High-temperature spin dynamics in the Heisenberg chain: Magnon propagation and emerging Kardar-Parisi-Zhang scaling in the zero-magnetization limit
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The large-scale dynamics of quantum integrable systems is often dominated by ballistic modes due to the existence of stable quasiparticles. We here consider as an archetypical example for such a system the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ XXX Heisenberg chain that features magnons and their bound states. An interesting question, which we here investigate numerically, arises with respect to the fate of ballistic modes at finite temperatures in the limit of zero magnetization $m=0$. At a finite magnetization density $m$, the spin-autocorrelation function $\Pi(x,t)$ (at high temperatures) typically exhibits a trimodal behavior with left- and right-moving quasiparticle modes and a broad center peak with slower dynamics. The broadening of the fastest propagating modes exhibits a subdiffusive $t^{1/3}$ scaling at large magnetization densities $m\to\frac{1}{2}$, familiar from noninteracting models; it crosses over into a diffusive scaling $t^{1/2}$ upon decreasing the magnetization to smaller values. The behavior of the center peak appears to exhibit a crossover from transient superdiffusion to ballistic relaxation at long times. In the limit $m\to0$, the weight carried by the propagating peaks tends to zero; the residual dynamics is carried only by the central peak; it is sub-ballistic and characterized by a dynamical exponent $z$ close to the value $\frac{1}{2}$ familiar from Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) scaling. We confirm that, employing elaborate finite-time extrapolations, the spatial scaling of the correlator $\Pi$ is in excellent agreement with KPZ-type behavior and analyze the corresponding corrections.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Interaction effects in strictly one-dimensional quantum systems tend to be strong. This is, roughly speaking, because the dimensional reduction weakens the efficiency of screening and makes it difficult for two excitations approaching each other to avoid a collision. The overall reduction of phase space for (few-body) scattering processes has one more interesting consequence: Classes of one-dimensional model systems (integrable) can be identified that carry an extensive amount of conserved quantum numbers and their thermodynamic properties can be interpreted in terms of effective particles [1].

When it comes to the hydrodynamic regime, it is well known that conservation laws tend to manifest in the analytical structures of kinetic coefficients. Therefore, it is an interesting endeavor to inquire into the hydrodynamics of fully integrable systems as has been done, recently.

Corresponding generalized hydrodynamic descriptions (GHD) have been proposed [2,3]. They feature kinetic equations for generalized phase-space distributions, akin to the theory of classical soliton gases [4,5]. Diffusive corrections and entropy production due to quasiparticle scattering have been incorporated recently [6–8]. Moreover, quantum hydrodynamics for one-dimensional systems at zero temperature developed earlier, formulated in terms of density and velocity fields, was shown to be reproduced by GHD in the corresponding limit [9]. In this work, we numerically investigate the spin dynamics in isotropic Heisenberg chains at high temperatures for varying total magnetization density $m$. In the limit $m\to -\frac{1}{2}$, i.e., close to the ferromagnetic vacuum, only bare magnons contribute to the spin-autocorrelation function $\Pi(x,t)$, visible as a cone in Fig. 1. The front of the cone exhibits a time window of subdiffusive broadening reflecting the dispersion of the quasiparticles (see Ref. [10] and references therein). The crossover time into the true asymptotic regime, which we find to be diffusive, consistent with Ref. [11], is expected to diverge in the limit $m\to -\frac{1}{2}$. Concomitantly, intermediate $m$, additional ballistic modes can be identified corresponding to 2-magnon bound states.

An intriguing question arises about the fate of the dynamics in the limit of zero magnetization. In this case, it is understood that the quasiparticles effectively do not carry magnetization [12]. Consistently, we observe the weight of the propagating peaks in $\Pi(x,t)$ to disappear. At zero magnetization $m=0$, and elevated temperatures $T\to\infty$, a sub-ballistic dynamics of spin excitations takes over, as has been reported in a number of numerical studies dating back to [13]. However, it has long remained controversial whether a ballistic contribution to the spin dynamics, as measured by the Drude weight, exists (see Ref. [14] for an overview). Most recent analytical studies suggest that the Drude weight indeed vanishes for any finite $T>0$ [14,15]. The peculiar residual dynamics has been identified as superdiffusive relaxation with a dynamical exponent close to $z\approx\frac{1}{2}$ [16–20]. Consistent with numerical observations, it was confirmed analytically that the spin-diffusion
coefficient diverges [12]. Recently, Ref. [21] showed numerically that not only the exponent $z$, but also the spatial shape of the spin-spin autocorrelation function is in agreement with Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) scaling. We confirm this result and carefully analyze the corrections to KPZ scaling, which we find to be of the form $t^{-\gamma}, y \approx \frac{1}{4}$. Our calculations for the high-temperature spin autocorrelator follow a protocol pioneered in Ref. [17], employing standard matrix product operator (MPO) techniques. We observe that the magnetization dynamics with bond dimensions $\chi \leqslant 1000$ exhibits unphysical fluctuations for times $t \gtrsim 30$, which are short in comparison to the scaling limit. Remarkably, the qualitative characteristics of the long-time limit, such as the dynamical exponent and the KPZ scaling, appear to be rather forgiving in the sense that it emerges after removing fluctuations by performing running averages (see Ref. [21]).

