Multifractal correlations of the local density of states in dirty superconducting films
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Mesoscopic fluctuations of the local density of states encode multifractal correlations in disordered electron systems. We study fluctuations of the local density of states in a superconducting state of weakly disordered films. We perform numerical computations in the framework of the disordered attractive Hubbard model on two-dimensional square lattices. Our numerical results are explained by an analytical theory. The numerical data and the theory together form a coherent picture of multifractal correlations of local density of states in weakly disordered superconducting films.

Superconductivity and Anderson localization are two physical effects that result in a rich variety of quantum phenomena. Initially, it was believed that non-magnetic disorder does not affect superconductivity (so-called “Anderson theorem”) [1–3]. Later it became clear that Anderson localization in the presence of disorder can not only suppress superconductivity [4–6] but lead to superconductor–to–insulator transition [7] (see Refs. [9–11] for a review). In the presence of Coulomb interactions even a weak disorder was predicted to be sufficient to destroy a superconducting state [12–21].

In the case of a short-ranged electron-electron interaction (e.g., if Coulomb interaction is screened), Anderson localization can enhance the superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$ [22–25]. This surprising phenomenon originates from the multifractality of electron wave functions in disordered media [26]. Recently, theoretical predictions of Refs. [22–25] have been corroborated by numerical solutions of the disordered attractive Hubbard model [27, 28] and experimental observations of enhancement of $T_c$ in disordered niobium dichalcogenide monolayers [29, 30]. The multifractally-enhanced superconductivity is predicted to be accompanied by strong mesoscopic fluctuations of the local order parameter and the local density of states (LDoS) [23, 28, 31–35].

Point-to-point fluctuations of the tunneling LDoS, – frequently termed as an emergent electronic granularity, – have been observed experimentally in many studies of disordered superconducting films [29, 30, 36–42]. The measurements yield LDoS maps that are used to extract the statistics of the energy gap and the LDoS maximum. Recently, the spatial correlations of the energy gaps have been analysed, and the emergence of a well-defined spatial scale has been demonstrated [41].

Multifractally-enhanced superconductivity was predicted to occur in a relatively narrow region in the disorder—interaction plane [23–25]. However, significant point-to-point fluctuations of LDoS have been reported in many experiments on superconducting films that do not demonstrate enhancement of $T_c$ with disorder. Therefore, there is a question about the origin of an emergent electronic granularity, especially in a weakly disordered superconducting films.

In this Letter we investigate numerically and with analytical means, the fluctuations of the LDoS in the superconducting state of weakly disordered films. We perform numerical computations of disordered attractive Hubbard model on a two-dimensional square lattice. We focus on the energy dependence of the variance of the LDoS. Even at very low level of disorder we observe pronounced fluctuations of the LDoS. Our numerical findings are complemented with the analytical theory for the fluctuations of the LDoS. The most striking observation both in the numerics and in the theory is the logarithmic divergence of the LDoS-variance (at energies higher than the energy gap) with a system size $L$. Such divergence is a direct signature of multifractal behavior of the LDoS, originating from mesoscopic fluctuations. We demonstrate that the numerical findings and the analytical results form a coherent picture of multifractal correlations of the LDoS in weakly disordered superconducting films.

**NUMERICS.** We consider the attractive–$U$ Hubbard model [43] on the square lattice in two-dimensions with double-periodic boundary conditions. Within the mean-field approximation the Hamiltonian reads ($U > 0$)

$$
\hat{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j,\sigma} + \sum_{i, \sigma} (V_i - \mu - Un(r_i)/2) \hat{n}_{i, \sigma} + \sum_i \Delta(r_i) \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} + \text{h.c.} \quad (1)
$$

