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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Phosphorus: Element of life and science

Phosphorus is an essential element for life. In the nature, it is found in phosphate rocks, which are used after modification as fertilizers to increase the harvest and are also part of bones and teeth. In the living organisms, phosphorus is also found in the DNA building the genetic code of every being on this earth. The RNA, which also bears phosphorus, is also very important to code, decode, regulate and express genes. Moreover, phosphorus is found in ATP (adenosine triphosphate) which is one of the most important energy providers used for many processes in living cells. Phosphorus has different modifications. White phosphorus is the most reactive modification of phosphorus and is self-igniting in air. However, white phosphorus has a bad repute in the general public, because it was used in firebombs during several wars, like the Second World War. Some of these bombs were duds and parts of it are still found nowadays in cities, other grounds and at the beach. This is extremely dangerous, because of the above-mentioned self-ignition in air. Some of the wet white phosphorus is found by tourists at the beaches. They believe it to be amber and put it into their pockets. After drying, the white phosphorus gets contact with air and set the clothes of the finder on fire. Although, the general public is wary towards phosphorus, this element gets considerable attention from the scientific community. ${ }^{[1]}$

White phosphorus was discovered by the German alchemist Henning Brand by accident on his quest to find the philosopher's stone. ${ }^{[2]}$ He concentrated urine to dryness and handled the residue under exclusion of air. Phosphorus means "light-bearer" in Greek and is caused by the chemiluminescence that occurs when phosphorus reacts with air. White phosphorus is not the only modification of phosphorus. Red and black modifications are also known. The thermodynamic stability of these modification increases from white to red to black phosphorus at room temperature (vide infra). ${ }^{[3]}$ All mentioned modifications vary in their molecular structure (Scheme 1).
white phosphorus:


Hittorf's phosphorus:

black phosphorus:


Scheme 1. Selected modifications of phosphorus.
White phosphorus consists of tetrahedral $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ molecules. ${ }^{[4]}$ This is the most reactive allotrope and has a melting point of $44.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a boiling point of $280.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The rate of transformation into other modifications is slow under normal conditions. Temperatures over $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, or irradiation are needed to obtain red phosphorus. This modification is divided in five different types. While violet and fibrous are crystalline, the other types are amorphous phases. ${ }^{[5]}$ Fibrous phosphorus forms fine fibers when exposed to mechanical stress. The X-ray diffractions of fibrous phosphorus revealed infinite tubes where $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ and $P_{9}$ moieties are linked by $P_{2}$ units in the solid state. ${ }^{[6]}$ A pairwise parallel connection of two tubes result into double-tubes. Violet phosphorus was discovered by the German physicist Johann Wilhelm Hittorf in $1865 .{ }^{[7]}$ The molecular structure of violet phosphorus was revealed more than 100 years later. ${ }^{[8]}$ The Hittorf's phosphorus forms similar cages like the fibrous phosphorus, but two tubes are linked in a rectangular fashion.

Black phosphorus is the densest modification and thermodynamically stable. It is favored under high pressure and has been synthesized by heating white phosphorus to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 12000 bar. In the solid state, black phosphorus consists of condensed $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ rings in chair conformation. ${ }^{[9]}$

### 1.2 Activation of white phosphorus and $P_{n}$ ligand complexes

Substituent free polyphosphorus ligands, so called $P_{n}$ ligands are known since 1971 when A. P. Ginsberg and W. E. Lindsell reported about the complex $\left[\mathrm{ClRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[10]}$ (Figure 1). The $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ unit in this complex coordinates side-on to a rhodium fragment. The second $P_{n}$ ligand complex reported is $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mu, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right]$ (Figure 1) in which the two cobalt atoms and the two phosphorus atoms build a tetrahedron. ${ }^{[11]}$



Figure 1. The two first published $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ligand complexes. Left: [ $\mathrm{ClRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)$ ] discovered by Ginsberg and Lindsell in 1971. Right: [ $\left.\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mu, \eta^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right]$ discovered by Markó et al. in 1973.

Since then, many other $P_{n}$ ligand complexes and even $E_{n}$ ligand complexes of heavier homologs of group 15 elements $(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Bi})$ were synthezised. ${ }^{[12]}$ Photolysis or thermolysis of white phosphorus with suitable transition metal complexes are the most important synthetic routes. The new structural motifs of the polyphosphorus ligand in these complexes can be explained by several bond cleavages and bond formations starting from the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ tetrahedron. The formal successive degradation is shown in Scheme 2.


Scheme 2. Successive degradation of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ tetrahedron via P-P bond cleavage. The resulting charges and lone pairs of electrons are not considered.

The structural motif $\mathbf{A}$ is quite rare and hence, only very few complexes containing an intact $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ tetrahedron coordinated in an $\eta^{1}$ fashion to a metal fragment are known, such as $\left[\left(n p_{3}\right) N i\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{np}_{3}=\right.$ $\mathrm{N}\left\{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right\}_{3}$ ) published by Sacconi et al. ${ }^{[13]}$ By breaking of one phosphorus-phosphorus bonds, the structural motif $\mathbf{B}$ - the so called butterfly motif - can be obtained. This motif is found in mononuclear compounds such as $\left[\mathrm{Cp} *(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[14]}$ and binuclear compounds like $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{1}: \eta^{1}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right] .{ }^{[15]}$ A further P-P bond cleavage in this butterfly gives two possible products. The bond break between the two bridgehead P atoms result in a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ unit (C) which can be degraded to two $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ units $(\mathbf{H})$ by two additional bond cleavages. The other resulting structural motif is a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ ligand with an exocylic P atom ( $\mathbf{D}$ ) which can be degraded to a cyclo $-\mathrm{P}_{3}$ ligand $(\mathbf{F})$ and a single $P_{1}$ unit. Reactions between different structural motifs (A-I) are imaginable and an aggregation to $P_{n}$ ligands with $n>4$ is possible. Only some selected $P_{n}$ ligand complexes will be presented in this work. However, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ligand complexes with up to 24 phosphorus atoms have already been published. ${ }^{[16]}$
$\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ligands are quite versatile. They can coordinate in a bridging fashion to two, three and four metal fragments like in $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right],{ }^{[17]}\left[\left(\mathrm{CpMo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]^{[18]}$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} \mathrm{Cl}\right)\right],{ }^{[19]}$ respectively, or in a terminal fashion like in $\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{P})(\mathrm{NRAr})_{3}\right]^{[20]}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ (Figure 2).
terminal $P_{1}$ ligands:
$(\mathrm{ArRN})_{3} \mathrm{Mo} \overline{=} \mathrm{P}$

## bridging $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ligands:


$[\mathrm{Mn}]=\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$

$[\mathrm{Mo}]=\left[\mathrm{CpMo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]$

$[\mathrm{Fe}]=\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$

## $P_{2}$ ligands:




Figure 2. Selected examples for $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ligand complexes.
$P_{2}$ ligands usually coordinate to two or four metal fragments, for example in the tetrahedral complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right] .{ }^{[21]}$ Another example is the butterfly complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{2}\right],{ }^{[22]}$ where the two P atoms represent the bridgehead atoms and the Ni atoms the wing tip atoms. The coordination of the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ unit to four metal fragments is quite rare and appears for example in $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right]$, ${ }^{[23]}$ where every P atom is coordinated to two metal fragments. All mentioned $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ligand complexes are summarized in Figure 2. Most of the time, the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ ligand occurs as a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ unit. It can coordinate to
one or two metal fragments like in $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ni}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{[24]}$ or in $\left[(\mathrm{Ni}(\text { triphos }))_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{3: 3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{[25]}($ triphos $=1,1,1-$ tris(diphenylphosphino-methyl)ethan), respectively (Figure 3).

The "naked" cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ligand is much more rare than the cyclo $-\mathrm{P}_{3}$ ligand. Naked means, that the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ligand coordinates in an end-on fashion to one metal fragment and no P atom is coordinating to another metal fragment. [Cp'’ $\left.\mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ published by Scherer is one example of those end-on coordinated cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ligands (Figure 3). ${ }^{[26]}$
$P_{3}$ ligands:

$\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$

$P_{4}$ ligands:


Figure 3. Selected $P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ ligand complexes.

The $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ ligands often appear as cyclic ligands. Consequently, the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ligand is isoelectronic to the cyclopentadienyl ion $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}\right)$and the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ ligand is isoeletronic to benzene. The cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ligand can coordinate as an end-on ligand to one metal fragment in $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]^{[27]}$ or coordinate to two metal fragments in a triple decker complex like in $\left[(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr})_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{5: 5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]^{[28]}$ (Figure 4). The "hexaphosphabenzene" ligand can be found also in a triple decker complex like $\left[(\mathrm{CpMo})_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{6: 6}-\mathrm{P}_{6}\right)\right]^{[29]}$ (Figure 4).
 $P_{6}$ ligands:


Figure 4. Selected $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ ligand complexes.

### 1.3 Pentaphosphaferrocene

## Coordination chemistry

Pentaphosphaferrocene's big advantage over ferrocene is its potential to coordinate with five accesible lone pairs of the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ unit to Lewis acids. A broad range of different coordination compounds is to be expected. Actually, reactivity studies of $\mathbf{1}$ towards the 16 valent electron transition metal fragments like $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mathrm{thf})\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{CpMn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{thf})\right]$ were executed. ${ }^{[30]}$ The chromium fragment is able to coordinate to two of the five phosphorus atoms and the manganese fragment, due to its less steric demand, to four P atoms. The planar structure of the $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring remains intact in both cases. Ever since the coordination chemistry of 1 evolved considerably. The usage of the weakly coordinating anion [ $\left.\mathrm{Al}\left\{\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}\right\}_{4}\right]^{-}$made it possible to synthesize a variety of soluble coordination polymers. Example are the corresponding $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ salt ${ }^{[31]}$ or the group 13 element compounds $\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{Al}\left\{\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}\right\}_{4}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Tl}$, In, $\mathrm{Ga})^{[32]}$ (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3. Reaction of 1 with $\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{Al}\left\{\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}\right\}_{4}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Tl}$, $\mathrm{In}, \mathrm{Ga})$ and the resulting coordination polymers.

The stoichiometry of such coordination reactions with $\mathbf{1}$ is essential. Different structures of polymer chains can be obtained, when $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ halides are used as reaction partner of $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 4). ${ }^{[33]}$


Scheme 4. Different coordination modes and polymers obtained by reacting $\mathbf{1}$ with different stoichiometries of CuI.

## Redox chemistry

The first complex with an end-on coordinated $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring was published by Scherer in 1987 and is the iron complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]^{[27]}$ (1). Because it is isoelectronic and isostructural to ferrocene, it was named pentaphosphaferrocene. Ferrocene is often taken as internal standard in cyclovoltammetric (CV) studies, because it shows a reversible oxidation. The redox properties of pentaphosphaferrocene were studied comprehensively by CV from Winter and Geiger. ${ }^{[34]}$ They observed an irreversible oxidation as well as an irreversible reduction. Furthermore, they predicted a subsequent dimerization of these oxidation and reduction products. Later, it was possible to isolate these species. The dication $\left[(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe})_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{4: 4}-\mathrm{P}_{10}\right)\right]^{2+}$ and the dianion $\left[(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe})_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{4: 4}-\mathrm{P}_{10}\right)\right]^{2-}(\mathbf{2})$ as well as the non-predicted dianion $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]^{2-}(\mathbf{3})\right.$ were isolated and fully characterized. ${ }^{[35]}$ While the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ unit in the starting material $\mathbf{1}$ is planar, it adopts an envelope-like conformation in the resulting ionic complexes and coordinates in a $\eta^{4}$ fashion to the iron atom. The envelope-like moieties in the binuclear complexes are connected by a P-P single bond and form a $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ ligand (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5. Reduction of $\mathbf{1}$ with potassium and potassium hydride - synthesis of the mononuclear (3) and the binuclear dianion (2).

In prior studies, the in situ reduction of 1 with $\mathrm{K} /$ naphthalene and the subsequent reaction with $\left[\left(\mathrm{Dipp}_{2} \mathrm{pyr}\right) \operatorname{SmI}(\mathrm{thf})_{3}\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{Dipp}_{2} \mathrm{pyr}=2,5-\operatorname{bis}\{N\right.$-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)iminomethyl $\}$ pyrrolyl) is reported. ${ }^{[36]}$ The resulting $3 \mathrm{~d} / 4$ f element triple-decker complex consists of an envelope-like $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ unit which is bonded via four phosphorus atoms to iron and via three phosphorus atoms to samarium (Scheme 6). The $P_{5}$ ring displays similarities to the uncoordinated reduced species $\mathbf{3}$. The same $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ framework in $\mathbf{2}$ is obtained, when samarocene is added to $\mathbf{1}$, as well as the coordination of two samarocene fragments. ${ }^{[37]}$


Scheme 6. Samarium containing complexes as reducing agents towards 1.

## Reactivity towards anionic main group element nucleophiles

Pentaphosphaferrocene was investigated by means of density functional theory calculations (DFT) which revealed, that the LUMO and LUMO+1 orbitals are mostly localized on the P atoms of the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ligand. ${ }^{[38]}$ Hence, the attack of a nucleophile would be expected to occur at the phosphorus atoms. The reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ towards nucleophiles was studied comprehensively ${ }^{[39]}$ and different main group element centered nucleophiles were investigated. Reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with and $\mathrm{LiCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{LiNMe}_{2}$ result in an immediate color change from green to dark brown. The resulting complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{R}\right)\right]^{-}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$ contain a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ligand in an envelope conformation and a newly formed P-C or P-N bond, respectively (Scheme 5). $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ can react as nucleophile, but is also a strong base. When $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ is reacted with $\mathbf{1}$, a subsequent deprotonation of the resulting complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]\right.$ with $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$takes places. Therefore, it was neither possible to isolate the monoionic compound nor the dianionic complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right\}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]^{2-}$ but the trianionic complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right\}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{3-}$ (4) (Scheme 5). Reaction of $\mathrm{LiPH}_{2}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ results in the monoionic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{PH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}(5)$ and the dianionic complex $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right\}_{2} \mathrm{PH}\right]^{2-}$ (Scheme 7). $\mathrm{PH}_{2}{ }^{-}$can act as a base like $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$. The reason why $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right\}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{3-}$ is not obtained were explained by DFT calculations. All reactions of the iron complexes with $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$are exothermic, which allows the isolation of $\mathbf{4}$. The relative energy profile of the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{PH}_{2}{ }^{-}$differs remarkably from the energy profile of the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$. The formation of the main product $\mathbf{5}$ is exothermic, while all subsequent reactions are endothermic.


Scheme 7. Selected reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with different main group element nucleophiles: i) $\mathrm{LiNH}_{2}$, ii) $\mathrm{LiCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{LiNMe}_{2}$, iii) $\mathrm{LiPH}_{2}$.

An electrophilic quenching of the anionic complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$is possible with $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{MX}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}), \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}), \mathrm{Ni}$ $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}))($ Scheme 8$) .{ }^{[40]}$


Scheme 8. Electrophilic quenching of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{R}\right)\right]^{-}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)$ with cyclopentadienyl metal halide fragments.
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## 2. Research Objectives

The redox chemistry and reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ towards main group nucleophiles and subsequent electrophilic quenching was studied in our group. Many different nucleophiles and electrophilic metal fragments were used but no neutral nucleophiles have been reacted with $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$. Accordingly, the first research objectives of this work are:
$\because$ Investigation of the reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ towards neutral nucleophiles
$\because$ Investigation of the reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ towards anionic nucleophiles and of the quenching reactions of the obtained anionic complexes with organic electrophiles

The manifold reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ towards reduction agents and main group nucleophiles was investigated in our group. This is another example for the interesting and versatile functionalization of polyphosphorus ligands. The cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ligand ban be rearranged, fragmentated and aggregated. Although, there are numerous of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ligand complexes known, only a few have been studied with respect to their behavior towards main group nucleophiles. Therefore, the objectives of the second part of this thesis can be summarized as follows:
$\because$ Investigation of the reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right]$ towards nucleophiles
$\therefore$ Investigation of the reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ towards nucleophiles

## 3. NHCs as Neutral Donors towards Polyphosphorus Complexes

Felix Riedlberger, Stefano Todisco, Piero Mastrorilli, Alexey Y. Timoshkin, Michael Seidl and Manfred Scheer
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### 3.1 Introduction


#### Abstract

The first adducts of NHCs (= N-heterocyclic carbenes) with aromatic polyphosphorus complexes are reported. The reactions of $\left[C p^{*} F e\left(\eta^{5}-P_{5}\right)\right]$ (1) (Cp* $=$ pentamethyl-cyclopentadienyl) with IMe (= 1,3,4,5-tetramethylimidazolin-2-ylidene), IMes (= 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene) and IDipp (= 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene) led to the corresponding neutral adducts which can be isolated in the solid state. However, in solution, they quickly undergo a dissociative equilibrium between the adduct and 1 including the corresponding NHC. The equilibrium is influenced by the bulkiness of the NHC . $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-P_{4}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime}=1,3\right.$-di-tertbutylcyclopentadienyl) reacts with IMe under $P$ atom abstraction to give an unprecedented cyclo-P $P_{3}$-containing anionic tantalum complex. DFT calculations shed light onto the energetics of the reaction pathways.


Pentaphosphaferrocene $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}=\right.$ pentamethyl-cyclopentadienyl) was first synthesized by Scherer et al. ${ }^{[1]}$ and its reactivity was intensively investigated. It was shown that $\mathbf{1}$ can coordinate to transition-metal carbonyl species as the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ unit acts as a nucleophile. As a result, triple-decker complexes and other organometallic compounds containing distorted $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ units were obtained. ${ }^{[2]}$ In the reaction with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ halides, 1D and 2D polymers result, ${ }^{[3]}$ as well as fullerene-like superballs. ${ }^{[4]}$ Furthermore, Winter and Geiger studied the redox properties of $\mathbf{1}$ by cyclovoltammetry and predicted a dimerization of the resulting monoionic species. ${ }^{[5]}$ Later, it was possible to isolate and fully characterize these species, i.e. the dication $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{4 \cdot 4}-\mathrm{P} 10\right)\right]^{2+}$ and the dianion $\left[(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe})_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{4 \cdot 4}-\mathrm{P}_{10}\right)\right]^{2-}$ as well as the monomeric dianion $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]^{2-} .{ }^{[6]}\right.$ Compared to the starting material $\mathbf{1}$, the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ unit in these ionic complexes loses its planarity and adopts an envelope-like structure. This structural motif is also observed when $\mathbf{1}$ reacts with charged main group element nucleophiles that bind to one phosphorus atom. ${ }^{[7]}$ For this type of reactions, only anionic nucleophiles have been used so far, which give strong adducts since ionic products are formed. However, the reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ towards neutral nucleophiles was not yet investigated and, in case of success, neutral and thus moderately stable products are expected to form. One such neutral nucleophile could be the NHCs (= N-heterocyclic carbenes). After the discovery of the first stable NHCs, ${ }^{[8]}$ many others were synthesized and characterized. ${ }^{[9]}$ In fact, NHCs are strong $\sigma$ donors, ${ }^{[10]}$ which is why they are used as ligands for transition metals, ${ }^{[11]}$ in homogenous catalysis ${ }^{[12]}$ and for stabilizing small molecules. ${ }^{[13]}$ Our first use of NHCs in their reactions towards polyphosphorus complexes containing cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ ligands as end- and as middle deck, respectively, showed that P atom elimination reactions occur leading to ring contractions in which the NHCs act as strong nucleophiles and not as simple donor molecules. ${ }^{[14]}$ Therefore, the question arises whether a ring contraction would occur also towards the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring in 1 resulting in an anionic cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ligand complex of Fe for which a precedent was recently reported $\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[[15]}\right)$, or whether unprecedented metastable neutral adducts would result, representing a novel class of compounds, which, for the first time, might reveal no static structures in solution. This question is of general interest, since

NHCs are known to react in deprotonation and dehydrocoupling reactions, respectively, E-H bond activation or ring opening towards main-group element compounds, depending on both the nature of the NHC and the feature (as for instance acidity) of the corresponding compound. ${ }^{[16]}$ Reports on the reactivity of NHCs towards homoatomic aromates in general are unknown, because they act as nucleophiles. In this respect the behavior of NHCs towards non-carbon containing aromates bound in the coordination sphere of transition metals is of general interest, paving the way for our understanding of bonding and interactions. Herein, we report on the reactivity of NHCs towards the polyphosphorus ligand complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ (1) and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right] \quad$ (2) $(\mathrm{Cp} "=1,3$-di-tertbutylcyclopentadienyl) in which the first neutral adducts of $\mathbf{1}$ could be isolated.

### 3.2 Results and Discussion

Depending on the bulkiness and the nucleophilicity of the NHC, adducts of different stability were isolated whose dynamic behavior in solution was elucidated by VT NMR and EXSY experiments. Moreover, in comparison, the behavior of a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ complex of tantalum towards NHC was investigated, showing a reaction pattern in which a novel contracted ring product was formed.


An equimolar mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ with each of these three different NHCs: IMe ( $=1,3,4,5-$ tetramethylimidazolin-2-ylidene), IMes (= 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene) and IDipp (= 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene) in toluene was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. After removing the volatiles, each reaction residue was dissolved in thf and layered with $n$-hexane. Dark green crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{IMe}\right)\right]$ (3), $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{IMes}\right)\right]$ (4) and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right.\right.$ IDipp) $]$ (5) formed in moderate to good yields at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{3},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{4}$ and $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{5}$, respectively (Equation 1). The central structure motif of these neutral complexes in the solid state is a $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring, which loses its planarity and adopts an envelope-like conformation with the NHC being bonded to one phosphorus atom (Figure 1). These structures are reminiscent of products of $\mathbf{1}$ with anionic nucleophiles, ${ }^{[7]}$ with the difference that, for the first time, neutral adducts ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}$ and 5) are now accessible. While in ionic derivatives coulomb forces contribute decisively to their stability, there are no such additional forces in the present case of neutral compounds. In comparison to $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$ (1a), the P-P bond lengths (2.1342(11)-2.1535(9) $\AA$ ) in $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are similar (3: 2.1302(8)-2.1572(7) $\AA$, and 4: $2.063(3)-2.184(5) \AA$ ). This indicates the multiple-bond character for all P-P bonds. The P-C
bonds of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4 ( 1 . 8 6 0 ( 2 )}$ and $1.849(2) \AA$, respectively) are slightly longer than in $\mathbf{1 a}(1.843(3) \AA)$, indicating a weaker bonding in the case of the NHCs as nucleophiles. Despite numerous efforts, the obtained single crystals of 5 were of limited quality and therefore the bond features are not discussed in detail. ${ }^{[17]}$



Figure 1. Molecular structure of 3 (left), 4 (middle) and 5 (right) in the solid state. H atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected distances $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ : for 3: P1-P2 2.1572(7), P1-P5 2.1621(7), P2-P3 2.1552(8), P3-P4 2.1302(8), P4-P5 2.1575(7), P1-C11 1.860(2), Fe1-P2 2.2979(6), Fe1-P3 2.3415(6), Fe1-P4 2.3422(6), Fe1-P5 2.3077(5); P5-P1-P2 95.03(3), P3-P2-P1 107.62(3), P4-P3-P2 104.18(3), P3-P4-P5 104.19(3), P4-P5-P1 107.86(3). For 4: P1-P2 2.063(3), P1-P5 2.184(5), P2-P3 2.143(3), P3-P4 $2.115(3)$, P4-P5 2.138(5), P1-C11 1.849(2), Fe1-P2 2.339(3), Fe1-P3 2.3531(19), Fe1-P4 2.303(2), Fe1P5 2.263(5); P5-P1-P2 96.04(14), P3-P2-P1 107.57(11), P4-P3-P2 104.12(12), P3-P4-P5 104.08(16), P4-P5-P1 105.7(2). For 5: The figure is drawn as a balls and sticks model (cf.: Supporting Information).

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of a 1:1 mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and IMe, IMes or IDipp (the same as if crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ or 4 were dissolved at low temperatures) showed broad signals over a wide range of temperatures, indicating a dynamic behavior of the adducts of 1 with these NHCs. Furthermore, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and another $\mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}=1,3\right.$-di-tert-butyl-imidazolin-2-ylidene) or an NHO (= N-heterocyclic olefins), IDipp $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(=(\mathrm{HCNDipp})_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Dipp}=2,6\right.$-di-isopropylphenyl $)$ were also recorded at room temperature, because of the pronounced donor ability of $I^{t} B u$ and IDipp $=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot{ }^{[18]}$ However, no broad signals or a broadening for $\mathbf{1}$ were detected in these ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra, not even at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

To shed light onto this behavior, DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory were performed for adducts of 1 with IM , IMes and IDipp as well as with $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ and IDipp $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (Table 1). The complexation reactions with IMe, IMes and IDipp are exothermic, and therefore the interactions with 1 are energetically favorable. However, in the case of $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ and $\mathrm{IDipp}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, gas phase reactions with $\mathbf{1}$ are predicted to be energetically unfavorable. But, the absolute values of the standard enthalpies are small, and considering that the reaction is accompanied by a lowering of the entropy, the Gibbs energies for
all gaseous reactions are positive (the complex formation is endergonic). Since, experimentally, the reaction proceeds in toluene solution, this indifferent solvent will only slightly affect the enthalpy of the reaction, but the entropy loss will be much less than in the gas phase. The estimation of the reaction entropy in solution according to the literature ${ }^{[19]}$ leads to the values of the equilibrium constants at room temperature of $1.82 \cdot 10^{3}, 0.37$, and $1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ with IMe, IMes and IDipp, respectively (see Table 1).

