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Abstract

Spin-orbit interaction (SOI) has been a key tool to steer and manipulate spin-dependent transport properties in two-dimensional electron gases. Here we demonstrate how spin currents can be created and efficiently read out in nano- or mesoscale conductors with time-dependent and spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI. Invoking an underlying non-Abelian SU(2) gauge structure we show how time-periodic spin-orbit fields give rise to spin-motive forces and enable the generation of pure spin currents of the order of several hundred nano-Amperes. In a complementary way, by combining gauge transformations with ”hidden” Onsager relations, we exploit spatially inhomogenous Rashba SOI to convert spin currents (back) into charge currents. In combining both concepts, we devise a spin transistor that integrates efficient spin current generation, by employing dynamical SOI, with its experimentally feasible detection via conversion into charge signals. We derive general expressions for the respective spin- and charge conductances, covering large parameter regimes of SOI strength and driving frequencies, far beyond usual adiabatic approaches such as the frozen scattering matrix approximation. We check our analytical expressions and approximations with full numerical spin-dependent transport simulations and demonstrate that the predictions hold true in a wide range from low to high driving frequencies.
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1 Introduction

Following the theoretical proposal for a spin field-effect transistor by Datta and Das in 1990 [1], much research has focused on the realization of spin-based transistors that might eventually be more efficient and faster compared to the present transistors based on charge transport. The central issue for spin-based transistors is the realization of efficient generation, manipulation and detection schemes for spin currents and spin accumulations. While the conventional means of doing this involve ferromagnetic structures [2], these steps could also be achieved via all-electrical means, hence bypassing the need for magnetic components, by exploiting spin-orbit interaction (SOI). The proposals based on exploiting the phenomena of current-induced spin accumulation [3] and the spin Hall effect – the generation of spin currents transverse to an
applied electric field [4] – became the most commonly employed lines of study to achieve this goal.

The SOI can be induced by the electric fields of either charge impurities or the crystal lattice. In the latter case, one speaks of an “intrinsic” SOI. Prominent examples are Rashba- and Dresselhaus-type SOI in semiconductor quantum wells [5]. While the Dresselhaus SOI arises from a crystal’s lack of inversion center, the Rashba term arises in low-dimensional systems, and it is induced by inversion symmetry breaking generated by the confining electric field of a quantum well. Because this electric field can be tuned by top or back gates, as established in two-dimensional electron gases (2DEGs) over 20 years ago [6], it is possible to modulate the Rashba SOI both spatially, and in principle, temporally. A spatial variation can generate a spin dependent force [7], and a temporally oscillating SOI may be capable of inducing charge and spin currents in the absence of any bias voltage [8,9]. Such physics is most conveniently handled by rewriting the SOI in terms of non-Abelian gauge fields [10–12]. Among other things, such an approach allows one to directly identify spin-electric and spin-magnetic fields [8,13–16]. The latter accelerate electrons longitudinally or sideways à la Lorentz, respectively, but their sign depends on the electronic spin – opposite spin species are accelerated and bent in opposite directions. While these fields may exist in the presence of time-independent and homogeneous spin-orbit (and Zeeman) interaction, additional components appear when the SOI is time- and space-dependent [13,14]. Such components were recently studied and proposed as additional means to control spin transport at the mesoscopic level [16].

In this manuscript we will exploit the time- and space-tunability of Rashba SOI to explore how the non-Abelian (spin) gauge fields induce spin transport. We note from the outset that the effect of driven Rashba SOI has been studied in different contexts ranging from diffusive metallic systems [13] to ballistic quantum rings [17], to 1D electron gases [18,19] and graphene [20]. However, usual Onsager reciprocal relations valid for spin transport [15,21,22] become more restrictive in the presence of a non-Abelian gauge structure such as induced by the space- and time-dependent Rashba interaction. Here we will explore the consequences of these “hidden” Onsager relations recently established for spin and charge transport in 2DEGs [16]. Among other things, our approach will allow us to obtain general formulae that go beyond the frozen scattering matrix approximation [23] valid in the adiabatic limit. As a demonstration, we will devise a multi-terminal mesoscopic spin transistor, whose working principle builds upon the “hidden” Onsager relations [16]. While the transistor operates on pure spin currents, the output signal is a charge signal which can be detected by simple experimental procedures. The spin transistor setting, combining time-dependent and spatially-inhomogenous SOI, is shown schematically in Fig. 1. The AC-modulated Rashba SOI on the right injects a pure spin current into the left region. The latter is then converted into a charge signal by a spin magnetic field, induced by a static but non-homogeneous Rashba SOI, and read out as a voltage $V_{\text{out}}$ between contacts 1 and 2.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we introduce the model Hamiltonian and the non-Abelian gauge field approach, while in Sec. 3 we define the transport formalism, where we also discuss basics of the Floquet theory that will be necessary for our treatment. Our gauge field analytics are based on Ref. [16], allowing us to approximately express the desired spin-dependent quantities (time-dependent spin currents, AC spin conductances, etc.) in terms of conventional charge transport quantities (time-dependent charge currents, impedances, etc.), and to make general symmetry-based predictions concerning the expected output signals. We test such predictions against Floquet-based numerics which do not rely on any non-Abelian
Figure 1: Sketch of the dynamical spin transistor. A time-dependent top gate voltage generates a spin motive force on the right. Then the generated spin current is read out as a charge current on the left part via spatially inhomogeneous Rashba coupling.

gauge manipulation, and which also allow us to go beyond analytically tractable low-frequency regimes. Thereby we demonstrate that our analytical expressions are applicable in a large range from low to high driving frequencies. In Sec. 4 we discuss spin current generation in a mesoscopic sample with homogeneous but time-dependent Rashba SOI, while in Sec. 5 we show how such spin signals can be non-locally converted into charge ones by the non-homogeneous but static Rashba SOI within a second mesoscopic cavity. This combined functionality is integrated and tested for a dynamical SOI-based spin transistor. Each section opens with low-frequency transport, before moving on to the high-frequency regime. Finally we present our conclusions in Sec. 6. To keep the manuscript as self-contained as possible, we gather a series of technical details in the appendices.