Here $\hat{c}_{j,\sigma}^\dagger$ and $\hat{c}_{j,\sigma}$ stand for the creation and annihilation operators of a fermion with spin projection $\sigma = \pm 1/2$ at a site $j$. An on-site random potential is drawn from the box distribution, $V_i \in [-W, W]$. The chemical potential $\mu$ fixes the filling factor to 0.3; throughout this work the interaction is taken as $U = 2.2t$. The local occupation number $n(r_i)$ and the pairing amplitude $\Delta(r_i)$ are determined self-consistently,

$$
n(r_i) = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{n}_{i, \sigma} \rangle, \quad \Delta(r_i) = U \langle \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}\rangle. \quad (2)
$$
where $\hat{n}_{i,\sigma} = \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$ and the average is taken with respect to the equilibrium density matrix corresponding to the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ at zero temperature. We solve Eqs. (1) - (2) iteratively and terminate the self-consistency cycle when $\alpha^{(n)}$, the relative change in $\Delta(r_i)$ in iteration $n$, is at each site $r_i$ smaller than some $\alpha$:

$$\alpha^{(n)} = \max_{r_i} \left| \frac{\Delta^{(n)}(r_i) - \Delta^{(n-1)}(r_i)}{\Delta^{(n-1)}(r_i)} \right| < \alpha. \quad (3)$$

For system size $L = 192$ we chose $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ and for the smaller system sizes $\alpha = 10^{-4}$. We employ the Kernel Polynomial Method [40] to compute $n(r_i), \Delta(r_i)$ and the LDoS. The underlying expansion of the time-evolution operator in Chebyshev polynomials to order $N_C$ causes Gibbs oscillations that we deal with employing the Jackson kernel, see Ref. [34] for further computational details. The ensemble averaging over observables involves, typically, several hundred samples.

The dependence of the LDoS on energy, $E$, and the spatial coordinate, $x$, along a cut through the sample is shown in Fig. 1 for two values of disorder $W$. At weak disorder, $W = 0.5$, (left panel in Fig. 1) fluctuations of the local gap are almost absent and only after an increase upto $W = 1.25$ the local gap fluctuations become more visible albeit being still small (middle panel in Fig. 1).

This observation is qualitatively consistent with an analytical result for the relative fluctuations of the local order parameter, \( \langle \delta \Delta(r) \rangle^2 / \langle \Delta(r) \rangle^2 = \left(4 / (\pi g)\right) \ln(\xi_0 / \ell) \), where $g$ represents the dimensionless conductance in the normal state, $\xi_0$ the coherence length at zero temperature, and $\ell$ the mean free path. At weak disorder, i.e. large $g$, fluctuations of the local order parameter are small. With increase of disorder, i.e. decreasing $g$, the fluctuations of the local order parameter becomes more pronounced.

The dependence of the average LDoS and its variance on $E$ are presented in Fig. 2 for two values of disorder. With increase of disorder the maximum in average LDoS becomes less pronounced as expected. The energy dependence of the LDoS variance has a form similar to the average LDoS, in particular, the variance has the maximum. We note that this maximum is situated at energy $E_{\text{max}}$ which is smaller than $E_{\text{max}}$. With increase of disorder the LDoS variance increases.

THEORY. In order to understand salient features of the numerical data, we consider 2D fermions with a BSC-type attraction in the presence of a white-noise random potential, i.e. the continuum limit of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ without the Hartree term. In the regime of a weak disorder, one can neglect the spatial dependence of the order parameter $\Delta$. Then the LDoS at a given realization of disorder can be written as

$$\rho(E, r) = \sum_{a = \pm} \varphi_a^2(r) \left(1 + \varepsilon_a/E\right) \delta\left(E - s\sqrt{\varepsilon_a^2 + \Delta^2}\right), \quad (4)$$

where $\varepsilon_a$ and $\varphi_a(r)$ are eigen energies and eigen functions of the single particle Hamiltonian in the absence of $\Delta$. Using the well-known results for statistics of eigen energies and eigen functions of weakly disordered non-interacting Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$, we compute the mean and variance of the local density of states from Eq. (4) (see Supplemental Material).

The disorder-average density of states is given as $\langle \rho(E) \rangle = \rho_0 \text{Re} \langle X_E \rangle$, where $X_E = E / \sqrt{E^2 - \Delta_E^2}$. Here $\Delta_E$
is the energy dependent gap function. We emphasize that such an energy dependence appears naturally in a more accurate treatment of the disordered electrons in the presence of attraction [35]. Although the energy dependence of $\Delta_E$ can be derived microscopically [35], for a sake of simplicity, we shall use the phenomenological Dynes ansatz, $\Delta_E = \Delta/ (\epsilon_i + i \Gamma)$ with $\Gamma \ll \Delta$ [15]. We note that the maximum of the average DOS is of the order of $\rho_0 \sqrt{\Delta / \Gamma}$ and is situated at $E_{\text{max}} \approx \Delta + \Gamma / \sqrt{3}$. It is natural to expect that $\Gamma$ is enhanced by increasing disorder, so that the peak value reduces and the peak position shifts to larger values. Our numerical data, Fig. 2 are in qualitative agreement with this.

