Gemini R Ultra (2a), GV1000 (10), SuperNova ( $\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}], \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 2}$ ), and devices and on a Bruker AXS APEX II (3c) diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.54184 \AA$ ). Semi-empirical multiscan ${ }^{14}$ and analytical absorption ${ }^{15}$ corrections were applied to the data. Using Olex2, the structures were solved with $\operatorname{SiR2004}{ }^{16}\left(\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t o l})_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}]\right)$, $\operatorname{SHELXS}^{17}(\mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{3 c})$ or $\operatorname{SHELXT}^{18}(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}$, 9-12), and least-square refinements on $F^{2}$ were carried out with SHELXL ${ }^{19}$.

CCDC 1849347-1849356 contain supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Date Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Table S1. Crystallographic data of $\left[\mathbf{K}(\text { tol })_{2}\right][\mathbf{1 b}]$, 2a, and $\mathbf{2 b}$.

| Compound | [K(tol) $\mathbf{2}^{2}[1 \mathrm{lb}$ ] | 2a | 2b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{~K}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ |
| Formula weight | 738.77 | 532.49 | 588.59 |
| Temperature [K] | 123(1) | 123(1) | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | orthorhombic |
| Space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / n$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / n$ | Pnma |
| a [ $\AA$ ] | 11.3548(2) | 9.8500(2) | 16.9382(3) |
| b [ $\AA$ ] | 20.4325(3) | 17.8576(2) | 11.0030(2) |
| c [ $\AA$ ] | 17.0180(3) | 16.4002(2) | 17.2884(2) |
| $\alpha\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left[^{\circ}\right.$ ] | 90.789(2) | 90.846(2) | 90 |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume [ $\AA^{3}$ ] | 3947.9(1) | 2884.45(8) | 3222.06(9) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.243 | 1.226 | 1.213 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 6.056 | 7.209 | 6.499 |
| F(000) | 1576.0 | 1136.0 | 1264.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$ | $0.4 \times 0.15 \times 0.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2067 \times 0.1618 \times \\ & 0.1027 \end{aligned}$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 6.76 to 119.988 | 7.318 to 133.366 | 7.306 to 147.16 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11,-22 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 19,-14 \leq 1 \leq 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11,-21 \leq \mathrm{k} \\ & \leq 21,-19 \leq 1 \leq 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -20 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 20,-13 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 13,-21 \leq 1 \leq 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 10610 | 26223 | 17036 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 5250\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0304,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0382\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5083\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0599,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0335\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3321\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0332,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0224\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5250 / $1 / 445$ | 5083 / 0 / 277 | 3321 / 0 / 176 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.093 | 1.049 | 1.037 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0458 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0435 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1146 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0272 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0640 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0494 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1182 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0458 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0310 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0659 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.73/-0.47 | 0.83/-0.35 | 0.28/-0.25 |
| CCDC | 1849356 | 1849350 | 1849351 |



Figure S17. Solid-state molecular structure of [Co $\left.\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Pent}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Pent} \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right]$ (2b). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $40 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): C1-P1 1.784(2), C1-P2 1.794(2), C1a-P1 1.784(2), C1a-P2 1.794(2), C2-P3 1.796(2), C3-P3 1.801(2), Co1-P1 2.1866(6), Co1-P2 2.2651(6), Co1-P3 2.2570(6), Co1-P3a 2.2570(6); Co1-C1 2.118(2), Co1-C1a 2.118(2), Co1-C2 2.080(2), Co1-C3 2.073(2), Co1-C4 2.070(3), P1-Si1 2.2893(8), C1-P1-C1a 84.42(7), C1-P2-C1a 83.84(7), Si1-P1-C1 127.20(5), Si1-P1-C1a 127.20(5), P1-C1-P2 95.81(7), P1-C1a-P2 95.81(7), C2-P3-C3 80.71(8), C2-P3a-C3 80.71(8), P3-C2-P3a 99.3(1), P3-C3-P3a 98.9(1).

Table S2. Crystallographic data of 3c, 4, 5, and 9-12.

| Compound | 3c | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{85} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{SiO}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{SiO}$ |
| Formula weight | 1009.15 | 809.28 | 652.63 |
| Temperature [K] | 153(1) | 123(1) | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / n$ | $P-1$ | $P 21 / n$ |
| a [Å] | 13.4814(2) | 9.9926(2) | 12.1773(3) |
| b [ A ] | 20.6464(3) | 11.7420(3) | 20.2536(5) |
| c [ $\AA$ ] | 19.7044(2) | 17.0948(3) | 15.3016(4) |
| $\alpha{ }^{[ }{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 87.129(2) | 90 |
| $\beta\left[^{\circ}{ }^{\text {] }}\right.$ | 103.521(1) | 87.346(2) | 107.107(2) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 76.381(2) | 90 |
| Volume [ $\AA^{3}$ ] | 5332.6(1) | 1945.71(7) | 3606.9(2) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.257 | 1.381 | 1.202 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 4.160 | 10.173 | 5.879 |
| F(000) | 2168.0 | 832.0 | 1544.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.24 \times 0.18 \times 0.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.166 \times 0.148 \times \\ & 0.117 \end{aligned}$ | $0.192 \times 0.132 \times 0.09$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 6.294 to 143.492 | 7.754 to 153.148 | 7.456 to 152.76 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -16 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-25 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 24,-23 \leq 1 \leq 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq h \leq 12,-14 \leq \\ & k \leq 13,-21 \leq 1 \leq 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -15 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 15,-25 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 25,-18 \leq 1 \leq 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 31033 | 31277 | 42123 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 10038\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0503,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0494\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8078\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0197,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0149\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7478\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0519,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0333\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 10038 / 27 / 585 | 8078 / 0 / 409 | 7478 / 0 / 358 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.063 | 1.035 | 1.059 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0556 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1592 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0184 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0446 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0387 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0921 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0762 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1691 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0191 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0449 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0412 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0936 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 1.09/-0.64 | 0.44/-0.49 | 0.97/-0.29 |
| CCDC | 1849352 | 1849353 | 1849354 |


| Compound | 9c | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{SiO}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{SiO}$ |
| Formula weight | 617.06 | 638.60 | 590.56 |
| Temperature [K] | 153(1) | 123(1) | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 21 / n$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / m$ | $P 21 / c$ |
| a [A] | 14.1563(3) | 9.8471(3) | 15.1113(2) |
| b [ $\AA$ ] | 15.3883(3) | 11.6134(4) | 13.7665(2) |
| c [ $\AA$ ] | 15.2556(3) | 15.0022(4) | 17.1160(2) |
| $\alpha\left[^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left[口^{\circ}\right.$ ] | 93.378(2) | 91.971(3) | 116.100(2) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume [ $\AA^{3}$ ] | 3317.5(1) | 1714.62(8) | 3197.54(8) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.235 | 1.237 | 1.227 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 6.385 | 6.173 | 6.576 |
| F(000) | 1314.0 | 680.0 | 1264.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.208 \times 0.178 \times 0.162$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1991 \times 0.1199 \times \\ & 0.0674 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1422 \times 0.1229 \times \\ & 0.0848 \end{aligned}$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 8.168 to 147.388 | 8.986 to 146.994 | 6.514 to 146.94 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -17 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17,-18 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ & 18,-18 \leq 1 \leq 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 9,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ & 13,-17 \leq 1 \leq 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -18 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 18,-17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ & 15,-21 \leq 1 \leq 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 38917 | 8221 | 44720 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 6619\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0691,\right. \\ & \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0374\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3498\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0342,\right. \\ & \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0359\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6365 \quad\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0363,\right. \\ & \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0198\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6619 / 28 / 547 | 3498 / 0 / 248 | 6365 / 0 / 502 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.068 | 1.136 | 1.091 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0594, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1496 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0634, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0254, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0670 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0682, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1556 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0668, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1718 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0287, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0688 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.43/-0.43 | 1.15/-0.50 | 0.48/-0.36 |
| CCDC | 1849355 | 1849347 | 1849348 |


| Compound | 12c |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{SiNO}$ |
| Formula weight | 694.69 |
| Temperature [K] | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 21 / n$ |
| a [ A ] | 10.2866(1) |
| b [ A ] | 16.1496(2) |
| c [ $\AA$ ] | 23.1576(3) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta\left[^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ | 98.966(1) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| Volume [ $\AA^{3}$ ] | 3800.04(8) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.214 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 5.618 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1484.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.145 \times 0.095 \times 0.058$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 6.7 to 147.092 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-19 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ & 20,-28 \leq 1 \leq 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 31398 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 7554 \quad\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0279,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0217\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7554 / 0/386 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.033 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0258 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0618 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0287, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0632 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.31/-0.23 |
| CCDC | 1849349 |
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### 3.1 Introduction

Over the past three decades, diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes have attracted considerable attention. ${ }^{1-3}$ In 1986, Regitz, Binger and co-workers, as well as Nixon and co-workers independently reported the preparation of the first 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes by reaction of (cyclopentadienyl)cobalt-ethene complexes with phosphaalkynes. ${ }^{4}$ Subsequently, numerous 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene transition metal complexes, as well as a few 1,2-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes, have been prepared by the metal-mediated cyclodimerization of phosphaalkynes. ${ }^{5,6}$ Although the coordination properties ${ }^{1,2}$ and the isomerization between the 1,2 - and 1,3 -isomers ${ }^{6 b}$ have been intensively investigated, the ambiphilicity of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene has attracted less attention so far.

Zenneck and co-workers demonstrated that treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{4}-1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right](\mathbf{A})$ with $n$ BuLi followed by hydrolysis, affords complexes $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ (Figure 1), which clearly result from nucleophilic attack of $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ at a P atom. ${ }^{7}$ In case of $\mathbf{C}$, the initial step is followed by subsequent reaction steps, which result in the partial degradation of the newly formed 1,3-diphosphacyclobutenyl ligand. Nixon and co-workers showed that the treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ with an excess of $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ gives $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right.$ $\left.\left(\eta^{4}-1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ (D, Figure 1). ${ }^{8}$ Green and co-workers synthesized mixed valence complexes $\left[\mathrm{CpMoCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{PC}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{PH}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})\right\}\right](\mathbf{E}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}$, Figure 1) via the facile oxidation of $\left[\mathrm{CpMoCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\eta^{4}-1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2}\right)\right]$ with water and methanol. ${ }^{9}$

We previously reported the synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{K}([18]\right.$ crown -6$\left.)(\text { thf })_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{F})$ by reaction of the $\mathrm{Co}^{-}$source $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4} \text {-anthracene }\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$with $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ (Figure 2 a$) .{ }^{10,11}$ This complex is a rare homoleptic 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complex in which all four P atoms can potentially bind to further metal cations. The $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion of $\mathbf{F}$ and closely related derivatives $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}(\mathrm{R}=$ adamantyl or tert-pentyl) turned out to be highly versatile and flexidentate metalloligands towards a range of late transition metal cations, as shown by the isolation of structurally diverse and appealing oligonuclear complexes, coordination polymers and supramolecular aggregates. ${ }^{12-14}$


Figure 1. Previously reported derivatizations of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes through the attack of nucleophiles. ${ }^{7-9}$

Initially, we examined the reactivity of the potassium salt $\mathbf{F}$ toward electrophiles (Figure 2 a ) and showed that the reactions of $\mathbf{F}$ with $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{Et} t_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeI}$, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$ afforded structurally distinct neutral species $\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{I} .{ }^{10}$ However, the redox activity and the high basicity of $\mathbf{F}$ seemed to limit the substrate scope. ${ }^{15}$ Therefore, in this report we present the reaction of hydride complex $\mathbf{G}$ with lithium organyls ( $n \mathrm{BuLi}, t \mathrm{BuLi}$, and PhLi ) which gave rise to unprecedented cobalt complexes $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\text { solv })_{\mathrm{x}}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{HR}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}: \mathrm{R}=n \mathrm{Bu},(\text { solv })_{x}=\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} ; 2: \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}\right.$, $\left.(\text { solv })_{x}=(\operatorname{thf})_{2} ; 3: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph},(\text { solv })_{x}=\left(\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x}\right)$ with 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene ligands. Moreover, the neutral derivative of $\mathbf{1},\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{2} \mathrm{H} n \mathrm{Bu}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{5})\right.$, is formed from the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$, demonstrating that the salts $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ can be further functionalized with suitable electrophiles.
a)
previous work: derivatizations with electrophiles

b)



Figure 2. a) Functionalization of $\mathbf{F}$ by treatment with electrophiles (previous work): i) $+\mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /-\mathrm{KCl}$,
 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene complexes 1-3 by addition of lithium organyls to G (this work).

### 3.2 Results and Discussion

### 3.2.1 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right) \mathrm{H}\right]$ with Lithium Organyls

The reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G})$ with $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ (one equiv., Figure 2b) proceeds in a selective fashion at low temperature $\left(>-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and afforded $\left[\operatorname{Li}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2} \mathrm{H} n \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}-t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (1). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ shows resonances at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-82.1,-42.7$, and -13.9 ppm , which integrate in a $1: 1: 2$ ratio $\left(\mathbf{G}: \delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-181.3,18.2\right.$ and 118.3 ppm$) .{ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ Deep orange-colored, X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ are obtained in $75 \%$ yield from a diethyl ether solution stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Although $\mathbf{1}$ is thermally stable in the solid state at ambient temperature, it decomposes in THF, diethyl ether, and benzene over the course of several hours giving an intractable mixture of several compounds (see Figure S3, Supporting Information).

The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure 3) shows that an addition of one equivalent of $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ to $\mathbf{G}$ has occurred. The $n$-butyl group is now connected to one of the P atoms ( $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 21$ 1.855(6) $\AA$ ) and the former hydride ligand is shifted to a carbon atom (C1) of the same $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring with a freely refined $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ distance of $0.98001(4) \AA$. The reaction of $\mathbf{G}$ with $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ thus results in the formation of a 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene ligand. While free diphosphacyclobutenes are well known, ${ }^{16}$ metal complexes of them are rather scarce. Yoshifuji and co-workers described chromium and molybdenum pentacarbonyl complexes of several 1,3-diphosphacyclobutenes, which were obtained by treatment of Mes*-C $\equiv \mathrm{P}$ (Mes* $=2,4,6$-tri-tert-butylphenyl) with nucleophiles. ${ }^{17}$ Stannylated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutenes were reported by Regitz and coworkers. ${ }^{18}$ An unusual 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene compound $\mathrm{ClSb}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)$ with a side-on coordinated SbCl unit was obtained by Russel and co-workers by treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} 2 \mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ with $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3} .{ }^{19}$ The 1,3 -diphosphacyclobutene ligand in $\mathbf{1}$ is clearly $\eta^{3}$-coordinated (Co1-P1 $2.180(2) \AA, \mathrm{Co1}-\mathrm{P} 22.300(2) \AA, \mathrm{Co1-C1} 3.051(1) \AA, \mathrm{Co1}-\mathrm{C} 22.010(5) \AA)$ with a fold angle around the P1-P2 vector of $34.3^{\circ}$. The P-C distances of P1-C1 1.839(6) $\AA, \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 21.767(6) \AA$, and P2-C2 1.822(6) A suggest that these bonds have some multiple bonding character, whereas the P2-C1 bond length of $1.930(7) \AA$ is indicative of a single bond. The lithium cation is coordinated by two P atoms (Li1-P2 3.5434(2), Li1-P3 2.5034(1) Å) and two diethyl ether molecules in a distorted tetrahedral fashion. The Co1-Li1 distance of 3.04(1) A indicates that there is an interaction between the metal atoms in the solid state (sum of van-der-Waals radii of the respective atoms $\left.\sum r_{\mathrm{vdw}}(\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Li})=3.82 \AA\right) .{ }^{20}$

A DFT optimization with the B3LYP-D3BJ/def2-TZVP level of theory reproduced the molecular structure of $\mathbf{1}$ accurately (see the Supporting Information). The Wiberg bond indices (WBIs) support partial multiple bond character for $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ and $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ (WBIs of 1.02 and 1.05 , respectively), whereas the $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ and $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ (WBI 0.91 and 0.85 , respectively) and the $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 21$ bonds (WBI 0.86) have lower WBIs. WBIs of 0.03 and 0.06 were calculated for Li1-P2 and Li1-P3, in line with the presumably ionic character of these bonds.




Figure 3. Solid-state molecular structures of 1-3. The hydrogen atoms, except H1, are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond lengths [ $\AA \AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for endo-H-1: P1-C1 1.839(6), P1-C2 1.767(6), P1-C21 1.855(6), P2-C1 1.930(7), P2-C2 1.822(6), C1-H1 0.98001(4), Co1-P1 2.180(2), Co1-P2 2.300(2), Co1-P3 2.298(2), Co1-P4 2.254(2), Co1-C1 3.051(1), Co1-C2 2.010(5), Co1-C3 2.052(5), Co1-C4 2.057(6), Co1-Li1 3.04(1), Li1-P2 3.5434(2), Li1-P3 2.5034(1), P1-C1-P2 82.8(2), C2-P1-C1 91.4(3), C1-P2-C2 86.9(3), P1-C2-P2/P2-C1-P1 34.3; endo-H-2: P1-C1 1.858(3), P1-C2 1.778(3), P1-C21 1.889(3), P2-C1 1.937(3), P2-C2 1.824(3), C1-H1 $0.98000(2)$, Co1-P1 2.1903(8), Co1-P2 2.2853(7), Co1-P3 2.2390(8), Co1-P4 2.2653(8), Co1-C1 3.079(1), Co1-C2 2.021(3), Co1-C3 2.086(2), Co1-C4 2.072(3), Co1-Li1 2.726(5), Li1-P2 3.053(5), Li1-P3 2.578(5), P1-C1-P2 81.9(1), C2-P1-C1 91.4(1), C1-P2-C2 87.6(1), P1-C2-P2/P2-C1-P1 35.6; exo-H-3: P1-C1 1.840(3), P1-C2 1.784(3), P1-C21 1.845(3), P2-C1 1.932(3), P2-C2 1.805(3), C1-H1 0.94400(2), Co1-P1 2.2105(8), Co1-P2 2.2943(8), Co1-P3 2.2685(8), Co1-P4 2.2535(8), Co1-C1 3.079(1), Co1-C2 1.981(3), Co1-C3 2.051(3), Co1-C4 2.069(3), Li1-P3 2.667(6), P1-C1-P2 83.0(1), C2-P1-C1 89.3(1), C1-P2-C2 85.8(1), P1-C2-P2/P2-C1-P1 38.7.

The NMR data of $\mathbf{1}$ (recorded in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF) supports the crystallographically determined structure in solution, however, it also suggests the presence of a second compound (exo-H-1) in trace amounts ( $3.7 \%$ ). Presumably, the crystallographically characterized isomer, labeled endo- $\mathrm{H} \mathbf{- 1}$ from now on (Scheme 1), appears to be a kinetic product, whereas exo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}$ is the thermodynamic product ( $\Delta \Delta G=-0.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ according to DFT calculations, see below). Unfortunately, we could not observe the interconversion of endo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}$ into exo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}$ due to the gradual decomposition of the compound into an intractable product mixture (see above and Figure S3, SI).


Scheme 1. Formation of endo-H-1 and exo-H-1, ratio determined by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy; $(\text { solv })_{x}=\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}$.

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of endo-H-1, three singlets in a 2:1:1 integral ratio at $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=0.92,1.06$, and 1.39 ppm were assigned to the chemically different tert-butyl substituents, whereas a set of four additional signals in the aliphatic region arises from the $n$-butyl substituent. A doublet at $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=2.22 \mathrm{ppm}$, with ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, was assigned to the hydrogen atom (H1) of the $1,3-$ diphosphacyclobutene ligand of endo-H-1. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum shows three major resonances for endo- $\mathrm{H}-1$ of which the singlet at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-14.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ was assigned to the P atoms of the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ ligand (P3 and P4). This signal splits into two signals upon cooling and from the decoalescence temperature at 260 K (see Figure S9, Supporting Information, for the VT ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectra) a rotational barrier of $10.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ was determined for the hindered rotation of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand around the centroid $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$-cobalt axis following the method reported by Gutowsky and Holm. ${ }^{21}$

The further observed, presumed AX spin system $\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-83.0 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=-43.5 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$; ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=54.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), is assigned to the P atoms of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene moiety $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{HnBu}$ ( P 1 and P 2 ). To fully assign the connectivity of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene moiety, 2D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ correlation NMR experiments were performed (Figure 4). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ HMBC NMR spectrum reveals a strong correlation between the $n$-butyl resonances $\left(\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=1.23-1.36\right.$ and $1.47-1.66 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonance at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-43.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ (marked in green in Figure 4),


Figure 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ HMBC NMR spectrum of endo- $\mathrm{H}-1$; correlation of H 1 and P 2 is marked in red and correlation between the $n$-butyl protons and P1 is marked in green.
which confirms that this ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR resonance arises from the tricoordinate P 1 atom. Interestingly, the H 1 proton $\left(\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=2.22 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ shows only a correlation to the disubstituted P 2 atom $\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-83.0 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$, marked in red), indicating the spatial proximity of H 1 to P 2 and thus, confirming the equatorial arrangement of H 1 also in solution.

Furthermore, the second, minor species observed in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum with resonances at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-132.9,-57.0$, and -13.7 ppm in a $1: 1: 2$ integral ratio is attributed to exo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}$ (Figure S 9 , Supporting Information). The assignment of the signals is analogous to endo-H-1. However, the resonance of P 2 in exo- $\mathrm{H}-1\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-132.9 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ is strongly shifted to higher field compared with the P 2 atom in endo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-83.0 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. The ratio of endo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}:$ exo $-\mathrm{H}-1$ is 96.3:3.7 according to the signal ratio in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum (Scheme 1 ).

The cyclic voltammogram of 1 recorded in THF/[ $\left.n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ shows a reversible oxidation wave at $E_{1 / 2}=-1.38 \mathrm{~V}$ (vs. ferrocene/ferrocenium, $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$, see Figure S 16 a in the Supporting Information), suggesting the formation of a putative neutral derivative $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2} \mathrm{H} n \mathrm{Bu}\right)\left(\eta^{4}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$. It is proposed that this redox event is metal-centered, because the HOMO is mainly localized at the cobalt atom (Figure S17). Attempts to isolate this oxidized species on a preparative scale by oxidation 1 with $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ have failed so far.

Similar to the reaction with $n \mathrm{BuLi}$, hydride complex $\mathbf{G}$ also reacts cleanly with $t \mathrm{BuLi}$ and PhLi to afford $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\text { thf })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right\}\right] \quad$ (2) and $\quad\left[\mathrm{Li}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{thf})\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{PhH}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right\}$ ] (3), respectively. Deep-red crystals of 2 were isolated from $n$-hexane ( $55 \%$ yield), whereas the deep-orange compound 3 was crystallized from diethyl ether ( $66 \%$ yield). Similar to 1, both complexes are stable as solids for weeks at ambient temperature, however, they decompose slowly in solution to a mixture of products (see Figure S5 and S7, Supporting Information). Single-crystal X-ray structure analyses revealed that the structure of 2 (Figure 3) is essentially analogous to $\mathbf{1}$, whereas $\mathbf{3}$ crystalized as a distinct diastereomer with respect to $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. In the structure of $\mathbf{3}$, the hydrogen atom on the tetracoordinate carbon atom C1 assumes an axial position, pointing away from the cobalt center, whereas the tert-butyl group on C 1 assumes the equatorial position and points towards the cobalt atom. In contrast to $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, the lithium cation in $\mathbf{3}$ is coordinated by just one $P$ atom of the remaining 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand (Li1-P3 2.667(6) Å). The distorted tetrahedral coordination sphere of Li1 is completed by one THF and two diethyl ether molecules.

The NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF reflect the different structural arrangements observed in the solid state. Similar to $\mathbf{1}$, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of 2 show the signals of a single diastereomer (presumably endo-H-2), whereas a second diastereomer was not detected. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows that 2 gives rise to a doublet resonance at $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=2.45 \mathrm{ppm}$ $\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ) for the H1 proton. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 2 (Figure S 4 , Supporting Information) is comparable to that of $\mathbf{1}$ and shows a singlet at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-14.8 \mathrm{ppm}$, which is
attributed to the P atoms at the 1,3 -diphosphacyclobutadiene moiety, P 3 and P 4 . The AX spin system of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene ligand is detected at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-102.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=-2.4 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=54.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The A part was, similar to complex 1, assigned to the disubstituted P 2 atom, and consequently the X part was assigned to the tricoordinate P 1 atom. In analogy to complex 1, the assignment was made by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ HMBC NMR experiment (see Figure S10).

In contrast, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF (Figure S6, Supporting Information) shows two broad resonances for P 3 and P 4 at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-11.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ and -6.6 ppm , with a coalescence temperature of 320 K (see Figure S11 for VT ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra). The observation of two NMR signals for the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand is likely due to hindered rotation of this ring around the centroid $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$-cobalt axis. Using the method reported by Gutowsky and Holm, ${ }^{21} \mathrm{a}$ rotational barrier of $13.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ was determined for this hindered rotation. The resonances for the AX spin system of the diphosphacyclobutene moiety (P1 and P2) were found at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-109.1 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=-89.3 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=48.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The pronounced upfield-shifted resonance of the P 1 atom $\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-89.3 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ compared with those of $\mathbf{1}$ and $2(-42.7$ and -2.4 ppm , respectively) is indicative for a different diastereomer, that is. exo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{3}$ instead of endo- $\mathrm{H}-1$ and endo-H-2. Accordingly, the doublet for the H 1 proton $\left(\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=4.90 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) is substantially shifted to lower field compared with $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ ( 2.22 and 2.45 ppm , respectively). For comparison, the stacked ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of endo-H-2 and exo-H-3 are depicted in Figure 5.


Figure 5. Comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals assigned to H 1 of endo- $\mathrm{H}-2$ (bottom) and exo- $\mathrm{H}-3$ (top). The asterisk denotes an impurity.

The $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ correlation NMR experiments further support the presence of the exo- $\mathrm{H}-$ diastereomer of 3 (Figure 6). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{HMBC}$ spectrum shows, in contrast to $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, a correlation between the resonance of $\mathrm{P} 1\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-89.3 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ only to the ortho protons of the phenyl-substituent $\left(\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=7.57-7.66\right)$ and to $\mathrm{H} 1\left(\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=4.90 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$.


Figure 6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ HMBC NMR spectrum of exo- $\mathrm{H}-3$ at 320 K ; correlation of H 1 and P 2 is marked in red and correlation between the phenyl protons and P1 is marked in green.

To elucidate the formation of $\operatorname{exo}-\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{3}$, the reaction of $\mathbf{G}$ with one equivalent PhLi was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy, which showed that the formation of exo-H-3 proceeds via endo-H-3 (Scheme 2 and Figure S12, see Supporting Information for further details). Compound endo-H-3 already forms at 193 K and shows four resonances in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum. In accordance with the previous findings, the resonances at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=-22.1$ and -4.3 ppm were assigned to the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand, whereas the AX spin system at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-92.0$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=-34.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ was assigned to P 2 and P 1 , respectively. However, the reaction appears to be slow until 253 K , which was demonstrated by the presence of large amounts of $\mathbf{G}$ (ca. $86 \%$ ). At 273 K , the formation of the exo-H isomer starts, although there is still some $\mathbf{G}$ left (ratio $\mathbf{G} /$ endo $-\mathrm{H} /$ exo $-\mathrm{H}=31: 68: 1$ ). Although $\mathbf{G}$ is fully consumed at 283 K , the ratio of endo $-\mathrm{H} /$ exo -H decreases (84:17).


Scheme 2. Formation of exo-H-3 via the endo-H isomer; $(\text { solv })_{x}=\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{thf})_{2}$.
The cyclic voltammograms of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ recorded in $\mathrm{THF} /\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (Supporting Information, Figures S16b and S16c) each feature a reversible oxidation process at $E_{1 / 2}=-1.44 \mathrm{~V}(\mathbf{2})$ and $E_{1 / 2}=-1.29 \mathrm{~V}(\mathbf{3})\left(\mathrm{vs} . \mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$, which is very similar to $\mathbf{1}\left(E_{1 / 2}=-1.38 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs} . \mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$.

### 3.2.2 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right) \mathrm{H}\right]$ with Grignard Reagents

In contrast to the reaction of $\mathbf{G}$ with PhLi (which affords $\mathbf{3}$ ), reaction of $\mathbf{G}$ with aryl Grignard reagents such as $p$-fluorophenyl magnesium bromide and $p$-tolyl magnesium chloride cleanly afford $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{4}$, Scheme 3$)$, which is formed by deprotonation of $\mathbf{G}$. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis on crystals obtained from acetonitrile/THF solution revealed an ion-separated molecular structure consisting of two $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich anions and a $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{6}\right]^{2+}$ cation (see Supporting Information, Figure S1). ${ }^{22}$ The structural parameters and the redox properties of $\mathbf{4}$ are unremarkable; ${ }^{10,12}$ further details are discussed in the Supporting Information. The divergent reactivity of aryl Grignard and aryl lithium reagents may be rationalized by the different basicity and nucleophilicity of such reagents. ${ }^{23}$


Scheme 3. Synthesis of magnesium salt 4; $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$.

