Proinflammatory α-Adrenergic Neuronal Regulation of Splenic IFN-γ, IL-6, and TGF-β of Mice from Day 15 onwards in Arthritis
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Abstract

Introduction: In arthritic mice, a sympathetic influence is proinflammatory from the time point of immunization until the onset of disease (days 0–32), but reasons are unknown. Disruption of the major anti-inflammatory pathway through Gαs-coupled receptors probably play a role. For example, noradrenaline cannot operate via anti-inflammatory β2-adrenoceptors but through proinflammatory α1/2-adrenoceptors. This might happen, first, through a loss of sympathetic nerve fibers in inflamed tissue with low neurotransmitter levels (noradrenaline only binds to high-affinity α-adrenoceptors) and, second, through an alteration in G-protein receptor coupling with a predominance of α-adrenergic signaling. We hypothesized that both mechanisms play a role in the course of collagen type II-induced arthritis (CIA) in the spleen in mice. Methods: In CIA mice, nerve fiber density in the spleen was quantified by immunohistochemistry techniques. The functional impact of sympathetic nerve fibers in the spleen was studied by a microsuperfusion technique of spleen slices with a focus on the secretion of IFN-γ and IL-6 (proinflammatory) and TGF-β (anti-inflammatory). Results: During CIA, sympathetic nerve fibers get increasingly lost from day 14 until day 55 after immunization. The influence of electrically released noradrenaline diminishes in the course of arthritis. At all investigated time points (days 14, 32, and 55), only proinflammatory neuronal α-adrenergic effects on cytokine secretion were demonstrated (i.e., stimulation of IFN-γ and IL-6 and inhibition of TGF-β). Conclusion: Sympathetic nerve fibers are rapidly lost in the spleen, and only proinflammatory α-adrenergic neuronal regulation of cytokine secretion takes place throughout the course of arthritis. These results support a predominance of a proinflammatory α-adrenergic sympathetic influence in arthritis.

Introduction

The influence of peripheral nerve fibers on joint inflammation is best demonstrated by the protection of the paretic body side in patients with hemiplegia (e.g., [1–10]). The role of the 2 types of peripheral nerve fibers that reach the joint is presently not fully understood, but studies on experimental arthritis show a proinflammatory influence of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and sensory afferent nerve fibers in the early phase of arthritis.
from experimental antigen immunization until the onset of the disease (summary: [11–13]).

In later phases of the disease, the proinflammatory impact of the SNS might switch to become anti-inflammatory, but sympathetic nerve fibers might not play a role because they are lost in inflamed tissue [14, 15]. In the later phases, cells positive for key enzymes of catecholamine secretion appear in the joints, spleen, lymph nodes, and bone marrow, and these cells exert anti-inflammatory effects in experimental arthritis [16, 17]. The role of the cholinergic system that does not directly innervate the joints is presently under investigation. It seems that cholinergic influences are anti-inflammatory [18, 19], but these findings have been challenged by some authors [20].

At the beginning of arthritis, the proinflammatory influence of sympathetic nerve fibers might be due to vascular changes in the synovial tissue and plasma extravasation [21], the propagation of pain and proinflammatory substance P secretion in the sense of neurogenic inflammation [21], the regulation of lymphocyte migration [24, 25] and proinflammatory cytokines [26], the redistribution of energy-rich substrates to a highly activated immune system [11, 24], or a switch of anti-inflammatory $G_{ia}$ to proinflammatory $G_{ia}$ signaling [13, 27], and other mechanisms.

While an entire generation of researchers thought that noradrenaline must be anti-inflammatory due to its activity via the $\beta$-adrenoceptor and the cAMP pathway, which is best exemplified by the inhibition of TNF, IFN-γ, and IL-12 [28–30], more recent data demonstrate that these anti-inflammatory effects are disrupted by the loss of sympathetic nerve fibers in inflamed tissue and the above-mentioned proinflammatory $G_{ia}$-to-$G_{ia}$ switch of receptor signaling [13–15, 27]. However, the functional influence of the sympathetic nerve fibers on cytokine secretion in a major target lymphoid organ like the spleen has not been studied for the entire course of arthritis.

The spleen offers an exceptional possibility to directly study the sympathetic nerve fiber/immune cell interaction, by examining spleen slices in a microsuperfusion machine developed by us [31], and used in the animal model of collagen type II-induced arthritis (CIA) [32–34]. This has the advantage that sympathetic nerve fibers and target immune cells remain in their compartments in a natural way. However, the full spectrum of adrenergic regulation of key cytokines in the spleen has never been demonstrated for the entire course of arthritis, i.e., from a time point shortly after immunization to disease onset and chronic late-stage disease. Such an analysis might find critical immune elements for the influence of the SNS on arthritis.

