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We present a detailed study of the electronic and spin-orbit properties of single and bilayer graphene in proximity to the topological insulator Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Our approach is based on first-principles calculations, combined with symmetry derived model Hamiltonians that capture the low-energy band properties. We consider single and bilayer graphene on 1–3 quintuple layers of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and extract orbital and proximity induced spin-orbit coupling (SOC) parameters. We find that graphene gets significantly hole doped (350 meV), but the linear dispersion is preserved. The proximity induced SOC parameters are about 1 meV in magnitude, and are of valley-Zeeman type. The induced SOC depends weakly on the number of quintuple layers of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. We also study the effect of a transverse electric field that is applied across heterostructures of single and bilayer graphene above 1 quintuple layer of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Our results show that band offsets, as well as proximity induced SOC parameters, can be tuned by the field. Most interesting is the case of bilayer graphene, in which the band gap, originating from the intrinsic dipole of the heterostructure, can be closed and reopened again, with inverted band character. The switching of the strong proximity SOC from the conduction to the valence band realizes a spin-orbit valve. Additionally, we find a giant increase of the proximity induced SOC of about 200% when we decrease the interlayer distance between graphene and Bi$_2$Se$_3$ by only 10%. Finally, for a different substrate material Bi$_2$Te$_2$Se, band offsets are significantly different, with the graphene Dirac point located at the Fermi level, while the induced SOC strength stays similar in magnitude compared to the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ substrate.
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I. INTRODUCTION

van der Waals (vdW) heterostructures [1–3] and emerging proximity effects [4] are an ideal platform to induce tailored properties in two-dimensional (2D) materials. Prominent 2D material examples are semimetallic single layer graphene (SLG) [5], semiconducting transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) [6], and insulating hexagonal boron-nitride (hBN) [7]. Recently, also superconductors (NbSe$_2$) [8], and ferro- and antiferromagnets (CrI$_3$, Cr$_2$Ge$_2$Te$_6$, MnPSe$_3$) [9–14] have been added to the list of 2D materials. Within this ever-expanding field of vdW structures, there already are subfields, such as valleytronics [15–18], straintronics [19–21], twistronics [22,23], and spintronics [24–26], wherein several major achievements have been made, for example optical spin injection [27] in SLG or tunable valley polarization in a TMDC [28], which are only possible due to vdW heterostructures and proximity effects.

Another important large class of materials are the three-dimensional (3D) topological insulators such as [29] Bi$_2$Se$_3$, Bi$_2$Te$_3$, and Sb$_2$Te$_3$, which are also layered crystals, consisting of quintuple layers (QLs) of alternating chalcogen (Se, Te) and pnictogen (Bi, Sb) atoms, which are held together by vdW forces. However, the characteristic Dirac states with spin-momentum locking [30] emerge only when the top and bottom surfaces of the topological insulator decouple, occurring at already 5–6 QLs, as demonstrated by angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy [31] and first-principles calculations [32–34]. Since each QL is about 1 nm in thickness, these materials are in between the 2D and 3D regime, depending on how many QLs one investigates. Nevertheless, they are important for practical applications [35], due to their topologically protected [36,37] and well conducting surface states [38], and for proximity induced phenomena [39–42], since strong SOC is present. When the topological insulators act as a substrate, the 2D regime (1–2 QLs) is sufficient, as proximity effects are of short range nature.

Recently, the interface engineering of 2D materials has become an important topic [43,44]. Experimentalists and theorists are searching for material combinations with novel properties. Graphene, due to its extremely high electron mobility [45] and intrinsically small SOC [46], is perfectly suited for spintronics. In addition, this monolayer carbon sheet can be efficiently manipulated by short range proximity effects.

One can induce strong SOC, as well as magnetism in SLG [39–41,47–49]. Similar to a TMDC [47,48], a topological insulator strongly enhances the rather weak intrinsic SOC of SLG from 10 μeV [46], to about 1–2 meV [39,41]. Phase coherent transport measurements of SLG on Bi$_{1.5}$Sb$_{0.5}$Te$_{1.7}$Se$_{1.3}$ have shown Dyakonov-Perel type spin relaxation with proximity induced SOC of at least 2.5 meV [41]. First-principles calculations of SLG on Bi$_2$Se$_3$ have found either pure intrinsic or valley-Zeeman type SOC in the meV range, depending on the twist angle [39]. As a consequence of the large induced SOC in SLG, the spin lifetimes of electrons significantly decrease [39,50], from nanoseconds down to the picosecond range. Giant spin lifetime anisotropies, the ratio of out-of-plane to in-plane spin lifetimes, can be achieved [39,50].
Bilayer graphene (BLG) is even more interesting, since only the layer closest to the proximitizing material gets modified, allowing for highly efficient tuning of the proximity properties by gating and doping. Recent studies have shown short range proximity induced exchange or SOC in BLG on Cr$_2$Ge$_2$Te$_6$ or WSe$_2$ [51–53]. Due to the unique and tunable low energy band structure of BLG, all-electrical control of spin relaxation and polarization can be achieved in such heterostructures. The proposed spin-orbit and exchange valve effects [51,52] in proximitized BLG can lead to new opportunities for spintronics devices. So far, there are only few experimental studies [54–57] of BLG on Bi$_2$Se$_3$. However, there is no detailed experimental and theoretical study of the electronic and spin-orbit properties of BLG interfaced with topological insulators.

