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Abstract: A new synthetic pathway to various mono-alkyl-substituted phosphinoboranes HRP–BH$_2$–NMe$_3$ has been developed. The new synthetic route starting from alkyl halides and NaPH$_2$ followed by metalation and salt metathesis is performed in a one-pot procedure and leads to higher yields and purity of the resulting phosphinoboranes, as compared to previously reported routes. Additionally, the scope of accessible compounds could be expanded from short-chained linear alkyl substituents to longer-chained linear alkyl substituents as well as secondary or functionalized alkyl substituents. The reported examples include primary alkyl-substituted phosphinoboranes RHP–BH$_2$–NMe$_3$ ($R = \text{n-butyl}, \text{n-pentyl}, \text{n-hexyl}; 1\text{a–c}$), the secondary alkyl-substituted derivatives $\text{iPrPH–BH}_2$–NMe$_3$ (2), and the functionalized alkyl-substituted 4-bromo-butyl-phosphinoborane ($\text{BrC}_4\text{H}_8$)PH–BH$_2$–NMe$_3$ (3). Compounds 1a, 1c, and 2 were additionally used for preliminary polymerization reactions via a thermal and a transition metal-catalyzed pathway, revealing the formation of high-molecular-weight polymers under certain conditions. Detailed investigations on the influence of temperature, concentration, substituents and reaction time on the respective polymerization reactions were performed.
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1. Introduction

Polymers are an integral part of our everyday lives, not only as plastics for daily use; they also play an essential role in industry $^{[1,2]}$. In addition to their plethora of useful material properties, the control of these properties is particularly crucial for their successful application. The structure within the material can be altered via modification after polymerization, or by modifying the starting material before polymerization. Thus, the properties of a polymer can be controlled $^{[3,4]}$.

In addition to organic polymers, inorganic main group polymers have also attracted increased attention due to a large number of specialized applications such as for ceramic or luminescent materials or in optoelectronics $^{[5–23]}$. Common synthetic routes towards such polymers are based on polycondensation or ring-opening polymerization processes. Of special interest are transition metal-based dehydrocoupling reactions, as they can lead to high-molecular-weight polymers under rather mild conditions $^{[24–35]}$. Polymers based on group 13 and 15 elements are an important class of compounds accessible via dehydrocoupling reactions $^{[34–44]}$. Due to the nonpolar nature of P–H bonds, usually, electron-withdrawing aryl substituents on the phosphorus atom are necessary for such reactions. Examples of alkyl-substituted polymers obtained through dehydrocoupling are limited $^{[39,41,44]}$. 

Our group was able to synthesize alkyl-substituted phosphorus-boron polymers through different procedures; the thermal elimination of the stabilizing Lewis base in phosphinoboranes of the type RHP-BH₂-NMe₃ (R = tBu, I; R = Me, II, Figure 1) leads to the formation of high-molecular-weight polymers [45,46]. Via this pathway, the formation of alkyl-substituted arsenic-boron oligomers has also been reported recently [47]. Moreover, the titanium-catalyzed polymerization of I under very mild conditions and with shorter reaction times was achieved (Figure 1) [48,49]. As yet, the scope of accessible polymers has been limited by the range of suitable starting materials, as only a few mono-alkyl-substituted phosphinoboranes have been reported so far; these are essential for accessing a broader variety of properties. Therefore, the search for a more generally applicable and improved synthetic route to alkyl-substituted phosphinoboranes is still ongoing.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{I} & \quad \text{22–40 °C} & \quad 48 \text{ h} \quad \rightarrow \quad [tBuPH\_BH₂]_n \\
\text{II} & \quad \text{50 °C} & \quad 20 \text{ h} \quad \rightarrow \quad [MePH\_BH₂]_n \\
\text{III} & \quad \text{20 °C, <1 h} & \quad 1\text{–}5 \text{ mol\% [Ti]} \quad \rightarrow \quad [tBuPH\_BH₂]_n
\end{align*}
\]

27,800–35,000 g/mol

up to 2,000 g/mol

20,700–35,400 g/mol

Figure 1. Reported examples of the polymerization of alkyl-substituted phosphinoboranes.