II. MODEL AND METHOD

A. Model and observable

The Hamiltonian of the XXZ Heisenberg chain is given by

$$\hat{H} = J \sum_{x} \left[ \hat{S}^x x_{x+1} + \hat{S}^y x_{x+1} + \Delta \hat{S}^z x_{x+1} \right].$$

We choose $J=1$ as the unit of energy and concentrate on $\Delta=\frac{1}{2}$. The total $z$ component of spin $\hat{M} = \sum_x \hat{S}^z x$ is conserved, i.e., commutes with $\hat{H}$. The length of the chain is chosen $L \geqslant 200$ such that, on the timescales shown, the boundaries do not affect the results of this work.

Our observable is the spin dynamics by means of the equilibrium $\hat{S}^z$ correlation function:

$$\Pi(x, t) = \mathcal{N} \left( \langle \hat{S}^z x_{t} \hat{S}^z 0_{t} \rangle - \langle \hat{S}^z 0_{t} \rangle^2 \right)$$

with $\hat{S}^z x_{t} = e^{i \hat{H} t} \hat{S}^z x e^{-i \hat{H} t}$. Averages are taken with respect to an infinite-temperature ensemble

$$\langle \hat{X} \rangle_h = \frac{\text{Tr} [\hat{X} e^{-\hat{H} t}]}{\text{Tr} e^{-\hat{H} t}},$$

where $\hbar$ controls the average magnetization $\langle \hat{M} x \rangle_h = \frac{1}{2} \tanh \left( \frac{\chi}{2} \right)$. The prefactor in (2) is time independent and normalizes the correlator: $\sum_x \Pi(x, t) = 1$.

Instead of directly evaluating $\Pi(x, t)$, we adopt the simulation protocol suggested in [17] and compute the time evolution of a nonequilibrium initial state

$$\hat{\rho}_0 \sim \exp \left( -\hbar \hat{M} - \sum_x \mu_x \hat{S}^z x \right)$$

corresponding to a high-temperature state with varying $M$ density. The initial spin profile has a “domain wall” shape:

$$\mu_x = \begin{cases} +\mu, & x > 0 \\ -\mu, & x \leqslant 0 \end{cases}.$$}

Then, in the limit of small $\mu$, the nonequilibrium spin densities can be related to the equilibrium correlator $\Pi(x, t)$:

$$\partial_t \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{S}^z x_{t} \right] \approx \mu \left( \langle \hat{S}^z x_{t} \hat{S}^z 0_{t} \rangle - \langle \hat{S}^z 0_{t} \rangle^2 \right) + O(\mu^2).$$

The spatial derivative is evaluated numerically. While such a linear-response relation is easily seen to hold for continuous $x$, an exact relation for the lattice model was derived in Ref. [21]. We chose $\mu = 0.001$ in the numerical simulations.

B. Method

Time evolution $\hat{\rho}(t) = e^{-i \hat{H} t} \hat{\rho}(t=0) e^{i \hat{H} t}$ is carried out using a matrix product decomposition of (4) (controlled by the maximum bond dimension $\chi$) and conventional Trotter decomposition (controlled by the time increment $\Delta t$) of the Liouvillian superoperator $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to the Hamiltonian (1): $\mathcal{L} \hat{\rho} = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}]$. Conservation of $\hat{S}^z$ implies a block-diagonal structure of $\hat{\rho}$, which is exploited in order to speed up the calculations. As these are standard techniques used in the field, further details are delegated to Appendix A. The calculations were performed using the ITensor library [22].