The open questions we would like to address are as follows. In the case of SLG on Bi$_2$Se$_3$, when valley-Zeeman SOC is predicted to be present [39] for 1 QL, how does the presence of more QLs influence this interesting result? (The result is interesting, since in the topological substrate the spin-orbit fields are in-plane, while the induced valley-Zeeman fields in graphene are out-of-plane.) Another important question is, what is the influence of the topological insulator on BLG? What are the band offsets, doping levels, and orbital and spin-orbit proximity effects? How does the interlayer distance between SLG and Bi$_2$Se$_3$ affect the magnitude of proximity SOC? Also, can an electric field tune SOC in SLG and BLG in proximity to the topological insulator? Strong hole doping of the SLG on Bi$_2$Se$_3$ is predicted [39]. Can one find a different topological insulator with a better band alignment, such that the graphene Dirac point is near $E_F$?

In this article we investigate these questions using first-principles calculations of SLG and BLG on the topological insulator Bi$_2$Se$_3$. We study the proximity induced SOC in SLG and BLG, originating from the topological insulator, by varying the number of QLs of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ from 1–3. Symmetry-derived low energy tight-binding model Hamiltonians for SLG and BLG are fitted to the first-principles band structures, to extract orbital and spin-orbit parameters of the proximitized materials. Our results show that the dispersion of SLG (BLG) is preserved, but strong hole doping appears, as the Dirac point is about 350 meV (200 meV) above the Fermi level. The proximity induced SOC is about 1 meV in magnitude, but with opposite sign for A and B sublattice, the so called valley-Zeeman type. We find that the intrinsic SOC parameters increase by about 10% for every QL of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ that we add, up to 3QLs. As proximity effects are short ranged, we expect this increase to saturate.

Furthermore, we study the effect of a transverse electric field on the low energy band parameters for 1 QL of Bi$_2$Se$_3$, proximitizing SLG and BLG. The electric field can tune the SOC parameters, which can have significant impact for tuning spin lifetimes in SLG and BLG. In addition, the surface states of the topological insulator, as well as the Dirac points of SLG and BLG, can be tuned with respect to the Fermi level, by the field. Most interesting is the BLG case, in which only the low energy conduction band is strongly spin-orbit split for zero field, as a consequence of short range proximity effects, and atom and layer localized energy states. The tuning of the orbital gap of BLG, by gradually increasing the field, leads to a gap closing and subsequent reopening, now with a strongly spin-orbit split valence band. Consequently, a spin-orbit valve effect can be realized, similar to BLG on a TMDC [52]. An interlayer distance study, between SLG and the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ substrate, shows a giant increase of the proximity induced SOC of about 200%, when we decrease the interlayer distance by only 10%. Furthermore, an atomically modified substrate Bi$_2$Te$_2$Se, leads to a significantly different band alignment and enhanced proximity SOC in SLG. The Dirac point of SLG, as well as the surface states of the topological insulator, are now located at the Fermi level. Especially this system holds promise, for the simultaneous study of two very different spin-orbit fields: in-plane spin-momentum locking from the topological insulator and out-of-plane proximity induced spin-orbit field from SLG.

II. GEOMETRY AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

For the calculation of SLG and BLG on the topological insulator Bi$_2$Se$_3$, we consider 5 × 5 supercells of SLG and BLG (in Bernal stacking) on top of 3 × 3 supercells of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Initial atomic structures are set up with the atomic simulation environment (ASE) [58]. We marginally stretch the lattice constant of graphene [5] to $a = 2.486$ Å and leave the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ lattice constants [59] unchanged with $a = 4.143$ Å and $c = 28.636$ Å, using the atomic parameters ($u$, $v$) = (0.4008, 0.2117). We consider only geometries without relaxation, using interlayer distances of $3.5$ Å between the lowest graphene layer and the topmost QL of Bi$_2$Se$_3$, in agreement with recent studies [39], and an interlayer distance of $3.3$ Å for the BLG, in agreement with experiment [60]. In Fig. 1 we show the geometry of SLG or BLG on top of 1–3 QLs of Bi$_2$Se$_3$, visualized with VESTA [61]. Compared to Ref. [39], we only study what they call the “large unit cell,” where no twist angle between the materials is present.