Table 1. Standard enthalpies of complex formation between [Cp*Fe( $\left.\left.\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ (1) and $\mathrm{NHCs} / \mathrm{NHO}$ (further abbreviation as LB): $\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{L B}=\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{L B}$. Reaction energies $\Delta \mathrm{E}^{\circ}$, standard enthalpies $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$, Gibbs energies $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}{ }_{298}\left(\mathrm{~kJ}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ and standard entropies $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}\left(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ for the considered gas phase processes. B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory.

| LB | $\Delta \mathrm{E}^{\circ}{ }_{0}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}{ }_{288}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}($ soln $)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}{ }_{298}($ soln $)$ | $\mathrm{K}_{298}($ soln $)$ | $\mathrm{T}_{(\mathrm{K}=1), \mathrm{K}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMe | -47.0 | -38.6 | -157.2 | 8.2 | -67.2 | -18.6 | $1.82 \cdot 10^{3}$ | 575 |
| IMes | -32.2 | -28.1 | -192.6 | 29.3 | -102.6 | 2.5 | 0.37 | 274 |
| IDipp | -16.4 | -9.8 | -198.7 | 49.4 | -108.7 | 22.6 | $1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 90 |
| I'Bu | 41.6 | 46.8 | -185.2 | 102.0 | -95.2 | 75.2 | $6.6 \cdot 10^{-14}$ | - |
| IDipp $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 10.4 | 18.9 | -179.8 | 72.6 | -89.8 | 45.7 | $9.8 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | - |

The exothermic reactions are thermodynamically favorable at low temperatures. The estimated temperatures at which the equilibrium constant equals 1.0 , are 575,274 , and 90 K for IMe , IMes and IDipp, respectively. Therefore, the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with IDipp is expected to occur at much lower temperatures than reactions with IMe and IMes. This reflects the preparative accessibility of the products as seen by the required crystallisation temperature of the adducts. In contrast, in the case of $I^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ and IDipp $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, reactions with $\mathbf{1}$ both in the gas phase and in solution are predicted to be highly endergonic and thermodynamically prohibited at any temperature.

To gain insights into the dynamic behavior of $\mathbf{1}$ and IMe in solution, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and IMe at variable temperatures were recorded (Figure 2). Two very broad signals were observed at room temperature, centered at $\delta 40$ and $\delta-50$. By lowering the temperature, the signals sharpen and a new signal at $\delta 150.2$ appears which can be assigned to free $\mathbf{1}$. However, at 193 K , besides the signal for free $\mathbf{1}$, three signals at $\delta 34.7, \delta 31.6$ and $\delta-49.1$ in an integral ratio of $2: 1: 2$ were detected. This NMR spectrum indicates the formation of a compound containing an envelope-like $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring at low temperatures according to the molecular structure of $\mathbf{3}$. Additionally, these spectroscopic investigations show the occurrence of a highly dynamic system in solution. To explain this dynamic process between 1 and IMe , two mechanisms are conceivable: either a tumbling process where the IMe migrates around
the $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring and interacts with more than one P atom at the same time or a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation and breaking (dissociative/associative) process.


Figure 2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of 1 and IMe in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ in the range of 300 to 193 K .

To clarify which of the two mechanisms does take place, a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ EXSY spectrum of a toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ solution containing 1 and IMe at 263 K was recorded (Figure 3) which showed two cross peaks: one between the signals at $\delta 1.5$ and $\delta 0.6$ and one between the signals at $\delta 3.5$ and $\delta 2.8 \mathrm{ppm}$. The signals at $\delta 1.5$ and $\delta$ 3.5 are assigned to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methyl groups of free IMe, respectively, whereas the signals at $\delta 0.6$ and $\delta 2.8$ are assigned to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methyl groups in the adduct $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{IMe}\right)\right.$ ] (3). Thus, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ EXSY spectrum of 1 plus IMe revealed a strong exchange between free and P-bonded IMe at 263 K , which is compatible with the dissociative/associative process.


Figure 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ EXSY spectrum of 1 and IMe in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at 263 K .
The definite proof that the dynamic process occurring for $\mathbf{1}$ and IMe in solution is the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation and breaking process was derived from the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ EXSY spectrum of a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and

IMe in toluene-d $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at 263 K (Figure 4). This spectrum showed cross peaks between each of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ signals of the diagonal (at $\delta 150.2$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and at $\delta 37$ and $\delta-48.7$ for $\mathbf{3}$ ) and both other signals, indicating that the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nucleus of $\mathbf{1}$ interchanges with each of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei of $\mathbf{3}$ (and that the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei of $\mathbf{3}$ exchange each other). Considering exclusively a tumbling process of $\mathbf{3}$ in solution, there would not be a cross peak between the signals for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$. Consequentially, the dynamic behavior of IMe and $\mathbf{1}$ at 263 K can be explained by a bond formation and bond breaking process.


Figure 4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ EXSY spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ and IMe in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at 263 K .
In addition to the NMR investigations in solution, a study of the solid-state behavior by recording the ${ }^{31}$ P MAS NMR exemplified for $\mathbf{3}$ was executed (Figure 5). Two measurements with spinning frequencies of 14.5 kHz and 12.5 kHz were carried out in order to determine the isotropic chemical shift of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei which were found at $\delta 123, \delta 70, \delta 50, \delta-27$ and $\delta-46 \mathrm{ppm}$, indicating that the five ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei in $\mathbf{3}$ are inequivalent, as expected on the basis of the X-ray structure.


Figure 5. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ MAS NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ obtained at 298 K at a spinning rate of 14.5 kHz . The green numbers without asterisk denote the isotropic ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ chemical shifts.

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of a $1: 1$ mixture of 1 and IMes at room temperature showed a very broad signal at $\delta 49$ which, upon cooling, shows coalescence at 273 K and, upon further cooling, decoalesces giving rise, below 253 K , to three signals at $\delta 44.0, \delta 33.0$ and $\delta-66.0$ with an integral ratio of 1:2:2 that can be assigned to 4 , plus a singlet at $\delta 150.2$, ascribable to $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure $S 3$ ). This behavior is interpreted assuming that complex $\mathbf{4}$ is more labile in solution than $\mathbf{3}$ and that at room temperature only an averaged signal for the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ (in fast equilibrium, see eq. 1) is detectable. The higher lability of $\mathbf{4}$ as opposed to 3 is in accordance with the DFT calculations (vide supra), which predict a minimum stability for the adduct 5 with respect to $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{3}$. And, in fact, a distinct interaction between $\mathbf{1}$ and IDipp was detected at much lower temperatures than with IMe or IMes. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the 1:1 mixture of 1 and IDipp showed clear signals for $5(\delta 40.5, \delta 35.7$ and $\delta-62.1$ with an integral ratio of $1: 2: 2$ ), along with 1 , only at a temperature as low as 193 K (Figure S 5 ). From 233 K upwards, the averaged signal for the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ is detected with chemical shifts that move towards that of $\mathbf{1}$ when the temperature is raised. At room temperature, only the singlet at $\delta 150.2$ assigned to $\mathbf{1}$ could be detected, indicating that at room temperature a negligible interaction between $\mathbf{1}$ and IDipp occurs. Isolated crystals of $\mathbf{5}$ are extremely temperature-sensitive and can only be handled at temperatures below 195 K.

Once having ascertained that the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring does form neutral adducts with NHCs , the question arises if such neutral adduct formation can be transferred also to cyclo $-\mathrm{P}_{4}$ rings. To answer this question, we reacted $\left[\mathrm{Cp} " \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right](2)^{[20]}$ with IMe in toluene, obtaining, to our surprise, the unprecedented cyclo $-\mathrm{P}_{3}$ complex $\left[(\mathrm{IMe})_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cp} " \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right](6)$ in good yields (Equation 2).


If less than two equivalents of IMe are used, the conversion is not complete and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum shows unreacted $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{6}$. Thus, the tantalum species $\mathbf{2}$ loses one phosphorus atom to form an anionic complex with an $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}$ ring and the eliminated phosphorus atom is coordinated by two NHC molecules forming the $\left[(\mathrm{IMe})_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}$cation. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 6 showed a singlet at $\delta-113.1$ for the $\left[(\mathrm{IMe})_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}$cation and a singlet at $\delta-421.9$ for the $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}\right.$anion in a $1: 3$ integral ratio. Red crystals of $\mathbf{6}$ were obtained in a saturated acetonitrile solution, which were submitted to XRD analysis. In the solid state, the anion of 6 shows an unprecedented cyclic $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ unit coordinated to the tantalum atom carrying additionally two carbonyl and the Cp " ligands (Figure 6). The distances between the tantalum and the phosphorus atoms differ. Two shorter bonds (2.563(2) and 2.568(2) $\AA$ ) and one slightly elongated bond (2.614(2) $\AA$ ) are found.


Figure 6. Molecular structure of 6 in the solid state. H atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected distances [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: P1-P2 2.169(4), P1-P3 2.186(3), P2-P3 2.184(3), P4-C16 1.797(8), P4-C23 1.796(8), Ta1-P1 2.568(2), Ta1-P2 2.614(2), Ta1-P3 2.563(2); P1-P2-P3 60.26(12), P2-P3-P1 59.53(13), P3-P1P2 60.21(12), C16-P4-C23 97.9(3).

The calculated Wiberg bond indexes (WBIs) using the experimental solid-state geometry of the anion at the B3LYP/def2-SVPD level of theory (see Supporting Information) reveal for the shorter Ta-P distances values of 0.85 and 0.87 . The WBI for the elongated Ta-P distance is 0.76 . The P-P bond lengths within the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ ring are $2.184(4), 2.186(3)$ and $2.169(4) \AA$ with WBIs of $1.00,1.00$ and 1.01 . The P-C distances of the cation are $1.797(8)$ and $1.796(8) \AA$ and are therefore characteristic of P-C single bonds. The angle between the two NHC carbon atoms and the phosphorus atom (C23-P4-C16) in the cation is close to right $\left(97.9(3)^{\circ}\right)$.

### 3.3 Conclusion and References

In summary, we reported the synthesis of the first neutral iron complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{NHC}\right)\right](\mathrm{NHC}=$ IMe: 3, NHC = IMes: 4, NHC = IDipp: 5) in which the NHCs act as neutral donors and the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ unit adopts an envelope-like structure. VT NMR experiments elucidated their dynamic behavior in solution, consisting in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}$ bond breaking and reformation. The stability of the adducts 3-5 decreases in the order $\mathbf{3}>\mathbf{4}>\mathbf{5}$, as indicated by DFT calculations and dynamic NMR studies. In contrast to the reaction of the NHCs with the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring of $\mathbf{1}$, the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ring of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{T} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ reacts with IMe with a phosphorus atom being abstracted to form an unprecedented anionic tantalum complex with a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ unit and a cationic phosphorus atom stabilized by two NHC units. These results exhibit the high potential of NHCs in the chemistry of heteroaromates revealing adduct formations or elimination reactions, a topic which will further investigated in a broader scope.
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### 3.4 Supporting Information

## Experimental details: complex syntheses and characterization

General Procedures: All manipulations were performed with rigorous exclusion of oxygen and moisture in Schlenk-type glassware on a dual manifold Schlenk line in Argon atmosphere or in Argon filled glove box with a high-capacity recirculator ( $<0.1 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{O}$ ) . THF, toluene, $n$-hexanes and acetonitrile were dried using conventional techniques, degassed and saturated with Argon. Deuterated solvents were degassed, dried and distilled prior to use. The complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]^{[1]}$ (1) and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[2]}(2)$ as well as the NHCs (IMe, ${ }^{[3]} \mathrm{IMes},{ }^{[4]}$ IDipp, ${ }^{[5]}{ }^{\text {I }}$ Bu, ${ }^{[6]}$ IDipp $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{[7]}$ ) were prepared according to published procedure. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 MHz and Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts are given in ppm; they are referenced to TMS for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$. Elemental analyses (CHN) were determined using inhouse facility. NMR spectrum simulations were performed with the simulation module "daisy", embedded in the software Bruker Topspin (V. 3.6.1). Solid-state ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ CP/MAS NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker Avance I 400 spectrometer using a 4.0 mm HX MAS probe at 298 K . The sample was packed in a zirconia rotor. A two-pulse phase modulation (TPPM) decoupling scheme was used for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ decoupling. The spectra were recorded using $3.25 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ proton $\pi / 2$ pulse length, a $v_{\mathrm{CP}}$ of 55.0 kHz , a contact time of 5.0 ms , a $v_{\mathrm{dec}}$ of 76.9 kHz and a recycle delay of 6 s .

Due to the dynamic behavior of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{IMe}\right)\right]$ (3), $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{IMes}\right)\right]$ (4) and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{IDipp}\right)\right]$ (5) in solutions it was impossible to receive meaningful mass spectra. Due to the low solubility of 1 and NHCs at low temperatures and the dynamic behavior in solutions no ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of reasonable quality could be recorded.

## Synthesis of 3, $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{IMe}\right)\right]$ :

A solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ and $35 \mathrm{mg}(0.281 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{IMe}$ in 10 mL toluene was stirred for 1 hour. The solvent was removed in vacuum and the green precipitate dissolved in 3 mL thf, layered with $6 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexanes and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give green crystals overnight.

Crystalline yield: $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0,123 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (toluene-d $\left.8,213 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}(1,3)-\underline{\mathrm{Me}}), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \underline{C p}{ }^{*}\right), 0.38$ (s, 6H, $\mathrm{C}(4,5)$-Me).
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\}$ NMR (toluene $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 213 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=34.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$, $31.6\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right),-49.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)$. For coupling constants see Table S 1 .

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FeN}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{5}\left(470,12 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}: 43.43$, H 5.79, N 5.96; found [\%]: C: 43.50, H 5.74, N 5.81.


## Synthesis of 4, $\left[\mathbf{C p} * \operatorname{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-P_{5}\right.\right.$ IMes $\left.)\right]$ :

A solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ [Cp*Fe $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)$ ] and $88 \mathrm{mg}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ IMes in 10 mL toluene was stirred for 1 hour. The solvent was removed in vacuum and the green precipitate dissolved in 5 mL thf, layered with $10 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexanes and stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give green crystals within 48 h .

Crystalline yield: $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (toluene- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}, 213 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\underline{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NC} \underline{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 4-\underline{M e}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $12 \mathrm{H}, 2,6-\underline{M e}), 1.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \underline{C p^{*}}\right)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\right.$ toluene $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 213 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=44.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 33.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$, -66.0 $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)$. For coupling constants see Table S 2 .

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{FeN}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{5}\left(650.37 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C: 57.25, H 6.04, N 4.31; found [\%]: C: 57.97, H 6.26, N 4.07.



## Synthesis of 5, $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right.\right.$ IDipp $\left.)\right]$ :

A solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ [Cp*Fe $\left.\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ and $110 \mathrm{mg}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ IDipp in 10 mL toluene was stirred for 1 hour. All volatiles were removed in vacuum, the green precipitate dissolved in 4 mL thf, layered with $20 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give green crystals overnight.

Crystalline yield: $112 \mathrm{mg}, 139 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (toluene- $\left.d_{8}, 213 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\underline{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NC} \underline{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 4-\underline{\mathrm{Me}}), 1.99$ $(\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 2,6-\underline{M e}), 1.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \underline{C p^{*}}\right)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\right.$ toluene $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 213 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=44.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 33.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}\right),-66.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}\right)$. For coupling constants see Table S 2.

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{FeN}_{2} \mathrm{OP}_{5}\left(806.63 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}: 61.05, \mathrm{H} 7.37$, N 3.47 ; found [\%]: C: $61.15, \mathrm{H}$ 7.42, N 3.58.

## Synthesis of 6, [(IMe) $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]$ :

$100 \mathrm{mg}(0.186 \mathrm{mmol})$ [Cp'’ $\left.\mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ and $100 \mathrm{mg}(4.33 \mathrm{eq}, 0.805 \mathrm{mmol})$ IMe were dissolved in 10 mL toluene. The light brownish red solution was stirred for an hour before the solvent was removed. The red precipitate was washed with $n$-hexanes to remove the excess of IMe and then dissolved in 5 mL MeCN . After storage at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for ten days, red crystals were formed which were suitable for X-ray investigations.

Crystalline yield: $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=5.11\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.91\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}$, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}(1,3)-\underline{M e}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4,5)-\underline{\mathrm{Me}}), 1.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=-113.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{IMe}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{IMe}),-421.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{P}\right.$, cyclo-P $\left.{ }_{3}\right)$.
ESI-MS (acetonitrile): anion mode: $m / z=507.02\left(100 \%,\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{\prime}\right)$, cation mode: $m / z=$ 279.18 ( $100 \%$, $\left.\left[(\mathrm{IMe})_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}\right)$.

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P} 4 \mathrm{Ta}\left(786.54 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C: 44.28, H: 5.77, $\mathrm{N}: 7.12$; found [\%]: $\mathrm{C}: 44.73$, H: 5.77, N: 7.19.

## Experimental and simulated NMR spectra

Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ in the temperature range of 300 K to 193 K :


Figure S 1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of freshly prepared solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and IMe in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ in temperature range of 193 K to 300 K . The signal marked with $■$ is assigned to 1 . At 300 K first interactions can be detected and is marked with $\bullet$. These signals are getting clearer when cooling down and are assigned to compound 3.


Figure S 2. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, toluene- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ at 213 K .

Table S 1. Chemical shifts and coupling constants obtained from the simulation of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ at 213 K .

| $J(\mathrm{~Hz})$ |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | -32.0 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}} \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}$ | 365.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 31.6 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}},}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | -32.0 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$. | -8.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | 34.7 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 355.0 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \times \text {, } \mathrm{P}^{\text {a }}}$ | 365.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | 34.7 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}$. | 355.0 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$ | -8.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -49.1 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {P }} \mathrm{M}^{*}}$ | 430.0 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$. | -50.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$. | -49.1 |

Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of 4 in the temperature range of 300 K to 193 K :


Figure S 3. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of freshly prepared solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and IMes in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ in temperature range of 193 K to 300 K . The signals marked with $■$ and $\bullet$ are assigned to $\mathbf{1}$ and impurities, respectively.


Figure S 4. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 162 MHz , toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) spectrum of 4 at 213 K .

Table S 2. Chemical shifts and coupling constants obtained from the simulation of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 4 at 213 K .

| $J$ (Hz) |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | -23.0 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}}$ | 354.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 44.0 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | -23.0 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$. | -8.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | 33.0 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}$ | 354.7 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}},}{ }^{\text {, }} \mathrm{P}^{\text {d }}$ | 354.0 | PM 。 | 33.0 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 354.7 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}}$ | -8.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -66.0 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | 410.0 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$. | -55.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -66.0 |

Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{5}$ in the temperature range of 300 K to 193 K :


Figure S 5. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of freshly prepared solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and IDipp in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ in temperature range of 193 K to 300 K .

Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ in the temperature range of 300 K to 193 K :


Figure S 6. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of freshly prepared solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ in temperature range of 193 K to 300 K . Only the signal for $\mathbf{1}$ is detected.

Variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of 1 and IDipp $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in the temperature range of 300 K to 193 K :


Figure S 7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of freshly prepared solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{IDipp}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ in temperature range of 193 K to 300 K . Only the signal for $\mathbf{1}$ is detected.

## ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 6 :



Figure S 8. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of compound 6 at 300 K in $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$. The singlet at -113.1 ppm is assigned to the cation $\left[(\mathrm{IMe})_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}$and the signal at -421.9 ppm is assigned to the anionic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$.

## Crystallographic Details

The crystals were selected and mounted on a GV50 diffractometer equipped with a TitanS2 detector. All crystals were kept at $T=123(1) \mathrm{K}$ during data collection. Data collection and reduction were performed with CrysAlisPro version 1.171.40.14a. ${ }^{[8]}$ For compound (3) an analytical numeric absorption correction using a multifaceted crystal model based on expressions derived by R.C. Clark \& J.S. Reid.(Clark, R. C. \& Reid, J. S. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 887-897) was applied. For the compounds $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6})$ a numerical absorption correction based on gaussian integration over a multifaceted crystal model and an empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm was applied. Using Olex2, ${ }^{[9]}$ all structures were solved by ShelXT ${ }^{[10]}$ and a least-square refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ was carried out with ShelXL. ${ }^{[11]}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms at the carbon atoms were located in idealized positions and refined isotropically according to the riding model. The images showing the compounds 3-6 were generated using Olex2. ${ }^{[9]}$

CCDC-2015543 (3), CCDC-2015544 (4), CCDC-2015545 (5) and CCDC-2015546 (6), contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/contents/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44-1223-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.com.ac.uk).

Compound 3: There is one single molecule of $\mathbf{3}$ in the asymmetric unit
Compound 4: The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of 4 and two thf solvent molecules. One of these thf molecules was heavily disordered. Therefore, a solvent mask was calculated and 152 electrons were found in a volume of $520 \AA^{3}$ in one void per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of one thf molecule per asymmetric unit, which account for 160 electrons per unit cell. Further, the second thf molecule showed a disorder over two positions (67:33). Additionally, both the $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ and the Cp * ligand are disordered over two position with a distribution of 58:42 and 62:38, respectively. The restraints SADI and SIMU were applied to describe these disorders.

Compound 5: Crystals of compound $\mathbf{5}$ were very sensitive and could only be handled at low temperatures $\left(-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Further, the quality of the crystals was very low, despite several attempts of recrystallization. Additionally, the crystals were weakly diffracting, which is the reason that no reflections above a resolution of 0.89 , with an $\mathrm{I} / \sigma$ higher than 3 , could be detected. Although, the data quality does not allow the discussion of bond length or angles, the atom connectivity in compound $\mathbf{5}$ could still be determined unambiguously.