Herein, we report on a new synthetic procedure for the synthesis of alkyl-substituted phosphinoboranes which is applicable to a variety of primary alkyl substituents and to secondary and even functionalized alkyl residues. Furthermore, the obtained monomers were used as starting materials for the polymerization reactions initially studied. In particular, the influence of various conditions was investigated in detail.

2. Results and Discussion
2.1. General Synthetic Procedure

So far, alkyl-substituted phosphinoboranes have been synthesized via two different synthetic routes: either via the metatation of a phosphine and subsequent salt metathesis reaction (Figure 2, path A) [46], or via the formation of a phosphonium-borane salt by the reaction of PH₂-BH₂-NMe₃ with alkyl halides and subsequent deprotonation (Figure 2, path B) [45]. However, both methods have their disadvantages. Path A works very well for commercially available phosphines such as tBuPH₂, but otherwise a prior synthesis of the phosphine is necessary. The established laboratory scale synthesis of monoalkylated
phosphines may be considered elaborate, time-intensive and expensive. Additionally, the overall reaction is a rather waste-intensive process, and has a low atom efficiency.

Method B has so far only been reported for short-chained, primary alkyl substituents \( (R = \text{Me, Et, } n\text{Pr}) \), and is therefore rather limited in scope. Furthermore, the yield of the resulting phosphinoboranes is mediocre at best when moving beyond the methyl-substituted derivative (Figure 2).

In view of other possible reaction pathways, the synthesis of several monoalkyl phosphines from \( \text{PH}_3 \) and alkyl halides was reported \([50]\). However, the challenge of handling the highly toxic phosphine gas in a laboratory makes this method difficult for the synthesis of substituted phosphines as starting material for phosphinoboranes on a day-to-day basis. By replacing the phosphine gas with \( \text{NaPH}_3 \) and performing the alkylphosphine synthesis and the subsequent metatation of the phosphine by \( \text{NaNH}_2 \) in a one-pot procedure, the release of toxic gases can be reduced to a minimum. This step can be followed up by a simple salt metathesis of the resulting phosphane \( \text{NaRHP} \) with \( \text{IBH}_2\text{NMe}_3 \), leading directly to the desired phosphinoborane (Figure 3). Thus, with all starting materials readily available in gram scale and good yields, the products are accessible on a large scale.

Via this route, different phosphinoboranes can be obtained in medium-to-good yields as colorless oils. In some cases, synthesis and workup at low temperature are necessary to prevent unwanted thermal polymerization.

All obtained compounds revealed a broad singlet or pseudo-quartet in the \( ^{31}\text{P}[^1\text{H}] \) NMR spectra, which showed further splitting into a triplet in the \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectra. The chemical shifts for phosphinoboranes with primary alkyl substituents are about \( \delta = -130 \) ppm, for an \( \text{iPr} \) substituent at \( \delta = -92 \) ppm. Independent of the substituents, all compounds revealed similar \( ^1J_{\text{PB}} \) coupling constants of about 200 Hz. In the \( ^{11}\text{B}[^1\text{H}] \) NMR spectra, a doublet for all compounds was observed with very similar chemical shifts around \( \delta = -10 \) ppm and a \( ^1J_{\text{BH}} \) coupling constant of about 50 Hz. In the \( ^{11}\text{B} \) NMR spectra, all products revealed further splitting into a triplet of doublets with \( ^1J_{\text{BH}} \) couplings of ca.
100 Hz. All observed chemical shifts are in good agreement with the values for already-reported phosphinoboranes [45,46,51]. The most prominent side product observed was the dialkyl-substituted phosphinoborane, which can be suppressed by slow addition of the alkyl halide to NaPH$_2$ at a low temperature.