The electronic structure calculations are performed by density functional theory (DFT) [62] with Quantum ESPRESSO [63]. Self-consistent calculations are performed with the k-point sampling of $9 \times 9 \times 1$. Only for the largest heterostructures, when 3QLs of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ are considered, a smaller k-point sampling of $6 \times 6 \times 1$ is used, due to computational limitations. We use an energy cutoff for charge density of 500 Ry, and the kinetic energy cutoff for wave functions is 60 Ry for the relativistic pseudopotentials with the projector augmented wave method [64] with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof exchange correlation functional [65]. We also add vdW corrections [66,67], and Dipole corrections [68] are included to get correct band offsets and internal electric fields. In order to simulate quasi-2D systems, we add a vacuum of at least 24 Å to avoid interactions between periodic images in our slab geometry.

In contrast to the GW method, the generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) used here is certainly not the most accurate available choice to describe 3D topological insulators [69–71]. Consequently, the charge transfer between SLG (BLG) and the topological insulator, as well as doping and proximity SOC, can be different in more sophisticated calculations. Still, the GGA does show the important band structure features and it allows us to make predictions for proximity effects in SLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ heterostructures.
valley-Zeeman SOC has also been observed in SLG intrinsic or valley-Zeeman type SOC can be realized [39]. The
Depending on the exact geometry (twist angle), either pure
we consider. Different colors correspond to different atomic species.

The band structure of proximitized SLG can be modeled by symmetry-derived Hamiltonians [47–49,72–75]. For our
heterostructures, the effective low energy Hamiltonian is

\[ H_{\text{SLG}} = H_0 + H_\Delta + H_I + H_R + H_{\text{PIA}} + E_D, \]  

\[ H_0 = \hat{H}_{\text{TPA}}(\tau \sigma_z \otimes s_z) \otimes s_0, \]  

\[ H_\Delta = \Delta \sigma_z \otimes s_0, \]  

\[ H_I = \tau (\lambda_1^A \sigma_+ + \lambda_1^B \sigma_-) \otimes s_z, \]  

\[ H_R = -\lambda_R (\tau \sigma_z \otimes s_+ + \sigma_z \otimes s_+), \]  

\[ H_{\text{PIA}} = a (\lambda_{\text{PIA}}^A \sigma_+ - \lambda_{\text{PIA}}^B \sigma_-) \otimes (k_x s_y - k_y s_x). \]  

Here \( v_F \) is the Fermi velocity and the in-plane wave vector
components \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) are measured from \( \pm K \), corresponding
to the valley index \( \tau = \pm 1 \). The Pauli spin matrices are \( \sigma_z \),
acting on spin space (\( \uparrow, \downarrow \)), and \( \sigma_z \) are pseudospin matrices,
acting on sublattice space (\( C_A, C_B \)), with \( i = \{0, x, y, z\} \). For
shorter notation, we introduce \( \sigma_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_z \pm \sigma_0) \). The lattice
constant of pristine graphene is \( a \) and the staggered potential

\[ \begin{align*}
H_{\text{BLG}} &= H_\text{orb} + H_\text{soc} + E_D, \\
H_\text{orb} &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta + V & \gamma_0 f(k) & \gamma_1 f^*(k) \\
\gamma_0 f^*(k) & 0 & \gamma_1 f^*(k) \\
\gamma_1 f(k) & \gamma_1 f^*(k) & 0
\end{array} \right) \otimes s_0, \\
H_\text{soc} &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\tau \lambda_1^A s_z & i(\lambda_0 + 2\lambda_R)s_+^T & 0 \\
-i(\lambda_0 + 2\lambda_R)s_-^T & -\tau \lambda_1^B s_z & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tau \lambda_2^B s_z
\end{array} \right).
\end{align*} \]
We use the linearized version for the nearest-neighbor structural function \( f(k) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*}(k_x - ik_y) \), with the graphene lattice constant \( a \) and the Cartesian components of the wave vector \( k_x \) and \( k_y \), measured from \( \pm K \) for the valley index \( \tau = \pm 1 \). Parameters \( \gamma \) describe intra- and interlayer hoppings of the BLG, when the lower (upper) graphene layer is placed in potential \( V \) \((-V)\). The parameter \( \Delta \) describes the asymmetry in the energy shift of the bonding and antibonding states. The parameters \( \lambda \) describe the intrinsic SOC of the corresponding layer and sublattice. The combination of parameters \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) describe the global and local breaking of space inversion symmetry. For a more detailed description of the parameters, we refer the reader to Ref. [76]. The basis is \( |\Psi_{A1}, \uparrow\rangle, |\Psi_{A1}, \downarrow\rangle, |\Psi_{B1}, \uparrow\rangle, |\Psi_{B2}, \downarrow\rangle, |\Psi_{A2}, \downarrow\rangle, |\Psi_{A2}, \uparrow\rangle, |\Psi_{B2}, \uparrow\rangle, \) and \( |\Psi_{B2}, \downarrow\rangle \). Similar to the SLG case, doping can occur, and again we denote the energy shift by the Dirac point energy \( E_D \).

