Vitronectin, a secreted glycoprotein circulating in blood serum but has also been found to be deposited in the ECM of various tissues. In the eye, vitronectin mRNA expression has been detected in the RPE, in photoreceptors, and in ganglion cells, with higher expression levels in the neural retina compared to the RPE (see also the Eye Integration database, https://eyeintegration.nei.nih.gov). Conversely, extracellular labeling of vitronectin protein in the retina is limited primarily to Bruch’s membrane and the retinal vasculature. In particular, the inner collagenous layer of Bruch’s membrane contains substantial amounts of vitronectin. The latter is important for endothelial cell migration and proliferation (reviewed in Dejana et al.15).

Two vitronectin isoforms are known; one is a single-chain molecule with a molecular weight of 75 kDa, and the other is a cleaved form consisting of two chains (65 and 10 kDa) connected via a disulfide bond. Upon binding of specific ligands or interaction partners, unfolding of monomeric vitronectin leads to the formation of vitronectin multimers, stabilized by disulfide and non-covalent bonds.
In the largest genome-wide association study known to date, Fritsche and colleagues\(^{21}\) reported that a genetic variant, rs704, in the VTN gene was significantly associated with AMD. Specifically, rs704 is part of a 95% credible set comprised of 22 genetic variants at the TMEM97–VTN locus on chromosome 17.\(^{21}\) Although lead variant rs11080055 is located in intron 1 of the TMEM97 gene, it is still unclear which genetic variant at this locus may be functionally relevant. This will require a functional dissection of the effects of the risk-associated variants at this interval, although some investigations suggest that weighting sequence variants based on their annotation significantly increases the power to detect the causative variant of a locus.\(^{22,23}\)

Nevertheless, within the described 95% credible set, rs704 is the only missense and protein-altering variant.\(^{21}\) Furthermore, due to its multifaceted function (reviewed in Leavesley et al.\(^{3}\)), vitronectin could affect many processes involved in AMD pathogenesis, such as angiogenesis or extracellular matrix integrity (reviewed in Kleinman and Ambati\(^{24}\) and Campochiaro\(^{25}\)). Together with the already reported detection of vitronectin in AMD-related retinal tissues and deposits, this variant appears to be an excellent candidate for a targeted functional analysis within this credible set.

The single nucleotide polymorphism rs704, localized in exon 7 of the VTN gene, leads to an alteration from cytosine (C) to thymine (T) at nucleotide position 1199, resulting in an amino acid exchange from threonine to methionine at amino acid position 400. The replacement of threonine by methionine was previously shown to decrease the endogenous proteolytic cleavage of vitronectin and thus increase the presence of the single-chain vitronectin.\(^{26}\)

Here, we compared the two vitronectin isoforms VTN_rs704:T (AMD-risk-associated) and VTN_rs704:C (non-AMD-risk-associated) in terms of protein expression, oligomerization, deposition, and functionality in AMD-related cellular processes. Our data reveal differences of the two isoforms in expression, cell binding, and their effects on ECM deposition and endothelial cell migration. Furthermore, both vitronectin isoforms affected cellular adhesion and endothelial formation of tubular-like structures. Together, our findings suggest a role for vitronectin in AMD pathogenesis.

### Cell Culture

Y79 and WERI-Rb1 cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured in RPMI medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), as well as 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin. ARPE-19 cells (American Type Culture Collection) were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium/Nutrient Mixture F-12 Ham medium (DMEM/HamsF12; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) containing 10% FCS and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin. Human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293-EBNA; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were maintained in DMEM high-glucose medium containing 10% FCS, 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin, and 500 µg/mL G418. Media and cell culture supplies were purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were purchased from Life Technologies (Darmstadt, Germany) and cultured in EGMPlus Endothelial Cell Growth Media with EGMPlus SingleQuots supplements (Lonza Group, Ltd., Basel, Switzerland), but without gentamycin. HiPSC–RPE cells were generated as described in Nachtigal et al.\(^{27}\) and Okita et al.\(^{28}\) The hiPSC–RPE cells were cultured on 12-well or 24-well transwell filter inserts (0.4-µm pore size; Greiner Bio-One International, Kremsmünster, Austria) or coated with Matrigel (Corning, NY, USA) in Gibco KnockOut DMEM Medium supplied with 2-mM l-glutamine, 5% (v/v) KnockOut Serum Replacement, and 0.1-mM Gibco MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids (all obtained from Life Technologies), as well as 5-µg/mL gentamycin, 0.1-mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 10-mM nicotinamide (Sigma-Aldrich). Differentiation was assessed as described previously,\(^{29}\) specifically by investigating RPE-specific gene expression; localization of ZO-1, BEST-1, ATP1A1, and ATP1B1; and apical and basolateral secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), followed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK); see also Supplementary Figure S1. A stable high transepithelial resistance of >150 Ω × cm\(^2\) was obtained after 6 weeks of maturation (233.3 ± 55.2 Ω × cm\(^2\)). For propagation, cell lines were grown in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO\(_2\) environment and subcultured when reaching 90% confluency for HEK293, HUVECs, and ARPE-19 or a concentration of 4–5 × 10\(^6\) cells/mL for Y79 and WERI-Rb1. Alterations of these cultivation conditions were used for functional assays and are described in the following subsections.

### Materials and Methods

#### Ethical Standards

In accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, postmortem human donor eyes were collected at the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich and the University Hospital Cologne. Each study was approved by the corresponding local institutional review boards (application nos. MUC73416, Munich; 14-247, Cologne). All samples investigated in this study were approved for research use. Only clinically asymptomatic retinal samples with no sign of retinal pathology were included. Generation and analysis of hiPSC–RPE cells from human donor material have obtained approval of the ethics review board of the University of Regensburg, Germany (reference no. 12-101-0241 and amendment to 12-101-0241) and have been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Informed consent was given by each proband participating in the study.

