



Synthesis and Reactivity of a Lewis-Base-Stabilized *tert*-Butyl Arsanylborane: A Versatile Building Block for Arsenic-Boron Oligomers

Felix Lehnfeld,^[a] Michael Seidl,^[a] Alexey Y. Timoshkin,^[b] and Manfred Scheer^{*[a]}

The synthesis and reactivity of the *tert*-butyl-substituted arsanylborane $tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ (1) stabilized by a Lewis base (LB) are reported. Compound 1 is obtained by the reaction of *in situ* generated NatBuAsH with $IBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$. By the reaction of 1 with Lewis acids the neutral compounds $BBr_3 \cdot tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ (2) and $BH_3 \cdot tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ (3) as well as coordination products towards Group 6 metal complexes $[M(CO)_4(tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3)_2]$ (M = Cr, Mo, W; 4a-c) are obtained.

Introduction

Inorganic main-group-based polymers are attractive materials for many different applications, e.g. as ceramic precursors, polyelectrolytes or in optoelectronics due to their broad variety of properties.^[1] Therefore, the targeted catenation of non-carbon elements has been receiving increasing attention. In the case of phosphorus, not only several chains of polyphosphines and polyphosphorus anions are known,^[2] but also different catena-phosphorus cations, as reported by Burford and Weigand et al.^[3] On the other hand, for boron, mainly the formation of higher aggregated clusters is known with only few exceptions.^[4] Besides some references to a linear $B_8(NMe_2)_{107}^{[5]}$ only $B_4(NMe_2)_6^{[6]}$ and cyclic $B_6(NMe_2)_6^{[7]}$ have structurally been characterized. Additionally, B₄R₄ was reported as a ligand in the coordination sphere of a transition metal complex,^[8] but, as for all other examples, organic substituents are necessary for their stabilization.

Due to the isoelectronic relation between carbon compounds and compounds consisting of group 13 and 15 elements, such compounds possess great potential as starting material for main group-based *catena* compounds. In addition

[a]	F. Lehnfeld, Dr. M. Seidl, Prof. Dr. M. Scheer
	Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Regensburg
	93040 Regensburg, Germany
	E-mail: manfred.scheer@ur.de
	www.uni-regensburg.de/chemie-pharmazie/anorganische-chemie-scheer
[b]	Prof. Dr. A. Y. Timoshkin
[]	Institute of Chemistry, St. Petersburg State University
	199034 Universitetskava emb 7/9 St. Petersburg Russia
	Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under
	https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.202100930
	Part of the "44th ICCC Conference" Special Collection.
	© 2021 The Authors. European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry published by
0	Wilev-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the
	Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which
	permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is
	properly cited the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adan-
	tations are made

Upon reaction with $IBH_2 \cdot LB$ ($LB = SMe_2$, NMe_3), the cationic oligomeric arsanylboranes [($Me_3N \cdot BH_2tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$)]I (5) and [$H_2B(tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3)_2$]I (6) were isolated. Compound 1 was also used as starting material for the synthesis of the first oligomeric arsanylboranes obtained by thermal oligomerization under different conditions. DFT computations support the experimental observations.

to poly(amino)- and poly(phosphinoborane)s based on Lewis acid/base adducts of the type $R_3E \cdot E'R_3$ (E = group 15 element; E' = group 13 element) obtained by dehydrogenation/dehydrocoupling reactions,^[9] recently the polymerization of Zintl type anions $[BP_2]^{3-}$ to 1D boron-phosphorus chains, composed of pentagonal B_2P_3 rings, has been reported. Similar arsenic based Zintl anions are known, but no similar polymerizations have been reported to date.^[10] Especially Lewis base-stabilized pnictogenylboranes of the type $R_2EBH_2 \cdot LB$ (E = group 15 element; LB=Lewis base) exhibit a very promising reactivity owing to their lone pair. Our group has reported numerous different compounds of this type over the past years, including the only hydrogen-substituted parent pnictogenylboranes $H_2PBH_2 \cdot LB$ and $H_2AsBH_2 \cdot LB$ in addition to organosubstituted derivatives.^[11]

For Lewis base-stabilized phosphanylboranes, a very rich chemistry was observed. Among the reported results are Lewis acid adducts resulting in neutral catena compounds,^[11a,b,12] anionic chains obtained by the substitution of the Lewis base by phoshanides^[11a,13] as well as cationic group 13/15 *catena* compounds by the reaction with IBH₂·LB.^[11a,14] By reaction of $(OC)_5W\cdot PH_2BH_2\cdot SMe_2$ with LB-stabilized pnictogenylboranes, neutral mixed pnictogenylborane chains are accessible.^[15] For the parent phosphanylborane $PH_2BH_2\cdot NMe_3$, oligomeric compounds can be obtained by reaction with [Cp₂Ti(btmsa)] (btmsa = bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene)^[16] as well as polymeric materials under thermolytic conditions.^[11c]

The mono tert-butyl-substituted phosphanylborane, $tBuPHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$, exhibits a good combination of steric bulk and donor strength, making it an excellent starting material for the generation of stable high-molecular weight polymers by metal-free head-to-tail polymerization.^[11c]

In contrast to the variety of phosphorus-boron *catena* compounds, there are very few examples known for oligomeric compounds based on the heavier pnictogen homologue arsenic. Reported examples require bulky organic substituents on both the B and As atoms (I) or the stabilization by