IV. BAND STRUCTURE, FIT RESULTS, AND SPIN-ORBIT FIELDS

Here we analyze the dependence of the proximity SOC in SLG and BLG on the number of QLs. We show the full calculated band structures, as well as a zoom to the low energy bands originating from SLG or BLG, being proximitized by the topological insulator, and fit the individual model Hamiltonians. The orbital and spin-orbit fit parameters are summarized in a tabular form.

A. Graphene

In Fig. 2 we show the full band structures of SLG above one, two, and three QLs of Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\). At the K point, one can recognize the Dirac bands originating from bare SLG [46], while at the \( \Gamma \) point, the surface states of the topological insulator form. By gradually increasing the number of QLs, the Dirac bands of Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) start to form. We do not show a zoom to the surface bands of Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\), as we are mainly interested in proximity induced SOC in SLG and BLG. However, one would see a pair of linear bands at the \( \Gamma \) point, each originating from one surface of the topological insulator, which still hybridize for 3 QLs only, exhibiting a gap. At first glance the bands of SLG seem to be not affected by adding more QLs. We find that SLG gets hole doped, as the Dirac point is shifted roughly 350 meV above the Fermi level. Only for 3 QLs, the Dirac point is at about 500 meV, which happens to appear due to the thicker topological insulator, consistent with recent calculations [39].
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**FIG. 2.** Calculated band structures of SLG on (a) one, (b) two, and (c) three QLs of Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\). The color is the \( s_z \) expectation value. In (a) we define the Dirac point energy \( E_D \) and the doping energy of the topological insulator \( E_{TI} \).
TABLE I. Fit parameters of Hamiltonian $H_{\text{SLG}}$ for the SLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stacks for different number of QLs. The Fermi velocity $v_F$, gap parameter $\Delta$, Rashba SOC parameter $\lambda_R$, intrinsic SOC parameters $\lambda^\Delta_1$ and $\lambda^\Delta_2$, and PIA SOC parameters $\lambda^\Delta_{\text{PIA}}$ and $\lambda^\Delta_{\text{PIA}}$. The Dirac point energy $E_D$, as defined in Fig. 2(a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QLs</th>
<th>$v_F/10^5$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$\Delta$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda_R$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda^\Delta_1$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda^\Delta_2$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda^\Delta_{\text{PIA}}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda^\Delta_{\text{PIA}}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$E_D$ (meV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.134</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.771</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>-1.135</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>353.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.131</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-0.691</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>-1.211</td>
<td>1.834</td>
<td>1.733</td>
<td>352.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.126</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.827</td>
<td>1.343</td>
<td>-1.330</td>
<td>2.904</td>
<td>2.976</td>
<td>509.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>8.110</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>-0.799</td>
<td>1.638</td>
<td>-1.517</td>
<td>2.708</td>
<td>2.433</td>
<td>341.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AWith additional hBN above SLG, parameter $\Delta$ in meV.

In Fig. 3 we show the low energy band properties for SLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ for 1 QL, with a fit to the model Hamiltonian. We find that the model dispersion, energy splittings, and spin expectation values agree very well with the first-principles data. In Table I we summarize the fit parameters of Hamiltonian $H_{\text{SLG}}$ for the SLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stacks for a different number of QLs. We find that the fit parameters are almost independent on the number of QLs, indicating that only the closest QL is mainly responsible for proximity SOC. However, the intrinsic SOC parameters gradually increase from about 1.1 meV for 1 QL, to 1.3 meV for 3 QLs. Such an increasing (and saturating) behavior of the induced SOC, with respect to the number of QLs, has already been reported for other interface configurations, yielding much larger SOC parameters [77]. Also the PIA SOC parameters increase with the number of QLs, while the Rashba SOC stays roughly the same. Similar to SLG/TMDC heterostructures [47,48], we find staggered intrinsic SOC, i.e., $\lambda_1^\Delta \approx -\lambda_2^\Delta$. In analogy to the SLG/WSe$_2$ heterostructure [48,78], we find an inverted band structure, as the gap $\Delta$, being in the $\mu$eV range, is much smaller than the spin splittings of the bands. As a consequence, SLG proximitized by the topological insulator could host protected pseudohelical states [78]. All our results are in agreement with a recent study of SLG on 1 QL of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ [39]. In particular, the SOC parameters are of the same magnitude (1 meV) and are also of valley-Zeeman type, for their “large unit cell” calculation. In addition, we have calculated a heterostructure consisting of hBN/SLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ for 1 QL, which is especially interesting for comparison to experimental studies where graphene is protected by the insulating hBN and proximitized by the topological insulator. The fit parameters are summarized in Table I. In agreement with Ref. [73], the orbital gap parameter $\Delta$ of the proximitized SLG is about 20 meV, much larger than for the case without hBN. Also the intrinsic SOC is a bit larger, while the rest of the fit parameters are barely different.