### 3.2.3 Computational Studies

DFT calculations were performed to investigate the isomers of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ in more detail. Optimizations at the B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory ${ }^{24-26}$ showed that the endo-H and the exo-H isomers of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ are viable species. The calculated Gibbs energies (calculated at the B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory on the optimized structures (see the Supporting Information for details), furthermore showed that the exo-H isomer is energetically favored for all three species, although
the energy differences are relatively small (Table 1). The same general trend was found for a range of other functionals and basis sets (see the Supporting Information).

Table 1. Gibbs energies $\Delta G$ [Hartree] and Gibbs-energy differences $\Delta \Delta G\left[\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right]$ of both isomers (endo-H and exo-H) of compounds 1-3 calculated at the B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory.

| Isomer | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| endo-H [Hartree] | -3690.941896 | -3690.934175 | -3764.803146 |
| exo-H [Hartree] | -3690.943280 | -3690.940641 | -3764.809042 |
| $\boldsymbol{\Delta \Delta G}\left[\mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right]$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 . 7}$ |

In addition, the calculations revealed a potential pathway for the transformation of endo-H-3 into exo-H-3 (Figure 7). For computational efficiency, these calculations were performed at the B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory on the truncated model $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$, in which the $t \mathrm{Bu}$ substituents were replaced by methyl groups and the lithium cation as well as the solvent molecules were omitted. The isomerization of endo-H-3' to exo-H-3' is initiated by an insertion of cobalt into a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of the diphosphacyclobutene ligand, resulting in the formation of intermediate Int- $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$, which contains a five-membered $\mathrm{CoP}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring. No transition state was found for this process.


Figure 7. Postulated mechanism for the formation of the exo-H isomer from the endo-H isomer through oxidative addition of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene ligand to cobalt. Gibbs energies $\left[\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right]$ are given.

The subsequent conversion of Int- $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ to exo-H-3' represents a ring flip, which leads to an inversion of the configuration of the phenyl-substituted P atom. It is important to note that the energy of the transition state TS-3' associated with this transformation ( $+27.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) inevitably entails a large degree of uncertainty due to the use of a simplified model complex. Nevertheless, the energy of TS-3' is too high for the transformation to occur below room temperature. Thus, alternative pathways cannot be excluded definitively at present.

### 3.2.4 Synthesis of 5 by TrimethyIsilylation of 1

As an initial test regarding the reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$, we investigated the reaction with trimethylchlorosilane (Scheme 4). Addition of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ (one equivalent) to a toluene solution of 1 at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in the quantitative formation of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} 2 \mathrm{H} n \mathrm{Bu}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right.$ (5). Deep-red crystals of $\mathbf{5}$ was isolated from $n$-hexane in $52 \%$ yield.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of 5.
The molecular structure of $\mathbf{5}$ (Figure 8) shows that the overall structural arrangement observed for $\mathbf{1}$ is retained in $\mathbf{5}$. The $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ group (P3-Si1 2.3238(6) $\AA$ ) is bound to a phosphorus atom of the previously unsubstituted $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring. As a result, this formerly planar ligand is tilted (P3-C3-P4/P4-C4-P3 planes $27.9^{\circ}$; the same dihedral angle amounts to $12.5^{\circ}$ in endo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}$ and $7.5^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ ), and the Co1-P3 distance (2.4066(5) $\AA$ ) is significantly elongated compared with Co1-P4 (2.2584(5) Å). In contrast to related trimethylsilylated bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene) complexes $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]$, ${ }^{15}$ the $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ moiety assumes an endo-configuration pointing towards cobalt. This unexpected arrangement might be explained by a template effect of the lithium cation, which assumes a bridging position in the molecular structure of endo- $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{- 1}$. The folding of the P1-C1-P1-C2 ring along the P1-P2 axis (P1-C2-P2/P2-C1-P1 33.1 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) is very similar to that of endo-H-1 (33.4 ${ }^{\circ}$.

The NMR data of 5 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ is in line with the crystallographically determined molecular structure. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum displays four signals at $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=1.21,1.31,1.34$, and 1.36 ppm which correspond to the $t \mathrm{Bu}$ moieties and four signals for the $n$-butyl substituent $\left(\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=0.76\right.$, 1.12-1.19, 1.44-1.76, and 2.43-2.57 ppm). The $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ group gives rise to a doublet resonance at $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=0.55 \mathrm{ppm}$ with a ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The characteristic resonance of H 1 attached to the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene ligand appears as a doublet resonance at $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=2.77 \mathrm{ppm}(2.22 \mathrm{ppm}$ in endo-H-1). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum (Figure S8, Supporting Information) gives rise to two

AX spin systems. The AX spin system at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-195.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=60.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ $\left({ }^{2} J_{P, P}=41.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ is attributed to the silyl-substituted ligand. This assignment is supported by 2D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ correlation NMR experiments which reveal the A-part $\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-195.8 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ arises from the P3 atom, which carries the silyl substituent. The second AX spin system at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-71.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{X}\right)=-30.6 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=51 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ is, therefore, attributed to the n-butyl substituted $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligand. Similar to endo-H-1 and endo-H-2, the A-part can be assigned to the disubstituted P2 atom and the X-part to the tricoordinate P1 atom. The assignment was confirmed by an ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ HMBC NMR experiment (Supporting Information, Figure S13).


Figure 8. Solid-state molecular structure of 5. The hydrogen atoms, except H 1 , are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50\% probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: P1-C1 1.834(2), P1-C2 1.772(2), P1-C21 1.837(2), P2-C1 1.934(2), P2-C2 1.812(2), C1-H1 0.90573(4), P3-C3 1.830(2), P3-C4 1.183(2), P3-Si1 2.3238(6), P4-C3 1.794(2), P4-C4 1.790(2), Co1-P1 2.1827(5), Co1-P2 2.2986(5), Co1-P3 2.4066(5), Co1-P4 2.2584(5), Co1-C1 3.020(1), Co1-C2 2.027(2), Co1-C3 2.011(2), Co1-C4 2.000(2), P1-C1-P2 83.26(7), C2-P1-C1 91.27(8), P1-C2-P2/P2-C1-P1 33.1, P3-C3-P4/P4-C4-P3 27.9.

During the course of the NMR measurements we found that complex 5 slowly decomposed in THF. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum shows an AX spin system similar to that of complex 5 with $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-80.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=-34.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=58 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. These signals were assigned to P 2 and P 1 , respectively. However, the resonances of the second AX spin system at $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=-159.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=89.9 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=61 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ are significantly shifted downfield (Figure S14, Supporting Information). Additionally, the silyl fragment seems to be rearranged, because there is no coupling to phosphorus observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum as well as in the $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ correlation NMR experiments. The silyl fragment gives rise to a singlet resonance at $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=0.08 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (see Figure S15). Attempts to determine the structure of this complex in more detail by NMR spectroscopy, X-ray analysis and DFT calculations have so far been unsuccessful.

### 3.3 Conclusion

Although reactions of the bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene) cobalt hydride $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G})$ with aryl Grignard reagents selectively deprotonate $\mathbf{G}$ to afford the first magnesium salt of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion, reactions with organyl lithium reagents ( $n \mathrm{BuLi}, t \mathrm{BuLi}$, and PhLi ) resulted in the formation of unprecedented 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene cobalt complexes $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$. The reactions proceed by nucleophilic attack at a P atom and hydride transfer from cobalt to a carbon atom, forming $\eta^{3}$-coordinated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene ligands. Due to the presence of a chiral carbon center and planar chirality, 1-3 may form diastereomers. Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ showed the endo-H-diastereomer (endo-H-1 and endo-H-2), whereas the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ shows another diastereomeric form (exo-H-3). Salt metathesis of complex 1 with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ affords trimethylsilylsubstituted complex 5 , showing that anions such as $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$ can in principle be further functionalized. The stereochemistry is conserved in this reaction. Considering the high reactivity of closely related silylated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes, ${ }^{15}$ a plethora of functionalized sandwich complexes should be accessible by insertion reactions in the phosphorus-silicon bond of such complexes.

### 3.4 Supporting Information

### 3.4.1 General Procedures

All experiments were performed under an atmosphere of dry argon using standard Schlenk techniques or a MBraun UniLab glovebox. Solvents were dried and degassed with a MBraun SPS800 solvent purification system. Acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran, toluene, and diethyl ether were stored over molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$ ). $n$-Hexane was stored over a potassium mirror. NMR spectra were recorded on either Bruker Avance 300/400 spectrometers at 300 K or on a Bruker Avance III HD Nanobay 400 MHz Ultrashield and on a Bruker Avance III HDX 500 Mhz Ascend. The spectra are referenced to residual solvent resonances as internal standard. Melting points were measured on samples in sealed capillaries on a Stuart SMP10 melting point apparatus. UV/Vis spectra were recorded on an Ocean Optics Flame Spectrometer. Elemental analyses were determined by the analytical department of Regensburg University. Compound [ $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ] (G) was synthesized according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ The reagents $n \mathrm{BuLi}, t \mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{PhLi}$, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{MgCl}$, and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{F}\right) \mathrm{MgBr}$ were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received.

### 3.4.2 Synthesis and Characterization

### 3.4.2.1 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Li}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{I}_{2} n \mathrm{Bu}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (endo-H-1)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G}, 0.11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in diethyl ether $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $n \mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in $n$-hexane, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to the pre-cooled, red solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]$ via a syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature while stirring. The color changed to deep-orange. Subsequently, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The deep-orange solution was concentrated to ca. 2 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Deep-orange crystals formed upon storing the solution for three days. Yield $97 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%) ;$ m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.85\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.92$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.23-1.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CHHCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.47-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} H\right), 2.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CHHCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=13.9$ (s, $\left.-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.5$ (overlapping with solvent signal, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.3(\mathrm{br}$, $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 80.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, the resonances for the remaining three quaternary carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ligands were not detected; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-82.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=54.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right),-42.7(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=54.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right),-13.9(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$)$; UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right)$ :

307 (35000); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{P} 4 \mathrm{CoLi} \cdot\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.25}(\mathrm{M}=541.92)$ : C 55.41, H 8.84, found: C 55.59, H 8.20.

### 3.4.2.2 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{l} \text { thf })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t B u_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{n}^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t B u_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (endo- $\left.\mathrm{H}-2\right)$

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G}, 92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $t \mathrm{BuLi}(1.7 \mathrm{M}$ in $n$-hexane, $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to the pre-cooled, red solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]$ via a syringe. The color changed over forest-green to dark-red while stirring the reaction mixture at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour. The reaction mixture was subsequently allowed to warm to ambient temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with $n$-hexane ( 4 mL ). The dark-red solution was concentrated to ca. 2 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Deep-red crystals formed upon storing the solution overnight. Yield $68 \mathrm{mg}(51 \%) ; \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $2.45\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=28.9$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.3\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 32.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=\right.$ $\left.5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.9\left(\mathrm{~m}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.6$ $\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, 72.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 83.4\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right.$, $94.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-101.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=54.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right)$, -13.8 (s, 2P; P3 and P4), -1.5 (br, 1P; P1); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=$ $-102.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=54.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right),-14.8(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ and P 4$),-2.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=54.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right)$; UV/Vis ( $n$-hexane, $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 279 (32900), 307 (36800); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{P} 4 \mathrm{CoLi} \cdot\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{M}=668.61)$ : C 57.48, H 9.35, found: C 57.49, H 9.22.

### 3.4.2.3 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{OEt} 2)(\text { thf })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.\right.\right.$ tBu2 $\left.\left.)\right\}\right]$ (exo-H-3)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G}, 40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in diethyl ether $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of PhLi ( 1.9 M in dibutyl ether, $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to the pre-cooled, red solution of $\mathbf{G}$ via syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring. The color slowly changed to deep orange while the solution was warmed up. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The deep-orange solution was concentrated to ca. 1 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Deep-orange crystals formed upon storage of the solution for four days. Yield $34 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%) ;$ m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.97\left(\mathrm{br}, v_{\text {FWHM }}=36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 4.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.05-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}-$ $\left./ \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.57-7.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=32.4$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.6\left(\mathrm{br}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.4\left(\mathrm{br}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~m}-/ \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, the resonances for the quaternary carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ligand and the ipso- $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ could not be detected; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-109.1(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=48.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right),-89.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=48.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right),-11.0(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3$ or P4), $-6.6(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{P}$; P4 or P3); UV/Vis (THF, $\left.\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right): 310$ (66500), 640sh (5200); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoLi} \cdot\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.4}(\mathrm{M}=573.03)$ : C 57.85, H 7.92, found: C 56.94, H 7.95.

### 3.4.2.4 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](4)$

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G}, 71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of p-tolyl magnesium chloride ( 1.0 M in THF, $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to the pre-cooled, red solution of $\mathbf{G}$ via syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while the color changed to dark red and a precipitate formed. The precipitate was separated by filtration and redissolved in acetonitrile ( 4 mL ). The dark-red solution was concentrated to ca. 2 mL . Dark-red crystals were obtained by diffusion of THF into the acetonitrile solution. Yield 51 mg ( $65 \%$ ); m.p. $>144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a dark reddish-black solid); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.96$ (sept, 14 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=33.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 103.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=49.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ (161.98 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=5.0(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{UV} / \mathrm{Vis}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right.$ ): 330 (20900); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{Mg} \cdot\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{4} \cdot\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right)_{1.5}(\mathrm{M}=1215.35)$ : C 53.37, H 7.96, found: C 52.85, H 7.42.

### 3.4.2.5 Synthesis of $\left.\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{I}_{2} n \mathrm{Bu}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right\}\right]$ (5)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G}, 95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in toluene $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $n \mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in $n$-hexane, $85 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to the precooled, red solution of $\mathbf{G}$ via syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring; the color slowly changed to deep orange. The solution again was cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}(0.15 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ via syringe, the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature while the color changed to bright orange-red. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with $n$-hexane $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The deep-orange solution was concentrated to ca. 2 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Deeporange crystals formed upon storing the solution overnight. Yield 64 mg ( $52 \%$ ); m.p. $>121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.55\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 0.76\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.12-1.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.44-1.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH} H \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.43-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CHH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.77\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ct} \mathrm{Bu} H\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=8.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)$, $13.1\left(\mathrm{~s},-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=34.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.2\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.5\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.6(\mathrm{t}$,
$\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.4\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.9\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 70.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=31.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1\right), 95.0(\mathrm{~s}$, dd, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=72.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2\right)$, the resonances for C 3 and C 4 were not detected; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ $\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-195.8\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{v}_{\text {FWHM }}=172 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3\right),-71.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=48.9\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2$ ), -30.6 (br, 1P; P1), 64.3 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=37.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ;$ P4); ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ DEPT NMR ( 79.49 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-0.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}}=90.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; \mathrm{UV} / \mathrm{Vis}\left(n\right.$-hexane, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max }\right.$ $\left./ \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 294 (38600), 343sh (12500), 422sh (3500); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoSi}(\mathrm{M}=590.65)$ : C 54.91, H 9.39, found: C 55.36, H 9.38.

### 3.4.3 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]$ with Grignard Reagents

We investigated the reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G})$ toward the aryl Grignard reagents $p$-fluorophenyl magnesium bromide and p-tolyl magnesium chloride (Scheme 3 in the manuscript). The reaction was performed in an analogous fashion to $\mathbf{1 - 3}$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures are identical, showing only one singlet at 3.1 ppm that can be unequivocally assigned to the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion since the potassium salts $[\mathrm{K}([18]$ crown6) $\left.(\text { thf })_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{F}, 2.4 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](5.1 \mathrm{ppm})$ show very similar ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR shifts in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF. ${ }^{10,12}$ Diffusion of THF into an acetonitrile solution of the reaction product afforded crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}$ (4). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction revealed that the compound crystallizes in the space group $I 4 / \mathrm{m}$. An ion-separated molecular structure is observed consisting of two $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich anions and a $\left[\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{6}\right]^{2+}$ cation (Figure S1). ${ }^{22}$ The molecular structure and the redox properties (Figure S 16 d ) of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion is identical to those of the previously reported potassium salts. ${ }^{10,27}$


Figure S1. Solid-state molecular structure of 4. The hydrogen atoms and the second $\left.\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}\right]^{-}$anion are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: P1-C1 1.799(2), P1-C1́⒈797(2), Co1-P1 2.2534(4), Co1-C1 2.082(2), Mg1-N1 2.147(3), Mg1-N2 2.157(2), N1-C6 1.139(5), N2-C8 1.135(3), C1-P1-C1' 80.68(7), P1'-C1-P1 99.18(7).

### 3.4.4 ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR Spectra of 1-3, and 5



Figure S2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF, 300 K ) of endo- $\mathrm{H}-1$. The signals marked with an asterisk are assigned to the exo-H isomer of 1.


Figure S3. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR monitoring ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ capillary, 300 K ) of a reaction mixture of G with nBuLi in THF; spectra were recorded after 1, 5 and 51 hours, respectively.


Figure S4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF, 300 K ) of endo-H-2.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 5 .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR monitoring ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ capillary, 300 K ) of a reaction mixture of $\mathbf{G}$ with tBuLi in THF; spectra were recorded after 1, 18 and 52 hours, respectively.


Figure S6. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF, 300 K ) of exo-H-3. The signals at $\delta=-35.0$ and -13.0 ppm are assigned to the endo-H isomer of $\mathbf{3}$ (cf. Figure S12).


Figure S7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR monitoring ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ capillary, 300 K ) of the decomposition of freshly isolated crystals of exo-H-3 in [ $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ ]THF solutions; spectra were recorded immediately after preparing the sample and after 6 and 24 hours, respectively.


Figure S8. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF, 300 K ) of 5.

### 3.4.5 Variable Temperature and 2D Correlation NMR Spectroscopy

Variable Temperature (VT) and 2D Correlation NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III HD Nanobay 400 MHz Ultrashield and on a Bruker Avance III HDX 500 MHz Ascend internally referenced to residual solvent resonances.
3.4.4.1 Variable Temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR Spectra of 1


Figure S9. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ) of endo-H-1 at different temperatures. The signals marked with an asterisk are assigned to the exo-H isomer of 1.
3.4.4.2 $2 \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ Correlation NMR Spectrum of 2


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ HMBC NMR spectrum of endo-H-2; correlation of H 1 and P 2 is marked in red and correlation between the tert-butyl protons and P1 is marked in green.
3.4.4.3 Variable Temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR Spectra of 3


Figure S11. ${ }^{31}$ P $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF) of exo-H-3 at different temperatures. The signals marked with an asterisk are assigned to the endo- H isomer of 3.

### 3.4.4.4 Monitoring of the reaction of $G$ with PhLi by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy

$\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{G}, 14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, transferred to a screw cap NMR tube and cooled to $183 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{PhLi}(1.9 \mathrm{M}$ in di- $n$-butylether, $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The NMR tube was sealed with the screw cap and transferred to a pre-cooled (193 K) Bruker Avance 400 NMR device. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy starting at 193 K , followed by subsequent heating in steps of 20 K up to 273 K . Above 273 K the steps were reduced to 10 K . Selected ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra are shown in Figure S5.


Figure S12. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR monitoring ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF) of the reaction of $\mathbf{G}$ with PhLi starting from 193 K. The signals marked with $\mathbf{\Delta}$ are assigned to the endo-H isomer of $\mathbf{3}$, while \# marks the signals of the exo-H isomer. The signals marked with an asterisk are assigned to an unidentified species.
3.4.4.5 $2 \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ Correlation NMR Spectrum of 5 , ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR Spectrum of 5 in THF, and Comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ NMR Spectra


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ HMBC NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5}$; correlation of H 1 and P 2 is marked in red, correlation between the $n$-butyl protons and P 1 is marked in green and correlation between the $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ group and P3 is marked in blue.


Figure S14. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum ( $161.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of the unknown product obtained from $\mathbf{5}$ in THF. The signals marked with an asterisk are assigned to unidentified species.


Figure S15. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (bottom) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ NMR spectrum (top) ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF, 300 K ) of 5 and the unknown complex.

### 3.4.6 Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed in a single-compartment cell inside a nitrogenfilled glovebox using a CH Instruments CHI600E potentiostat. The cell was equipped with a platinum disc working electrode ( 2 mm diameter) polished with $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ alumina paste, a platinum wire counter electrode and a silver/silver nitrate reference electrode. The supporting electrolyte, tetra-nbutylammonium hexafluorophosphate, was dried in vacuo at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. All redox potentials are reported versus the ferrocene/ferrocenium $\left(\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$couple. The scan rate is $v=100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.


Figure S16. Cyclic voltammograms of endo-H-1 (a), endo-H-2 (b), exo- $\mathrm{H}-3$ (c), and 4 (d) recorded in THF/TBAH.

### 3.4.7 X-ray Crystallography

The crystals were measured with an Agilent Technologies SuperNova device. Multi-scan absorption correction ${ }^{28}$ was applied to the data. The structure was solved by SHELXS ${ }^{29}$ and leastsquare refinements on $F^{2}$ were carried out with SHELXL. ${ }^{30}$ Crystallographic data is given in Table S1.

CCDC 1888854-1888858 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Table S1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement of 1-5.

| Compound | endo-H-1 | endo-H-2 | exo-H-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{CoLiO}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{71} \mathrm{CoLiO}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{CoLiO}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 672.59 | 765.69 | 668.56 |
| Temperature [K] | 123.0(2) | 123.0(1) | 123.0(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | orthorhombic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | Pbca | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ |
| a [ $\AA$ ] | 20.245(2) | 20.4752(5) | 16.1137(3) |
| b [ A ] | 10.4733(5) | 19.7415(6) | 10.4937(2) |
| c [ A ] | 19.440(1) | 21.1433(8) | 21.8089(5) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left[^{\circ}\right.$ ] | 109.476(8) | 90 | 94.730(2) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume [ $\left.\AA^{\circ}{ }^{3}\right]$ | 3886.2(5) | 8546.4(5) | 3675.2(1) |
| Z | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.150 | 1.190 | 1.208 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 5.193 | 4.802 | 5.491 |
| $F(000)$ | 1456.0 | 3304.0 | 1440.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & 0.172 \times 0.145 \times \\ & 0.125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.209 \times 0.138 \times \\ & 0.087 \end{aligned}$ | $0.27 \times 0.22 \times 0.103$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\operatorname{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 9.208 to 148.79 | 7.496 to 147.504 | 8.136 to 148.476 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -24 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 24,-13 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 12,-23 \leq 1 \leq 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -25 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 25,-21 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 24,-22 \leq 1 \leq 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -16 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 19,-12 \leq \mathrm{k} \\ & \leq 12,-27 \leq 1 \leq 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 36433 | 38284 | 33530 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 7708\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.1621,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0984\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8532\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0594,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0453\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7350\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0642,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0419\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7708 / 4 / 380 | 8532 / 98 / 517 | 7350/2/380 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.161 | 1.022 | 1.019 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0934, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2520 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0510 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1226 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0468 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1149 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1253 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.3026 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0687 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1339 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0530 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1191 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 1.02/-1.40 | 0.73/-0.51 | 0.88/-0.52 |
| CCDC | 1888854 | 1888855 | 1888857 |


| Compound | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{MgN}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{CoPP}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ |
| Formula weight | 1271.33 | 590.61 |
| Temperature [K] | 123.0(1) | 123.0(1) |
| Crystal system | tetragonal | triclinic |
| Space group | I4/m | $P-1$ |
| a [ A ] | 12.6865(2) | 9.8833(4) |
| $\mathrm{b}[\AA]$ | 12.6865(2) | 11.1780(5) |
| c [ A ] | 21.3540(6) | 15.3846(7) |
| $\alpha\left[^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 80.948(4) |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 87.496(3) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 78.863(3) |
| Volume [ ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ ] | 3436.9(2) | 1646.7(1) |
| Z | 2 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.229 | 1.191 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 5.932 | 6.359 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1348.0 | 636.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.222 \times 0.14 \times 0.11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.219 \times 0.198 \times \\ & 0.128 \end{aligned}$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 8.106 to 148.62 | 8.156 to 148.572 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -15 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11,-15 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 14,-26 \leq 1 \leq 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -11 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-13 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 13,-18 \leq 1 \leq 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 5625 | 10814 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 1753\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0309,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0267\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6342\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0313,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0420\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 1753 / 0 / 90 | 6342 / 0 / 318 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.073 | 1.028 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0295 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0751 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0328 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0820 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0335 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0773 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0346 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0835 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.26/-0.26 | 0.55/-0.35 |
| CCDC | 1888856 | 1888858 |

### 3.4.8 Theoretical Investigations

### 3.4.7.1 Computational Methods

Geometry optimizations of $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ were performed with the Gaussian09 program package (Revision E.01 $)^{31}$ using the xyz coordinates obtained from X-ray crystallography as starting point. The B3LYP density functional ${ }^{24}$ and the Ahlrichs def2-TZVP basis set ${ }^{26}$ were employed for all atoms. Atom-pairwise dispersion correction to the DFT energy with Becke-Johnson damping (D3BJ) was applied. ${ }^{25}$ The nature of the stationary points was verified by numerical frequency analyses. Molecular orbitals were visualized with the program GaussView5. ${ }^{32}$ The isosurface value is set to 0.05 for all figures.

### 3.4.7.2 Comparison of Functionals

In order to determine suitable functionals for the quantum mechanical investigations of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$, geometry optimizations of the singlet state in the gas phase were performed using pure GGA functionals (BP86 and OPBE), ${ }^{33,34}$ meta-GGA functionals (TPSS) ${ }^{35}$ and hybridfunctionals (B3LYP) ${ }^{24}$ with the Ahlrichs def2-TZVP basis set. ${ }^{26}$ The B3LYP functional reproduced the solid-state molecular structures very well (cf. Tables S2-4). For the sake of computational cost the geometry optimizations were performed without the $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\operatorname{solv})_{x}\right]^{+}$cation.

Table S2. Comparison of some characteristic bond lengths [ A ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of endo- $\mathrm{H}-1$ with the calculated ones.

| endo-H-1 | Exp | BP86 | OPBE | TPSS | B3LYP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-C1 | $1.839(6)$ | 1.846 | 1.845 | 1.842 | 1.836 |
| P1-C2 | $1.767(6)$ | 1.777 | 1.777 | 1.774 | 1.771 |
| P1-C21 | $1.855(6)$ | 1.863 | 1.865 | 1.864 | 1.854 |
| P2-C1 | $1.930(7)$ | 1.957 | 1.954 | 1.952 | 1.946 |
| P2-C2 | $1.822(6)$ | 1.831 | 1.831 | 1.828 | 1.821 |
| Co1-C1 | $3.051(1)$ | 3.033 | 3.042 | 3.028 | 3.042 |
| P1-C1-P2 | $82.8(2)$ | 82.0 | 81.7 | 81.7 | 82.3 |
| C2-P1-C1 | $91.4(3)$ | 92.5 | 92.9 | 92.8 | 92.7 |
| C1-P2-C2 | $86.9(3)$ | 87.4 | 87.8 | 87.6 | 87.6 |
| E [Hartree] | - | $\mathbf{- 3 6 9 1 . 5 0 4 6 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 6 8 8 . 9 0 9 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 6 9 1 . 4 3 2 1 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 6 9 1 . 2 2 1 0 7 7}$ |

Table S3. Comparison of some characteristic bond lengths [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of endo- $\mathrm{H}-2$ with the calculated ones.

| endo-H-2 | Exp | BP86 | OPBE | TPSS | B3LYP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-C1 | $1.858(3)$ | 1.867 | 1.867 | 1.863 | 1.855 |
| P1-C2 | $1.778(3)$ | 1.785 | 1.785 | 1.782 | 1.779 |
| P1-C21 | $1.889(3)$ | 1.916 | 1.919 | 1.912 | 1.903 |
| P2-C1 | $1.937(3)$ | 1.943 | 1.941 | 1.940 | 1.935 |
| P2-C2 | $1.824(3)$ | 1.830 | 1.830 | 1.827 | 1.820 |
| Co1-C1 | $3.079(1)$ | 3.032 | 3.040 | 3.027 | 3.038 |
| P1-C1-P2 | $81.9(1)$ | 81.8 | 81.4 | 81.4 | 81.9 |
| C2-P1-C1 | $91.4(1)$ | 92.3 | 92.6 | 92.5 | 92.3 |
| C1-P2-C2 | $87.6(1)$ | 88.5 | 88.8 | 88.7 | 88.6 |
| E [Hartree] | - | $\mathbf{- 3 6 9 1 . 4 9 9 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 6 8 8 . 9 0 2 2 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 6 9 1 . 4 2 4 8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 6 9 1 . 2 1 2 6 5 6}$ |

Table S4. Comparison of some characteristic bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of exo- $\mathrm{H}-3$ with the calculated ones.

| exo-H-3 | Exp | BP86 | OPBE | TPSS | B3LYP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-C1 | $1.840(3)$ | 1.836 | 1.836 | 1.833 | 1.826 |
| P1-C2 | $1.784(3)$ | 1.808 | 1.807 | 1.801 | 1.796 |
| P1-C21 | $1.845(3)$ | 1.831 | 1.835 | 1.834 | 1.834 |
| P2-C1 | $1.932(3)$ | 1.951 | 1.950 | 1.949 | 1.940 |
| P2-C2 | $1.805(3)$ | 1.830 | 1.829 | 1.827 | 1.820 |
| Co1-C1 | $3.079(1)$ | 3.150 | 3.161 | 3.146 | 3.156 |
| P1-C1-P2 | $83.0(1)$ | 83.0 | 82.6 | 82.4 | 83.2 |
| C2-P1-C1 | $89.3(1)$ | 89.9 | 90.2 | 90.3 | 90.0 |
| C1-P2-C2 | $85.8(1)$ | 85.8 | 86.1 | 86.0 | 85.8 |
| E [Hartree] | - | $\mathbf{- 3 7 6 5 . 3 4 1 7 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 7 6 2 . 6 6 8 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 7 6 5 . 2 8 0 1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 7 6 5 . 0 5 1 4 4 1}$ |



Figure S17. Kohn-Sham frontier orbitals of endo-H-1 calculated at the B3LYP/def2TZVP level of theory.
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### 4.1 Introduction

Diphosphacyclobutadienes are labile molecules, but can be stabilized via the formation of $\pi$-complexes with transition metals, which are prepared by the metal-mediated cyclodimerization of phosphaalkynes, R-C $\equiv \mathrm{P} .{ }^{1,2}$ Both the 1,2- and 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene isomers are accessible. While the 1,2-isomer is more stable with small organic substituents, bulky groups such as $\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}$ favor the formation of the 1,3-isomer. ${ }^{3}$

The first 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes were reported independently by Regitz, Binger and co-workers as well as Nixon and co-workers in 1986. ${ }^{4}$ Since then, numerous 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes as well as some 1,2-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes have been described. ${ }^{5,6}$ Our group developed anionic bis(1,3diphosphacyclobutadiene) sandwich complexes $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe} \text {, Co; type A, Scheme } 1)^{7}$ which can be obtained from the reaction of bis(anthracene) metalates $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}$, $\mathrm{Co} ; \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}=$ anthracene $)^{8}$ with phosphaalkynes such as $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$, $t \mathrm{Pent}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$, and $\mathrm{Ad}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ $(t \mathrm{Bu}=$ tert-butyl, $t$ Pent $=$ tert-pentyl, Ad $=$ adamantyl $)$. Four P atoms are present in these molecules, which may potentially enable a rich coordination chemistry.