#### DNA and RNA Analysis

RNA from hiPSC–RPE or human neural retina was isolated using the PureLink RNA Micro Kit (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s protocols. One microgram of total RNA was transcribed into cDNA using RevertAid M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) and poly(dT) primers according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed as described in Friedrich et al.\(^{30}\) with primers given in Supplementary Table S1. DNA isolation and PCR with genomic DNA were performed as described in Friedrich et al.\(^{31}\) Primers for PCR with genomic DNA are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Sequencing of the RT-PCR products and the genomic PCR products was performed as described in Friedrich et al.\(^{32}\)
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**Antibodies**

Applied antibodies, their origin, and dilutions for western blot or immunocytochemical analyses are given in Supplementary Table S2.

**Expression Cloning**

After genotyping of ARPE-19 cells that were heterozygous for rs704, the coding sequences for VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T were amplified from cDNA of ARPE-19 cells. The fragments were cloned into the BamHI/Xhol site of the pEXPR-IBA103 vector (IBA Life Sciences, Göttingen, Germany) fusing them to a Twin-Strep-tag (IBA Life Sciences). Generated vectors allowing expression of Strep-tagged vitronectin were named pEXPR-IBA103-VTN_rs704:C and pEXPR-IBA103-VTN_rs704:T. For heterologous expression of untagged protein, VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T were cloned into the Nofl/Xhol site of the pcDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen). Generated vectors were named pcDNA3.1-VTN_rs704:C and pcDNA3.1-VTN_rs704:T. To generate a green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression vector, the GFP coding sequence was cloned into the BamHI/NotI site of pcDNA3.1 (the resulting vector was named pcDNA3.1-GFP). Primer sequences and applied polymerase are given in Supplementary Table S1.

**Heterologous Expression and Purification of Recombinant Vitronectin Isosforms**

To explore the effects of rs704 on vitronectin expression and secretion, HEK293 and ARPE-19 cells were co-transfected with pcDNA3.1-GFP and pcDNA3.1- VTN_rs704:C, or pcDNA3.1-VTN_rs704:T, using TransIT-LT1 Transfection Reagent (Mirus Bio, Madison, WI, USA) for HEK293 or Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for ARPE-19, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Vitronectin expression was investigated in cell pellets and supernatants via sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and western blot analysis. The applied antibody against vitronectin (see Supplementary Table S2) is a monoclonal antibody generated against human plasma-derived vitronectin. It detects the full length (75 kDa) isoform, as well as the 65 kDa subfragment, but not the 10-kDa subfragment of the cleaved isoform (see also Supplementary Fig. S2).

To isolate and purify vitronectin isosforms, pEXPR-IBA103-VTN_rs704:C or pEXPR-IBA103-VTN_rs704:T were transfected into HEK293 by the calcium phosphate method as described previously. Seven hours after transfection, the culture medium was replaced by FCS-free DMEM high-glucose medium containing 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin and 500 μg/mL G418, and cells were cultured for 72 hours. Strep-tagged VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T were purified with the Twin-Strep-tag purification kit from the supernatant of transfected HEK293 cells according to the manufacturer's protocol. Concentrations of purified proteins were determined using the Bio-Rad DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), as well comparative western blot analysis with recombinant vitronectin protein (10424-H08H; Sino Biological, Inc., Beijing, China). As a control, HEK293 cells were transfected with empty pEXPR-IBA103 expression vector, and the cultivation medium of these cells was subjected to purification procedures identical to that for cells transfected with vitronectin expression vectors. Enrichment and purification were controlled via silver staining, Coomassie Blue staining, and western blot analysis (see Supplementary Fig. S3).

**SDS-PAGE and Western Blot Analysis**

SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis were performed as described previously. Non-reducing conditions were established by omitting the reducing agent β-mercaptoethanol from Lämmli buffer in gels containing 7% acrylamide. Densitometry was done with Image Studio software (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA).

**Blue Native PAGE**

All supplies (gels, buffers, standard) for Blue native PAGE were purchased from the Invitrogen NativePAGE range (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Blue native gel electrophoresis was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. For western blot analyses, the NuPAGE Transfer Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) system for wet blotting was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Binding of Vitronectin Isoforms to Cells**

Vitronectin binding to cell lines (ARPE-19, hiPSC–RPE, porcine RPE, Y79, and HUVECs) was assessed as described previously, with the following modifications. Cells grown to confluency in one 10-cm plate (ARPE-19), six 12-well transwell filters inserts (hiPSC–RPE), or one T25 flask (HUVECS), as well as to maximal cell concentration in two T25 flasks (Y79), were suspended as combined samples in 600 μL Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS; Sigma-Aldrich). Porcine RPE cells were dissected from fresh eyes obtained from a local slaughterhouse as described previously. Cells obtained from one eye were resuspended in 600 μL DPBS. Then, 200 μL of the cell suspensions were incubated with 1 mL VTN_rs704:C-containing medium, VTN_rs704:T-containing medium, or control medium (from the supernatant of transfected HEK293 cells) for 60 minutes, with subsequent washing steps as described previously.