We offer a remark concerning the convergence with bond dimension $\chi$. Quite generally, the convergence properties with respect to $\chi$ are far from universal: depending on the system (i.e., the Hamiltonian), the initial state, and certain computational details, convergence of a given observable can be reached at significantly different $\chi$ values. Indeed, a remarkable observation was made in [17]: Evolving initial states of type (4), the authors could obtain results for the spin and current densities that are roughly independent on $\chi$ up to long times $t \lesssim 150$. This exceeds simulation times reported for direct evaluation of correlation functions by almost an order of magnitude (see, e.g., Refs. [23,24] for an analysis of convergence properties).

While our results fully confirm the qualitative conclusions of [17,21], we do observe corrections upon increasing $\chi$. For example, the diffusion constant at $\Delta=2$ is observed to keep increasing with $\chi > 1000$ where its value has increased to $D \approx 0.63$ as opposed to $D \approx 0.4$ reported in [17] (see Appendix B). Based on the impression that results may still exhibit a significant dependence on the bond dimension, the convergence of dynamical properties with $\chi$ will receive...
peaks can be observed around $\epsilon(k) \sim -J \cos(k)$ and a maximum group velocity of $v = J(=1)$.

In the context of noninteracting models, it is well known that nonlinearity of the free quasiparticle dispersion gives rise to a peculiar scale invariance of density profiles close to the “light cone” [26,27], i.e., for $x = \pm vt$ (where $v$ denotes the velocity of the fastest quasiparticle mode). More specifically, the broadening of the ballistic front is given by a subdiffusive power law $t^{1/3}$. Recently, attempts have been made to interpret these findings in the context of GHD [10,28]. We would like to stress that the exponents arising here, while similar to the KPZ exponents, are believed to have a different origin as the dynamical exponent $z$ discussed in Sec. III B 1 below. The latter is interpreted as a consequence of interactions between quasiparticles.

In Fig. 3(a) we show that, for large magnetization ($m \sim -0.5$), $\Pi$ appears to exhibit the sub-diffusive scaling close to the light-cone, as in the non-interacting case:

$$\Pi(x,t) \sim \frac{1}{t^{2/3}} F \left( \frac{x \pm \nu_m t}{t^{1/3}} \right)$$

for $x = \nu_m t$, where the $F(y) \sim 2^{2/3} \text{Ai}^2(2^{1/3}y)$ with $\text{Ai}(y)$ denoting the Airy function [26]. In order to achieve a collapse of our numerical data for different times, we need to account for a small renormalization of the bare magnon velocity (the actual velocity $\nu_m$ is taken as a fit parameter here).

The question of whether the $t^{1/3}$ scaling survives in the presence of interactions has been discussed in recent works [10,11,28]. In Ref. [28], it was shown numerically to occur for any values of $\Delta$ if the initial state is given by a polarized product state, consistent with our findings for the spin correlator. On the other hand, for more generic nonequilibrium situations, it is expected that diffusive dynamics [8] will eventually dominate over the dispersive $t^{1/3}$ scaling in the long-time limit. Numerical evidence for such a diffusive scaling of spin profiles close to the light cone was given in Ref. [11] in the regime $\Delta < 1$. In the following, we study the crossover in more detail, as a function of both magnetization and time.

Upon decreasing $|m|$, going away from the fully polarized limit, we observe that the features of $\bar{F}(y)$, as given in Eq. (7), are increasingly washed out. We indeed find that, for small enough $|m|$, the broadening of the magnon peak appears to follow a diffusive $t^{1/2}$ scaling at variance with the subdiffusive $t^{1/3}$ scaling observed for $|m| \rightarrow 0.5$ [see Fig. 3(c)]. From the reasoning above, one would expect that the width of the fastest propagating peak $\sigma_{\text{mag}}(t)$ can be described by $\sigma_{\text{mag}}^2(t) = D_{\text{mag}} t + (\kappa t)^{2/3}$. The latter prefactor $\kappa$ can be determined from our data in the limit $|m| \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$ [see Fig. 3(a)], which yields $\kappa \approx 0.18$. Stipulating that $\kappa$ is independent of the magnetization $m$, we define a crossover timescale

$$t_c \sim \frac{\kappa^2}{D_{\text{mag}}^2} \approx \frac{0.03}{D_{\text{mag}}^2},$$