This study was initiated to investigate the sympathetic nerve fiber density in the spleen throughout CIA. In addition, sympathetic $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, and $\beta$-adrenergic regulation of cytokine secretion was investigated in the spleen slice model during the disease course. The effect of sympathetic noradrenaline was scrutinized using respective receptor antagonists. IFN-γ and IL-6 served as proinflammatory cytokines and TGF-β (a key cytokine of regulatory T cells) as an anti-inflammatory cytokine.

### Materials and Methods

**Animals**

Female DBA/1 mice (aged 6–8 weeks) were purchased from Elevage Janvier (Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France). The mice were housed, 5–6 animals in a cage, and fed standard laboratory chow and water ad libitum under standard conditions. They were exposed to 12-h light/dark cycles. We used the spleens of control arthritic animals from other studies focusing on other issues over a longer period of time (2007–2015). These spleens would have been discarded but were used here for microsuperfusion experiments after being removed from the animals on day 14, 32, or 55 after immunization.

**Immunohistochemistry of Sympathetic Nerve Fibers in the Spleen**

A portion of the spleen not used for superfusion was fixed for 12–24 h in PBS containing 3.7% formaldehyde, and then incubated in PBS with 20% sucrose for another 12–24 h. Thereafter, the tissue was embedded in Tissue-Tek and quick-frozen. All tissue samples were stored at −80 °C. A total of 6–8 cryosections (thickness 5–9 µm) were used for immunohistochemistry with a primary antibody against tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, the key enzyme for norepinephrine production in sympathetic nerve endings, cat. No. AB152, Millipore Germany, Schwalbach, Germany). An Alexa-546-conjugated secondary antibody (cat. No. A-11030 against mouse IgG, Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Netherlands) was used to achieve immunofluorescence staining of sympathetic nerve fibers. The number of splenic TH-positive sympathetic nerve fibers/mm² was determined by averaging the number of stained nerve fibers (minimum length 50 µm, determined through a micrometer eyepiece) in 17 randomly selected high-power fields of view ($\times$400). We controlled the positive nerve fiber staining by incubating the tissue with polyclonal control antibodies, which always yielded a negative result.

**Induction of Arthritis and Removal of the Spleen**

We performed these experiments as recently described [15]. Briefly, mice were immunized intradermally at the base of the tail with 100 µg of bovine collagen type II (Chondrex, Inc., via MD Biosciences, Zurich, Switzerland) emulsified in an equal volume of complete Freund’s adjuvant on day 0 (Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany).

The mice were sacrificed at 3 different time points during arthritis: the maximum immunization phase before the onset of the disease (day 14), shortly after the onset of the disease (day 32), and the late and chronic phase of the disease (day 55). At these time points, the release of splenic IFN-γ, IL-6, and TGF-β was detected from the superfusate of the spleen slices (technique below).
The spleen was removed after cervical dislocation at 11:00–12:00 a.m. and kept in ice-cold culture medium for 25 min (RPMI1640, 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 5% FCS, 0.57 mM ascorbic acid, 1.3 mM calcium, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin [Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany] and 8 µg/mL ciprofloxacin [Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany]). It was then cut into 0.35-mm-thick slices using a tissue chopper (Mickle Lab., Gomshall, UK) with the direction of cutting at a right angle to the longitudinal axis of the spleen, and these slices were washed carefully in the abovementioned medium to remove small particles and extravasated cells.

Superfusion Technique and Protocol and Standardization of Slices

The technique was recently demonstrated [32]. Briefly, spleen slices were transferred in the abovementioned medium to silicon superfusion microchambers with a volume of approximately 80 µL [32] (custom-made by F.I.T GmbH Fruth, 92331 Parsberg, Germany). The bottom and the top of the microchamber were equipped with platinum electrodes to apply electrical field stimulation to the tissue. The pulsating electrical field stimulates nerve fibers in the tissue, leading to the release of neurotransmitters from viable nerve terminals [32]. The electrical current was applied by a computer-driven, current-controlled, voltage-regulated stimulator (MR OEG, Vienna, Austria). The superfusion fluid was transported from sterile medium reservoirs (medium as above) using speed-controlled pumps (Ismatec, Wertheim-Mondfeld, Germany). Superfusion was performed for 6 h and a flow rate of 66 µL/min (1 slice/chamber, 32 chambers in parallel, i.e., 4 racks with 8 chambers each), a flow rate similar to that of interstitial fluid. The technique is demonstrated in detail in supplementary Figure 1.