In order to compute the LDOS variance, we use the following well-known result for the irreducible part of the dynamical structure factor of non-interacting electrons in the diffusive regime (see e.g. Ref. [17]),

$$\sum_{a,b} \langle \varphi_a^* (r) \delta (E - \epsilon_a) \varphi_b^* (r) \delta (E' - \epsilon_b) \rangle_{\text{irr}} \approx \frac{\rho_0}{2\pi} \times \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{D q^2}{(D q^2)^2 + (E - E')^2}. \tag{5}$$

Here $D = g/(4\pi \rho_0)$ denotes the diffusion coefficient. Using Eqs. [4] and [5], we find the variance of the normalized local DOS at $T = 0$ to the lowest order in $1/g$,

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\langle \delta \rho (E, r) \rangle^2}{\langle \rho (E) \rangle^2} = \frac{4}{\pi g} \text{Re} \left[ \ln \frac{L_E}{\ell} - 1 + \frac{X_E^2}{4 \text{Re} X_E^2} \ln \left( \frac{E_{\text{Th}}}{E} \right) \right. \left. + \frac{1}{X_E} \right] + \frac{1 - X_E^2}{4 \text{Re} X_E^2} \text{Im} \left( \frac{E_{\text{Th}}}{E} - i \frac{X_E}{X_E} \right). \tag{6}$$

Here $E_{\text{Th}} = E/2L^2$ stands for the Thouless energy and $L_E = \sqrt{D/2E}$. Also we extend the result for the variance to the energy dependent gap function (see Ref. [35] for details). Below we assume that the following condition holds $\Delta > \sqrt{\Delta} > E_{\text{Th}} > \Gamma$. This corresponds to the parameters of our numerical analysis.

Specifically, Eq. (6) predicts that at energies outside the gap-region, $E > \Delta$, the normalized variance becomes almost independent of $E$, and, in particular, is logarithmically divergent with the system size,

$$\langle \delta \rho (E, r) \rangle^2 / \langle \rho (E) \rangle \sim \frac{4}{\pi g} \ln (L/\ell). \tag{7}$$

The prefactor in front of $\ln L$ in Eq. (7), coincides with the known result for the multifractal exponent for a weakly disordered metal, $\Delta_2 = -4/\pi g$ [20]. The result (7) implies that the spatial correlation function of LDOS at $E > \Delta$, behaves as $\langle \rho (E, r) \rho (E, r') \rangle \propto \ln (L/\ell - r - r')^{-\Delta_2}$. Such power-law behavior is surprising for the system with the spectral gap and the finite coherence length $\xi_0$.

This logarithmic characteristic is a manifestation of multifractality in the superconducting state. It can be understood on the basis of Eq. (4). To obtain the variance, each side of (4) needs to be squared; two different contributions to the LDOS-variance arise: the first one correlates the electron-like part of the LDOS ($s = +$ in Eq. (4)) with the hole-like part ($s = -$). This contribution is sensitive to the gap since the energy difference between electron- and hole-like states cannot fall below $2\Delta$. The second contribution resembles correlations between purely electron-like or hole-like states. The corresponding energy difference can be arbitrarily small even in the presence of the gap and therefore fourth-order moments of wavefunction amplitudes can exhibit significant correlations that are the characteristic precursors of multifractality.

Multifractality of the LDOS can be seen in the average values of the higher moments of $\rho (E, r)$. In the regime
of weak disorder, \( g \gg 1 \), the scaling of higher moments of the LDoS is fully determined by the second moment, 
\[ \langle \rho^2(E, r) \rangle = \langle \rho(E) \rangle^2 (\langle \rho^2(E, r) \rangle / (\rho(E))^{(q-1)/2})^{32, 33, 35} \] 
Therefore, using the result \( [6] \) we can find the distribution function for the LDoS. After introducing the logarithm of the normalized LDoS, \( x = \ln[\rho(E, r) / (\rho(E))] \), its distribution function acquires the log-normal form,
\[ f(x) \approx \exp[-(x + \sigma^2/2)/(2\sigma^2)] / \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}, \] 
where \( \sigma^2 \) is given by Eq. \( [6] \).