**Production of ARPE-19 Cell-Derived ECM Containing Overexpressed Vitronectin Isosforms**

To generate cell-derived ECM, ARPE-19 cells were co-transfected with pcDNA3.1-GFP and pcDNA3.1-VTN_rs704:C, or pcDNA3.1-VTN_rs704:T using Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 24 hours, GFP fluorescence was microscopically assessed as a marker for transfection efficiency. Transfected ARPE-19 cells were enzymatically dissociated from the cell culture plate with Gibco Trypsin–EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and seeded onto 12- or 24-well transwell filter inserts (0.4-μm pore size; Greiner Bio-One) with 4 × 10^5 cells or 2 × 10^5 cells per transwell filter insert in DMEM/HamsF12 containing 10% FCS and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin. After 24 hours, the medium was changed to FCS-free medium containing 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin, 200 μg/mL dextran sulfate (Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany), and 30 μg/mL ascorbic acid (Cayman Institute).
Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), as described in McLenachan et al. Confluent ARPE-19 monolayers were cultured in this medium for 4 weeks with media changes three times per week. Transwell filter inserts were then decellularized by incubating them with 0.5% Triton X-100 and 20-mM NH4OH in DPBS for 5 minutes at 37°C, as described in Fernandez-Godino et al. Immunolabeling was performed as described in Friedrich et al. and Schmid et al. using a quarter of a 12-well transwell filter insert for each staining. Pictures were taken with a confocal laser scanning microscope (FV3000 Fluoview; Olympus Life Sciences, Hamburg, Germany). Signal intensity and average cluster size were measured using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

Adhesion Assay with Purified Recombinant Vitronectin Isoforms

HiPSC–RPE cells were enzymatically dissociated from 12-well transwell filter inserts with Gibco TrypLE Select (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 40 minutes at 37°C. Subsequently, cells from a single filter were resuspended in 600 μL medium (deprived of KnockOut Serum Replacement), after which 100 μL of the cell suspension was mixed with 1.5 μg/mL of purified recombinant vitronectin isoforms (VTN_rs704:C or VTN_rs704:T) or equal volumes of control eluates and then transferred onto a 96-well plate. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, the medium was removed, and cells were incubated with Hoechst 33342 (1:1000 diluted in DPBS) for 10 minutes at room temperature. After staining, cells were washed three times for 5 minutes each with DPBS. Fluorescence images of adherent cells were taken with a Nikon Eclipse microscope (TE-2000-U; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Cell attachment was quantified by fluorescence intensity measurement (excitation/emission, 360/490 nm) using a Spark multimode microplate reader (Tecan Group AG, Männedorf, Switzerland).

The adhesion assay with primary porcine RPE cells was performed similarly, with minor modifications. Porcine RPE cells were dissected from fresh eyes obtained from a local slaughterhouse as described previously. Cells obtained from one eye were resuspended in 600 μL medium (deprived of KnockOut Serum Replacement), and 100 μL of the cell suspension was applied to the adhesion assay with an incubation time of 18 hours. Due to the strong pigmentation, fluorescent staining with Hoechst 33342 was omitted. Images from each 96-well plate were taken at 4× magnification with a Nikon Eclipse microscope, and RPE cells were counted using ImageJ.

The HUVEC adhesion assay was performed likewise, with minor modifications. Briefly, cells from a T25 cell culture flask were enzymatically dissociated with 1 mL of Trypsin–EDTA for 1 minute at room temperature and resuspended in 1.2 mL FCS-free cultivation medium. Then, 100 μL of the cell suspension was applied to the adhesion assay. Incubation time was 20 minutes.

Adhesion Assay on ARPE-19-Derived ECM

A volume of 200 μL hiPSC–RPE, 250 μL porcine RPE, or 200 μL HUVEC cell suspension (prepared as described in the preceding paragraph) was transferred onto a 24-well transwell filter insert coated with ARPE-19-derived ECM. After 40 minutes (hiPSC–RPE or porcine RPE cells) or 20 minutes (HUVECs), the culture medium was removed. The hiPSC–RPE cells or HUVECs were incubated with Hoechst 33342 as described above. Images from each transwell filter insert were taken at 4× magnification with a Nikon Eclipse microscope. Cells were counted using ImageJ.

HUVEC Tube Formation Assay

Endothelial tube formation in response to vitronectin exposure was examined in vitro with HUVECs as described in Ponce with the following modifications: First, 8.5 × 10^4 HUVECs/well were seeded onto a 96-well plate coated with 37.5 μL Geltrex LDEV-Free Reduced Growth Factor Basement Membrane Matrix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were then cultured in 100 μL EGMPplus Endothelial Cell Growth Media containing 1/3 EGMPplus SingleQuots supplements, 50 ng/mL VEGF (PeproTech, Hamburg, Germany), and 5 μg/mL purified recombinant vitronectin (VTN_rs704:C or VTN_rs704:T) or equal volumes of control eluate. Three to five simultaneous tests per each treatment were included in one independent replicate. After 16 hours, images from each well were captured at 4× magnification with a Nikon Eclipse microscope. Cumulative tube length was quantified using the Angiogenesis Analyzer in ImageJ as described previously.

HUVEC Migration Assay

HUVEC migration was determined using the scratch-wound assay, as described in Liang et al. with the following modifications. HUVECs were seeded on a 96-well plate and incubated in EGMPplus Endothelial Cell Growth Media with EGMPplus SingleQuots supplements. After 24 hours, confluent cell monolayers were scratched using a WoundMaker (Essen BioScience, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Cell debris was removed by washing with DPBS, and injured monolayers were incubated in EGMPplus Endothelial Cell Growth Media with EGMPplus SingleQuots supplements but without FCS, containing 50 ng/mL VEGF, and 20 μg/mL of purified recombinant vitronectin (VTN_rs704:C or VTN_rs704:T) or equal volumes of control eluate. Four to seven simultaneous tests per treatment were included in one independent replicate. After 0 and 14 hours, images from each well were captured at 4× magnification with a Nikon Eclipse microscope. Scratch areas were determined using ImageJ, and migration ability was defined as the percentage area closed after 14 hours of incubation.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with the XLSTAT add-in software. The Shapiro–Wilk normality test was applied to assess normality of the data. Data following a Gaussian distribution were analyzed using Student’s t-test (two experimental groups) or ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparison test (more than two experimental groups). Data not following a Gaussian distribution were analyzed with the Mann–Whitney U test (two experimental groups) or Kruskal–Wallis test with post hoc Dunn’s multiple comparison test and Bonferroni correction (more than two experimental groups).
**RESULTS**