Experiments with Antagonists and Electrical Stimulation

To indirectly study the effect of electrically released endogenous transmitters propranolol (β1/2-adrenergic antagonist), benoxathian (α1-adrenergic antagonist), and yohimbine (α2-adrenergic antagonist), all from Sigma, were used. We have used these antagonists previously [32–34], so we used only the most relevant concentration of 10−6 M in these experiments. The dilutions were prepared immediately before the experiments. In experiments to study noradrenaline effects, antagonists were added at 100 min until the end of superfusion. After a drug equilibration period of

---

**Fig. 1.** Density of sympathetic nerve fibers in the spleen of control and arthritic rats. **a** Density of sympathetic tyrosine hydroxylase-positive nerve fibers. Each dot represents the result for 1 mouse (mean from 10–17 high-power fields). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005 versus control 8 (day 0). The p value above the boxes gives the result from the ANOVA on ranks test for all groups. Data are given as box-plots with the 10th (whisker), 25th, 50th (median), 75th, and 90th (whisker) percentiles. **b** Immunohistochemical staining of sympathetic nerve fibers. In the top panel, a typical bead chain staining of a splenic artery is given. The arrows point to varicosities along the nerve fiber.

---

The spleen was removed after cervical dislocation at 11:00–12:00 a.m. and kept in ice-cold culture medium for 25 min (RPMI1640, 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 5% FCS, 0.57 mM ascorbic acid, 1.3 mM calcium, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin [Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany] and 8 µg/mL ciprofloxacin [Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany]). It was then cut into 0.35-mm-thick slices using a tissue chopper (Mickle Lab., Gomshall, UK) with the direction of cutting at a right angle to the longitudinal axis of the spleen, and these slices were washed carefully in the abovementioned medium to remove small particles and extravasated cells.
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Determination of Cytokine Concentration in the Superfusate

Mouse IFN-γ in superfusate fractions was determined by ELISA (antibody pairs, BioLegend Inc., San Diego, CA, USA, via Biozol, Eching, Germany). The limit of detection was <8 pg/mL and the assay range 8–500 pg IFN-γ/mL. Similarly, mouse IL-6 in superfusate fractions was determined by a self-coated ELISA (antibody pairs, BioLegend Inc.). The limit of detection was <8 pg/mL and the assay range 8–500 pg/mL. Mouse TGF-β was similarly determined by ELISA (antibody pairs, eBioscience, Camarillo, CA, USA, via NatuTec, Frankfurt, Germany). The limit of detection was <60 pg/mL and the assay range 60–8,000 pg/mL. All intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were <10%.

Presentation of the Data and Statistical Analysis

Using 32 chambers, we were able to investigate 32 slices in 1 experiment of 1 arthritic mouse on 1 day. Because of the technical effort, typically, 3–4 experiments were performed per week. Four different conditions were investigated: (A) 8 control slices without drug or electrical stimulation (ES), (B) 8 slices with an antagonist only, to study the nonneuronal effects of release neurotransmitters, (C) 8 slices with ES only, and (D) 8 slices with an antagonist and ES, to study the influence of the neuronally released noradrenaline. Since average phi (online suppl. Fig. 1) of one experiment varies from mouse to mouse the effects are demonstrated in percent of the control of each mouse (phi of the control is 100%). Mann-Whitney U test (SPSS, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to compare 2 groups and ANOVA on ranks was used to compare >2 groups (SPSS). p < 0.05 was the significance level.

Results

Loss of Sympathetic Nerve Fibers in the Spleen

One argument for a missing anti-inflammatory influence via β-adrenergic signaling was the loss of sympathetic nerve fibers from inflamed tissue. Loss of sympathetic nerve fibers would result in lower noradrenaline concentrations...
at target cells, thus, according to the model, only binding to high-affinity α-adrenergic receptors is expected [35].

We observed a rapid decrease in sympathetic nerve fiber density in the key lymphoid target organ, the spleen (Fig. 1). The reduction was already visible at day 14 but became more pronounced in the course of arthritis. On day 55, only 20% of the original sympathetic nerve fiber density was detected, which is nearly similar to effects obtained through chemical sympathectomy with 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) demonstrated previously [36].