These are not the final page numbers! 77

Wiley Online Library



thermodynamically favorable ring systems (II) (Scheme 1).[17] Only few catena compounds based on Lewis-base-stabilized arsanylboranes (III and IV) have been reported recently. Noteworthy examples include the neutral LA adducts $BH_3 \cdot AsR_2BH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ (R=Ph or H; V)^[11a,b] as well as the cationic chain compounds [BH₂(R₂AsBH₂·NMe₃)₂]I (R=Ph, H; VI) and $[Me_3N \cdot BH_2AsR_2BH_2 \cdot NMe_3]I$ (R=Ph, H; VII).^[11a,14] But neither the parent arsanylborane H2AsBH2·NMe3 nor the diphenyl-substituted arsanylborane Ph₂AsBH₂·NMe₃ are suitable as precursors for neutral oligo- or poly(arsinoborane)s due to thermal cleavage of the As-B bond in case of III or insufficient donor strength of the arsenic atom in case of IV.

Therefore, transferring the very versatile reactivity of $tBuPHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ to the corresponding arsanylborane chemistry should allow for a relatively stable, while reactive arsanylborane. Therefore, we targeted the synthesis of a similar, monoalkyl-substituted arsanylborane as model compound to be used as potential precursor for the generation of oligomers.

Herein, we report the synthesis of $tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$, which represents the first monoalkyl-substituted arsanylborane only stabilized by a LB. The successful synthesis allows to investigate the reactivity of this new compound as a potential starting material for both neutral and cationic arsenic-boronbased catena compounds and oligomers.

Results and Discussion

The one-pot reaction of in situ generated NatBuAsH with IBH₂·NMe₃ in THF leads to the LB-stabilized arsanylborane $tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ (1) in 51% yield. After metalation of $tBuAsH_2$,



Scheme 1. Selected examples of cyclic arsanylboranes, monomeric arsanylboranes and derived catena compounds. R=H, Ph. Counterions are omitted for clarity.

the dark brown suspension undergoes a color change to colorless upon addition of IBH₂·NMe₃ and stirring overnight, while showing full conversion to 1 according to ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy (Equation 1). In the ¹¹B NMR spectrum of isolated 1, a triplet at $\delta = -5.26$ ppm with a coupling constant of ${}^{1}J_{BH} =$ 109 Hz is observed, which is similar to already reported arsanylboranes.^[11a,b]

$$tBuAsH_2 \xrightarrow{NaNH_2} NatBuAsH \xrightarrow{+ IBH_2NMe_3} \xrightarrow{As-B}_{H}^{H} H$$

(1)
1 (51%)

In the ¹H NMR spectrum among the signals corresponding to the NMe₃ and the *t*Bu groups at $\delta = 1.92$ ppm and $\delta =$ 1.62 ppm, respectively, two overlapping broad pseudo-quartets for the diastereotopic hydrogen atoms bound to the boron atom can be detected. In the ¹H{¹¹B} NMR spectrum, the signals appear as two pseudo-triplets at $\delta =$ 2.99 ppm and $\delta =$ 2.50 ppm with two very similar ${}^{2/3}J_{H,H}$ coupling constants of about 8 Hz. The resonance signal corresponding to the As-H is observed as a triplet at $\delta = 1.89$ ppm with a ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ coupling constant of 7.4 Hz, but the signal is partly overlapped by the signal of the NMe₃ group.

In contrast to the already reported arsanylboranes such as AsH₂BH₂·NMe₃ and Ph₂AsBH₂·NMe₃, compound 1 is asymmetrically substituted on the arsenic atom and therefore occurs as two enantiomers. In the solid-state structure (Figure 1), both enantiomers are incurred as a racemate. The As-B bond length of 2.079(5) Å is in the range of a single bond. The boron atom shows a nearly tetrahedral environment, whereas the arsenic atom possesses a distorted trigonal pyramidal arrangement, with a B-As-C bond angle of 101.0(4)° which is slightly more distorted in comparison to the phosphorus analogue $tBuPHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$.^[11c]

As the donor strength of the As atom is essential for its potential use as building block for oligomeric compounds, its reactivity towards Lewis acids (LAs) was investigated



Figure 1. Molecular structure of 1. Only the S enantiomer is shown for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids displayed at 50% probability. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles [°]: N-B 1.619(3), B-As 2.079(5), As-C 1.941(18); N-B-As 109.7(2), C-As-B 101.0(4).

www.eurjic.org These are not the final page numbers!

2



(Scheme 2). The reactions with the main group LAs BBr₃ and BH₃·SMe₂ lead to the formation of the LA-LB-stabilized *tert*butylarsanylboranes BBr₃·*t*BuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (2) and BH₃·*t*BuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (3), respectively. Both reactions proceed almost quantitatively according to the ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy, but the products are only accessible in lower crystalline yields due to the good solubility of 2 and 3. The signals corresponding to the BBr₃ and BH₃ moiety in 2 and 3 can be observed at $\delta = -15.77$ ppm and $\delta = -35.87$ ppm, respectively. In compar-



Scheme 2. Reaction of 1 with main group and transition metal Lewis acids; isolated crystalline yields in parentheses (nbd = norbornadiene).