To further analyze the low energy bands, we have calculated the spin-orbit fields, see Fig. 4, of the four low energy bands $\epsilon^{\text{VB}}_{1/2}$, corresponding to Fig. 3(e). The spin-orbit fields of the two outer (inner) bands rotate clockwise (counterclockwise), being a clear signature of Rashba SOC. The spin-orbit fields are isotropic around the K point and show no signs of trigonal warping. Only the two inner bands change their $s_z$ expectation value, due to the inverted band structure. The induced spin-orbit fields point out-of-plane, while the topological insulator surface states have in-plane spin-orbit fields.

As a comparative case, we also show the calculated spin-orbit fields for graphene above 3 QLs, see Fig. 5, where the PIA SOC parameters are significantly larger, see Table I, than in the 1 QL case. However, comparing the 1 QL and 3 QL cases, we do not notice any difference in the spin-orbit fields, as the magnitude (meV) of PIA SOC is still comparable in the two cases, and the other SOC parameters are almost unchanged. Only a much larger PIA SOC can significantly change the low energy band properties [72].

B. Bilayer graphene

In Fig. 6 we show the full band structures of BLG above one, two, and three QLs of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. At the $K$ point, one can recognize the parabolic bands originating from bare BLG [76], while at the $\Gamma$ point, the surface states of the topological insulator form, just as for the SLG case. By gradually increasing the number of QLs, the Dirac bands of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ start to form. The BLG bands exhibit a sizable band gap. Additionally, the BLG gets hole doped by about 200 meV, for
FIG. 5. First-principles calculated spin-orbit fields around the K point of the bands (a) \( \varepsilon_{\text{CB}1} \), (b) \( \varepsilon_{\text{CB}2} \), (c) \( \varepsilon_{\text{VB}2} \), and (d) \( \varepsilon_{\text{VB}1} \), for the SLG/Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) stack with 3 QLs. The dashed white lines represent the edge of the Brillouin zone.

one and two QLs, whereas the Fermi level is in the band gap of BLG for 3 QLs. Experiments have reported about 380 meV of hole doping in BLG on Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) [54], which may be attributed to the additional SiO\(_2\) substrate.

In Fig. 7 we show the low energy band properties for BLG/Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) for 1 QL, with a fit to the model Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{BLG}} \) (solid lines). (a)–(d) The spin expectation values of the four low energy bands. (e) The low energy band structure of proximitized BLG. The color is the \( s_z \) expectation value. (f) The splitting of the valence (conduction) band in blue (red).

formed by the nondimer carbon atoms of BLG, a band gap opens. In this case, the low energy conduction band is formed by the graphene layer closer to the Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\). The reason is that the conduction band is strongly spin split (2 meV) around the K point, due to proximity induced SOC. Since proximity induced phenomena are short range effects, only the closest graphene layer is affected. The band structure of BLG on Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) is very similar to the one of BLG on WSe\(_2\) [52], where a spin-orbit valve effect has been proposed.

FIG. 6. Calculated band structures of BLG on (a) one, (b) two, and (c) three QLs of Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\). The color is the \( s_z \) expectation value. In (a) we define the Dirac point energy \( E_D \) and the doping energy of the topological insulator \( E_{\text{TI}} \).

FIG. 7. Calculated low energy band properties (symbols) for BLG/Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) for 1 QL, with a fit to the model Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{BLG}} \) (solid lines). (a)–(d) The spin expectation values of the four low energy bands. (e) The low energy band structure of proximitized BLG. The color is the \( s_z \) expectation value. (f) The splitting of the valence (conduction) band in blue (red).
TABLE II. Fit parameters of Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BLG}}$ for the BLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stacks for different number of QLs. Intra- and interlayer hoppings $\gamma$ of the BLG, when the lower (upper) GRP layer is placed in potential $V$ ($-V$). The asymmetry in the energy shift of the bonding and antibonding states $\Delta$. Intrinsic SOC parameters of the corresponding layer and sublattice $\lambda_I$. Global and local space inversion symmetry breaking SOC parameters $\lambda_R$ and $\lambda_D$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QLs</th>
<th>$\gamma_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\gamma_1$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\gamma_3$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\gamma_4$ (meV)</th>
<th>$V$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\Delta$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{I1}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{I1}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{I1}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{I}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{R}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{D}$ (meV)</th>
<th>$E_D$ (meV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.513</td>
<td>373.5</td>
<td>-274.9</td>
<td>-165.3</td>
<td>41.60</td>
<td>-13.79</td>
<td>-1.056</td>
<td>1.170</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.433</td>
<td>-0.273</td>
<td>183.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.512</td>
<td>373.5</td>
<td>-275.5</td>
<td>-165.6</td>
<td>43.12</td>
<td>-13.79</td>
<td>-1.117</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>-0.199</td>
<td>181.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.513</td>
<td>373.9</td>
<td>-264.9</td>
<td>-163.5</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td>-13.54</td>
<td>-1.030</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
<td>-0.188</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table II we summarize the fit parameters of Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BLG}}$ for the BLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stacks for a different number of QLs. We find that the fit parameters are almost independent on the number of QLs, indicating that only the closest QL is mainly responsible for proximity SOC, similar to what we have found from the SLG case. For the fit we assume for simplicity the pristine graphene intrinsic SOC parameters [46] for the top layer ($\lambda_{I1} = \lambda_{I2} = 12 \mu$eV). In agreement with the monolayer case, we find staggered intrinsic SOC, i.e., $\lambda_{I1} \approx -\lambda_{I2}$. Consequently, also proximitized BLG can exhibit topologically protected phases, as recently shown [79]. Surprisingly, the gap parameter $V$ diminishes by about 50%, for 3 QLs and the Fermi level is now located within the band gap of the BLG.