2 Model and its non-Abelian gauge structure

2.1 General case

Our starting point is the standard low-energy model for a spin-orbit coupled electron or hole gas in 2D \cite{5}. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + b(p) \cdot \sigma + W(x). \tag{1}$$

Here $W(x)$ is the electrostatic potential specifying the static environment, which might originate from gates, applied bias, impurities etc., $m$ is the effective electron mass, and $b(p)$ is a spin-orbit field, coupling spin to momentum. We assume that the strength of this SOI can be controlled externally and more generally, both in a position- and time-dependent way: $b(p) \rightarrow b(p; x, t)$. For the rest of the paper we specialize to electrons and light holes for which this field is linear in momentum. Then the Hamiltonian can be rewritten introducing non-Abelian gauge fields. \footnote{See e.g. Refs. \cite{10,11,13,16}. Note that the representation in terms of non-Abelian gauge fields is actually exact, up to an irrelevant constant, if the spin-orbit field is constant in time and homogeneous in space.} Following the notation of Ref. \cite{16}, the Hamiltonian reads

$$H = -\frac{D_\mu D_\mu}{2m} + V(x). \tag{2}$$
It is then straightforward to show that this transformation maps $A^a_\mu(x,t)$ to $(A')^a_\mu(x,t)$ and $V(x,t)$ to $V'(x,t)$, where

$$
(A')^a_\mu = A^a_\mu - \epsilon^{abc} A^b_\mu \partial_\mu \Lambda^c + \frac{1}{k_{so}} \partial_\mu \Lambda^a,
$$

$$
V' = V - \partial^2 \Lambda^a \Lambda^a / 2.
$$

These transformations allow us, among other advantages, to gauge away the homogeneous and time-independent components of the spin-orbit field, up to quadratic order in the coupling constant \cite{12,24}.

For a concrete example, we now specialize to a 2D electron gas with a Rashba spin-orbit interaction

$$
H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \{ \alpha_R, (\sigma^x p_\mu - \sigma^y p_x) \} + V(x),
$$

where the Rashba coupling constant $\alpha_R$ can be a function of both position and time. However, for the sake of simplicity, we focus on regions of either time- or spatially dependent Rashba coupling, \textit{i.e.} $\alpha_R(t), \alpha_R(x)$, and combine them later using rules for the combination of the respective scattering matrices. We identify and study two main effects, the generation of spin electric and spin magnetic fields to be discussed in the following two subsections.

### 2.2 Spin electric field from time-dependent SOI

A time-dependent Rashba SOI constant $\alpha(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t)$, with $T = 2\pi/\Omega$ the AC modulation period from a top gate \cite{6}, will generate the spin-motive driving forces, see Fig. \ref{fig:fig2}.

In this case $A^a_\mu(t) = \epsilon^a_\mu \sin(\Omega t)$, and the $SU(2)$ gauge transformation \cite{3} becomes

$$
U = \exp \left( -ix_\mu k_{so} A^a_\mu \sigma^a / 2 \right).
$$

To order $(k_{so} L)^2$ one obtains a vanishing vector potential, while $\partial_\mu A^a_\mu(t)$ generates a non-zero $SU(2)$ scalar potential

$$
(A')^a_\mu = 0,
$$

$$
(V')^a = x_\mu k_{so} \partial_\mu A^a_\mu / 2,
$$

\footnote{We note that the essential spin transport physics of a 2DEG with linear-in-momentum SOI is captured by Eq. \cite{6}, while details such as the precise spin polarization direction will depend on the presence and the strength of additional contributions, \textit{e.g.} à la Dresselhaus.}
yielding the transformed Hamiltonian

\[ H' = -\frac{1}{2m} \partial_\mu \partial_\mu + (x_\mu k_{so} \partial_t A_\mu^a) \sigma^a + V(x). \] (10)

A further global spin rotation \( \sigma^a \rightarrow \sigma^z \) then leads to the diagonal Hamiltonian

\[ H_d = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x) + \frac{V^s(t)}{2} \sigma^z, \] (11)

\[ V^s \equiv \epsilon^a_\mu x_\mu k_{so} \partial_t \sin(\Omega t), \] (12)

i.e. opposite-spin electrons feel a different electric field

\[ E^\pm = -\partial_\mu [V(x) \pm V^s(t)/2] \equiv E_\mu \pm E^s_\mu. \] (13)

The component \( E^s_\mu \) is a spin-electric field accelerating opposite spin species in opposite directions.

### 2.3 Spin magnetic field from spatially inhomogeneous SOI

A spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI constant \( \alpha_R(x) = k_{so} \tilde{\alpha}_R(x) \), with \( \tilde{\alpha}_R \) being a dimensionless function, can either create spin currents or convert spin currents into charge currents, see Fig. 1. This interconversion is achieved by the spin-dependent Lorentz force due to a ”spin” magnetic field \[16,24\]

\[ B^a = \partial_x A_\mu^a(x) - \partial_y A_\mu^a(x) \] (14)

where \( A_\mu^a(x) = \tilde{\alpha}_R(x) \epsilon_\mu^a \). Below we specialize to the case

\[ A_\mu^a = k_{so} \alpha_0(x \cdot \mathbf{f}) \epsilon_\mu^a, \] (15)

with \( \mathbf{f} \) a unit vector determining the fixed direction of the gradient of \( \tilde{\alpha}_R \). We now use the decomposition

\[ A_\mu^a = -(\partial_\mu \chi^a + \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi^a) \] (16)
for each spin component. Then we perform an $SU(2)$ gauge transformation

$$U = \exp(ik_{so} \chi^a \sigma^a / 2),$$

and consider effects to linear order in $k_{so}$. The vector potential becomes

$$(A')_\mu^a = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi^a,$$

$$(V')^a = V.$$  

The transformed Hamiltonian becomes, up to linear order in the spin-orbit coupling,

$$H' = -\frac{1}{2m} \left[ \partial_\mu + i \frac{k_{so}}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \sigma \cdot f \right]^2 + V(\mathbf{x})$$

where $\phi^a = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) f^a$. We now perform a global spin rotation $\sigma \cdot f \rightarrow \sigma^z$ to obtain

$$H_d = \frac{1}{2m} (p + k_{so} a)^2 \sigma^z + V(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $a = \alpha_0 (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{x}) / 2$. Hence the Hamiltonian structure implies that the two spin species decouple, each subject to a homogeneous magnetic field (as well as Lorentz force) of opposite sign. The strength of this magnetic field is given by $\sum_a B^a f^a$, in agreement with Eq. (15).