Figure 2. Molecular structure of **2** and **3**. Thermal ellipsoids displayed at 50% probability. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles [°]: **2**) As–B1 2.064(5), As–B2 2.093(5), As–C 1.986(5), N–B2 1.597(7); C–As–B1 116.1(2), C–As1–B2 108.8(2), B1–As–B2 111.9(2), N–B2–As 114.9(3); **3**) As–C 1.976(5), As–B1 2.075(6), As–B2 2.070(6), N–B1 1.583(7); C–As–B1 104.1(2), C–As–B2 111.7(3), B2–As–B1 123.6(3), N–B1–As 115.0(4).

ison to the starting material 1, the signals for the BH₂ groups are slightly high-field shifted at $\delta = -7.55$ ppm and $\delta =$ -8.60 ppm for 2 and 3, respectively. For compound 2, the ¹H NMR spectrum exhibits low field shifts both for the signal corresponding to the As–H to 3.88 ppm and for the *t*Bu-group to 2.82 ppm. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 exhibits two broad pseudo quartets for the BH₂- and BH₃-groups in the range of 1.7–2.7 ppm and 0.4–1.2 ppm, respectively. In addition to multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, both compounds have been characterized by X-ray structure analysis (Figure 2).

The inner As–B distances in 2 (2.093(5)) and 3 (2.070(6)) are in the range of an As–B single bond and only slightly elongated in 3 in comparison to the starting material 1 due to the stronger Lewis acidity and steric bulk of BBr₃. The As–B distances towards the LA are in both cases very similar (2.064(5) (2) and 2.070(6) (3)) and in the range of an As–B single bond.

For **2** and **3**, two enantiomers are present in the solid state due to the chirality of the arsenic atom. Whereas **3** crystallizes in the space group $P2_1/n$ with both enantiomers in the unit cell, **2** crystallizes in the acentric space group $P2_12_12_1$, but represents inversion twins.

Computed thermodynamic characteristics for the formation of **2** and **3** are summarized in Table S4. Reactions of $tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ with BBr₃ and BH₃ · SMe₂ are predicted to be exergonic in solution, in agreement with experimental observations.

In addition to the reactivity towards main group LAs, also the coordination chemistry towards Lewis acidic transition metal complexes of group 6 metals was investigated. The reaction of 1 with *in situ* prepared [W(CO)₅(thf)] leads to a slight color change to darker yellow, but no solid product could be isolated (only an oily product).

To yield crystalline products, the group 6 complexes $[M(CO)_4(nbd)]$ (M = Cr, Mo, W; nbd = norbornadiene) were used as transition metal LAs instead. In all three cases, the elimination of nbd and the coordination of two equivalents of 1 to the metal centre are observed in a quantitative reaction according to ¹¹B NMR spectra of the reaction solutions. In the ¹¹B NMR spectra of **4a** and **4c**, only a slight change in the chemical shift to δ = -4.6 ppm could be observed, but it was possible to obtain crystals of **4a** –**c** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis, with moderate yields for **4a** and **4c**, but only few single crystals for **4b** (Figure 3). Also, the DFT computations reveal that these reactions are exergonic in solution (Table S4).

4a–c crystallize in the monoclinic space group $P2_{1}/n$. All relevant bond lengths and bond angles are depicted in Table 1. Whereas **4b** and **4c** show very similar structural features, the Cr–As distance and bond angles such as the As1–Cr–As2 one differ slightly for **4a** due to the smaller atom

Table 1. Selected bond distances [Å] and angles [°] of compounds 4a-c.					
	4a	4b	4c		
M—As1/M—As2 [Å] As1—M—As1 [°] C—As1—M/C—As2-M [°]	2.5168(2)/2.5163(2) 90.417(7) 117.35(4)/117.92(4)	2.6666(3)/2.6595(3) 88.895(8) 119.34(8)/118.05(7)	2.6513(4)/2.6501(5) 88.719(14) 118.36(12)/119.16(12)		

Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2021, 1–9

www.eurjic.org

These are not the final page numbers!

© 2021 The Authors. European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry published by Wiley-VCH GmbH





Figure 3. Molecular structure of 4a-c. Thermal ellipsoids displayed at 50% probability. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles [°] are found in Table 1.

radius compared to **4b** and **4c**. Concerning the stereochemistry of **4a**–**c**, one important difference was observed: Whereas in **4a** and **4b**, two molecules of **1** with the same configuration coordinate to the metal centre, leading to RR or SS configuration with both enantiomers found in the unit cell, the tungsten derivative **4c** exists as a *meso*-isomer.

Having established that the donor strength of the As atom in the *tert*-butyl-substituted arsanylborane is sufficient, we were furthermore interested in the formation of cationic oligomeric chain-like compounds by reacting **1** with $IBH_2 \cdot LB$ (LB=NMe₃, SMe₂). Depending on the substituted LB, the formation of $[Me_3N \cdot BH_2tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3]I$ (**5**) or $[H_2B-(tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3)_2]I$ (**6**) is observed (Scheme 3).

Both reactions show full conversion according to the ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy of the reaction mixture, with **5** and **6** as the



Scheme 3. Synthesis of three- and five-membered cationic chain-like compounds starting from 1; yields of isolated product in parentheses.

Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2021, 1–9 www.eurjic.org 4 These are not the final page numbers!

only products. Whereas **5** could be isolated in a crystalline yield of 34%, **6** could only be obtained in solution. All attempts to grow single crystals of **6** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis or precipitation of **6** failed due to decomposition. Both **5** and **6** were characterized by multi-nuclear NMR spectroscopy and **5** also by single crystal X-ray structure analysis.

Both compounds **5** and **6** show a very similar high field shifted signals for the NMe₃-bonded BH₂ groups at $\delta = -7.7$ ppm (**5**) and $\delta = -7.8$ ppm (**6**), respectively. The signal for the BH₂ moiety between the two As centres in **6** is observed as a broadened triplet at $\delta = -34.4$ ppm (Figure 4).^[11a,14a] In the ¹H NMR spectra of **5**, the As–H signal is shifted to lower field compared to **1** where it is found at around $\delta = 4.0$ ppm. The signals corresponding to the BH₂-groups appear as a broad overlapping signal in the range between 2 and 3 ppm. The signals corresponding to the NMe₃ and *t*Bu groups are shifted by about 1 ppm to lower field compared to **1**.

5 crystallizes as colorless blocks in the space group $Pca2_1$. The solid-state structure reveals a central *tert*-butyl-substituted AsH moiety that is connected to two BH₂NMe₃ groups via As–B single bonds (Figure 5). The conformation of both As–B bonds is almost eclipsed, leading to an overall almost symmetric arrangement, as both As–B distances are very similar (As–B1 2.074(5), As–B2 2.070(5)). The arsenic atom reveals a distorted tetrahedral arrangement, with all non-hydrogen-substituents exhibiting bond angles of approximately 114° around the As atom.

According to DFT calculations, the reaction of $tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ with $IBH_2 \cdot SMe_2$ is predicted to be exergonic in solution, in agreement with experimental observations. The reaction with $IBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ under formation of **5** is predicted to be slightly endergonic, but the crystal lattice energy favors the formation of a solid product (Table S4).



Figure 4. ¹¹B(¹H) NMR spectrum (bottom) and ¹¹B NMR spectrum (top) of 6 in CD_2Cl_2 (* = impurity of IBH₂SMe₂).



Figure 5. Molecular structure of **5**. The thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles [°]: As–C 1.978(5), As–B1 2.074(5), As–B2 2.070(5), N–B1 1.591(6), C–As1–B1 110.8(2), C–As1–B2 107.6(2), B2–As1–B1 114.2(2), N1–B1–As 114.2(3), N2–B2–As 114.3(3).

Having established 1 as a suitable starting material for the targeted synthesis of cationic oligomeric compounds, the next step was the synthesis of neutral oligomers. As in the case of $tBuPHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$, this was achieved by thermolysis (Scheme 4).^[11c] After already 40 h stirring at 80°C, about 50% conversion was detected in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum, indicated by a broad multiplet at $\delta = -36.8$ ppm. The conversion can be increased by longer reaction times as well as higher temperatures. However, the thermolysis is always accompanied by decomposition, visible in the precipitation of an insoluble orange solid, which increases over time and with more elevated temperatures.

Besides the insoluble orange solid, the obtained oil is very soluble in polar and nonpolar organic solvents and was characterized by ESI mass spectrometry. Hereby, it was possible to identify NMe_3 -capped oligomeric fragments with up to n = 4 as well as oligomers missing the NMe_3 group with up to n = 3. This indicates that, very probably, cyclic oligomers are formed among presumably NMe_3 -capped linear oligomers.

Scheme 4. Thermal oligomerization of 1.

Scheme 5. Structures of the most likely formed oligomers according to ESI-MS results and DFT calculations. Reaction Gibbs energies ΔG°_{373} for the formation of oligomer compounds from 1 (in kJ per mole of 1) (-53 (left), -21 (middle), -5 (right, n=3), -20.5 (right, n=4)).

 Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2021, 1-9
 www.eurjic.org
 5

 These are not the final page numbers!
 77

To investigate the nature of the formed oligomers and their relative stability, DFT computations were performed. According to the calculations, the oligomerization processes of **1** to form *catena*-(tBuAsHBH₂)_n oligomers are thermodynamically unfavorable and therefore highly unlikely even at 373 K, while the formation of *catena*-(tBuAsHBH₂)_nNMe₃ oligomers is exergonic for n=3, 4 and *cyclo*-(tBuAsHBH₂)_n is exergonic for n=2, 3, 4.

For the oligomerization of $tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ per one mole of starting material, the formation of the trimeric *cyclo*-($tBuAsHBH_2$)₃ is predicted to be the most thermodynamically favorable product both at 298 and at 373 K, followed by the ring tetramer *cyclo*-($tBuAsHBH_2$)₄, the ring dimer *cyclo*-($tBuAsHBH_2$)₂, the NMe₃-stabilized chain tetramer *catena*-($tBuAsHBH_2$)₄NMe₃ and the NMe₃-stabilized chain trimer *catena*-($tBuAsHBH_2$)₃NMe₃. Taking all information into account, the most likely formed oligomers are summarized in Scheme 5.