The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of $\mathbf{5}$ and 4.3 thf solvent molecules. Two of these thf solvent molecules were heavily disordered. Therefore, a solvent mask was calculated and 368 electrons were found in a volume of $1418 \AA^{3}$ in one void per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of 2.3 thf molecules per asymmetric unit, which account for 368 electrons per unit cell. Additionally the $P_{5}$ ligand
shows a disorder over two positions (82:18). To describe this disorder the SADI and SIMU restraint was applied

The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of $\mathbf{5}$ and 4.3 thf solvent molecules. Two of these thf solvent molecules were heavily disordered. Therefore, a solvent mask was calculated and 368 electrons were found in a volume of $1418 \AA^{3}$ in one void per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of 2.3 thf molecules per asymmetric unit, which account for 368 electrons per unit cell. Additionally the $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ligand shows a disorder over two positions (82:18). To describe this disorder the SADI and SIMU restraint was applied

Compound 6: The asymmetric unit contains the cation $\left[(\mathrm{IMe})_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}$and the anion $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$

Table S 3. Crystallographic data and details of the compounds $3,4,5$ and 6.

| Compound | 3 | 4-2 thf | $5 \cdot 4.3$ thf | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| File Name | FR155 | FR162 | FR313 | FR264 |
| CCDC | 2015543 | 2015544 | 2015545 | 2015546 |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FeN}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{FeH}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{54.2} \mathrm{FeH}_{85.4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4.3} \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Ta}$ |
| $D_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.478 | 1.305 | 1.211 | 1.542 |
| $/ \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 9.334 | 5.132 | 3.767 | 8.023 |
| Formula Weight | 470.10 | 794.55 | 1044.54 | 786.52 |
| Colour | dark green | dark green | green | red |
| Shape | block | block | plate | plate |
| Max size/mm | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.25 |
| Mid size/mm | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.16 |
| Min size/mm | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| T/K | 122.99(16) | 123.00(10) | 122.96(11) | 123.0(2) |
| Crystal System | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space Group | I2/a | $P 2{ }_{1} / n$ | P21/c | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $a / \AA \AA$ | 13.9605(2) | 14.6421(5) | 13.6902(11) | 13.3561(8) |
| $b / \AA$ | 12.4727(2) | 18.3526(6) | 19.6372(9) | 10.5354(6) |
| $c / \AA$ | 24.9230(5) | 15.9403(6) | 22.1012(16) | 24.9298(16) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 103.223(2) | 109.217(4) | 105.284(8) | 105.029(6) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \AA^{3}$ | 4224.66(13) | 4044.8(3) | 5731.5(7) | 3387.9(4) |
| Z | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $Z^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $Q_{\text {min }} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 3.644 | 3.558 | 3.347 | 3.426 |
| $Q_{\text {max }} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 74.344 | 74.685 | 60.002 | 74.851 |
| Measured Reflexes | 18138 | 38670 | 20741 | 17862 |
| Independent Reflexes | 4225 | 8099 | 8371 | 6595 |
| Reflections with I > 2(I) | 4129 | 7434 | 5639 | 5529 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0363 | 0.0810 | 0.1108 | 0.0753 |
| Parameters | 235 | 558 | 555 | 375 |
| Restraints | 0 | 166 | 16 | 0 |
| Largest Peak | 0.524 | 0.665 | 1.182 | 4.492 |
| Deepest Hole | -0.661 | -0.552 | -0.519 | -3.513 |
| GooF | 1.061 | 1.031 | 1.015 | 1.039 |
| $w R 2$ (all data) | 0.0911 | 0.1197 | 0.2740 | 0.1996 |
| wR2 | 0.0904 | 0.1163 | 0.2416 | 0.1869 |
| R1 (all data) | 0.0340 | 0.0461 | 0.1169 | 0.0811 |
| R1 | 0.0334 | 0.0431 | 0.0911 | 0.0704 |

## Compound 3:



| Selected Bond Lengths in $\AA$ |  | Selected Bond Angles in $^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-C11 | $1.860(2)$ | $\mathbf{C 1 1 - P 1 - P 2}$ | $114.99(7)$ |
| P1-P2 | $2.1572(7)$ | $\mathbf{C 1 1 - P 1 - P 5}$ | $111.43(6)$ |
| P2-P3 | $2.1552(8)$ | $\mathbf{P 1}-\mathbf{P 2}-\mathbf{P 3}$ | $107.62(3)$ |
| P3-P4 | $2.1302(8)$ | P2-P3-P4 | $104.18(3)$ |
| P4-P5 | $2.1491(7)$ | P3-P4-P5 | $104.19(3)$ |
| P5-P1 | $2.1621(7)$ | P4-P5-P1 | $107.86(3)$ |
| Fe1-P2 | $2.2979(6)$ | P5-P1-P2 | $95.03(3)$ |
| Fe1-P3 | $2.3415(6)$ |  |  |
| Fe1-P4 | $2.3422(6)$ |  |  |
| Fe1-P5 | $2.3077(5)$ |  |  |

## Compound 4:



| Selected Bond Lengths in $\AA$ |  | Selected Bond Angles in ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-C11 | $1.849(2)$ | C11-P1-P2A | $112.21(9)$ |
| P1-P2A | $2.063(3)$ | C11-P1-P5A | $112.21(15)$ |
| P2A-P3A | $2.143(3)$ | P1-P2A-P3A | $107.57(11)$ |
| P3A-P4A | $2.115(3)$ | P2A-P3A-P4A | $104.12(12)$ |
| P4A-P5A | $2.138(5)$ | P3A-P4A-P5A | $104.08(16)$ |
| P5A-P1 | $2.184(5)$ | P4A-P5A-P1 | $105.7(2)$ |
| Fe1-P2A | $2.339(3)$ | P5A-P1-P2A | $96.04(14)$ |
| Fe1-P3A | $2.353(2)$ |  |  |
| Fe1-P4A | $2.303(2)$ |  |  |
| Fe1-P5A | $2.263(5)$ |  |  |

## Compound 5:




In the subsequent Table selected bond length and angles of compound $\mathbf{5}$ are listed. However, it should be kept in mind, that the data quality of the measurement was low. Therefore, the listed bond length and angles are only given to get a rough insight in the connectivity of 5 .

| Selected Bond Lengths in $\AA$ |  | Selected Bond Angles in ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1A-C11 | $1.900(7)$ |  | C11-P1A-P2A |$) 113.8(3)$

## Compound 6:



| Selected Bond Lengths in $\AA$ |  | Selected Bond Angles in ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-P2 | $2.184(4)$ | P1-P2-P3 | $60.26(12)$ |
| P1-P2 | $2.186(3)$ | P2-P3-P1 | $59.53(13)$ |
| P1-P2 | $2.169(4)$ | P3-P1-P2 | $60.21(12)$ |
| P4-C16 | $1.797(8)$ | C16-P4-C23 | $97.9(3)$ |
| P4-C23 | $1.796(8)$ | P1-Ta1-P2 | $49.49(9)$ |
| Ta1-P1 | $2.568(2)$ | P2-Ta1-P3 | $49.91(9)$ |
| Ta1-P2 | $2.614(2)$ | P3-Ta1-P1 | $50.43(8)$ |
| Ta1-P3 | $2.563(2)$ |  |  |

## Details on DFT Calculations

The geometries of the compounds have been fully optimized with gradient-corrected density functional theory (DFT) in form of Becke's three-parameter hybrid method B3LYP ${ }^{[12]}$ with all electron 6-31G* basis set as implemented in Gaussian 09 program package. ${ }^{[13]}$ All structures correspond to minima on their respective potential energy surfaces as verified by the subsequent vibrational analysis.


Figure S 9. HOMO and LUMO of the compounds $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{L B}$.

Table S 4. Total energies $\mathrm{E}^{\mathbf{o}}$, sum of electronic and thermal enthalpies $\mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{o}}{ }_{298}$ (Hartree) and standard entropies $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}\left(\mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$. B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory.

| Compound | $\mathrm{E}^{\mathbf{o}}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{298}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | -3360.581389 | -3360.32536 | 141.236 |
| IMe | -383.4330252 | -383.238585 | 94.543 |
| IMes | -924.1712522 | -923.748456 | 170.758 |
| IDipp | -1160.035165 | -1159.431988 | 201.557 |
| I'Bu | -540.6829029 | -540.370817 | 117.416 |
| $\mathrm{IDipp}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | -1199.35876 | -1198.726726 | 206.183 |
| $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{IMe}$ | -3744.032328 | -3743.578663 | 198.213 |
| $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{IMes}$ | -4284.764922 | -4284.084528 | 259.300 |
| $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{IDipp}$ | -4520.622797 | -4519.76108 | 295.303 |
| $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{t} B u}$ | -3901.248443 | -3900.678339 | 208.290 |
| $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathrm{IDipp}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | -4559.936175 | -4559.044872 | 304.439 |

Table S 5. Optimized geometries of theoretically studied compounds. xyz coordinates in angstroms. B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory.

| [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathbf{F e}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ (1) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | -0.075360000 | 0.000085000 | 0.000031000 |
| 15 | -1.654218000 | 1.311178000 | -1.258387000 |
| 15 | -1.653967000 | -0.792072000 | -1.634911000 |
| 15 | -1.654322000 | -1.799677000 | 0.248956000 |
| 15 | -1.651109000 | 1.603476000 | 0.858073000 |
| 15 | -1.654404000 | -0.319304000 | 1.789495000 |
| 6 | 1.623539000 | 0.216888000 | -1.200334000 |
| 6 | 1.623440000 | -1.074291000 | -0.578033000 |
| 6 | 1.624473000 | 1.207397000 | -0.164594000 |
| 6 | 1.623648000 | -0.881921000 | 0.841844000 |
| 6 | 1.625751000 | 0.528285000 | 1.097435000 |
| 6 | 1.711293000 | 1.179464000 | 2.447225000 |
| 1 | 1.227445000 | 2.159900000 | 2.455420000 |
| 1 | 2.762003000 | 1.321882000 | 2.733561000 |
| 1 | 1.234936000 | 0.571703000 | 3.220971000 |
| 6 | 1.704000000 | -1.965763000 | 1.877111000 |
| 1 | 1.233057000 | -1.663910000 | 2.816378000 |
| 1 | 2.753255000 | -2.209159000 | 2.091426000 |
| 1 | 1.210114000 | -2.882183000 | 1.542548000 |
| 6 | 1.708089000 | -2.394470000 | -1.287313000 |
| 1 | 1.221526000 | -3.192525000 | -0.719243000 |
| 1 | 2.758922000 | -2.679740000 | -1.431862000 |
| 1 | 1.234302000 | -2.356000000 | -2.272216000 |
| 6 | 1.708147000 | 2.692550000 | -0.365785000 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrr}1 & 1.236934000 & 3.000342000 & -1.303473000 \\ 1 & 2.758664000 & 3.011817000 & -0.397841000 \\ 1 & 1.217464000 & 3.240171000 & 0.444187000 \\ 6 & 1.703711000 & 0.484536000 & -2.674421000 \\ 1 & 1.209782000 & -0.299450000 & -3.254408000 \\ 1 & 2.752954000 & 0.530005000 & -2.995385000 \\ 1 & 1.231756000 & 1.434887000 & -2.938080000 \\ \hline \text { IMe } & & & \\ 7 & -1.060606000 & -0.708576000 & 0.000002000 \\ 7 & 1.060607000 & -0.708576000 & -0.000002000 \\ 6 & 0.000000000 & -1.576803000 & -0.000001000 \\ 6 & 0.681925000 & 0.640154000 & -0.000001000 \\ 6 & -0.681926000 & 0.640154000 & 0.000003000 \\ 6 & -2.434708000 & -1.175017000 & 0.000002000 \\ 1 & -2.402209000 & -2.264931000 & -0.000001000 \\ 1 & -2.974117000 & -0.829040000 & 0.889953000 \\ 1 & -2.974119000 & -0.829036000 & -0.889948000 \\ 6 & -1.662602000 & 1.766908000 & -0.000004000 \\ 1 & -2.314925000 & 1.745845000 & -0.883278000 \\ 1 & -2.314949000 & 1.745838000 & 0.883251000 \\ 1 & -1.142686000 & 2.729490000 & 0.000007000 \\ 6 & 2.434709000 & -1.175015000 & -0.000001000 \\ 1 & 2.402211000 & -2.264930000 & 0.000015000 \\ 1 & 2.974115000 & -0.829049000 & -0.889960000 \\ 1 & 2.974122000 & -0.829022000 & 0.889941000 \\ 6 & 1.662601000 & 1.766908000 & 0.000003000 \\ 1 & 2.314937000 & 1.745837000 & 0.883267000 \\ 1 & 2.314936000 & 1.745846000 & -0.883262000 \\ 1 & 1.142684000 & 2.729490000 & 0.000009000 \\ \hline \mathbf{\text { IMes }} & & & \\ 7 & 0.000000000 & 1.064136000 & -0.589575000 \\ 7 & 0.000000000 & -1.064136000 & -0.589575000 \\ 6 & -0.000301000 & 2.435003000 & -0.156715000 \\ 6 & 1.191450000 & 3.174723000 & -0.221164000 \\ 6 & 0.000301000 & -2.435003000 & -0.156715000 \\ 6 & -2.457593000 & 2.184890000 & 0.425123000 \\ 6 & -1.162232000 & -4.511736000 & 0.187960000 \\ 6 & -2.082172000 & -5.091651000 & 0.147283000 \\ 6 & -0.001144000 & 0.677030000 & -1.933047000 \\ 6 & 1 & -1.162232000 & 4.511736000\end{array}\right) 0.187960000$
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrr}1 & -2.770246000 & 1.805377000 & -0.555613000 \\ 1 & -3.276167000 & 2.785436000 & 0.833072000 \\ 1 & -2.303995000 & 1.312685000 & 1.069289000 \\ 6 & 2.457593000 & -2.184890000 & 0.425123000 \\ 1 & 2.770246000 & -1.805377000 & -0.555613000 \\ 1 & 3.276167000 & -2.785436000 & 0.833072000 \\ 1 & 2.303995000 & -1.312685000 & 1.069289000 \\ 6 & 0.007670000 & -5.114980000 & 0.658439000 \\ 6 & -2.481348000 & -2.541941000 & -0.687438000 \\ 1 & -2.683987000 & -1.615340000 & -0.138983000 \\ 1 & -3.323541000 & -3.223573000 & -0.535546000 \\ 1 & -2.451634000 & -2.279365000 & -1.751938000 \\ 6 & 1.169403000 & -4.339925000 & 0.726829000 \\ 1 & 2.086155000 & -4.787138000 & 1.105944000 \\ 6 & -0.020219000 & 6.569980000 & 1.066665000 \\ 1 & 0.953518000 & 6.885558000 & 1.456450000 \\ 1 & -0.772735000 & 6.763251000 & 1.838527000 \\ 1 & -0.254765000 & 7.221079000 & 0.213658000 \\ 6 & 0.020219000 & -6.569980000 & 1.066665000 \\ 1 & 0.772735000 & -6.763251000 & 1.838527000 \\ 1 & 0.254765000 & -7.221079000 & 0.213658000 \\ 1 & -0.953518000 & -6.885558000 & 1.456450000 \\ \hline \text { IDipp } & & & \\ 7 & -1.031417000 & 0.000004000 & 0.515457000 \\ 7 & 1.098225000 & -0.000006000 & 0.543259000 \\ 6 & 0.693076000 & -0.000011000 & 1.880610000 \\ 1 & 1.394718000 & -0.000018000 & 2.701147000 \\ 6 & -0.661378000 & -0.000005000 & 1.862568000 \\ 1 & -1.383590000 & -0.000005000 & 2.665023000 \\ 6 & 3.135487000 & 1.234505000 & -0.047440000 \\ 6 & -3.057890000 & 1.232616000 & -0.118468000 \\ 6 & -2.404444000 & 0.000009000 & 0.079514000 \\ 6 & 0.044791000 & 0.000004000 & -0.341149000 \\ 6 & 4.482446000 & 1.206577000 & -0.428773000 \\ 1 & -4.928722000 & 2.141538000 & -0.671528000 \\ \hline 1 & 5 & -2.351163000 & -2.571314000\end{array}\right) 0.065916000$

| 6 | 2.388334000 | -2.557823000 | 0.088117000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.540077000 | -2.393176000 | 0.761346000 |
| 6 | -2.299451000 | 3.362002000 | -1.255508000 |
| 1 | -1.803637000 | 2.779797000 | -2.038766000 |
| 1 | -3.303670000 | 3.622554000 | -1.610725000 |
| 1 | -1.742130000 | 4.296552000 | -1.118840000 |
| 6 | -5.071332000 | 0.000020000 | -0.703312000 |
| 1 | -6.114725000 | 0.000023000 | -1.008080000 |
| 6 | 3.236849000 | -3.684244000 | 0.701359000 |
| 1 | 4.047317000 | -4.002347000 | 0.035256000 |
| 1 | 3.683900000 | -3.380968000 | 1.654918000 |
| 1 | 2.609210000 | -4.563850000 | 0.885168000 |
| 6 | 1.810150000 | -2.976221000 | -1.280177000 |
| 1 | 1.151704000 | -2.194627000 | -1.672210000 |
| 1 | 2.614645000 | -3.147719000 | -2.005985000 |
| 1 | 1.234097000 | -3.905423000 | -1.187284000 |
| 6 | 3.236892000 | 3.684199000 | 0.701447000 |
| 1 | 3.683927000 | 3.380895000 | 1.655005000 |
| 1 | 4.047372000 | 4.002305000 | 0.035360000 |
| 1 | 2.609265000 | 4.563811000 | 0.885268000 |
| 6 | 1.810204000 | 2.976243000 | -1.280121000 |
| 1 | 2.614708000 | 3.147750000 | -2.005915000 |
| 1 | 1.151754000 | 2.194665000 | -1.672180000 |
| 1 | 1.234159000 | 3.905448000 | -1.187212000 |
| 6 | -2.299538000 | -3.362038000 | -1.255435000 |
| 1 | -3.303772000 | -3.622593000 | -1.610608000 |
| 1 | -1.803744000 | -2.779872000 | -2.038735000 |
| 1 | -1.742224000 | -4.296589000 | -1.118747000 |
| 6 | -2.994950000 | -3.404982000 | 1.190374000 |
| 1 | -2.989795000 | -2.862103000 | 2.142401000 |
| 1 | -4.035789000 | -3.660129000 | 0.958404000 |
| 1 | -2.446467000 | -4.343829000 | 1.332206000 |
| $I^{\text {t }} \mathbf{B u}$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.000001000 | 1.069084000 | -0.298844000 |
| 7 | -0.000001000 | -1.069084000 | -0.298844000 |
| 6 | 0.000000000 | -0.678434000 | -1.636250000 |
| 1 | -0.000004000 | -1.364774000 | -2.467255000 |
| 6 | 0.000000000 | 0.678434000 | -1.636250000 |
| 1 | 0.000004000 | 1.364774000 | -2.467255000 |
| 6 | -0.000009000 | 2.466826000 | 0.221488000 |
| 6 | 0.000000000 | 0.000000000 | 0.554851000 |
| 6 | 0.000009000 | -2.466826000 | 0.221488000 |
| 6 | 0.000001000 | 3.469145000 | -0.940204000 |
| 1 | 0.890696000 | 3.363388000 | -1.569538000 |
| 1 | -0.890680000 | 3.363387000 | -1.569557000 |
| 1 | -0.000004000 | 4.485741000 | -0.534352000 |
| 6 | 1.262949000 | 2.659148000 | 1.079639000 |
| 1 | 2.166590000 | 2.542879000 | 0.470256000 |
| 1 | 1.273362000 | 3.660519000 | 1.525405000 |
| 1 | 1.287808000 | 1.910909000 | 1.875567000 |
| 6 | -1.262982000 | 2.659144000 | 1.079610000 |
| 1 | -1.273424000 | 3.660527000 | 1.525349000 |
| 1 | -2.166612000 | 2.542843000 | 0.470217000 |
| 1 | -1.287849000 | 1.910929000 | 1.875562000 |
| 6 | -0.000001000 | -3.469145000 | -0.940204000 |
| 1 | 0.890680000 | -3.363387000 | -1.569557000 |


| 1 | 0.000004000 | -4.485741000 | -0.534352000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.890696000 | -3.363388000 | -1.569538000 |
| 6 | 1.262982000 | -2.659144000 | 1.079610000 |
| 1 | 2.166612000 | -2.542843000 | 0.470217000 |
| 1 | 1.287849000 | -1.910929000 | 1.875562000 |
| 1 | 1.273424000 | -3.660527000 | 1.525349000 |
| 6 | -1.262949000 | -2.659148000 | 1.079639000 |
| 1 | -1.273362000 | -3.660519000 | 1.525405000 |
| 1 | -1.287808000 | -1.910909000 | 1.875567000 |
| 1 | -2.166590000 | -2.542879000 | 0.470256000 |
| IDipp $=\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | -1.107513000 | 0.000000000 | -0.580374000 |
| 7 | 1.107513000 | 0.000000000 | -0.580374000 |
| 6 | 0.672941000 | 0.000000000 | -1.916539000 |
| 1 | 1.380385000 | 0.000000000 | -2.730545000 |
| 6 | -0.672941000 | 0.000000000 | -1.916539000 |
| 1 | -1.380385000 | 0.000000000 | -2.730545000 |
| 6 | 3.124521000 | -1.233148000 | 0.065021000 |
| 6 | -3.124521000 | -1.233148000 | 0.065021000 |
| 6 | -2.470071000 | 0.000000000 | -0.146571000 |
| 6 | 0.000000000 | 0.000000000 | 0.280484000 |
| 6 | 4.457603000 | -1.205780000 | 0.492091000 |
| 1 | 4.982399000 | -2.141962000 | 0.663143000 |
| 6 | -2.419545000 | -2.569402000 | -0.142542000 |
| 1 | -1.421768000 | -2.359829000 | -0.538423000 |
| 6 | 2.470071000 | 0.000000000 | -0.146571000 |
| 6 | -3.124521000 | 1.233148000 | 0.065021000 |
| 6 | 3.124521000 | 1.233148000 | 0.065021000 |
| 6 | 2.419545000 | -2.569402000 | -0.142542000 |
| 1 | 1.421768000 | -2.359829000 | -0.538423000 |
| 6 | -3.148488000 | -3.448647000 | -1.176330000 |
| 1 | -4.154362000 | -3.724727000 | -0.838664000 |
| 1 | -3.249755000 | -2.933278000 | -2.138153000 |
| 1 | -2.590908000 | -4.377391000 | -1.345857000 |
| 6 | 5.120057000 | 0.000000000 | 0.704504000 |
| 1 | 6.154870000 | 0.000000000 | 1.037567000 |
| 6 | -4.457603000 | 1.205780000 | 0.492091000 |
| 1 | -4.982399000 | 2.141962000 | 0.663143000 |
| 6 | 4.457603000 | 1.205780000 | 0.492091000 |
| 1 | 4.982399000 | 2.141962000 | 0.663143000 |
| 6 | -2.419545000 | 2.569402000 | -0.142542000 |
| 1 | -1.421768000 | 2.359829000 | -0.538423000 |
| 6 | -4.457603000 | -1.205780000 | 0.492091000 |
| 1 | -4.982399000 | -2.141962000 | 0.663143000 |
| 6 | 2.419545000 | 2.569402000 | -0.142542000 |
| 1 | 1.421768000 | 2.359829000 | -0.538423000 |
| 6 | -2.233624000 | -3.314824000 | 1.193533000 |
| 1 | -1.657312000 | -2.706958000 | 1.898174000 |
| 1 | -3.198204000 | -3.557191000 | 1.656054000 |
| 1 | -1.694029000 | -4.256189000 | 1.033323000 |
| 6 | -5.120057000 | 0.000000000 | 0.704504000 |
| 1 | -6.154870000 | 0.000000000 | 1.037567000 |
| 6 | 3.148488000 | 3.448647000 | -1.176330000 |
| 1 | 4.154362000 | 3.724727000 | -0.838664000 |
| 1 | 3.249755000 | 2.933278000 | -2.138153000 |
| 1 | 2.590908000 | 4.377391000 | -1.345857000 |


| 6 | 2.233624000 | 3.314824000 | 1.193533000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.657312000 | 2.706958000 | 1.898174000 |
| 1 | 3.198204000 | 3.557191000 | 1.656054000 |
| 1 | 1.694029000 | 4.256189000 | 1.033323000 |
| 6 | 3.148488000 | -3.448647000 | -1.176330000 |
| 1 | 3.249755000 | -2.933278000 | -2.138153000 |
| 1 | 4.154362000 | -3.724727000 | -0.838664000 |
| 1 | 2.590908000 | -4.377391000 | -1.345857000 |
| 6 | 2.233624000 | -3.314824000 | 1.193533000 |
| 1 | 3.198204000 | -3.557191000 | 1.656054000 |
| 1 | 1.657312000 | -2.706958000 | 1.898174000 |
| 1 | 1.694029000 | -4.256189000 | 1.033323000 |
| 6 | -2.233624000 | 3.314824000 | 1.193533000 |
| 1 | -3.198204000 | 3.557191000 | 1.656054000 |
| 1 | -1.657312000 | 2.706958000 | 1.898174000 |
| 1 | -1.694029000 | 4.256189000 | 1.033323000 |
| 6 | -3.148488000 | 3.448647000 | -1.176330000 |
| 1 | -3.249755000 | 2.933278000 | -2.138153000 |
| 1 | -4.154362000 | 3.724727000 | -0.838664000 |
| 1 | -2.590908000 | 4.377391000 | -1.345857000 |
| 6 | 0.000000000 | 0.000000000 | 1.639328000 |
| 1 | -0.932042000 | 0.000000000 | 2.187351000 |
| 1 | 0.932042000 | 0.000000000 | 2.187351000 |
| 1-IMe |  |  |  |
| 26 | -1.720096000 | -0.040406000 | -0.097607000 |
| 15 | 0.072570000 | 1.499731000 | -0.356636000 |
| 15 | 1.154619000 | 0.337982000 | 1.186607000 |
| 15 | -0.143610000 | -1.434909000 | 1.011489000 |
| 15 | -0.350446000 | 0.159529000 | -2.019846000 |
| 15 | -0.504500000 | -1.790120000 | -1.113912000 |
| 7 | 3.730783000 | 1.131305000 | 0.297400000 |
| 7 | 3.639268000 | -1.032435000 | 0.301858000 |
| 6 | -3.096164000 | 1.288698000 | 0.768904000 |
| 6 | -3.180734000 | 0.011545000 | 1.404686000 |
| 6 | -3.363277000 | 1.111434000 | -0.629220000 |
| 6 | -3.503041000 | -0.965370000 | 0.403690000 |
| 6 | -3.617141000 | -0.283337000 | -0.855275000 |
| 6 | 4.917147000 | -0.682567000 | -0.138455000 |
| 6 | 2.907867000 | 0.081050000 | 0.558494000 |
| 6 | 4.971673000 | 0.681204000 | -0.150305000 |
| 6 | -4.027676000 | -0.903502000 | -2.159809000 |
| 1 | -3.579013000 | -0.382608000 | -3.010813000 |
| 1 | -5.119441000 | -0.867680000 | -2.280799000 |
| 1 | -3.718089000 | -1.950825000 | -2.221333000 |
| 6 | 3.353516000 | 2.536110000 | 0.452633000 |
| 1 | 2.736772000 | 2.864011000 | -0.387788000 |
| 1 | 4.259510000 | 3.139119000 | 0.515576000 |
| 1 | 2.776976000 | 2.651303000 | 1.373110000 |
| 6 | -3.772197000 | -2.421425000 | 0.653740000 |
| 1 | -3.569680000 | -3.025563000 | -0.235345000 |
| 1 | -4.821978000 | -2.582423000 | 0.937278000 |
| 1 | -3.147898000 | -2.813117000 | 1.463213000 |
| 6 | -3.050650000 | -0.247139000 | 2.878604000 |
| 1 | -2.671924000 | -1.252944000 | 3.078970000 |
| 1 | -4.026647000 | -0.149453000 | 3.375482000 |
| 1 | -2.364852000 | 0.460281000 | 3.354537000 |