3 Transport formalism: linear response and Floquet theory

3.1 Linear response currents

3.1.1 Basic expressions for charge and spin conductance

We consider a mesoscopic sample attached to leads labeled by $i,j$. The leads are in contact with different metallic reservoirs used to apply spin voltages. The linear response Landauer-Büttiker formula for the $a$-polarized spin current flowing into/out of contact $i$ is generalized as follows

$$I_\alpha^a_i = \sum_\beta (2N_i \delta_{\alpha\beta} - C_{\alpha i}^{\beta i}) V_\beta^a - \sum_\beta \sum_{j \neq i} C_{ij}^{\alpha \beta} V_\beta^a,$$

where $N_i$ is the number of channels in lead $i$. We use Latin letters (a=x,y,z) to denote the spin components of the current, while Greek letters commonly describe both electric (0) and spin components (a). Physically, the spin voltage $V^b_j = \mu^b_j / e$ represents an imbalance of spins polarized along a $b$-axis in reservoir $j$, with spin accumulation $\mu^b_j = \mu^{(\uparrow)}_j - \mu^{(\downarrow)}_j$. The conductance is a $(4 \times 4)$-matrix in the combined spin-charge space:

$$G_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{00}^{ij} & G_{0b}^{ij} \\ G_{b0}^{ij} & G_{bb}^{ij} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the superscript “0” indicates the charge component. Here $G_{00}, G_{0b}, G_{b0}$ and $G_{bb}$ are, respectively, the charge, charge-spin, spin-charge and spin-spin conductances. They are
defined as

\[ G_{ij}^{00} = \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \sum_{m,n} \text{Tr}[t_{mn}^\dagger t_{mn}], \quad (24) \]

\[ G_{ij}^{0b} = \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \sum_{m,n} \text{Tr}[t_{mn}^\dagger t_{mn} \sigma^b], \quad (25) \]

\[ G_{ij}^{b0} = \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \sum_{m,n} \text{Tr}[t_{mn} \sigma^b t_{mn}], \quad (26) \]

\[ G_{ij}^{ab} = \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \sum_{m,n} \text{Tr}[t_{mn}^\dagger \sigma^a t_{mn} \sigma^b]. \quad (27) \]

Here, \( t_{mn} \) is the \((2 \times 2)\)-matrix of spin dependent transmission amplitudes connecting channel \( n \) in lead \( j \) to channel \( m \) in lead \( i \), and \( \sigma^a \) are the Pauli spin matrices.

Even in the absence of any charge bias the system can feature a charge response given by

\[ I_i^0 = -\sum_b G_{ii}^{0b} V_i^b - \sum_b \sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}^{0b} V_j^b, \quad (28) \]

in addition to the spin response

\[ I_i^a = \sum_b (2N_i \delta_{ab} - G_{ii}^{ab}) V_i^b - \sum_b \sum_{j \neq i} G_{ij}^{ab} V_j^b. \quad (29) \]

### 3.1.2 Link between spin and charge conductance via gauge transformation

The gauge transformations discussed in Sec. 2 allow us to express the mesoscopic spin conductance \( G_{ij}^a = G_{ij}^\uparrow - G_{ij}^\downarrow \) through charge conductances. In the case of a 2DEG with spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI described in Sec. 2.3 the \( a \)-th component of the spin conductance can be written in terms of the charge conductance depending on the spin-magnetic field \( B_z^a \) in Eq. (15):

\[ G_{ij}^a \approx [G_{ij}(B_z^a) - G_{ij}(-B_z^a)] f^a. \quad (30) \]

Using Onsager relations and current conservation, one can show that \( G_{ij}^a \) in Eq. (30) is only non-zero in the absence of time reversal symmetry. Under the assumption \( L \ll l_{so} \) – i.e. a moderate Rashba constant \( k_{so} \) – the spin precession conductance \( G^{ab} \) is equivalent to the charge conductance, \( G^{ab} \propto \delta^{ab} G^{00} \). Hence all spin conductances in Eqs. (28) and (29) can be expressed in terms of the charge conductance to linear order in \( k_{so} \). Besides, the spin voltages in Eqs. (28) and (29) can be obtained in a 2DEG with time-dependent Rashba SOI, where up and down spins generate a spin dependent voltage as \( V^a = V^\uparrow - V^\downarrow \).

In our full numerical calculations below we will compute the driven charge and spin currents without resorting to the approximate \( SU(2) \) manipulations described here and in Sec. 2. Using these numerics as reference calculations, we will be able to show that the description in terms of spin-electric and spin-magnetic fields acting on effectively decoupled \( \uparrow \downarrow \)-electrons is very accurate up to \( L \sim l_{so} \).
3.2 Floquet scattering theory

3.2.1 General framework

When interacting with a dynamical scatterer with oscillatory frequency $\Omega$, an electron impinging at energy $E$ can absorb or emit an integer amount of energy quanta $n\hbar\Omega$, leaving the scattering region with energy $E_n = E + n\hbar\Omega$ ($n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$). The scattering matrix then depends on the initial and final energies $E$ and $E_n$, and is referred to as the Floquet scattering matrix, $S_{F,im,jm'}(E_n, E)$ [28]. This matrix gives the amplitude for a process where an electron from channel $m'$ in lead $j$ at energy $E$ is scattered into channel $m$ within lead $i$ at energy $E_n$.

We now calculate the Floquet scattering matrix. To this end, one has to numerically solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. As reviewed in Appendix B, Floquet theory allows one to express the full time evolution in terms of an effective static matrix Hamiltonian, expressed in terms of the Floquet states $|n\rangle$,

$$H_F = \sum_{n=\pm\infty}^{\infty} \left( (H_0 - n\hbar\Omega)|n\rangle\langle n| + \frac{iH_1}{2}(|n\rangle\langle n+1| - |n\rangle\langle n-1|) \right).$$

Here, $H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)$ and $H_1 = i\alpha_{so}(\sigma_y \partial_x - \sigma_x \partial_y)$ are the kinetic and the Rashba contributions – recall from Sec. 2 that the time-modulated Rashba SOI is homogeneous, i.e. $\alpha_R = \alpha_R(t)$. The Floquet states $|n\rangle$ are defined as the basis vectors of the periodic eigenfunctions $|\phi_n(\vec{r}, t)\rangle$ of the Floquet Hamiltonian

$$|\phi_n(\vec{r}, t)\rangle = \sum_n e^{-in\Omega t}|n\rangle.$$  

For numerical calculations one has to truncate the (infinite) sum over Floquet bands $n$. In Appendix F, we show that including up to 21 bands ($|n| \leq 10$) provides enough accuracy for our purposes. After obtaining the elements of the Floquet scattering matrix, we calculate the AC currents in the absence of a bias voltage. The charge/spin currents are expressed as

$$I_{\alpha,i}(t) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-il\Omega t} I_{\alpha,i,l}^\alpha.$$  

The Fourier components are then determined in terms of the Floquet scattering matrices $S_F(E_n, E)$ as

$$I_{\alpha,i,l}^\alpha = \frac{e}{\hbar} \int dE \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N_r} \sum_{m\in i,m'\in j} \text{Tr}[S_{F,im,jm'}^f(E_n, E)\sigma^\alpha S_{F,im,jm'}(E_{i+n}, E)].$$  

where $N_r$ denotes the number of leads, and $\sigma^0 = 1$. We refer the readers to Appendix D for further details.

To obtain the dynamical scattering amplitude and determine the spin and charge currents, we use the tight binding form of the Floquet Hamiltonian [31] (see Appendix A) and calculate the scattering matrix using the software package Kwant [29]. We consider only $l = \pm 1$ for the AC response.