Conclusion

In summary, a straightforward synthesis of an alkyl-substituted monomeric arsanylborane tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (1) stabilized only by a Lewis base was developed. It was isolated in good vields and showed high thermal stability. Using 1 as starting material, it was possible to access numerous new products. In addition to the neutral arsanylborane chain compounds $BBr_3 \cdot tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ (2) and $BH_3 \cdot tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ (3) obtained by the reaction with main group Lewis acids, also coordination products with transition metal centres were obtained. Taking into account the asymmetric nature of 1, these coordination products as well as the crystallization of 2 in the acentric space group $P2_12_12_1$ allow for some fascinating insights into the stereochemistry of 1 and its products. It was also shown that 1 is a suitable precursor for larger arsanylborane oligomers. Not only the cationic group 13/15-based oligomers $[Me_{3}N \cdot BH_{2}tBuAsHBH_{2} \cdot NMe_{3}]I$ (5) or [H₂B- $(tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3)_2$]I (6) are accessible via reactions with IBH₂·LB (LB=NMe₃, SMe₂), but it is also possible to obtain neutral oligo(arsanylborane)s through thermolysis of 1. This makes 1 not only the first asymmetrically substituted arsanylborane only stabilized by an LB, but also the first isolable arsanylborane to undergo metal-free head-to-tail oligomerization under thermolytic conditions.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (1)

A solution of $tBuAsH_2$ (5 mmol, 670 mg) in 5 mL toluene is added to a suspension of NaNH₂ (5 mmol, 195 mg) in 10 ml thf at 193 K. After stirring for 16 h while slowly warming up to r.t., a deep brown solution is obtained. A suspension of $IBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ (5 mmol, 994 mg) in 5 mL thf is added to this solution cooled to 193 K. After stirring for 16 h while slowly warming up to r.t., a colorless solution and a white precipitate are obtained. After carefully removing the solvent under reduced pressure at 253 K, compound 1 is extracted with *n*-

hexane (3x 10 mL) and filtrated over diatomaceous earth. After carefully removing the solvent, compound 1 can be obtained as a colorless liquid. 1 can be isolated as colorless blocks by storing a saturated solution of 1 in n-hexane at 243 K. Yield: 523 mg (2.55 mmol, 51%). ¹H NMR (C_6D_{67} , 293 K) $\delta = 3.5-2.0$ (2H, br, ¹J_{HB}= 109 Hz, BH₂), 1.92 (9H, s, NMe₃), 1.85 (1H, d, ³J_{HH} = 7.4 Hz), 1.62 (9H, s, *t*Bu). ¹¹B NMR (C₆D₆, 293 K) $\delta = -5.26$ (t, ¹J_{H,B} = 109 Hz, BH₂). ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 293 K) $\delta = -5.26$ (s, BH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 293 K) $\delta =$ 27.18 (s, (CH₃)₃C, 34.10 (s, (CH₃)₃C), 52.74 (s, NMe₃). ESI-MS (CH₂Cl₂): m/z=205.98. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₇H₂₁AsBN: C: 41.02, H: 10.33, N: 6.83; found: C: 41.16, H: 10.01, N: 6.72.

Synthesis of BBr₃·tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (2)

To a solution of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (0.2 mmol, 41 mg) in 4 mL toluene, BBr₃ (0.2 mmol, 50 mg) is added at 273 K. After stirring at 273 K for 1 h, the solution is slowly warmed up to r.t. and continued to be stirred for 16 h hours at r.t. All volatiles are removed under reduced pressure and the obtained white, oily solid is washed with two times 2 ml of *n*-hexane. 2 can be isolated as colorless blocks by storing a saturated solution in CH₃CN at 243 K. Yield: 13 mg (0.03 mmol, 14%). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 293 K) δ = 3.89 (1H, br, AsH), 2.83 (9H, s, NMe₃) 3.0-2.0 (br, 2H, BH₂), 1.48 (9H, s, tBu). ¹¹B NMR (CD₃CN, 293 K) $\delta = -15.77$ (s, BBr₃), -7.55 (t, ${}^{1}J_{H,B} = 117$ Hz, BH₂). ${}^{11}B$ $\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_3CN, 293 K) $\delta\!=\!-15.77$ (s, BBr_3), -7.55 (s, BH_2). ESI-MS (CH₃CN): m/z=375.9 (M-Br⁻). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₇H₂₁AsB₂Br₃N: C: 18.46, H: 4.65, N: 3.08; found: C: 19.26, H: 4.44, N: 3.09; containing 1/12 n-hexane.

Synthesis of $BH_3 \cdot tBuAsHBH_3 \cdot NMe_3$ (3)

To a solution of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (0.2 mmol, 41 mg) in 3 ml toluene, a solution of BH₃·SMe₂ (0.2 mmol, 15 mg) in 0.4 mL toluene is added at r.t. After stirring for 16 h at r.t., all volatiles are removed under reduced pressure. The remaining solid is dissolved in 5 mL n-hexane and filtrated over diatomaceous earth. 3 can be isolated as colorless needles by storing a saturated solution in nhexane at 243 K. Yield: 8 mg (0.04 mmol, 18%). ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 293 K) $\delta =$ 2.78 (9H, s, NMe₃), 2.73–1.73 (2H, br, ${}^{1}J_{H,B} =$ 112 Hz, BH₂), 2.28 (1H, m, AsH), 1.2 (9H, s, tBu), 1.2-0.4 (3H, brq, ¹J_{HB}=103 Hz, BH₃). ¹¹B NMR (C₆D₆, 293 K) $\delta = -35.87$ (q, ¹J_{H,B} = 103 Hz, BH₃), -8.60 (t, ${}^{1}J_{HB} = 112 \text{ Hz}$, BH₂). ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD₃CN, 293 K) $\delta = -35.87$ (s, BH₃), -8.60 (s, BH₂). ESI-MS (CH₃CN): m/z = 217.9 (M-H⁻). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C7H24AsB2N: C: 38.42, H: 11.06, N: 6.40; found: C: 39.53, H: 10.61, N: 6.45; containing 1/8 n-hexane.