Some general trends were perceived; the synthesis of primary alkyl phosphinoboranes proceeded with good yields, with the $n$-butyl- and $n$-hexyl-substituted compounds being the highest, at 55%. Furthermore, while the formation of the most prominent side product, dialkyl-substituted phosphinoborane, was not observed for primary alkyl-substituted phosphinylboranes, a yield of about 15% for the $i$-Pr-substituted derivative could be substantiated. A similar decrease in yield and purity was observed for the formation of a bromoalkyl-substituted phosphinylborane.

2.2. Phosphinoboranes with Primary Alkyl Substituents

Expanding on the scope of the already reported MeHP-BH$_2$-NMe$_3$ derivative, further phosphinoboranes with primary alkyl substituents were investigated. To cover a variety of different features within this type of substituents, three different phosphinoboranes were prepared: the rather short-chained $n$PrHP-BH$_2$-NMe$_3$ (1a), the longer-chained $n$HexHP-BH$_2$-NMe$_3$ ($n$Hex = $n$-hexyl; 1c) and the linear isomer to the well-investigated $t$Bu derivative, $n$BuHP-BxH$_2$-NMe$_3$ (1b). All compounds were accessible via adjusted synthetic procedures of method B, starting from the unsubstituted H$_2$P-BH$_2$-NMe$_3$, but yields were rather moderate (for details, refer to Materials and Methods, and Supplementary Materials). Using the new method reported herein, the yields could be heavily increased, especially considering the phosphorus-based atom efficiency. Additionally, the reaction can be scaled up significantly compared to previous reports, offering improved access to these monomers as precursors for poly(phosphinoborane). The NMR chemical shifts as well as the yields of the compounds 1a–c obtained via this method and via adjusted literature methods are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Chemical shifts and yields of compounds 1a–c obtained using adjusted literature procedures and as reported in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Substituent</th>
<th>$\delta$ ($^{31}$P) $^{[a]}$</th>
<th>$\delta$ ($^{11}$B) $^{[a]}$</th>
<th>Yield $^{[b]}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>$n$-propyl</td>
<td>$-130.4$</td>
<td>$-3.2$</td>
<td>28%/29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>$n$-butyl</td>
<td>$-127.6$</td>
<td>$-4.0$</td>
<td>13%/54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>$n$-hexyl</td>
<td>$-128.7$</td>
<td>$-3.3$</td>
<td>32%/66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{[a]}$ in ppm in toluene solution; $^{[b]}$ adjusted literature procedure [46]/this work; both referring to NaPH$_2$.

Preliminary Investigations of 1a–c as Polymer Precursors

Polymerization experiments with compounds 1a–c were performed under varying conditions, both via thermal elimination of the stabilizing NMe$_3$ Lewis base as well as via catalytic conditions using the recently reported bispentafulvene [(η$^5$-η$^1$-C$_3$H$_4$C$_{10}$H$_{14}$)$_2$Ti] ([Ti]) catalyst [49,52]. Overall, the influence of temperature, reaction time, concentration and solvent as well as the presence and concentration of the catalyst were investigated to be discussed in the following.

For all three compounds, thermal polymerization at room temperature reveals comparably long reaction times. Under these conditions, several days of stirring are necessary to achieve a conversion of >50% of the starting material. Reaction times of more than two weeks lead to increasing amounts of decomposition as observed by new signals in the $^{11}$B and $^{31}$P NMR spectra, without any noteworthy increase in conversion rates. Already slightly elevated temperatures (323 K) lead to a significant decrease in the necessary reaction times, resulting in similar conversion rates in solution after only three to five days.