We will consider a wide range of frequencies, numerically probing both the adiabatic and high-frequency regimes. These are determined by the typical internal energy scales of the
scattering matrix. For few channel ballistic transport, this scale is related to the inverse time of flight of an electron between two leads, which is calculated using the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix [30,31] as shown in Appendix E. If the frequency is much smaller than the inverse of the time of flight, $\Omega \tau \ll 1$, the scattering process is considered to be in the adiabatic limit.

### 3.2.2 Floquet theory in the adiabatic limit

In the adiabatic limit one can rely on the so-called frozen scattering matrix $S(t, E)$, which is defined as the static scattering matrix calculated with the time-dependent parameters at a given time $t$,

$$S(t, E) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\Omega t} S_n(E). \quad (35)$$

In the adiabatic limit the Floquet scattering matrix can be expanded in powers of the frequency, and the Fourier transform of the frozen scattering matrix $S_n(E)$ gives the zeroth order approximation of the Floquet scattering matrix (see Appendix C),

$$S_F(E_n, E) = S_n + O(\Omega). \quad (36)$$

We will compute the adiabatic approximation (36) of the Floquet scattering matrix and check the limits of its validity in Appendix C.

### 4 Spin current generation

We first study spin current generation from a spin-dependent voltage using Eq. (29) in both the adiabatic and high frequency regimes. We consider a 2D chaotic cavity with only time-dependent Rashba coupling, induced by an AC top gate voltage, see Fig. 2. The Rashba SOI strength has a time dependence given by $\alpha_R(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t)$, with $\Omega$ the driving frequency. As we discussed above, this time-dependent coupling induces a spin voltage on the leads, and the spin voltage difference between two leads results in a spin current polarized in the $y$-direction.

Throughout this section we will be working in the linear response regime and ignore effects nonlinear in the spin-orbit coupling. We derive our general spin current formulae in Secs. 4.1 and 4.2. Next we present our numerical results in Sec. 4.3, also including a comparison with our analytical results in Sec. 4.4.

#### 4.1 AC spin current in the low-frequency regime

In the low-frequency regime, one can neglect the frequency dependence of the charge conductance. The spin current in Eq. (29) can thus be computed using the DC conductance. To leading order in the spin-orbit coupling, all spin effects are included in the spin voltage. Hence $G^{ab} = \delta^{ab} G$. We note that the case of a large Rashba coupling with a small time-dependent part can also be treated with our gauge transformation method. Then the results of this section needs to be modified to account for spin precession. In the absence of a bias voltage ($V^0 = 0$), the spin current at lead 1 is the current generated by the spin voltage

$$I^a_1 = \sum_j (2N_1\delta_{1j} - G_{1j})V^a_j. \quad (37)$$
The spin voltage from the time-dependent Rashba SOI reads

$$V^{\mu, \uparrow(\downarrow)}(t) = \pm \partial_t \alpha_R(t) e^\mu_\mu.$$  \hfill (38)

Here $l_\mu$ is the system size in the direction $\mu = x, y$. For the system shown in Fig. 2, Rashba SOI generates a spin voltage with spin direction $y$ along the $x$ axis. Up and down spins feel opposite voltage biases, hence total the spin voltage bias is

$$V^y_{1(2)}(t) = V^y_{1(2)} = \pm \partial_t \alpha_R(t) L_{12}$$  \hfill (39)

with $L_{ij}$ the distance between lead $i$ and lead $j$. According to Eq. (37), this spin voltage then drives a spin current

$$I^y_1(t) = (2N_1 - G_{11} + G_{12}) \partial_t \alpha_R(t) L_{12}.$$  \hfill (40)

To summarize, we expressed the spin current in terms of the DC charge conductance and time-dependent $\alpha_R$ in the small-$\Omega$ limit. This is our first prediction for the spin current in the low-frequency regime.

### 4.2 AC spin current in the general frequency regime

In a system driven by a time-dependent voltage, both particle and displacement currents will appear. In order to take these into account, we no longer neglect the frequency-dependence of the AC conductance, which in Fourier space is given by the admittance $G_{ij}(\omega)$. In linear response we have

$$G_{ij}(\omega) = \langle \delta I_i(\omega) / \delta V_j(\omega) \rangle.$$  \hfill (41)

In the presence of a bias voltage $V(t) = V(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$, the current $I_i(\omega)$ is calculated via the Floquet formalism. Using linear response theory, the AC conductance can then be obtained as \[32–34\]

$$G_{ij}(\omega) = \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \int dE \ Tr \{ \delta S_{ij} \{ \delta V_j \} \} \frac{f_j(E) - f_j(E + \hbar \omega)}{\hbar \omega}.$$  \hfill (42)

The AC charge current driven by $V(\omega)$ is then given by

$$I_i(\omega) = \sum_j G_{ij}(\omega)V_j(\omega).$$  \hfill (43)

In our case, a time-dependent SOI $\alpha_R(t) = \alpha_R(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$ leads to a (spin) voltage in the frequency domain:

$$V^y(\omega) = \pm i\omega \alpha_R(\omega) L,$$  \hfill (44)

which in turn drives a spin current

$$I^y_1(\omega) = (2N_1 - G(\omega)_{11} + G(\omega)_{12}) i\omega \alpha_R(\omega) L_{12}.$$  \hfill (45)

Equation (45) is our main result for the spin (generation) conductance. It generalizes Eq. (40) to high frequencies and applies beyond the range of validity of the adiabatic approximation (see Fig. 5).

For general time-dependent $\alpha_R$, we can obtain the time-dependent spin current via the inverse Fourier transform of $I(\omega)$:

$$I^y_1(t) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} I^y_1(\omega).$$  \hfill (46)
4.3 AC spin current generation: comparison with numerics

We now perform numerical simulations to check our predictions summarized in Eqs. (40) and (45) for spin current. The Rashba SOI has an AC-form \( \alpha_R(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t) \), with \( k_{so} \sim 1/L \). The system size \( L \) is taken to be \( L = 50a \), with \( a \) the lattice constant of our discretized model (see Appendix A). The precise system shape is depicted in the top right inset of Fig. 3. The width of the leads is \( 10a \). We choose parameters appropriate for an InAs 2DEG material with effective mass \( m = 0.023 m_0 \), and we fix the lattice spacing at \( a = 2 \text{ nm} \). The magnitude of the Rashba coupling is \( 0.8 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ eVm} \) which is in the experimental range of InAs systems [6].

We calculate the AC spin current polarized in the \( y \) direction, \( I_y(t) = I_y \cos(\Omega t) \), induced by the time-dependent Rashba SOI \( \alpha_R(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t) \). The spin current given in Eq. (34) is computed using the Floquet scattering matrix, and then compared with our analytical results in Eqs. (40) and (45).