Synthesis of $[Cr(CO)_4(tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3)_2]$ (4a)

To a solution of $[Cr(CO)_4(nbd)]$ (nbd = norbornadiene, 0.1 mmol, 26 mg) in 2 mL CH₂Cl₂, a solution of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (0.2 mmol, 41 mg) in 2 mL toluene is added at r.t.. After stirring for 16 h at r.t., the solution is filtrated. 4a can be isolated as yellow blocks by layering a saturated CH₂Cl₂/toluene solution with *n*-hexane at 273 K. Yield: 23 mg (0.04 mmol, 40%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta =$ 3.0-2.0 (4H, br, BH₂), 2.78 (18H, s, NMe₃), 1.68 (2H, s, AsH), 1.29 (18H, s, tBu). ¹¹B NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.51$ (t (br), ¹J_{HB} = 114 Hz, BH₂). ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.51$ (br, BH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.51$ (br, BH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.51$ (br, BH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.51$ (br, BH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.51$ (br, BH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.51$ (br, BH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.51$ (br, BH₂). 293 K) $\delta = 231.4$ (s, (CH₂)₂C, 231.2(s, (CH₂)₂C), 225.9 (s, NMe₂), 32.54 (s, CO), 32.19 (s, CO), 31.87 (s, CO), 31.58 (s, CO).

Synthesis of $[Mo(CO)_4(tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3)_2]$ (4b)

To a solution of [Mo(CO)₄(nbd)] (nbd = norbornadiene, 0.1 mmol, 30 mg) in 2 mL CH₂Cl₂, a solution of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (0.2 mmol,

Synthesis of $[W(CO)_4(tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3)_2]$ (4 c)

To a solution of [W(CO)₄(nbd)] (nbd=norbornadiene, 0.1 mmol, 39 mg) in 2 mL CH₂Cl₂, a solution of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (0.2 mmol, 41 mg) in 2 mL toluene is added at r.t.. After stirring for 16 h at r.t., the solution is filtrated. 4c can be isolated as vellow blocks by layering a saturated CH₂Cl₂/toluene solution with *n*-hexane at 273 K. Yield: 16 mg (0.023 mmol, 23%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta =$ 3.0-2.0 (4H, br, BH₂), 2.78 (18H, s, NMe₃), 1.68 (2H, m, AsH), 1.30 (18H, s, tBu). ^{11}B NMR (CD $_2 CI_2,$ 293 K) $\delta\!=\!-4.96$ (t (br), $^1J_{\rm H,B}\!=\!105$ Hz, BH₂). ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -4.96$ (br, BH₂).

Synthesis of [Me₃N·BH₂-tBuAsH-BH₂·NMe₃]⁺I⁻ (5)

To a solution of IBH₂·NMe₃ (0.2 mmol, 40 mg) in 3 mL CH₂Cl₂, a solution of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (0.2 mmol, 41 mg) in 2 mL toluene is added dropwise at 243 K. The solution is stirred for 16 h while allowed to reach r.t. After removing all volatiles under reduced pressure, the obtained yellowish oil is suspended in benzene. Benzene is removed under reduced pressure and the oil is dried in vacuo. 5 can be isolated as colorless blocks by layering a saturated CH₂Cl₂ solution with *n*-hexane at 273 K. Yield: 28 mg (0.07 mmol. 34%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) δ = 4.01 (1H, s, AsH), 3.1–1.8 (4H, br, BH₂), 2.91 (18H, s, NMe₃), 1.32 (9H, s, tBu). ¹¹B NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta\!=\!-7.72$ (t, $^1J_{\text{H,B}}\!=\!116$ Hz, BH_2). $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2, 293 K) $\delta\!=\!$ -7.72 (s, BH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) δ = 45.1 (s, (CH₃)₃C), 32.3 (s, $(CH_3)_3C)$, 29.6 (s, NMe₃). ESI-MS (CH₃CN): m/z = 277.3 (M⁺). Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C₁₀H₃₂AsB₂N₂I: C: 29.74, H: 7.99, N: 6.94; found: C: 30.08, H: 7.77, N: 7.04.

Synthesis of $[Me_3N \cdot BH_2 - tBuAsH - BH_2 - tBuAsH - BH_2 \cdot NMe_3]^+I^-$ (6)

To a solution of IBH₂SMe₂ (0.2 mmol, 40 mg) in 1 mL CH₂Cl₂, a solution of tBuAsHBH₂NMe₃ (0.4 mmol, 82 mg) in 2 mL toluene is added dropwise at 243 K. The solution is stirred for 16 h while allowed to reach r.t. All attempts to isolate 6 as solid failed due to decomposition, but it can be characterized in solution.