The concentration of the phosphinoborane has a strong influence on its polymerization behavior. In all cases, already noticeably shorter reaction times lead to full conversion for more concentrated systems. The best results were achieved when almost no solvent was used. Due to the increasing viscosity of the formed polymers, traces of toluene are always
necessary to still achieve full conversion; therefore, a completely neat approach is not feasible. When the phosphanylborane was heated in the presence of traces of toluene to 323 K, full conversion to a clean polymer was achieved within only two days of stirring. A clear trend emerged during the studies: The chain length of the substituent directly influences the reaction times; thus, with increasing chain length, reaction times also increased. Changing the solvent to more polar solvents such as THF or using mixtures of toluene and THF did not impact the reaction in a meaningful way.

When the polymerization reaction was performed in the presence of the recently reported bispentafulvene [Ti] catalyst [49,52], significant differences could be observed: The presence of the [Ti] catalyst leads to drastically reduced reaction times in the range of hours, but in all cases, the reaction stops at around 80% conversion. In this case, neither an increase of temperature nor longer reaction times lead to an improvement. Differences in catalyst loading, identified as an important factor in the [Ti]-catalyzed polymerization of tBuHPBH$_2$NMe$_3$, do not lead to any observable differences for the investigated reactions with compounds 1a–c. Along the lines of the thermal polymerization, the concentration of the phosphinoborane seems to be crucial for good conversions and fast reactions. The most significant reduction in the reaction time accompanied by higher conversion was observed when only traces of toluene were used as solvent. In contrast to the thermal polymerization of 1-c or the catalytic polymerization of tBuPHBH$_2$NMe$_3$, however, complete conversion was not observed for 1a–c under the applied conditions in the presence of the [Ti] catalyst.

From the primary alkyl-substituted monomers, the polymer obtained from 1c was selected to be characterized by ESI+ mass spectrometry in addition to multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. Whereas for the catalytic polymerization, only aggregates with a molar mass of up to 1700 Da (n = 12) could be detected, it was possible to observe peaks for polymers with up to 2700 Da (n = 20) for polymerization under thermal conditions (323 K, traces of toluene).

In both cases, polymers with three different end groups could be identified (Figure 4). Further investigation of the nature of these polymers considering polydispersity, tacticity and more detailed data on the end groups and chain lengths of the resulting polymers will be the focus of future work to give valuable insight into the nature of the resulting polymers.

2.3. Phosphinoboranes with Secondary Alkyl Substituents

Phosphinoboranes bearing a secondary alkyl substituent should position themselves as a promising starting material in terms of solubility, reactivity, and steric hinderance between phosphinoboranes with primary alkyl substituents such as $n$Pr and tertiary alkyl substituents such as tBu. However, the quest for a suitable phosphinoborane with such a secondary alkyl substituent is still ongoing, as the synthesis via method B for such substituents is not applicable, as reported. Adapting the reported method B using one equivalent of the Lewis acid AlCl$_3$ to activate the iso-propyl halide led to the formation of both the phosphonium borane salt and the corresponding phosphinoborane after a secondary deprotonation step.

Applying the synthetic procedure presented in this work allowed for a significant improvement; the additional Lewis acid was not needed, while improved purity and good yields were provided (Figure 5). The reaction showed almost no side reactions or decomposition, even less than those observed for 1a–c. The obtained phosphinoborane was characterized using multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, as discussed in the first part of the Results and Discussion section.
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Figure 5. Synthesis of an iPr-substituted phosphanylborane 2.

In contrast to other phosphinoboranes, the iPr-substituted phosphinoborane 2 revealed

a considerably lower tendency for polymerization. Thermal polymerization at room tem-

perature only led to minimal conversion, while [Ti]-based catalytic polymerization revealed

an unexpected behavior; similar to what was reported for the parent phosphinoborane [49],

the formation of polymeric species was not observed. Instead, a significant broadening

of the signal corresponding to 2 in the $^{31}$P NMR spectra was perceived, which increased

with higher catalyst load without any new signals emerging (Figure 6). Simultaneously,

an unusual color change to a deep purple was observed, indicating the potential formation of

a paramagnetic species. In the case of a stoichiometric reaction with the [Ti] complex, the

signal for compound 2 disappeared completely from the NMR spectra, but unfortunately,

no product could be isolated, regardless of numerous attempts.