| 6 | -3.455991000 | 2.210248000 | -1.648628000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -2.726268000 | 3.002889000 | -1.453688000 |
| 1 | -4.454820000 | 2.669223000 | -1.639332000 |
| 1 | -3.268570000 | 1.837217000 | -2.659425000 |
| 6 | 3.219525000 | -2.419029000 | 0.502058000 |
| 1 | 3.432025000 | -2.997495000 | -0.399774000 |
| 1 | 2.148374000 | -2.446012000 | 0.696731000 |
| 1 | 3.758005000 | -2.851898000 | 1.350939000 |
| 6 | 6.076422000 | 1.604306000 | -0.546458000 |
| 1 | 5.771791000 | 2.279755000 | -1.354628000 |
| 1 | 6.934291000 | 1.029577000 | -0.903264000 |
| 1 | 6.420302000 | 2.221321000 | 0.292969000 |
| 6 | -2.868620000 | 2.602635000 | 1.460615000 |
| 1 | -2.224845000 | 2.493385000 | 2.338623000 |
| 1 | -3.823836000 | 3.029013000 | 1.799331000 |
| 1 | -2.394410000 | 3.330430000 | 0.796761000 |
| 6 | 5.942381000 | -1.704112000 | -0.503968000 |
| 1 | 6.168006000 | -2.374144000 | 0.334505000 |
| 1 | 6.874625000 | -1.215464000 | -0.796591000 |
| 1 | 5.615993000 | -2.327107000 | -1.345642000 |
| 1-IMes |  |  |  |
| 26 | -2.482779000 | 0.000075000 | -0.080739000 |
| 15 | 0.493850000 | -0.000013000 | 0.757701000 |
| 15 | -0.752160000 | -1.641975000 | 0.015138000 |
| 15 | -0.752063000 | 1.642020000 | 0.015133000 |
| 15 | -1.516084000 | -1.077167000 | -1.947634000 |
| 15 | -1.516019000 | 1.077251000 | -1.947638000 |
| 7 | 3.034632000 | -1.086698000 | -0.183073000 |
| 7 | 3.034699000 | 1.086515000 | -0.183073000 |
| 6 | 2.686262000 | -2.485955000 | -0.046368000 |
| 6 | 2.387545000 | -3.220006000 | -1.205084000 |
| 6 | 2.686416000 | 2.485793000 | -0.046368000 |
| 6 | 2.239562000 | -0.000067000 | 0.033190000 |
| 6 | 2.739309000 | -3.072110000 | 1.227648000 |
| 6 | 2.315857000 | -2.572156000 | -2.566115000 |
| 1 | 1.446293000 | -1.906753000 | -2.631100000 |
| 1 | 2.210882000 | -3.331104000 | -3.346214000 |
| 1 | 3.206420000 | -1.975321000 | -2.793575000 |
| 6 | 2.739498000 | 3.071944000 | 1.227649000 |
| 6 | 2.387749000 | 3.219865000 | -1.205083000 |
| 6 | 4.315400000 | 0.676629000 | -0.536969000 |
| 1 | 5.091979000 | 1.393949000 | -0.750276000 |
| 6 | 2.138384000 | -5.206669000 | 0.199112000 |
| 6 | 2.121563000 | -4.582945000 | -1.052003000 |
| 1 | 1.881303000 | -5.168201000 | -1.936438000 |
| 6 | 2.455804000 | -4.437043000 | 1.322079000 |
| 1 | 2.485397000 | -4.908895000 | 2.301484000 |
| 6 | 2.456080000 | 4.436894000 | 1.322081000 |
| 1 | 2.485702000 | 4.908744000 | 2.301487000 |
| 6 | 4.315358000 | -0.676891000 | -0.536968000 |
| 1 | 5.091894000 | -1.394259000 | -0.750275000 |
| 6 | -4.498786000 | 0.000158000 | -0.497824000 |
| 6 | 3.083174000 | -2.270386000 | 2.460061000 |
| 1 | 4.028915000 | -1.727107000 | 2.344404000 |
| 1 | 3.177260000 | -2.926388000 | 3.329917000 |
| 1 | 2.305577000 | -1.528945000 | 2.679777000 |


| 6 | 2.316024000 | 2.572022000 | -2.566116000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.206547000 | 1.975124000 | -2.793572000 |
| 1 | 2.211107000 | 3.330978000 | -3.346215000 |
| 1 | 1.446414000 | 1.906679000 | -2.631106000 |
| 6 | 2.138713000 | 5.206542000 | 0.199113000 |
| 6 | -3.620714000 | 0.714506000 | 1.526867000 |
| 6 | -4.163159000 | 1.161720000 | 0.276437000 |
| 6 | 3.083311000 | 2.270197000 | 2.460061000 |
| 1 | 2.305675000 | 1.528793000 | 2.679767000 |
| 1 | 3.177422000 | 2.926190000 | 3.329921000 |
| 1 | 4.029027000 | 1.726872000 | 2.344411000 |
| 6 | 2.121856000 | 4.582821000 | -1.052002000 |
| 1 | 1.881637000 | 5.168093000 | -1.936437000 |
| 6 | -4.163251000 | -1.161455000 | 0.276399000 |
| 6 | 1.797434000 | -6.671390000 | 0.335960000 |
| 1 | 2.103376000 | -7.239595000 | -0.548769000 |
| 1 | 0.714646000 | -6.808998000 | 0.452980000 |
| 1 | 2.280004000 | -7.116797000 | 1.212121000 |
| 6 | -3.620772000 | -0.714326000 | 1.526844000 |
| 6 | 1.797857000 | 6.671286000 | 0.335963000 |
| 1 | 0.715080000 | 6.808961000 | 0.452999000 |
| 1 | 2.103822000 | 7.239469000 | -0.548772000 |
| 1 | 2.280468000 | 7.116664000 | 1.212116000 |
| 6 | -4.427050000 | 2.591003000 | -0.101206000 |
| 1 | -3.653996000 | 3.260204000 | 0.290042000 |
| 1 | -5.392749000 | 2.929355000 | 0.300809000 |
| 1 | -4.453409000 | 2.722831000 | -1.186595000 |
| 6 | -3.224411000 | 1.587910000 | 2.682822000 |
| 1 | -2.398635000 | 1.152102000 | 3.253573000 |
| 1 | -4.071159000 | 1.722412000 | 3.371534000 |
| 1 | -2.904667000 | 2.578762000 | 2.349783000 |
| 6 | -5.176194000 | 0.000208000 | -1.838115000 |
| 1 | -4.902595000 | 0.880735000 | -2.426845000 |
| 1 | -6.269236000 | 0.000287000 | -1.722281000 |
| 1 | -4.902723000 | -0.880357000 | -2.426845000 |
| 6 | -3.224538000 | -1.587800000 | 2.682769000 |
| 1 | -2.904839000 | -2.578655000 | 2.349696000 |
| 1 | -4.071306000 | -1.722285000 | 3.371459000 |
| 1 | -2.398749000 | -1.152058000 | 3.253554000 |
| 6 | -4.427253000 | -2.590705000 | -0.101293000 |
| 1 | -4.453563000 | -2.722505000 | -1.186687000 |
| 1 | -5.393005000 | -2.928977000 | 0.300659000 |
| 1 | -3.654283000 | -3.259985000 | 0.289982000 |
| 1-IDipp |  |  |  |
| 26 | -2.922441000 | 0.000009000 | 0.167590000 |
| 15 | 0.017436000 | 0.000229000 | -0.638304000 |
| 15 | -1.191578000 | 1.649187000 | 0.122535000 |
| 15 | -1.191432000 | -1.648982000 | 0.122212000 |
| 15 | -2.004773000 | -1.074580000 | 2.061233000 |
| 15 | -2.004936000 | 1.074372000 | 2.061409000 |
| 7 | 2.669711000 | -1.086519000 | -0.060462000 |
| 7 | 2.669852000 | 1.086560000 | -0.060295000 |
| 6 | 3.996356000 | 0.676512000 | 0.006058000 |
| 1 | 4.801340000 | 1.392123000 | 0.049364000 |
| 6 | 3.996276000 | -0.676623000 | 0.005927000 |
| 1 | 4.801158000 | -1.392345000 | 0.049247000 |


| 6 | 2.234832000 | 3.166389000 | 1.166187000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2.235184000 | -3.166431000 | 1.166023000 |
| 6 | 2.303978000 | -2.491545000 | -0.069146000 |
| 6 | 1.844685000 | 0.000078000 | -0.107734000 |
| 6 | 1.968183000 | 4.539477000 | 1.127771000 |
| 1 | 1.902274000 | 5.094058000 | 2.058391000 |
| 6 | 2.475145000 | -2.472244000 | 2.504381000 |
| 1 | 2.431058000 | -1.390189000 | 2.339560000 |
| 6 | 2.304092000 | 2.491570000 | -0.069014000 |
| 6 | 2.123796000 | -3.134394000 | -1.308854000 |
| 6 | 2.124232000 | 3.134452000 | -1.308735000 |
| 6 | 2.474572000 | 2.472084000 | 2.504527000 |
| 1 | 2.430213000 | 1.390046000 | 2.339641000 |
| 6 | -4.015961000 | 0.714331000 | -1.470069000 |
| 6 | 3.880285000 | -2.805636000 | 3.048926000 |
| 1 | 3.981490000 | -3.880859000 | 3.238019000 |
| 1 | 4.672002000 | -2.516481000 | 2.348224000 |
| 1 | 4.058512000 | -2.280142000 | 3.994366000 |
| 6 | 1.779118000 | 5.203800000 | -0.080264000 |
| 1 | 1.568732000 | 6.269862000 | -0.084302000 |
| 6 | 1.857630000 | -4.508181000 | -1.282521000 |
| 1 | 1.709579000 | -5.038574000 | -2.218105000 |
| 6 | -4.593134000 | 1.161451000 | -0.234973000 |
| 6 | 1.857895000 | 4.508224000 | -1.282415000 |
| 1 | 1.710096000 | 5.038643000 | -2.218024000 |
| 6 | 2.247495000 | -2.408557000 | -2.645524000 |
| 1 | 2.281089000 | -1.332524000 | -2.449512000 |
| 6 | 1.968718000 | -4.539573000 | 1.127620000 |
| 1 | 1.903201000 | -5.094194000 | 2.058246000 |
| 6 | 2.248308000 | 2.408724000 | -2.645443000 |
| 1 | 2.282717000 | 1.332708000 | -2.449456000 |
| 6 | 1.396438000 | -2.808521000 | 3.549937000 |
| 1 | 0.393679000 | -2.589872000 | 3.172796000 |
| 1 | 1.430784000 | -3.862988000 | 3.847268000 |
| 1 | 1.558498000 | -2.210092000 | 4.453706000 |
| 6 | -4.015854000 | -0.714189000 | -1.470215000 |
| 6 | 1.779335000 | -5.203848000 | -0.080373000 |
| 1 | 1.569085000 | -6.269937000 | -0.084422000 |
| 6 | -4.866206000 | 2.590937000 | 0.135583000 |
| 1 | -4.925614000 | 2.722017000 | 1.219763000 |
| 1 | -4.080158000 | 3.259191000 | -0.230670000 |
| 1 | -5.818428000 | 2.931258000 | -0.295721000 |
| 6 | -3.583222000 | 1.585801000 | -2.614117000 |
| 1 | -3.283268000 | 2.580335000 | -2.273716000 |
| 1 | -2.733513000 | 1.152029000 | -3.150613000 |
| 1 | -4.403719000 | 1.711427000 | -3.335459000 |
| 6 | 3.562305000 | 2.792969000 | -3.356105000 |
| 1 | 3.586194000 | 3.863364000 | -3.591952000 |
| 1 | 4.437334000 | 2.569730000 | -2.734637000 |
| 1 | 3.664394000 | 2.239528000 | -4.296910000 |
| 6 | -4.951610000 | -0.000189000 | 0.528412000 |
| 6 | 1.033159000 | 2.651916000 | -3.559470000 |
| 1 | 0.102530000 | 2.364324000 | -3.061014000 |
| 1 | 0.951807000 | 3.702864000 | -3.859829000 |
| 1 | 1.130611000 | 2.055649000 | -4.474116000 |
| 6 | 3.879830000 | 2.805089000 | 3.049050000 |


| 1 | 4.671443000 | 2.515691000 | 2.348334000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.981321000 | 3.880288000 | 3.238117000 |
| 1 | 4.057927000 | 2.279553000 | 3.994493000 |
| 6 | 1.396023000 | 2.808595000 | 3.550142000 |
| 1 | 1.430776000 | 3.862995000 | 3.847671000 |
| 1 | 0.393167000 | 2.590377000 | 3.173004000 |
| 1 | 1.557885000 | 2.209959000 | 4.453809000 |
| 6 | -4.592973000 | -1.161623000 | -0.235207000 |
| 6 | 1.032666000 | -2.652573000 | -3.559748000 |
| 1 | 0.952205000 | -3.703530000 | -3.860339000 |
| 1 | 0.101726000 | -2.365819000 | -3.061385000 |
| 1 | 1.129766000 | -2.056059000 | -4.474269000 |
| 6 | -5.665721000 | -0.000415000 | 1.849486000 |
| 1 | -5.408372000 | -0.880839000 | 2.445590000 |
| 1 | -5.409153000 | 0.880329000 | 2.445450000 |
| 1 | -6.755081000 | -0.000924000 | 1.703254000 |
| 6 | -3.582979000 | -1.585287000 | -2.614500000 |
| 1 | -2.732392000 | -1.151988000 | -3.149984000 |
| 1 | -3.284274000 | -2.580336000 | -2.274518000 |
| 1 | -4.402991000 | -1.709640000 | -3.336609000 |
| 6 | 3.561845000 | -2.791897000 | -3.356036000 |
| 1 | 4.436665000 | -2.568003000 | -2.734514000 |
| 1 | 3.586483000 | -3.862298000 | -3.591789000 |
| 1 | 3.663624000 | -2.238452000 | -4.296872000 |
| 6 | -4.865738000 | -2.591167000 | 0.135339000 |
| 1 | -4.080166000 | -3.259405000 | -0.231950000 |
| 1 | -4.923896000 | -2.722455000 | 1.219560000 |
| 1 | -5.818487000 | -2.931340000 | -0.294925000 |
| $1 \cdot \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ |  |  |  |
| 26 | 2.046498000 | -0.018935000 | -0.112880000 |
| 15 | -0.961251000 | 0.070096000 | 0.988145000 |
| 15 | 0.289853000 | 1.523982000 | -0.134012000 |
| 15 | 0.397634000 | -1.595284000 | 0.412677000 |
| 15 | 0.883995000 | 0.696237000 | -2.052296000 |
| 15 | 0.970490000 | -1.424915000 | -1.678503000 |
| 7 | -3.451073000 | 1.104281000 | -0.207119000 |
| 7 | -3.453249000 | -1.093194000 | -0.200810000 |
| 6 | -2.747896000 | 0.005271000 | 0.233750000 |
| 6 | -4.488467000 | -0.670185000 | -1.018693000 |
| 1 | -5.152276000 | -1.352901000 | -1.517791000 |
| 6 | -4.484631000 | 0.677596000 | -1.025215000 |
| 1 | -5.142757000 | 1.359271000 | -1.533962000 |
| 6 | 4.022551000 | -0.061092000 | -0.694815000 |
| 6 | 3.336167000 | -0.411243000 | 1.493914000 |
| 6 | 3.787094000 | -1.068360000 | 0.301385000 |
| 6 | 3.716177000 | 1.215734000 | -0.114654000 |
| 6 | 3.292564000 | 0.994991000 | 1.237557000 |
| 6 | 4.056811000 | -2.539177000 | 0.160426000 |
| 1 | 3.341541000 | -3.136393000 | 0.735081000 |
| 1 | 5.064170000 | -2.788568000 | 0.522798000 |
| 1 | 3.986733000 | -2.862413000 | -0.882116000 |
| 6 | 3.053114000 | -1.077436000 | 2.810032000 |
| 1 | 2.313804000 | -0.521252000 | 3.394216000 |
| 1 | 3.969882000 | -1.147588000 | 3.412948000 |
| 1 | 2.664377000 | -2.090329000 | 2.672905000 |
| 6 | 4.587018000 | -0.293582000 | -2.066946000 |


| 1 | 4.291447000 | -1.269692000 | -2.462638000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.685307000 | -0.257391000 | -2.047084000 |
| 1 | 4.240613000 | 0.462426000 | -2.777762000 |
| 6 | 2.955292000 | 2.063354000 | 2.238381000 |
| 1 | 2.500050000 | 2.934492000 | 1.758742000 |
| 1 | 3.860523000 | 2.401860000 | 2.762548000 |
| 1 | 2.250915000 | 1.700947000 | 2.993282000 |
| 6 | 3.901864000 | 2.555807000 | -0.767150000 |
| 1 | 3.809593000 | 2.490137000 | -1.855035000 |
| 1 | 4.895660000 | 2.966452000 | -0.538741000 |
| 1 | 3.157727000 | 3.279895000 | -0.420125000 |
| 6 | -3.366192000 | -2.556154000 | 0.216955000 |
| 6 | -3.391875000 | 2.576926000 | 0.192124000 |
| 6 | -4.805190000 | -3.118311000 | 0.281517000 |
| 1 | -5.268359000 | -3.227898000 | -0.703271000 |
| 1 | -4.756563000 | -4.119931000 | 0.718073000 |
| 1 | -5.454270000 | -2.504375000 | 0.914777000 |
| 6 | -2.563980000 | -3.337505000 | -0.832886000 |
| 1 | -2.535416000 | -4.396517000 | -0.554336000 |
| 1 | -3.037941000 | -3.257886000 | -1.817751000 |
| 1 | -1.538557000 | -2.974743000 | -0.908009000 |
| 6 | -2.776826000 | -2.676242000 | 1.629279000 |
| 1 | -3.333145000 | -2.057149000 | 2.341101000 |
| 1 | -2.862733000 | -3.719868000 | 1.947489000 |
| 1 | -1.720081000 | -2.416810000 | 1.672643000 |
| 6 | -4.845429000 | 3.041500000 | 0.448318000 |
| 1 | -5.456059000 | 3.074877000 | -0.457865000 |
| 1 | -5.344470000 | 2.404835000 | 1.186425000 |
| 1 | -4.814006000 | 4.060334000 | 0.844710000 |
| 6 | -2.623171000 | 2.787561000 | 1.503521000 |
| 1 | -2.989031000 | 2.125826000 | 2.294692000 |
| 1 | -1.549203000 | 2.651596000 | 1.391246000 |
| 1 | -2.787938000 | 3.821340000 | 1.823862000 |
| 6 | -2.779396000 | 3.382149000 | -0.963539000 |
| 1 | -2.797574000 | 4.447899000 | -0.710689000 |
| 1 | -1.742707000 | 3.088384000 | -1.142343000 |
| 1 | -3.352360000 | 3.244472000 | -1.887371000 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ IDipp=CH |  |  |  |
| 26 | -3.483218000 | -0.000098000 | -0.449051000 |
| 15 | -0.533729000 | 0.000059000 | 0.311609000 |
| 15 | -1.740801000 | -1.648107000 | -0.437857000 |
| 15 | -1.740848000 | 1.648078000 | -0.438241000 |
| 15 | -2.585421000 | 1.074736000 | -2.361418000 |
| 15 | -2.585267000 | -1.075301000 | -2.361269000 |
| 7 | 3.040603000 | -1.092345000 | 0.622478000 |
| 7 | 3.040522000 | 1.092398000 | 0.622572000 |
| 6 | 4.107513000 | 0.676617000 | 1.413716000 |
| 1 | 4.759273000 | 1.389382000 | 1.891220000 |
| 6 | 4.107531000 | -0.676551000 | 1.413704000 |
| 1 | 4.759353000 | -1.389309000 | 1.891129000 |
| 6 | 2.000907000 | 3.223303000 | 1.277141000 |
| 6 | 2.001332000 | -3.223338000 | 1.277233000 |
|  | 2.742984000 | -2.481828000 | 0.333612000 |
| 6 | 2.394485000 | 0.000024000 | 0.122446000 |
| 1.770667000 | 4.572887000 | 0.986126000 |  |
| 1.198987000 | 5.175664000 | 1.683717000 |  |


| 6 | 1.499874000 | -2.625266000 | 2.589422000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.484275000 | -1.535747000 | 2.480299000 |
| 6 | 2.742825000 | 2.481860000 | 0.333667000 |
| 6 | 3.252841000 | -3.043516000 | -0.855062000 |
| 6 | 3.252874000 | 3.043604000 | -0.854892000 |
| 6 | 1.499298000 | 2.625209000 | 2.589263000 |
| 1 | 1.483665000 | 1.535699000 | 2.480108000 |
| 6 | -4.568566000 | -0.714492000 | 1.191496000 |
| 6 | 2.457447000 | -2.976504000 | 3.748439000 |
| 1 | 2.502122000 | -4.061268000 | 3.902033000 |
| 1 | 3.479149000 | -2.626075000 | 3.563373000 |
| 1 | 2.108824000 | -2.518636000 | 4.681276000 |
| 6 | 2.249104000 | 5.152965000 | -0.184618000 |
| 1 | 2.047917000 | 6.200582000 | -0.390573000 |
| 6 | 2.982313000 | -4.396122000 | -1.092643000 |
| 1 | 3.353771000 | -4.861487000 | -2.000719000 |
| 6 | -5.149994000 | -1.161653000 | -0.041112000 |
| 6 | 2.982218000 | 4.396177000 | -1.092544000 |
| 1 | 3.353826000 | 4.861579000 | -2.000541000 |
| 6 | 4.101663000 | -2.259805000 | -1.852586000 |
| 1 | 4.121348000 | -1.210906000 | -1.540261000 |
| 6 | 1.771217000 | -4.572963000 | 0.986286000 |
| 1 | 1.199756000 | -5.175798000 | 1.684003000 |
| 6 | 4.102053000 | 2.260034000 | -1.852225000 |
| 1 | 4.122196000 | 1.211211000 | -1.539664000 |
| 6 | 0.063180000 | -3.055538000 | 2.934626000 |
| 1 | -0.623785000 | -2.850646000 | 2.108894000 |
| 1 | 0.003041000 | -4.122231000 | 3.180525000 |
| 1 | -0.283532000 | -2.499937000 | 3.813042000 |
| 6 | -4.568339000 | 0.714461000 | 1.191511000 |
| 6 | 2.249497000 | -5.152997000 | -0.184540000 |
| 1 | 2.048419000 | -6.200647000 | -0.390434000 |
| 6 | -5.421713000 | -2.590921000 | -0.412896000 |
| 1 | -5.483656000 | -2.719878000 | -1.497157000 |
| 1 | -4.632448000 | -3.257659000 | -0.050978000 |
| 1 | -6.372038000 | -2.934097000 | 0.020678000 |
| 6 | -4.130240000 | -1.586881000 | 2.332560000 |
| 1 | -3.826648000 | -2.578820000 | 1.987707000 |
| 1 | -3.280505000 | -1.151573000 | 2.867503000 |
| 1 | -4.948653000 | -1.718519000 | 3.055512000 |
| 6 | 5.560864000 | 2.760935000 | -1.843945000 |
| 1 | 5.623352000 | 3.808767000 | -2.158991000 |
| 1 | 6.004543000 | 2.687106000 | -0.844610000 |
| 1 | 6.172910000 | 2.166930000 | -2.532631000 |
| 6 | -5.509785000 | 0.000167000 | -0.804023000 |
| 6 | 3.513657000 | 2.297595000 | -3.275433000 |
| 1 | 2.481548000 | 1.932995000 | -3.294272000 |
| 1 | 3.516302000 | 3.312549000 | -3.688010000 |
| 1 | 4.109858000 | 1.668133000 | -3.946102000 |
| 6 | 2.456774000 | 2.976400000 | 3.748380000 |
| 1 | 3.478495000 | 2.625967000 | 3.563400000 |
| 1 | 2.501452000 | 4.061159000 | 3.902010000 |
| 1 | 2.108061000 | 2.518510000 | 4.681173000 |
| 6 | 0.062605000 | 3.055551000 | 2.934372000 |
| 1 | 0.002570000 | 4.122195000 | 3.180532000 |
| 1 | -0.624291000 | 2.850956000 | 2.108507000 |


| 1 | -0.284292000 | 2.499774000 | 3.812599000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | -5.149685000 | 1.161838000 | -0.041079000 |
| 6 | 3.513274000 | -2.297911000 | -3.275782000 |
| 1 | 3.516739000 | -3.312880000 | -3.688327000 |
| 1 | 2.480876000 | -1.934131000 | -3.294630000 |
| 1 | 4.108974000 | -1.668007000 | -3.946478000 |
| 6 | -6.227784000 | 0.000120000 | -2.122933000 |
| 1 | -5.972968000 | 0.881176000 | -2.719105000 |
| 1 | -5.970676000 | -0.879443000 | -2.720330000 |
| 1 | -7.316837000 | -0.001407000 | -1.973492000 |
| 6 | -4.129632000 | 1.586746000 | 2.332499000 |
| 1 | -3.281196000 | 1.150203000 | 2.868505000 |
| 1 | -3.823878000 | 2.577927000 | 1.987343000 |
| 1 | -4.948514000 | 1.720295000 | 3.054574000 |
| 6 | 5.560679000 | -2.760098000 | -1.844219000 |
| 1 | 6.004395000 | -2.685754000 | -0.844936000 |
| 1 | 5.623559000 | -3.808014000 | -2.158912000 |
| 1 | 6.172453000 | -2.166081000 | -2.533139000 |
| 6 | -5.420975000 | 2.591233000 | -0.412695000 |
| 1 | -4.631537000 | 3.257682000 | -0.050641000 |
| 1 | -5.482818000 | 2.720374000 | -1.496940000 |
| 1 | -6.371217000 | 2.934613000 | 0.020890000 |
| 6 | 1.219804000 | -0.000031000 | -0.727557000 |
| 1 | 1.178835000 | -0.895063000 | -1.347598000 |
| 1 | 1.178826000 | 0.894895000 | -1.347764000 |



Figure S 10. Molecule model of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$used for WBI calculations.

