

# Enabling the control of reperfusion parameters in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: First applications of the CARL system

Alois Philipp,<sup>1</sup> Jan-Steffen Pooth,<sup>2,3,4</sup> Christoph Benk,<sup>2,3,4</sup> Thomas Mueller<sup>5</sup> and Dirk Lunz<sup>6</sup>

Perfusion  
2022, Vol. 0(0) 1–4  
© The Author(s) 2022



Article reuse guidelines:  
[sagepub.com/journals-permissions](https://sagepub.com/journals-permissions)  
DOI: 10.1177/02676591221141325  
[journals.sagepub.com/home/prf](https://journals.sagepub.com/home/prf)



## Abstract

**Introduction:** There is increasing evidence for extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ECPR) as a rescue therapy for selected patients in refractory cardiac arrest (CA). Besides patient selection, the control of reperfusion parameters is of eminent importance. Especially in out-of-hospital CA, monitoring and individualized, targeted reperfusion remains a great challenge for emergency personnel. The CARL<sup>®</sup> system is designed to enable an early control of a variety of reperfusion parameters and to pursue a targeted reperfusion strategy in ECPR.

**Case presentation:** We report the first 10 ECPR applications of the CARL<sup>®</sup> system in Regensburg, Germany. Early blood gas analysis, oxygen titration and pressure monitoring were feasible and enabled an individualized and targeted reperfusion strategy in all patients. After suffering from refractory CA and prolonged resuscitation attempts, five out of the first 10 patients survived and were successfully discharged from the hospital (CPC one on hospital discharge).

**Conclusion:** Application of the CARL<sup>®</sup> system contributed to early monitoring and control of reperfusion parameters. Whether targeted ECPR may have the potential to improve outcomes in refractory OHCA remains the subject of future investigations.

## Keywords

extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, targeted reperfusion, ischemia-reperfusion injury

## Introduction

Across Europe, depending on the region, only 1-18% of all patients with an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (CA), who receive cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), survive and can be discharged from the hospital alive.<sup>1</sup> A great number of those patients suffer from neurological sequelae.<sup>2</sup> An increasing body of evidence suggests that the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in cardiopulmonary resuscitation - a method called extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ECPR) - may improve survival after CA.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, ECPR is already acknowledged by the current guidelines of the European Resuscitation Council: “We suggest that ECPR may be considered as a rescue therapy for selected patients with cardiac arrest when conventional CPR is failing in settings in which it can be implemented (weak recommendation, very low certainty of evidence”.<sup>4</sup> Besides patient selection, the control of reperfusion

parameters is of eminent importance to enable a neurological recovery after CA.<sup>5,6</sup>

Especially in out-of-hospital CA, monitoring and thus individualized, targeted reperfusion remains a great

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University Medical Center Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, University Medical Center Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Resuscitac GmbH, Freiburg, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Department of Internal Medicine II, University Medical Center Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, University Medical Center Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany

## Corresponding author:

Dirk Lunz, Department of Anesthesiology, University Medical Center Regensburg, Franz-Josef-Strauss-Allee 11, 93042 Regensburg, Germany. Email: [dirk.lunz@ukr.de](mailto:dirk.lunz@ukr.de)



University of Regensburg Ethics Committee (number 21-2401-104). The need to obtain an informed consent was waived due to the retrospective design.

Table 1 shows general patient and case characteristics. Seven of the 10 patients were male. The median age of the patients at the time of cardiac arrest was 54.5 years [interquartile range (IQR): 48.5–60.2 years]. Nine patients suffered from cardiac arrest outside of a hospital (four patients at home, four patients in the workplace, one patient on the street while driving), while one patient underwent surgery due to a kidney tumor in an external hospital. Nine out of the 10 patients were witnessed by either bystanders or medical personnel during their collapse. Bystander CPR was performed in all cases. Eight patients displayed ventricular fibrillation

as the initial rhythm in the first electrocardiogram. A mechanical resuscitation device was only used in one case. Median duration from collapse (or in case of the unwitnessed arrest from start of CPR) until start of the CARL® Controller was 39.0 min [IQR: 30.5–59.7 min]. All patients underwent femoro-femoral cannulation. In three cases a distal leg perfusion was added after return to the hospital. Acute myocardial infarction was identified as primary cause for the CA in four cases. Four cases showed no pathological findings in the coronary angiography and were suspected to have a rhythmogenic or otherwise unknown pathogenesis (classified as “unknown” in Table 1).