**Electrically Induced Inhibition of IFN-γ and IL-6 and Stimulation of TGF-β.**

In arthritis research, IFN-γ and IL-6 constitute immunostimulatory and proinflammatory cytokines, while TGF-β is a cytokine of regulatory immune phenomena with anti-inflammatory potential. IFN-γ and IL-6 were inhibited by ES in the course of the arthritis, while TGF-β was stimulated by the same electrically released neurotransmitters (Fig. 2). This effect of electrically released neurotransmitters on cytokine secretion was stable during the entire course (Fig. 2). The individual cytokines showed different peaks and lows, i.e., IFN-γ was high around day 32, IL-6 increased from day 32 onwards, and TGF-β remained relatively stable throughout the course and reached somewhat higher levels around day 55 (Fig. 2).

**Adrenergic Regulation of Cytokine Secretion**

To study the influence of neuronal adrenergic signaling pathways, ES was combined with adrenergic antagonists to neutralize the electrically released neurotransmitter noradrenaline.

In the case of IFN-γ, β-adrenergic signaling did not play a role throughout the entire course of the arthritis.
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However, neuronal α₁-adrenergic signaling stimulated IFN-γ secretion on days 32 and 55, because the antagonist had a decreasing influence on cytokine secretion (Fig. 3b). A very similar result was observed for neuronal α₂-adrenergic signaling on days 14 and 55 (Fig. 3c). Similarly to IFN-γ, β-adrenergic signaling did not affect IL-6 secretion during the course of arthritis (Fig. 4a). However, IL-6 was neuronally stimulated by the α₁-adrenergic influence on days 14 and 55 (Fig. 4b), and the α₂-adrenergic influence on day 14 only (Fig. 4c).

Adrenergic regulation of TGF-β is illustrated in Figure 5. On day 32, TGF-β was β-adrenergically stimulated because the β-adrenoceptor antagonist inhibited TGF-β secretion compared to ES only (Fig. 5a). However, no β-adrenergic regulation of TGF-β was observed on days 14 and 55. In addition, neuronal α₁-adrenergic effects were observed on day 14 and as a trend on day 32 (0.05 < p < 0.1) (Fig. 5b), and neuronal α₂-adrenergic effects were present on day 55 (Fig. 5c). These α-adrenergic effects were of a TGF-β inhibitory nature because the antagonist increased cytokine secretion (Fig. 5b, c).

To understand the role of the neuronal aspect of the inhibition or stimulation of cytokine secretion in the spleen, one needs to investigate the pure effect of the respective antagonist on cytokine secretion in the absence of ES. If an antagonist has a significant effect in the absence of ES, the effect is likely independent of electrically released neurotransmitters. Thus, all experiments with a significant effect of an antagonist (Fig. 3–5, blue boxes) were repeated with the same antagonist concentration in the absence of ES.

Generally, the antagonist had no effect on spontaneous cytokine secretion (Fig. 6), indicating no effects outside of the ES. There was 1 exception to this rule, i.e., the...
α1-adrenergic antagonist benoxathian inhibited IFN-γ secretion on day 32 (Fig. 6). As the α1-adrenergic antagonist inhibited IFN-γ secretion with and without ES (Fig. 3, 6), this particular effect might be independent of neuronal pathways.

**Discussion**

Sympathetic nerve fibers in the spleen get lost during experimental arthritis. Proinflammatory cytokines like IFN-γ and IL-6 are stimulated by α1/2-adrenergic pathways but not changed by β-adrenergic signaling. The anti-inflammatory regulatory cytokine TGF-β was stimulated β-adrenergically only on day 32, but at other time points, only α1/2-adrenergic inhibitory effects were observed (days 14 and 55). The study detected a strong α-adrenergic neuronal influence on splenic cytokine secretion but almost no β-adrenergic neuronal regulation.

In the early days of investigation of sympathetic effects on inflammation, between 1940 and 1980, the SNS was thought to play a proinflammatory role [37–39]. Indeed, the SNS is a critical proinflammatory component of neurogenic inflammation, which is particularly evident during the first hours of induction of inflammation. This is most probably due to the supportive effects of neurotransmitters on plasma extravasation, and the α2-adrenergic effects on pain transmission and directed migration of immune cells to sites of inflammation. The latter aspect also plays a critical role in experimental arthritis because the SNS stimulates lymphocyte egress from lymphoid or-
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With immune cell culture experiments and cytokine determination starting in the early 1980s, the picture completely changed. Now, using control T lymphocytes, B lymphocytes, and macrophages that are briefly stimulated with a cell-specific stimulus, noradrenaline inhibits many proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF [28, 41], IFN-γ [29], and IL-12 [42], which are often dependent on Gαs-induced increase of cAMP [43–45]. The first author was caught up in this thinking for 2 decades (1990–2010) when, in parallel, the concept of alternative activation of Gαs-coupled receptors appeared [13, 46, 47]. In addition, the timing of the SNS influence on immune function during the course of experimental arthritis became an important new target [15, 26]. We and other groups demonstrated the unequivocal proinflammatory role of the SNS in the immunization phase until the onset of arthritis ([11–13, 48], summary). Necessarily, the concept of sympathetic anti-inflammatory influences needed some revision.