By looking at the calculated spin-orbit fields, see Fig. 8, we find that the two proximity spin-orbit split conduction bands are strongly $s_z$ polarized. In contrast, the two valence bands exhibit a typical Rashba type spin-orbit field. In the case of SLG on TMDCs, the induced strong staggered SOC (opposite sign on the two sublattices) seems to be a consequence of the $s_z$ polarized spin-valley locked states from the TMDC, getting imprinted on the SLG (or BLG). In our investigated case, the surface states of the topological insulator have spin-momentum locking, however with in-plane ($s_x$ and $s_y$) spin components. Still, the induced spin-orbit fields are out-of-plane, similar to the SLG/TMDC case.

So far there is no complete microscopic understanding why in these two cases (SLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and SLG/TMDC) the induced SOC is of valley-Zeeman type. However, in the case of SLG/TMDC structures, there are already first approaches discussing how the induced SOC depends on the twist angle and the position of the SLG Dirac point with respect to the TMDC band edges [22].

V. TRANSVERSE ELECTRIC FIELD

We have seen that the parameters and proximity SOC are marginally affected by adding more QLs. We now study the effect of a transverse electric field only for 1 QL of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Can we tune proximity SOC by the electric field? Is a spin-orbit valence effect present in BLG?

A. Graphene

In Fig. 9 we show the fit parameters of Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SLG}}$ for the SLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stack as a function of a transverse electric field. We find that the dipole of the structure grows linearly with applied electric field. The Fermi velocity $v_F$ is only slightly affected by the field, but shows a linear dependence. The gap parameter $\Delta$, reflecting the sublattice symmetry breaking, stays tiny in magnitude without any noticeable trend. The intrinsic as well as Rashba SOC parameters grow in magnitude, when changing the field from negative to positive amplitude. While the intrinsic SOC parameters, $\lambda_{I1}$ and $\lambda_{I2}$, can be changed from about 1 to 1.2 meV, the Rashba SOC parameter $\lambda_R$ changes from about 0.6 to 0.9 meV in magnitude, when tuning the electric field from $-2.5$ to 2.5 V/\text{nm}.

A roughly linear trend can also be observed in the PIA SOC parameters, which can be tuned from 0 up to 0.8 meV. The Dirac point energy $E_D$ stays at the same position, with respect to the Fermi level, as the field changes. The doping energy of the topological insulator $E_TI$ decreases, when a negative electric field is applied. Such a field tunability of the SOC parameters, especially the Rashba one, can lead to a giant control of spin relaxation times and anisotropies in SLG [39,73].

FIG. 8. First-principles calculated spin-orbit fields around the K point of the bands (a) $e_{1B}$, (b) $e_{2B}$, (c) $e_{2B}$, and (d) $e_{1B}$, for the BLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stack with 1 QL, corresponding to the four low energy bands in Fig. 7(e). The dashed white lines represent the edge of the Brillouin zone.
FIG. 9. Fit parameters of Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SLG}}$ for the SLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stack with 1 QL as a function of a transverse electric field. (a) The gap parameter $\Delta$, (b) the Fermi velocity $v_F$, (c) the dipole of the structure, (d) Rashba SOC parameter $\lambda_R$, intrinsic SOC parameters $\lambda_1^I$ and $\lambda_2^I$, (e) PIA SOC parameters $\lambda_1^{PIA}$ and $\lambda_2^{PIA}$, and (f) the Dirac point energy $E_D$ and the doping energy of the topological insulator $E_{TI}$, as defined in Fig. 2(a).