Previously, we reported the preparation of various complexes with copper(I), silver(I), and gold(I) of type A anions (Figure 1). ${ }^{9}$ In the molecular structure of these products, one or two phosphorus atoms of the sandwich molecule are involved in metal coordination (Figure 1, $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ ). A striking result was the synthesis of the octanuclear molecular square $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}(\mathbf{D})\right.$ composed of four $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$molecules and four gold atoms. ${ }^{9}$
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Scheme 1. Synthesis of complexes of type A.

In order to gain more comprehensive insight into the coordination chemistry of type $\mathbf{A}$ anions, we next decided to investigate the synthesis of metal complexes with cations of groups 8-10, and 12 . In a previous preliminary communication, we reported the synthesis of nickel(II) and cobalt(I) complexes (see Figure 1, structure B) with $\sigma$-coordinated $\mathrm{CpNi}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ fragments. ${ }^{10}$ Here, we give a full account of our studies, which have revealed several new and intriguing features of the coordination chemistry of type $\mathbf{A}$ anions. In particular the chemical non-innocence of these seemingly robust sandwich complexes, which are unexpectedly

Previous work: $\sigma$-complexes of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (type A ) anions with (coinage) metal cations


B
$\mathbf{M L}_{\boldsymbol{n}}=\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{x}, \mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$, $\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, t \mathrm{Pent}$, or Ad
$\mathrm{ML}_{\boldsymbol{n}}=\mathrm{CpNi}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$;
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{tBu}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{M L}_{\boldsymbol{n}}=\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Au} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ad} \\
& \mathbf{M L}_{\boldsymbol{n}}=\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{x}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{tPent}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Figure 1. Previously reported transition metal complexes of type A anions (top); new coordination complexes 2-12.
prone to rearrangements and the insertion of unsaturated metal complex fragments into the ligand framework, is noteworthy. Also, they show flexidentate coordination behavior demonstrated by the ability to act as a chelate ligand and to form $\pi$-complexes. These remarkable properties have
led to the synthesis of several new oligonuclear complexes 2-12 with unprecedented molecular structures. In addition to the thorough structural and spectroscopic characterization of these new compounds, we also describe electrochemical properties (ascertained by cyclic voltammetry) and use DFT calculations to analyze the electronic structures for selected examples.

### 4.2 Results and Discussion

### 4.2.1 Reaction of $\left.\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { thf })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$

Aiming at the synthesis of the hypothetical tripledecker complex $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left(\mu-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$, we began with the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\operatorname{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]^{7}$ with Werner's classic complex $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{11}$ (one equivalent) in THF. This reaction produces five complexes in total, the ratio of which changes depending on reaction times and temperatures, according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR monitoring (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reaction between $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$.
When the reactants are mixed in an NMR tube in THF at 193 K , the first main product $\mathbf{1}$ (intermediate species, $>92 \%$ according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR) with ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signals at $\delta=-6.5$, $-1.0,9.2$, and 10.3 ppm can be observed. This product is stable up to 213 K . The resonances of $\mathbf{1}$ are no longer observed when the temperature is raised to 233 K , whereupon a second species 2 forms which shows three ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signals at $\delta=-4.1,15.6$, and 31.5 ppm with an integral ratio of $1: 2: 1$. Above 253 K , the formation of a third species $\mathbf{3}$ is observed, which is stable in solution at room temperature for at least one day (vide infra). When the temperature is increased to 333 K for $>30 \mathrm{~min}$, another species $\mathbf{4}$ forms, which gives rise to one ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signal at $\delta=459.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ (vide infra) at this temperature. Finally, a fifth distinct species with a singlet resonance at 40.0 ppm is formed when the solution is kept at 333 K for three days.

While $\mathbf{1}$ could not be isolated due to its low stability, $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right.$ (thf)] (2) was synthesized on a preparative scale and characterized by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The molecular structure (Figure 2) is similar to $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right](\mathbf{E}$, see Figure 1,


Figure 2. Solid-state molecular structures of $\mathbf{2}$ (left), $\mathbf{3}$ (middle), and $\mathbf{4}$ (right). The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 2: Ni1-P1 2.1807(8), P1-C1 1.784(3), P1-C2 1.785(3), P2-C1 1.800(3), P2-C2 1.799(3), P1-C1-P2-C2/P3-C3-P4-C4 6.1; 3: Ni1-Co1 2.536(1), Ni1-P1 2.179(2), Ni1-C1 1.902(7), P1-C2 1.770(7), C2-P2 1.754(7), P2-C1 1.783(6), P1-Ni1-C1 94.6(2), Ni1-C1-P2 116.3(3), P1-C1-P2-C2/P3-C3-P4-C4 12.5; 4: Ni1-Co1 2.5447(7), Ni1-P1 2.144(1), Ni1-P2 2.1568(9), P1-C1 1.798(3), P2-C2 1.795(3), C1-C2 1.447(4), P2-Ni1-P1 88.54(3), Ni1-P1-C1 110.0(1), C2-P2-Ni1 110.0(1), Ni-P1-C1-P2-C2/P3-C3-P4-C4 13.7.
type B), previously prepared via a similar route and described by us in a preliminary communication. ${ }^{10}$ The nickel atom is coordinated by one phosphorus atom of a $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$ anion, a cyclopentadienyl ligand, and a THF molecule, resulting in a two-legged piano-stool environment for Ni1. The Ni1-P1 distance is somewhat shorter than in $\mathbf{E}$ [2.1807(8) in 2 vs. $2.2022(5) \AA$ in $\mathbf{E}] .{ }^{10}$ Similar to $\mathbf{E}$, the coordinated $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligands are slightly tilted as a result of metal coordination; the dihedral angle between the P1-C1-P2-C2/P3-C3-P4-C4 planes in 2 is $6.09^{\circ}$ vs. $11.35^{\circ}$ in $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$.

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of crystalline 2 (recorded in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ at 233 K ) is similar to that of $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right] .{ }^{10}$ Two doublets at $\delta=-4.1 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=21.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and $\delta=31.5 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=21.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ are assigned to the P atoms of the nickel-coordinated diphosphacyclobutadiene, while the second diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand of 2 gives rise to a singlet at $\delta=15.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ is stable in solution at ambient temperature, whereas 2 slowly transforms into $\mathbf{3}$ below 233 K , which prevented it from being isolated as a pure compound. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of samples isolated by crystallization at low temperature show an additional set of signals at $\delta=-10.6,14.5$, and 33.6 ppm with the same pattern, indicating the presence of a second isomer of compound 2 (ratio 10:1). A third set of signals is assigned to compound $\mathbf{3}$ (vide infra).

Complex 3 was isolated by reacting $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ at 253 K for 2 h in THF, followed by extraction of the crude product with cold $n$-hexane. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from a concentrated $n$-hexane solution stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As
shown by the molecular structure of $\mathbf{3}$ (Figure 2), an unprecedented insertion of the $[\mathrm{CpNi}]^{+}$ fragment into a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion results in a 1,4-diphospha-2nickelacyclopentadiene unit, which forms a $\pi$-complex with the cobalt center. The Ni1-C1 $[1.902(7) \AA$ ] and Ni1-P1 [2.179(2) Å] bond lengths of $\mathbf{3}$ are in the range of single bonds, whereas the P1-C2 [1.770(7) Å], C2-P2 [1.754(7) A], and P2-C1 [1.783(6) Å] distances suggest a delocalized bonding situation similar to that in the cyclic 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands present in the starting material. ${ }^{2,4,7}$ The $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{tBu} u_{2}\right)$ framework is comparable to the 1,4-diphospha-2-rhodacyclopentadiene F (Figure 3, P1-C2 1.685 A, C2-P2 $1.801 \AA$, P2-C1 $1.710 \AA$ A) synthesized by Binger and co-workers by reaction of $t \mathrm{BuCP}$ with $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}$ in presence of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3} .{ }^{5 \mathrm{a}}$ In addition, a relatively short Ni1-Co1 distance of 2.536(1) $\AA$ might indicate a metal-metal bond. However, our DFT calculations indicate that the Ni-Co bonding is weak (vide infra).


F


Figure 3. Known diphosphametallacyclopentadienes reported by Binger and co-workers. ${ }^{\text {5a,b }}$
The NMR data of $\mathbf{3}$ is in agreement with the crystallographically determined structure. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum recorded in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF displays four signals for the chemically inequivalent tert-butyl substituents at $\delta=0.83,1.08,1.46$, and 1.79 ppm , and a singlet at $\delta=5.31 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the Cp ligand. Similar to $\mathbf{F}$ reported by Binger and co-workers, ${ }^{5 a}$ the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in [ $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ ]THF features two downfield shifted signals at $\delta=172.4$ and 252.0 ppm for the carbon atoms in the 1,4-diphospha-2-nickelacyclopentadiene ring. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ shows four signals at $\delta=36.0,71.4,191.4$, and 492.2 ppm . We assign the latter two downfield shifted signals to the two P atoms of the 1,4-diphospha-2-nickelacyclopentadiene unit (c.f. the similar values reported for rhodium compound $\mathbf{F}$ ). ${ }^{5}$ The signal at $\delta=492.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ likely arises from the P atom coordinated to nickel due to the strong downfield shift typical for terminal phosphinidene and metallated phosphaalkene complexes. ${ }^{12-14}$ The deep orange color of $\mathbf{3}$ is similar to that of the starting material $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$. The UV/Vis spectrum in THF (SI, Figure S 8 ) features an intense maximum at 333 nm and broad bands at 401, 476, 570, and 652 nm .

DFT optimization with the BP86-D3BJ and B3LYP-D3BJ functionals reproduced the molecular structure of $\mathbf{3}$ accurately (the def2-TZVP basis set was used, see the SI for details). The Wiberg bond indices (WBIs) suggest that the C-P bonds (WBIs of $1.18,1.19$ and 1.22) of the
diphosphametallacycle may possess partial double bond character. By contrast, the WBIs for the Ni1-P1 bond (WBI 0.53) as well as the Ni1-C1 bond (WBI 0.50) indicate single bond character. A low WBI of 0.12 was calculated for the cobalt-nickel bond, suggesting that this interaction is relatively weak. In line with this, no metal-metal bonding is apparent in the canonical Kohn-Sham orbitals (SI, Figure S17). The highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO) are mainly located at the $\mathrm{CpNi}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)$ unit, while the lowest unoccupied orbitals (LUMO) are centered at the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)$ fragment and individual $\mathrm{Ni} 1, \mathrm{P} 1$, and C 1 atoms.

Remarkably, complex $\mathbf{3}$ is thermally stable at room temperature in the solid state, although in solution it slowly isomerizes to $\mathbf{4}$ at ambient temperature. Full conversion to $\mathbf{4}$ is achieved after heating a THF solution of $\mathbf{3}$ for 9 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. X-ray quality crystals were grown from a concentrated $n$-hexane solution. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction revealed a planar 1,3-diphospha-2-nickelacyclopentadienyl ligand, which is $\eta^{5}$-coordinated to cobalt with a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length of 1.447(4) $\AA$ and C-P bond lengths of $1.795(3)$ and $1.798(3) \AA$. This ligand is similar to the structure of the rhodium complex $\mathbf{G}$ (Figure $3, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} 1.415 \AA, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P} 1.827 \AA$ and $1.843 \AA$ ), which was obtained from $\left[\operatorname{Rh}\left(\eta^{5}\right.\right.$-indenyl $\left.)(\text { alkene })_{2}\right]\left((\text { alkene })_{2}=\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2}\right.$ or $\eta^{4}$-1,5-cyclooctadiene $)$ and $t \mathrm{BuCP} .^{5}$ The $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P}[2.144(1)$ and $2.1568(9) \mathrm{A}]$ bond is significantly shorter than in 3 . The relatively short cobalt-nickel distance of $2.5447(7) \AA$ is also noteworthy. This feature is presumably responsible for the tilted structure of the sandwich molecule: The dihedral angle between the planes Ni1P1C1C2P2 / P3C3P4C4 amounts to $13.7^{\circ}$ (cf. P1C1C2P2 / P3C3P4C4 $12.1^{\circ}$ in 3). The nickel atom is in an almost planar two-legged piano-stool environment [sum of angles around $\mathrm{Ni} 1: 355.003(3)^{\circ}$ ].

The NMR data of $\mathbf{4}$ (recorded in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ) is in line with the crystal structure. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows two singlets at $\delta=1.08$ and 1.62 ppm for the $t \mathrm{Bu}$ groups and a singlet at $\delta=5.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the Cp ligand. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum shows a singlet at $\delta=458.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ at room temperature, which can be assigned to the P atoms of the 1,3-diphospha-2nickelacyclopentadienyl ligand. Resonances for the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene unit were not observed at room temperature, but the expected signals appear upon cooling of the NMR sample. Sharp resonances were observed at $\delta=-25.9,76.6$, and 457.0 ppm at 193 K in agreement with the crystallographically determined structure. This fluxional behavior is very likely caused by the rotation of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand, which is fast on the NMR timescale above room temperature. At 343 K a broad signal appeared at $\delta=29.3 \mathrm{ppm}$, which sharpened upon heating to 373 K (SI, Figure S2). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 4 at 193 K was qualitatively reproduced by DFT calculations at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory, which gave calculated chemical shift values of $-11.9,84.9$, and 577.4 ppm (referenced vs. $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$, see the SI$)$. Wiberg bond indices (WBIs) calculated by DFT indicate that the bonding situation of $\mathbf{4}$ is similar to 3. For the diphosphametallacycle, high WBIs (1.34 for $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2,1.16$ for $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$, and 1.17 for P2-C2) indicate partial double bond character for the C-C and C-P bonds, while the WBIs of the
$\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds ( 0.56 for Ni1-P1 and Ni1-P2) indicate single bond character. Similar to 3, the interaction between nickel and cobalt appears to be rather weak (WBI 0.10 for Ni1-Co1) despite the shorter metal-metal distance.

### 4.2.2 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}]_{4}$

In the hopes of isolating the hypothetical tripledecker complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mu-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$, we investigated the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}]_{4}$ ( 0.25 equivalents, Scheme 3). Unexpectedly, this reaction led to the identification of $\left[(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru})_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (5) and $\left[(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru})_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mu, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (6) as products among other unidentified species. Monitoring of the reaction by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy (see SI, Figure S 4 ) revealed that $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ was already consumed after two hours while compound 5 formed. Compound $\mathbf{6}$ formed after 28 hours, whereas the signals assigned to compound 5 were no longer observed after 48 hours. After optimizing the stoichiometry and the reaction conditions, complexes 5 and 6 were both isolated as pure compounds. Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (one equivalent) with $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}]_{4}$ ( 0.75 equivalents) in refluxing THF for four hours affords 5 in $46 \%$ isolated yield. Compound $\mathbf{6}$ can be isolated in $36 \%$ yield when an identical reaction mixture is refluxed for 30 hours.


Scheme 3. Synthesis of 5 and 6.
Single-crystal X-ray structure determinations revealed the molecular structures of 5 and $\mathbf{6}$. The molecular structure of 5 (Figure 4 , left) shows the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich compound in an eclipsed conformation coordinated to a $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru}$ and a dimeric $(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl})_{2}$ fragment. This leads to a dihedral angle (between P1-P2-Co1 and Co1-P3-P4 planes) of only $\alpha=2.6^{\circ}$. We are not aware of similar structures with eclipsed diphosphacyclobutadienes in the literature. The diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands are slightly tilted as a result of the metal coordination (dihedral angle between P1-C1-P2-C2/P3-C3-P4-C4 planes $11.6^{\circ}$ ). The ruthenium atom Ru1 shows a twolegged piano-stool environment composed of an $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ligand, and two phosphorus atoms of the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ unit. The Ru1-Co1 distance of $2.6449(1) \AA$ is substantially larger than the sum of the covalent radii $\left(2.36 \AA\right.$ ). ${ }^{15}$ The remaining ruthenium atoms ( Ru 2 and Ru 3 ) show a three-legged piano-stool environment formed by a $\mathrm{Cp} *$ ligand, two chlorine atoms, and one phosphorus atom.


Figure 4. Solid-state molecular structures of 5 (left) and 6 (right). The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond lengths [ $\AA \AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 5: Ru1-P1 2.5233(8), Ru1-P4 2.4825(8), Ru1-Co1 2.6448(5), Ru2-P2 2.2920(7), Ru3-P3 2.2796(7), P4-Ru1-P1 105.22(2); 6: Ru1-Ru2 2.6985(8), Ru1-P1 2.381(2), Ru1-P2 2.339(2), Ru1-C1 2.150(8), Ru1-C2 2.125(8), Ru2-C1 2.158(8), Ru2-C2 2.125(8), P1-P2 2.089(3), C1-C2 1.34(1).

The Ru1-P1 and Ru1-P4 bond lengths ( $2.5233(8) \AA$ and $2.4825(8) \AA$ ) are significantly longer than the sum of covalent radii ( $2.36 \AA$ ) presumably because the diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands are tilted toward Ru2 and Ru3. Ru2-P2 (2.2920(7) A) and Ru3-P3 (2.2796(7) A) are much shorter and in the range of dative $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds observed in phosphane complexes. ${ }^{16}$

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{5}$ (recorded in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ) are in line with the solid-state structure, displaying one tert-butyl environment and two distinct $\mathrm{Cp} *$ signals. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5}$ shows two singlets at $\delta=-13.5$ and 44.3 ppm in a $1: 1$ ratio. Based on DFT calculations (B3LYP/def2-TZVP level, see the SI for details), we assign the signal at $\delta=-13.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ to the chelating P atoms P 1 and P 4 , whereas the signal at $\delta=44.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ is assigned to P2 and P3, which coordinate to separate Ru atoms.

The molecular structure of $\mathbf{6}$ shows that the 1,3-diphosphcyclobutadiene ligands of the starting material were completely cleaved. The structure consists of a diphosphorus moiety and an alkyne (2,2,5,5-tetramethylhex-3-yne) bridging a $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuRuCp} *$ unit. To the best of our knowledge, the diphosphorus/alkyne bonding motif has not been observed before. The $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ unit is oriented perpendicularly with respect to the intermetallic vector, resulting in a tetrahedral $\mu, \eta^{2}$ geometry. The P1-P2 distance of 2.089(3) $\AA$ is similar to that of known diphosphorus-bridged complexes. ${ }^{17}$ The C1-C2 distance of the bent alkyne ligand is $1.34(1) \AA$ A ; the tert-butyl substituents enclose C-C-C angles of $141.1(8)^{\circ}$ and $144.8(8)^{\circ}$, respectively. Similar structural parameters are found in other alkyne-bridged diruthenium complexes. ${ }^{17}$ The Ru1-Ru2 distance of 2.6985 (8) $\AA$ is $0.2 \AA$ longer than the sum of covalent radii. ${ }^{15}$

DFT optimization (in this case, the BP86-D3BJ/def2-TZVP level was used, see the SI for details) reproduced the molecular of $\mathbf{6}$ very well, while attempted optimizations of the isomeric
bis(phosphaalkyne) complex $\left[(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru})_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{PC} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathbf{6}^{\prime}\right)$ also converged at $\mathbf{6}$. This seems to indicate that $\mathbf{6}$ is not a minimum on the potential energy hypersurface. Based on the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distance and the calculated Wiberg bond indices (WBI 0.26 for Ru1-Ru2, cf. WBI 0.48 for Ru1-C1, WBI 0.61 for Ru1-P1), Ru-Ru bonding is likely weak. WBIs of 1.22 for P1-P2 and 1.50 for $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ indicate that there is significant multiple bonding in these units.

In accord with the solid-state molecular structure, just two ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR singlets are observed at $\delta=1.41$ and 1.86 ppm for $\mathbf{6}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, while the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum shows signals at $\delta=12.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 96.0 ppm for the $\mathrm{Cp*}$ ligands, and signals at $\delta=34.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 35.7 ppm for the tert-butyl substituents. (We could not detect the signals of the alkyne carbon atoms.) The bridging $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ unit gives rise to one extremely broad singlet $\left(\nu_{\text {FWHM }}=360 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ at $\delta=128.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum. A similar chemical shift was reported by Dahl and co-workers for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](126.6 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{17 \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{The}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signal broadens even further upon cooling the NMR sample to 253 K , presumably due to decoalescence. Heating leads to signal sharpening $\left(v_{\text {FWHM }}=140 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ at 333 K$)$. These ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR observations may indicate that the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ fragment takes part in an unknown dynamic process; further investigations are needed to clarify the cause of this dynamic process.


Figure 5. Cyclic voltammograms of 5 (top) and 6 (bottom) recorded in THF/[nBu4N]PF6 with a platinum disc working electrode, a platinum wire as counter electrode, and silver/silver nitrate as reference electrode. $v=100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$; potentials are referenced to the $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$couple.

The cyclic voltammogram of $\mathbf{5}\left(\mathrm{THF} /\left[n \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$, Figure 5) features two reversible redox events at $E_{1 / 2}=-0.46 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$and $E_{1 / 2}=-2.10 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs}$. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$, which may tentatively be assigned to the reversible oxidation and reduction of the complex. The cyclic voltammogram of 6 (THF/[ $\left.n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, Figure 5) shows two successive reversible oxidation waves at $E_{1 / 2}=-0.63 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$and $E_{1 / 2}=0.00 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs}$. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$. It is noteworthy that anions of type $\mathbf{A}$ show only one reversible oxidation event (observed at -0.73 V vs. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$for $\left[\mathrm{K}([18] \text { crown-6)(thf })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}_{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right] .}{ }^{7}\right.\right.$

### 4.2.3 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { thf })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{cod})]_{2}$

We continued our investigation by reacting $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}$, Ad) with $[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{cod})]_{2}$ ( 0.5 equivalents). The reactions were performed in THF at room temperature and the crude product extracted with $n$-hexane (7) or toluene ( $\mathbf{8}$ ). Concentration of said solutions afforded deep yellow, crystalline $\pi$-complexes $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](\mathbf{7}, \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu} ; \mathbf{8}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ad})$ in high yields of $90 \%$ for $\mathbf{7}$ and $82 \%$ for $\mathbf{8}$.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$, and reaction of $\mathbf{8}$ with adamantylphosphaalkyne.
Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies revealed the presence of a bridging 1,3 -diposphacyclobutadiene ligand that is $\pi$-coordinated to the $\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})^{+}$and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)^{-}$units (Figure 6a). The main structural features of $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ are identical. The bridging $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring (average P-C 1.861(4) A for $\mathbf{7}$ and 1.860(4) for $\mathbf{8}$ see also Figure 6) shows elongated $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds compared to the terminal ligand (av. P-C 1.798(4) A for $\mathbf{7}$ and 1.81(1) $\AA$ for $\mathbf{8}$ ). The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Co}$ bond lengths of the bridging $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligand (for 7: 2.3335(8) and 2.3411(8) $\AA$, for $\mathbf{8}: 2 \mathrm{x} 2.337(1) \AA$ ) are also significantly elongated with respect to the terminal ligand (for 7: 2.2683(8) and 2.2761(8) $\AA$; for 8: $2 x 2.282(1) \AA$ ). The terminal ligand is puckered along the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ vector by $18.8^{\circ}$. Notably, $\mu-\eta^{4}: \eta^{4}$-coordination of diphosphayclobutadiene ligands has very rarely been observed. ${ }^{9-21}$ Thus, only three other complexes with an "inverted sandwich" structure similar to that observed for 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ have been described (Figure 6b), i.e. $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{Im}^{i \mathrm{Pr}}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{iPr}}=1,3-\mathrm{di}(\right.$ iso-propyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene), ${ }^{19} \quad\left(\left[\left\{\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{Ts}^{\mathrm{Tol}}\right)\right\}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{Ts}^{\mathrm{Tol}} \quad=\operatorname{tris}(N\right.\right.$-tolylamidodimethylsilyl)methane), ${ }^{20}$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=1,2,4-(t \mathrm{Bu})_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{21}\right.$
a)


8
b)


J

K

Figure 6. a) Solid-state molecular structures of 7 and 8 . The hydrogen atoms and the toluene solvate molecule of 8 are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [$]$ for 7: Rh1-P1 2.3889(7), Rh1-P2 2.4031(7), Co1-P1 2.3335(8), Co1-P2 $2.3411(8)$, Co1-P3 2.2761(8), Co1-P4 2.2683(8), P1-C1 1.857(3), P1-C2 1.863(3), P2-C1 1.863(3), P2-C2 1.861(3), C1-P1-C2 / C2-P2-C1 18.8; for 8: Rh1-P1 2.409(1), Rh1-P2 2.409(1), Co1-P1 2.337(1), Co1-P1' 2.337(1), Co1-P2 2.282(1), Co1-P2' 2.282(1), P1-C1 1.861(4), P1-C1' 1.858(4), P1'-C1 1.858(4), P1'-C1' 1.861(4), C1-P1-C1' / C1'-P1'-C1 18.5; b) Known complexes featuring the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand in bridging position.

In agreement with the solid-state structure, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 7 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ displays two tert-butyl signals and three signals for 1,5-cod molecule due to the presence of diastereotopic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum features two sets of signals for the tert-butyl groups and a set of two signals for the $1,5-\operatorname{cod}$ ligand. Moreover, a doublet of triplets at $\delta=150.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ [ ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=75.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Rh}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ] can be assigned to the quaternary carbon atoms of the bridging $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ moiety. Notably, this resonance is shifted downfield by 47.1 ppm with respect to the starting material A. A triplet at $\delta=118.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left[{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=55.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ can be assigned to the quaternary carbon atoms of the terminal $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligand. As expected, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 7 shows a singlet and a doublet at $\delta=29.4$ and 72.2 ppm in a $1: 1$ ratio. We assign the singlet at $\delta=29.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ to the terminal ligand, whereas the doublet at $\delta=72.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ with a coupling constant ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}\right)=17 \mathrm{~Hz}$ can be assigned to the bridging ligand. The same ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signal pattern (singlet at $\delta=23.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ and doublet at $\delta=72.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ with ${ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}\right)=17 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was observed for $\mathbf{8}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{8}$ are also similar to 7 , but a detailed assignment of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals was not possible due to overlap of the adamantyl signals.

The cyclic voltammogram of $7\left(\mathrm{THF} /\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$, Figure S15) features an irreversible reduction process at $E_{\mathrm{pc}}=-2.02 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$followed by a reversible oxidation wave at $E_{1 / 2}=-0.73 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$. The latter process is assigned to the oxidation of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich anion, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~d}}$ indicating that a fragmentation of $\mathbf{7}$ occurs.