**Endogenous Vitronectin Expression in Retinal and Non-Retinal Cell Lines**

Searching for in vitro model systems applicable to analyzing an influence of rs704 on vitronectin functionality, we tested endogenous vitronectin expression in different retinal and non-retinal cells frequently used as model systems to investigate AMD-associated molecular pathomechanisms or functionality of retinal disease-related proteins.12,34,44

These included human RPE cell lines ARPE-19 and hiPSC-RPE, human retinoblastoma cell lines Y79 and WERI-Rb1, endothelial cell line HUVEC, and HEK293 cells.

Endogenous vitronectin mRNA expression, assessed using semi-quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 1A), was only detected in the two retinoblastoma-derived cell lines Y79 and WERI-Rb1 and, to a much weaker extent, in the two RPE cell lines ARPE-19 and hiPSC-RPE. Western blot analysis, however, failed to detect vitronectin protein in any of the cell lines tested, even after multiple attempts to increase sensitivity (Fig. 1B).

In the human retina, VTN is expressed in RPE and neural retina6,14,15 (see also the eyeIntegration database, https://eyeintegration.nei.nih.gov). An effect of rs704 on mRNA expression in these tissues was measured by a semi-quantitative sequencing approach on hiPSC-RPE cells and neural retinal samples derived from different donors, which were heterozygous for rs704 (identified via genomic sequencing; see Supplementary Fig. S2). A dilution series with different concentrations of the vitronectin mRNA variants (VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T) showed that the rs704 polymorphism of the VTN gene is resolvable as a heterozygous peak in the sequence chromatogram up to the highest dilution of 1:5. Differences in VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T concentrations are traceable at changes in the electropherogram peaks of the T and the C allele at the rs704 position from a twofold increase in one of the two variants (Fig. 1C).

Analyzing vitronectin mRNA expression in the heterozygous hiPSC-RPE cell lines and neural retinal samples (Fig. 1D) revealed similar electropherogram peaks for the T and the C allele at the rs704 position (Fig. 1D), suggesting similar electropherogram peaks of the T and the C allele at the rs704 position up to the highest dilution of 1:5. Differences in VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T concentrations are traceable at changes in the electropherogram peaks of the T and the C allele at the rs704 position from a twofold increase in one of the two variants (Fig. 1C).

**Effect of rs704 on Vitronectin Protein Expression, Processing, and Oligomerization**

Next, an effect of rs704 on vitronectin protein expression and secretion was analyzed. To this end, HEK293 and ARPE-19 cells were transfected with expression vectors for the two vitronectin isoforms: the non-AMD-risk-associated isoform VTN_rs704:C and the AMD-risk-associated isoform VTN_rs704:T. Western blot analysis followed by densitometric evaluation (see Supplementary Fig. S2) determined vitronectin processing and vitronectin protein expression in cells and supernatants at two different time points (48 hours and 72 hours after transfection) (Fig. 2A). Heterozygous expression of vitronectin isoforms in HEK293 cells revealed a statistically significant increase in intracellular amounts of AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:T relative to the non-AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:C (48 hours, 3.99 ± 2.88-fold increase; 72 hours, 5.66 ± 1.91-fold increase; P < 0.05 for both time points). Similarly, an increase; P < 0.05 for both time points).

**Figure 1.** Endogenous vitronectin expression in retinal and non-retinal cell lines. (A) RT-PCR analysis of VTN gene expression in ARPE-19, hiPSC-RPE, Y79, WERI-Rb1, HUVEC, and HEK293 cells. Expression vectors containing cDNA of non-AMD-risk-associated VTN served as positive control; no template was added to the negative control. GUSB gene expression was assessed as control for RNA integrity. (B) Western blot analysis of vitronectin protein expression in ARPE-19, hiPSC-RPE, Y79, WERI-Rb1, HUVEC, and HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells transfected with expression vectors for VTN_rs704:C served as positive control. Cell lysates were subjected to western blot analyses using antibodies against vitronectin. The ACTB immunoblot was performed as loading control. (C) Titration series with recombinant VTN cDNA isoforms derived from the non-risk (rs704:C) or the risk (rs704:T) haplotype (ratio of C:T allele given in the figure). Isoforms were determined by sequence analysis. VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T transcription in hiPSC-RPE cells (D) or human retinal tissues (E) of different donors was analyzed by semi-quantitative sequencing of allele-specific transcripts. Non-AMD-risk-associated and AMD-risk-associated alleles at the vitronectin gene locus were determined by genomic sequencing of variant rs704 (see Supplementary Fig. S4). Expression of the non-AMD-risk-associated and AMD-risk-associated VTN mRNA isoforms was investigated by cDNA sequencing of variant rs704.
FIGURE 2. Effect of rs704 on vitronectin protein expression, processing, and oligomerization. (A) Western blot analysis of VTN_rs704:C or VTN_rs704:T protein expression after heterologous expression. HEK293 and ARPE-19 cells were transfected with expression vectors for VTN_rs704:C or VTN_rs704:T or with an empty expression vector (pcDNA3.1). Co-transfection with a GFP expression vector was performed as control. Forty-eight and 72 hours after transfection, cell pellets and supernatants (SNs) of transfected cells were subjected to western blot analysis with antibodies against vitronectin and GFP. The ACTB immunoblot served as control. After densitometric quantification, vitronectin signals from cell pellets and supernatants were normalized against GFP. Data represent the mean ± SD of four biological replicates, calibrated against VTN_rs704:C. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U test). (B) Non-reducing SDS-PAGE and (C) Blue native PAGE with purified recombinant vitronectin isoforms, followed by western blot analysis against vitronectin.