Thermolytic oligomerization of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃

A solution of tBuAsHBH₂·NMe₃ (0.2 mmol, 40 mg) in 1 mL toluene is stirred at 80 °C for 40 h, the colorless solution turns slightly yellow during that time. According to the ¹¹B NMR, the reaction shows 50% conversation to oligomeric species. Increasing the reaction time to 65 h or the temperature to 100°C, augments conversion to 70%, but more decomposition product is formed as an orange precipitate. ¹¹B NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -36.75$ (t (br), ¹J_{H,B} = 109 Hz). ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 293 K) $\delta = -36.75$ (m (br))

DFT computations

6

The geometries of the compounds have been fully optimized with gradient-corrected density functional theory (DFT) in form of Becke's three-parameter hybrid method B3LYP^[18] with def2-SVP^[19] all electron basis set (ECP on Mo, W). Gaussian 09 program package^[20] was used throughout. All structures correspond to minima on their respective potential energy surfaces as verified by computation of second derivatives. Basis sets were obtained from the EMSL basis set exchange database.^[21] Standard entropies of the

reactions in solution were estimated by taking into account the loss of translational degrees of freedom upon solvation of one gaseous mole in the inert solvent (90 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹).^[22]

Deposition Numbers 2117548 (for 1), 2117549 (for 2), 2117530 (for 3), 2117531 (for 4a), 2117532 (for 4b), 2117533 (for 4c), and 2117534 (for 5) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ structures.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the project Sche 384/41-1. This work was supported by the joint DFG-RSF grant (RSF project 21-43-04404). Use of computational resources of the Research center «Computing Center» of the research park of St. Petersburg State University is acknowledged. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords: Arsenic · Boron · Group 13/15 compounds · LA/LB adducts · Oligomers

- [1] a) J. Linshoeft, E. J. Baum, A. Hussain, P. J. Gates, C. Näther, A. Staubitz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 12916-12920; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 13130-13134; b) A. Hübner, Z.-W. Qu, U. Englert, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner, M. C. Holthausen, M. Wagner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4596-4609; c) R. H. Neilson, P. Wisian-Neilson, Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 541-562; d) R. D. Jaeger, M. Gleria, Prog. Polym. Sci. 1998, 23, 179-276; e) M. Liang, I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4044-4045; f) A. M. Priegert, B. W. Rawe, S. C. Serin, D. P. Gates, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 922-953; g) I. Manners, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 1602-1621; Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 1712-1731; h) R. D. Miller, J. Michl, Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1359-1410; i) F. Choffat, S. Käser, P. Wolfer, D. Schmid, R. Mezzenga, P. Smith, W. Caseri, Macromolecules 2007, 40, 7878-7889; j) F. Jäkle, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 3985-4022; k) B. W. Rawe, C. P. Chun, D. P. Gates, Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 4928-4938; I) Z. M. Hudson, D. J. Lunn, M. A. Winnik, I. Manners, Nat. Commun. 2014, 5; m) X. He, T. Baumgartner, RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 11334-11350; n) H. R. Allcock, Chem. Mater. 1994, 6, 1476-1491; o) G. Zhang, G. M. Palmer, M. W. Dewhirst, C. L. Fraser, Nat. Mater. 2009, 8, 747-751; p) P. J. Fazen, J. S. Beck, A. T. Lynch, E. E. Remsen, L. G. Sneddon, Chem. Mater. 1990, 2, 96-97.
- [2] a) M. Baudler, K. Glinka, *Chem. Rev.* 1993, 93, 1623–1667; b) M. Baudler, K. Glinka, *Chem. Rev.* 1994, 94, 1273–1297; c) M. Baudler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1982, 21, 492–512; *Angew. Chem.* 1982, 94, 520–539; d) M. Baudler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1987, 26, 419–441; *Angew. Chem.* 1987, 99, 429–451; e) H. G. Von Schnering, W. Hoenle, *Chem. Rev.* 1988, 88, 243–273; f) I. Jevtovikj, P. Lönnecke, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, 49, 7355–7357.