Discussions. In Fig. 3 we compare the average DOS obtained from numerical solution of Hamiltonian \( [1] \) with the Dynes ansatz. We observe a reasonable agreement at weak disorder \( W = 0.5 \) and not too small energies. We note that the Dynes parameter \( \Gamma \) depends linearly on our choice of the number of Chebyshev polynomials \( N_C \) used in the expansion of the LDoS. \( [50] \)

We continue the discussion with the LDoS variance. A detailed comparison between the numerical data at weak disorder \( W = 0.5 \) and the analytical prediction, Eq. \( [6] \), is presented in Fig. 1. The logarithmic growth of the LDoS variance with the system size agrees with numerical data as shown in the inset to Fig. 1. Incidentally, the logarithmic dependence of the LDoS variance on \( \ell \) allows us to extract values of \( g \) and \( \ell \).

In agreement with numerical data, see Fig. 2, Eq. \( [6] \) predicts that the DOS variance has the maximum situated at energy \( E_{\text{max}} \) which is smaller than the energy \( E_{\text{max}} \) of the LDoS maximum. In particular, one can find \( E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{max}} / \ln(L/\sqrt{\Delta t}) \ll \Gamma \). We note that the difference \( E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{max}} \) is enlarged with increase of \( \Gamma \), i.e. of disorder. In accordance with Eq. \( [6] \), the height of the maximum in \( \langle (\delta \rho(E, r))^2 \rangle \) becomes of the order of \( \left| (4\rho_0^2 \Delta / (\pi \Gamma)) \ln(L/\sqrt{\Delta t}) / \ell \right| \). Again, this result is in agreement with the numerical data in Fig. 2 in which the height of the maximum of the DOS variance is enhanced with increasing disorder. Therefore, the expression \( [6] \) provides reasonable description of the LDoS variance for a weak disorder.

In Fig. 5 we present comparison of the distribution function for the logarithm of the normalized LDoS taken at two energies, \( E = E_{\text{max}} \) and \( E = 3E_{\text{max}} \), and obtained from numerical solution of Hamiltonian \( [1] \) against the theoretical prediction of weak multifractality theory, Eq. \( [6] \). There is reasonable agreement between numerics and the theory. Also in Fig. 5 we plot the curves which corresponds to normal (rather log-normal) distribution of the LDoS (with the same variance). As one can see, the log-normal distribution is much more in agreement with numerical data for the distribution function than the normal distribution. This supports that the fluctuations of LDoS seen in numerics are of multifractal origin.

Finally, we mention that our numerical and analytical results are also in qualitative agreement with the experimental data \( [49] \). While the average and variance of the local DOS computed numerically as well as analytically demonstrate all features observed in the experiments, a more quantitative comparison is not indicated at this point; it would require to include, e.g., also repulsive terms into our model, which goes beyond the scope of our present work. We note that in experimental samples the infra-red logarithmic divergence of the variance should be cut off by the energy-dependent dephasing length (instead of the system size) \( [35] \).

Summary. To summarize we report the results of numerical and theoretical analysis of the energy dependence of fluctuations of the local DOS in weakly disordered superconducting films. We found that the local DOS has pronounced fluctuations those variance has the energy dependence similar to the one for the average density of states. Our numerical and analytical approaches demonstrate that the DOS variance at energies higher than the energy gap diverging logarithmically with a system size \( L \). Our numerical findings and the analytical results make up together coherent picture of multifractal correlations of the local DOS in weakly disordered superconducting films. We thank M. Feigel’man, K. Franke, I. Gornyi, A. Mirlin, Chr. Strunk, I. Tamir, and W. Wulfhekel for discussions. We are especially grateful to I. Tamir and W. Wulfhekel for providing us the experimental data on LDoS. The research is partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No. 20-52-12013 Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant No. EV 30/11-1 and EV 30/14-1) cooperation and by the Basic Research Program of HSE.
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[50] We choose \( N_C \) such that the number of states within the resulting energetic broadening is constant for all system sizes. Thus the \( 1/L^2 \) behavior is a reflection of the dependence of the mean level spacing on system size. While there is an intrinsic broadening caused by disorder, it is negligible with respect to the broadening brought about by our numerical procedure.