Aiming at the synthesis of a genuine tripledecker complex by replacing the labile 1,5-cyclooctadiene ligand with another 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene unit, we investigated reactions of $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ (two equivalents, $\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{Ad})$ with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](7: \mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathbf{8}$ : $R=$ Ad, Scheme 4$).{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR studies revealed that the phosphaalkyne was consumed, but the reactions were unselective in most cases. By contrast, the reaction of $\left[\operatorname{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})\left\{\operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](\mathbf{8})$ with $\mathrm{AdC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ (two equivalents) gave one major species $\mathbf{9}$, which may well be the desired product $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ according to multinuclear NMR data. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 9 (recorded in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF at 270 K , see the SI, Figure S5) shows signals of three different adamantyl substituents in the region from 1.40 to $2.60 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{22}$ The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 9 (SI, Figure S 7 ) shows three resonances in a 1:1:1 ratio, i.e. a singlet at $\delta=37.6$ and two doublets at $\delta=91.9\left[{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}\right)=33.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and 95.2 ppm $\left[{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}\right)=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$. It is noteworthy that similar ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}$ couplings were observed for the terminal 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes $\quad\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}\right) \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ $\left[{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}\right)=31.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right],\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right] \quad\left[{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}\right)=29.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$, and $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]\left[{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}\right)=31.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}=\right.$ indenyl]. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{~b}}$ Comparing with the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{8}$, it is tempting to assign the resonance at $\delta=91.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ to a terminal 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand and the resonance at $\delta=95.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ to a bridging 1,3 -diphosphacyclobutadiene unit (as observed for $\mathbf{8}$ ). Accordingly, the singlet at $\delta=37.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ might be assigned to the terminal ligand coordinated to cobalt (cf. similar values reported for $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}\right) \mathrm{M}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}: \delta=37.1 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}: \delta=47.0 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{M}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}: \delta=25.1 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}: \delta=36.7 \mathrm{ppm}) .^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ Moreover, theoretical calculations confirmed the assignment of the signals giving ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR shifts of 32.7 , 96.2, and 107.6 ppm (see SI for further details). While compound $\mathbf{9}$ appears to be relatively stable as a solid (at least over the course of days), it unfortunately decomposes to an intractable mixture in polar and apolar solvents when stored at ambient temperature for $>1$ hour. For this reason, attempts to grow crystals suitable for single-crystal XRD have been unsuccessful, and the proposed tripledecker structure thus awaits confirmation.

### 4.2.5 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with cis- $-\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$

In order to examine the coordination properties of our $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion further, we decided to explore the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with cis $-\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$. This reaction affords crystals of the structurally unique compound $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (10). This pentanuclear species is formed in a fairly selective fashion ( ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR monitoring) when the
ratio of cobaltate anion per palladium complex is 2:1.5 (Scheme 5). Hydride complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]^{7 \mathrm{a}}$ and an unknown compound with ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signals at $\delta=0.5,3.2,4.9$, 105.7 , and 107.5 ppm were identified as the main by-products. Dark orange $\mathbf{1 0}$ is stable in $n$-hexane but decomposes to an intractable mixture in diethyl ether. Crystalline $\mathbf{1 0}$ can be isolated as a pure compound in $23 \%$ yield after chromatographic work-up.


Scheme 5. Synthesis of 10.
The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Figure 7) shows a $\mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ chain coordinated by two $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ moieties and two $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligands. The terminal palladium atoms ( Pd 1 and Pd 3 ) show a trigonal planar environment by three phosphorus atoms with $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ distances ranging from 2.2408(9) to $2.3031(9) \AA$. The Pd-P bonds of the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ units (Pd1-P1 2.2752(9) $\AA$; Pd1-P6 2.2408(9) $\AA$; Pd3-P2 2.2569(9) $\AA$; Pd3-P5 2.244(1) $\AA$ ) are much shorter than the bonds to the triphenylphosphane molecules (Pd1-P9 2.2986(9) $\AA$; Pd3-P10 2.3031(9) $\AA$ ). The $\mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ chain is bent ( $\left.\mathrm{Pd} 3-\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{Pd} 1159.15(1)^{\circ}\right)$. The central palladium atom Pd 2 is coordinated by four P atoms with Pd-P distances ranging from $2.4550(9)$ to $2.6427(9) \AA$. These values are significantly longer than the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds of the terminal Pd atoms Pd 1 and Pd 3 .

Similarly arranged palladium atoms were previously observed in $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}(\operatorname{InCp} *)_{4}\left(\mu-\operatorname{InCp}^{*}\right)_{4}\right]$, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}\left(\mu-\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{py}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PBz}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, 2,6$-dimethylphenyl and cyclohexyl, $\mathrm{Bz}=$ benzyl $)$, $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}_{3}\left(\mu-\mathrm{GePh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{GePh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} t \mathrm{Bu}-4\right)\right)\right\}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{dmpe})\right]$, and $\quad\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\operatorname{PdL}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right\}_{2}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{L}=$ metalated di(pentan-3-yl) amine). ${ }^{23}$ The Pd-Pd distances (2.6786(4) and 2.6633(4) $\AA$ ) are $>0.1 \AA$ shorter than in $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}\left(\operatorname{InCp}^{*}\right)_{4}\left(\mu-\mathrm{InCp}^{*}\right)_{4}\right]$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}_{3}\left(\mu-\mathrm{GePh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{GePh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} t \mathrm{Bu}-\right.\right.\right.\right.$ 4)) $\}_{2}(\mu$-dmpe $\left.)\right]$. The most remarkable feature of the molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ is the presence of a 1,4-diphospha-2-butene ligand, which is unusual in phosphaorganometallic chemistry. Its formation may be explained by an isomerization of a 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene unit to the 1,2-isomer (as observed for the rearrangement of $\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathbf{4}$ ), followed by an oxidative addition of the
resulting P-P bond on palladium. The P1-P2 distance of $2.401(1) \AA$, i.e. approximately $0.2 \AA$ longer than in known 1,2-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes, ${ }^{6}$ indicates that the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bond is partially broken. A similar structural motif was reported by the group of Binger (G, see Figure 3). ${ }^{5 b}$ The structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ thus may be viewed as a product of an arrested $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bond addition onto palladium.


Figure 7. Solid-state molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (top) and a section of the structure showing the palladium atoms and their donor environment (bottom). The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond lengths [ $\AA \AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 10: Pd1-Pd2 2.6786(4), Pd2-Pd3 2.6633(4), Pd1-P1 2.2752(9), Pd1-P6 2.2408(9), Pd1-P9 2.2986(9), Pd2-P1 2.4577(9), Pd2-P2 2.4550(9), Pd2-P5 2.6427(9), Pd2-P6 2.4805(9), Pd3-P2 2.2569(9), Pd3-P5 2.244(1), Pd3-P10 2.3031(9), Pd3-Pd2-Pd1 159.15(1), P6-Pd1-P1 118.59(3), P2-Pd3-P5 121.73(3).

A WBI analysis on the DFT-optimized structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ gave relatively low WBIs ( 0.11 and 0.10 ) for the palladium-palladium bonds, while a WBI of 0.50 was calculated for the partially broken P1-P2 bond of the 1,4-diphospha-2-butene ligand, indicating that there is still a substantial covalent interaction between these P atoms.

Multinuclear NMR spectra indicate that the structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ is retained in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra each show four signals for the $t \mathrm{Bu}$ environments and one set of phenyl resonances. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum recorded in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ shows two singlets at $\delta=-43.0$ and 38.7 ppm that can be assigned to the non-coordinated P atoms of the 1,3 -diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands. The triphenylphosphane ligands give rise to two overlapping triplets at $\delta=30.3$ and 30.5 ppm . The presence of two signals suggests that the structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ is rather rigid in solution. By contrast, the downfield shifted broad doublets at $\delta=201.7$ and 214.8 ppm can be assigned to the coordinating P atoms of the intact $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ fragment and the P atoms of the 1,4-diphospha-2-butene ligand, respectively. These signals show a remarkably large $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ coupling of ${ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=218 \mathrm{~Hz}$, which presumably arises from intramolecular through bond or through space coupling mediated by the coordination of this ligand to palladium. The latter may explain the broadening of the signals (nuclear spin $\left.\left({ }^{(105} \mathrm{Pd}\right)=5 / 2\right)$ which leads to unresolved coupling constants of the coupling between the triphenylphosphane ligands and the coordinated P atoms, and between the P atoms of the 1,4-diphospha-2-butene ligand. ${ }^{24} \mathrm{~A}$ similar ligand, 1-rhoda-2,5diphosphacyclopentenediyl in complex $\mathbf{G}$ (Figure 3, vide supra), has been described by the group of Binger and co-workers. ${ }^{5 b}$

A cyclic voltammogram of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Figure 8) recorded in $\mathrm{THF} /\left[n B u_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ shows a reversible oxidation wave at $E_{1 / 2}=0.06 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs}$. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$and a reversible reduction wave at $E_{1 / 2}=-1.73 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$, respectively. Most likely, these redox processes are centered at the $\operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ moiety (oxidation) and the $\mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ chain (reduction), respectively, as DFT calculations (BP86/def2-TZVP level) on the truncated model compound $\mathbf{1 0 '}^{\prime}$ (where the $t \mathrm{Bu}$ groups were replaced by Me groups) show that the HOMO is mainly located at the cobaltate fragment, while the LUMO is situated at the palladium atoms with minor contributions from the cobalt and the phosphorus atoms coordinated to palladium.


Figure 8. a) DFT-calculated (BP86/def2-TZVP) Kohn-Sham frontier orbitals for the truncated model compound 10' (where the tBu groups were replaced by Me groups); b) Cyclic voltammogram of 10 recorded in $\mathrm{THF}^{2} /\left[\mathrm{nBu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ with a platinum disc working electrode, a platinum wire as counter electrode, and silver/silver nitrate as reference electrode. $v=100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$; potentials are referenced to the $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$ couple.

### 4.2.6 Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$

We were also interested in exploring the coordination behavior of our homoleptic $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich anion toward $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$. The initially targeted tetradecker compound $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mu-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ was not detected during the reaction which was performed in THF solutions (2:1 stoichiometry). The reaction proceeds rather unselective giving the neutral $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ sandwich compound as main product (Scheme 6) according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR studies (ca. $50 \%$ ). A second species with a broad signal in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum at $\delta=22.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ was also identified together with traces of the known tetra-tertbutyltetraphosphacubane ${ }^{27}$ and some unidentified species (Figure 9). Extractions with $n$-hexane and toluene followed by concentration of the bright red solutions and storage at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded X-ray quality crystals.


Figure 9. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum ( $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ) of the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { thf })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$.




Scheme 6. Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ ( 0.5 equivalents).

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments revealed the molecular structures of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 12 in the solid state which are constitutional isomers of $\left[\operatorname{Hg}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right]$. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Figure 10, top) shows a trinuclear dicobalt mercury compound in which the mercury atom is coordinated by four phosphorus atoms of the ligand framework of the two $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ moieties. One of the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligand of each sandwich molecule shows an isomerization to 1,2-diphosphacyclobutadiene which we also observed for the palladium
compound 10. By contrast, the P-P bond lengths (P1-P2 2.165(2) A, P5-P6 2.165(2) A) are in the range of 1,2-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes. ${ }^{6}$

The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{P}$ distances are in the range of $2.591(1)-2.625(1) \AA$, which are significantly longer than the sum of covalent radii $(2.44 \AA)^{15}$ indicating a weak covalent interaction. Moreover, a weak electrostatic interaction is assumed for cobalt and mercury demonstrated by their distances of $2.9013(6) \AA(\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Co} 1)$ and $2.8916(6) \AA(\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Co} 2)$, respectively (sum of covalent radii $2.44 \AA) .{ }^{15}$ The sandwich molecules are slightly tilted by their centroid $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{Co}$-centroid $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ angle $\left(168^{\circ}\right)$ which is close to $180^{\circ}$ in the starting material. This may be explained by the coordination to mercury. Furthermore, the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands are tilted by $13.7^{\circ}$ (between $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{P} 3-\mathrm{C} 3 / \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{P} 4-\mathrm{C} 4$ planes).

The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1 2}$ (Figure 10, bottom) shows a constitutional isomer of the trinuclear dicobalt mercury compound. The mercury atom is surrounded by two $\operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ moieties. As a consequence, the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ sandwich molecules adopt dihedral angles (between P1-P2-Co1 and Co1-P3-P4 planes, and between P5-P6-Co2 and Co2-P7-P8 planes) of $31.3^{\circ}$ and $41.7^{\circ}$, respectively, which is in between the staggered and the eclipsed conformation. As a result of coordination, the sandwich molecules are tilted by their centroid $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{Co}$-centroid $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ angle of $162.4^{\circ}$ and $161.7^{\circ}$, respectively. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{P}$ distances are longer by $0.2 \AA$ (2.7156(8) $2.8432(8) \AA$ ) compared to 11 . In contrast, the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Co}$ distances are shortened by $0.2 \AA$ (2.7339(5) Å for $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Co} 1$ and $2.7340(5) \AA$ for $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Co} 2)$ compared to 11.

Compounds 11 and 12 have been isolated as bright red solids in low yields of $13 \%$ and $17 \%$, respectively. However, NMR characterizations proved to be challenging. Both compounds seem to decompose in solution, which is suggested by their very similar ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra showing each the characteristic, broad signal at $\delta=-4.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned to $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$, as main component. Moreover, broad signals at $\delta=22.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta=62.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ were observed, which presumably may be assigned to compounds 11 and 12. The assignment is only speculative as recrystallization or sublimation rather led to even more complex spectra which was indicative for decomposition. This was confirmed by the obvious formation of $\operatorname{Hg}(0)$ which precipitated as a metallic mirror on the surface of the reaction vessel after storing solutions of the reaction mixture for one day. Due to the obvious decomposition in solution $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ could not be isolated as pure compounds. Hence, further characterization of these complexes remains unsuccessful.

In order to gain further insight into the bonding situation of these complexes we performed a WBI analysis on the DFT-optimized structures of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$. WBIs of $0.19-0.25$ were calculated for the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds which are similar to the WBIs of the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds $(0.29-0.35)$ confirming weak covalent interactions as suggested by the solid-state molecular structures. A WBI of 0.04 (for 11) and a WBI of 0.07 (for $\mathbf{1 2}$ ) for the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Co}$ bonds suggest that covalent bonding between these



Figure 10. Solid-state molecular structures of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(1,2-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\left(1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ (11, top) and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right]$ (12, bottom). The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 11: Hg1-P1 2.625(1), Hg1-P4 2.591(1), Hg1-P5 2.625(1), Hg1-P8 2.601(1), Hg1-Co1 2.9013(6), Hg1-Co2 2.8916(6), Co1-P1 2.347(1), Co1-P2 2.255(1), Co1-P3 2.265(1), Co1-P4 2.328(1), P1-P2 2.165(2), C1-C2 1.437(6), P1-Hg1-P4 99.29(3), C4-P3-C3/C3-P4-C4 13.7; for 12: Hg1-P1 2.8432(8), Hg1-P3 2.7156(8), Hg1-P5 2.7267(8), Hg1-P7 2.8367(8), Hg1-Co1 2.7339(5), Hg1-Co2 2.7340(5), Co1-P1 2.324(1), Co1-P2 2.269(1), Co1-P3 2.338(1), Co1-P4 2.273(1), P1-Hg1-P3 99.99(2), C4-P3-C3/C3-P4-C4 12.2; P1-P2-Co1/Co1-P3-P4 31.3.
metal atoms is negligible. Complexes $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ can be seen as ionic compounds consisting of $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ which is coordinated by two $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$moieties which appear as heteroleptic $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(1,2-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\left(1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$anions in 11 and as homoleptic $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anions in 12.

### 4.3 Conclusion

While earlier work had demonstrated the formation of molecular complexes, coordination polymers and metallosupramolecular structures based on $\sigma$-coordination of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$ sandwich to coinage metal cations, this study has revealed several novel and intriguing aspects of this remarkable anion. Transmetalation reactions of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\operatorname{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$, $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}]_{4},[\mathrm{RhCl}(\operatorname{cod})]_{2}$, cis $-\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ afforded new oligonuclear complexes 2-12. The molecular structures of these compounds illustrate a highly versatile coordination behavior of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$complex. Moreover, the results show that this robust sandwich molecule is chemically "non-innocent" as it is prone to rearrangements, insertions and fragmentations. An insertion of a transition metal fragment into an intact 1,3diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand was observed for nickel complex $\mathbf{3}$ for the first time. This reaction occurs at remarkably low temperatures and is followed by an isomerization of $\mathbf{3}$ to the thermodynamically more stable complex 4. An analogous process occurred during the formation of palladium complex $\mathbf{1 0}$. Furthermore, with $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ we have observed for the first time that the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion is capable of acting as a chelate ligand simply by adopting an eclipsed conformation (complex 5) or a conformation in between the staggered and the eclipsed one (complex 12). Similar to $\mathbf{1 0}$, complex 11 shows an isomerization of the 1,3diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand to its 1,2-derivative. By contrast, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bond in $\mathbf{1 0}$ is partially broken, while in $\mathbf{1 1}$ it behaves as a 1,2-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand. Additionally, complexes 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ are the first examples showing that the $\pi$-coordination mode is also accessible for the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion. Compound $\mathbf{9}$ indicates that the synthesis of unique tripeldecker compounds based on 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands might be feasible, and the synthesis of related complexes is currently underway in our laboratory.

In summary, the results of this study have revealed a remarkable, flexidentate coordination behaviour for the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$anion, which enables the synthesis of a rich class of oligonuclear coordination compounds. Further studies will focus on further investigations of this rich reactivity as well as applications of the resulting complexes, e.g. in homogeneous catalysis.

### 4.4 Supporting Information

### 4.4.1 General Procedures

All experiments were performed under an atmosphere of dry argon using standard Schlenk techniques or a MBraun UniLab glovebox. Solvents were dried and degassed with a MBraun SPS800 solvent purification system. Tetrahydrofuran, toluene, and diethyl ether were stored over molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$ ). $n$-Hexane was stored over a potassium mirror. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 and Avance 400 spectrometers at 300 K and internally referenced to residual solvent resonances. Melting points were measured on samples in sealed capillaries on a Stuart SMP10 melting point apparatus. UV/Vis spectra were recorded on an Ocean Optics Flame Spectrometer. Elemental analyses were determined by the analytical department of Regensburg University. $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4},[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}]_{4}$, and $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. ${ }^{11}$ cis- $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ were purchased from abcr GmbH and Merck, respectively, and used as received.

### 4.4.2 Optimized Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { thf })_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](\mathrm{A})$

We report an improved procedure for the synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]^{9 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, 10}$ using $\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { thf })_{0.2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}(1,5-\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right](\operatorname{cod}=$ cyclooctadiene $)$ instead of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{dme})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)_{2}\right]$ used previously. This procedure obviates the use of anthracene, which can be difficult to remove from the product. $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{0.2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}(1,5-\mathrm{cod})_{2}\right](4.39 \mathrm{~g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $t \mathrm{BuC} \equiv \mathrm{P}\left(2.56 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}, 21.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 55 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.1 \mathrm{eq}\right)$ was added slowly via syringe while stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Subsequently, the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The orange powder was washed with $n$-hexane ( 10 mL ) and dried in vacuo. The dried solid contains a variable number of THF molecules. The number of THF molecules present per formula unit was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy individually on each sample. Yield $7.84 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%, x=1.75)$.

### 4.4.3 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{CpNi}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right.$ (thf) $]$ (2)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and added to a cooled solution $\left(-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF ( 2 mL ) via cannula. Upon stirring the reaction mixture at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min the color changed to dark violet. The solvent was removed in vacuo. Subsequently, the residue was extracted with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}\right)$. The dark violet solution was concentrated to ca. 5 mL and stored at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dark violet crystals formed upon storing the solution for three days. The isolated sample was contaminated with ca. $50 \%$ of 3 according to the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 233 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 5.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 233 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}$ $=32.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(C \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.0\left(\mathrm{br}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.6\left(\mathrm{br}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$,
37.4 (br, $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$; The resonances for the quaternary carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ligand were not detected; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.161.98 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 233 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-4.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right.$; $\mathrm{P} 2)$, 15.6 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{P}$; P3 and P4), $31.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right)$.

### 4.4.4 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{CpNi}\left\{1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}_{2}-\mathrm{K} P, \kappa C\right\}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (3)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. After stirring the reaction mixture for two hours, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with $n$-hexane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated to 3 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dark orange crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ formed upon storing for two days. Yield 63 mg ( $72 \%$ ) ; m.p. $>139{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 253 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $1.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.79\left(\mathrm{br}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 5.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 253 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=32.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 32.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $35.3\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.1\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 40.3\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 50.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 94.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 114.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 121.0$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=57.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 172.4\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=76.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P})=98.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\right), 252.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=69.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 253 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=36.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=40.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 3\right)$, $71.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 4), 191.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 2\right), 492.2(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=40.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1\right) ; \mathrm{UV} / \mathrm{Vis}\left(\mathrm{THF}, \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right): 333$ (52000), 401sh (14600), 476 (6600), 570 (4500), 652 (3000); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoNi}(\mathrm{M}=583.10)$ : C 51.49, H 7.09, found: C 51.75, H 6.70 .

### 4.4.5 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{CpNi}\left\{1,4-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t B u_{2}-\kappa^{2} P, P\right\}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-1,3-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (4)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF ( 2 mL ). Subsequently, the reaction mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for nine hours. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with $n$-hexane ( 3 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated to 2 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yellow crystals of $\mathbf{4}$ formed upon storage for one day. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of $n$-hexane at room temperature. Yield 34 mg $(46 \%)$; m.p. $>152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=$ $1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 5.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 100.61 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=32.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.5\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P})=7.4\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 41.2\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 94.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 108.0\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=54.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, $161.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=94.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=458.7(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P} 1$ and P 2 ); The resonances for P 3 and P 4 were not observed at room temperature; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (161.98 MHz, [D ${ }_{8}$ ]THF, 193 K ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-25.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 76.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 457.0(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P}) ;$ UV/Vis (THF, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 299 (18500), 402 (4700), 657 (600); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoNi}(\mathrm{M}=583.10)$ : C 51.49, H 7.09, found: C 51.79, H 6.84 .

### 4.4.6 Synthesis of $\left[(\mathrm{Cp} * R u)_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (5)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}]_{4}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.75 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF ( 3 mL ). Subsequently, the reaction mixture was refluxed for four hours. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was washed with $n$-hexane ( 3 mL ) followed by extraction with toluene ( 3 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated to 2 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dark orange crystals of 5 formed upon storage for two days. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by diffusion of $n$-hexane into a concentrated toluene solution. Yield $0.14 \mathrm{~g}(46 \%)$; m.p. $>226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.13 MHz, [D $\left.\left.\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K},\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right)$, $1.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=11.2$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right), 35.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 77.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right), 82.5(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.C_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right), 110.3\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-13.5(\mathrm{~s}$, 2P), 44.3 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{P}$ ); UV/Vis (THF, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 381 (7100), 540 (2100), 745 (1000); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CoRu}_{3}(\mathrm{M}=1239.14)$ : C 48.47 , H 6.59 , found: C 48.76, H 6.48.

### 4.4.7 Synthesis of $\left[\left(C p^{*} R u\right)_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{2}-P_{2}\right)\left(\mu, \eta^{2}-C_{2} t B u_{2}\right)\right]$ (6)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}]_{4}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.75 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF ( 2 mL ). Subsequently, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 30 hours. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with $n$-hexane $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated to 2 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dark orange crystals of $\mathbf{6}$ formed upon storing for two days. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of a $n$-hexane solution. Yield $47 \mathrm{mg}(36 \%) ; \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $1.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=12.6(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 93.9\left(\mathrm{br}, C_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right), 96.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=128.9$ (br, 2P); UV/Vis (THF, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max }\right.$ $\left./ \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 373 (12000), 426 (5700); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{M}=$ 672.80): C 53.56, H 7.19, found: C 54.13, H 7.38.

### 4.4.8 Synthesis of $\left[R h(\operatorname{cod})\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (7)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $[\mathrm{RhCl}(\operatorname{cod})]_{2}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight whereupon the color changed red to yellow. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with small portions of $n$-hexane $(5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated to approximately 4 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dark yellow crystals of 7 formed upon storage for three days. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation
of $n$-hexane at room temperature. Yield $0.75 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$; m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.13 MHz , $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, cod- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {,axial }}\right)$, $2.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, cod- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {,equatorial }}\right), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{cod}-\mathrm{CH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=30.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \operatorname{cod}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 38.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=\right.$ $\left.4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 77.5\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Rh}}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{cod}-C H\right), 118.0\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=55.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 150.2\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=75.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Rh}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{~K}) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=29.4(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P}), 73.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Rh}}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{P}\right) ;$ UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{THF}, \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}\right.$, $\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 375 (37800); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoRh}(\mathrm{M}=670.43)$ : C 50.16, H 7.22, found: C 50.37, H 7.31 .

### 4.4.9 Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (8)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{cod})]_{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight whereupon the color changed from dark red to yellow. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was washed with $n$-hexane ( 5 mL ) and extracted with toluene ( 10 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated to 5 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dark yellow crystals of $\mathbf{8}$ formed upon storage for four days. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by diffusion of $n$-hexane into a toluene solution. Yield $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%) ;$ m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{~K}) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.45-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 60 \mathrm{H}$, overlapping with solvent signal, Ad), $2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, codCH ,axial $), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, cod- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {,equatorial }}\right), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, cod- CH$), 7.04-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, toluene $) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=21.3$ ( s , toluene), 29.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 3$ and C 7 of Ad ), 30.9 ( s , C5 of Ad ), 31.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, \operatorname{cod}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 37.1 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 9$ and C 10 of Ad ), 37.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 6$, and C 8 of Ad ), $78.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Rh}}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{cod}-\mathrm{CH}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=23.2(\mathrm{~s}$, 2P), $72.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Rh}}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{P}\right)$; UV/Vis (THF, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}$, $\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 378 (48800); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoRh} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{M}=1075.02)$ : C 65.92 , H 7.50 , found: C 66.63, H 7.25.

### 4.4.10 Synthesis of 9 by Reaction of 8 with Adamantyl-Phosphaalkyne (AdCP)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of AdCP ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 4 mL ) was slowly added via syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h whereupon the color changed from dark yellow to dark orange. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with cold $n$-pentane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated to 3 mL and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Trace amounts of dark orange powder precipitated after storage for five days. This substance was submitted for characterization by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. Crystals suitable for X-ray measurements could not have been obtained even after recrystallization from $n$-pentane at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 270 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.40-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 90 \mathrm{H}$, overlapping with solvent signal, Ad); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 270 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=28.8-31.2(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ad})$,
35.7-38.7 (m, Ad), 41.5-48.8 (very br, Ad); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (161.98 MHz, [D $\left.\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 270 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=37.6(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P}), 91.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Rh}}=33.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{P}\right), 95.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Rh}}=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{P}\right)$.

### 4.4.11 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right]$ (10)

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{THF}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a suspension of $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature followed by removal of the solvent in vacuo. Subsequently, the residue was purified by column chromatography (stationary phase: neutral aluminum oxide activity grade super $I, 13.5 \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$, eluent: $n$-hexane/toluene gradient $10 / 0$ to $1 / 1)$. The by-product $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]$ eluted first as a bright orange band $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f}(n\right.$-hexane/toluene, $10 / 1=0.6$ ), followed by a colorless band of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}_{f}(n\right.$-hexane/toluene, $10 / 1=0.3) .9$ eluted as the last band ( $\mathrm{R}_{f}\left(n\right.$-hexane/toluene, $10 / 1=0.2$ ). Recrystallization from $n$-hexane at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave dark orange crystals of $\mathbf{9} \cdot n$-hexane. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of an $n$-hexane solution. Yield $0.02 \mathrm{~g}(23 \%$, based on Pd$) ;$ m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp. to a black oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=0.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{t}$, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}, n$-hexane), $1.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, n$-hexane) $1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 7.08\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {Aryl }}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {Aryl }}$ ), $7.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {Aryl }}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=14.3$ ( $\mathrm{s}, n$-hexane), 23.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, n$-hexane), 31.9 ( $\mathrm{s}, n$-hexane), 33.8 (br, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.9$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 36.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Aryl }}\right), 130.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Aryl }}\right), 134.9(\mathrm{~m}$, $m-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Aryl }}$ ); The signals for the quaternary carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ units as well as the ipso- $\mathrm{C}_{\text {Aryl }}$ were not detected. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(121.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-43.6$ (s, 2P; P7 and P8), 30.4 (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Pd}}=27.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{P}$; P9 and P10), 38.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{P}$; P3 and P4), $201.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Pd}}=218.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{P}\right.$; P5 and P6), 214.8 (d, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Pd}}=218.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{P}$; P1 and P2); UV/Vis (THF, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max }\right.$ $\left./ \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 292 (95500), 407 (37600), 469 (25900), 650 (8000); elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{P}_{10} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \bullet\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)_{1.5}(\mathrm{M}=1891.78)$ : C 53.97, H 6.55, found.: C 54.44, H 6.68.