Its unusual behavior in both the thermal and catalytic polymerization experiments

makes 2 a very interesting compound for future investigations, as both isolating a product

from the reaction with the [Ti] catalyst and applying different conditions for the thermal

polymerization, such as using more concentrated solutions as well as elevated temperatures,

led to more insights.
In contrast to other phosphinoboranes, the iPr-substituted phosphinoborane 2 ... should be possible by preforming the linkage in the monomer. Through the reaction of NaPH2 with an alkyldihalide with suitable chain length, a diphosphine and a subsequent diphosphinoborane are made accessible (Figure 7).

The first step of the reaction yields the corresponding diphosphine. The reaction proceeds with full conversion of the crude reaction mixture according to 31P NMR spectroscopy. In addition to the diphosphine, revealing a triplet at $\delta = -137.3$ ppm in the 31P NMR spectrum ($J_{PH} = 189$ Hz), bromobutylphosphine BrC4H8PH2 is formed as a minor side product. Upon metalation and reaction with IBH2NMe3, a very unselective reaction is observed, but in addition to unreacted diphosphine, two promising products can be identified: the bridged diphosphinoborane 3a and the phosphinebutylphosphinoborane 3b, which only reacted with one equivalent of NaNH2. A product ratio of 1:1 was found.

The two products were investigated via NMR spectroscopy. Compound 3a reveals a pseudo-quartet at $\delta = -68.8$ ppm in the 31P{1H} NMR spectrum, whereas for 3b, a similar signal is observed at $\delta = -128.1$ ppm. In both cases, coupling to the boron atom was observed with $J_{PB}$ coupling constants of 51 Hz. Both show further splitting as a doublet of multiplets in the 31P NMR spectrum with $J_{PH}$ coupling constants of approx. 44 Hz. For 3b, the signal for the unreacted PH2 group was identified as a triplet at $\delta = -138.7$ ppm with a $J_{PH}$ coupling constant of 189 Hz. In the 11B{1H} NMR spectrum, 3a revealed a broad doublet at $\delta = -3.9$ ppm and 3b a strongly broadened signal at $\delta = 4.5$ ppm. In the 11B NMR spectrum, both revealed further splitting with $J_{BH}$ coupling constants of 120 Hz (3a) and 112 Hz (3b), respectively. In addition, multiple decomposition products can be detected in the 11B NMR spectrum. Therefore, further tuning of the reaction conditions is
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**Figure 6.** Signal broadening in the 31P{1H} NMR spectra of the reaction solution of 2 in toluene in the presence of different amounts of [Ti] at 293 K.

2.4. Phosphinoboranes with Functionalized Alkyl Substituents

In the industrial application of polymers, crosslinking and other linked networks play an important role. Therefore, the investigation of phosphinoboranes with additional functionalized groups is of great interest.

Using well-developed phosphinoboranes with primary alkyl substituents allows us to access this kind of chemistry. Crosslinking should be possible by preforming the linkage in the monomer. Through the reaction of NaPH2 with an alkyldihalide with suitable chain length, a diphosphine and a subsequent diphosphinoborane are made accessible (Figure 7).

The first step of the reaction yields the corresponding diphosphine. The reaction proceeds with full conversion of the crude reaction mixture according to 31P NMR spectroscopy. In addition to the diphosphine, revealing a triplet at $\delta = -137.3$ ppm in the 31P NMR spectrum ($J_{PH} = 189$ Hz), bromobutylphosphine BrC4H8PH2 is formed as a minor side product. Upon metalation and reaction with IBH2NMe3, a very unselective reaction is observed, but in addition to unreacted diphosphine, two promising products can be identified: the bridged diphosphinoborane 3a and the phosphinebutylphosphinoborane 3b, which only reacted with one equivalent of NaNH2. A product ratio of 1:1 was found.
necessary to isolate clean diphosphinoborane from this reaction procedure, as purification of this reaction mixture was not possible within the scope of this work.