III. RESULTS

The form of the correlation function (2) is determined by the motion of the quasiparticles. We refer to Ref. [25] for a discussion of the analytic properties of this correlator. In the limit of $m = \langle \hat{M} \rangle_0/L \rightarrow -0.5$, the correlator $\Pi$ probes dynamics close to the fully polarized state. Excitations of this state are magnons and bound states of $n$ magnons with bare group velocity $\nu_0 \sim \frac{\epsilon}{v}$ [1]. Due to the integrability of the model, quasiparticles remain stable even for $|m| < 0.5$ and give rise to ballistic modes in the spin dynamics, which are observed in $\Pi(x,t)$ as a set of propagating peaks. The spatial dependence of $\Pi$ at fixed time for varying $m$ is illustrated in Fig. 2: At strong magnetization $|m| \rightarrow 0.5$, only magnons contribute to $\Pi$, which manifest themselves as a sequence of left- and right-moving peaks with velocities $\pm \nu_m$. The evolution of these peaks and their dependence on $m$ is analyzed in Sec. III A. At intermediate peaks $|m|$ we can also identify another pair of distinct peaks in $\Pi$, which move with a slower velocity that is given by roughly half the magnon velocity (as indicated by the arrows in the figure). Therefore, they can be associated with 2-magnon bound states.

Upon further decreasing $|m|$, only a single propagating peak in $\Pi$ is left: the remaining weight is carried by a broad peak centered around $x = 0$, at least on the timescales studied here. This peak exhibits anomalous KPZ scaling at vanishing total magnetization $m=0$, which we analyze in Sec. III B. The behavior of the center peak for finite $m$ is discussed in Sec. III C.
FIG. 3. Rescaled correlator close to the light cone $x=vt$ for different values of $m$. The figures illustrate the evolution of the magnon peak as a function of magnetization $m$ and time $t$. (a) In the strongly magnetized case $m \approx -0.5$, numerical results are compared with the exact scaling function for noninteracting systems [26]. We also show data for a slightly smaller value of $|m|$, which illustrates how the profile is smeared out away from the fully polarized limit. (b) For an intermediate value of the magnetization $|m| \approx 0.38$, we find that the scaling collapse, as observed in Fig. 3(a), holds only approximately at relatively short times. In order to illustrate the deviations, we also show data for $t = 120$. (c) At $m \approx -0.1$, the numerical data can be described by a Gaussian close to the peak, i.e., around $\xi = 0$. Note the different rescaling of the spatial coordinate as compared to Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). In the weakly magnetized limit, we found that a diffusive rescaling of the spatial coordinate yields a better collapse of the numerical data (see discussion in the main text).

such that for $t \ll t_c$ the broadening is subdiffusive $\sigma_{\text{mag}} \sim t^{1/3}$, and for $t \gg t_c$ it is diffusive $\sigma_{\text{mag}} \sim t^{1/2}$. For small and intermediate values of $|m|$, estimates for the prefactor $D_{\text{mag}}$ can be obtained from numerical data, e.g., from Gaussian fits as seen in Fig. 3(c). In this manner, we obtain the estimates for $t_c$ shown in Fig. 4. In the strongly magnetized limit, the diffusion constant associated with the broadening $D_{\text{mag}}$ is expected to be determined by the magnon occupation factor $\theta_1$ only [12,19]:

$$D_{\text{mag}} \sim \theta_1 (1 - \theta_1)^{|m|^{-1/2}} \approx \theta_1 = (1/4 - m^2),$$

while contributions from bound states are suppressed. Indeed, we find that our numerical results for $t_c$ are consistent with a divergence of the form $\sim(1/4 - m^2)^{-3}$ upon approaching the fully magnetized limit $m = 1/2$ (see Fig. 4).

FIG. 4. Estimate for the crossover timescale that separates the diffusive ($t > t_c$) from the subdiffusive ($t < t_c$) growth of the width of the fastest propagating peak. The crosses indicate numerical results, while the dashed line is a conjecture based on Eq. (9). Error bars reflect the residual time dependence of the numerical values obtained for $D_{\text{mag}}$.