### 4.4.12 Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right](11,12)$

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.75}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and added dropwise via cannula to a cooled $\left(-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ). Subsequently, the reaction mixture was stirred for four hours while allowed to come to ambient. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with $n$-hexane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The bright red filtrate was concentrated to 3 mL and stored at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bright red crystals of $\mathbf{1 1}$ formed upon storing for one day. The insoluble residue was further extracted with toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The bright red solution was concentrated to 2 mL and stored at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bright red crystals of $\mathbf{1 2}$ formed upon storing for one day. 11: Yield 23 mg ( $11 \%$ ); 12: Yield 27 mg ( $13 \%$ ). Unfortunately, further characterization of compounds $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$
could not be performed due to unresolved problems with purification of these compounds. NMR samples of crystalline $\mathbf{1 1}$ or $\mathbf{1 2}$ could not be evaluated due to the presence of signals which could not be assigned to the structures obtained by X-ray crystallography. Hence, spectroscopic characterization of these compounds remains outstanding.

### 4.4.13 X-ray Crystallography

The single crystal X-ray diffraction data were recorded on an Agilent Technologies SuperNova diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.54184 \AA$, for 2-8, 11, 12) or Mo $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$, for $\mathbf{1 0}$ ). Semi-empirical multi-scan absorption corrections ${ }^{28}$ were applied to the data. The structures were solved with SHELXT ${ }^{29}$ and least-square refinements on $F^{2}$ were carried out with SHELXL. ${ }^{30}$ Crystallographic data is given in Tables S1-S4.

CCDC 1890505-1890512 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper (compounds 2-10). This data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Table S1. Crystal and structure refinement data of 2, 3, and 4.

| Compound | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{CoNiOP}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{CoNiP}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{CoNiP}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 651.17 | 583.10 | 583.10 |
| Temperature [K] | 123(1) | 123(1) | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | $P 21$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ |
| a [ $\AA$ ] | 10.8145(2) | 14.9620(3) | 14.8671(4) |
| b [ A ] | 15.9967(3) | 12.1695(2) | 18.4294(4) |
| c [ A ] | 18.7559(3) | 15.4893(3) | 10.1684(3) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left[^{\circ}\right.$ ] | 104.098(2) | 98.529(2) | 99.199(3) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume [ ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ ] | 3147.0(1) | 2789.10(9) | 2750.2(1) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.374 | 0.694 | 1.408 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 6.943 | 3.869 | 7.847 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1368.0 | 612.0 | 1224.0 |
| Crystal size $\left[\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.165 \times 0.135 \times \\ & 0.081 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.155 \times 0.082 \times \\ & 0.055 \end{aligned}$ | $0.18 \times 0.091 \times 0.087$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\operatorname{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 7.36 to 147.392 | 7.666 to 147.378 | 7.7 to 148.128 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -11 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-19 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 16,-23 \leq 1 \leq 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -18 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17,-15 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 15,-19 \leq 1 \leq 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -18 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 18,-22 \leq \mathrm{k} \\ & \leq 18,-12 \leq 1 \leq 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 19906 | 30891 | 29990 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 6248\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0432,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0379\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10844\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0746,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0731\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5524\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0546,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0349\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6248 / 18/337 | 10844 / 1/583 | 5524/0/292 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.044 | 1.020 | 1.062 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0447 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1198 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0552 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1367 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0421 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1061 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0530 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1267 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0600 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1419 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0491 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1105 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.81/-0.66 | 1.10/-0.57 | 0.91/-0.71 |
| Flack parameter | - | -0.028(4) | - |
| CCDC | 1890505 | 1890506 | 1890511 |

Table S2. Crystal and structure refinement data of 5, 6, and 7.

| Compound | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CoP}_{4} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoRh}$ |
| Formula weight | 1239.06 | 672.76 | 670.38 |
| Temperature [K] | 123(1) | 123(1) | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | triclinic | monoclinic | triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P-1$ |
| a [A] | 11.8663(3) | 8.8709(2) | 10.1095(4) |
| b [ A ] | 12.0079(4) | 15.9186(2) | 11.3810(5) |
| c [ $\AA$ ] | 19.9954(4) | 20.5809(3) | 13.7071(7) |
| $\alpha{ }^{[ }{ }^{\circ}$ | 88.483(2) | 90 | 79.721(4) |
| $\beta\left[^{\circ}{ }^{\text {] }}\right.$ | 75.724(2) | 90.570(2) | 78.688(4) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 71.236(2) | 90 | 87.516(4) |
| Volume [ $\AA^{3}$ ] | 2610.1(1) | 2906.13(9) | 1521.6(1) |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.577 | 1.538 | 1.463 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 11.682 | 9.564 | 10.748 |
| F(000) | 1268.0 | 1384.0 | 696.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & 0.216 \times 0.181 \times \\ & 0.153 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1707 \times 0.0731 \times \\ & 0.024 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.198 \times 0.181 \times \\ & 0.134 \end{aligned}$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 7.788 to 147.212 | 7.02 to 146.698 | 6.678 to 147.264 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -14 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-14 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 14,-24 \leq 1 \leq 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 10,-19 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 19,-25 \leq 1 \leq 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-13 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 14,-16 \leq 1 \leq 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 25395 | 42772 | 13802 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 10141\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0343,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0358\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5798\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0506,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0240\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5881\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0244,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0269\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 10141 / 0 / 568 | 5798 / 0/324 | 5881/0/319 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.039 | 1.199 | 1.048 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0366 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0975 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0653 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1879 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0339 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0924 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0393 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0685 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1942 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0367 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0982 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 1.67/-1.62 | 3.28/-2.13 | 0.69/-1.14 |
| CCDC | 1890509 | 1890508 | 1890510 |

Table S3. Crystal and structure refinement data of 8, 10, and 11.

| Compound | 8 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{86} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{CoRh}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{82} \mathrm{H}_{116} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{10} \mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ |
| Formula weight | 1165.06 | 1848.50 | 1119.18 |
| Temperature [K] | 123.0(1) | 123(1) | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | I2/a | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / n$ |
| a [ A ] | 18.4785(6) | 14.2770(2) | 15.6449(5) |
| b [ A ] | 12.2198(4) | 22.0290(3) | 12.1393(5) |
| c [Å] | 24.928(1) | 27.6151(5) | 25.4591(9) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left[^{\circ}\right.$ ] | 97.942(4) | 96.876(2) | 90.713(3) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume [ ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ ] | 5574.8(4) | 8622.7(2) | 4834.8(3) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.388 | 1.424 | 1.538 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 6.114 | 1.216 | 13.592 |
| F(000) | 2456.0 | 3800.0 | 2264.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & 0.081 \times 0.063 \times \\ & 0.035 \end{aligned}$ | $0.258 \times 0.22 \times 0.155$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.169 \times 0.149 \times \\ & 0.103 \end{aligned}$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 7.162 to 147.096 | 5.728 to 58.184 | 6.668 to 148.466 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -22 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 20,-10 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 14,-26 \leq 1 \leq 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -17 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 19,-30 \leq \mathrm{k} \\ & \leq 27,-36 \leq 1 \leq 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -19 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 19,-13 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 14,-29 \leq 1 \leq 31 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 9824 | 120461 | 21579 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 5370\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0625,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0926\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21202\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0817,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0718\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9531\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0475,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0485\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5370 / 0/328 | 21202/14/910 | 9531/0/484 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.022 | 1.061 | 1.028 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0557 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1283 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0451 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0823 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0369 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0933 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0815 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1406 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0739 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0408 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0963 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.89/-1.35 | 0.89/-0.62 | 1.35/-2.15 |
| CCDC | 1890507 | 1890512 | - |

Table S4. Crystal and structure refinement data of 12.

| Compound | 12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{Hg}$ |
| Formula weight | 1119.18 |
| Temperature [K] | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| a [Å] | 9.7886(2) |
| b [ A ] | 10.6248(2) |
| c [ A ] | 24.5776(6) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 79.512(2) |
| $\beta\left[^{\circ}{ }^{\text {] }}\right.$ | 82.859(2) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 72.081(2) |
| Volume [ $\AA^{3}$ ] | 2385.5(1) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.558 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 13.773 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1132.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1458 \times 0.0888 \times \\ & 0.0323 \end{aligned}$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 7.334 to 149.172 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -11 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-13 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 13,-30 \leq 1 \leq 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 39608 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 9648\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0377,\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0247\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 9648 / 0 / 484 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.170 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0267 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0676 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0274 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0680 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.88/-1.08 |
| CCDC | - |

### 4.4.14 Variable Temperature NMR Monitoring

$\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transferred to a screw cap NMR tube. A $(17.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ and cooled to $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This solution was transferred to the pre-cooled $\left(-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ NMR tube via syringe. The NMR tube was sealed with the screw cap and transferred to a pre-cooled $\left(-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ Bruker Avance 400 NMR device. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy starting at 193 K , and subsequent heating in steps of 20 K . This procedure was continued up to 333 K. Selected ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra are shown in Figure S1.


Figure S1. Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ monitoring of the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (A) with $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ in [D $\left.\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF. 213 K : \# marks A , + intermediate species $\mathbf{1}$, * complex 2; $\mathbf{2 3 3}$ K: * complex 2, o complex 3; $\mathbf{3 3 3} \mathrm{K} / 3 \mathrm{~h}$ : o complex 3, ■ complex 4; $\mathbf{3 4 3} \mathrm{K} / 3 \mathrm{~d}$ : © marks an unidentified decomposition product.


Figure S2. Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR monitoring of 4 in [D $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ ]toluene. The signal at $\delta=30.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ sharpens upon heating from 343 to 373 K . The asterisk marks an unidentified species.


Figure S3. Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR monitoring of the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod})\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](7)$ with $\mathrm{AdC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF. * marks $\mathrm{AdC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$, \# marks 7 , 0 is assigned to the presumed tripledecker complex $\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{Rh}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ monitoring ( $161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ) of the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\text { thf })_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\right.$ with [Cp*RuCl] ( 0.75 equivalents) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The signals marked with an asterisk are assigned to unidentified species, while 5 and 6 mark signals assigned to complexes 5 and 6 .


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz}, 270 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ) of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](9)$.


Figure S6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum ( $100.61 \mathrm{MHz}, 270 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF) of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad} 2\right)\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](9)$.


Figure S7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum ( $\left.161.98 \mathrm{MHz}, 270 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right)$ of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad} 2\right)\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right\}\right]\right.$ (9).

### 4.4.15 UV/Vis Spectra



Figure S8. UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in THF.


Figure S9. UV/Vis spectrum of 4 in THF.


Figure S10. UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{5}$ in THF.


Figure S11. UV/Vis spectrum of 6 in THF.


Figure S12. UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{7}$ in THF.


Figure S13. UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{8}$ in THF.


Figure S14. UV/Vis spectrum of 10 in THF.

### 4.4.16 Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed in a single-compartment cell inside a nitrogenfilled glovebox using a CH Instruments CHI600E potentiostat. The cell was equipped with a platinum disc working electrode ( 2 mm diameter) polished with $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ alumina paste, a platinum wire counter electrode and a silver/silver nitrate reference electrode. The supporting electrolyte, tetra- $n$-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate, was dried in vacuo at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. All redox potentials are reported versus the ferrocene/ferrocenium $\left(\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$couple. The scan rate is $v=100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.


Figure S15. Cyclic voltammogram of 7 recorded in THF/[nBu $N] P F_{6}$ with a platinum disc working electrode, a platinum wire as counter electrode, and silver/silver nitrate as reference electrode. $\mathrm{v}=100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$; potentials are referenced to the $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$couple.

### 4.4.17 Theoretical Calculations

### 4.4.17.1 Computational Methods

Geometry optimizations of $\mathbf{2 - 7}, \mathbf{9}^{\prime}\left(t \mathrm{Bu}\right.$ instead of adamantyl) and $\mathbf{1 0}^{\prime}$ (Me instead of $t \mathrm{Bu}$ ) were performed with the Gaussian09 program package (Revision E.01). ${ }^{31}$ The BP86 density functional ${ }^{32}$ and the Ahlrichs def2-TZVP basis set ${ }^{33}$ were employed for all atoms. Atom-pairwise dispersion correction to the DFT energy with Becke-Johnson damping (D3BJ) was applied. ${ }^{34}$ The nature of the stationary points was verified by numerical frequency analyses. Scalar relativistic effects were taken into account for the second-row transition metal elements ( $\mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Pd}$ ) by means of Stuttgart effective core potential. ${ }^{35}$ The calculation of the molecular orbitals and the UV/Vis spectra was performed with the B3LYP hybrid functional, ${ }^{36}$ and the def2-TZVP basis set for all atoms. Magnetic shieldings were calculated using the SCF GIAO method as implemented in Gaussian09, and the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory. The chemical shifts (ppm) were determined using the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$(magnetic shielding $\left.\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=273.3 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ as reference $\left(\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.2 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. Molecular orbitals were visualized with the program GaussView5. ${ }^{37}$ The isosurface value is set to 0.05 for all figures.

Magnetic shieldings ( $\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ for 3: $253.5(\mathrm{P} 3)$, $193.0(\mathrm{P} 4), 58.5(\mathrm{P} 2),-329.1$ (P1); for 4: 322.7 (P4), 192.5 (P3), -298.9 (P1 and P2); for 5: 298.0 (P2 and P3), 190.3 (P1 and P4); for 9': 243.8 (P3 and P4), 180.3 (P1 and P2), 168.9 (P5 and P6); for 10': 312.3 (P7 and P8), 227.7 (P9), 224.3 (P10), 213.4 ( P 3 and P 4 ), 26.5 ( P 5 and P 6 ), 3.5 ( P 1 and P 2 ).

### 4.4.17.2 Wiberg Bond Indices

Wiberg bond indices (WBIs) were calculated with the NBO6 program package implemented in Gaussian09. ${ }^{38}$ The coordinates of the optimized geometries were used, and the B3LYP hybrid functional and the def2-TZVP basis set were employed for all atoms.




Figure S16. Molecular structures of 2-4.
Table S5. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) of 2-4, derived from X-ray and DFT (BP86-D3BJ/def2TZVP). The atom labeling refers to the X-ray structures.

|  | Exp | Calc | Exp | Calc | Exp | Calc |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ni1-P1 | $2.1807(8)$ | 2.140 | 2.1792 | 2.1795 | $2.144(1)$ | 2.145 |
| Ni1-P2 | - | - | - | - | $2.1568(9)$ | 2.148 |
| Ni1-C1 | - | - | 1.9017 | 1.9043 | - | - |
| Ni1-Co1 | - | - | 2.5358 | 2.539 | $2.5447(7)$ | 2.589 |
| Ni1-O1 | $1.891(3)$ | 1.981 | - | - | - | - |
| P1-C1 | $1.784(3)$ | 1.789 | - | - | $1.798(3)$ | 1.791 |
| P2-C2 | $1.799(3)$ | 1.801 | 1.7542 | 1.7717 | $1.795(3)$ | 1.793 |
| C1-C2 | - | - | - | - | $1.447(4)$ | 1.436 |
| P1-Ni1-C1 | - | - | 94.56 | 94.11 | - | - |
| P2-Ni1-P1 | - | - | - | - | 88.54 | 88.83 |

### 4.4.17.3 Thermochemistry of 2-4

In order to determine the enthalpies of the reaction from $\mathbf{2}$ to $\mathbf{4}$ we performed single-point energy calculations ( $1 \mathrm{~atm}, 273.15 \mathrm{~K}$ ) at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory for each molecule using the coordinates of the optimized geometries.

The experimentally observed reaction process has shown that complex $\mathbf{2}$ could not be isolated as a pure compound due to the insertion reaction to $\mathbf{3}$ which proceeds even at low temperature. Furthermore, we observed that compound $\mathbf{3}$ is stable in the solid state at room temperature, but not in solution. When a THF solution of $\mathbf{3}$ was stored at room temperature for a few days compound $\mathbf{4}$ partly formed. This behavior is in accord with the calculated enthalpies of the reaction displayed in Scheme S1.


Scheme S1. Thermal enthalpies $\left(\Delta H_{r}\right)$ of the reaction of $\mathbf{2}$ to $\mathbf{4}$ via $\mathbf{3}$ calculated at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory.


Figure S17. Kohn-Sham frontier orbitals of $\mathbf{3}$ calculated at BP86/def2-TZVP level of theory.

### 4.4.17.4 Cartesian Coordinates of 2-10

## Cartesian Coordinates of 2:

## Thermal enthalpy H / a.u. $\quad$-5467.045768

| Co | -1.45754600 | $-0.05711500$ | -0.00302700 | C | -0.92224400 | $-2.41124400$ | -2.87495200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ni | 2.76475000 | 0.83797400 | 0.03916900 | H | -1.09150900 | $-3.31082900$ | $-2.28000100$ |
| P | 0.72200200 | 0.06860400 | 0.10346700 | H | -0.73129000 | $-2.72045100$ | -3.90520900 |
| P | -2.95322000 | 0.47472000 | $-1.61371300$ | H | -1.82969000 | -1.81221000 | -2.85321900 |
| P | -0.70266600 | $-2.13942100$ | 0.47385400 | C | -4.29943000 | $-2.11043900$ | 1.47858000 |
| P | -3.16015300 | 0.99789600 | 1.05170700 | H | -3.30632000 | $-2.52584700$ | 1.64015400 |
| O | 3.67478600 | -0.90899800 | $-0.03507900$ | H | $-5.03390000$ | $-2.90658600$ | 1.62075800 |
| C | -0.08715700 | -0.76607300 | 1.44660700 | H | -4.48004500 | $-1.34889800$ | 2.23996200 |
| C | 0.05387500 | -1.24695100 | -0.88411500 | C | -1.26051800 | -1.18509200 | 3.61164600 |
| C | -2.45725900 | 1.71960300 | -0.42679100 | H | -2.18575500 | $-0.73853300$ | 3.25281300 |
| C | -1.89552400 | 3.09754600 | $-0.64945200$ | H | -1.20684900 | $-1.05319500$ | 4.69466300 |
| C | -0.05077000 | $-0.53109500$ | 2.93366600 | H | -1.29495900 | $-2.25673600$ | 3.40468400 |
| C | 4.83820500 | -1.14971000 | $-0.86358900$ | C | 1.23868200 | $-1.15814200$ | 3.49856900 |
| H | 4.51295500 | $-1.18104100$ | $-1.90512200$ | H | 1.28532800 | $-2.22402300$ | 3.26909700 |
| H | 5.52430800 | -0.31670800 | $-0.72820600$ | H | 1.27972800 | $-1.03858200$ | 4.58381200 |
| C | 0.26395300 | $-1.60703400$ | $-2.33139200$ | H | 2.11718800 | $-0.67312900$ | 3.06980000 |
| C | -3.51746700 | -0.32639500 | -0.10751300 | C | -0.03793000 | 0.96964400 | 3.25302400 |
| C | -4.42016600 | $-1.52093100$ | 0.06828200 | H | 0.79220700 | 1.46889900 | 2.74847600 |
| C | 0.45926100 | -0.34209000 | -3.17741900 | H | 0.08063000 | 1.12728700 | 4.32755800 |
| H | -0.43853000 | 0.27245000 | -3.15891900 | H | -0.96673600 | 1.43684300 | 2.93266200 |
| H | 0.68057600 | -0.60688300 | -4.21392200 | C | -0.81728300 | 3.08327000 | -1.73991300 |
| H | 1.29124000 | 0.25611500 | -2.79729700 | H | 0.00837300 | 2.43717800 | -1.44959300 |
| C | -1.32210400 | 3.65659300 | 0.65824700 | H | -0.43756200 | 4.09222900 | -1.92029100 |
| H | -2.10598800 | 3.76793000 | 1.40947900 | H | -1.22098100 | 2.70303800 | -2.68012500 |
| H | -0.86983700 | 4.63791700 | 0.49634500 | C | -4.12519700 | -2.60863000 | -0.97042200 |
| H | -0.57245200 | 2.98329200 | 1.06920800 | H | -4.19034600 | -2.21105900 | -1.98513600 |
| C | -3.05227300 | 4.00860400 | $-1.10831200$ | H | -4.84853300 | -3.42257500 | -0.88231400 |
| H | -3.47560400 | 3.64960000 | $-2.04725700$ | H | -3.12695900 | -3.01810600 | -0.82717300 |
| H | -2.69843600 | 5.03211400 | $-1.25682700$ | C | 1.53339500 | $-2.47188700$ | $-2.44126800$ |
| H | -3.84828500 | 4.02336700 | $-0.36256100$ | H | 2.40194900 | -1.91370000 | -2.09527300 |


| H | 1.70807800 | -2.77159600 | -3.47736200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 1.43755600 | -3.37434300 | -1.83485600 |
| C | -5.86726200 | -1.02332900 | -0.13631200 |
| H | -6.11556100 | -0.24981400 | 0.59191600 |
| H | -6.57454800 | -1.84815300 | -0.01935300 |
| H | -5.99178600 | -0.60072800 | -1.13441800 |
| C | 4.21984800 | 2.29173000 | -0.85968600 |
| H | 4.92129100 | 2.06753500 | -1.64697600 |
| C | 4.47759500 | 2.15785000 | 0.56050500 |
| H | 5.40363700 | 1.81319200 | 0.99388400 |
| C | 3.31742200 | -2.10100300 | 0.72281100 |
| H | 2.24364600 | -2.23777500 | 0.63882300 |
| H | 3.57897700 | -1.92064400 | 1.76539000 |


| C | 3.34493700 | 2.56504400 | 1.26690100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 3.22367600 | 2.59255700 | 2.33623700 |
| C | 4.14206400 | -3.21595600 | 0.10466100 |
| H | 4.36719300 | -3.99861500 | 0.82736700 |
| H | 3.60766800 | -3.66518800 | -0.73191000 |
| C | 2.91634700 | 2.74068100 | -1.02228600 |
| H | 2.40374000 | 2.93168500 | -1.94956600 |
| C | 2.32963900 | 2.82615100 | 0.29477100 |
| H | 1.33251900 | 3.16762500 | 0.50394200 |
| C | 5.39455100 | -2.48252300 | -0.38692300 |
| H | 5.91584600 | -3.01324500 | -1.18212800 |
| H | 6.09403200 | -2.32700300 | 0.43633400 |

## Cartesian Coordinates of THF:

Thermal enthalpy H / a.u. -232.421103

| O | -0.00011187 | 1.24676358 | 0.00022069 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.16715569 | 0.42657301 | 0.12879647 |
| H | -1.53644743 | 0.48394848 | 1.15987011 |
| H | -1.94250799 | 0.81648160 | -0.53396723 |
| C | 1.16697174 | 0.42682381 | -0.12917172 |
| H | 1.94270599 | 0.81704518 | 0.53294796 |
| H | 1.53551782 | 0.48403710 | -1.16053679 |

C

## Cartesian Coordinates of $\mathbf{3}$ :

Thermal enthalpy H / a.u. -5234.023688

| Co | -0.45900800 | 0.16150000 | -0.05470600 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ni | 2.02353500 | -0.37242300 | -0.14627200 |
| P | 0.57587000 | -0.99435200 | -1.64838900 |
| P | -1.86477800 | 1.22749700 | -1.50952300 |


| P | -1.16730100 | 2.06136500 | 0.96682400 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P | -0.36232100 | -1.88497200 | 1.14339700 |
| C | 1.58843000 | 3.37299000 | -0.30285200 |
| H | 1.18649700 | 3.84854000 | 0.59379800 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { H } & 2.09957800 & 2.46223200 & -0.00170700 & \text { C } & 1.18235600 & -0.16163600 & 2.73942200 \\ \text { H } & 2.31988200 & 4.05399000 & -0.74341500 & \text { C } & 0.81812000 & -0.57975900 & 1.30665500 \\ \text { C } & -1.37114400 & -3.02808400 & -1.27426000 & \text { C } & -0.45845100 & -4.14565300 & -1.82374400 \\ \text { C } & -0.54871800 & 2.13717500 & -0.70794300 & \text { H } & 0.21644900 & -3.75720800 & -2.58692500 \\ \text { C } & 0.47532700 & 3.06183500 & -1.30735400 & \text { H } & \text { H } & 0.14406400 & -4.58282200 & -1.02582600 \\ \text { H } & -3.70823200 & 0.55132800 & 0.75352700 & \text { H } & \text { H } & \text { H } & -1.06552000 & -4.93713300\end{array}-2.26856100\right)$

## Cartesian Coordinates of 4:

## Thermal enthalpy H / a.u. -5234.028481

| Co | -0.03124700 | 0.30950900 | 0.13751800 | C | -1.71016900 | 3.74071800 | -1.08087600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ni | 1.18873600 | $-1.53396300$ | -1.11306300 | C | 0.61225900 | 4.61220300 | $-1.27777400$ |
| P | -0.16983000 | 2.21188500 | 1.37649600 | C | -0.12050400 | 2.77998100 | $-2.80031000$ |
| P | -0.63860200 | -0.65700000 | $-1.79305100$ | C | 2.99796200 | 2.09408700 | 2.97948800 |
| P | 0.29608000 | $-1.80360400$ | 0.81285600 | C | 1.39514500 | 0.33886700 | 3.73899800 |
| P | 1.82723600 | 1.52423000 | -0.31207700 | C | 3.22962400 | -0.24315500 | 2.13306800 |
| C | $-1.41234000$ | $-1.28871500$ | 0.75817100 | H | -4.28027200 | -1.65142100 | 1.42175000 |
| C | -1.85619500 | -0.74488900 | -0.49203900 | H | -3.90861800 | -2.50851500 | 2.90020400 |
| C | 0.26324900 | 2.38179100 | -0.34242800 | H | -3.37580800 | -3.16377500 | 1.35487800 |
| C | 1.34216000 | 1.24901500 | 1.39198200 | H | -1.43117300 | 0.18835000 | 3.23225200 |
| C | 2.03632300 | -3.35315000 | $-1.73116900$ | H | -3.03045500 | -0.36121200 | 3.73315800 |
| H | 1.56342600 | -4.30130000 | -1.53845500 | H | -2.82661800 | 0.59473000 | 2.26267200 |
| C | 3.00896300 | $-2.71549300$ | $-0.90791900$ | H | -1.24715800 | -3.42399600 | 2.62704200 |
| H | 3.36762400 | -3.07720700 | 0.04103600 | H | -1.95891200 | $-2.53824700$ | 3.98062300 |
| C | 2.65758500 | $-1.38725300$ | $-2.75078500$ | H | -0.41147300 | -2.03890200 | 3.32042700 |
| H | 2.70670400 | $-0.55810700$ | $-3.43636000$ | H | -3.59758700 | -2.12522300 | -2.09670600 |
| C | 3.37692500 | $-1.50299400$ | $-1.52994200$ | H | -5.08774200 | -1.32197400 | $-1.59369500$ |
| H | 4.05781600 | -0.76978300 | -1.13250600 | H | -4.15912500 | -2.27826300 | -0.44128000 |
| C | 1.83578400 | $-2.53067100$ | $-2.88346800$ | H | -4.30823000 | 0.10547900 | 0.95605000 |
| H | 1.16428300 | -2.74043900 | -3.69893300 | H | -4.93048300 | 0.97381000 | -0.43046400 |
| C | -2.18012200 | -1.50486900 | 2.10458200 | H | -3.39960000 | 1.45679800 | 0.30111800 |
| C | -3.27712500 | -0.31195200 | -0.95147900 | H | -2.68493400 | 1.39125800 | -2.22034000 |
| C | -0.26099900 | 3.34014100 | -1.38030600 | H | -4.27794900 | 0.72626700 | $-2.54686500$ |
| C | 2.21831800 | 0.83251800 | 2.54719000 | H | -2.84159900 | -0.12202000 | -3.10336900 |
| C | -3.51925400 | -2.23970100 | 1.91666300 | H | -2.36525700 | 2.87588400 | -1.02984800 |
| C | -2.38517000 | -0.18608500 | 2.86862000 | H | -2.09028200 | 4.41141100 | $-1.85390500$ |
| C | -1.38918400 | $-2.43128200$ | 3.05558800 | H | -1.77550100 | 4.26116700 | -0.12340600 |
| C | -4.07854000 | $-1.59283200$ | -1.27460600 | H | 0.57382100 | 5.02767300 | -0.26985700 |
| C | -4.01829900 | 0.59904200 | 0.03755100 | H | 0.25551300 | 5.37148600 | -1.97766000 |
| C | -3.25049200 | 0.46744000 | $-2.28518300$ | H | 1.65343500 | 4.38863000 | $-1.51446200$ |


| H | 0.91307700 | 2.48794100 | -2.99829300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -0.40263000 | 3.53561400 | -3.53675000 |
| H | -0.74134100 | 1.89963100 | -2.94789600 |
| H | 3.59731900 | 2.48061000 | 2.15371500 |
| H | 3.66705200 | 1.85926600 | 3.81044400 |
| H | 2.31405700 | 2.88159500 | 3.29894700 |


| H | 0.64623600 | 1.07657700 | 4.03346600 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 2.04151800 | 0.15595700 | 4.59986200 |
| H | 0.88547300 | -0.58919800 | 3.49422400 |
| H | 2.72717000 | -1.17431000 | 1.87760600 |
| H | 3.92585300 | -0.44099100 | 2.95087000 |
| H | 3.81272600 | 0.07974700 | 1.26840200 |