Table S 6. Wiberg bond indexes (WBI) for selected bonds in $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$. B3LYP/def2SVPD level of theory.

| Bond | Bond distance, $\AA$ | WBI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ta-P2 | 2.6433 | 0.8549 |
| Ta-P3 | 2.6324 | 0.8705 |
| Ta-P4 | 2.6802 | 0.7648 |
| P2-P3 | 2.2129 | 1.0064 |
| P2-P4 | 2.1954 | 1.0029 |
| P3-P4 | 2.1951 | 1.0137 |

Usually for the single bonds (bond order one) WBI value is slightly less than 1.0. WBI slightly larger than 1.0 may indicate small additional stabilization compared to the single bond.

Wiberg bond index matrix in the NAO basis:


1. Ta 0.00000 .85490 .87050 .76480 .11250 .12110 .26020 .33230 .0113 2. P 0.85490 .00001 .00641 .00290 .01230 .03030 .03780 .00440 .0004 3. P 0.87051 .00640 .00001 .01370 .03260 .00850 .00510 .01130 .0009 4. P 0.76481 .00291 .01370 .00000 .02990 .03830 .01130 .01100 .0003 5. O $0.11250 .01230 .03260 .02990 .0000 \quad 0.01750 .00150 .00780 .0001$ 6. O $0.12110 .03030 .00850 .03830 .01750 .0000 \quad 0.00330 .00290 .0003$ 7. C 0.26020 .03780 .00510 .01130 .00150 .00330 .00001 .26410 .0051 8. C $0.33230 .00440 .01130 .01100 .00780 .00291 .26410 .0000 \quad 0.8891$ 9. H 0.01130 .00040 .00090 .00030 .00010 .00030 .00510 .88910 .0000 10. C 0.32960 .01030 .01010 .00930 .00880 .01020 .03991 .22860 .0049
2. C 1.29280 .05700 .02650 .0928 0.0340 1.95020 .01060 .00560 .0006 12. С $0.29140 .00320 .0390 \quad 0.01080 .0040 \quad 0.00101 .27630 .03690 .0101$ 13. H 0.01180 .00020 .00090 .00060 .00030 .00010 .00500 .01010 .0003 14. C 0.01200 .00130 .00050 .00090 .00010 .00020 .98250 .01350 .0008 15. C 0.34250 .01430 .00590 .00930 .00470 .00970 .03950 .03540 .0100 16. H 0.01290 .00090 .00100 .00050 .00050 .00020 .00840 .00980 .0003 17. С 0.01370 .00110 .00060 .00070 .00040 .00040 .00720 .01370 .0008 18. C 0.00280 .00340 .00130 .0018 0.0001 0.00020 .01150 .00320 .0006
3. H 0.00020 .00020 .00010 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00090 .00060 .0008 20. H 0.00230 .00640 .00100 .00090 .00010 .00020 .00080 .00020 .0000 21. H $0.0007 \quad 0.00030 .00010 .00010 .00000 .0000 \quad 0.0117 \begin{array}{llllllll} & 0.0005 & 0.0001\end{array}$ 22. C $1.26290 .0320 \quad 0.05950 .06631 .97840 .03480 .00560 .01900 .0003$ 23. C 0.00160 .00280 .00120 .00420 .00010 .00010 .01110 .00900 .0003 24. H 0.00270 .00820 .00110 .00160 .00020 .00030 .00090 .00030 .0000 25. H 0.00010 .00020 .00010 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00070 .00030 .0000 26. H $0.00050 .00010 .00010 .00020 .0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.01150 .00020 .0000$ 27. C 0.00660 .00280 .00020 .00110 .00010 .00010 .01590 .00740 .0002 28. H 0.00020 .00010 .00010 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00120 .00030 .0000 29. H 0.00010 .00010 .00000 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00110 .00050 .0001 30. H 0.00050 .00040 .00010 .00020 .00000 .00000 .01170 .00120 .0000 31. C $0.00940 .00030 .00030 .00030 .0007 \quad 0.0007 \begin{array}{lllllllll} & 0.0013 & 0.0068 & 0.0002\end{array}$ 32. H 0.00020 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00060 .0001 33. H 0.00030 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00030 .0000 34. H 0.00080 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00010 .00010 .00040 .00120 .0000 35. C 0.00150 .00040 .00010 .00020 .00010 .00220 .00060 .00860 .0003 36. H 0.00010 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00030 .0000 37. H 0.00210 .00150 .00010 .00050 .00000 .00250 .00010 .00030 .0000 38. H 0.00040 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00040 .00020 .0000 39. C 0.00330 .00020 .00120 .00030 .00070 .00030 .00110 .00280 .0005 40. H 0.00340 .00030 .00100 .00060 .00050 .00020 .00010 .00030 .0000
4. H $0.00030 .00000 .00030 .00000 .00010 .0000 \quad 0.00020 .00050 .0007$ 42. H 0.00080 .00000 .00010 .00000 .00010 .00000 .00020 .00040 .0000
5. Ta 0.32961 .29280 .29140 .01180 .01200 .34250 .01290 .01370 .0028 2. P 0.01030 .05700 .00320 .00020 .00130 .01430 .00090 .00110 .0034 3. P 0.01010 .02650 .03900 .00090 .00050 .00590 .00100 .00060 .0013 4. P 0.00930 .09280 .01080 .00060 .00090 .00930 .00050 .00070 .0018 5. O 0.00880 .03400 .00400 .00030 .00010 .00470 .00050 .00040 .0001 6. O 0.01021 .95020 .00100 .00010 .00020 .00970 .00020 .00040 .0002 7. C 0.03990 .01061 .27630 .00500 .98250 .03950 .00840 .00720 .0115 8. C $1.22860 .00560 .03690 .01010 .01350 .03540 .0098 \quad 0.01370 .0032$ 9. H 0.00490 .00060 .01010 .00030 .00080 .01000 .00030 .00080 .0006 10. C $0.0000 \quad 0.02060 .03870 .00840 .00751 .22950 .00500 .98050 .0010$ 11. C $0.02060 .0000 \quad 0.0048 \quad 0.00030 .0008$ 0.0215 0.00050 .00110 .0005 12. C $0.03870 .00480 .0000 \quad 0.8896 \quad 0.01321 .26450 .00350 .00740 .0094$ 13. H 0.00840 .00030 .88960 .00000 .00080 .00340 .00080 .00020 .0003 14. C $0.00750 .0008 \quad 0.01320 .00080 .00000 .00770 .00020 .00020 .9950$ 15. C $1.22950 .02151 .26450 .00340 .00770 .0000 \quad 0.88930 .01280 .0007$ 16. H 0.00500 .00050 .00350 .00080 .00020 .88930 .0000000000000003 17. C $0.98050 .00110 .00740 .00020 .00020 .01280 .0008 \quad 0.00000 .0000$ 18. C 0.00100 .00050 .00940 .00030 .99500 .00070 .00030 .0000000000 19. H 0.00020 .00010 .00030 .00000 .00310 .00000 .00000 .00000 .9325 20. H 0.00010 .0008 21. H 0.00020 .00010 .00030 .00000 .0028 0.0005 0.00010 .00000 .9340 22. C 0.01780 .05630 .01040 .00070 .00030 .00810 .00110 .00100 .0004 23. C $0.00050 .00030 .00300 .00070 .99650 .0009 \begin{array}{lllllllll} & 0.0000 & 0.0002 & 0.0099\end{array}$ 24. H 0.00010 .00060 .00020 .00010 .00410 .00010 .00000 .00000 .0006 25. H 0.00000 .00000 .00070 .00060 .00310 .00020 .00000000000 .0123 26. H 0.00040 .00000 .00050 .00010 .00280 .00020 .00000 .00010 .0010 27. C 0.00130 .00030 .00770 .00010 .98430 .00150 .00010 .00010 .0097 28. H 0.00010 .00000 .00040 .00010 .00320 .00010 .00000000000000121 29. H 0.00010 .00000 .00030 .00000 .00320 .00010 .00000 .00000 .0007
 31. C 0.01530 .00100 .00150 .00010 .00010 .00660 .00020 .98400 .0000 32. H $0.0010 \quad 0.00010 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00020 .00000 .00320 .0000$ 33. H 0.00120 .00010 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00040 .00010 .00320 .0000 34. H 0.01170 .00020 .00060 .00000 .00000 .00070 .00000 .00290 .0000 35. C $0.01120 .00110 .0008 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0003 \quad 0.0027 \begin{array}{llllllll} & 0.0007 & 0.9974 & 0.0000\end{array}$ 36. H 0.00070 .00010 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00070 .00050 .00320 .0000 37. H 0.00080 .00200 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00030 .00010 .00410 .0000 38. H 0.01150 .00010 .00020 .00000 .00010 .00050 .00010 .00290 .0000 39. C 0.01180 .00080 .00060 .00030 .00000 .00940 .00020 .99470 .0000
 41. H 0.00100 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00030 .00000 .00320 .0000 42. H 0.01190 .00010 .00050 .00010 .00000 .00030 .00000 .00270 .0000

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\text { Atom } & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & 26 & 27
\end{array}
$$

1. Ta 0.00020 .00230 .00071 .26290 .00160 .00270 .00010 .00050 .0066 2. P 0.00020 .00640 .00030 .03200 .0028 0.0082 0.00020 .00010 .0028 3. P 0.00010 .00100 .00010 .05950 .00120 .00110 .00010 .00010 .0002 4. P 0.00010 .00090 .00010 .06630 .00420 .00160 .00020 .00020 .0011 5. O 0.00000 .00010 .00001 .97840 .00010 .00020 .00000 .00000 .0001 6. O 0.00000 .00020 .00000 .03480 .00010 .00030 .00000 .00000 .0001 7. C 0.00090 .00080 .01170 .00560 .01110 .00090 .00070 .01150 .0159 8. C 0.00060 .00020 .00050 .01900 .00900 .00030 .00030 .00020 .0074 9. H 0.00080 .00000 .00010 .00030 .00030 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0002 10. C 0.00020 .00010 .00020 .01780 .00050 .00010 .00000 .00040 .0013 11. C $0.00010 .0008 \quad 0.00010 .05630 .00030 .00060 .00000 .00000 .0003$ 12. C 0.00030 .00020 .00030 .01040 .00300 .00020 .00070 .00050 .0077 13. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00070 .00070 .00010 .00060 .00010 .0001 14. C $0.00310 .00410 .0028 \quad 0.00030 .99650 .00410 .00310 .00280 .9843$ 15. C $0.0000 \quad 0.00010 .00050 .00810 .00090 .00010 .00020 .00020 .0015$ 16. H 0.00000 .00000 .00010 .00110 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000000001 17. C 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00100 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00010 .0001 18. C 0.93250 .91220 .93400 .00040 .00990 .00060 .01230 .00100 .0097 19. H 0.00000 .00120 .00060 .00000 .01220 .00020 .00110 .00030 .0008 20. H 0.00120 .00000 .00100 .00030 .00080 .00020 .00020 .0000000110 21. H 0.00060 .00100 .00000 .00000 .00090 .00000 .00020 .00050 .0009 22. C $0.0000 \quad 0.00030 .0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.00020 .00020 .0000 \quad 0.00000 .0002$ 23. C $0.01220 .0008 \quad 0.00090 .00020 .0000 \quad 0.91160 .93230 .93370 .0097$ 24. H 0.00020 .00020 .00000 .00020 .91160 .00000 .00110 .00100 .0107 25. H 0.00110 .00020 .00020 .00000 .93230 .00110 .00000 .00060 .0010 26. H 0.00030 .00000 .00050 .00000 .93370 .00100 .00060 .0000000008 27. C 0.00080 .01100 .00090 .00020 .00970 .01070 .00100 .00080 .0000
2. H 0.00020 .00100 .00020 .00000 .00060 .00020 .00040 .00000 .9305 29. H $0.00030 .00020 .0000 \quad 0.00000 .01200 .00100 .00020 .00020 .9311$ 30. H 0.00000 .00020 .00040 .00000 .00080 .00020 .00000 .00040 .9325 31. C 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00100 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0001 32. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 33. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 34. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 35. C 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 36. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 37. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 38. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 39. C 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00130 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 40. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00130 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 41. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 42. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\text { Atom } & 28 & 29 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 & 35 \\
\end{array}
$$

1. Ta 0.00020 .00010 .00050 .00940 .00020 .00030 .00080 .00150 .0001 2. P $0.00010 .00010 .00040 .00030 .0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.00040 .0000$ 3. P 0.00010 .00000 .00010 .00030 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00010 .0000 4. P 0.00010 .00010 .00020 .00030 .00000 .00010 .00010 .00020 .0000 5. O 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00070 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00010 .0000 6. O 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00070 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00220 .0000 7. C $0.00120 .00110 .01170 .00130 .00010 .00010 .00040 .0006 \quad 0.0000$ 8. С 0.00030 .00050 .00120 .00680 .00060 .00030 .00120 .00860 .0003 9. H 0.00000 .00010 .00000 .00020 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00030 .0000 10. C 0.00010 .00010 .00050 .01530 .00100 .00120 .01170 .01120 .0007 11. C 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00100 .00010 .00010 .00020 .00110 .0001 12. C $0.00040 .00030 .0010 \begin{array}{llllllllll} & 0.0015 & 0.0001 & 0.0001 & 0.0006 & 0.0008 & 0.0002\end{array}$ 13. H 0.00010 .00000 .00000 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 14. C 0.00320 .00320 .00300 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00030 .0000 15. C 0.00010 .00010 .00060 .00660 .00020 .00040 .00070 .00270 .0007 16. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00020 .00000 .00010 .00000 .00070 .0005 17. C $0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.98400 .00320 .00320 .00290 .99740 .0032$ 1. C 0.01210 .00070 .00070 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll} & \text { H } 0.0002 & 0.0003 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000\end{array}$ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllll}
\hline

 H 0.00100 .00020 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { H } 0.0002 & 0.0000 & 0.0004 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000\end{array}$ 2. C $0.0000 \quad 0.00000 .00000 .00100 .00000 .00000 .00020 .00020 .0000$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}C & 0.0006 & 0.0120 & 0.0008 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000\end{array}$ H 0.00020 .00100 .00020 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { H } & 0.0004 & 0.0002 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000\end{array}$ H 0.00000 .00020 .00040 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 7. C 0.93050 .93110 .93250 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { H } 0.0000 & 0.0006 & 0.0005 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000\end{array}$ H 0.00060 .00000 .00050 .00000 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 0. H 0.00050 .00050 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 

\hline

 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { H } & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0000 & 0.9310 & 0.0000 & 0.0006 & 0.0005 & 0.0118 & 0.0002\end{array}$ H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .93030 .00060 .00000 .00050 .00070 .0004 H $0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.93310 .00050 .00050 .00000 .00080 .0000$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}C & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0095 & 0.0118 & 0.0007 & 0.0008 & 0.0000 & 0.9318\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll} & 0.0 .0000\end{array}$ 

\hline
\end{tabular} $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}0.900 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0004 & 0.9333 & 0.0006\end{array}$ 39. C $0.0000 \quad 0.00000 .00000 .00960 .00070 .01200 .00060 .01000 .0123$ 40. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .01140 .00020 .00100 .00020 .00100 .0003 41. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00070 .00030 .00010 .00000 .01210 .0011 42. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00100 .00000 .00030 .00040 .00080 .0002

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Atom } & 37 & 38 & 39 & 40 & 41 & 42
\end{array}
$$

1. Ta 0.00210 .00040 .00330 .00340 .00030 .0008 2. P $0.00150 .00010 .00020 .00030 .0000 \quad 0.0000$ 3. P 0.00010 .00000 .00120 .00100 .00030 .0001 4. P 0.00050 .00000 .00030 .00060 .00000 .0000 5. O 0.00000 .00000 .00070 .00050 .00010 .0001 6. O 0.00250 .00010 .00030 .00020 .00000 .0000 7. C 0.00010 .00040 .00110 .00010 .00020 .0002 8. C 0.00030 .00020 .00280 .00030 .00050 .0004 9. H 0.00000 .00000 .00050 .00000 .00070 .0000 10. C 0.00080 .01150 .01180 .00070 .00100 .0119 11. C 0.00200 .00010 .00080 .00030 .00000 .0001 12. C 0.00010 .00020 .00060 .00010 .00000 .0005 13. H 0.00000 .00000 .00030 .00000 .00000 .0001 14. C 0.00000 .00010 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000
2. C 0.00030 .00050 .00940 .00040 .00030 .0003 16. H 0.00010 .00010 .00020 .00000 .00000 .0000
3. C 0.00410 .00290 .99470 .00410 .00320 .0027
4. C 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000
5. H $0.0000 \quad 0.00000 .0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0000$ 20. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 21. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 22. C 0.00010 .00000 .00130 .00130 .00020 .0002 23. C 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 24. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 25. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 26. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 27. C 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 28. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 29. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 30. H 0.00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .00000 .0000 31. C 0.01110 .00080 .00960 .01140 .00070 .0010 32. H 0.00100 .00020 .00070 .00020 .00030 .0000 33. H 0.00020 .00000 .01200 .00100 .00010 .0003 34. H $\quad 0.00020 .0004 \quad 0.0006 \quad 0.0002 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.0004$ 35. C 0.91810 .93330 .01000 .00100 .01210 .0008 36. H 0.00110 .00060 .01230 .00030 .00110 .0002 37. H 0.00000 .00100 .00060 .00020 .00010 .0000 38. H 0.00100 .00000 .00100 .00000 .00030 .0005 39. C $0.0006 \quad 0.0010 \quad 0.0000 \quad 0.9205 \quad 0.9307 \quad 0.9339$ 40. H 0.00020 .00000 .92050 .00000 .00130 .0009 41. H 0.00010 .00030 .93070 .00130 .00000 .0006 42. H 0.00000 .00050 .93390 .00090 .00060 .0000

Wiberg bond index, Totals by atom:
Atom 1

1. Ta 6.9386
2. P 3.0977
3. P 3.1015
4. P 3.0761
5. O 2.2486
6. O 2.2365
7. C 4.0470
8. C 3.9413
9. H 0.9398
10. C 4.0427
11. C 3.5848
12. C 3.9334
13. H 0.9361
14. C 4.0484
15. C 3.9353
16. H 0.9382
17. C 4.0488
18. C 3.8584
19. H 0.9558
20. H 0.9456
21. H 0.9561
22. C 3.5607
23. C 3.8573
24. H 0.9476
25. H 0.9557
26. H 0.9553
27. C 3.8687
28. H 0.9521
29. H 0.9527 30. H 0.9556 31. C 3.8691 32. H 0.9526 33. H 0.9521 34. H 0.9560 35. C 3.8583 36. H 0.9549 37. H 0.9483 38. H 0.9547 39. C 3.8618 40. H 0.9502 41. H 0.9544 42. H 0.9562


HOMO


HOMO-1


HOMO-3


LUMO


HOMO-2


HOMO-4

Figure S 11. HOMO and LUMO and other selected MOs of the anion of $6\left(=\left[\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}\right)$. B3LYP/def2-SVPD level of theory.
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### 4.1 Introduction


#### Abstract

The reactions of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-P_{2}\right)\right]$ (1) and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]\right.$ (2), respectively, towards the anions $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}^{-}$are reported. While the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with KOH gives the anionic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}(\mathbf{3})$ under a formal phosphorus abstraction, 1 reacts with $\mathrm{Li}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ under the alkylation leading to the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: I}-P^{t} B u\right)\right]^{-}$(4). The reaction of 2 with [IDipp-H][OH] ([IDipp-H] ${ }^{+}=1,3$-bis-(2,6-diisopropyl-phenyl)imidazolium) and $L^{t}$ Bu yield $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right]^{-}(5)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-P_{4}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{-}(6)$, respectively. Compounds $3,4,5$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were comprehensively characterized by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray structure analysis.


White phosphorus $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ can be activated with different methods. When $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ is reacted with 2,4,6-tri-tertbutylphenyllithium and 1-bromo-2,4,6-tri-tert-butylbenzene, a butterfly-like phosphorus moiety, which is stabilized by two 2,4,6-tri-tert-butylphenyl residues is obtained. ${ }^{[1]}$ Another way of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ activation can be obtained by a titanium halogen atom sink to remove the bromine atom from PhBr to yield $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in excellent yields. ${ }^{[2]}$ The polyphosphorus ligand complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right]$ (1) can form dimers with coinage metal salts, ${ }^{[3]} 1 \mathrm{D}$ polymers with copper halides ${ }^{[4]}$ and even 2D polymers with silver cations and organic linkers. ${ }^{[5]}$ Not only the coordination chemistry of $\mathbf{1}$ is already investigated, but also its oxidation and reduction behavior. The oxidation of $\mathbf{1}$ with thiantrenium salt yields unprecedented $\mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{2+}$ chains. ${ }^{[6]}$ The reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Yb})$ leads to the reduction of the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ unit and the formation of the 16 -membered bicyclic compounds $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Ln}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CpMo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{4}\right](\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Yb})\right.$ as major products. In case of samarocene, two minor products, the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CpMo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ and the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Sm}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CpMo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{3}\right]$, are formed as well, revealing that in addition to the reduction of $\mathbf{1}$ a rearrangement takes place. ${ }^{[7]}$ Out of the numerous polyphosphorus ligand complexes ${ }^{[8]}$ only few examples contain a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ unit and hence their reactivity is investigated to a lesser extent. Three of the known cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ complexes contain a group five element, which are $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[0]}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}=\right.\right.$ pentamethylcyclopentadienyl), $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[10]}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}=1,3\right.$-di-tert-butylcyclopentadienyl) (2), and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right] .{ }^{[1]}\right.$ After these pioneering results, it took over two decades to discover other cyclo-P4 ligand complexes. In 2017 [ $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{4}-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[12]}\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}, "=1,2,4\right.$-tri-tert-butylcyclopentadienyl) was published followed by the iron complex $\left[\left({ }^{\mathrm{Ph}} \mathrm{PP}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{Cy}}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[13]}$ and by the molybdenum complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{Dipp} 2} \mathrm{NC}\right) \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{Dipp} 2}=2,6-\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(2,6-(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right) .{ }^{[14]}$ The reactivity of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]\right.$ (2) towards Lewis acids was studied inter alia by our group. It has been shown that complex $\mathbf{2}$ can form spherical molecules with copper halides. ${ }^{[15]}$ Although the coordination behavior of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ and the redox properties of $\mathbf{1}$ have been extensively studied, their reactivity towards nucleophiles has not been investigated yet. This might be a way for obtaining organo phosphorus moieties. Herein, we report on the reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{, ’} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]\right.$ (2) towards the nucleophiles $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}^{-}$, which reveal a unique way of functionalization.