The increase of VTN_rs704:T protein relative to VTN_rs704:C was evident in the supernatant of the transfected HEK293 cells (48 hours, 3.03 ± 2.52-fold increase; 72 hours, 3.47 ± 2.05-fold increase; P < 0.05 for both time points). Comparable results were obtained after heterologous expression of vitronectin isoforms in ARPE-19. Compared to VTN_rs704:C, the AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:T showed a statistically significant increase in intracellular protein level (48 hours, 4.19 ± 2.20-fold increase; 72 hours, 5.60 ± 3.83-fold increase; P < 0.05 for both time points), as well as in the supernatant (48 hours, 4.17 ± 2.16-fold increase, P < 0.05; 72 hours, 1.55 ± 1.36-fold increase, P < 0.05).

The immunoblots of the supernatants demonstrated that VTN_rs704:T was less susceptible to endogenous cleavage, resulting in higher amounts of uncleaved, single-chain vitronectin and lower amounts of cleaved vitronectin, compared to VTN_rs704:C (Fig. 2A).

We further investigated the impact of rs704 on vitronectin oligomerization, which is thought to play an important role in cell adhesion, ECM organization, or the formation of extracellular deposits.19-21 Vitronectin oligomerization is reported to be stabilized by disulfide bonds and non-covalent interactions.19 To follow the formation of oligomers stabilized by disulfide bonds, non-reducing SDS-PAGE (Fig. 2B) was performed as described previously.47,48 Oligomerization stabilized by non-covalent interactions was addressed via Blue native PAGE (Fig. 2C) as described previously.49 Despite the effect of rs704 on endogenous proteolytic cleavage of the two vitronectin isoforms (Fig. 2A), rs704 did not affect oligomerization of the two vitronectin isoforms; non-reducing SDS-PAGE and Blue native PAGE both demonstrated similar oligomerization patterns for VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T (Figs. 2B, 2C).

Binding Capacity of Vitronectin Isoforms to Different Retinal and Non-Retinal Cell Lines

Under physiological conditions, soluble vitronectin binds to various cell surfaces.50 We therefore investigated an influence of the rs704-associated amino acid exchange on the capacity of the two vitronectin isoforms to bind to retinal and endothelial cells (Fig. 3). All tested cell lines (ARPE-19, hiPSC–RPE, porcine RPE, HUVEC, and Y79) bound both vitronectin isoforms (VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T). However, a striking difference was evident in binding efficiency. Compared to the non-AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:C, the AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:T protein revealed a strongly decreased binding capacity to all cell lines tested (reduction to 21.3% ± 19.4% at ARPE-19, 34.8% ± 37.0% at hiPSC–RPE, 10.2% ± 6.7% at porcine RPE,
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Microenvironment

To assess effects of vitronectin isoforms on ECM organization, we examined ECM deposition by ARPE-19 cells that were transfected with expression vectors for the two vitronectin isoforms, VTN_rs704:C or VTN_rs704:T, as described previously.98,99 After 4 weeks, ARPE-19 cells heterologously expressing vitronectin exhibited large extracellular vitronectin aggregates extending along the produced ECMs (Fig. 4A).

Quantification revealed a 2.82 ± 1.89-fold increase in vitronectin signal intensity and a 1.99 ± 1.04-fold increase in vitronectin cluster size in the ECMs containing the AMD-risk-associated isoform VTN_rs704:T compared to ECMs containing non-AMD-risk-associated isoform VTN_rs704:C (P < 0.05) (Fig. 4B). Laminin staining reflected disorganized fibers and aggregates in all ECMs (Fig. 4A). The overall amount of laminin (Fig. 4B) and its assembly (Fig. 4C) were not affected by heterologous expression of vitronectin.

Fibronectin appeared as densely packed aggregates in ECMs of non-vitronectin expressing cells. In ECMs containing vitronectin, these aggregates extended and formed fibers (Fig. 4A), with an increase in total fibronectin signal intensity (VTN_rs704:C, 1.50 ± 0.64; VTN_rs704:T, 1.95 ± 1.15, compared to control) (Fig. 4B). The increase in fibronectin cluster size was 1.44 ± 0.64 for VTN_rs704:C and 2.50 ± 1.12 for VTN_rs704:T. The AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:T protein exerted a stronger effect on fibronectin levels and cluster sizes than VTN_rs704:C (P < 0.05 between VTN_rs704:T and control) (Figs. 4B, 4C).

Elastin revealed a similar appearance than fibronectin staining (Fig. 4A), although the increase in signal intensity was not as pronounced (VTN_rs704:C, 1.08 ± 0.05; VTN_rs704:T, 1.12 ± 0.06, compared to control; P < 0.05 between VTN_rs704:T and control) (Fig. 4B). Both vitronectin isoforms had a similar effect on elastin cluster size (increase to 1.62 ± 0.45 for VTN_rs704:C and 1.51 ± 0.34 for VTN_rs704:T compared with control; P < 0.05 between both vitronectin isoforms and control) (Fig. 4C).