Nowadays, a strong argument for a proinflammatory influence of the SNS on inflammation and immunity came along with the loss of sympathetic nerve fibers in inflamed tissue [14, 15]. Loss of sympathetic nerve fibers happens in many different diseases, and it is accompanied by a gradual shift from a β-adrenergic to an α-adrenergic

**Fig. 6.** Effect of adrenergic antagonists on cytokine secretion independent of electrical stimulation. The individual panels show control conditions (Co) and conditions with an adrenergic antagonist. This graph only demonstrates those conditions where an adrenergic antagonist had a significant influence on electrically modulated cytokine secretion (Fig. 3–5, blue boxes). Data are given as box-plots with the 10th (whisker), 25th, 50th (median), 75th, and 90th (whisker) percentiles. For each condition with an antagonist, 3–5 mice (24–40 spleen slices) were used. Control conditions were carried out with at least 10 mice (80 spleen slices).
influence in signaling because of the expected noradrenaline concentration in the tissue [35]. If noradrenaline levels are low, signaling goes through the α-adrenoceptors because of their higher affinity to noradrenaline than the β-adrenoceptors. In our study, the loss of sympathetic nerve fibers was not only observable in inflamed tissue but also in the spleen. Thus, noradrenaline concentrations in the spleen might be largely changed, similar to chemical sympathectomy with 6-hydroxy-dopamine. In summary, the arthritic disease induces a state of sympathectomy in the spleen.

Other groups have shown that the loss of sympathetic nerve fibers happens distant to the entry of nerve fibers into the spleen [36]. In earlier studies, we featured the loss of these nerve fibers on specific nerve repellent factors secreted from macrophages ([11, 35], review). Repulsion of nerve fibers does not mean destruction of these nerve fibers, which can be seen in sympathetic neurite outgrowth assays when using sympathetic chain ganglia and sympathetic repulsion factors like semaphorin-3F [49]. Thus, the increased density of sympathetic nerve fibers in the hilus region of the spleen observed in other studies [36] could simply be the expression of repelled and pushed nerve fibers that look like a collapsed concertina at their entry into the spleen.

A second major argument for a proinflammatory influence came with the idea of alternative Gαs-coupled receptor signaling of activated immune cells like in rheumatoid arthritis [13, 27]. This signaling induces proinflammatory effects via the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway (MAPK). It has been described that, in such a situation, the classical anti-inflammatory Gαi/cAMP/protein kinase A pathway is eliminated and a proinflammatory pathway with MAPK is installed [13, 27, 46]. Under the new conditions, α2-adrenergic signaling, in particular, becomes proinflammatory [27] (supported in other studies [50]). Our study demonstrates exactly this kind of α-adrenergic dominance, which started as early as day 14, long before disease onset, and remained constant throughout the chronic phase of the disease.

This raises the question of whether neuroimmunomodulation in the spleen can change peripheral joint inflammation, and whether this happens in humans, too. The spleen is a central, secondary lymphoid organ, which can be involved in systemic autoimmune phenomena such as autoimmune thrombocytopenia, or autoimmune hemolytic anemia associated with systemic lupus erythematosus [51]. Its role in rheumatoid arthritis is not well known but, in severe cases of Felty syndrome, splenectomy might be an important therapeutic option. In experimental arthritis, the spleen can have importance because splenectomy attenuates experimental arthritis [52]. In earlier studies on the DBA/1 mouse, we also observed that splenectomy postpones arthritis onset (R.H.S., unpubl. data). In the animal model of experimental arthritis in DBA/1 mice, one assumed that several immune reactions, like the clonal expansion of T and B cells and systemic cytokine secretion in/from the spleen, added to peripheral joint inflammation.

In conclusion, this study describes a strong loss of sympathetic nerve fibers in a key lymphoid organ, the spleen. It further demonstrates a strong α-adrenergic signaling in the natural compartment of a spleen slice that contributed to proinflammatory effects. While researchers talked for decades of a β-adrenergically effective agonist therapy for arthritis (or similar, i.e., via other Gαs-coupled receptors), this study and other current studies clearly point to an antagonistic therapy towards the α-adrenoceptor or other Gαi-coupled receptors.
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