### 4.2 Results and Discussion



Scheme 1. Reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ towards KOH and $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})$ was reacted with an excess of KOH in thf at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for seven days. At room temperature, no reaction is obtained, which is surprising in view of the easy reaction of 1 with $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra of the reaction mixture show still unreacted 1 after several days. After 7 seven days, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra show the exclusively formation of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$(3). After workup $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right][3]$ can be isolated in a crystalline yield of $81 \%$ (Figure 1$)$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra of the reaction mixture show no signal of the abstracted P atom in a range from 300 to -300 ppm . Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was already synthesized by Mays et al. ${ }^{[16]}$ and our group, ${ }^{[17]}$ but it was not isolated in pure form or further characterized besides by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. Mays used the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ with KOH and added $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ to yield $\left[\left\{\mathrm{CpMo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu-\mathrm{PH}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{A})$, whereas our group described a high yield one-pot reaction for $\mathbf{A}$ using $\left[\mathrm{CpMo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]_{2}, \mathrm{LiP}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture of 3 shows a triplett at 49.8 ppm with a coupling constant ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PH}}$ of 318 Hz , whereas the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum shows a singlet at 49.8 ppm . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture shows a singlet at 4.83 ppm for the Cp ligands and a doublet at 4.44 ppm with a coupling constant of 318 Hz for the $\mathrm{PH}_{2}$ unit. The nature of the eliminated phosphorus atom from 1 could not be identified neither by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy of the reaction mixture nor by other analytical methods. Single crystals of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right][3]$ suitable for X-ray diffractions could be obtained by a saturated solution in thf layered with $n$-hexane and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The X-ray structure analysis shows a three membered ring with two molybdenum atoms and one phosphorus atom to which two hydrogen atoms are bonded (Figure 1). The Mo-Mo distance in 3 with $3.1826(10) \AA$ is slightly longer than that in $\mathbf{1}$ (Mo-Mo 3.022(1) $\AA$ ). This lengthening of the Mo-Mo bond length is probably due to the increase of the ring strain in $\mathbf{3}$ compared to 1 .


Figure 1. Molecular structure in the solid state of 3. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles []: Mo1-P 2.375(2), Mo2-P 2.378(2), Mo1-Mo2 3.1826(10), P-Mo1-Mo2 48.00(6), P-Mo2-Mo1 47.93(6), Mo1-P-Mo2 84.07(8), H1A-P-H1B 104(8).

When $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ is added to an orange solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right](1)$ at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, an immediate color change to red-brown is observed. After work-up, $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{dme})_{3}\right][4]\left(4=\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 1}-\mathrm{PP}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{-}\right)$, can be isolated as dark red crystals in $38 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture shows only two doublets at 191.9 and 59.8 ppm of $\mathbf{4}$ with a ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}$ coupling constant of 454 Hz . In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{4}$ the signal centered at 191.9 ppm is considerably broadened as a consequence of the unresolved coupling to the hydrogen atoms of the tert-butyl substituents. All efforts to obtain good quality crystals of $\mathbf{4}$ for X-ray structure determination were not successful, since the crystals lose some solvents molecules and lose crystality. However, we were able to record a data set from which the atom connectivity of $\mathbf{4}$ could be unambiguously determined (Figure 2).


Figure 2. The molecule structure in the solid state of 4. The H atoms are omitted for clarity.


Scheme 2. Reactivity of $\mathbf{2}$ towards [IDipp-H][OH] and Li'Bu.
After these successful attempts of nucleophilic functionalization, the question arose, if the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{Ta}}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2) can be functionalized as well with nucleophiles. All attempts to produce the anionic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} \text { ' } \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right]^{-}(\mathbf{5})$ in reactions of $\mathbf{2}$ with KOH were unselective. Several byproducts were formed and a separation of the produced mixture was not successful regardless of numerous attempts. Therefore, a different source for the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$anions was used, the in situ generated imidazolium salt [IDipp-H][OH] (IDipp $=1,3$-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-imidazole-2-ylidene). For this an equimolar amount of water was reacted with the NHC (= N-heterocyclic carbene). With the resulting counter cation [IDipp- $\mathrm{H}^{+}$of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, the reaction with $\mathbf{2}$ was selective to obtain [IDipp-H][5] in $81 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 2 shows an AMM ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ spin system, with three distinct multiplets centered at $60.1,40.2$ and -114.8 ppm . The signals exhibit an integral ratio of 1:2:1, and a coupling pattern which indicates an intact $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ring. In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum the signal centered at 60.1 show a further coupling with a ${ }^{1} J_{\text {PH }}$ coupling constant of 362.3 Hz . Both ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra have been simulated and all coupling constants are given in the supporting information. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of [IDipp-H][5] shows a doublet of triplets of doublets at 6.40 ppm with the three coupling constants ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PH}}$ $362.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}} 21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}} 4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$. This high coupling constant between the phosphorus atom and the hydrogen atom indicates a direct bonding between the phosphorus atom and the hydrogen atom. This evidence is proofed by the molecular structure of $\mathbf{5}$ in the solid state. X-ray structure investigations of [IDipp-H][5] show a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ring with three phosphorus atoms coordinating to the tantalum atom (Figure 3). The fourth phosphorus atom is bend and bears an oxygen atom in the endo-position and a hydrogen atom in the exo-position. The position of the hydrogen atom at the phosphorus atom could be located from the difference Fourier map and was freely refined. There is no big difference between the $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ distances in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, respectively.


Figure 3. Molecular structure in the solid state of 5. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Ta-P2 2.447(7), Ta-P3 2.514(3), Ta-P4 2.541(5), P1-P2 2.171(3), P2-P3 2.208(3), P3-P4 2.208(3), P4-P1 2.169(3), P1-O3 1.511(7), P1-P2-P3 84.21(13), P2-P3-P4 88.11(11), P3-P4-P1 84.23(12), P4-P1P2 90.07(12).

When $\mathrm{Lit}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ is added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ in thf at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ an immediate color change from sunny yellow to red is maintained. After workup, crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right][6]\left(6=\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{-}$) can be isolated in $53 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{6}$ shows an $\mathrm{AMX}_{2}$ spin system with the three signals centered at 108.1, -15.1 and -89.8 ppm with an integral ratio of 1:1:2, respectively. This is an indication of an intact $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ring. Single crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right][6]$ were obtained from a saturated solution in thf layered with $n$-hexane and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The structure of $\mathbf{6}$ reveal a cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ring, similar to that found in $\mathbf{5}$, which coordinated in a $\eta^{3}$ fashion to a Cp ' $\mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ fragment (Figure 4). The fourth phosphorus atom is not bonded to the tantalum but form a new bond to the ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Bu}$ unit in endo-position. The Ta-P distances in $\mathbf{6}$ are very similar to the corresponding distances in $\mathbf{5}$. The distance between the phosphorus atom and the carbon atom is 1.903(4) $\AA$ and is characteristic for a P-C single bond. ${ }^{[18]}$


Figure 4. Molecular structure in the solid state of 6. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Ta-P2 2.6046(10), Ta-P3 2.5778(9), Ta-P4 2.5971(10), P1-P2 2.2047(14), P2-P3 2.2074(14), P3-P4 2.005(14), P4-P1 2.2167(14), P1-C16 1.903(4), P1-P2-P3 91.63(5), P2-P3-P4 84.02(5), P3-P4-P1 91.50(5), P4-P1-P2 83.70(5).

### 4.3 Conclusion and References

In summary, we have shown that $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2) reveal a different reactivity towards hydroxide and tert-butyl anions. This gives access to novel functionalized compounds such as $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{4}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}(3),\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 1}-\mathrm{PP}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{-}(4),\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right]^{-}(\mathbf{5})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{-}$(6). This shows, that $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ can be used not only as building block in supramolecular coordination chemistry with transition metals but also as starting materials for the synthesis of functionalized complexes. The most interesting result is the formation of complex $\mathbf{3}$ with a phosphorus atom being abstracted from $\mathbf{1}$. This exhibits the potential of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ligand complexes to release a phosphorus atom which can be functionalized to useful P containing products.
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### 4.4 Supporting Information

## Experimental details: complex syntheses and characterization

General procedures: All manipulations were performed with rigorous exclusion of oxygen and moisture in Schlenk-type glassware on a dual manifold Schlenk line in Argon atmosphere or in Argon filled glove box with a high-capacity recirculator $\left.(<0.1 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{O})_{2}\right)$. Toluene thf, dme and $n$-hexane were dried using conventional techniques, degassed and saturated with Argon. Deuterated solvents were degassed, dried and distilled prior to use. The complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right]^{[1]}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]^{[2]}(2)$ and the NHC IDipp ${ }^{[3]}$ were prepared according to its published procedure. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 MHz and Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts are given in ppm; they are referenced to TMS for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ as external standard. Elemental analyses (CHN) were determined using in-house facility.

Synthesis of $\left[K(t h f)_{4}\right][3], \quad[3] \quad=\quad\left[\mathbf{C p}_{2} \mathbf{M o}_{2}(\mathbf{C O})_{4}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{P H}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}: \quad 100 \mathrm{mg} \quad(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})$ and $40 \mathrm{mg}(0.71 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{KOH}$ are dissolved in 20 mL thf and stirred for seven days at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The color of the solution is changing from orange to bordeaux. The solution was reduced to 3 mL , layered with $n$-hexane and crystalized at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After five days clear dark plates can be isolated of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PH}_{2}\right)\right](130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.163 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ yield $)$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ thf $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=318 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PH}_{2}\right), 4.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\left\{{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\right.$ thf- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=4.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PH}_{2}\right), 4.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$.
${ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\right.$ thf $\left.^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=49.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P})$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (thf-d $\left.8,300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=49.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=318 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right)$.

EI-MS (dme): $m / z=466.8\left(100 \%,\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}\right)$.

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{KMo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}\left(506,19 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}: 33.22, \mathrm{H}: 2.39$; found [\%]: C: 33.74, $\mathrm{H}: 2.71$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{L i}(\mathbf{d m e})_{3}\right][4], \quad[4]=\left[\mathbf{C p}_{2} \mathbf{M o}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{C O})_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{2}: \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{- P P}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{B u}\right)\right]^{-}: 80 \mathrm{mg} \quad(0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right](1)$ are dissolved in 15 mL thf, cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $21 \mathrm{mg}(0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.19 mL ) $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ in $n$-pentane is added. An instant color change from orange to brown is observed. After the solution was heated to ambient temperature overnight, all volatiles are removed, the oily residue is dissolved in 3 mL dme and layered with $9 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane. Red brownish crystal blocks of $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{dme})_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Cp}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 1}-\mathrm{PP}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right](50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%$ yield $)$ are obtained after storage for five days at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (thf-d $\left.{ }_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=0.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 4.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{thf}-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=191.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=454 \mathrm{~Hz}, P-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Bu}\right), 59.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=454 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, P-P-'Bu).
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (thf- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=191.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=454 \mathrm{~Hz}, P-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Bu}\right), 59.8\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=454 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}-P-{ }^{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\mathrm{B}}\right.$ ). The exact ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}$ coupling constant could not be determined.

EI-MS (dme) $m / z=552.9\left(100 \%,\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 1}-\mathrm{PP}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{-}\right)$.
EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27.2} \mathrm{H}_{37.4} \mathrm{LiMo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6.3} \mathrm{P}_{2}\left(725.95 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}: 45.00, \mathrm{H}: 5.19$; found [\%]: C: 44.70, H: 5.19.

Synthesis of [IDipp-H][5], [5] = $\left.\mathbf{[ C p}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{T a}(\mathbf{C O})_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{O H}\right)\right]^{-}: 80 \mathrm{mg}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2) were dissolved and 5 mL of a stock solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in thf $(\mathrm{c}=0.029$ $\left.\mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right)$ were added. No color change was observed. Afterwards, $58 \mathrm{mg}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ of IDipp were dissolved in thf and added to the sunny yellow solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. A light color change to light brown was observed and the solution was stirred for three days, were the brown coloring of the solution got intensified. After removing all volatiles in vaccuo, the brown residue was dissolved in 3 mL dme, was layered by $15 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain brown blocks of [IDipp-H][Cp'’ $\left.\mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right]$ ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (thf- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right.$ ), $1.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Dipp}{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{HH}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.36$ (d, 12H, Dipp ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.65\left(\mathrm{sept}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Dipp}{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=2.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.59\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.40\left(\mathrm{dtd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=362.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 8.41 (s, 2H, H-CN), 11.64 (s, 1H, N2C-H).
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{thf}-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=60.1\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 40.2\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}^{\prime}}\right),-114.8\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$.
For coupling constants see Table S 1 .
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ thf $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=60.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 40.2\left(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}}\right),-114.8\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$. For coupling constants see Table S 2.

EI-MS (dme): anion mode: $m / z=555.00\left(100 \%,\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right)\right.$, cation mode: $m / z=389.29\left(100 \%,\left[(\mathrm{IDipp}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)\right.$.
EA: due to the high sensitivity of [IDipp- H$]\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right]$ towards moisture and air, it was not possible to obtain an exact elemental analysis. Although several samples were used.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{L i}(t h f)_{4}\right][6],[6]=\left[\mathbf{C p}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{T a}(\mathbf{C O})_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{- P}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{B u}\right)\right]^{-}$: A solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right](\mathbf{2})$ in 20 mL thf is cooled down to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}(0.18 \mathrm{mmol})^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuLi}$ in $n$-pentane was added. An instant color change from sunny yellow to red is obtained. The reaction mixture is warmed up to ambient room temperature overnight. The solution was reduced to 3 mL and layered with 10 mL n-hexane. Clear light brown plates of $\left[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right](85 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.096 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ ) are formed after 4 days at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (thf $/ \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ capillary, 300 K$): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=1.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 1.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}-{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 6.03(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{thf} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$ capillary, 300 K$): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=108.1\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right),-15.1\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}\right),-89.8\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}}\right)$. For coupling constants see Table S 3 .
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (thf $/ \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ capillary, 300 K$): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=108.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right),-15.1\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}\right),-89.8\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}}\right)$, the phosphorus phosphorus coupling are the same like in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum. The exact ${ }^{3} J_{\text {PH }}$ coupling constant could not be determined.

EI-MS (dme): $m / z=1197.4\left(100 \%,\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{-}{ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]_{2}+\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\right\}^{-}\right)$.
EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{TaLi}\left(602,22 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}: 37.89, \mathrm{H}: 5.02$; found [\%]: C: $37.69, \mathrm{H}: 4.95$.

## Experimental and simulated NMR spectra



Figure S 1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum (top) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum (bottom) of compound $\mathbf{3}$ at 300 K in thf- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$.


Figure S 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ NMR spectrum (top) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (bottom) from 4.9 to 4.0 ppm of $\mathbf{3}$ at 300 K in thf- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$.


Figure S 3. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum (top) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum (bottom) of $\mathbf{4}$ at 300 K in thf- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$.


Figure S 4. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5}$ at 300 K in thf- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$.

Table S 1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{5}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $\boldsymbol{J}(\mathbf{H z})$ |  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | 230.2 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 232.4 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 60.1 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | 233.2 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 226.3 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | 40.2 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | 7.5 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | 0.5 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -114.8 |



Figure S 5. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of 5 at 300 K in thf- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$.

Table S 2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for 5 obtained from the simulation.

| $J$ (Hz) |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | 232.2 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {P }} \text { P }}$ | 231.6 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 60.1 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | 232.2 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 230.1 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 40.2 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 3.5 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | -4.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -114.8 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}},}{ }^{\text {H }}$ | 362.3 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}(\text {, }}$ | 21.4 |  |  |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M},}{ }^{\text {H }}}$ | 21.0 | ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{H}}$ | 4.3 |  |  |



Figure S 6. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$ at 300 K in thf with a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ capillary. Impurities are marked with *.

Table S 3. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for 6 obtained from the simulation.

| $\boldsymbol{J}(\mathbf{H z})$ |  | $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{p p m})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | 16.8 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 108.1 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}},{ }_{\mathrm{P}}}$ | 150.8 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | -15.1 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 232.9 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -89.8 |

## Details on X-ray structure determinations

All single crystal structure analyses were performed using Agilent Technologies diffractometer (GV50, TitanS2 detector) with $\mathrm{Cu}_{K \alpha}$ radiation. Frames integration and data reduction were performed with the CrysAlisPro (Version 1.171.37.34 ([K(thf) $\left.\left.)_{4}\right][3]\right)$, 1.171.41.54a ([IDipp-H][5], [Li(thf) $\left.)_{4}\right][6]^{[4]}$ software package. Using Olex $2,{ }^{[5]}$ structures 5 and 6 were solved by ShelXT, ${ }^{[6]}$ Structure 3 was solved with SIR97 ${ }^{[7]}$ and a least-square refinement on $F^{2}$ was carried out with ShelXL for all structures. ${ }^{[8]}$ All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms at the carbon atoms were located in idealized positions and refined isotropically according to the riding model.

The images showing the compounds 3-6 were generated using Olex2. ${ }^{[5]}$

Compound 3: One CO ligand, the potassium atom and the thf molecules are disordered over two positions (56:44). The restraints SADI and SIMU were applied to describe these disorders.

Compound 5: The Cp" ligand is disordered over two positions (80:20) and the Ta atom is disordered over two positions (58:42). The restraints SADI and SIMU were applied to describe these disorders.

Compound 6: One thf molecule is disordered over two positions (52:48) and the restraints SADI and SIMU were applied to describe these disorders.

Table S 4: Crystallographic data and detail of the compounds $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right][3]$, [IDipp-H][5] and [Li(thf) 4$][6]$.

| Compound | [K(thf) ${ }_{\text {] }}$ [3] | [IDipp-H][5] | [Li(thf) ] [6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| File Name | FR033 | FR110 | FR073 |
| CCDC |  |  |  |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{16.5} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Ta}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{LiO}_{6} \mathrm{P} 44 \mathrm{Ta}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.768 | 1.366 | 1.426 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 11.430 | 5.631 | 6.664 |
| Formula Weight | 1843.02 | 1018.86 | 889.60 |
| Color | clear dark red | brown | clear light brown |
| Shape | plate | block | plate |
| Max size/mm | 0.41 | $0.18 \times 0.07 \times 0.05$ | $0.61 \times 0.35 \times 0.06$ |
| Mid size/mm | 0.39 | 122.99(19) | 123.00(10) |
| Min size/mm | 0.08 | orthorhombic | monoclinic |
| T/K | 122.99(13) | Pbca | $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| Crystal System | monoclinic | 19.8677(4) | 11.86250(10) |
| Space Group | C2 | 17.9409(3) | 21.7618(3) |
| $a / \AA$ | 43.5147(8) | 27.8048(6) | 16.0909(2) |
| $b / \AA$ | 7.94643(15) | 90 | 90 |
| $c / \AA$ | 20.3986(3) | 90 | 94.0170(10) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta{ }^{\prime}$ | 100.9442(15) | 9910.9(3) | 4143.65(8) |
| $\gamma^{\prime}$ | 90 | 8 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \AA^{3}$ | 6925.3(2) | 1 | 1 |
| Z | 4 | 1.54184 | 1.54184 |
| $Z^{\prime}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K}_{a}$ | $\mathrm{CuK}{ }_{a}$ |
| $Q_{\text {min }}{ }^{10}$ | 1.54184 | 3.179 | 3.421 |
| $Q_{\text {max }}{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{CuK}_{a}$ | 74.366 | 74.316 |
| Measured Reflexes | 2.723 | 50470 | 27131 |
| Independent Reflexes | 74.437 | 9939 | 8180 |
| Reflections with I > 2(I) | 53433 | 8929 | 7348 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 12674 | 0.0528 | 0.1071 |
| Parameters | 11748 | 631 | 488 |
| Restraints | 0.0796 | 220 | 148 |
| Largest Peak | 1116 | 1.476 | 2.009 |
| Deepest Hole | 562 | -1.204 | -2.103 |
| GooF | 1.066 | 1.176 | 1.031 |
| $w R 2$ (all data) | -0.901 | 0.1519 | 0.1366 |
| $w R 2$ | 1.046 | 0.1496 | 0.1318 |
| $R 1$ (all data) | 0.1196 | 0.0825 | 0.0542 |
| R1 | 0.1144 | 0.0772 | 0.0503 |
| Flack Parameter | 0.274(18) | - | - |
| Hooft Parameter | 0.286(7) | - | - |



Figure S 7. Molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{4}\right][3]$ in the solid state. All thf molecules are drawn in the balls and sticks model. H atoms omitted for clarity. Selected distances [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Mo1-P1 2.375(2), Mo2-P1 2.378(2), Mo1-Mo2 3.1826(10), P1-Mo1-Mo2 48.00(6), P1-Mo2-Mo1 47.93(6), Mo1-P1-Mo2 84.07(8).


Figure S 8. Molecular structure of $\left[\operatorname{Li}(\mathrm{dme})_{3}\right][4]$ in the solid state. H atoms are omitted for clarity. However, it should be kept in mind, that the data quality of the measurement was low. Picture is shown to verify the certain atom connectivity.


Figure S 9. Molecular structure of $[\mathrm{IDipp}-\mathrm{H}][\mathbf{5}]$ in the solid state. The Dipp ligands are drawn in the balls and sticks model. Except the phosphorus bound H atom and the Carbene C bound H atom, are all H atoms omitted for clarity. Selected distances [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ]: Ta-P2 2.447(7), Ta-P3 2.514(3), TaP4 2.541(5), P1-P2 2.171(3), P2-P3 2.208(3), P3-P4 2.208(3), P4-P1 2.169(3), P1-O3 1.511(7), P1-P2P3 84.21(13), P2-P3-P4 88.11(11), P3-P4-P1 84.23(12), P4-P1-P2 90.07(12).


Figure S 10. Molecular structure of $\left[\operatorname{Li}\left(\mathrm{thf}_{4}\right)_{4}\right][6]$ in the solid state. H atoms are omitted for clarity. The Cp' ligand, the Li atom and all thf molecules are drawn in balls and sticks model. Selected distances $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]:$ Ta-P2 2.6046(10), Ta-P3 2.5778(9), Ta-P4 2.5971(10), P1-P2 2.2047(14), P2-P3 2.2074(14), P3-P4 2.005(14), P4-P1 2.2167(14), P1-C16 1.903(4), P1-P2-P3 91.63(5), P2-P3-P4 84.02(5), P3-P4-P1 91.50(5), P4-P1-P2 83.70(5).
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# 5. Functionalization of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{5}}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ by successive Reactions with Main Group Nucleophiles and Electrophiles containing Functional Groups 
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### 5.1 Introduction


#### Abstract

The reactions of different nucleophiles with [Cp*Fe $\left.\left.\eta^{5}-P_{5}\right)\right]$ (1) (Cp* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) and the subsequent reactions with electrophiles are reported. The reaction of 1 and deprotonated ethynylbenzene or doubly deprotonated 1,4-diethynylbenzene gives the anionic complexes $\left[\left(C p^{*} F e\left(\eta^{4}-P_{5} \equiv-P h\right)\right]^{-}(2 \boldsymbol{a})\right.$ and $\left[\left(C p^{*} F e\left(\eta^{4}-P_{5}\right)\right)_{2}\left(\equiv-C_{6} H_{4}-\equiv\right)\right]^{2-}$ (2b), respectively. Compounds [(Cp*Fe( $\left.\left.\eta^{4}-P_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{-}(\mathbf{3 a})$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}\right.$(3b) can be obtained by the reaction of 1 with $\mathrm{KCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ or $\mathrm{KCHPh}{ }_{2}$, respectively. Further functionalization of $\mathbf{3}$ a was possible with the electrophile MeI to yield the neutral iron complex [(Cp* $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]$ (4) with a distorted cyclo- $P_{5}$ ring. The anionic complex $\left[\left(C p^{*} F e\left(\eta^{4}-P_{5} M e\right)\right]^{-}\right.$(I) was reacted with different electrophiles  $\left.={ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{5 a}),{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathbf{5 b}),\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{5 c}),\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{5 d})\right)$.


White phosphorus is the starting material for numerous different reactions to yield organophosphorus derivatives. ${ }^{[1]}$ Usually it is halogenated to $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ and the chlorine substituents are further replaced by organic groups, which leads to stochiometric amount of waste ( $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{LiCl}$ ). ${ }^{[2]}$ Another approach to organophosphorus derivatives is the coordination of polyphosphorus units to transition metals and the subsequent functionalization. One special compound for this purpose is pentaphosphaferrocene $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}=\right.$ pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) which was discovered in 1987 by Scherer et al.. ${ }^{[3]}$ The reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ was widely investigated. Especially, the coordination chemistry of $\mathbf{1}$ towards copper halides is remarkable which results in the formation of 1D, 2D polymers ${ }^{[4]}$ or even larg spherical aggregates with fullerene-like structure. ${ }^{[5]}$ The redox chemistry of pentaphosphaferrocene was investigated via cyclovoltammetry by Winter and Geiger. ${ }^{[6]}$ Later, these predicted complexes i.e. the dication $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{4: 4}-\mathrm{P}_{10}\right)\right]^{2+}$ and the dianion $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\right)_{2}\left(\mu, \eta^{4: 4}-\mathrm{P}_{10}\right)\right]^{2-}$ as well as the monomeric dianion $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]^{2-}\right.$ were synthesized and characterized by our group. ${ }^{[7]}$ In these complexes the $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ligand shows a distorted, envelope-like conformation. Furthermore, complexes containing envelope-like cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ rings can be synthesized via the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with main group nucleophiles, such as $\mathrm{LiCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiNMe}_{2}{ }^{[8]}$ Until now, only nucleophiles which do not contain any functional groups were reacted with $\mathbf{1}$. However, nucleophiles that can be further functionalized, or possess a functional group would be of special interest for the functionalization of $\mathbf{1}$. Our group already showed that complexes of the type $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{R}\right)\right]^{-}(\mathrm{R}=\right.$ organic residue) react towards the organic electrophile MeI. ${ }^{[9]}$ The resulting neutral complexes $\left[\left(C p^{*} F e\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{R}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\right.\right.$ nucleophile, $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=$ electrophile $)$ exhibit a four times substituted phosphorus atom. Nevertheless, only methylated compounds were fully characterized and reactivity studies of electrophiles with a functional group are missing. Herein, we report the reactivity of pentaphosphaferrocene towards nucleophiles that can be further functionalized, such as phenylethyn-1-ide and 1,4-phenylenebis(ethyn-1-ide) and the subsequent reactions towards electrophiles.