In the ECMs of non-vitronectin expressing cells, collagen VI was evenly distributed along the ECM without prominent cluster or fiber formation. In vitronectin-containing ECMs, we observed a notable aggregation of collagen VI (Fig. 4A, shown in the merged picture of vitronectin and collagen VI staining). Although collagen VI staining failed to show a statistically significant increase in total signal intensity (Fig. 4B), vitronectin expression was associated with an increase in collagen VI cluster size. Again, AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:T exerted a stronger effect than non-AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:C (1.45 ± 0.42 for VTN_rs704:C and 1.81 ± 0.35 for VTN_rs704:T compared with control; P < 0.05 between both vitronectin isoforms and control) (Fig. 4C).

Effect of VTN_rs704 on Extracellular Microenvironment

To assess effects of vitronectin isoforms on ECM organization, we examined ECM deposition by ARPE-19 cells that were transfected with expression vectors for the two vitronectin isoforms, VTN_rs704:C or VTN_rs704:T, as described previously.98,99

After 4 weeks, ARPE-19 cells heterologously expressing vitronectin exhibited large extracellular vitronectin aggregates extending along the produced ECMs (Fig. 4A).

Effect of rs704 on AMD-Associated Processes

In the retina, extracellular vitronectin protein was mainly detected in sub-RPE regions, namely in Bruch’s membrane and the retinal vasculature.14 As vitronectin is a known cell adhesion, and spreading factor contributing to ECM organization (reviewed in Leavesley et al.3), we analyzed the role of the vitronectin isoforms in ECM deposition, RPE and endothelial adhesion, and spreading of endothelial cells, all processes associated with AMD pathology51 (reviewed in Kleinman and Ambati24 and Campochiaro25).
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FIGURE 4. Effect of vitronectin isoforms on ECM deposition by ARPE-19 cells. (A) ARPE-19 cells transfected with expression vectors for VTN_rs704:C or VTN_rs704:T or with an empty expression vector (pcDNA3.1) were incubated for 4 weeks on transwell filter inserts. After 4 weeks, the inserts were decellularized and subjected to immunostaining with antibodies against vitronectin (α-VTN), laminin (α-LAM), fibronectin (α-FN), elastin (α-ELA), or collagen VI (α-COL VI). Confocal microscopy images were taken at 10× magnification. Scale bars: 100 μm. (B) Fluorescence intensity and (C) average cluster size were measured using ImageJ. Data represent the mean ± SD of eight (vitronectin), five (laminin, fibronectin, and elastin), or six (collagen VI), independent replicates, calibrated against the control. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test with Bonferroni correction).

Effect of Vitronectin on Angiogenesis-Related Processes

Finally, we assessed effects of vitronectin isoforms on angiogenesis by testing HUVEC migration and the ability of HUVECs to form three-dimensional capillary-like tubular structures. The presence of recombinant purified VTN_rs704:C but not VTN_rs704:T slightly reduced HUVEC migration (VTN_rs704:C, 93.3% ± 5.0% compared to control; P < 0.05 between VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T) (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Fig. S5). Both vitronectin isoforms decreased tubular-like structure formation by HUVECs (reduction to 78.8% ± 27.2% by VTN_rs704:C and 85.2% ± 11.9% by VTN_rs704:T compared to control; P < 0.05 between control and both vitronectin isoforms) (Fig. 6B).

DISCUSSION

The present study investigates the influence of AMD-associated genetic non-synonymous VTN variant rs704 on the functionality of the resulting vitronectin protein isoforms. We provide evidence that rs704 significantly alters expression, secretion, and processing of the vitronectin protein. In addition, rs704 affects the ability of vitronectin to bind to retinal and endothelial cells. Interestingly, the AMD-risk-associated and non-AMD-risk-associated vitronectin isoforms reveal differential effects on ECM production by HUVECs (reduction to 78.8% ± 27.2% by VTN_rs704:C and 85.2% ± 11.9% by VTN_rs704:T compared to control; P < 0.05 between control and both vitronectin isoforms) (Fig. 6B).

The CDNA analysis of hiPSC–RPE cells and human retinal tissues heterozygous for rs704 revealed no effect of rs704 on the transcriptional activity of VTN. This is consistent with data provided by the Genome-Tissue Expres-
FIGURE 5. Effect of vitronectin isoforms on RPE and endothelial cell adhesion. (A) Suspended hiPSC–RPE cells, (B) freshly isolated porcine RPE cells (pRPE), or (C) HUVECs were incubated for 24 hours (A), 18 hours (B), or 20 minutes (C) in the presence of purified recombinant VTN_rs704:C, VTN_rs704:T, or control eluate. Subsequently, cell adhesion was determined measuring fluorescence of fluorescently labeled cells in a spectrophotometer (A, C) or counting cells from 4× micrographs (B) using ImageJ. (D) Suspended hiPSC–RPE cells, (E) freshly isolated pRPE, or (F) HUVECs were incubated for 40 minutes (D, E) or 5 minutes (F) on VTN_rs704:C- or VTN_rs704:T-containing ECM or on control ECM. Subsequently, adherent cells were determined by counting cells (fluorescently labeled in D and F) from 4× micrographs with ImageJ. Data represent mean ± SD of seven (A), four (B, E), or five (C, D, F) independent replicates, calibrated against the control. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test and Bonferroni correction). Micrographs shown in the figure were taken at 10× magnification (standard, 200 μm).

FIGURE 6. Effect of vitronectin isoforms on HUVEC migration and tube formation. (A) To investigate an effect of vitronectin isoforms on endothelial migration, a scratch assay was performed with HUVECs cultivated in the presence of VTN_rs704:C, VTN_rs704:T, or control eluate (see Supplementary Fig. S5), and cell migration was defined as the percentage area closed after 14 hours of incubation. Data represent mean ± SD of six independent replicates, calibrated against the control. (B) HUVECs were cultivated in the presence of recombinant VTN_rs704:C, VTN_rs704:T, or control eluate. After 16 hours, the formation of tubular-like structures was followed microscopically (standard, 400 μm). The length of tubular-like structures was measured using ImageJ. Data represent mean ± SD of 11 independent replicates calibrated against the control. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test and Bonferroni correction).