![Figure 3: Comparison of the AC spin currents generated by the spin-dependent voltage (Eq. (40), solid line) and numerically (dashed) directly for the time-dependent Rashba SOI \( \alpha_R(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t) \) with \( \Omega \tau \approx 0.3 \) and varying values \( k_{so}L = 0.3 \) (blue), 1 (red), 2 (orange), 3 (green).](image)

We first check the validity of our approximation (40) in the low-frequency regime. We choose \( \Omega/2\pi \approx 100 \text{ GHz} \), corresponding to \( \Omega \tau \approx 0.3 \). In Fig. 3 we perform the comparison for varying values of \( k_{so}L \): \( k_{so}L = 0.3, 1, 2 \) and 3. We deliberately show a small range of the energy values to make the differences clear. Even though our approximation, in principle, requires \( k_{so}L \ll \pi \), we see that up to \( k_{so}L = 1 \) our theory still gives quantitative agreement with the full numerical results as can be seen in Fig. 3 (red curve).

We also explore the validity of our analytical predictions as we vary \( \Omega \) relative to \( 1/\tau \), the inverse dwell time. As outlined in App. E, we compute the dwell time using the Wigner-Smith time delay matrix for particular Fermi energies which are determined in the range of \( 0.15 \) and \( 0.27 \text{ eV} \) when only 2 transverse channels are open. Taking the energy average of the dwell time gives an approximate time of flight \( \tau \) for 2 open channels.
Figure 4: AC spin currents generated by the time-dependent Rashba SOI in the absence of any charge bias. The numerical Floquet results (green) are compared to the spin current in $y$ direction in the presence of the time-dependent spin voltage (orange). The spin currents (orange) are calculated using Eq. (40) in the low-frequency regime in panels a) and b) and by Eq. (46) in the high-frequency regime in panels c), d) and e). The system size is $L = 50a$ and the Rashba SOI is $\alpha_R(t) = k_{so}\sin(\Omega t)$ with $k_{so}L = 1$. The frequency values are indicated at the top left corner of each panel, $\Omega \tau \approx 0.03, 0.3, 1, 2.1$ and 3 in panels a) and b), etc., respectively. The system geometry is shown in the top right inset.

We compare our analytical prediction with the numerical Floquet results (33) and (34) for a range of frequencies. In the low-frequency regime where $\Omega \tau < 1$, we can neglect the frequency dependence of the conductance in Eq. (42). We then use Eq. (40) to obtain our prediction and show that the result agrees well with the Floquet result as shown in Fig. 4 in panels a) and b). We note that the spin current is in the nano-Ampere range where the corresponding frequencies $\Omega/2\pi$ are approximately between 10 and 100 GHz.

In the high-frequency regime where $\Omega \tau > 1$, the AC spin current is calculated by incorporating the effect of the AC bias voltage and the admittance in Eq. (42). That is, we use Eqs. (45) and (46). We find reasonable agreement for the higher frequencies up to $\Omega \tau \approx 3$, see Fig. 4. The frequencies $\Omega/2\pi$ corresponding to those in panels c), d) and e) of Fig. 4 are 330, 700 GHz and 1 THz, respectively. These are in experimental reach. Notably, we find that in this high-frequency regime we can generate spin currents up to 250 nano-Ampere using a geometry with a length scale of about 100 nm.
4.4 Adiabatic approximation versus analytical results

In this section, we compare our analytical results with the adiabatic approximation of the Floquet scattering matrix in Eq. (36), which is obtained by the frozen scattering matrix in Eq. (35). In Fig. 5, we choose the Rashba coupling constant \( k_{so}L = 0.01 \) to obtain better agreement for our analytical result. The driving frequency is chosen in the high-frequency regime, i.e., \( \Omega \tau \approx 1.5 \) corresponding to a driving frequency \( \Omega/2\pi \) of approximately 500 GHz.

The adiabatic approximation should fail beyond frequencies around \( \Omega \tau \approx 1 \). Indeed, Fig. 5 shows that the adiabatic approximation breaks down at high frequencies while our prediction (46) still shows good agreement with the numerical Floquet result. We note that in addition to having a much wider range of validity, the computation of our analytical result is much easier and takes much less time compared to the frozen scattering matrix approximation.

5 Charge signal from a spin current

5.1 Dynamical SOI-based spin transistor setting

In this section we discuss how to detect the AC spin current generated by the spin potential induced through a time-dependent SOI. Usually this can be achieved with a device with nonzero spin (detection) conductance. While the conventional means of detecting spin currents are based on ferromagnetic leads, here we will exploit the SU(2) gauge structure of the Rashba SOI further, to design a device with a non-zero spin magnetic field. The latter can
then convert spin currents into charge signals.

The spin (detection) conductance in Eq. (28) is converted into the difference of two charge
magneto-conductances according to Eq. (30). Then an Onsager relation implies that the total
charge conductance vanishes in the presence of time-reversal symmetry. On the other hand,
either breaking the time-reversal symmetry e.g. via an applied magnetic field or connecting a
third terminal to the system \[16\] results in a nonzero charge conductance and an AC charge
current. As a result, controlling the symmetry properties of the system, we can obtain on/off
states of this dynamical spin transistor. Below we will take the route where we connect a
third terminal.

![Figure 6: Setup of the dynamical spin-orbit based spin transistor. The AC gate voltage in the
right cavity induces a dynamical Rashba SOI, which creates an AC spin current that flows
into the left cavity. There, due to a spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI, the spin current is
transformed again into a charge current.](image)

To explore how this conversion works in detail, we consider a system that consists of
two subsystems, containing a spatially inhomogeneous and a time-dependent Rashba SOI,
respectively, see Fig. 6. In Fig. 6 the right subsystem consists of a 2D quantum wire (with
length $L$ and width $W$) with a time-dependent SOI $\alpha_R(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t)$ engineered by an AC
gate voltage. The left subsystem contains a ballistic ring (with diameter $L$) with a spatially
inhomogeneous SOI $\alpha_R(x) = k_{so}(L - y)/L$. This way spin currents generated in the right
subsystem via a spin electric field are converted into charge currents via a spin magnetic field
in the left subsystem.

### 5.2 AC charge signal in the low-frequency regime

In the absence of a bias voltage $V$, the charge current in lead 1 follows from Eq. (28) as

$$I_1 = - \sum_{j,b} G_{ij}^b V_j^b,$$

with spin direction $b = x, y, z$. We express the spin conductance in terms of the charge
magneto-conductance (30). In the low-frequency regime, we again neglect the frequency
dependence of the conductance. Then the charge current in lead $i$ reads

$$I_1 \approx - \sum_b \sum_j (G_{ij}^b - G_{ij}(-B_j^b)) f_b V_j^b.$$  

For spatially inhomogeneous SOI $\alpha_R(x) = k_{so}(L - y)/L$ we obtain from Eq. (15) the spin
magnetic field components $B_z^x$ in the $x$- and $y$-directions as

$$B_x^z = -\partial_x \alpha_R(x) f^x,$$
$$B_y^z = -\partial_y \alpha_R(x) f^y.$$ 
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The spin voltages generated by the time-dependent SOI $\alpha_R(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t)$ are obtained from Eq. (12) as

$$V_y^{1(3)} = V_y^{\uparrow 1(3)} - V_y^{\downarrow 1(3)} = \pm \partial_t \alpha_R(t) L.$$  (50)

We now have all the ingredients to calculate the charge current in lead 1. We get

$$I_1(t) = G_s \partial_t \alpha_R(t) L,$$  (51)

where

$$G_s = -G_{11}(B^y) + G_{11}(-B^y) + G_{13}(B^y) - G_{13}(-B^y).$$  (52)

This represents our further prediction demonstrating that the spin current can be converted into a charge current in a spatially inhomogeneous system by means of a spin magnetic field.