### 5.2 Results and Discussion




3a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}(77 \%)$
3b: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ (47\%)

4 (56\%)
(i)
(iii)

(v)


I



Scheme 1. Reaction of 1: (i) ethynylbenzene, $\mathrm{KCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ in thf, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{r}$. ; (ii) 1,4-diethynylbenzene, $\mathrm{KCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ in thf, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ r.t; (iii) $\mathrm{KCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ or $\mathrm{KCHPh}_{2}$ in thf, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ r.t; (v) LiMe in dme, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ r.t.; reaction of 3a: (iv) MeI in thf, r.t.; reaction of $\mathbf{I}$ : (vi) isopropyl iodide, 1-bromobutane, 1,3dibromopropane or 1,4 -dibromobutane in dme, r.t; yields are given in parenthesis.

When ethynylbenzene or 1,4-diethynylbenzene is deprotonated with benzyl potassium and added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ in thf a color change from green to brown is observed. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the reaction solutions of the resulting products $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ show an $\mathrm{ABB}^{\prime} \mathrm{XX}^{\prime}$ ' spin system with resonances centered at $37.3,27.9$ and -58.4 ppm and at $35.8,27.1$ and -26.9 ppm , respectively (Scheme 1). Crystals of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ are obtained from a concentrated thf solution layered with $n$-hexane. Single crystal X-ray diffractions of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}$ reveal their molecular structure in the solid state (Figure 1). The main feature of the structure of $\mathbf{2 a}$ is a $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring in an envelope conformation which coordinates $\eta^{4}$ to the $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}$ fragment and to which a phenylethinyl unit is bound. In the solid state structure of $\mathbf{2 b}$ the two $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}$ units are bridged by a 1,4-phenylenebis(ethynyl) substituent (Figure 1). All P-P bonds are in the expected range and reveal double bond character with an average P-P bond length of $2.152 \AA$ in $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $2.148 \AA$ in $\mathbf{2 b}$. ${ }^{[10]}$


Figure 1. Molecular structure of 2a (top) and 2b (bottom). Hydrogen atoms, cations, 18c-6 and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): For 2a: P1-P2 2.142(5), P1-P5 2.162(3), P2-P3 2.187(3), P3P4 2.127(4), P4-P5 2.142(3), P1-C11 1.782(7), Fe1-P2 2.322(3), Fe1-P3 2.312(2), Fe1-P4 2.338(2), Fe1-P5 2.317(2); P5-P1-P2 93.56(16), P3-P2-P1 107.09(16), P4-P3-P2 103.14(13), P3-P4-P5 103.82(11), P4-P5-P1 107.18(14). For 2b: P1-P2 2.170(2), P1-P5 2.1711(16), P2-P3 2.1341(14), P3-P4 2.1490(15), P4-P5 2.1410(19), P1-C11 1.775(3), Fe1-P2 2.2631(12), Fe1-P3 2.3383(8), Fe1-P4 2.3832(13), Fe1-P5 2.3616(11); P5-P1-P2 92.37(6), P3-P2-P1 106.96(7), P4-P3-P2 103.30(6), P3-P4P5 103.28(8), P4-P5-P1 107.16(6).

The synthesis of analytically pure $\mathbf{2 b}$ is very challenging. The deprotonation of 1,4-diethynylbenzene is in most cases not complete and mono-deprotonated 1,4-diethynylbenzene ((4-ethynyphenyl)ethyn-1ide) still remains in the reaction mixture, and cannot be separated from the di-deprotonated species. In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the reaction mixture are two species with almost identical chemical shifts (Figure 2). For the simulation of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum two fragments with each five phosphorus atoms was used in a ratio of 68:32. An unambiguous assignment of signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum to the two complexes $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}-=-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\equiv-\mathrm{H}\right)\right]^{-}$is not possible. Due to the pronounced instability of $\mathbf{2 b}$, it could not be isolated in manageable amounts and therefore it is only characterized in the reaction solution. After many attempts of crystallization, we obtained in one case few single crystals of $\mathbf{2 b}$ which allowed its characterization by single crystal X-ray diffractions.


Figure 2. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}=-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\equiv\right)\right]^{-}$in thf with a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ capillary at 300 K . The signal at 150 ppm corresponds to unreacted 1.

An immediate color change from green to brown is observed when $\mathrm{KCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ or $\mathrm{KCHPh}_{2}$ is added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ in thf at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating the formation of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$, respectively (Scheme 1). Compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ can be isolated in good yields by layering a concentrated solution with $n$-hexane. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the isolated compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ show an AMM' XX' spin-system with three distinct sets of signals centered at 89.7, 18.4 and -73.0 ppm and at $103.8,17.6$ and -63.3 ppm , respectively. The molecular structures of $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{b}$ obtained by single crystal X-ray diffractions reveal an envelope-like $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring, similar to compounds $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}$ to which the nucleophiles are attached (Figure 3). The average P-P distances are $2.145 \AA$ in $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $2.153 \AA$ in $\mathbf{3 b}$, respectively, indicating double bond character for all P-P bonds. The other geometrical parameters are unexceptional.


Figure 3. Molecular structure in the solid state of 3a (left) and 3b (right). Hydrogen atoms, cations, $18 \mathrm{c}-6$ and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : For 3a: P1-P2 2.145(8), P1-P5 2.162(7), P2-P3 2.176(7), P3-P4 2.111(7), P4-P5 2.130(7), P1-C11 1.844(7), Fe1-P2 2.349(6), Fe1-P3 2.319(5), Fe1-P4 2.307(6), Fe1-P5 2.306(5); P5-P1-P2 93.0(3), P3-P2-P1 105.8(3), P4-P3-P2 103.5(3), P3-P4-P5 103.7(3), P4-P5-P1 106.7(3). For 3b: P1-P2 2.1657(8), P1-P5 2.1671(8), P2-P3 2.1514(8), P3-P4 2.1329(8), P4-P5 2.1502(8), P1-C11 1.900(2), Fe1-P2 2.3098(6), Fe1-P3 2.3333(6), Fe1-P4 2.3432(6), Fe1-P5 2.3180(6); P5-P1-P2 91.88(3), P3-P2-P1 106.37(3), P4-P3-P2 103.23(3), P3-P4-P5 103.12(3), P4-P5-P1 106.77(3).

As it was shown earlier by our group, complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{R}\right)\right]^{-}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right.$, Me ) possess nucleophilic character and are able to react with small electrophiles like MeI leading to the alkylated species. ${ }^{[9]}$ The so formed neutral complexes contain an envelope-like $P_{5}$ ring and a double substituted phosphorus atom. The sterical demand of the nucleophile as well as of the electrophile plays a crucial role in these reactions, even preventing in some cases the reaction. Since only reactions of MeI as electrophile with $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{R}\right)\right]^{]}$have been reported, the question arose, if also other electrophiles can be used and if these electrophiles can possess functional groups.

The reaction of $\mathbf{3 a}$ in thf with MeI do not leads to a color change but the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction solution show a complete conversion to the new product 4 with the three corresponding multiplets of an AMM'XX' spin system centered at 119.7, 36.0 and -123.4 ppm. Crystalline $\mathbf{4}$ could be obtained from a saturated solution in $n$-hexane at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{4}$ could be determined by X -ray diffractions (Figure 4). It shows an envelope-like $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring $\eta^{4}$ coordinated to the Cp *Fe fragment. To the phosphorus atom, which do not bound to iron, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ group is attached in endo-position and the methyl group in exo-position (Figure 4). The P-P bond lengths range between $2.1214(11) \AA$ and $2.1437(11) \AA$ and are in the range of P-P double bonds. The P-C distances are
$1.815(3) \AA$ to the C atom in exo-position and 1.840 (3) $\AA$ to the C atom in endo-position and corresponds as single bonds.


Figure 4. Molecular structure in the solid state of 4. Hydrogen atoms are omitted are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right):$ P1-P2 2.1429(10), P1-P5 2.1307(10), P2-P3 2.1214(11), P3-P4 2.1437(11), P4-P5 2.1375(11), P1C11 1.840(3), P1-C18 1.18158(3), Fe1-P2 2.3167(8), Fe1-P3 2.3391(8), Fe1-P4 2.3325(8), Fe1-P5 2.3290(8); P5-P1-P2 99.68(4), P3-P2-P1 100.35(4), P4-P3-P2 105.23(4), P3-P4-P5 105.33(4), P4-P5P1 100.18(4).

The reactivity of the anionic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{Me}\right)\right]^{-}(\mathbf{I})$ towards MeI leading to the neutral complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{Me}\right)\right]$ has been reported. To investigate the reactivity of I towards more bulky electrophiles or di-halogen-alkanes. We reacted I with isopropyl iodide, 1-bromobutane, 1,3dibromopropane and 1,4-dibromobutane. To a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ in dme the corresponding RX was added and a slightly color change from dark greenish brown to dark reddish brown was observed. After work-up, compounds $\mathbf{5 a - d}$ can be isolated in good crystalline yield from a saturated $n$-hexane solution (Scheme 1). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of compounds $\mathbf{5 a - d}$ show three distinct multiplets of an AMM ${ }^{\prime} X X$ ' spin system (Table 1). The chemical shift for the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}$ atoms and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ atoms are almost identical. The biggest difference for the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ atoms is for complex $\mathbf{5 a}$, which could be explained by the strong +I effect of the isopropyl group and the therefore resulting in a downfield shift.

Table 1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR shifts of complexes 5a-d.

| $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{p p m})$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}^{*}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | 152.0 | 33.3 | -135.8 |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | 132.3 | 32.2 | -130.1 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | 128.9 | 32.0 | -130.3 |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | 130.7 | 32.0 | -130.9 |

Single crystals X-ray structure determinations were carried out for all compounds 5a-d. The molecular structures reveal an envelope-like $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring, similar to their starting material $\mathbf{I}$, with the electrophile attached to the phosphorus atom P1 (Figure 5). All P-P bonds are in the range of phosphorus double bonds with bond length between $2.119(9) \AA$ and $2.155(3) \AA$ (Table 2). The outstanding feature of $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ is the presence of the bromine in the side chain, which potentially allows the further functionalization and derivatization.


Figure 5. Molecular structure in the solid state of $\mathbf{5 a}$ (left), $\mathbf{5 b}$ (second from left), $\mathbf{5 c}$ (second from right) and 5d (right). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : For 5a: P1-P2 2.142(2), P1-P5 2.1472(19), P2-P3 2.132(2), P3-P4 2.155(3), P4-P5 2.145(2), P1-C11 1.821(6), P1-C12 1.843(6), Fe1-P2 2.3166(15), Fe1-P3 2.3342(17), Fe1-P4 2.3371(17), Fe1-P5 2.3168(16); P5-P1-P2 97.89(8), P3-P2-P1 98.79(9), P4-P3-P2 105.04(9), P3-P4-P5 104.20(8), P4-P5-P1 98.95(9). For 5b: P1-P2 2.144(3), P1-P5 2.133(2), P2-P3 2.1341(14), P3-P4 2.134(3), P4-P5 2.141(3), P1-C11 1.829(6), P1-C12 1.833(6), Fe1P2 2.304(3), Fe1-P3 2.3285(16), Fe1-P4 2.361(3), Fe1-P5 2.3359(17); P5-P1-P2 98.62(11), P3-P2-P1 99.53(13), P4-P3-P2 105.01(11), P3-P4-P5 104.80(13), P4-P5-P1 99.37(12). For 5c: P1-P2 2.142(3), P1-P5 2.129(3), P2-P3 2.119(9), P3-P4 2.143(11), P4-P5 2.147(4), P1-C11 1.817(8), P1-C12 1.842(9), Fe1-P2 2.317(4), Fe1-P3 2.320(8), Fe1-P4 2.355(3), Fe1-P5 2.322(2); P5-P1-P2 98.89(14), P3-P2-P1 99.1(3), P4-P3-P2 106.0(3), P3-P4-P5 103.9(2), P4-P5-P1 99.49(14). For 5d: P1-P2 2.1404(13), P1-P5 2.1419(13), P2-P3 2.1394(18), P3-P4 2.1511(16), P4-P5 2.1335(17), P1-C11 1.823(4), P1-C12 1.825(5), Fe1-P2 2.3319(11), Fe1-P3 2.3241(12), Fe1-P4 2.3406(14), Fe1-P5 2.3279(10); P5-P1-P2 99.10(5), P3-P2-P1 100.18(6), P4-P3-P2 105.16(6), P3-P4-P5 104.88(7), P4-P5-P1 100.19(6).

### 5.3 Conclusion and References

In summary, the nucleophiles $\mathrm{KCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ and $\mathrm{KCHPh}_{2}$ were used to create the anionic cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ iron complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{-}$(3a) and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$(3b), respectively. With the knowledge that these anionic iron complexes react with small organic halides like MeI $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]$ (4) was synthesized. The attempt to synthesize bigger molecules with more than one pentaphosphaferrocene unit was quite difficult. The reactions of deprotonated ethynylbenzene and doubly deprotonated 1,4 -diethynylbenzene with $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ (1) yield the novel compounds $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}-\mathrm{C} \equiv-\mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{-}(\mathbf{2} \mathbf{a})$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right)_{2}\left(\equiv-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\equiv\right)\right]^{2-}(\mathbf{2 b})$, respectively. A total deprotonation of 1,4-diethynylbenzene is very important to obtain the exclusive formation of the desired compound 2b. However, complexes 2a,b are interesting starting materials due to their carbon carbon triple bonds and can probably be used in organic synthesis. The knowledge of the reported reactivity of the anionic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{Me}\right)\right]^{-}$(I) towards organic nucleophiles gives access to novel functionalized compounds $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Me}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{R}={ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}(\mathbf{5 a})\right.$, ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathbf{5 b}),\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{5 c}),\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{5 d})$ ). One phosphorus atom of the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ligand is functionalized twice. Especially compound $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ with a bromine functionality are interesting starting materials for further reactions like Grignard reaction or nucleophilic attack, to produce longer organic chains, unusual ring systems or groups that can subsequent substituted. Nevertheless, a wide range of different nucleophiles and electrophiles where used to discover more and understand better the reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ towards these ionic groups of compounds.
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### 5.4 Supporting Information

## Experimental details: complex syntheses and characterization

General procedures: All manipulations were performed with rigorous exclusion of oxygen and moisture in Schlenk-type glassware on a dual manifold Schlenk line in Argon atmosphere or in Argon filled glove box with a high-capacity recirculator ( $<0.1 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). Toluene thf, dme and $n$-hexane were dried using conventional techniques, degassed and saturated with Argon. Deuterated solvents were degassed, dried and distilled prior to use. The complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]^{[1]}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{Me}\right)\right]^{[2]}$ (I) and were prepared according to its published procedure. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 MHz and Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts are given in ppm; they are referenced to TMS for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ as external standard. Elemental analyses (CHN) were determined using in-house facility. ${ }^{[3]}$

Synthesis of $[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{1 8 c} \mathbf{- 6})(\mathbf{t h f})][\mathbf{2 a}],[\mathbf{2 a}]=\left[\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{F e}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{4}-\mathbf{P}_{5}-=-\mathbf{P h}\right)\right]^{-}: 0.18 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a stock solution of ethynylbenzene $\left(\mathrm{c}=0.828 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ in thf was added to $18.9 \mathrm{mg}(0.145 \mathrm{mmol})$ benzyl potassium in thf and the color was changed from red to colorless. Afterwards this solution was added to a solution of $50 \mathrm{mg}(0.145 \mathrm{mmol})\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain a color change from green to brown. The solution was slowly warmed up to ambient temperatures. All volatiles were removed in vacuum and the brown residue was washed three times with $10 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane. 38 mg ( 144 mmol ) 18c-6 was dissolved in thf 5 mL , added to the brown residue, layered with $20 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After two weeks metallic dark green blocks of $\left.[\mathrm{K}(18 \mathrm{c}-6)(\mathrm{thf})]\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)=\equiv-\mathrm{Ph}\right)\right](32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0389 \mathrm{mmol}, 27 \%$ yield) were formed.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (thf- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 1.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}\right)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\right.$ thf- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=37.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 27.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-58.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Table S 1.

Synthesis of $\left.\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{1 8 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{6})(\mathbf{t h f})_{2}\right)\right]_{2}[\mathbf{2 b}],[2 b]=\left[\left(\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{F e}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{4}-\mathbf{P}_{5}\right)\right)_{2}\left(=-\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}-\overline{=}\right)\right]^{2-}:$ A solution of 75 mg ( 0.576 mmol ) benzyl potassium in thf was added to $36.5 \mathrm{mg}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ 1,4-diethynylbenzene in thf. The red color of the benzyl potassium solution vanished and the resulting colorless, dreary solution was stirred for one hour. This solution was added to $200 \mathrm{mg}(0.578 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})$ in thf at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain a color change from green to brown. The solution was slowly warmed up to ambient temperatures. All volatiles were removed in vacuum and the resulting brownish residue washed with $10 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane three times. A solution of $152 \mathrm{mg}(0.575 \mathrm{mmol}) 18 \mathrm{c}-6$ was dissolved in 3 mL thf, added to the brown residue and layered with $10 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane. After three weeks at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dark brown plates of $\left[\mathrm{K}(18 \mathrm{c}-6)(\text { thf })_{2}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right)_{2}\left(\equiv-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\equiv\right)\right]$ (a few crystals) could be isolated.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (thf- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}\right)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{thf} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$ capillary, 300 K$): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=34.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 27.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-56.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Figure S 2.

Synthesis of $[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{1 8 c} \mathbf{- 6})][\mathbf{3 a}],[\mathbf{3 a}]=\left[\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{F e}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{P h}\right)\right]^{-}: 100 \mathrm{mg}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1}$ were dissolved in 5 mL thf and a solution of $37 \mathrm{mg}(0.284 \mathrm{mmol})$ of benzyl potassium in thf was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After warming up to ambient temperature overnight, all volatiles were removed in vaccum and the brownish residue was washed with $10 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane three times. A solution of $65 \mathrm{mg}(0.245 \mathrm{mmol}) 18 \mathrm{c}-6$ was dissolved in 3 mL thf and was added to the brownish residue and layered with $10 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane. After two weeks dark brown blocks of $[\mathrm{K}(18 \mathrm{c}-6)]\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right](164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ yield $)$ could be isolated.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\operatorname{thf}^{2}-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H},[\mathrm{K}(18 \mathrm{c}-6)]), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp} *)$, $1.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{thf}^{2}-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=89.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 18.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-73.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Table S 3 .

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FeKP}_{5}\left(476.17 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}: 42.88, \mathrm{H}: 4.66$; found [\%]: C: 42.30, $\mathrm{H}: 4.53$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{t h f})_{2}\right][\mathbf{3 b}],[\mathbf{3 b}]=\left[\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{F e}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{4}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{C H P h}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$: At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of $\mathrm{KCHPh}_{2} 59 \mathrm{mg}$ $(0.286 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL thf was added to a solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathbf{1} \mathrm{in} 7 \mathrm{~mL}$ thf. The color of the solution turned from green to brown. After slowly warming up to ambient temperature all volatiles were removed, the resulting brownish residue was washed with $10 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane, dissolved in 4 mL thf and layered with $15 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexane. After ten days dark brown blocks of $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}\right)\right]$ ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%$ yield) can be isolated.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(t h f-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 1.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}\right), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp} *)$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\right.$ thf- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=103.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 17.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-63.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Table S 4.

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{FeKOP}_{5}\left(624.37 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}: 51.93, \mathrm{H}: 5.48$; found [\%]: C: 52.09, H: 5.08.

Synthesis of $[4], \quad[4]=\left[\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{F e}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{4}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{M e}) \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{P h}\right)\right]: 300 \mathrm{mg} \quad(0.513 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{1.5}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]$ (3a) were dissolved in thf and 0.45 mL MeI in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.11 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right.$, 0.500 mmol ) was added slowly. After stirring for 18 hours, the solvent was removed, the brown residue extracted with $n$-hexane and the solution filtered through a frit. The solvent was reduced to 3 mL and
after seven days at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}\right)\right](130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ yield $)$ could be isolated as dark brown blocks.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H, P-Me).
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=119.7(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 36.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-123.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Table S 5.

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19,2} \mathrm{H}_{27,8} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\left(469,34 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C: 49.13, $\mathrm{H}: 5.97$; found [\%]: C: 49.08, $\mathrm{H}: 5.67$.

General synthesis of $[5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}],[5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}]=\left[\mathbf{C p}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathbf{R}) \mathbf{M e}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{R}={ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{5 a}),{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{B u} \mathbf{( 5 b}\right),\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathbf{B r}(\mathbf{5 c})$, $\left.\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathbf{B r} \mathbf{( 5 d )}\right)$ : To a solution of $85 \mathrm{mg}(0.148 \mathrm{mmol})\left[\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{dme})_{2.3}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{Me}\right)\right]$ in 10 mL dme an excess $(0.04 \mathrm{~mL})$ of isopropyl iodide $(0.400 \mathrm{mmol}), 1$-bromobutane ( 0.370 mmol ), 1,3 -dibromopropane ( 0.394 mmol ) or 1,4-dibromobutane $(0.339 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The brownish solution was stirred overnight, all volatiles were removed in vacuum and the brown residue was dissolved in $n$-hexane and filtered through a frit. The resulting solution was concentrated to 3 mL and stored at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Yields and analytical details of [5a-d]:

Dark red blocks of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Pr}\right) \mathrm{Me}\right)\right](5 a)(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ yield $)$ could be isolated after two days.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=2.45\left(\right.$ sextet, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}\right), 1.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.03 (d, 3H, ${ }^{\text {i }}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 0.09 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Me}$ ).
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=152.0(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 33.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-135.8(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Table S 6 .

Dark red blocks of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\left({ }^{(1} \mathrm{Bu}\right) \mathrm{Me}\right)\right](\mathbf{5 b})(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0957 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ yield) could be isolated after two weeks.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=2.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.20$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $0.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.12(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Me})$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=132.3(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 32.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-130.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Table S 7.

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16.5} \mathrm{H}_{30.5} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}\left(439.63 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : C: 45.08, H: 6.99; found [\%]: C: 45.10, H: 6.94.

Dark red plates of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}\right) \mathrm{Me}\right)\right](\mathbf{5 c})(38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0787 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ yield $)$ could be isolated after four days.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{R}\right), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, R-CH2-R), 1.60 (s, 15H, Cp*), 0.01 (d, 3H, P-Me).
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=128.9(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 32.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-130.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Table S 8.

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FeP}_{5} \mathrm{Br}\left(482,96 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}: 34.82, \mathrm{H}: 5.01$; found [\%]: $\mathrm{C}: 35.61, \mathrm{H}: 4.91$.

Dark brown needles of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Br}\right) \mathrm{Me}\right)\right](\mathbf{5 d})(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0745 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield $)$ could be isolated after one day.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=2.87\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right), 1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{R}\right), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp})^{*}\right)$, 1.46 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{R}$ ), 0.10 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Me}$ ).
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta[\mathrm{ppm}]=130.7(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 32.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P}),-130.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{P})$. For coupling constants see Table S 9.

EA calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20.4} \mathrm{H}_{38.6} \mathrm{BrFeP}_{5}\left(574.54 \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}: 42.65, \mathrm{H}: 6.77$; found [\%]: $\mathrm{C}: 42.64, \mathrm{H}: 6.50$.

## Experimental and simulated NMR spectra



Figure S 1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{2 a}$ at 298 K in THF-d ${ }_{8}$. Impurities are marked with *.

Table S 1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{2 a}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J(\mathbf{H z})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Figure S 2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{2 b}$ at 298 K in thf with a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ capillary.

Table S 2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{2 b}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J$ (Hz) |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | -30.7 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 370.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 35.8 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ c | -30.7 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \cdot \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}}$. | -4.3 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 27.1 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | 303.0 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$. | 370.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -56.9 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$. | 303.0 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, ~}{ }^{\text {, }} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -4.3 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {P }} \text { M }}$. | 384.0 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}}$. | -34.6 |  |  |



Figure S 3. ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectrum of compound 3a at 298 K in THF-d ${ }_{8}$.