B. Bilayer graphene

Most interesting is the case of BLG under the influence of an electric field. As already mentioned, the band structure is very similar to the one of BLG on WSe$_2$ [52], where a spin-orbit valve effect has been proposed. In Fig. 10 we show the fit parameters of Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BLG}}$ for the BLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stack as a function of a transverse electric field. We find that the dipole of the structure grows linearly with applied electric field, similar to the SLG case. Most of the orbital and spin-orbit parameters stay more or less constant as a transverse electric field is applied. However, the field can for example tune the doping energy of the topological insulator $E_{TI}$, and also slightly tune the interlayer hopping amplitude $\gamma_3$.

Surprisingly, the parameter $\lambda_0$ drastically decreases in magnitude for positive fields. This means that the electric field, felt by one graphene layer due to the presence of the other, in this proximity effect setup, diminishes with applied external transverse field. Important for the previously mentioned spin-orbit valley effect is the closing of the orbital gap, and the subsequent reopening with inverted band structure. Indeed, such a situation can be realized, for a transverse electric field around 2.1 V/nm, as the two graphene layers are at the same potential, when the parameter $V$ goes through zero. For an electric field of 2 V/nm, the band structure is shown in Fig. 11(a). The orbital gap of the BLG bands is about 10 meV, and the conduction band is strongly spin-orbit split. For a field of 2.3 V/nm, the band structure is inverted, see Fig. 11(b), and the valence band is now strongly spin-orbit split. The spin-orbit split bands are always localized on the graphene layer closest to the substrate. In Fig. 11(f) we can see that the band splittings near the $K$ point for conduction and valence band differ by orders of magnitude. Important for the spin-orbit valve effect is that spin relaxation depends quadratically on the magnitude of the band splittings. Consequently, the spin relaxation for electrons (holes) is large (small) for an electric field of about 2 V/nm, and vice versa for a field of about 2.3 V/nm.

FIG. 10. Fit parameters of Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BLG}}$ for the BLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ stack with 1 QL as a function of a transverse electric field. (a) The nearest neighbor hopping parameter $\gamma_1$, the asymmetry in the energy shift of the bonding and antibonding states $\Delta$, and the SLG layer potential $V$. (b) The intra- and interlayer hoppings $\gamma_2$, $\gamma_3$, and $\gamma_4$. (c) The dipole of the structure. (d) The two proximity modified intrinsic SOC parameters $\lambda_1^{AI}$ and $\lambda_1^{BI}$. (e) The global and local space inversion symmetry breaking SOC parameters $\lambda_0$ and $\lambda_R$. (f) The Dirac point energy $E_D$ and the doping energy of the topological insulator $E_{TI}$, as defined in Fig. 6(a).

FIG. 11. Calculated low energy bands for BLG/Bi$_2$Se$_3$ for 1 QL, with applied transverse electric field of (a) 2 V/nm and (b) 2.3 V/nm.
VI. ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

Our DFT calculations, together with the extracted fit parameters, can give an insight on the magnitude of the induced SOC in SLG, and are helpful to analyze and interpret experimental data in SLG/topological insulator heterostructures, as recently proven [39,41]. However, there are still some open questions that we would like to address in the following. Is the chosen interlayer distance of 3.5 Å reasonable for the studied heterostructures? How does proximity SOC depend on it? How does the proximity SOC depend on the composition of the topological insulator? Can we tune the Dirac states of SLG down to the Fermi level, by using a different topological insulator?

A. Distance study

It has been shown that proximity SOC and exchange effects can be significantly enhanced when decreasing the interlayer distance between materials [73,80–82]. Here we look into the proximity effects, when we modify the interlayer distance between SLG and Bi₂Se₃. Similar results can be expected for the BLG case.

In Fig. 12 we show the fit parameters of Hamiltonian $H_{\text{SLG}}$ for the SLG/Bi₂Se₃ stack with 1 QL as a function of the interlayer distance. (a) The gap parameter $\Delta$, (b) the Fermi velocity $v_F$, (c) the total energy with respect to the minimal energy $E_0$, (d) Rashba SOC parameter $\lambda_R$, intrinsic SOC parameters $\lambda_A^I$ and $\lambda_B^I$, (e) PIA SOC parameters $\lambda_A^{\pi A}$ and $\lambda_B^{\pi A}$, and (f) the Dirac point energy $E_D$ and the doping energy of the topological insulator $E_{TI}$, as defined in Fig. 2(a).