Table 2 shows patient parameters at hospital admission and neurological outcome. Three patients were

**Table 1.** Patient/case characteristics.

#	Sex	Age [years]	Distance to center [km]	Arrest location	Pathogenesis	Witnessed arrest	Bystander CPR	First rhythm	mCPR	Time from arrest/initial call to CARL [min]	First recorded NIRS on scene
1	Male	20	32	Workplace	Unknown	Yes	Yes	VF	Yes	85	27
2	Female	62	5	External hospital	PulmEmb	Yes	Yes	Asys	No	65	15
3	Male	53	12	Home	Drowning	Yes	Yes	Asys	No	50	n.a.
4	Male	77	17	Home	AMI	Yes	Yes	VF	No	25	38
5	Male	47	4	Workplace	AMI	Yes	Yes	VF	No	35	52
6	Male	55	26	Home	Unknown	Yes	Yes	VF	No	63	33
7	Female	41	24	Street	Unknown	Yes	Yes	VF	No	30	60
8	Male	64	10	Home	AMI	No	Yes	VF	No	30	52
9	Female	55	4	Workplace	AMI	Yes	Yes	VF	No	32	62
10	Male	54	8	Workplace	Unknown	Yes	Yes	VF	No	43	49

AMI: acute myocardial infarction, Asys: asystolie, CPR: cardiopulmonary resuscitation, mCPR: mechanical CPR, n.a.: not available, NIRS: near-infrared spectroscopy, PulmEmb: pulmonary embolism, VF: ventricular fibrillation.

**Table 2.** Parameters at hospital admission/outcome.

#	At hospital admission					Duration [days]			At hospital discharge
	Temp [°C]	pH (arterial)	Lactate [mg dl <sup>-1</sup> ]	MAP [mmHg]	S <sub>v</sub> O <sub>2</sub> [%]	On CARL	On ICU	In hospital	CPC
1	33.4	7.19	144	83	75	0	n.a.	0	5
2	32.5	6.97	249	67	62	0	n.a.	0	5
3	28.9	7.13	180	61	87	0	n.a.	0	5
4	33.0	7.47	39	66	86	2	5	12	1
5	31.9	7.06	137	60	86	1	1	1	5
6	34.2	7.36	86	80	73	4	33	39	1
7	33.9	7.42	26	89	88	2	9	23	1
8	33.1	7.39	59	55	86	2	2	2	5
9	32.8	7.36	40	95	93	3	7	12	1
10	33.0	7.49	59	65	89	3	11	15	1

CPC: cerebral performance category, ICU: intensive care unit, MAP: mean arterial pressure, n.a.: not applicable, S<sub>v</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: venous oxygen saturation, Temp: temperature.

declared dead in the emergency department after hospital admission due to therapy-limiting diagnoses (i.e. retroperitoneal bleeding and miscannulation). As mentioned above three of the remaining seven patients suffered from leg ischemia. All of these cases could be successfully treated by implementation of a six French distal perfusion catheter. No device related complication or technical malfunction appeared. The median venous blood temperature at hospital admission was 33.0°C [IQR: 32.6–33.3°C]. Early oxygen titration and invasive pressure monitoring enabled an individualized reperfusion strategy in each patient already out of hospital and resulted in a median arterial pressure of 66.5 mmHg [IQR: 62–82.2 mmHg], a mean venous oxygen saturation of 86.0% [IQR: 77.7–87.7%], an arterial pH of 7.36 [IQR: 7.14–7.41] and a median lactate of 72.5 mg dl<sup>-1</sup> [IQR: 44.7–142.2 mg dl<sup>-1</sup>] at hospital admission. All survivors showed an arterial pH above 7.3 at hospital admission. Five out of the 10 patients survived and were classified as cerebral performance category 1. The surviving patients stayed on intensive care unit for a median time of 9 days [IQR: 7–11 days] and were discharged from the hospital after a median of 15 days [IQR: 12–23 days].