## Cartesian Coordinates of 5:

Thermal enthalpy H / a.u. -3891.327289

| Ru | $-2.50872600$ | $-1.85557900$ | -0.07358200 | C | -4.40550300 | $-2.74553300$ | 0.66439800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru | -2.48086300 | 1.86493100 | $-0.07326500$ | C | -0.11629900 | $-1.84808100$ | 3.50292900 |
| Ru | 3.80769100 | 0.00543700 | -0.09522300 | H | 0.05048700 | $-0.80248800$ | 3.77298000 |
| Co | 1.25700100 | -0.00795400 | 0.17412600 | H | -0.20521000 | $-2.43290800$ | 4.43190600 |
| Cl | -2.48604300 | 0.01074300 | $-1.67583300$ | H | -1.06842000 | $-1.90214800$ | 2.95650800 |
| P | -0.26856900 | $-1.72541400$ | 0.01181900 | C | 1.17173800 | $-2.08705400$ | $-2.60920100$ |
| Cl | -2.73100200 | -0.00529400 | 1.51688300 | C | 0.92253500 | 1.62515100 | 1.52190900 |
| P | -0.25298400 | 1.71998400 | 0.17534100 | C | -3.06090400 | 3.62721500 | $-1.21106900$ |
| P | 2.40176200 | $-1.98593000$ | 0.07805200 | C | 5.62702600 | 1.20836000 | $-0.31319300$ |
| P | 2.40716800 | 1.95107000 | 0.52184500 | C | -3.56740500 | 3.34771100 | 1.06185400 |
| C | 1.02561200 | $-1.82110900$ | 1.24329900 | C | 0.77714500 | 2.02333200 | 2.97587600 |
| C | 1.09550600 | -1.69641900 | $-1.14629600$ | C | -2.68100900 | 4.00976000 | 0.13540500 |
| C | 5.55918500 | $-0.88916500$ | 0.73229200 | C | -3.27142200 | -3.59764000 | 0.97721200 |
| C | 1.03533500 | $-2.38156500$ | 2.64373300 | C | 5.60174100 | 0.19102400 | -1.33641200 |
| C | -0.63720000 | 1.76056200 | 3.50517900 | C | -4.54351800 | 2.59644300 | 0.27788000 |
| H | -1.36728100 | 2.31943100 | 2.90660000 | C | -4.23063800 | 2.77350000 | -1.09848800 |
| H | -0.71971500 | 2.08105000 | 4.55584800 | C | 0.27359300 | 1.89995300 | -3.15726500 |
| H | -0.92065800 | 0.70778500 | 3.42715300 | H | 0.39298500 | 0.82212200 | -3.30231900 |
| C | -4.48755500 | $-2.58435900$ | -0.74645200 | H | 0.31627800 | 2.39550600 | -4.13982500 |
| C | 5.57864100 | -1.10409900 | -0.69992900 | H | -0.72056400 | 2.06137200 | -2.71673800 |
| C | -3.40243300 | -3.34997200 | -1.35256000 | C | 1.36594900 | 2.43819100 | -2.22782800 |
| C | 1.21338600 | 1.87943400 | -0.83558600 | C | -2.67950200 | -3.99938300 | -0.28398800 |
| C | 5.57079300 | 0.53850000 | 0.96988800 | C | 0.85789700 | -3.91291100 | 2.49593400 |


| H | -0.11330600 | -4.13916500 | 2.03834600 | C | 2.40501000 | $-2.14081900$ | 3.30360100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.90195400 | $-4.40356100$ | 3.48150700 | H | 3.16221800 | $-2.78625200$ | 2.83579500 |
| H | 1.64600800 | $-4.33381100$ | 1.85404800 | H | 2.37090100 | $-2.38038300$ | 4.37772000 |
| C | -0.16072700 | $-1.86741400$ | $-3.33267300$ | H | 2.73491800 | $-1.10327600$ | 3.17465400 |
| H | -0.96131100 | $-2.40854500$ | $-2.81467000$ | C | -5.32540100 | $-2.15570400$ | 1.68181900 |
| H | -0.09776200 | $-2.23038100$ | -4.37049800 | H | -4.77872000 | -1.83649700 | 2.57907700 |
| H | -0.45723900 | -0.81373700 | -3.34367400 | H | -6.07763900 | $-2.90158600$ | 1.98910700 |
| C | -1.60717400 | $-5.02765900$ | $-0.45584900$ | H | -5.85532800 | -1.27957900 | 1.28847900 |
| H | -1.14251700 | -4.95478300 | $-1.44744600$ | C | 5.80520400 | 2.67857200 | $-0.51870200$ |
| H | -2.02924900 | -6.04214500 | -0.35812100 | H | 5.46610100 | 2.99141600 | -1.51457000 |
| H | -0.81111800 | -4.92091700 | 0.29238300 | H | 6.87011800 | 2.95419100 | -0.42986700 |
| C | -5.48580400 | $-1.76945100$ | $-1.50232300$ | H | 5.24166900 | 3.25919300 | 0.22365600 |
| H | -5.98977400 | $-1.05388600$ | -0.83961700 | C | 1.21210100 | 3.97309000 | $-2.10642700$ |
| H | -6.25694000 | -2.40926500 | $-1.96329600$ | H | 0.22118900 | 4.22790200 | $-1.71181600$ |
| H | -4.99681900 | -1.19141100 | -2.29939300 | H | 1.32744100 | 4.45326200 | -3.09128600 |
| C | 2.31426100 | $-1.37436800$ | -3.34529500 | H | 1.96890000 | 4.38328500 | $-1.42141600$ |
| H | 2.04945300 | -0.33759400 | -3.57654300 | C | 1.84425200 | 1.37493200 | 3.86686900 |
| H | 2.54461200 | -1.88772600 | -4.29236700 | H | 1.84499000 | 1.84193700 | 4.86435500 |
| H | 3.22144500 | $-1.35654600$ | $-2.72114700$ | H | 2.84556300 | 1.50357200 | 3.42721800 |
| C | 5.66354000 | -1.94796900 | 1.77933000 | H | 1.66560100 | 0.30368100 | 3.99223000 |
| H | 5.20930900 | -1.62346900 | 2.72354900 | C | 1.47454400 | -3.60812900 | -2.61886400 |
| H | 6.72417200 | -2.18187400 | 1.97666600 | H | 2.46265800 | -3.80941600 | -2.17901200 |
| H | 5.16542400 | -2.87490300 | 1.46649100 | H | 1.45856300 | -3.99576500 | -3.64985300 |
| C | 5.74369200 | 0.41839600 | -2.80702300 | H | 0.72751500 | -4.15380700 | -2.02589600 |
| H | 5.16989600 | -0.31558300 | -3.38818900 | C | -3.26390800 | -3.58538600 | -2.82187700 |
| H | 6.80298300 | 0.32228300 | -3.10220400 | H | -3.32921100 | -2.64398700 | -3.38554500 |
| H | 5.40420800 | 1.41890400 | -3.09896800 | H | -4.06813100 | -4.24998000 | -3.18257000 |
| C | 2.76702900 | 2.16239500 | $-2.78371300$ | H | -2.30553500 | -4.05775100 | -3.07082400 |
| H | 3.51284300 | 2.73415500 | $-2.21398200$ | C | -2.98840800 | -4.15969700 | 2.33302200 |
| H | 2.83909900 | 2.46151500 | -3.84160300 | H | -1.98421100 | -4.59507800 | 2.39106200 |
| H | 3.02462700 | 1.10150500 | $-2.69075300$ | H | -3.71094500 | -4.95615900 | 2.58538800 |
| C | 5.68523400 | $-2.42481600$ | $-1.39428500$ | H | -3.06018100 | -3.38740900 | 3.11138200 |
| H | 5.22877700 | -3.22640800 | $-0.79962300$ | C | 5.62485800 | 1.20045100 | 2.30825500 |
| H | 6.74277600 | -2.68929900 | $-1.56757600$ | H | 5.16150400 | 2.19488100 | 2.27551000 |
| H | 5.18251100 | $-2.40852100$ | $-2.37120900$ | H | 6.66961900 | 1.32100800 | 2.64390400 |


| H | 5.09388900 | 0.60745300 | 3.06501700 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.00810400 | 3.55800500 | 3.01760300 |
| H | 0.32644500 | 4.06990800 | 2.32499800 |
| H | 2.03839200 | 3.80636800 | 2.72263200 |
| H | 0.82777600 | 3.93844100 | 4.03575900 |
| C | -1.66177100 | 5.04327300 | 0.49474000 |
| H | -1.39149400 | 4.98125700 | 1.55653100 |
| H | -2.06158300 | 6.05479500 | 0.31055900 |
| H | -0.73886600 | 4.93635700 | -0.08963400 |
| C | -4.96235200 | 2.19451600 | -2.26455600 |
| H | -4.26299400 | 1.83747900 | -3.03278800 |
| H | -5.61333900 | 2.95774400 | -2.72312800 |
| H | -5.58838600 | 1.34375600 | -1.96890500 |


| C | -5.65599600 | 1.78938100 | 0.86153600 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -6.12812000 | 1.15381200 | 0.10059200 |
| H | -6.43618500 | 2.44131400 | 1.28857700 |
| H | -5.28117400 | 1.13418000 | 1.66217400 |
| C | -2.57384400 | 4.22263700 | -2.49313500 |
| H | -2.51477600 | 3.47006300 | -3.29152400 |
| H | -1.57875900 | 4.66908700 | -2.38319600 |
| H | -3.25888500 | 5.01843700 | -2.83621100 |
| C | -3.65855700 | 3.55178700 | 2.53975600 |
| H | -4.50489700 | 4.21649900 | 2.78607400 |
| H | -2.74736100 | 4.01031100 | 2.94388300 |
| H | -3.81709100 | 2.59937500 | 3.06545100 |

## Cartesian Coordinates of 6:

## Thermal enthalpy H / a.u. -2043.789141

| Ru | 1.34044400 | -0.27194000 | -0.08153400 | H | -2.17989700 | 2.21114900 | 2.03313000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru | -1.34301500 | $-0.27414200$ | $-0.08646200$ | C | 3.48213400 | 0.57236800 | 0.07567700 |
| P | -0.00022200 | -2.17776600 | 0.10545000 | C | -2.99148300 | $-2.94087700$ | -1.21648500 |
| P | 0.00198200 | $-1.24876500$ | $-1.79878500$ | H | -2.52020900 | $-2.81031200$ | -2.21067400 |
| C | 3.04504500 | $-1.65281500$ | $-0.44140400$ | H | -4.01619100 | -3.34470300 | -1.37160000 |
| C | 3.01786000 | $-1.51208700$ | 1.00759200 | H | -2.40260000 | -3.70840300 | -0.67722900 |
| C | 3.30181500 | $-0.15043000$ | 1.32359200 | C | 4.07943100 | 1.94652300 | $-0.03400500$ |
| C | -0.00588000 | 1.18376100 | 0.65262500 | H | 4.07044000 | 2.31536800 | $-1.07615500$ |
| C | -3.34086600 | $-0.34849300$ | -1.02660200 | H | 5.14215500 | 1.91636200 | 0.29495700 |
| C | -3.04903200 | $-1.64859200$ | -0.45391200 | H | 3.56490500 | 2.70001800 | 0.59128300 |
| C | 1.19467000 | 2.95659500 | 1.97034100 | C | -3.30224900 | -0.15811900 | 1.32255600 |
| H | 2.13711800 | 2.40513400 | 1.82427500 | C | 3.33837800 | -0.35803500 | -1.02417800 |
| H | 1.24235700 | 3.48441200 | 2.94617300 | C | -0.00052700 | 1.25429400 | $-0.72163900$ |
| H | 1.11756100 | 3.72093200 | 1.17159300 | C | -3.48211000 | 0.57449900 | 0.08096300 |
| C | -1.28217400 | 2.84750600 | 2.05152400 | C | -0.04144300 | 1.57682500 | $-3.22905500$ |
| H | -1.35276000 | 3.57320500 | 1.21710400 | H | 0.83863000 | 0.91909300 | -3.37048000 |
| H | -1.27764200 | 3.41905400 | 3.00350800 | H | -0.05000500 | 2.33405500 | -4.04170400 |


| H | -0.94760600 | 0.94701000 | $-3.32299000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.07028100 | 1.01574200 | 3.12629900 |
| H | -0.77322400 | 0.29927000 | 3.08242200 |
| H | 0.04198300 | 1.55438800 | 4.09697200 |
| H | 0.99977200 | 0.41958400 | 3.06881200 |
| C | -0.00636700 | 1.99239300 | 1.93623700 |
| C | -1.22801400 | 3.18261000 | -1.72484200 |
| H | -2.15506100 | 2.58549200 | $-1.80050800$ |
| H | -1.23609300 | 3.94439400 | $-2.53264000$ |
| H | -1.24093800 | 3.71072500 | -0.75106600 |
| C | 2.98777700 | $-2.94983500$ | -1.19613900 |
| H | 2.39572700 | -3.71365700 | -0.65518200 |
| H | 4.01262500 | $-3.35591600$ | $-1.34473700$ |
| H | 2.52138300 | -2.82421300 | -2.19322700 |
| C | -3.62787500 | 0.32630200 | 2.70700700 |
| H | -2.80838300 | 0.14187300 | 3.43017100 |
| H | -4.52686700 | -0.20439300 | 3.09125200 |
| H | -3.85452400 | 1.40864300 | 2.72735600 |
| C | 1.28496500 | 3.12011600 | -1.77892600 |
| H | 1.36531100 | 3.65317100 | -0.81165300 |
| H | 1.29841800 | 3.87555400 | $-2.59267900$ |
| H | 2.17649600 | 2.47599400 | $-1.88735400$ |
| C | 3.63625200 | 0.35829500 | 2.69448500 |
| H | 3.43137600 | 1.43796200 | 2.81585300 |


| H | 4.72056100 | 0.20699400 | 2.89874200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 3.07807500 | -0.18150400 | 3.48434300 |
| C | 0.00408900 | 2.26573800 | -1.85313700 |
| C | -2.86141900 | -2.63487000 | 1.98073400 |
| H | -2.22219300 | -3.44591100 | 1.57839100 |
| H | -3.84946800 | -3.07884800 | 2.23740900 |
| H | -2.39528800 | -2.28230400 | 2.92162700 |
| C | -3.64232500 | -0.07657800 | -2.47146800 |
| H | -3.40438800 | 0.96928300 | -2.74916000 |
| H | -4.71767100 | -0.24952900 | -2.70262600 |
| H | -3.04236300 | -0.73351600 | -3.13123400 |
| C | -4.06568900 | 1.95488000 | $-0.02342800$ |
| H | -3.55383200 | 2.69459700 | 0.61968900 |
| H | -5.13520500 | 1.93130700 | 0.28306300 |
| H | -4.03413300 | 2.33376900 | $-1.06159600$ |
| C | -3.01562200 | $-1.51618400$ | 0.99533400 |
| C | 3.63889700 | -0.10139300 | -2.47200700 |
| H | 3.00908100 | $-0.73605400$ | $-3.12577100$ |
| H | 4.70522300 | -0.31529600 | -2.71035100 |
| H | 3.43925400 | 0.95203600 | -2.75074700 |
| C | 2.86275000 | $-2.63372200$ | 1.98984000 |
| H | 2.42813600 | $-2.28013200$ | 2.94538700 |
| H | 3.84540600 | -3.10398200 | 2.21736300 |
| H | 2.19366700 | -3.42509400 | 1.59685200 |

## Cartesian Coordinates of 9':

## Thermal enthalpy H / a.u. $\quad-4716.102360$

| Rh | -1.81762000 | 0.00000000 | 0.00002500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P | 0.15554400 | -1.39217900 | 0.27332400 |
| P | 0.15551900 | 1.39220300 | -0.27331300 |
| C | 0.20474600 | -0.23014100 | -1.16943400 |
| C | 0.20480000 | 0.23016600 | 1.16944200 |
| Co | 1.93880500 | 0.00000900 | -0.00002000 |
| 150 |  |  |  |


| C | 0.23675900 | -0.52404200 | -2.67611100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.23694600 | 0.52405500 | 2.67611900 |
| P | 3.75940100 | -0.27548700 | -1.32497500 |
| P | 3.75942200 | 0.27549200 | 1.32490400 |
| C | 3.67187500 | -1.14140800 | 0.23631300 |
| C | 3.67187300 | 1.14141600 | -0.23638300 |


| C | 3.86162300 | $-2.61211100$ | 0.53491400 | H | -1.08916600 | -0.83750700 | -4.36749100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 3.86162600 | 2.61212100 | $-0.53497600$ | C | 0.92302200 | -1.87819700 | -2.92537300 |
| P | -3.77330900 | 0.01840900 | $-1.35033400$ | H | 0.90106400 | -2.12285600 | -3.99843700 |
| C | -3.69263100 | 1.17118400 | 0.01450200 | H | 1.96834600 | -1.86193300 | $-2.59816200$ |
| C | -3.69260100 | -1.17120900 | -0.01444000 | H | 0.40465700 | -2.68823100 | $-2.38731500$ |
| P | -3.77329900 | $-0.01843700$ | 1.35039900 | C | 0.98901600 | 0.59591400 | -3.41563400 |
| C | -3.79578900 | $-2.67741600$ | -0.00019400 | H | 0.52283300 | 1.57527800 | -3.22239800 |
| C | -3.79588300 | 2.67739000 | 0.00023300 | H | 2.03860700 | 0.64793200 | -3.10809700 |
| C | -3.20329300 | 3.23978200 | $-1.30325900$ | H | 0.95641800 | 0.41928700 | -4.50185100 |
| H | -3.31610600 | 4.33466000 | $-1.33713500$ | C | -1.16832300 | 0.59742500 | 3.29548900 |
| H | -2.13322500 | 2.99862800 | $-1.38052600$ | H | -1.77684000 | 1.37330800 | 2.81742500 |
| H | -3.71372400 | 2.82257500 | $-2.18600900$ | H | -1.69687900 | -0.35870300 | 3.19705200 |
| C | -5.29815400 | 3.03191200 | 0.07378400 | H | -1.08873400 | 0.83710500 | 4.36776300 |
| H | -5.74789400 | 2.64581000 | 1.00164600 | C | 0.98987000 | -0.59559300 | 3.41542700 |
| H | -5.43748100 | 4.12475500 | 0.05132500 | H | 0.52405900 | -1.57515500 | 3.22228800 |
| H | -5.84635300 | 2.59698400 | $-0.77618900$ | H | 2.03940500 | -0.64715200 | 3.10761100 |
| C | -3.06997400 | 3.29430700 | 1.20639100 | H | 0.95747900 | -0.41900300 | 4.50165600 |
| H | -3.45710200 | 2.89108600 | 2.15539100 | C | 0.92268200 | 1.87849400 | 2.92528400 |
| H | -1.99089100 | 3.08897600 | 1.15942900 | H | 0.90083500 | 2.12311200 | 3.99836000 |
| H | -3.21249400 | 4.38617900 | 1.22304100 | H | 1.96794700 | 1.86268700 | 2.59786200 |
| C | -3.06984900 | -3.29425300 | -1.20637600 | H | 0.40386400 | 2.68832200 | 2.38735400 |
| H | -1.99078200 | -3.08883100 | -1.15943100 | C | 5.38822300 | -2.85675700 | 0.58538400 |
| H | -3.21228000 | -4.38613600 | $-1.22304700$ | H | 5.60016100 | -3.91611300 | 0.80322300 |
| H | -3.45702500 | -2.89104300 | -2.15536200 | H | 5.85911300 | -2.59994700 | -0.37585300 |
| C | -3.20315600 | -3.23980300 | 1.30328100 | H | 5.85859800 | -2.24195300 | 1.36797000 |
| H | -3.31589200 | -4.33469000 | 1.33712800 | C | 3.25124600 | $-2.99636900$ | 1.89145500 |
| H | -2.13310400 | $-2.99857500$ | 1.38055100 | H | 3.65659200 | $-2.37360300$ | 2.70409300 |
| H | -3.71361200 | $-2.82265600$ | 2.18604500 | H | 2.15979100 | -2.87678200 | 1.87897700 |
| C | -5.29804300 | -3.03201100 | -0.07374400 | H | 3.47922600 | -4.04742700 | 2.12924000 |
| H | -5.74780700 | -2.64591100 | $-1.00159500$ | C | 3.25263100 | -3.49297900 | $-0.56738200$ |
| H | $-5.43731600$ | -4.12486200 | -0.05130800 | H | 2.16032300 | $-3.38333900$ | -0.59994600 |
| H | -5.84625900 | $-2.59712900$ | 0.77624100 | H | 3.65496300 | -3.23043900 | $-1.55831200$ |
| C | -1.16862100 | -0.59804100 | $-3.29516000$ | H | 3.48584700 | -4.55330100 | -0.38161300 |
| H | -1.77661600 | $-1.37432200$ | -2.81707200 | C | 3.25267100 | 3.49297900 | 0.56734900 |
| H | -1.69766300 | 0.35779200 | $-3.19643500$ | H | 2.16036300 | 3.38334900 | 0.59994000 |


| H | 3.65502600 | 3.23042200 | 1.55826500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 3.48589200 | 4.55330200 | 0.38158800 |
| C | 3.25122000 | 2.99640600 | -1.89149600 |
| H | 3.65654000 | 2.37365200 | -2.70415600 |
| H | 2.15976400 | 2.87682600 | -1.87899100 |

## Cartesian Coordinates of 10:

## Thermal enthalpy H / a.u. -9519.002017

| Pd | -0.03531300 | -0.63930900 | -0.32166300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | -2.63399100 | -0.07415600 | $-0.18352700$ |
| Pd | 2.63986200 | $-0.34503400$ | 0.12760900 |
| Co | -0.26459000 | -3.39215700 | -0.29333600 |
| P | 1.31293600 | 1.43667500 | -0.31949000 |
| P | -2.11999600 | $-2.22826600$ | -0.60145400 |
| P | 1.66072100 | $-2.37347800$ | 0.23047000 |
| P | -1.09795200 | 1.55469200 | -0.45761300 |
| P | -4.78995500 | 0.32404000 | 0.35743600 |
| P | 4.85332800 | -0.26646500 | 0.53096400 |
| P | -1.60400400 | -4.66971600 | $-1.58332800$ |
| P | 0.99174000 | -4.89987000 | 0.82072600 |
| C | 0.79498700 | -3.25668400 | 1.52657600 |
| C | 1.73726200 | $-3.96965100$ | $-0.54857000$ |
| C | -1.34507500 | $-2.95412500$ | $-2.05064900$ |
| C | -2.30539100 | -3.92346200 | -0.08619800 |
| Co | 0.16790400 | 3.25699400 | -0.06298000 |
| C | 0.94877900 | 2.39613200 | -1.81947500 |
| C | -0.48893100 | 2.44146000 | -1.91936600 |
| C | 0.00871400 | $-1.23458000$ | -3.36678000 |
| C | -0.63220000 | -1.62798700 | 2.81258900 |
| C | -5.44593200 | 1.94138700 | -0.16441800 |
| C | -5.06955500 | 0.33811400 | 2.15893800 |
| C | -5.93244600 | -0.92929400 | -0.31337000 |
| C | 5.74596300 | 0.37845700 | -0.92134200 |

C

| C | 0.42160300 | 5.33163400 | 0.41447900 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | 2.12727000 | 2.71873100 | -2.75202800 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $C$ | -1.46719100 | 2.90524400 | -3.01478100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C | -4.73862000 | 3.08142400 | 0.28280600 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -6.55115500 & 2.09655800 & -1.02268400\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -4.14475000 & -0.32391500 & 2.99101100\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -6.17708500 & 0.99936200 & 2.73335700\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -5.68354500 & -1.38035800 & -1.62972100\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -6.97394500 & -1.51341000 & 0.43517000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C & 6.60682400 & 1.49180500 & -0.85145600\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 5.47465800 & -0.21745300 & -2.17387800\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 4.53640000 & 2.05795000 & 2.01419600\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 6.33424200 & 0.60201600 & 2.79715300\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C & 6.89356100 & -2.24554800 & 0.34330100\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 5.02898700 & -2.69522100 & 1.85053500\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -0.12779700 & -3.91220900 & 3.76903700\end{array}$

| C | 1.68951500 | -2.18663600 | 3.57515300 | C | -6.24555800 | 4.51627700 | -0.97532400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 4.00322400 | -4.81271100 | $-1.01094900$ | C | -5.44561100 | 0.29881200 | 4.94930400 |
| C | 2.86572200 | -3.39857900 | $-2.72897700$ | C | -7.52095100 | -2.96393700 | $-1.44656200$ |
| C | 2.03605500 | $-5.75193100$ | $-2.29046800$ | C | 6.91988800 | 1.40626200 | -3.26713600 |
| C | -0.65583700 | -3.43473900 | -4.40679800 | C | 5.88596800 | 2.71443000 | 3.92906100 |
| C | -2.39975200 | -1.69283800 | -3.88429000 | C | 6.79607100 | -4.34429100 | 1.58057300 |
| C | -3.62869500 | -3.68348900 | 2.06257000 | H | -0.29256900 | -0.40297900 | -2.69465700 |
| C | -2.61165500 | $-5.91909100$ | 1.41184300 | H | -0.26683700 | -0.80896400 | 2.15977200 |
| C | -4.53343500 | -4.93441700 | 0.10817200 | H | 0.17816900 | -0.81226300 | -4.37941700 |
| C | -0.26210800 | 2.63451300 | 3.08184000 | H | 0.96684400 | -1.62413900 | -2.98510400 |
| C | 0.75557900 | 6.67205800 | $-0.22156300$ | H | -0.86608700 | -1.20552600 | 3.81213400 |
| C | 3.37059200 | 3.05431800 | $-1.89489100$ | H | -1.55905100 | -2.00495200 | 2.34721900 |
| C | 1.90753800 | 3.89519700 | $-3.71990800$ | H | -2.76622000 | -3.44257500 | 2.71016300 |
| C | 2.42379200 | 1.42599100 | $-3.55462800$ | H | -4.40636000 | -4.15870100 | 2.69534700 |
| C | -1.16843700 | 2.16323800 | $-4.33689300$ | H | -4.04677300 | -2.73326300 | 1.67358000 |
| C | -2.91082500 | 2.53925200 | $-2.60844100$ | H | -2.35683300 | -6.59205800 | 0.56662900 |
| C | -1.43767100 | 4.43207700 | $-3.20266200$ | H | -3.31499800 | -6.45781000 | 2.08139500 |
| C | -5.14319200 | 4.36226900 | $-0.11218800$ | H | -1.67155700 | -5.72301400 | 1.96308600 |
| C | -6.94415000 | 3.38495000 | -1.42994700 | H | -4.99279900 | -4.00732000 | -0.29057100 |
| C | -4.33561100 | -0.34957200 | 4.38089000 | H | -5.27635600 | $-5.43691400$ | 0.76368900 |
| C | -6.36284800 | 0.97656200 | 4.12460800 | H | -4.31595100 | -5.60240800 | -0.75051900 |
| C | -6.48191400 | -2.38279200 | $-2.19753500$ | H | -0.42510800 | -2.99973700 | $-5.40151500$ |
| C | -7.76064100 | $-2.53172200$ | -0.13143700 | H | -1.46562200 | -4.18241000 | -4.53402200 |
| C | 7.18751800 | 2.00462200 | $-2.02435300$ | H | 0.24394300 | -3.97509300 | -4.05182400 |
| C | 6.06630300 | 0.28884900 | $-3.33892100$ | H | -2.69924000 | -0.87883800 | -3.18940300 |
| C | 4.84279200 | 2.98174200 | 3.02328300 | H | -3.21562700 | $-2.44460100$ | -3.90346500 |
| C | 6.62689800 | 1.52437000 | 3.81657400 | H | -2.29481200 | -1.25906400 | -4.90104900 |
| C | 7.44292100 | $-3.50019500$ | 0.66192100 | H | -3.84542700 | 2.96154000 | 0.91743300 |
| C | 5.59019000 | -3.93578700 | 2.18040100 | H | -4.57340700 | 5.23742300 | 0.23710900 |
| C | -1.61702100 | 1.91407100 | 2.96149500 | H | -6.55519400 | 5.52205800 | -1.29991600 |
| C | 0.88693600 | 1.61038200 | 3.17487400 | H | -7.80462400 | 3.50297300 | -2.10737900 |
| C | -0.26999200 | 3.49349900 | 4.37460500 | H | -7.10130100 | 1.21046600 | -1.37502800 |
| C | 0.76585100 | 7.70595900 | 0.93802100 | H | -3.26270100 | -0.79943100 | 2.53888500 |
| C | -0.29454400 | 7.11264900 | $-1.25696900$ | H | -3.60498100 | $-0.86812600$ | 5.02089300 |
| C | 2.15474900 | 6.64365900 | $-0.86564500$ | H | -5.59237200 | 0.28690000 | 6.04073600 |