2. Velocity

Tracking the outermost peak appears to be the simplest scheme for extracting renormalized magnon velocities. We achieve this by fitting the numerical data to a Gaussian close to the peak and then obtain the velocity via linear regression. The results are shown in Fig. 5. For large magnetization $|m|$, however, such a scheme does not yield accurate results on the timescales $t \lesssim 100$ studied in this work. The reason for this failure becomes obvious from the scaling shown in Fig. 3(a): $\xi = 0$ does not correspond to the position of the peak but rather to a different point at larger $\xi$ (corresponding to the turning point of the Airy function). Therefore, the position of the peak $x_p(t)$ exhibits a subleading term $x_p = vt + \text{const} \times t^{-2/3} + \cdots$ at short times, when the subdiffusive scaling still holds approximately. We also show velocities obtained from fitting the profile to the function $F(y)$ [see Eq. (7)] at large $|m|$. The thus obtained values linearly extrapolate to the correct bare magnon velocity: $v_m \approx 1.0 + 0.43(|m| - 1/2)$.
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for $m \gtrsim -1/2$. In the opposite limit $m \to 0$, the renormalized magnon velocity appears to approach a value of $v_m = 0 \approx 1.2$, which is consistent with the value for the “Lieb-Robinson” velocity at vanishing magnetization that was obtained in [12] (see Fig. 1, inset of that reference).

B. Spin profiles at $m = 0$: KPZ scaling

A recent numerical work [21] studied the high-temperature spin correlator (2) in the isotropic Heisenberg chain at vanishing total magnetization, i.e., $m = 0$. Interestingly, the authors found that the spatial profile is given by scaling functions of the KPZ universality class, consistent with the dynamical exponent $z = 2/3$ observed earlier [16,17]. In this section we confirm these observations by carefully analyzing transients and corrections to scaling, as well as the dependence of the numerical results on the bond dimension.

The KPZ equation was initially suggested as a description of universal properties of surface growth [29]. The closely related stochastic Burger’s equation appears as a hydrodynamic limit in many classical many-body systems in one dimension (see, e.g., Ref. [30]). Manifestations of KPZ universality in quantum systems are subject to ongoing research (see Refs. [31–34] for works outside of the present context). It should be noted, however, that a theoretical understanding of why KPZ universality emerges in the integrable XXX chain is still lacking. Some aspects of the superdiffusive dynamics have been captured by a kinetic theory [19]. Furthermore, numerical studies have provided insight regarding the relevant conservation laws: A recent study indicates that integrability is indeed a crucial ingredient in order to observe a dynamical exponent $z = 2/3$ in spin chains; the relevancy of energy conservation is presently investigated [21].

fig. 6. Characteristics of the spin dynamics computed from $\Pi(x,t)$ at zero magnetization $m = 0$. (a) Time dependence of the width $\Delta x$ of the correlator. The black line serves as a guide to the eye, indicating a power law $t^{1/3}$ corresponding to the KPZ exponent $z = 2/3$. The inset highlights the dependence on the maximum bond dimension $\chi$, which is not visible in the main plot. (b) The effective, time-dependent exponent $\beta(t)$ [see Eq. (11)] highlighting deviations from true power-law behavior and significant residual dependency on the bond dimension $\chi$. (c) The graph suggests that corrections to the power-law behavior can be described by a subleading term $\sim t^{-1/3}$. The offset and slope obtained from the fit (dashed black line) are discussed in the main text.

I. Time evolution of $\Delta x(t)$

As ballistic contributions are absent at $m = 0$, the dynamics of the center peak is characterized by the width

$$\Delta x(t) = \left( \sum_x x^2 \Pi(x,t) \right)^{1/2}.$$  

(10)

This quantity can be interpreted as the root-mean-square displacement of an excess spin density initially localized at the origin $x = 0$. The corresponding numerical data are displayed in Fig. 6(a), exhibiting an approximate power law $t^{1/3}$ with dynamical exponent $z \approx 1.5$. $z$ being close to $2/3$ has been observed before [16,17] and was giving a motivation to inquire into the possibility of KPZ dynamics.

Convergence of effective exponent function.. In order to highlight the deviations from a true power-law behavior as well as the dependence on the bond dimension $\chi$, we introduce the effective exponent

$$\beta(t) = \frac{d \log \Delta x(t)}{d \log t}.$$  

(11)

Results are shown in Fig. 6(b). While saturation of $\beta(t)$ near a value of $\frac{2}{3}$ is observed at relatively small $\chi$, deviations grow at better $\chi$ values; concomitantly, the “noise” on $\beta(t)$ seen in Fig. 6(b) diminishes. Strictly speaking, the asymptotic behavior $\beta(t \to \infty)$ is not reliably obtained from the data without further analysis.