Table S 3. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{3 a}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J$ (Hz) |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | -25.4 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$ | 374.4 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {A }}$ | 89.7 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | -25.4 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {P }} \text { X }}$. | -48.2 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 18.4 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 286.2 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}},{ }^{\text {P }} \text { P }}$. | 374.4 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -73.0 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$. | 286.2 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | -48.2 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | 387.6 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}}$. | -4.4 |  |  |



Figure $\mathbf{S} 4 .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ at 298 K in THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$.

Table S 4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{3 b}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J(\mathrm{~Hz})$ |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | -24.3 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 377.2 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 103.8 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | -24.3 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}}$. | -45.2 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 17.6 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 301.0 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 377.2 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}^{\text {d }}$ | -63.6 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}}$. | 301.0 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}},}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -45.2 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | 421.8 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$. | -4.9 |  |  |



Figure S 5. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) spectrum of $\mathbf{4}$ at 298 K. Impurities are marked with *.

Table S 5. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{4}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J(H z)$ |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | -10.9 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\text {M }}{ }^{\text {P }} \text { X }}$ | 388.3 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 119.7 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | -11.8 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {P }} \text { P }}$ | -48.3 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | 36.0 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}{ }^{\text {P }}$ P | 393.0 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P} \mathrm{P} .}$ | 409.3 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -123.4 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$. | 392.6 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$ | -28.1 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }} \text {. }}$ | 381.6 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | -3.1 |  |  |



Figure S 6. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ spectrum of 5a at 298 K .

Table S 6. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{5 a}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J$ (Hz) |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | -12.6 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$ | 403.2 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {A }}$ | 152.0 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | -9.9 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}} \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}$. | -34.9 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 33.3 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 389.6 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 397.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -135.8 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$. | 388.7 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}},{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | -40.0 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | 377.7 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}}$. | -0.1 |  |  |



Figure S 7. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{5 b}$ at 298 K. Impurities are marked with *.

Table S 7. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{5 b}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J(H z)$ |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | -11.2 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 410.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {A }}$ | 132.3 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | -11.2 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {P }} \text { X }}$. | -37.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 32.2 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 385.9 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}}$. | 397.2 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -130.1 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$. | 385.9 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}}{ }_{\text {P }}$ | -41.8 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | 385.7 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}}$. | -0.7 |  |  |



Figure S 8. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ spectrum of 5c at 298 K .

Table S 8. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{5 c}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J$ (Hz) |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$ | -10.7 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}}$ | 390.1 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 128.9 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$. | -10.7 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}} \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}$. | -49.4 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 32.0 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 386.9 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \times \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}}$. | 404.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -130.3 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}},{ }^{\text {P }} \text {, }}$. | 386.9 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}},{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | -24.2 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {P }} \text { M }}$. | 377.9 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -7.8 |  |  |



Figure S 9. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ spectrum of 5d at 298 K .

Table S 9. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants for $\mathbf{5 d}$ obtained from the simulation.

| $J$ (Hz) |  |  |  | $\delta$ (ppm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | -12.6 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\text {P }} \text { P }}$ | 398.1 | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {A }}$ | 130.7 |
| ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | -9.8 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}} \mathrm{P}^{\text {P }}$. | -35.0 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}$ | 32.0 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$ | 386.5 | ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 397.2 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -130.9 |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}}$. | 384.5 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}},}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}$ | -35.1 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}}$. | 380.3 | ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{P} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}}$ | -4.4 |  |  |

## Details on X-ray structure determinations

All single crystal structure analyses were performed using Agilent Technologies diffractometer (GV50, TitanS2 detector) with $\mathrm{Cu}_{K \alpha}$ radiation. Frames integration and data reduction were performed with the CrysAlisPro ver. 1.171.41.54a ${ }^{[4]}$ software package. Using Olex2, ${ }^{[5]}$ all structures were solved by ShelXT ${ }^{[6]}$ and a least-square refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ was carried out with ShelXL. ${ }^{[7]}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms at the carbon atoms were located in idealized positions and refined isotropically according to the riding model.

The images showing the compounds were generated using Olex2. ${ }^{[5]}$

Compound 2a: The P atoms are disordered over two positions (92:08) and one thf molecule is disordered over two positions (50:50). The restraints SADI and SIMU were applied to describe these disorders.

Compound 2b: The P atoms are disordered over two positions (73:27). The restraints SADI and SIMU were applied to describe these disorders.

Compound 3a: The P atoms are disordered over two positions (66:34). The restraint SIMU was applied to describe these disorders.

Compound 3b: One thf molecule is disordered over two positions (72:28). The restraint SIMU was applied to describe these disorders.

Compound 4: There is one single molecule of 4 in the asymmetric unit.

Compound 5a: There is one single molecule of 5a in the asymmetric unit.
Compound 5b: There is one single molecule of $\mathbf{5 b}$ in the asymmetric unit.

Compound 5c: There is one single molecule of $\mathbf{5 a}$ in the asymmetric unit.

Compound 5d: The Cp* ligand is disordered over two positions (50:50). The restraint SIMU was applied to describe these disorders

Table S 10. Crystallographic data and detail of the compounds [K(18c-6)(thf)][2a], $\left[\mathrm{K}(18 \mathrm{c}-6)(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\right][\mathbf{2 b}],[\mathrm{K}(18 \mathrm{c}-6)][\mathbf{3 a}],\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\right][\mathbf{3 b}]$ and 4.

| Compound | [K(18c-6)(thf)][2a] | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{K}(18 \mathrm{c}-} \\ \text { 6)(thf } \left.)_{2}\right][2 \mathrm{~b}] \end{gathered}$ | [K(18c-6)][3a] | $\left[\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{thf})_{2}\right][3 \mathrm{~b}]$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| File Name | FR200 | FR230 | FR252 | FR295 | FR340 |
| CCDC | xxx | xxx | xxx | xxx | xxx |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{104} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{FeKO}_{8} \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{FeKO}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{FeKO}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.364 | 1.338 | 1.363 | 1.353 | 1.395 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 6.192 | 5.877 | 6.791 | 7.045 | 9.111 |
| Formula Weight | 1645.11 | 855.60 | 740.46 | 696.44 | 452.08 |
| Color | metallic dark green | dark brown | dark brown | dark brown | dark brown |
| Shape | block | plate | block | block | block |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { size/mm } \end{gathered}$ | 0.37 | 0.76 | 0.57 | 0.83 | 0.23 |
| Mid size/mm | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.60 | 0.17 |
| Min size/mm | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 0.16 |
| T/K | 123.00(10) | 123.00(10) | 122.99(11) | 123.00(13) | 123.01(10) |
| Crystal System | monoclinic | triclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | orthorhombi <br> c |
| Space Group | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P-1$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | Pbca |
| $a / \AA$ | 20.3442(5) | 9.1295(4) | 15.1860(3) | 17.1655(2) | 10.5451(2) |
| $b / \AA$ | 26.2921(5) | 15.2051(5) | 13.4831(2) | 17.8974(2) | 16.8844(3) |
| $c / \AA$ | 15.0828(3) | 15.8988(6) | 17.6986(3) | 22.2624(3) | 24.1818(4) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 81.173(3) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.721(2) | 78.651(3) | 95.339(2) | 90.7420(10) | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 81.847(3) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \AA^{3}$ | 8012.2(3) | 2123.97(14) | 3608.14(11) | 6838.83(14) | 4305.51(13) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| $Z^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $Q_{\text {min }} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 3.362 | 2.859 | 2.923 | 2.574 | 3.656 |
| $Q_{\text {max }} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 66.600 | 73.813 | 74.884 | 74.529 | 73.671 |
| Measured Reflexes | 41460 | 22718 | 33923 | 45789 | 17042 |
| Independent Reflexes | 14019 | 8375 | 7209 | 13605 | 4299 |
| Reflections with I > 2(I) | 10664 | 7215 | 6544 | 12970 | 4007 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0652 | 0.0549 | 0.0426 | 0.0574 | 0.0526 |
| Parameters | 1010 | 493 | 433 | 777 | 314 |
| Restraints | 279 | 0 | 150 | 18 | 136 |
| Largest Peak | 0.659 | 0.993 | 0.357 | 0.451 | 0.917 |
| Deepest Hole | -0.535 | -0.736 | -0.445 | -0.893 | -0.368 |
| GooF | 1.085 | 1.029 | 1.041 | 1.031 | 1.141 |
| wR2 (all data) | 0.1963 | 0.1529 | 0.0872 | 0.1210 | 0.1136 |
| $w R 2$ | 0.1829 | 0.1450 | 0.0839 | 0.1191 | 0.1110 |
| R1 (all data) | 0.0981 | 0.0629 | 0.0368 | 0.0466 | 0.0469 |
| R1 | 0.0754 | 0.0553 | 0.0324 | 0.0450 | 0.0438 |

Table S 11. Crystallographic data and detail of the compounds $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$.

| Compound | 5a | 5b | 5c | 5d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| File Name | FR340 | FR350 | FR352 | FR353 |
| CCDC | xxx | xxx | xxx | xxx |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FeP}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrFeP}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BrFeP}_{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc. }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.401 | 1.400 | 1.595 | 1.493 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 10.167 | 9.837 | 12.027 | 10.146 |
| Formula Weight | 404.04 | 418.06 | 482.94 | 539.04 |
| Color | dark red | dark red | dark red | dark brown |
| Shape | block | block | plate | needle |
| Max size/mm | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.89 |
| Mid size/mm | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Min size/mm | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.10 |
| T/K | 123.01(11) | 123.00(10) | 122.9(2) | 122.9(2) |
| Crystal System | monoclinic | orthorhombic | orthorhombic | monoclinic |
| Space Group | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2{ }_{12} 2_{1}$ | $P 2{ }_{12} 2_{1}$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / n$ |
| $a / \AA$ A | 7.8144(3) | 8.0201(2) | 8.1330(2) | 14.6139(4) |
| $b / \AA$ | 9.1949(4) | 9.5556(3) | 9.6956(2) | 8.2362(3) |
| $c / \AA$ | 26.6544(9) | 25.8761(6) | 25.5069(6) | 20.3049(5) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.623(3) | 90 | 90 | 101.048(2) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \AA^{3}$ | 1915.08(13) | 1983.06(9) | 2011.33(8) | 2398.66(13) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $Z^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $Q_{\text {min }} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 5.088 | 3.416 | 3.466 | 3.434 |
| $Q_{\text {max }} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 73.618 | 73.607 | 74.916 | 75.053 |
| Measured Reflexes | 3743 | 11777 | 6543 | 18008 |
| Independent Reflexes | 3743 | 3760 | 3431 | 4840 |
| Reflections with $\mathrm{I}>2$ (I) | 3405 | 3544 | 3190 | 4136 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | . | 0.0554 | 0.0322 | 0.1140 |
| Parameters | 190 | 252 | 259 | 289 |
| Restraints | 0 | 13 | 69 | 84 |
| Largest Peak | 0.902 | 0.686 | 0.888 | 1.772 |
| Deepest Hole | -0.655 | -0.410 | -1.150 | -0.758 |
| GooF | 1.117 | 1.074 | 1.071 | 1.055 |
| $w R 2$ (all data) | 0.2053 | 0.1064 | 0.1397 | 0.1862 |
| $w R 2$ | 0.1999 | 0.1049 | 0.1360 | 0.1764 |
| R1 (all data) | 0.0842 | 0.0483 | 0.0551 | 0.0761 |
| R1 | 0.0764 | 0.0455 | 0.0510 | 0.0678 |
| Flack Parameter | - | 0.010(5) | -0.008(7) | - |
| Hooft Parameter | - | 0.006(4) | -0.003(5) | - |



Figure S 10. Molecular structure of 2a in the solid state. Hydrogen atoms, cations, 18c-6 and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of Part 1: P1-P2 2.142(5), P1-P5 2.162(3), P2-P3 2.187(3), P3P4 2.127(4), P4-P5 2.142(3), P1-C11 1.782(7), Fe1-P2 2.322(3), Fe1-P3 2.312(2), Fe1-P4 2.338(2), Fe1-P5 2.317(2); P5-P1-P2 93.56(16), P3-P2-P1 107.09(16), P4-P3-P2 103.14(13), P3-P4-P5 103.82(11), P4-P5-P1 107.18(14).


Figure S 11. Molecular structure of 2b in the solid state. Hydrogen atoms, cations, 18c-6 and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of Part 1:P1-P2 2.170(2), P1-P5 2.1711(16), P2-P3 2.1341(14),

P3-P4 2.1490(15), P4-P5 2.1410(19), P1-C11 1.775(3), Fe1-P2 2.2631(12), Fe1-P3 2.3383(8), Fe1-P4 2.3832(13), Fe1-P5 2.3616(11); P5-P1-P2 92.37(6), P3-P2-P1 106.96(7), P4-P3-P2 103.30(6), P3-P4P5 103.28(8), P4-P5-P1 107.16(6).


Figure S 12. Molecular structure of 3a in the solid state. Hydrogen atoms, cations and 18c-6 molecules are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of Part 1: P1-P2 2.145(8), P1-P5 2.162(7), P2-P3 2.176(7), P3-P4 2.111(7), P4-P5 2.130(7), P1-C11 1.844(7), Fe1-P2 2.349(6), Fe1-P3 2.319(5), Fe1-P4 2.307(6), Fe1-P5 2.306(5); P5-P1-P2 93.0(3), P3-P2-P1 105.8(3), P4-P3-P2 103.5(3), P3-P4-P5 103.7(3), P4-P5-P1 106.7(3).


Figure S 13. Molecular structure in the solid state of $\mathbf{3 b}$. Hydrogen atoms, cations and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to 50\% probability. Selected bond
lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : P1-P2 2.1657(8), P1-P5 2.1671(8), P2-P3 2.1514(8), P3-P4 2.1329(8), P4P5 2.1502(8), P1-C11 1.900(2), Fe1-P2 2.3098(6), Fe1-P3 2.3333(6), Fe1-P4 2.3432(6), Fe1-P5 $2.3180(6)$; P5-P1-P2 91.88(3), P3-P2-P1 106.37(3), P4-P3-P2 103.23(3), P3-P4-P5 103.12(3), P4-P5P1 106.77(3).


Figure S 14. Molecular structure in the solid state of 4. Hydrogen atoms are omitted are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): ~ P 1-P 2$ 2.1429(10), P1-P5 2.1307(10), P2-P3 2.1214(11), P3-P4 2.1437(11), P4-P5 2.1375(11), P1-C11 1.840(3), P1-C18 1.18158(3), Fe1-P2 2.3167(8), Fe1-P3 2.3391(8), Fe1-P4 2.3325(8), Fe1-P5 2.3290(8); P5-P1-P2 99.68(4), P3-P2-P1 100.35(4), P4-P3-P2 105.23(4), P3-P4-P5 105.33(4), P4-P5-P1 100.18(4).


Figure S 15. Molecular structure in the solid state of 5a. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right):$ P1-P2 2.142(2), P1-P5 2.1472(19), P2-P3 2.132(2), P3-P4 2.155(3), P4-P5 2.145(2), P1-C11 1.821(6), P1-C12 1.843(6), Fe1-P2 2.3166(15), Fe1-P3 2.3342(17), Fe1-P4 2.3371(17), Fe1-P5 $2.3168(16)$; P5-P1-P2 97.89(8), P3-P2-P1 98.79(9), P4-P3-P2 105.04(9), P3-P4-P5 104.20(8), P4-P5P1 98.95(9).


Figure S 16. Molecular structure in the solid state of $\mathbf{5 b}$. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right):$ P1-P2 2.144(3), P1-P5 2.133(2), P2-P3 2.1341(14), P3-P4 2.134(3), P4-P5 2.141(3), P1-C11 1.829(6), P1-C12 1.833(6), Fe1-P2 2.304(3), Fe1-P3 2.3285(16), Fe1-P4 2.361(3), Fe1-P5 2.3359(17); P5-P1-P2 98.62(11), P3-P2-P1 99.53(13), P4-P3-P2 105.01(11), P3-P4-P5 104.80(13), P4-P5-P1 99.37(12).


Figure S 17. Molecular structure in the solid state of 5c. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right):$ P1-P2 2.142(3), P1-P5 2.129(3), P2-P3 2.119(9), P3-P4 2.143(11), P4-P5 2.147(4), P1-C11 1.817(8), P1-C12 1.842(9), Fe1-P2 2.317(4), Fe1-P3 2.320(8), Fe1-P4 2.355(3), Fe1-P5 2.322(2); P5-P1-P2 98.89(14), P3-P2-P1 99.1(3), P4-P3-P2 106.0(3), P3-P4-P5 103.9(2), P4-P5-P1 99.49(14).


Figure S 18. Molecular structure in the solid state of 5d. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Anisotropic displacement parameters are set to $50 \%$ probability. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right):$ P1-P2 2.1404(13), P1-P5 2.1419(13), P2-P3 2.1394(18), P3-P4 2.1511(16), P4-P5 2.1335(17), P1C11 1.823(4), P1-C12 1.825(5), Fe1-P2 2.3319(11), Fe1-P3 2.3241(12), Fe1-P4 2.3406(14), Fe1-P5 2.3279(10); P5-P1-P2 99.10(5), P3-P2-P1 100.18(6), P4-P3-P2 105.16(6), P3-P4-P5 104.88(7), P4-P5P1 100.19(6).

## References:

[1] O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1987, 26, 59-59.
[2] E. Mädl, Investigations of the reactivity of selected Pn ligand complexes. Dissertation, Universität Regensburg, 2016.
[3] some MS experiments were not yet completed by the end of this thesis.
[4] CrysAlisPro Software System, Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, (2018).
[5] a) L. J. Bourhis, O. V. Dolomanov, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, The Anatomy of a Comprehensive Constrained, Restrained, Refinement Program for the Modern Computing Environment - Olex2 Disected, Acta Cryst. A 2015, A71, 59-71; b) O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, Olex2: A complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program, J. Appl. Cryst. 2009, 42, 339-341.
[6] G. M. Sheldrick, ShelXT-Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination, Acta Cryst. 2015, A71, 3-8.
[7] G. M. Sheldrick, Crystal structure refinement with ShelXL, Acta Cryst. 2015, C71, 3-8.

## 6. Summary

### 6.1 NHCs as Neutral Donors towards Polyphosphorus Complexes

The reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ (1) towards main group nucleophiles has been studied in our group. Only ionic nucleophiles have been used in these studies and the question arose, how $\mathbf{1}$ reacts towards neutral nucleophiles like NHCs ( $=N$-heterocyclic carbenes). Therefore, the reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ towards the NHCs IMe (= 1,3,4,5-tetramethylimidazolin-2-ylidene), IMes (= 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene) and IDipp (= 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene) was investigated. Furthermore, the reactivity of IMe towards the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right](\mathbf{2})$ was investigated (Scheme 1).

Reaction mixtures of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ with IMe, IMes or IDipp show broad signals in the corresponding ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra. At lower temperatures, these ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra show distinct signal groups corresponding to the formation of complexes in which one NHC molecule is attached to a folded cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring. Despite this highly dynamical system in solution, the adducts as neutral complexes in form of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{NHC})\right]\right.$ could be crystallized and isolated as solids. For a better understanding of this highly dynamical behavior, EXSY and MAS NMR studies were performed, which clearly shows that in solutions of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{NHC})\right]\right.$ a bond breaking and bond formation process takes place. All experimental results have been substantiated by DFT calculations. However, the reaction product of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ and IMe is no adduct but a phosphorus abstraction of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ unit leading to the anionic cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$and the cation $\left[(\mathrm{IMe})_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}$. If less than two equivalents are used, the conversion is not complete and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum shows unreacted 2 and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)\right]^{-}$.



Scheme 1. Reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 towards NHCs.

### 6.2 The reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ towards Hydroxide and tert-Butyl nucleophiles

The reactions of main group nucleophiles with $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ result into anionic complexes with a distorted cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ unit in an envelope conformation. Our group showed that even neutral nucleophiles like NHCs can be used to obtain complexes containing this envelope-like $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring. The question arose, if other $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ligand complexes can be activated with main group nucleophiles. Therefore, the reactivity of the complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right](\mathbf{1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2) towards the anions $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}^{-}$was investigated.
 $\left[\mathrm{Cp}, ’ \mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{P}_{4}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{\prime}$, respectively (Scheme 2). The solid state structure of these complexes shows a distorted cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ring with three phosphorus atoms coordinating to the tantalum atom. The fourth phosphorus atom is not coordinating anymore to the tantalum but is substituted by the ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ unit in endo-position or the oxygen atom in endo-position and the hydrogen atom in exo-position. Similarly, the reaction of complex $\mathbf{1}$ with ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}^{-}$yields to the anionic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu, \eta^{2: 1}-\mathrm{PP}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{\prime}$. X-ray structure investigations show that one Mo-P bond of the previous tetrahedron is broken and a new C-P bond was formed. When $\mathbf{1}$ is reacted with KOH , a phosphorus atom can be abstracted leading to the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu-\mathrm{PH}_{2}\right)\right]^{\prime}$, containing a bridging $\mathrm{PH}_{2}$ unit. The abstracted P atom cannot be detected by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopic investigations or with other conventional analytical methods.


Scheme 2. Reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 towards the anions $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}^{-}$.

### 6.3 Functionalization of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ by successive reactions with main group nucleophiles and electrophiles containing functional groups

The reactions of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right]$ with nucleophiles yield anionic complexes and subsequent reactions with electrophiles leads to the formation of neutral complexes. The nucleophiles as well as the electrophiles attack the same phosphorus atom of the cyclo- $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ring leading to the formation of a distorted $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ unit containing two substituents and coordinating to the iron leading to complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{R}\right)\right]^{-}$and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{R}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\right.$ nucleophile, $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=$ electrophile $)$. In this reactivity studies no substituents which can be further functionalized were used. Therefore, the question arose if $\mathbf{1}$ can be reacted with nucleophiles that contain functional groups i.e. halides which might show further reactivity for example towards Grignard reagents or compounds like $\mathrm{LiPH}_{2}$.

The reaction of deprotonated ethynylbenzene and deprotonated 1,4-diethynylbenzene with $\mathbf{1}$ yield the anionic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}-\equiv-\mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{-}$(2a) and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}\right)\right)_{2}\left(\equiv-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\equiv\right)\right]^{2-}$ (2b), respectively (Scheme 3). To obtain the desired product $\mathbf{2 b}$ a complete deprotonation of 1,4 -diethynylbenzene is required. This was quite difficult and the byproduct $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}-=-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}-=-\mathrm{H}\right)\right]^{4}$ was obtained as well. The reactions of $\mathrm{KCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ or KCHPh 2 with $\mathbf{1}$ gives the anionic complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]^{-}$(3a) and $\left.\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}\right)\right]^{-} \mathbf{( 3 b}\right)$, respectively. Compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ was reacted with the electrophile MeI to obtain the neutral complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CHPh}_{2}\right)\right]$ (4). Compound $\mathbf{I}\left(=\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{Me}\right)\right]^{-}\right)$can be obtained by reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with LiMe. Subsequent reactions with different electrophiles yield $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{4}-\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Me}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{R}={ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{5 a}),{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}(\mathbf{5 b}),\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{5 c}),\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{5 d})\right)$. Especially compounds $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ with their bromine functionality are interesting starting materials for further substitution reactions.


2a


3a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$
3b: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$
1
$[\mathrm{Fe}]=$




4

5a: $R={ }^{i} P r$
5b: $R={ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}$
5c: $\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}$
5d: $\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Br}$

Scheme 3. Reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ towards different nucleophiles and reactivity of $\mathbf{I}$ towards different electrophiles.

## 7. Appendix

### 7.1 List of used abbreviations

| Cp | cyclopentadienyl, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cp* | pentamethylcyclopentadienyl, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ |
| Cp' ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1,3-di-tert-butylcyclopentadienyl, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |
| Cp',' | 1,2,4-tri-tert-butylcyclopentadienyl, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| $\delta$ | chemical shift (ppm) |
| ppm | parts per million |
| s (NMR) | singlet |
| d (NMR) | dublet |
| t (NMR) | triplet |
| m (NMR) | multiplet |
| $J$ | coupling constant ( Hz ) |
| Hz | Hertz |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | degree celsius |
| K | degree kelvin |
| Å | Angström ( $\left.1 \AA=10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}\right)$ |
| EI | electron ionization |
| ESI | electronspray ionization |
| r.t. | room temperature |
| thf | tetrahydrofuran |
| dme | dimethoxyethane |
| tol | toluene |
| MeCN | acetonitrile |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | diethyl ether |

EA elemental analysis
DFT density functional theory
WBI Wiberg bond indixes
CV cyclic voltammetry
HOMO highest occupied molecular orbital
LUMO lowest unoccupied molecular orbital
$\mathrm{Me} \quad$ methyl, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
Et ethyl, $-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
tBu tert-butyl, $-\mathrm{CMe}_{3}$
18c-6 18-crown-6, 1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclooctadecane
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