### 5.3 AC charge signal in the high-frequency regime

As explained in Section 4.2, at high frequency we should retain the frequency dependence of the AC conductance. We follow the same steps as in Section 4.2 and rewrite the AC charge current expression (48), but retaining the frequency dependence given in Eq. (42), as

$$I_1(\omega) \approx -\sum_b \sum_j [G_{1j}(\omega, B^y) - G_{1j}(\omega, -B^y)] f^b V(\omega)^b_j,$$  (53)

where the spin-dependent magnetic field is $B^y = k_{so} L^{-1} f^y$ and the spin voltage is $V^{\uparrow(\downarrow)}(\omega) = \pm i\omega \alpha(\omega) L$. This constitutes our main result for the spin (detection) conductance, which applies to both high- and low-frequency regimes.

### 5.4 Simulating the dynamical spin-transistor functionality

We performed numerical transport simulations of the spin transistor in Fig. 6 and explore the range of validity of our analytical result (53). We choose the time-dependent Rashba SOI to be $\alpha_R(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t)$ and the spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI to be $\alpha_R(x) = k_{so}(L-y)/L$, where the Rashba SOI constant is selected such that $k_{so} L = 1$, where $L$ is the system size along the $x$ direction for the right subsystem. The shape of the system is shown at the top right corner of Fig. 6. The right part is a 2D wire with length $L = 50a$, width $W = 30a$ and one connected lead of width $10a$. The left part is a ballistic ring with inner radius of $25a$ and two connected leads of width $10a$. The two parts are connected via a bridge of width $10a$ made of the same material.

We consider 3 open channels and choose the Fermi energy between $0.29$ and $0.47$ eV. After computing the dwell time to specify the range of the frequency, we calculate the AC charge currents $I(t) = I \cos(\Omega t)$ in the absence of the bias voltage on the left using the Floquet scattering matrix given in Eq. (33) and Eq. (34). Finally, we compare the Floquet result for AC charge current with our analytical prediction both in the low and the high-frequency regimes. Our analytical results are obtained from Eq. (52) for $\Omega \tau \approx 0.1$, $0.3$ and shown in Fig. 6a) and b). Here, the frequencies $\Omega/2\pi$ are approximately 40 and 120 GHz. We find excellent agreement between our analytical results and the numerically obtained AC charge current. Moreover, even in the low-frequency regime, we see that the mechanism produces few-nA charge currents that are experimentally observable.
Figure 7: Dynamic spin transistor function: AC charge current in Lead 1, see Fig. 6, generated by the time-dependent and spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI. Numerical results based on the Floquet formalism (green) are compared to the current generated by the spin-dependent voltage and pseudo-magnetic field (orange) in the low- and high-frequency regime. The analytical results in a) and b) are calculated based on Eq. (52) and in panels c) to e) using Eq. (53). The dynamical Rashba SOI in the right half system is $\alpha_R(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t)$ with $k_{so}L = 1$ and the spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI in the left half system (the ring) is $\alpha_R(x) = k_{so}(L - y)/L$. The size of each system part is $L = 50a$. The frequencies used are $\Omega\tau \approx 0.1, 0.3, 1, 1.5$ and 2.1 from top to bottom panel.

For higher frequencies where $\Omega > 1/\tau$, we compare our prediction based on Eq. (53) with the numerical dynamical conductance using driving frequencies $\Omega\tau \approx 1, 1.5$, and 2.1. These values correspond to $\Omega/2\pi$ being approximately 400, 600, and 840 GHz. In Fig. 6c),d),e) we also observe on the whole a fairly good match between the two currents in the high-frequency regime up to $\Omega\tau \approx 2.1$.

6 Conclusions

We considered the generation and detection of pure spin currents in mesoscopic 2DEG cavities with time- and space-modulated Rashba SOI. Such modulations can be realized by applying local gate voltages, allowing an all-electrical architecture. Our underlying concept is based on the non-Abelian gauge structure of spin-orbit fields. By means of a tailored SU(2) gauge transformation we converted the general Hamiltonians with time-periodic or spatially
inhomogenous Rashba SOI into corresponding approximate Hamiltonians involving instead emergent $U(1)$ fields.

For the time-dependent Rashba SOI, the $U(1)$ fields correspond to spin-dependent voltages with opposite associated electrical fields for spin-up and -down electrons, respectively. Then the total spin-dependent voltage becomes $V^\uparrow - V^\downarrow$ to linear order in the Rashba SOI strength. Thus the action of the time-dependent Rashba SOI can be considered as a spin-motive force, that enables to generate a pure AC spin current in the absence of an applied bias voltage.

Analogously, in the presence of a spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI, one can show that an appropriate gauge transformation relates the Rashba spin-orbit fields to magnetic pseudo fields $B$ with opposite directions for the two spin species. Thereby, the spin conductance is rewritten as a charge magneto-conductance where opposite spins experience anti-parallel spin magnetic fields: $G^\uparrow - G^\downarrow \approx G(B) - G(-B)$. Thereby the generated AC spin current due to the dynamical Rashba coupling can be read out as an AC charge current by means of an appropriately devised spatially inhomogeneous SOI. Moreover, in view of an Onsager relation and current conservation, the AC-generated conductance difference, $G(B) - G(-B)$, is suppressed for a two-terminal setting in presence of time-reversal symmetry. Therefore the transistor on/off state can be controlled via the coupling to a third lead or upon changing the symmetry properties of the system. By combining all these aspects, we devised and proposed a dynamical SOI-based spin-transistor which integrates a controllable spin current generation and its detection solely by electric means.

We checked our analytical approximations by means of numerical calculations using Floquet theory which allows us to calculate time-periodic spin and charge currents within a Floquet scattering matrix formalism. We presented results and compared the different approaches both in the regimes of adiabatic ($\Omega \tau \ll 1$) and high-frequency ($\Omega \tau \geq 1$) driving. The major assumptions behind our analytical derivations are $k_{so} L \leq \pi$ and $(k_{so} L) (\hbar \Omega) \leq \epsilon_F$. The latter bound implies that our approach is valid and facilitates quantum calculations in a frequency regime that extends far beyond the adiabatic limit, often assumed in analytical treatments.