![Chemical structure](image)

**Figure 7.** Synthesis of a butyl-bridged diphosphinoborane 3a and the most prominent side product 3b.

### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. General Remarks

All reactions were performed in an argon or nitrogen inert-gas atmosphere using standard glove-box and Schlenk techniques. All solvents were taken from a solvent purification system of the type MB-SPS-800 from the company MBRAUN (Garching, Germany), and degassed via standard procedures. All nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer (Bruker Instruments, Ettlingen, Germany) (H: 400.13 MHz, C(1)H: 100.623 MHz, B: 128.387 MHz, P: 161.976 Hz) with δ [ppm] referenced to external standards (H and C(1)H): SiMe₄, B: BF₃·Et₂O, P: H₃PO₄). All mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass LCT ESI-TOF (Waters Corporation, Wexford, Ireland).

#### 3.2. Synthesis of nPrPHBH₂NMe₃ (1a), nBuPHBH₂NMe₃ (1b), and nHexPHBH₂NMe₃ (1c) by Adjusted Literature Procedures

Following the literature procedure for MePHBH₂NMe₃ [46], compounds 1a–1c were prepared by using nPrI, nBuI and nHexI as a replacement for MeI.

Yields (referred to NaPH₂):

1a: 72 mg (0.49 mmol, 28%).
1b: 57 mg (0.46 mmol, 13%).
1c: 125 mg (0.67 mmol, 38%).

#### 3.3. One-Pot Synthesis of nPrPHBH₂NMe₃ (1a), nBuPHBH₂NMe₃ (1b), nHexPHBH₂NMe₃ (1c)

For the preparation of 1b, an H-shaped Schlenk flask for condensation was filled with NaPH₂ (0.99 g, 17.6 mmol) on one side and NaNH₂ (700 mg, 17.9 mmol) on the other side. NaPH₂ was suspended in 5 mL tetrahydrofuran (THF) and the suspension cooled to 213 K. nBuI (2 mL, 3.24 g, 17.6 mmol) was added to the suspension and the mixture stirred for 16 h, while the solution was allowed to reach room temperature. The formation of a white
precipitate was observed. The resulting THF solution of \( nBuH_2 \) was then condensed under reduced pressure onto the solid NaNH$_2$ in the other half of the flask, which was cooled with liquid nitrogen. The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature under stirring. After stirring overnight, a yellow solution was obtained. A solution of IBH$_2$NMe$_3$ (3.8 g, 17.6 mmol) in THF was added to the yellow solution, and the mixture stirred overnight. A color change from yellow to colorless and the formation of a white precipitate were observed. After removing the solvent in vacuo, compound 1b was extracted with \( n \)-hexane and filtered over diatomaceous earth. After removing the solvent in vacuo, compound 1b was obtained as a colorless oil.

Compounds 1a and 1c were obtained via the same procedure, using nPrI and \( n \) HexI instead of \( n \) BuI, and with slightly different sample sizes.

Yield:

1a: \( m = 0.414 \) g (2.82 mmol, 29%)  
1b: \( m = 1.537 \) g (9.5 mmol, 54%)  
1c: \( m = 1.256 \) g (6.6 mmol, 66%)