| H | -7.22598800 | 1.49604100 | 4.56970200 | H | 5.07053500 | $-4.59805000$ | 2.89002200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -6.88449200 | 1.54272800 | 2.08797800 | H | 4.06528800 | -2.38997400 | 2.28360500 |
| H | -4.83791900 | $-0.95620300$ | $-2.19396600$ | H | 3.21261100 | 3.97810200 | $-1.30667100$ |
| H | -6.27725400 | $-2.72904000$ | -3.22237300 | H | 4.26023100 | 3.18550900 | $-2.54402000$ |
| H | -8.13405100 | -3.76728600 | $-1.88370500$ | H | 3.60310700 | 2.23745400 | $-1.18330600$ |
| H | -8.56303300 | $-2.99496900$ | 0.46381600 | H | 1.62605400 | 4.81347700 | -3.16950700 |
| H | -7.15924000 | $-1.18490600$ | 1.46891500 | H | 1.12510700 | 3.69237600 | $-4.47346300$ |
| H | 4.45647100 | $-3.90300900$ | $-0.56775400$ | H | 2.85011700 | 4.10378700 | -4.26728000 |
| H | 4.70765200 | $-5.22103700$ | $-1.76658900$ | H | 3.32211300 | 1.56171700 | -4.19216700 |
| H | 3.88893100 | $-5.55907300$ | -0.19875800 | H | 1.56958600 | 1.14644900 | -4.20080500 |
| H | 1.95258100 | $-3.21654300$ | $-3.32192100$ | H | 2.61352800 | 0.57822300 | $-2.86369500$ |
| H | 3.67546100 | -3.69964500 | -3.42564400 | H | -0.16079700 | 2.38480300 | -4.73373400 |
| H | 3.15780100 | $-2.43685900$ | $-2.25367800$ | H | -1.91005900 | 2.45436000 | $-5.11015800$ |
| H | 1.88352700 | $-6.53932000$ | $-1.52276900$ | H | -1.24002700 | 1.06919900 | -4.18900100 |
| H | 2.70978600 | $-6.16105600$ | $-3.07252600$ | H | -3.04711600 | 1.44254300 | $-2.49913900$ |
| H | 1.04661700 | $-5.54305100$ | $-2.74375600$ | H | -3.62758400 | 2.90252600 | $-3.37308100$ |
| H | -1.02617100 | -4.36971900 | 3.31167600 | H | -3.18790000 | 3.00840300 | $-1.64542800$ |
| H | -0.40652300 | $-3.55042600$ | 4.78090100 | H | -1.76456900 | 4.92201300 | -2.26605100 |
| H | 0.63381300 | $-4.71115300$ | 3.88557600 | H | -2.12499400 | 4.73769500 | -4.01969600 |
| H | 2.46539600 | $-2.97416200$ | 3.66814000 | H | -0.42747100 | 4.80356600 | -3.44255300 |
| H | 1.46679300 | -1.80016200 | 4.59171700 | H | -0.22745900 | 7.75258000 | 1.42986100 |
| H | 2.10897800 | $-1.35168100$ | 2.97406000 | H | 1.01762800 | 8.71969800 | 0.55854400 |
| H | 6.81060900 | 1.96490600 | 0.12069600 | H | 1.51196300 | 7.42422200 | 1.70923700 |
| H | 7.85182700 | 2.88099500 | -1.96499500 | H | -0.25390300 | 6.48093400 | -2.16200000 |
| H | 7.37281100 | 1.81359400 | -4.18456900 | H | -0.12299500 | 8.16594700 | $-1.56344600$ |
| H | 5.84805700 | -0.18069100 | $-4.31063200$ | H | -1.32066700 | 7.04449200 | -0.83707800 |
| H | 4.78203900 | -1.07231800 | $-2.22790200$ | H | 2.92411600 | 6.30772800 | $-0.13834500$ |
| H | 3.69920700 | 2.25667100 | 1.32413100 | H | 2.44535900 | 7.65253800 | -1.22640000 |
| H | 4.24660200 | 3.90488500 | 3.10098100 | H | 2.18062900 | 5.95012800 | -1.72723000 |
| H | 6.11858400 | 3.43342500 | 4.73038100 | H | -2.44774900 | 2.63587100 | 2.81383200 |
| H | 7.44021800 | 1.31077100 | 4.52798200 | H | -1.83975800 | 1.33348000 | 3.87939900 |
| H | 6.91603400 | -0.32821500 | 2.70750800 | H | -1.63118300 | 1.21286400 | 2.10350800 |
| H | 7.40168100 | $-1.58543000$ | -0.37609000 | H | 0.91379200 | 0.95434200 | 2.28269200 |
| H | 8.38353700 | -3.81989800 | 0.18649900 | H | 0.77456100 | 0.96527700 | 4.07018500 |
| H | 7.22659000 | $-5.32855500$ | 1.82229300 | H | 1.87215400 | 2.11617000 | 3.24357800 |


| H | 0.69267000 | 4.03213800 | 4.49394300 | H | -1.08164800 | 4.24905800 | 4.33878600 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -0.42625500 | 2.85361800 | 5.26911700 |  |  |  |  |
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## 5 A HOMOLEPTIC 1,3-DIPHOSPHACYCLOBUTADIENE
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### 5.1 Introduction

Compared to the vast literature on mononuclear sandwich molecules such as ferrocene $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ and its derivatives, ${ }^{1}$ related tripledecker complexes have attracted comparatively less attention. According to the classic definition, a tripledecker complex features two metal atoms and three $\pi$-coordinated $\pi$-hydrocarbon ligands with the middle-deck atoms equally bonding to both metal atoms. ${ }^{2,3}$ Such species are of special interest because the two metal atoms are connected by $\pi$-coordinated ligand which should enable a strong electronic interaction. The first tripledecker, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right]^{+}\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{Cp}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, Figure 1) was reported by Werner and Salzer in 1972, ${ }^{2}$ i.e. twenty years after the ferrocene was first described. ${ }^{1}$ The tripledecker family has grown since then, nonetheless, the number of examples is still dwindling compared to the countless derivatives of ferrocene and related sandwich molecules. Figure 1 shows a few selected milestones in the area, which include the first tripledecker complex with a bridging benzene ring, $\left[(\mathrm{CpV})_{2}\left\{\mu: \eta^{6}: \eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right\}\right](\mathbf{B})$ described by Jonas and co-workers, ${ }^{4}$ borole complexes (C and $\mathbf{D}$ ) developed by the groups of Russel and Siebert, ${ }^{5,6}$ and complexes with polyphosphorus ligands as the middle-deck such as $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ reported by Scherer and co-workers. ${ }^{7,8}$
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Figure 1. Selected examples of tripledecker complexes; for $\mathbf{G}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Et}$ and $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=n \operatorname{Pr}$.
Tripledecker compounds with a cyclobutadiene middle-deck are rare and limited to two (thermally unstable) complexes of type $\mathbf{G},{ }^{9}$ which have not been structurally characterized though, and the structurally characterized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complex $\mathbf{H}$ reported by

Rummel and Scheer. ${ }^{10}$ The latter complex was only partially characterized by X-ray analysis and mass spectrometry as it is a minor product of the reaction of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{6}: \eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right]\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}=\right.$ toluene $)$ with two equivalents of $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ with mononuclear $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)\right]$ formed as the major product. Finally, two related examples with an "inverted sandwich" structure containing a 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene middle-deck are noteworthy:
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\operatorname{Im}^{\mathrm{Pr}}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathbf{u}_{2}\right)\right] \quad\left(\operatorname{Im}^{\mathrm{iPr}}=1,3-\mathrm{di} \text { (iso-propyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene }\right)^{11}$ and $\left(\left[\left\{\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{Ts}^{\mathrm{Tol}}\right)\right\}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{Ts}^{\mathrm{Tol}}=\operatorname{tris}\left(N\right.\right.\right.$-tolyl-amidodimethylsilyl)methane) ${ }^{12}$ do not formally represent tripledecker complexes according to the definition outlined above, but they also contain a bridging and $\pi$-coordinated 1,3 -diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand prepared by metalmediated phosphaalkyne dimerization from $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{P}$ and nickel(0) and uranium(III) precursors.

The above-mentioned literature indicates that the structural variety of tripledecker molecules is still small even after decades of research. Indeed, Werner's classic complex A still remains the single example of a tripledecker complex containing just one type of ligand. This paucity of homoleptic tripledecker complexes motivated the present investigation of the synthesis of further homoleptic 1,3-diphosphacylobutadiene tripledecker complexes using the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$ sandwich anion ${ }^{13-15}$ as a building block (see chapters 1 and 4 for further studies into the coordination chemistry of this anion). Here, we report the synthesis of the first homoleptic diphosphacyclobutadiene tripledecker compound, $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (1, Figure 1), via an unexpected pathway from $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{L})^{16}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ ( 0.5 equivalents). We describe the structural and spectroscopic characterization of $\mathbf{1}$ as well as electrochemical properties (ascertained by cyclic voltammetry), and we analyze its electronic structure by DFT calculations.

### 5.2 Results and Discussion

Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ ( $\mathbf{L}$, see chapter 2 of this thesis) with $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(0.5$ equivalents, Scheme 1$)$ in toluene affords a forest-green reaction mixture which contains two species according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopic monitoring (in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \operatorname{Rh}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{M})^{17}$ can be identified as the major species by a singlet at 39.5 ppm and $\mathbf{1}$ as the minor species by a set of two singlets at $\delta=55.7$ and 111.1 ppm in a $2: 1$ ratio. The complexes are present in 9:1 ratio according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR integration. Whereas it proved to be impossible to separate the two compounds by fractional crystallization due to their very similar solubilities (both compounds are soluble in $n$-hexane, diethyl ether, benzene, toluene, and THF), they could be separated by column chromatography over Alumina N and $n$-hexane as eluent (see the Supporting Information for details), which afforded a forest-green solid as raw product.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of 1.
Crystalline 1 was isolated by recrystallization from $n$-pentane at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as an NMR spectroscopically pure compound in a yield of $7 \%$. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies revealed the molecular structure of $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure 2). Each molecule of $\mathbf{1}$ resides on a crystallographic inversion center. A dicobalt complex bearing two terminal $\eta^{4}-1,3$-diphosphacyclobutadienes and one $\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}$-bridging 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand is observed. Structural parameters of the rhombic $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ core of these ligands are overall similar, but the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths of the bridging $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ring are slightly elongated as a result of the coordination to two metal atoms with an average bond length of $1.860(4) \AA$, whereas the terminal P-C bonds show an average of $1.787(8) \AA$. This is typical for an inverted sandwich complex with a bridging 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand, cf. for the molecular structures of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{Im}^{i \mathrm{Pr}}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{Pr}}=1,3-\mathrm{di}\left(\right.\right.$ iso-propyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene), ${ }^{11} \quad\left(\left[\left\{\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{Ts}^{\mathrm{Tol}}\right)\right\}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} u_{2}\right)\right]\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{Ts}^{\mathrm{Tol}}=\operatorname{tris}\left(N\right.\right.$-tolyl-amidodimethylsilyl)methane), ${ }^{12}$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{Co}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu: \eta^{4}: \eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)\right] \quad(\mathbf{H}$, $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime \prime \prime}=1,2,4-(\mathrm{tBu})_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, Figure 1). ${ }^{10}$


Figure 2. Solid-state molecular structures of 1. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50\% probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Co1-P1 2.2856(7), Co1-P1' 2.2864(6), Co1-C1 2.141(2), Co1-C1' 2.160(2), Co1-P2 2.2956(7), Co1-P3 2.2715(7), P1-C1 1.861(2), P1-C1' 1.858(2), P2-C2 1.781(2), P2-C3 1.786(2), P3-C2 1.790(2), P3-C3 1.790(2), P2-C3-P3/P3-C2-P2 8.5.

Multinuclear NMR data of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF is in line with the molecular structure determined by X-ray crystallography. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra confirm the presence of two chemically inequivalent two tert-butyl groups in the molecule. Moreover, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal for the quaternary carbon atoms of the terminal and the bridging $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ rings were detected at $\delta=114.2$ and 117.7 ppm , respectively. These chemical shifts are in the similar to those of other diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands in cobalt complexes. ${ }^{13-15}$ The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ shows two singlets at $\delta=55.9$ and 111.3 ppm in a $2: 1$ ratio. As such, the signal at $\delta=55.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ is assigned to the terminal ligands, whereas the signal at $\delta=111.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ arises from the bridging ligand.

The valence electron count of diamagnetic $\mathbf{1}$ is 30 in line with empirical and theoretical predictions showing that tripledecker complexes containing 30 or 34 valence electrons are particularly stable structures, ${ }^{18}$ while the optimal valence electron count strongly depends on metal atomic number in homobimetallic tripledecker complexes and amounts to 30 for dicobalt complexes. ${ }^{19}$ In order to investigate the electronic structure of $\mathbf{1}$ in more detail, DFT calculations were performed at the BP86-D3BJ/def2-TZVP level. ${ }^{20-22}$ An inspection of the Kohn-Sham molecular orbitals shown in Figure 3 reveals that several of the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) are metal-centered, including the HOMO, HOMO-1, and HOMO-3, while the HOMO-2 and HOMO-4 have pronounced P lone pair character. The lowest unoccupied
molecular orbital (LUMO) and the LUMO+1 are also mainly ligand-based, but they also clearly show an antibonding interaction between the bridging ligand and the two metal centers. In line with this, the cyclic voltammogram of $1\left(\mathrm{THF} /\left[n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$, Figure S5) indicates that a fragmentation reaction occurs upon reduction as indicated by an irreversible reduction process at $E_{\mathrm{pc}}=-1.69 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$, which leads to the formation of the $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich anion. The latter can be identified by a reversible redox wave observed at $E_{1 / 2}=-0.73 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs} . \mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+} .{ }^{23}$ Finally, TD-DFT calculations have been performed at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP ${ }^{24-22}$ level to analyze the experimental UV/Vis spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$, which show two main bands at 403 and 635 nm and a shoulder at 502 nm (see Figure S4 and S6 as well as Table S 2 ). The calculations reproduced the experimental spectrum rather well, but also highlight the composed nature of the observed absorptions. The dominant band at 403 nm is composed of transitions from the HOMO-6 to the LUMO and the HOMO-4 to the LUMO+1 with minor contributions from the HOMO to LUMO transitions. These transitions essentially correspond to MLCT and ILCT type processes. The band at 635 nm mainly originates from transitions from HOMO-9, HOMO-8 HOMO-5 and the HOMO into the LUMO (see Table S2 for further details), which to a first approximation correspond to MLCT transitions. However, it should be noted that clear-cut assignment of the nature of the UV/Vis absorptions is not easily possible in this case, which perhaps illustrates the general limits of the DFT method for the analysis of electronic transitions in such organometallic molecules. Thus, these results should stimulate further theoretical investigations into the electronic structure of $\mathbf{1}$ using high-level (post Hartree-Fock) calculations.


Figure 3. Kohn-Sham frontier orbitals of 1 calculated at the BP86-D3BJ/def2-TZVP level of theory.

### 5.3 Conclusion

Reaction of the silylated bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene) cobalt complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ ( $\mathbf{L}$, see chapter 2 of this thesis) with a $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{III})$ complex affords an unprecedented 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complex $\mathbf{1}$, which to our knowledge is the first homoleptic tripledecker complex devoid of cyclopentadienyl ligands. The mechanism of the formation of $\mathbf{1}$ is currently unclear, but the concomitant formation of the known complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu} \mathbf{2}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{M})$ suggest that a 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand transfer reaction occurs that might provide a pathway for coordinatively unsaturated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene cobalt intermediates. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1}$ highlights the ability of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene sandwich anions to form $\pi$-complexes with transition metal centers (see also chapter 4). The results of this study should stimulate further investigations into similar tripledecker complexes. In particular, the analogous diiron species (which should have 28 valence electrons) is a very attractive target in order to investigate how a 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene bridge mediates the magnetic coupling between two paramagnetic metal centers.

### 5.4 Supporting Information

### 5.4.1 General Procedures

All experiments were performed under an atmosphere of dry argon using standard Schlenk techniques or a MBraun UniLab glovebox. Solvents were dried and degassed with a MBraun SPS800 solvent purification system. THF and toluene were stored over molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$ ). $n$-Hexane and $n$-pentane were stored over a potassium mirror. NMR spectra were recorded on an Avance 400 spectrometer at 300 K and internally referenced to residual solvent resonances. The UV/Vis spectrum was recorded on an Ocean Optics Flame Spectrometer. Mass spectrometry was performed by the analytical department of Regensburg University. $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{L})$ was synthesized according to the very recently reported literature procedure. ${ }^{16}\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received.

### 5.4.2 Synthesis and Characterization

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq})$ in toluene $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right](0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight whereupon the color changed from dark-red to forest-green. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $20 \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) with pre-dried Alumina N and $n$-hexane as eluent. The green band was collected and recrystallized from $n$-pentane at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of an $n$-hexane solution. Yield $29 \mathrm{mg}(7 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.13 MHz, [D $\left.\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=32.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.2\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 38.5$ (br, $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 40.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}}=55.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, terminal $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right), 117.7$ (br, bridging $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (161.98 MHz, $\left.\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=55.9(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{P}), 111.3(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{P}) ; \mathrm{UV} / \mathrm{Vis}$ (THF, $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm},\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 403 (67700), 502 (shoulder), 635 (3300).

### 5.4.3 NMR Data of 1



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum ( $400.13 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}\right]$ (1). The asterisk marks an unidentified species.


Figure S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum ( $\left.100.61 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ of $\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}\right](1)$. The asterisk marks an unidentified species.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 3 .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum ( 161.98 MHz , $\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of $\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{BBu}_{2}\right)_{3}\right](1)$.

### 5.4.4 X-ray Crystallography

The single crystal X-ray diffraction data was recorded on an Agilent Technologies SuperNova diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.54184 \AA$ ). Semi-empirical multi-scan absorption corrections ${ }^{25}$ were applied to the data. The structures were solved with SHELXT ${ }^{26}$ and leastsquare refinements on $F^{2}$ were carried out with SHELXL ${ }^{27}$.

Table S1. Crystal and structure refinement data of 1.

| Compound | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight | 718.41 |
| Temperature [K] | 123(1) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| a [ $\AA$ ] | 9.9927(3) |
| b [ $\AA$ ] | 17.6107(5) |
| c [ A ] | 10.2590(3) |
| $\alpha\left[^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {] }}\right.$ | 108.105(4) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| Volume [ $\AA^{3}$ ] | 1716.0(1) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ | 1.390 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 10.351 |
| F(000) | 756.0 |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.169 \times 0.081 \times 0.036$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54184)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 10.046 to 149.084 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-22 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 21, \\ & -8 \leq 1 \leq 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 21151 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 3481\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0485, R_{\text {sigma }}=\right. \\ & 0.0294] \end{aligned}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3841 / 0 / 181 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.122 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0339, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0879$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0366, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0898$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.55/-0.27 |

### 5.4.5 UV/Vis Spectrum



Figure S4. UV/Vis spectrum of 1 in THF.

### 5.4.6 Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed in a single-compartment cell inside a nitrogenfilled glovebox using a CH Instruments CHI600E potentiostat. The cell was equipped with a platinum disc working electrode ( 2 mm diameter) polished with $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ alumina paste, a platinum wire counter electrode and a silver/silver nitrate reference electrode. The supporting electrolyte, tetra- $n$-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate, was dried in vacuo at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. All redox potentials are reported versus the ferrocene/ferrocenium $\left(\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$couple. The scan rate is $v=100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.


Figure S5. Cyclic voltammogram of 1 recorded in THF/[nBu4N $] P F_{6}$ with a platinum disc working electrode, a platinum wire as counter electrode, and silver/silver nitrate as reference electrode. $v=100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$; potentials are referenced to the $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$couple.

### 5.4.7 Theoretical Calculations

Geometry optimization of $\mathbf{1}$ was performed with the Gaussian09 program package (Revision E.01). ${ }^{28}$ The BP86 density functional ${ }^{20}$ and the Ahlrichs def2-TZVP basis set ${ }^{22}$ were employed for all atoms. Atom-pairwise dispersion correction to the DFT energy with Becke-Johnson damping (D3BJ) was applied. ${ }^{21}$ The nature of the stationary points was verified by numerical frequency analyses. TD-DFT calculations at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP ${ }^{24,22}$ level were carried out using the xyz coordinates of the optimized structure. Tetrahydrofuran solvent effects were considered by applying the SCRF method as implemented in Gaussian. ${ }^{29}$ Molecular orbitals were visualized with the program GaussView5. ${ }^{30}$ The isosurface value is set to 0.05 for all figures.


Figure S6. Experimental (black) and calculated (red) UV/vis spectrum of 1 in THF (a.u. = arbitrary units).

Table S2. Calculated electronic transitions of 1 on the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory. The corresponding molecular orbitals are shown in Figure 3.

| $\# \#$ | $\boldsymbol{v}[\mathrm{~nm}]$ | $\boldsymbol{f}$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | Transition | Exp. $\boldsymbol{v}[\mathrm{nm}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 572 | 0.011 | 20 | HOMO-9 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+2 | 635 |
|  |  |  | 45 | HOMO-8 $\rightarrow$ LUMO |  |
|  |  |  | 29 | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 532 | 0.014 | 19 | HOMO-5 $\rightarrow$ LUMO | 635 |
|  |  |  | 62 | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 375 | 0.876 | 40 | HOMO-6 $\rightarrow$ LUMO | 403 |
|  |  |  | 39 | HOMO-4 $\rightarrow$ LUMO+1 |  |
|  |  |  | 15 | HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO |  |
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### 6.1 Introduction

Atomic layer deposition (ALD) has emerged as a very powerful technique for the growth of thin films for numerous industrial applications. ${ }^{1}$ One of the most expanding areas of application nowadays is the field of microelectronics. A major driving force is the current industrial desire to scale down microelectronic devices. ALD is a special modification of chemical vapor deposition (CVD) with benefits in depositing films in a cyclic manner. This is based on the subsequent use of self-terminating gas-solid reactions and includes four steps each for a reaction cycle (Figure $1)$ : (1) reaction of the first reactant $\mathbf{A}$ with the surface/substrate; (2) removal of the unreacted residues by purging or evacuation; (3) treatment of the first reactant $\mathbf{A}$ in order to activate the surface for the next step - or - reaction with another reactant $\mathbf{B}$; (4) purge or evacuation step.


Figure 1. Schematic illustration of one reaction cycle during the ALD process.

The ALD process was developed under the name "atomic layer epitaxy" (ALE) by Suntola et al. according to the more commonly acknowledged origin. ${ }^{2}$ They demonstrated ALD growth of ZnS , $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$, and GaP by elemental precursors. Independently, the group of Aleskovskii described the growth of $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ starting from $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{GeCl}_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, respectively. ${ }^{3}$ The development of ALD over the past decades was reviewed by several groups. ${ }^{4}$

The ALD process involves some demands on the reactant. It has to be volatile at low temperature, i.e. at ambient or elevated temperatures, but may not decompose during the deposition process. Furthermore, the reactant has to feature self-terminating growth properties. Taking into account these requirements, there is much room for tuning the properties by using different metal atoms as well as different ligand systems. The most common ligand systems are halides, ${ }^{3,5}$ alkyls, ${ }^{6}$ cyclopentadienyls, ${ }^{7}$ alkoxides, ${ }^{8} \beta$-diketonates, ${ }^{8 d, 9}$ amides, ${ }^{10}$ and amidinates. ${ }^{11}$

The metal atoms of complexes with these ligands display variable oxidation states. Consequently, redox processes occur during the deposition, which require the use of reducing or oxidizing coreactants. In order to accomplish the cleavage of the ligand and the reduction or the oxidation of the corresponding metal atom a number of co-reactants can be utilized: (i) $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$, (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, (iii) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, (iv) hydrosilanes, hydroboranes or hydroalanes, (v) amines, hydrazines, (vi) formaldehyde, glyoxylic acid, formic acid, and alcohols, (vii) Zn metal, (viii) $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{3}$, (ix) $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3} .{ }^{12}$
ALD is initiated by adsorption of the precursor on the surface. Depending on the strength of the interactions between the solid surface and the deposited molecule the adsorption can be divided into two different types: Physisorption and chemisorption. ${ }^{13}$ Physisorption, also called van-derWaals adsorption, implies only small changes in electronic configuration, which arise from weak interactions. By contrast, chemisorption involves an activation energy together with changes in the electronic state. Moreover, breaking and formation of covalent bonds leads to either exothermic or endothermic irreversible reactions. Chemisorption, however, is limited in space due to the steric hindrance of the ligands as well as a limited number of bonding sites at the surface of the reactant. Hence, chemisorption occurs in monolayers while physisorption preferably occurs in multilayers. In an ideal process, the growth of one full two-dimensional monolayer per cycle would be achieved. Indeed, several growth modes exist (Figure 2). ${ }^{\text {4h }}$ The Frank-van-der-Merwe growth describes the two-dimensional growth mode in which each layer is deposited on the top of the prior deposited film (layer-by-layer, Figure 2, left). In contrast, the Volmer-Weber growth characterizes an island growth in which the new material is preferably deposited on the grown material (Figure 2, middle). A combination of both, two-dimensional and island growth, is called Stranski-Krastanov growth. Usually, the first layer grows in a two-dimensional manner while the consecutive layers grow in an island fashion (Figure 2, right).


Figure 2. Illustration of the most common modes of thin-film growth at a solid surface: Frank-van-derMerwe (FM, layer-by-layer, left), Volmer-Weber (VW, island formation, middle), Stranski-Krastanov (SK, layer and island, right).

Especially in the microelectronics industry, transition metal-silicide films are required as contact layers in order to provide low contact resistance and good compatibility with silicon processing for device scaling. ${ }^{14}$ Several silicide materials have already been applied in deposition processes, i.e. $\mathrm{WSi}_{2},{ }^{15} \mathrm{TaSi}_{2},{ }^{16} \mathrm{MoSi}_{2}{ }^{17}$ as well as $\mathrm{TiSi}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{NiCoSi}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and $\mathrm{PtSix}_{\mathrm{x}} .{ }^{18} \mathrm{~A}$ disadvantage of these silicides is that they are difficult to grow by direct reaction with silicon. Therefore, precursor compounds with improved properties are urgently needed. In addition, cobalt silicide $\left(\mathrm{CoSi}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ is a
highly attractive contact material for microelectronic devices due to its wider silicidation window compared to $\mathrm{TiSi}_{2}$, for example, and its higher thermal and chemical stability. Furthermore, the low resistivity and the similarity of the crystal lattice (mismatch of $\sim 1.2 \%$ at room temperature) are highly interesting properties, which make $\mathrm{CoSi}_{2}$ an excellent material for the application as a contact layer in microelectronic devices. ${ }^{19}$ Challenges are the reproducibility and the conformability of the resulting films. ${ }^{4 i}$ Consequently, deposition of highly pure cobalt monolayers for the generation of $\mathrm{CoSi}_{2}$ contact layers is essential in microelectronics. Therefore, there is still need for suitable precursors affording cobalt monolayers that feature self-terminating growth properties at the same time.

In summary, precursor design plays a vital role in ALD processes. The precursor compounds should possess adequate volatility and a sufficiently large temperature window between evaporation and decomposition for deposition. It was the objective of this PhD thesis to investigate the potential utility of cobalt complexes bearing phosphanes, phosphaalkynes, 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadienes, or phospholes in their structural framework for ALD of nickel and cobalt films. The presented work was performed in collaboration with the BASF SE company.

### 6.2 Results and Discussion

In order to assess the applicability of neutral cobalt complexes with phosphorus containing ligands in their structural framework we tested different ligand systems, in particular monophosphanes, phosphaalkynes, 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadienes, and phospholes. The thermal properties of the resulting complexes were investigated by thermogravimetric analyses and vapor pressure measurements. Long-term stability tests were performed in order to get first insights into the behavior of these complexes under thermal stress. One complex was found to be a potential precursor for ALD. Thus, preliminary ALD tests were performed at TU Dresden (in cooperation with BASF SE) with this precursor featuring promising thermal properties (vide infra).