## Conclusion

The application of the CARL<sup>®</sup> system in ECPR is feasible and enables an extended control of reperfusion parameters (i.e. arterial pressure, oxygen saturation and built-in blood gas analysis) in in- and out-of-hospital settings. Early monitoring of reperfusion parameters allows the ECPR team to pursue an individualized and targeted reperfusion strategy. We believe, that immediate targeted ECPR has the potential to improve outcomes in refractory CA, but this belief has still to be verified by randomized trials.

## Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: CB is CTO and shareholder of Resuscitec GmbH, a start-up company of the University Medical Center Freiburg. JP is part-time employee of Resuscitec GmbH. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## ORCID iDs

Jan-Steffen Pooth  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6882-2009>

Christoph Benk  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6933-0273>

Dirk Lunz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4913-0311>

## References

1. Gräsner J-T, Wnent J, Herlitz J, et al. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe - Results of the EuReCa TWO study. *Resuscitation* 2020; 148: 218–226.
2. Reynolds JC, Grunau BE, Rittenberger JC, et al. Association between duration of resuscitation and favorable outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: implications for prolonging or terminating resuscitation. *Circulation* 2016; 134: 2084–2094.
3. Yannopoulos D, Bartos J, Raveendran G, et al. Advanced reperfusion strategies for patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and refractory ventricular fibrillation (ARREST): a phase 2, single centre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2020; 396: 1807–1816.
4. Soar J, Böttiger BW, Carli P, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines 2021: adult advanced life support. *Resuscitation* 2021; 161: 115–151.
5. Lunz D, Calabrò L, Belliato M, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for refractory cardiac arrest: a retrospective multicenter study. *Intensive Care Med*. 2020, 46, 973–982, Epub ahead of print 12 February 2020. DOI: [10.1007/s00134-020-05926-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-05926-6)
6. Daniele SG, Trummer G, Hossmann KA, et al. Brain vulnerability and viability after ischaemia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2021; 22: 553–572.
7. Trummer G, Benk C, Beyersdorf F. Controlled automated reperfusion of the whole body after cardiac arrest. *J Thorac Dis* 2019; 11: S1464–S1470.
8. Trummer G, Supady A, Beyersdorf F, et al. Controlled automated reperfusion of the whole body after 120 minutes of cardiopulmonary resuscitation: first clinical report. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2017; 25: 66.
9. Beyersdorf F, Trummer G, Benk C, et al. Application of cardiac surgery techniques to improve the results of cardiopulmonary resuscitation after cardiac arrest – CARL: controlled automated reperfusion of the whole body. *JTCVS Open* 2021; 8, 47–52.
10. Michels G, Wengenmayer T, Hagl C, et al. Recommendations for extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (eCPR): consensus statement of DGIIN, DGK, DGTHG, DGfK, DGNI, DGAI, DIVI and GRC. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2019; 108: 455–464.
11. Schopka S, Philipp A, Hilker M, et al. Clinical course and long-term outcome following venoarterial extracorporeal life support-facilitated interhospital transfer of patients with circulatory failure. *Resuscitation* 2015; 93: 53–57.
12. Lunz D, Camboni D, Philipp A, et al. The ‘Weekend Effect’ in adult patients who receive extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation after in- and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resusc Plus* 2020; 4: 100044.
13. Petermichl W, Philipp A, Hiller K-A, et al. Reliability of prognostic biomarkers after prehospital extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation with target temperature management. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2021; 29: 147.