The driving frequencies considered cover a broad window ranging, after rescaling, up to frequencies of about 1 THz. Our numerical simulations show furthermore that an AC spin current of several hundred nano-Amperes can be obtained in a 100 nm 2DEG InAs system for driving frequencies of about 1 THz and a realistic Rashba coupling $0.8 \cdot 10^{-11}$ eVm. This shows that an experimental realization of the dynamic spin transistor functionality proposed is in experimental reach.
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A  Tight-binding Hamiltonian for 2DEG with Rashba SOI

In the case of a 2DEG with spatially inhomogeneous Rashba SOI in the $x$-$y$-plane, the continuum Hamiltonian we use for our analytical calculations reads

$$H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} (k_x^2 + k_y^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \alpha_R(x), (\sigma^x p_y - \sigma^y p_x) \right\}. \quad (54)$$

where $\alpha_R(x)$ is the Rashba SOI strength, $m^*$ is effective mass of an electron and $\sigma$ denotes Pauli matrices. In our numerical simulations we use the discretized version of the Hamiltonian \[35,36\]. It is defined on a 2D square lattice with a lattice constant $a$,

$$H_{tb} = \sum_{k,l,\sigma,\sigma'} 4t \left( c_{k+1,l,\sigma}^\dagger c_{k,l,\sigma} \right) + \sum_{k,l,\sigma} t \left( c_{k+1,l,\sigma}^\dagger c_{k,l,\sigma} + c_{k+1,l,\sigma} c_{k,l,\sigma}^\dagger \right) +$$

$$\sum_{k,l,\sigma,\sigma'} \frac{1}{2a} \left( \alpha_{R,k} + \alpha_{R,k+1} \right) \left( i\sigma_y \right)^{\sigma\sigma'} c_{k+1,l,\sigma} c_{k,l,\sigma'} +$$

$$\sum_{k,l,\sigma,\sigma'} \frac{1}{2a} \left( \alpha_{R,l} + \alpha_{R,l+1} \right) \left( i\sigma_x \right)^{\sigma\sigma'} c_{k,l+1,\sigma} c_{k,l,\sigma'} \quad (55)$$

where $c_{k,l,\sigma}^\dagger$ is the operator that creates an electron with spin $\sigma$ at the lattice point $(k,l)$, and the hopping amplitude is $t = -\hbar^2/(2m^*a^2)$.

B  Floquet Hamiltonian with time-dependent Rashba SOI

We review the conversion of a time-dependent Hamiltonian into a static matrix Hamiltonian with Floquet states \[37\]. Floquet theory is particularly functional for understanding the behavior of quantum mechanical systems with a time-periodic Hamiltonian:

$$H(\vec{r}, t + \mathcal{T}) = H(\vec{r}, t),$$

with period $\mathcal{T} = 2\pi/\Omega$ and $\Omega$ is given by the frequency of the periodically driven potential. The solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation are given by the Floquet wave functions.

Consider a 2D quantum mechanical system with a time-periodic Hamiltonian that can be separated into a static and a time-dependent part as

$$H(\vec{r}, t) = H_0(\vec{r}) + H_1(\vec{r}, t) \quad (56)$$

where the kinetic term is $H_0(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 \right)$. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation can be put into the form

$$H_F(\vec{r}, t) |\Psi(\vec{r}, t)\rangle = 0, \quad (57)$$

where the hermitian Floquet Hamiltonian $H_F(\vec{r}, t)$ is related to the original Hamiltonian through

$$H_F(\vec{r}, t) = H(\vec{r}, t) - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \quad (58)$$
The solution of Eq. (57) is formally given by the Floquet states
\[ |\Psi_\eta(\vec{r},t)\rangle = e^{-iE_\eta t/\hbar} |\phi_\eta(\vec{r},t)\rangle , \] (59)
where \( |\phi_\eta(\vec{r},t)\rangle \) is called a Floquet mode. Floquet modes are eigenfunctions of the Floquet Hamiltonian \( H_F(\vec{r},t) \) with eigenvalues (quasi-energies) \( E_\eta \):
\[ \left( H(t) - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) |\phi_\eta(\vec{r},t)\rangle = H_F(\vec{r},t) |\phi_\eta(\vec{r},t)\rangle = E_\eta |\phi_\eta(\vec{r},t)\rangle . \] (60)
with periodic eigenfunctions
\[ |\phi_\eta(\vec{r},t)\rangle = \sum_n e^{-in\Omega t} |n\rangle, \quad |\phi_\eta(\vec{r},t)\rangle = |\phi_\eta(\vec{r},t+T)\rangle . \] (61)
Here \( |n\rangle \) are basis vectors of the eigenfunctions of the Floquet Hamiltonian and refer to the Floquet states. In Fourier space, the time-dependent Hamiltonian can now be expressed as a static matrix Hamiltonian
\[ H_F = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( (H_0 - nh\Omega) |n\rangle \langle n| + \frac{iH_1}{2} (|n\rangle \langle n+1| - |n\rangle \langle n-1|) \right) . \] (62)
Hence the original time-periodic system has been converted into a multi-channel stationary system expanded in the Floquet states. Using the Hamiltonian (62), we can numerically compute the Floquet states for each Floquet channel to obtain the elements of the Floquet scattering matrix.

In the case of the Rashba SOI \( \alpha(t) = k_{so} \sin(\Omega t) \) we have \( H_1 = i k_{so}(\sigma_y \partial_x - \sigma_x \partial_y) \).

C Comparing the Floquet scattering matrix with its adiabatic approximation

For a further analysis of our spin current calculations we compare results based on the full Floquet scattering matrix \( S_F(E_n, E) \) with its adiabatic approximation for different frequencies, also to see the range of validity of an adiabatic treatment. In the adiabatic limit \( S_F \) can be expanded in powers of the frequency \( \Omega \) \[ 23 \],
\[ S_F(E_n, E) = S_n + O(\Omega) , \] (63)
The zeroth-order terms \( S_n \) are the Fourier coefficients of the frozen scattering matrix, that is the stationary scattering matrix
\[ S(t, E) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-in\Omega t} S_n(E) . \] (64)
calculated for a given time \( t \).

In Fig. 8 we compare the adiabatic and full Floquet spin currents for the chaotic ballistic system of Fig. 2 for low and high frequencies. The results agree in the adiabatic limit \( \Omega \tau \approx 0.1 \) as expected and show semi-quantitative agreement up to the frequency of \( \Omega \tau \approx 1 \).
Figure 8: Spin currents for the chaotic ballistic system, Fig. 2, calculated by using the full Floquet scattering matrix (orange) and by the adiabatic approximation, Eq. (63) (blue) for $k_{so} L = 1$ and $\Omega \tau \approx 0.1$ (a), 1 (b), 1.5 (c) and 3 (d).