Bioinformatic analyses including genotype and gene expression data from 49 (non-retinal) tissues revealed no differential effect of the rs704 polymorphism on vitronectin mRNA expression, thus excluding rs704 as an expression quantitative trait locus for VTN in the 49 tissues analyzed. However, heterologous expression of the different vitronectin isoforms shows an impact of rs704 on vitronectin protein expression. This is
in agreement with data from a genotype-protein association analysis in human plasma samples from 3301 individuals.52 In that study, an increase in vitronectin serum levels was associated with AMD-risk variant rs704, classifying rs704 as a protein quantitative trait locus.52 Increased expression of VTN_rs704:T might be a consequence of different codon usage, which can influence translation kinetics.3,53 The methionine encoding triplet codon AUG (adenine–uracil–guanine; 22.3 in 1000, found in the risk allele) has a higher frequency than the threonine encoding triplet codon ACG (adenine–cytosine–guanine; 6.2 in 1000).53 Moreover, rs704:C>T induces an exchange from a polar (threonine) to an unpolar amino acid (methionine). Alterations in translation rate or amino acid composition can affect protein folding and translocation into the endoplasmic reticulum or the Golgi apparatus (summarized in Lodish56 and Hurtley and Helenius57).

As observed before,26,58,59 rs704 decreases cleavage of full-length vitronectin to a disulfide bond cross-linked form comprised of the 65- and 10-kDa subunits. Studies on functional differences between the cleaved and non-cleaved vitronectin or between VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T are rare and report controversial results.59–61 Although Gibson and colleagues60 failed to detect differences between non-cleaved and cleaved vitronectin in binding to heparin and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), Chain and colleagues59 reported a distinct conformational change after vitronectin cleavage that “buried” a phosphorylation site at amino acid position 378, thus impairing vitronectin phosphorylation. Hazawa and colleagues61 found that PAI-1 similarly inhibited cleavage of VTN_rs704:C and VTN_rs704:T, and only the non-cleaved but not the cleaved vitronectin protected endothelial cells against radiation-induced cell death.

Our study demonstrated an effect of rs704 on cell binding of vitronectin, which can interact with a variety of molecules on cell surfaces, including integrin receptors,62–64 urokinase receptor,65,66 heparin,67,68 or lipids.69 Upon interaction with a binding partner, vitronectin changes its structural and functional capacities (reviewed in Preissner and Reuning60). The decreased cell surface binding of the AMD-risk-associated vitronectin isoform (VTN_rs704:T) could consequently affect its functionality on various cellular, vitronectin-regulated processes.

Vitronectin is a key constituent of the ECM. In contrast to classical ECM proteins such as collagen, fibronectin, or laminin, which have structural functions, vitronectin is thought to operate as a “matricellular” protein, acting in particular as a modulator of the cell–ECM interface and exerting regulatory functions in a variety of cellular processes such as cell adhesion, angiogenesis, and matrix remodeling (reviewed in Leaveseley et al.,70 Preissner and Reuning,71 and Schwartz et al.72). ECM produced by ARPE-19 cells is composed of characteristic Bruch's membrane proteins38,39 and supports primary RPE cells to acquire and maintain the RPE phenotype.38,39 Therefore, despite the limitation of ARPE-19 cells for studying certain aspects of RPE function due to low polarization, pigmentation, and transcellular resistance,71–73 they frequently serve as a model system to recreate Bruch's membrane pathology in culture.12,38,39,74,75 (reviewed in Fields et al.76). In our study, heterologous expression of vitronectin by ARPE-19 cells increased deposition and clustering of ECM constituents such as fibronectin, elastin, and collagen VI. This could be a consequence of the matricular activity of vitronectin. Several studies have shown that vitronectin binds collagen.77–79 Higher amounts of deposited vitronectin can thus bind higher amounts of collagen, consequently enhancing collagen accumulation at the site of vitronectin deposition, as observed in our analysis. Collagen, on the other hand, interacts with fibronectin and stimulates the formation of a stable fibronectin meshwork.80–82 Alternatively, vitronectin may directly affect fibronectin deposition; a study by Pankov and colleagues83 observed that binding of vitronectin to its integrin receptor on the cell surface initiated the assembly of fibronectin, eventually leading to fibronectin fibrillogenesis. Fibronectin in turn can bind elastin84 and promote elastin deposition.85 Interestingly, the AMD-risk-associated isoform VTN_rs704:T showed increased deposition and larger cluster size than the non-AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:C, which is consistent with the increased expression of VTN_rs704:T observed in our study and in Sun et al.82 VTN_rs704:T also exerted stronger effects on levels and clustering of fibronectin, elastin, and collagen VI when compared to VTN_rs704:C. A follow-up in-depth characterization of ECM structure (including scanning and transmission electron microscopy) and ECM composition, as well as its consequences on RPE homeostasis, is in progress. Despite the artificial in vitro system, results reproducibly show differences of the two vitronectin isoforms in their ECM deposition and in their effect on other ECM components. The observed functional difference between the two isoforms might also affect ECM deposition in vivo and thus contribute to AMD pathology. AMD eyes characteristically reveal alterations in ECM integrity, specifically the emergence of basal laminar deposits,86–89 which may cause an impaired diffusion of waste products and nutrients through the RPE (reviewed in Birch and Liang90 and Bird91). Subsequent RPE stress might result in increased RPE death and growth factor production, all characteristic processes in geographic atrophy or choroidal neovascularization (reviewed in Somasundaran et al.92 and Al-Zamil and Yassin93). Moreover, vitronectin is a major component of drusen,4–6 Increased deposition of risk-associated VTN_rs704:T and concurrent clustering of ECM components such as fibronectin, elastin, and collagen VI could thus be related to the formation of abnormal deposits in Bruch's membrane, hence contributing to AMD development.