Corrections to scaling.. To obtain a reliable estimate of $\beta(t \to \infty)$ we analyze the transients, i.e., preasymptotic corrections. Our data suggest the following functional form:

$$\Delta x \approx at^{2/3}(1 + bt^{-1/3})$$  

(12)

[see Fig. 6(c)]. By extrapolation of the numerical data (as indicated in the figure), we obtain $\Delta x \approx 1.125t^{2/3}$ for the leading term. The numerical value of the prefactor will be discussed below. While the exponent of the subleading term in Eq. (12) is difficult to determine with certainty, an expansion of $\Delta x$ in powers of $t^{1/3}$ appears natural.

3See Ref. [20]. It should be noted, however, that previous studies found superdiffusive dynamics also in the nonintegrable spin-1 Heisenberg chain [38,45] at high temperatures.
the correlation function irregular oscillations in the data, which are observed at longer times, are a signature of truncation errors (see Appendix A3). Extrapolation is carried out for a fixed time in order to be consistent with our extrapolation of $\Delta t(t)$. The important point of this figure is that the red line, corresponding to an extrapolation with respect to realizations of the noise, agrees very well with the KPZ prediction. (b) The center of the correlation function can be fitted by the KPZ scaling function with $\lambda = 1.5$. The value of $\lambda$ obtained from such a fit is still time dependent on the timescales shown here (see discussion in the main text). (c) Illustration of the extrapolation scheme, which gives rise to the values of the red line shown in Fig. 7(a). Extrapolation is carried out for a fixed $\xi = \frac{x}{t}$ (after interpolating numerical data) assuming that corrections to scaling follow Eq. (15). The irregular oscillations in the data, which are observed at longer times, are a signature of truncation errors (see Appendix A3).

2. Spatial profile: Comparison with KPZ scaling

We now turn to the analysis of how $\Pi(x, t)$ depends on the spatial coordinate $x$ and compare it with the relevant KPZ scaling function $f_{\text{KPZ}}(x)$.

Exact results for $f_{\text{KPZ}}$ were obtained in Ref. [35] and its numerical values have been tabulated [36]. $f_{\text{KPZ}}$ resembles a Gaussian for small arguments, but it exhibits faster decay in the tails: $f_{\text{KPZ}}(y) \sim \exp(-C|x|^3)$ for $|y| \gg 1$ with $C \approx 0.3$.

KPZ universality would imply the following scaling form of the spin correlator:

$$\Pi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda t^{3/2}} f_{\text{KPZ}} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda t^{1/2}} \right) \approx \Pi_{\text{KPZ}}^{\lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda t^{1/2}} \right)$$

with $z = \frac{3}{2}$. Our results for $\Pi(x, t)$ are shown in Fig. 7 as a function of the scaling variable $\xi = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\lambda} t}$. Before discussing the spatial dependence of the correlator, we recall our earlier result suggesting $\Delta(t)/t^{2/3} \approx 1.125$ in the long-time limit [see Eq. (12)]. Presuming KPZ scaling, we can relate the asymptotic time dependence of $\Delta t$ to the parameter $\lambda$ via

$$\Delta(t) \sim t^{2/3} \rightarrow \lambda \left( \int dy y^2 f_{\text{KPZ}}(y) \right)^{1/2} \approx 0.715 \lambda,$$

which implies $\lambda \approx 1.125/0.715 \approx 1.57$. The corresponding prediction for the correlator $\Pi(x, t)$ together with the numerical data is shown in Fig. 7(b). We observe that the spatial shape based on KPZ scaling $\Pi_{\text{KPZ}}^{\lambda} \approx 1.57$ deviates from the numerical data for the relatively short times $t = 35, 45, 65$ shown here. However, the results for $t^{2/3} \Pi(\xi)$ still exhibit a time dependence, which is most easily seen in the tails of the correlator [see Fig 7(a)]. Consistent with the analysis above, we suggest that such finite-time corrections vanish as $t^{-\gamma}$

4In the original context, $f_{\text{KPZ}}$ determines the asymptotic shape of the correlation function $\langle v(x, t)v(x', t') \rangle$, where $v(x, t)$ denotes a solution of the stochastic Burger’s equation and $\langle \ldots \rangle$ averaging with respect to realizations of the noise.

5A similar time dependence of $\lambda$ has been observed in numerical works demonstrating KPZ scaling in classical models (see Refs. [46,47]).
In order to highlight the expected ballistic behavior is displayed in Fig. 8. The horizontal axis is rescaled in order to highlight the expected ballistic behavior. Our results are consistent with a crossover time $t_\text{c}$ at larger times $t > t_\text{c}$. Our results are consistent with a crossover time $t^* \sim h^3$ between the two regimes, in agreement with the prediction of Ref. [25].