These are not the final page numbers! 77

- [3] a) C. A. Dyker, N. Burford, Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 28–36; b) M. Donath, E. Conrad, P. Jerabek, G. Frenking, R. Fröhlich, N. Burford, J. J. Weigand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2964–2967; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 3018–3021; c) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6733–6737; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 6885–6889; d) C. Taube, K. Schwedtmann, M. Noikham, E. Somsook, F. Hennersdorf, R. Wolf, J. J. Weigand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 3585–3591; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 3613–3619; e) J. J. Weigand, M. Holthausen, R. Fröhlich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 295–298; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 301–304; f) M. H. Holthausen, C. Richter, A. Hepp, J. J. Weigand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7545–7549; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 7663–7667; h) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, R. J. Davidson, T. S. Cameron, P. Seelheim, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17943–17953.
- [4] a) H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3574–3583; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 3658–3667; b) E. Osorio, J. K. Olson, W. Tiznado, A. I. Boldyrev, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 9677–9681.
- [5] K. H. Hermannsdörfer, E. M. Und, H. Nöth, Chem. Ber. 1970, 103, 516– 527.
- [6] G. Linti, D. Loderer, H. Nöth, K. Polborn, W. Rattay, Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 1909–1922.
- [7] H. Nöth, H. Pommerening, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1980, 19, 482-483.
- [8] H. Braunschweig, Q. Ye, A. Vargas, R. D. Dewhurst, K. Radacki, A. Damme, *Nat. Chem.* 2012, 4, 563–567.
- [9] a) H. C. Johnson, A. S. Weller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10173-10177; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 10311-10315; b) H. C. Johnson, E. M. Leitao, G. R. Whittell, I. Manners, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, A. S. Weller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9078-9093; c) A. N. Marziale, A. Friedrich, I. Klopsch, M. Drees, V. R. Celinski, J. Schmedt auf der Günne, S. Schneider, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 13342-13355; d) A. Staubitz, A. P. M. Robertson, M. E. Sloan, I. Manners, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4023-4078; e) A. Staubitz, M. E. Sloan, A. P. M. Robertson, A. Friedrich, S. Schneider, P. J. Gates, J. Schmedt auf der Günne, I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13332-13345; f) R. T. Baker, J. C. Gordon, C. W. Hamilton, N. J. Henson, P.-H. Lin, S. Maguire, M. Murugesu, B. L. Scott, N. C. Smythe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5598-5609; g) J.-M. Denis, H. Forintos, H. Szelke, L. Toupet, T.-N. Pham, P.-J. Madec, A.-C. Gaumont, Chem. Commun. 2003, 54-55; h) H. Dorn, J. M. Rodezno, B. Brunnhöfer, E. Rivard, J. A. Massey, I. Manners, Macromolecules 2003, 36, 291-297; i) A. Kumar, N. A. Beattie, S. D. Pike, S. A. Macgregor, A. S. Weller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 6651-6656; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 6763-6768; j) S. Pandey, P. Lönnecke, E. Hey-Hawkins, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 2014, 2456-2465; k) H. Dorn, R. A. Singh, J. A. Massey, J. M. Nelson, C. A. Jaska, A. J. Lough, I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6669-6678
- [10] a) K. E. Woo, J. Wang, J. Mark, K. Kovnir, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 13017–13021; b) H.-G. von Schnering, M. Somer, M. Hartweg, K. Peters, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1990, 29, 65–67; Angew. Chem. 1990, 102, 63–65.
- [11] a) O. Hegen, A. V. Virovets, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 16521–16525; b) C. Marquardt, A. Adolf, A. Stauber, M. Bodensteiner, A. V. Virovets, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 11887–11891; c) C. Marquardt, T. Jurca, K.-C. Schwan, A. Stauber, A. V. Virovets, G. R. Whittell, I. Manners, M. Scheer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 13782–13786; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 13986–13991; d) A. Stauber, T. Jurca, C. Marquardt, M. Fleischmann, M. Seidl, G. R. Whittell, I. Manners, M. Scheer, 2016, 2684–2687; e) U. Vogel, P. Hoemensch, K.-C. Schwan, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 515–519.
- [12] C. Marquardt, T. Kahoun, J. Baumann, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2017, 643, 1326–1330.
- [13] C. Marquardt, T. Kahoun, A. Stauber, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 14828–14832; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 15048–15052.
- [14] a) C. Marquardt, G. Balázs, J. Baumann, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, *23*, 11423–11429; b) C. Marquardt, C. Thoms, A. Stauber, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 3727–3730; *Angew. Chem.* 2014, *126*, 3801–3804.
- [15] O. Hegen, C. Marquardt, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12783–12787; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 12959–12963.
- [16] C. Thoms, C. Marquardt, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5150–5154; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 5254–5259.
- [17] a) M. A. Petrie, M. M. Olmstead, H. Hope, R. A. Bartlett, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3221–3226; b) J. Burt, J. W. Emsley, W. Levason, G. Reid, I. S. Tinkler, *Inorg. Chem.* 2016, 55, 8852–8864; c) M. A. Mardones,

A. H. Cowley, L. Contreras, R. A. Jones, C. J. Carrano, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1993**, *455*, C1–C2.

- [18] a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648–5652; b) C. Lee, W. Yang,
 R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785–789.
- [19] a) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2005, *7*, 3297–3305;
 b) K. A. Peterson, D. Figgen, E. Goll, H. Stoll, M. Dolg, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2003, *119*, 11113.
- [20] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev,

A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision E.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT **2013**.

[21] a) K. L. Schuchardt, B. T. Didier, T. Elsethagen, L. Sun, V. Gurumoorthi, J. Chase, J. Li, T. L. Windus, J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2007, 47, 1045–1052; b) D. Feller, J. Comput. Chem. 1996, 17, 1571–1586.

[22] A. S. Lisovenko, A. Y. Timoshkin, Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 10357-10369.

Manuscript received: October 28, 2021 Revised manuscript received: November 11, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: November 11, 2021

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The synthesis of the *tert*-butyl-substituted arsanylborane $tBuAsHBH_2 \cdot NMe_3$ is reported. Its reactivity towards main group Lewis acids and transition metal complexes, yielding various coordination products, is discussed. Furthermore, it is used as starting material in catenation and oligomerization processes, leading to cationic *catena* compounds as well as to neutral oligomers obtained by thermolysis.

F. Lehnfeld, Dr. M. Seidl, Prof. Dr. A. Y. Timoshkin, Prof. Dr. M. Scheer*

Synthesis and Reactivity of a Lewis-Base-Stabilized *tert*-Butyl Arsanylborane: A Versatile Building Block for Arsenic-Boron Oligomers

^{1 – 9}