### 6.2.1 Synthesis, Characterization and Thermal Properties of Monophosphane Complexes

Transition metal phosphane complexes have previously been used as precursors for chemical vapor deposition (CVD) processes. ${ }^{20}$ In order to test the applicability of phosphane complexes in ALD processes, we utilized a series of five known cobalt and nickel phosphane complexes. They were synthesized according to literature procedures reported by Klein and Ittel (Figure 3). ${ }^{21}$ Complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were prepared by reduction of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ with Mg in presence of the corresponding olefin in good yields of $84 \%$ and $71 \%$, respectively. The anthracene complex $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained by substitution of cyclopentene of $\mathbf{2}$ by anthracene in moderate yield of $55 \%$. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was synthesized by reduction of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ with Mg and subsequent treating with an excess of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, whereas $\mathbf{5}$ was prepared by treating $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\eta^{4}-1,5-\operatorname{cod}\right)_{2}\right]$ with four equivalents of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ in $80 \%$ yield. Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (except $\mathbf{4}$ due to its paramagnetic properties) and elemental analysis.
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$\mathbf{M}=\mathrm{Co}(4), \mathrm{Ni}(5)$

Figure 3. Investigated cobalt and nickel phosphane complexes.
Compounds 1-3 are heteroleptic tris(trimethylphosphane)cobalt complexes of the type $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with a labile $\pi$-coordinated ligand L, i.e. ethylene (1), cyclopentene (2), and anthracene (3). Compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are homoleptic tetrakis(trimethylphosphane) complexes. As a rough estimation of the suitability in ALD processes, the long-term stability of $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ in the gas phase was tested by controlled heating of samples in sealed glass tubes at $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar}$ for a certain
period of time (see the Supporting Information for further details). While complexes $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ were stable up to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, complexes $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ decomposed above $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are sublimable at mild conditions ( $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar} / 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), whereas $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ are not sublimable at a laboratory scale. In sealed glass tubes, however, 1 and 2 sublimed at $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar} / 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In order to transfer the desired compound in the gas phase from the repository to the substrate within a certain period of time it has to provide a certain vapor pressure. Nevertheless, the desired vapor pressure of 1 mbar was determined to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{4}$ and $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{5}$, respectively. In addition, thermogravimetric analysis showed that $\mathbf{4}$ decomposes with a maximum decomposition rate of $11.4 \% / \mathrm{min}$ at $191^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure S6). A residual mass of $14.3 \%$ (Co content $16.2 \%$ ) at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in fact, is promising. However, the decline of the curve is continuous indicating a permanent decomposition process instead of generating metallic cobalt. In conclusion, complexes $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ are not suitable for the application in ALD processes. In particular, heteroleptic phosphane complexes 1-3 are thermally unstable at temperatures above $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and are not sublimable. Whilst the homoleptic complexes $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are thermally more stable and also sublimable at mild conditions, the thermogravimetric analysis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ (4) revealed decomposition at temperatures required for ALD.

### 6.2.2 Synthesis, Characterization and Thermal Properties of Phosphaalkyne Complexes

Dicobalt hexacarbonyl tert-butylacetylene (CCTBA) is an excellent precursor for the lowtemperature ALD of $\mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ films. ${ }^{21}$ However, thermal decomposition of CCTBA and subsequent oxidation by ozone is described as the dominant process. We synthesized the analogous phosphorus-containing compounds, i.e. dicobalt hexacarbonyl phosphaalkyne complexes according to a literature procedure reported by Nixon. ${ }^{31}$ Due to the light-sensitivity of the products, all manipulations were performed with exclusion of light. Treatment of dicobalt octacarbonyl (one equiv.) with a little excess of phosphaalkyne (1.1 equiv.) gave complexes $\mathbf{6}$ and 7 in high yields. However, compound $\mathbf{6}$ could only be isolated in low purity of about $70 \%$ ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis). Both compounds were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and IR spectroscopy.


$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{tBu}(\mathbf{6}), \mathrm{Ad}(\mathbf{7})
$$

Figure 4. Cobalt hexacarbonyl phosphaalkyne complexes.
In analogy to CCTBA, the molecular structures of $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ comprises a phosphaalkyne molecule bridging two cobalt atoms of the dicobalt hexacarbonyl unit (Figure 4). The compounds are very
difficult to handle due to their light-sensitivity and their waxy state. $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ decompose when stored at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. Furthermore, they showed poor sublimation properties. Thermogravimetric analysis of $\mathbf{6}$ showed only one continuous decrease which is indicative for decomposition rather than stepwise dissociation of ligands, i.e. carbon monoxide or phosphaalkyne. Consequently, complexes $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ cannot be applied in ALD processes.

### 6.2.3 Synthesis, Characterization and Thermal Properties of 1,3-Diphosphacyclobutadiene Complexes

Wolf, Lammertsma and co-workers have shown that homoleptic bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene)metalates $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ can be synthesized by treating bis(anthracene)metalates $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ (Figure 5) ${ }^{24}$ with four equivalents of phosphaalkyne (see section 1.2). ${ }^{25,26} \mathbf{D}$ can be oxidized to the neutral complex $\mathbf{8}$ and it reacts with electrophiles such as $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeI}$, and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ to form further neutral derivatives $9-11 .{ }^{25 a}$

A $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}$
C $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}$
B $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}$
D $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}$


Figure 5. Top: Synthesis of bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene)metalate anions; bottom: Symmetric (8) and asymmetric (9-11) diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes.

We wondered whether complexes such as $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{- 1 1}$ could be used as ALD precursors. Although the melting or decomposition points had been described, ${ }^{25,26}$ further insights into thermal properties and vapor pressure were not reported. In order to get information on the suitability of complexes $\mathbf{8 - 1 1}$, we performed long-term stability tests in sealed glass tubes as well as thermogravimetric measurements (see the Supporting Information for further details, Table S1 and Figure S7). The long-term stability test of compound $\mathbf{8}$ showed that sublimation at reduced pressure ( $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar}$ ) in a sealed glass tube at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ comes along with decomposition (see the Supporting Information for further details). Unfortunately, the substituted complexes 9-11 have similarly unfavorable
sublimation $\left(130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and decomposition $\left(140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ temperatures. The thermogravimetric analysis confirmed that complexes $\mathbf{8 - 1 1}$ are unsuitable precursors for the deposition of $\mathrm{CoSi}_{2}$ films. In particular, the TG/DTG plot of $\mathbf{8}$ (Figure S7) shows one sharp peak at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a residual mass of $27.34 \%$ (cobalt content of $\mathbf{8}: 12.83 \%$ ) at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as is similar for compound $\mathbf{9}$. The TG/DTG graph of 9 displays a maximum decrease rate of $12 \% / \mathrm{min}$ at $206^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a residual mass of $37.05 \%$ at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Moreover, introduction of sterically more demanding Me (complex 10) or $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ groups (complex 11) does not improve the thermal properties as suggested by the long-term stability tests. The TG/DTG curve of $\mathbf{1 1}$ shows a maximum rate of $6.6 \% / \mathrm{min}$ at $229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a residual mass of $22.80 \%$ at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cobalt content 11.07\%). In summary, bis(diphosphacyclobutadiene)cobalt complexes show poor stability at reduced pressure under thermal stress which cannot be improved by introducing methyl or trimethylsilyl substituents. Hence, this type of complex cannot be applied in an ALD process.

### 6.2.4 Synthesis, Characterization and Thermal Properties of Phosphole Complexes

In the past decade there was large effort in exploring effective precursor compounds for the growth of thin cobalt films. In addition to CCTBA, ${ }^{21}$ cyclopentadienylcobalt dicarbonyl was found to be a highly attractive precursor for the application in ALD processes. ${ }^{28}$ However, a disadvantage of both types of compounds is the high carbon contamination of the films during the deposition process due to the high content of carbon in their molecular framework. In order to reduce the amount of carbon in the cyclopentadienyl moiety, we substituted one CH group by a P atom (Figure 6).


Figure 6. Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{PC}_{4} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right](12)$.
Compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was synthesized in quantitative yield and high purity via a modified procedure by Mathey and co-workers by refluxing $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ in $n$-heptane with the biphosphole for four days. ${ }^{29}$ Long-term stability tests revealed high stability upon thermal stress (see the Supporting Information for further details). This is demonstrated by partial decomposition (black particles precipitated) only after storing a sample for seven days at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed ampule at $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar}$. Vapor pressure measurements revealed that this compound has a sufficient vapor pressure of 1 mbar at approximately $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 10 mbar at approximately $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively (Figure S5). Thermogravimetric analysis of $\mathbf{1 2}$ showed an exponential mass change between $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a residual mass of $11.58 \%$ at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Co content $19.00 \%$, see Figure S8). Hence, complex $\mathbf{1 2}$ was submitted for tests as potential precursor for an ALD process. The tests were performed by Shashank Shukla at TU Dresden as part of his masters' thesis. ${ }^{30}$ The precursor was
tested with several co-reactants such as diethanolamine (DEA), formic acid, $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, trimethylalane (TMA), and air at a substrate temperature of $180-210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A CVD component (precursor only dosing) was found at these temperatures (30-50\%) which increased with rising temperature ( $80 \%$ at $227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Thus, the precursor was also tested in CVD mode using a parallel dosing of precursor and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ substrate temperature. The films grown by CVD contain significant amounts of carbon and phosphorus. However, XPS measurements revealed that metallic cobalt is the main component in the 2 p region, although conductivity measurements were not fully conclusive.

### 6.3 Conclusion

In summary, we have synthesized cobalt( 0 ) complexes bearing different ligand classes, i.e. phosphanes, phosphaalkynes, 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadienes or phospholes, in their structural framework. We explored their thermal properties and investigated their potential suitability in ALD applications. We learned that neither cobalt phosphane complexes nor dicobalt phosphaalkyne complexes nor 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene cobalt complexes can be applied in ALD processes due to their low decomposition temperature (phosphane and 1,3diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes) or their very poor sublimation properties (phosphaalkyne complexes). The cobalt(dicarbonyl)(phosphole) complex 12, however, seems to be a good starting point for further investigations of this ligand class due to the wide thermal window (range between sublimation temperature and decomposition temperature) and a sufficient vapor pressure. Nevertheless, there is much effort needed to overcome the problem of high carbon contamination in the generated thin film. Moreover, tuning of the thermal properties could be achieved by changing the substituents of the phosphole ligand or even substitution of the carbonyl ligands by more labile olefin ligands such as 1,5-cyclooctadiene.

### 6.4 Supporting Information

### 6.4.1 General Procedures

All manipulations were performed under an atmosphere of dry argon using standard Schlenk line techniques or a MBraun UniLab glovebox. Solvents were dried and degassed with a MBraun SPS800 solvent purification system. Tetrahydrofuran, toluene, and diethyl ether were stored over molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$ ). $n$-Hexane was stored over a potassium mirror. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 and Avance 400 spectrometers at 300 K and internally referenced to residual solvent resonances. Elemental analyses were determined by the analytical department of Regensburg University.

### 6.4.2 Synthesis and Characterization of Phosphane Complexes 1-5

$\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right](\mathbf{1}),\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right](\mathbf{2}),\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\right](\mathbf{3}),\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ (4), and $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ (5) were prepared according to literature procedures. ${ }^{21}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}= & \text { ethene }(1), \\
& \text { cyclopentene ( } 2 \text { ), } \\
& \text { anthracene (3) }
\end{aligned}
$$



Scheme S1. Synthesis of phosphane complexes 1-5.
Characterizations of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ :
1: Yield $1.32 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$; elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{M}=315.22)$ : $\mathrm{C} 41.91, \mathrm{H} 9.91$, found: C 41.87, H 9.69.

2: Yield $2.54 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$; elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{M}=355.29)$ : $\mathrm{C} 47.33, \mathrm{H} 9.93$, found: C 46.88, H 9.72.

3: Yield $0.51 \mathrm{~g}(55 \%)$; elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{M}=465.40)$ : $\mathrm{C} 59.36, \mathrm{H} 8.01$, found: C 59.39, H 8.03.

4: Yield $0.78 \mathrm{~g}(54 \%)$; elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{M}=363.25)$ : C 39.68, H 9.99, found: C 39.87, H 9.96.

5: Yield $1.58 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K},\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.16$ (s, 36H, $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(121.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K},\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-17.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$.

### 6.4.3 Synthesis and Characterization of Phosphaalkyne Complexes 6 and 7

$\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(t \mathrm{BuCP})\right](6)$ was synthesized according to the literature procedure. ${ }^{31}$ Yield 300 mg ( $80 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}(121.49 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-66.5\left(\mathrm{br}, v_{\mathrm{FWHM}}=55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right) ;$ IR/ATR: $\tilde{v}=1480(\mathrm{vs}), 2030(\mathrm{~s})$, 2050 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.


Scheme S2. Synthesis of phosphaalkyne complexes 6 and 7.
A modified procedure was applied for the synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mathrm{AdCP})\right]$ (7):
A solution of AdCP ( $356 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in $n$-pentane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(684 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in $n$-pentane $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ via syringe. Upon stirring the reaction mixture gas evolution was observed and the color changed from yellow to dark red. After stirring overnight the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dried for several hours. Compound 7 was isolated as dark-red waxy solid. Yield 880 mg ( $95 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300.13 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.32-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ad}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(121.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-69.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P})$.

### 6.4.4 Synthesis and Characterization of 1,3-Diphosphacyclobutadiene Complexes 8-11

$\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \quad(\mathbf{8}), \quad\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right] \quad$ (9), $\quad\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right] \quad$ (10), and $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)\right]$ (11) were prepared according to literature procedures (Scheme S3). ${ }^{24}$


Scheme S3. Synthesis of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes 8-11.

Characterizations of compounds 8-11:
8: Yield $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(46 \%)$; M.p. $193-194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dark oil); ${ }^{26}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300.13 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-2.6($ very br, $t \mathrm{Bu})$.

9: Yield 0.60 g (58\%); M.p. $185-186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dark oil), ${ }^{25 \mathrm{a}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300.13 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-4.47$ (quintet, $\left.J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(121.49 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-4.7($ very br).

10: Yield $0.96 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%) ;$ M.p. $196-197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dark oil); ${ }^{25 \mathrm{a} ~}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.50\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{Me}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(121.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-50.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}}=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right), 25.9(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{P}), 42.4\left(\mathrm{br}, v_{\text {FWHM }}=35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right)$.

11: Yield $0.32 \mathrm{~g}(77 \%)$; M.p. $135-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dark oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$ $=0.28\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}) ;{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ DEPT NMR (79.49 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=12.0 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(121.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=$ -1.7 (s, 1P), 19.2 (s, 1P), 21.7 (s, 2P).

### 6.4.5 Synthesis and Characterization of Phosphole Complex 12

The ligand 2,2',5,5'-tetra-(tert-butyl)-1,1'-biphosphole was synthesized according to literature procedures and provided by the BASF SE group. ${ }^{32}$

Complex 12 was prepared by a modified procedure of Mathey et al.: $:^{29}$
A solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(3.420 \mathrm{~g}, 10.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,2',5,5'-tetra-(tert-butyl)-1,1'-biphosphole $(3.905 \mathrm{~g}, 10.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to reflux for four days. Subsequently, the
solvent was removed in vacuo. The red-orange oil was isolated in quantitative yield. The NMR spectroscopic data of $\mathbf{1 2}$ are in accordance with the values reported in literature. ${ }^{29}$

12: Yield $6.10 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300.13 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, t \mathrm{Bu}), 5.08$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PC}_{4} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(121.49 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right) \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=-9.4(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{P})$.

### 6.4.6 Long-Term Stability Tests

In order to determine the potential suitability of compounds 1-12 for the application in ALD processes we performed long-term stability tests. Glass ampoules were filled with the respective compound ( $20-30 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and sealed with an internal pressure of $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar}$. They were stored in an oven with temperature-control for at least 24 h starting from $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, the temperature was raised in steps of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This procedure was continued for each compound until obvious decomposition occurred (indicated by the formation of a metallic mirror or black particles). Preliminary sublimation and decomposition temperatures under reduced pressure were recorded by this procedure.


Figure S1. Representation of a compound sealed in a glass tube at an internal pressure of $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar}$ for long-term stability tests at different temperatures.

Table S1. Selected pictures of 1-12 in sealed ampoules demonstrating sublimation and decomposition.



### 6.4.7 Vapor Pressure Measurements

Measurements of the vapor pressure of selected complexes were performed by the BASF SE company. Selected examples (compounds 2-4, and 12) are shown in Figures S2-S5. The graphs demonstrate that the investigated phosphane complexes are not able to generate high vapor pressures. A satisfactory vapor pressure ( $>100 \mathrm{mbar}$ ) is required for maintaining enough substance in the gas phase for subsequent film growth. This could not be achieved with 2-4, but for compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ (Figure S5).


Figure S2. Graphical illustration of the vapor pressure of $\mathbf{2}$.


Figure S3. Graphical illustration of the vapor pressure of 3.


Figure S4. Graphical illustration of the vapor pressure of 4.


Figure S5. Graphical illustration of the vapor pressure of 12.

### 6.4.8 Thermogravimetric Analysis

TG/DTG analyses were performed on a Netzsch TG 209F1 Libra unit. Samples of 20-30 mg were heated to $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a constant heating rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.

Table S 2 summarizes characteristic thermal properties of $\mathbf{1 - 1 2}$, sorted by their corresponding ligand system (phosphanes: 1-5; phosphaalkynes: 6, 7; 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene: 8-11; phosphole: 12). Neither phosphaalkynes nor 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadienes are interesting ligand systems for the generation of cobalt thin films due to their poor thermogravimetric results, i.e. there is more residual mass left at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than the cobalt content in these compounds. Hence, it is reasonable to assume that there is a high carbon and phosphorus content in the residue. By contrast, homoleptic phosphane complexes $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ as well as the phosphole complex $\mathbf{1 2}$ show a lower residual mass than the calculated metal content of these compounds. Nevertheless, only $\mathbf{1 2}$ was utilized for tests as potential precursor for an ALD process because phosphane complexes were previously used in CVD methods.

Table S2. Comparison of characteristic thermal parameters of compounds 1-12. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | M (g/mol) | Mp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $T_{\text {exo }}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Subl $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Amount of <br> metal (\%) | Residual <br> mass (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 315.22 | $100^{\mathrm{b}}$ | - | 60 | 18.70 | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 355.29 | $126^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 171 | 60 | 16.59 | 21.38 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 465.40 | $114^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 160 | - | 12.66 | 19.54 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 363.25 | $180^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 191 | 80 | 16.22 | 14.31 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 363.01 | 198 | 176 | 60 | 16.17 | 10.79 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 386.03 | $100^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 171 | - | 30.53 | 38.86 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 464.14 | $100^{\mathrm{c}}$ | - | - | 25.39 | - |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 459.34 | $193^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 200 | $>90^{\mathrm{d} / 130}$ | 12.83 | 27.34 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 460.34 | $185^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 211 | $>90^{\mathrm{d} / 130}$ | 12.80 | 37 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 474.37 | $196^{\mathrm{b}}$ | - | $100^{\mathrm{d} / 130}$ | 12.42 | 38 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 532.53 | $193^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 229 | $>80^{\mathrm{d} / 130}$ | 11.07 | 22.80 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 310.22 | $180^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 184 | 130 | 19.00 | 11.58 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Left to right: molecular mass; melting point (derived from literature); onset temperature of decomposition (derived from TG/DTG); sublimation temperature (at $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar}$ ); amount of the metal in the respective complex; residual mass at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (derived from TG/DTG); ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Decomposition temperature; ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Derived from long-term stability test in sealed glass tube; dat $10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar}$.




Figure S6. TG/DTG plots of compounds 2-4.


Figure S7. TG/DTG plots of compounds 8, 9, and 11.


Figure S8. TG/DTG plot of compound 12.
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## 7 SUMMARY

Chapter 1. The Chemistry of Phosphaalkyne and Diphosphacyclobutadiene Complexes

This introductory chapter briefly reviews the chemistry of phosphaalkynes and complexes derived therefrom. The aim of this chapter is to point out the importance of the development of phosphaalkynes for organometallic chemistry and to highlight their ability to form several phosphorus-containing ligands in the coordination sphere of transition metals. Besides the structural motifs of these ligands, this chapter covers previously reported oligonuclear compounds bearing diphosphacyclobutadienes in their structural framework. Finally, more recent results reported by our group are described.

Chapter 2. Functionalization of 1,3-Diphosphacyclobutadiene Cobalt Complexes via Si-P Bond Insertion ${ }^{1}$

The main goal of this thesis was to further explore the reactivity of the previously described, anionic, homoleptic $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich compound and to synthesize and characterize new oligonuclear diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes in order to understand its coordination behavior. The results are summarized in Schemes 1-6.

Complexes 2-3a-2-12 were synthesized according to Scheme 1 by reacting the new trimethylsilated complex 2-2a with ethers, epoxides, esters, aldehydes, ketones, and phenyl isocyanate to afford functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes. Besides, complex 2-2a was obtained by treating the THF-free salt $\left[\mathrm{K}(\operatorname{tol})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$. In an initial study we found that reacting $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ gives 2-3a in quantitative yield according to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy. Reaction of 2-2a with acyclic ethers resulted in alkylation of the diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand through elimination of $\mathrm{EtOSiMe}_{3}$ (complex type 2-8). Cleavage of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond of styrene oxide was observed in the reaction with 2-2a giving complex 2-5 as the single regioisomer. Insertions have also been observed using lactones, aldehydes, and ketones as substrates (complexes 2-9-2-11). Nevertheless, the insertion of epoxides, aldehydes, and ketones is limited as it resulted in unselective reactions and the formation of certain amounts of the known hydride complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{2 - A})$. Interestingly, the reaction of $\mathbf{2 - 2} \mathbf{a}$ with phenyl isocyanate afforded the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ inserted complex 2-12 instead of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ inserted species. An interaction between the isocyanate oxygen atom and the cobalt atom was also observed ( $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{O} 2.037(1) \AA$, which leads to a severely tilted $\eta^{3}$-coordinated 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene-2-yl ligand showing a dihedral angle between the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ planes of $38.3^{\circ}$.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes 2-2a-2-12.

Chapter 3. 1,3-Diphosphacyclobutene Cobalt Complexes ${ }^{2}$

In a similar study, we were interested in the reactivity of the above-mentioned hydride complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{2 - A})$. We found that treatment of 2-A with lithium organyls RLi gives novel functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene complexes 3-1-3-3 (Scheme 2). Remarkably, depending on the substituent R we observed primarily the endo-H isomer (3-1, $\mathrm{R}=n \mathrm{Bu} ; \mathbf{3 - 2}$, $\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu})$ or the exo-H isomer $(\mathbf{3 - 3}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$. In the latter one the formation of $\mathbf{3}$-exo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{3}$ proceeds via 3-endo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{3}$ as demonstrated by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR monitoring at different temperatures. In comparison, only trace amounts of the corresponding $\mathbf{3}$-exo- $\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{1}$ compound could be observed whereas 3-exo-H-2 was not formed even at elevated temperature, although DFT calculations (in the gas phase) have shown that the exo-H isomers are thermodynamically favored in all three cases.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of novel functionalized 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene complexes 3-1-3-3.
We further studied the reactivity of these anionic species, as exemplified by the reaction of 3-1 with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ (Scheme 3). The most intriguing aspect of compound 3-5 is the endo-position of the $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ group pointing in the direction of the cobalt atom. This may result from template effects of the lithium cation in 3-1, although the actual reason is presently unclear. By contrast, the silyl substituent of 2-2a points away from the central metal atom. Another feature of complex 3-5 is that both $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligands are functionalized by different substituents. Following this procedure with other nucleophiles and electrophiles is expected to yield diverse bis-functionalized complexes of type 3-5 which could be the focus of future investigations.


Scheme 3. Functionalization of 1,3-diphosphacyclobutene complex 3-1 with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$.

Chapter 4. Flexidentate Coordination Behavior and Chemical Non-Innocence of a Bis(1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene) Sandwich Anion ${ }^{3}$

In extension to our reactivity studies demonstrated on $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right](\mathbf{2 - 2 a})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right](\mathbf{2 - A})$ we were keen to investigate the coordination behavior of our homoleptic $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$sandwich anion (4-A) toward transition metal cations, i.e. $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$, and $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$. We found that the anionic sandwich complex 4-A can be utilized as a flexidentate ligand displaying a variety of coordination modes. The results are summarized in Scheme 4.
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Scheme 4. Synthesis of di-, tri-, tetra-, and pentanuclear 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene complexes 4-2-4-12.

Transmetalation of 4-A with $\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{3}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ at low temperature gave the dinuclear complex 4-2 showing the well-known $\sigma$-coordination mode. This reaction is followed by insertion of the $\mathrm{CpNi}^{+}$ fragment into one of the 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands to afford complex 4-3. Interestingly, an insertion process of a transition metal fragment into an intact $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligand had never previously been observed. Only the reaction with the main group electrophile $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}{ }^{+}$had resulted in insertion into a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ bond of a $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligand moiety. Prolonged stirring of a THF solution at ambient temperature or heating to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for nine hours yielded the isomerization product 4-4. Compounds 4-3 and 4-4 show remarkably downfield shifted signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra due to the coordination to nickel ( $\delta=+492 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{4 - 3}, \delta=+459 \mathrm{ppm}$ for 4-4).

Heating a THF solution of 4-A and 0.75 equivalents of $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}]_{4}$ to reflux for four hours yielded the tetranuclear complex $\mathbf{4 - 5}$ which displays the $\operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ fragment in an eclipsed conformation. When the same reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 30 hours decomposition obviously occurred giving the dinuclear diruthenium complex 4-6 as the main product. An
intriguing aspect of this species is the presence of both a bridging $\mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ and a bridging alkyne which, to the best of our knowledge, has never been observed before. The $\mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ fragment gives rise to a broad signal in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum at $\delta=+128 \mathrm{ppm}$.

A highly interesting result was obtained when 4-A was reacted with 0.5 equivalents of $[\mathrm{RhCl}(\operatorname{cod})]_{2}$. The complex 4-7 formed in this reaction shows a rare example of a 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand acting as a $\pi$-ligand to two metal atoms simultaneously by adopting a bridging position. When the related adamantyl substituted compound $\mathbf{4 - 8}$ was reacted with two equivalents of $\mathrm{AdC} \equiv \mathrm{P}$ at low temperature we observed characteristic ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ signals which indicate the formation of the first tripledecker complex bearing only 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands. Only the solid-state molecular structure remains unknown as the crystals obtained from $n$-pentane had too bad quality to be investigated by XRD studies. Nevertheless, the presumed dinuclear cobalt-rhodium complex 4-9 demonstrates that tripledecker complexes featuring exclusively $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ligands might be accessible through stepwise synthesis starting from treatment of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\operatorname{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ with a suitable $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ precursor and subsequent addition of phosphaalkyne.

Transmetalation of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (4-A) with cis $-\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (3:2 stoichiometry) gave complex 4-10 as the main product. This pentanuclear compound features a $\mathrm{Pd}_{3}$ chain which is stabilized by two $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ moieties. One cobaltate fragment coordinates as a chelating ligand similar to complex 4-5. However, the most remarkable feature of the molecular structure of 4-10 is the presence of a 1,4-diphospha-2-butene ligand which presumably forms upon isomerization of one 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene unit to the 1,2-isomer (as also observed for the rearrangement of 4-3 to 4-4), followed by an oxidative addition of the resulting $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bond on palladium.

Partly motivated by the presumed tripledecker complex 4-9 another goal of this thesis was to explore whether tetradecker complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{M}\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right](\mathrm{M}=$ transition metal $)$ are accessible. Hence, we reacted 4-A with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ (2:1 stoichiometry). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, however, revealed two isomeric complexes 4-11 and 4-12. The molecular structures of both compounds show the sandwich anion $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$acting as chelating ligand to mercury. The molecular structure of 4-11 also exhibits an isomerization of one 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand of each $\operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}$ fragment to its 1,2-derivative.

## Chapter 5. A Homoleptic 1,3-Diphosphacyclobutadiene Tripledecker Complex

During our investigations of the coordination behavior of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$we found that the trimethylsilated complex 2-2a is a good precursor for the one-pot synthesis of the tripledecker complex 5-1 (Scheme 5). This complex is the first homodinuclear tripledecker which exhibits


Scheme 5. Synthesis of tripledecker complex 5-1.
only 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligands in its structural framework. The known $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right](\mathbf{5 - M})$ was identified as the main product by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy giving a doublet at $\delta=+39 \mathrm{ppm}$. This protocol suggests a possible route to the analogous diirontripledecker complex which is expected to be an interesting compound due to its paramagnetic properties. This should allow for the exploration of whether the bridging 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene ligand enables the presumed (anti)ferromagnetic coupling between the iron centers or if it behaves as coupling barrier.

## Chapter 6. Phosphaorganometallic Cobalt Complexes as Potential Precursors for the Generation of Thin Inorganic Films ${ }^{4}$

In chapter 6 we describe the potential application of neutral cobalt complexes with phosphorus containing ligands such as monophosphanes, phosphaalkynes, 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadienes, and phospholes as precursors in atomic layer deposition (ALD) processes (Scheme 6).

Compounds 28-39 have been characterized by different methods, i.e. NMR (6-6-6-12) and IR spectroscopy (6-6, 6-7, 6-12), and elemental analysis (6-1-6-5). In order to determine if they are suitable precursors for the application in ALD processes, compounds 6-1-6-12 have been thoroughly analyzed by their sublimation properties and their long-term stability upon thermal stress, and by vapor pressure and thermogravimetric measurements.

We found that neither cobalt phosphane complexes nor dicobalt phosphaalkyne complexes nor 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadiene cobalt complexes can be applied in ALD processes due to their low decomposition temperature or their very poor sublimation properties. However, the cobalt phosphole complex 6-12 seems to be a good starting point for further studies as it revealed a wide thermal window (range between sublimation temperature and decomposition temperature) and a sufficiently high vapor pressure. Nevertheless, generated thin films showed high carbon contamination which should be avoided in order to obtain cobalt monolayers. Future investigations could focus on improving the thermal properties by changing the substituents or substitution of the carbonyl ligands by more labile ligands like olefins.
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Scheme 6. Neutral cobalt complexes 6-1-6-12 featuring monophosphanes, phosphaalkynes, 1,3-diphosphacyclobutadienes or phospholes as potential precursor for ALD applications.
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