At zero magnetization $m = 0$, the propagating peaks are absent. The width of the correlator can be described by $\Delta x \approx at^{2/3}(1 + bt^{-1})$, with $a \approx 1.125$, $b \approx -0.26$, and $y = \frac{1}{2}$. Motivated by the $t^{2/3}$ phenomenology, recent numerical work has tested $\Pi(x,t)$ against KPZ scaling and indeed demonstrates matching with the KPZ scaling function [21]. We confirm this result after including finite-time corrections. At this point, it seems that a deeper understanding of why KPZ scaling emerges in this model still needs to be developed in future research. Such understanding appears even more relevant as recent works suggest that the KPZ behavior does not only occur in the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ chain but in a large class of integrable systems [20,37,38].
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FIG. 9. (a), (b) Rescaled correlator ($\hbar = 0$) at fixed time $t = 50$ for various bond dimensions $\chi$ and Trotter time increments $\Delta t$. Truncation errors due to finite $\chi$ manifest themselves as unphysical spatial fluctuations, which are most pronounced near the center $x \sim 0$. At a given time, the tails are generally less affected by the truncation and are almost independent of errors due to finite Trotter increments. (c) The effective exponent as shown in Fig. 6(b) together with additional data obtained by using a smaller Trotter increment $\Delta t = 0.01$. Up to times $t \sim 60$, the data are not very sensitive to varying $\Delta t$.

APPENDIX A: MATRIX PRODUCT STATE TECHNIQUES

In this section, we briefly review the techniques employed to compute the time evolution of the initial state (4) and present an additional discussion of convergence properties. For details, we refer to reviews of the topic, e.g., Refs. [39,40].

1. Mixed state representation

The matrix product representation of any operator [a so-called matrix product operator (MPO)] is equivalent to a matrix product state (MPS) with an enlarged local Hilbert space [41]. We choose the standard basis in operator space as a local matrix product state (MPS) with an enlarged local Hilbert space called matrix product operator (MPO) is equivalent to a matrix product state in operator space. As a close system is considered, its time evolution is governed by von Neumann superoperator $\hat{O} = [\hat{H}, \hat{O}]$. Using this notation, we can introduce the analog of the time-evolution operator $[\hat{O}(t)] = Z(0) = \text{exp} (-i t \mathcal{L}) | \hat{O}|(0)$. (A3)

For models with nearest-neighbor terms only (as considered here), $\mathcal{L}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{L}_{x,x+1}. \quad \text{(A4)}$$

Here, $\mathcal{O}$ denotes a superoperator extension of $\hat{O}$ and the natural scalar product in operator space is given by the Frobenius product $\langle \hat{A}|\hat{B}\rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{B})$.

2. Time evolution

The initial state (4) corresponds to a trivial MPO, i.e., it is a product state in operator space. As a close system is considered, its time evolution is governed by von Neumann equation $i \partial_t \hat{\rho}(t) = \mathcal{L} \hat{\rho}(t)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the superoperator $\mathcal{L} \hat{O} = [\hat{H}, \hat{O}]$. Using this notation, we can introduce the analog of the time-evolution operator $[\hat{O}(t)] = Z(0) = \text{exp} (-i t \mathcal{L}) | \hat{O}|(0)$. (A3)

For models with nearest-neighbor terms only (as considered here), $\mathcal{L}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{L}_{x,x+1}. \quad \text{(A4)}$$

Here, $\mathcal{O}$ denotes a superoperator extension of $\hat{O}$ and the natural scalar product in operator space is given by the Frobenius product $\langle \hat{A}|\hat{B}\rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{B})$. (A3)

2. Time evolution

The initial state (4) corresponds to a trivial MPO, i.e., it is a product state in operator space. As a close system is considered, its time evolution is governed by von Neumann equation $i \partial_t \hat{\rho}(t) = \mathcal{L} \hat{\rho}(t)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the superoperator $\mathcal{L} \hat{O} = [\hat{H}, \hat{O}]$. Using this notation, we can introduce the analog of the time-evolution operator $[\hat{O}(t)] = Z(0) = \text{exp} (-i t \mathcal{L}) | \hat{O}|(0)$. (A3)

For models with nearest-neighbor terms only (as considered here), $\mathcal{L}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} \mathcal{L}_{x,x+1}. \quad \text{(A4)}$$