D Spin and charge current calculation in the Landauer-Büttiker Formalism

Here we sketch the derivation of the expressions (33) and (34) for the AC spin/charge current using the Landauer-Büttiker formalism. We start with the charge/spin current operator. Recall that $\alpha = 0, x, y, z$ indicates the components of the charge current and the spin current, respectively:

$$\hat{I}_ \alpha^i(t) = \frac{e}{4\pi} \int dE dE' e^{i(E-E')/\hbar} \left[ \hat{a}_{im,\sigma}(E) \sigma^\alpha \hat{a}_{im,\sigma'}(E') - \hat{b}_{im,\sigma}(E) \sigma^\alpha \hat{b}_{im,\sigma'}(E') \right]$$

where $\hat{a}^\dagger$ ($\hat{a}$) and $\hat{b}^\dagger$ ($\hat{b}$) are the creation (annihilation) operators for incident and scattered electrons, respectively, $m$ denotes the channel in lead $i$, and $\sigma$ represents the spin operator. Note that Eq. (65) is derived under the assumption $E-E' \ll E_F$.

For a periodically driven system, the relation between the operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ is given by the Floquet scattering matrix

$$\hat{b}_{im,\sigma}(E) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N_r} \sum_{m'\in j} \sum_{\sigma' = \pm 1} S^{\sigma,\sigma'}_{F,im,jm'}(E, E_n) \hat{a}_{jm',\sigma'}(E_n)$$

where $n$ denotes the Floquet states with $E_n = E + n\hbar\Omega$. We write the expectation value of the current as inverse Fourier series,

$$I_ \alpha^i(t) \equiv \langle \hat{I}_ \alpha^i(t) \rangle = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-il\Omega t} I_{i,l}^\alpha,$$
with \( I^n_{i,l} = \langle \hat{I}^n_i (l_\Omega) \rangle \). This yields, in view of Eqs. \((66)\) and \((69)\),

\[
I^n_{i,l} = \frac{e}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \sum_{n=-\infty}^{N_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \sum_{m \in i, m' \in j} \{ f_j(E) - f_i(E_n) \} Tr [ S^\dagger_{F,i,m,j,m'} (E_n, E) \sigma^\alpha S_{F,i,m,j,m'} (E_{l+n}, E) ] .
\] (68)

Here we use that the quantum statistical average of the operator \( \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle \) gives the Fermi function for electrons in lead \( i \),

\[
\langle \hat{a}^\dagger_{i,m,\sigma} (E) \hat{a}_{j,m',\sigma'} (E') \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta (E - E') f_i(E).
\] (69)

When the chemical potential difference between the leads vanishes, the Fermi functions are equal, \( f_i(E) = f_j(E) = f_0(E) \). Furthermore in the limit \( \Omega \to 0 \) one can expand the Fermi function with energy \( E_n = E + n\hbar\Omega \) and obtains

\[
f_0(E) - f_0(E_n) = -\frac{d f_0(E)}{dE} n\hbar\Omega + O(\Omega^2).
\] (70)

Substituting into Eq. \((68)\), we obtain

\[
I^n_{i,l} = \frac{e}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \left( -\frac{\partial f_0(E)}{\partial E} \right) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{N_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \sum_{m \in i, m' \in j} (n\hbar\Omega) Tr [ S^\dagger_{F,i,m,j,m'} (E_n, E) \sigma^\alpha S_{F,i,m,j,m'} (E_{l+n}, E) ] .
\] (71)

In the zero-temperature limit, only electrons at the Fermi energy \( E_F \) contribute to the current \( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E} = \delta (E - E_F) \), and the integral can be evaluated. This yields

\[
I^n_{i,l} = \frac{e}{4\pi} \hbar\Omega \sum_{n=-\infty}^{N_r} \sum_{j=1}^{N_r} \sum_{m \in i, m' \in j} n Tr [ S^\dagger_{F,i,m,j,m'} (E_{F,n}, E_{F}) \sigma^\alpha S_{F,i,m,j,m'} (E_{F,l+n}, E_{F}) ] .
\] (72)

We calculate the full time-dependent current by substituting this expression into Eq. \((67)\).

**E Calculation of the dwell time**

Here we calculate the dwell time of an electron spent in the scattering system with a time-dependent potential. The dwell time is given by the energy derivative of the scattering phase shift in terms of scattering matrix \([30,31]\). The diagonal elements of the Wigner-Smith time-delay matrix,

\[
Q(E) = -i\hbar S^\dagger(E) \frac{\partial S(E)}{\partial E},
\] (73)

are real and give the proper time delays for each transport channel. Taking the average over all channels, one obtains the time delay

\[
\tau_W(E) = \frac{1}{N} Tr \{ Q \} .
\] (74)

For the time-periodic systems we compute the dwell time using the Floquet scattering matrix,

\[
\tau_W(E_F) = -\frac{i\hbar}{N'} Tr \left\{ \sum_n S^\dagger_F (E_F, E_{F,n}) \frac{\partial S_F (E_F, E_{F,n})}{\partial E} \right\} ,
\] (75)
where \( N' = 2N(2n + 1) \) is the total number of scattering channels that results from the total number of Floquet bands \( n \) and spin polarization. We also compute the energy averaged dwell time denoted as \( \tau \). The energy window is chosen such that the number of open channels is constant. This averaged dwell time \( \tau \) is a convenient measure to physically distinguish the low-frequency and high-frequency regimes \( \Omega < 1/\tau \) and \( \Omega > 1/\tau \), respectively.

\[ F \quad \text{Role of the number of Floquet bands for the numerical results} \]

Here we present our procedure to determine the number of the necessary Floquet channel for performing numerically converged simulations. In the calculation of the spin current using Eq. (31) we need to choose a cut-off value in the sum over the Floquet side bands \( n \). It is essential to know the number of the bands prior to numerical calculations as the time cost of a calculation heavily depends on this number. To determine this number, we compute the spin current for a selected number of Floquet states \( 2n + 1 \) and change \( n \) to calculate the precision defined by \( \Delta I_n = (I_n - I_{n-1})/I_n \). This quantity is plotted in Fig. 9 for \( k_{so}L = 0.2 \) and 2 and for \( \Omega \tau \approx 0.1 \) and 1. Generally, we observe that smaller cut-off values \( n \) suffice for smaller choices of \( k_{so} \), as expected. We also find that our chosen cut-off value \( n = 10 \) provides a sufficiently good approximation to the time-dependent currents.

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9: The convergence in \( n \) of the AC spin currents generated by the time-dependent Rashba SOI, where \( \Delta I_n = (I_n - I_{n-1})/I_n \) and \( I_n \) is the current calculated with maximum Floquet states \( N \). The parameters correspond to \( \Omega \tau \approx 0.1 \) and 1, and \( k_{so}L = 0.2 \) and 2.
References