Vitronectin plays a key role in the adhesion of cells to the ECM.53–54 Consistent with this, the addition of recombinant vitronectin or vitronectin-containing ECM strongly increased adhesion of porcine and hiPSC-derived RPE cells. Vitronectin-containing ECM also enhanced adhesion of HUVECs, whereas recombinant vitronectin exerted a different effect: In the latter experiment, vitronectin had no adhesive properties, and the risk-associated isoform VTN_rs704:T even showed a very slight, anti-adhesive effect on HUVECs. This could be the result of a competition of integrin/urokinase receptors and PAI-1 for binding to vitronectin. HUVECs express high amounts of PAI-1,94–97 which is known to block integrin or urokinase receptor-mediated adhesion due to a close proximity of their respective binding sites on vitronectin.88,89 Increased attachment of HUVECs to vitronectin-containing ECM could in turn be mediated by other ECM components such as collagen VI or fibronectin, which also exhibited increased deposition in these ECMs. Interestingly, the two vitronectin isoforms revealed similar effects on cell adhesion, but their capacity to bind to cell surfaces significantly differed. This apparent discrep-
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ancy could be explained by the fact that our cell-binding assays show the physical interaction between vitronectin and cells, which can be mediated by a variety of cell surface molecules, such as lipids, different receptors, or heparin. In contrast, adhesion is a cellular process that involves the formation of specific multiprotein complexes built by cell adhesion molecules or receptors, ECM proteins, and cytoplasmic plaque or peripheral membrane proteins.

It has been commonly reported that binding of vitronectin to integrin receptors stimulates angiogenic activity in cells. However, in our experiments, non-AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:C slightly reduced migration compared with control or VTN_rs704:T. Moreover, both isoforms inhibited tube formation by HUVECs. This again could be partially explained by the above-discussed antagonistic effect of PAI-1. Several studies have reported an inhibition of cell migration by PAI-1, due to blocking integrin or urokinase receptor binding to vitronectin. Furthermore, Yi and colleagues reported that the presence of vitronectin sustains the antiangiogenic activity of several ECM or blood proteins, such as osteonectin, or angiostatin, thus ascribing an indirect antiangiogenic function to vitronectin. Notably, non-AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:C showed a stronger impairment of migration and tube formation than AMD-risk-associated VTN_rs704:T, which suggests that the two vitronectin isoforms may exert different regulatory effects on angiogenesis and consequently on choroidal neovascularization.

Of note, there are limitations of this study. Due to the main localization of extracellular vitronectin protein in sub-RPE regions, in specific in Bruch’s membrane and the retinal vasculature, our experiments were designed to address the sub-RPE aspects of AMD pathology, and the results should not be extrapolated to the subretinal aspects without further research. Moreover, due to the complex and multifactorial nature of AMD, a variety of other cellular processes may contribute to disease development, e.g. lipid metabolism, complement activation, or oxidative stress responses (reviewed in Datta et al. and Mitchell et al.). The multifaceted character of vitronectin (reviewed in Leavasley et al., Preissner and Reuning, and Schwartz et al.)—for example, as a complement inhibitor or as a putative ligand of the apical RPE-localized integrin receptor which facilitates neural retinal adhesion—might allow its involvement in all of these processes. Additional investigations on functional consequences of rs704 in these pathways and especially subretinal disease aspects are thus required to completely resolve the contribution of vitronectin to AMD pathogenesis. Moreover, to address consequences of the rs704-associated amino acid exchange on structural and functional properties of vitronectin, we applied in vitro studies using recombinant vitronectin. This system benefits from high reproducibility and low background (e.g., without the impact of different genetic backgrounds or growth factors with similar functions), enabling the detection of even small alterations between the different vitronectin isoforms. The in vitro situation in the retina, a highly specified, multilayered tissue of different cell types affected by nutrient supply, growth factors, external and internal stressors, and many additional physiological factors, is surely more complex, requiring caution in the interpretation of the data obtained. Vitronectin functionality (and putative differences between the two isoforms) might be affected or compensated by other matricellular proteins, growth factors, or cytokines. Interestingly, despite the involvement of vitronectin in a variety of essential processes such as wound healing, tissue reorganization, angiogenesis, or matrix remodeling (reviewed in Leavasley et al.), vitronectin-deficient mice show normal development but disturbances in their reaction to tissue injury. It was concluded that vitronectin is not required for normal development but plays a role in the early events of thrombogenesis and tissue repair (reviewed in Leavasley et al.). Connecting these findings to AMD pathogenesis, vitronectin may not exert a major role in retinal integrity until the emergence of disturbances in retinal homeostasis caused by age-related changes, such as accumulation of metabolic debris by RPE cells and Bruch’s membrane, neuronal cell loss and degeneration, or alterations in the ocular blood flow.

Taken together, this study reveals effects of the AMD-risk-associated rs704 polymorphism on the expression, processing, and functionality of vitronectin, including its capacity to regulate AMD-related processes. This may point to an involvement of rs704 and vitronectin in AMD pathogenesis. Nonetheless, the data do not exclude (additional) pathogenic effects of other variants of the associated genetic locus requiring further scrutiny to consider functional aspects of all genes and variants involved at this locus.
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