Surprisingly, the PIA SOC parameters first increase with increasing the interlayer distance from 3.2 to 3.4 Å. A possible explanation might be the position of the SLG Dirac point with respect to the bands of the topological insulator. Looking at the band structure Fig. 2(a), we see that there are bands of the topological insulator anticrossing with the SLG Dirac bands, at around 0.6 eV. With increasing the distance, the Dirac point of SLG stays roughly at the same energy, while the bands of the topological insulator shift down in energy; compare the energies $E_D$ and $E_{TI}$ in Fig. 12(f). Consequently, the anticrossing bands move closer towards the SLG Dirac point and we need a larger PIA parameter to capture the low energy bands. For interlayer distances, larger than 3.4 Å, the

![FIG. 13. Calculated band structures of SLG on (a) one, (b) two, and (c) three QLs of Bi₂Te₂Se. The color corresponds to the $s_z$ expectation value. In (a) we define the Dirac point energy $E_D$ and the doping energy of the topological insulator $E_{TI}$.](image-url)
two energies $E_D$ and $E_I$ barely change anymore and the PIA SOC parameters decrease in magnitude as expected.

### B. Bi$_2$Te$_2$Se substrate

Experimentally, various atomic compositions are used to create the artificial topological insulator crystals Bi$_{2-x}$SbxTe$_{1-y}$Se$_y$, with some portions $x$ and $y$. The reason is that the unintentional intrinsic defect doping of the topological insulator can be compensated such that the Dirac surface states, with in-plane spin-momentum locking, are located near the Fermi level, and bulk transport can be suppressed [83,84]. Recent transport measurements have shown giant proximity SOC in SLG on Bi$_1$Sb$_{0.5}$Te$_{1.7}$Se$_{1.3}$, of at least 2.5 meV [41]. Here we show and discuss the first-principles calculated results for SLG on Bi$_2$Te$_2$Se, where we replace the outermost Se atoms of each QL by Te atoms in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 13 we show the full band structures of SLG above one, two, and three QLs of Bi$_2$Te$_2$Se. We find that the SLG Dirac point is now located near the Fermi level. Indeed, tuning the constituents of the topological insulator by $x$ and $y$, different band alignments can be formed. This case is also interesting for BLG, as the spin-orbit valve active bands could be shifted down to the Fermi level, by using a different topological insulator. In contrast to the case of Bi$_2$Se$_3$, the surface states of Bi$_2$Te$_2$Se are not yet gapless for 3 QLs, but they are located much closer to the system Fermi level, compare Figs. 13 and 2.

In Table III we summarize the fit parameters of Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{SLG}$ for the SLG/Bi$_2$Te$_2$Se stacks for different number of QLs. Again we find that the fit parameters are almost independent on the number of QLs, indicating that only the closest QL is mainly responsible for proximity SOC. The proximity induced intrinsic SOC parameters are about 20% larger than for the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ substrate, and increase by about 10% for each QL that we add. The origin of the strong induced SOC in SLG is due to the nearest Se or Te atoms of the topological insulator. Because Te atoms have stronger atomic SOC than Se atoms, also the proximity induced SOC is enhanced.

### VII. SUMMARY

In summary, we have shown that SLG and BLG on the topological insulator Bi$_2$Se$_3$ experience significant hole doping (350 meV for SLG, 200 meV for BLG) and strong proximity induced SOC of about 1–2 meV, giant compared to the small intrinsic SOC of the pristine SLG and BLG. Most surprising is that the induced SOC is also of valley-Zeeman type, similar to TMDC substrates. The induced spin-orbit fields point mainly out-of-plane, even though the topological insulator hosts surface states with in-plane spin-momentum locking.

As we increase the number of QLS of Bi$_2$Se$_3$, below the proximitized SLG, the induced SOC can be increased by about 10%, each time a QL is added up to 3 QLS. We expect the SOC to saturate as proximity induced phenomena are usually short range effects. In addition we show that an externally applied transverse electric field can tune band offsets and SOC parameters in SLG. This tunability of SOC by electric fields can have significant impact on the spin relaxation properties. For the BLG, a spin-orbit valve effect can be realized, similar to the recently studied case of a TMDC substrate. In particular, we find that without applied electric field, the BLG states exhibit a band gap and a strongly spin-orbit split conduction band. For a moderate and experimentally accessible field of about 2.3 V/nm, the band structure can be inverted, now with strongly spin-orbit split valence band, offering the possibility to fully electrically control the magnitude of spin relaxation of electrons and holes in BLG.

Furthermore, in the case of SLG on 1 QL of Bi$_2$Se$_3$, we have shown that a small decrease of the interlayer distance by only 10%, can strongly enhance proximity SOC by about 200%, allowing us to tailor the magnitude of SOC by external pressure. Finally, we have extracted the orbital and SOC parameters for SLG on a different topological insulator Bi$_2$Te$_2$Se where the SLG is essentially undoped and still experiences strong valley-Zeeman SOC, even larger than in Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Experimentally, the tunability of the SLG doping level can be controlled by varying the constituents, $x$ and $y$, of the topological insulator Bi$_{2-x}$Sb$_x$Te$_{1-y}$Se$_y$. Tuning both Dirac states, from the SLG and the topological insulator, to the Fermi level allows us to study the interplay of two very distinct spin-orbit fields at the same time.
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