Here, $\mathcal{O}$ denotes a superoperator extension of $\hat{O}$ and the natural scalar product in operator space is given by the Frobenius product $\langle \hat{A}|\hat{B}\rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{B})$. (A3)
where $L_{x,x+1}$ acts on sites $x, x+1$ only. Then, $\mathcal{U}(\Delta t)$ can be subjected to a Suzuki-Trotter decomposition, e.g., of second order

$$
\mathcal{U}(\Delta t) = e^{-iL_{1,1}\Delta t/2} \ldots e^{-iL_{2-1,2}\Delta t/2} e^{-iL_{2,1}\Delta t/2} \ldots e^{-iL_{2-1,1}\Delta t/2} + O(\Delta t^3)
$$

as used in this work. Truncation (in terms of singular values) is carried out simultaneously after each bond update in order to keep the bond dimensions below $\chi_{\text{max}}$ (simply denoted by $\chi$ throughout this work). The corresponding error is referred to as “truncation error.” Throughout this work, we choose a very small cutoff for the singular values in the truncation procedure. Therefore, the maximum bond dimension alone controls the matrix product approximation in our simulations.

3. Convergence

In Fig. 9 we show additional data illustrating the dependence of the numerical results on $\chi$ as well as the Trotter time increment $\Delta t$. Choosing a smaller $\Delta t$ will decrease the error due to the Trotter decomposition of the time-evolution operator. On the other hand, choosing a smaller value of $\Delta t$ requires a larger number of truncations to be carried out within a given window of time. Therefore, data obtained using a smaller $\Delta t$ are not necessarily more accurate. Furthermore, as soon as the results are not strictly converged with respect to $\chi$ [as is the case for the longest times shown in, e.g., Fig. 6(b)], a dependence on the precise value of $\Delta t$ is also expected. However, we demonstrate in Fig. 9 that a certain degree of stability with respect to varying $\Delta t$ can be observed.

In accordance with previous works [21,25], we observe that truncation errors generally introduce unphysical fluctuations in $\Pi(x, t)$. Those are most pronounced near $x = 0$ while the fluctuations in the tails appear only at longer times in the form of more regular oscillations [see Fig. 9(b)].

APPENDIX B: DIFFUSION CONSTANT AT $\Delta = 2$

For anisotropy $\Delta > 1$, the spin dynamics at vanishing magnetization $m = 0$ is known to be normal diffusive [8]. In the long-time limit, it is therefore expected that $\partial_t \Delta x^2(t) \overset{t \to \infty}{\to} 2D\Pi$ with $D$ denoting the diffusion constant. The results shown in Fig. 10 demonstrate that this long-time limit cannot be reached reliably with bond dimensions $\chi < 2000$. A naive lower bound $D \gtrsim 0.63$ is obtained from these data by taking the maximum value reached for the largest bond dimension $\chi = 1600$ available. This value should be contrasted with the value $D \approx 0.4$ shown in Ref. [17] [cf. Fig. 2(b), inset, of that reference], which employed the same protocol for simulating spin dynamics albeit with a much smaller bond dimension of $\chi = 200$. Our result is consistent with earlier works evaluating the diffusion constant by means of a direct evaluation of the current-current correlator at high temperature. In particular, for $\Delta = 2$, a lower bound of $D \gtrsim 0.56$ was given in Ref. [42], based on numerical data for $t \leq 17$. Recently, Ref. [8] obtained an analytic result of $D \approx 0.77$ and they give a numerical estimate of $D \approx 0.73$, which was obtained by an extrapolation scheme with respect to time. It is shown in Fig. 10 that, applying the same extrapolation scheme, our data appear consistent with a very similar value of $D$.

APPENDIX C: CORRECTIONS TO SCALING: FURTHER DISCUSSION AND ALTERNATIVE SCENARIO

In Sec. III B 2, we found that the numerical data for the spin correlation function can be described by $\Pi(x, t) = \Pi_0(\lambda, \delta) [1 + g(\delta) t^{-\nu}]$ with $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda = 1.57$. For comparison, we show an alternative scenario in Fig. 11 corresponding to $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda = 1.53$, which also allows for consistent long-time extrapolations of $\Delta x(t)$ and $\Pi(x, t)$. However, the $t^{-1/3}$ correction appears to describe the time dependence of $\Delta x(t)$ more accurately down to very short times.