1a: $^{31}\text{P}[1\text{H}]$ NMR (toluene, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -130.4 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 46 Hz); $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR (toluene, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -130.4 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 206 Hz, $^1J_{PB}$ = 48 Hz); $^{11}\text{B}[1\text{H}]$ NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -3.2 (d, $^1J_{PB}$ = 48 Hz); $^{11}$B NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -3.2 (t, $^1J_{PB}$ = 7 Hz, 3H, H, PH), 2.25–3.10 (q, 2H, $^6J_{PB}$ = 196 Hz, 1H, PH), 2.25–3.10 (q, 2H, $^6J_{PB}$ = 105 Hz, BH$_2$); $^{31}\text{P}[1\text{H}]$ NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -127.7 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 46 Hz); $^{11}$P NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -128.2 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 48 Hz); $^{31}\text{P}[1\text{H}]$ NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -128.2 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 48 Hz); $^{11}$B [1H] NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -4.1 (d, $^1J_{PB}$ = 48 Hz); $^{11}$B NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -4.1 (t, $^1J_{PB}$ = 105 Hz, $^1J_{PB}$ = 46 Hz).

1c: $^1\text{H}$ NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = 0.88 (t, $^3J_{HH}$ = 7 Hz, 3H, nHex-CH$_3$), 1.33 (m, 2H, nHex-CH$_2$), 1.54 (pent, 2H, nHex-CH$_2$), 1.64–1.90 (m, 4H, nHex-CH$_2$), 1.95 (s, 9H, NMe$_3$), 2.47 (m, $^3J_{PH}$ = 198 Hz, 1H, PH), 2.25–3.10 (q, 2H, $^6J_{PB}$ = 105 Hz, BH$_2$); $^{31}\text{P}[1\text{H}]$ NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -128.2 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 48 Hz); $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -128.2 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 48 Hz); $^{31}\text{P}[1\text{H}]$ NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -4.1 (d, $^1J_{PB}$ = 48 Hz); $^{11}$B NMR (C$_6$D$_6$, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -4.1 (t, $^1J_{HH}$ = 105 Hz, $^1J_{PB}$ = 46 Hz).

3.4. Synthesis of [iPrPH$_2$B$_2$NMe$_3$]AlCl$_3$I

To a solution of 253 mg (1.9 mmol) AlCl$_3$ in 10 mL Et$_2$O, a solution of 199 mg (1.9 mmol) PH$_2$B$_2$NMe$_3$ in 4 mL toluene was added. After stirring for 15 min at r.t., 0.19 mL (1.9 mmol) iPrI was added and the mixture was stirred for 40 h. All volatiles were removed in vacuo and a colorless oil was obtained. The product was washed with toluene (2 × 5 mL) at $-30$ °C and extracted with 2 × 5 mL THF and filtered over diatomaceous earth. After removing the solvent in vacuo, a colorless oil containing [iPrPH$_2$B$_2$NMe$_3$]AlCl$_3$I was obtained. Two side products could not be further identified. Further purification was not possible; therefore, no exact yield could be determined.

$^{31}\text{P}[1\text{H}]$ NMR (toluene, THF, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -64.4 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 65 Hz); $^{31}$P NMR (toluene, THF, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -64.4 (q, $^1J_{PB}$ = 416 Hz); $^{11}$B [1H] NMR (toluene, THF, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -11.6 (m); $^{11}$B NMR (toluene, THF, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = -11.6 (m).

3.5. Synthesis of iPrPH$_2$B$_2$NMe$_3$ (2)

3.5.1. From [iPrPH$_2$B$_2$NMe$_3$]AlCl$_3$I

To a solution of 51 mg (0.475 mmol) lithiumdiisopropylamide (LDA) in 5 mL THF, a solution of the product mixture of [iPrPH$_2$B$_2$NMe$_3$]AlCl$_3$I in 3 mL CH$_3$CN was added at $-243$ K. After stirring for 30 min at 243 K, all volatiles were removed in vacuo at 243 K. The product was extracted with 3 × 4 mL \( n \)-hexane and filtered over diatomaceous earth. After removing the solvent in vacuo, 2 was obtained as a colorless oil. This oil could not be further purified; thus, no yield could be determined.
3.5.2. From a One Pot Synthesis

For the preparation of 2, an H-shaped Schlenk flask for condensation was filled with NaPH₂ (560 mg, 10 mmol) on one side and NaNH₂ (390 mg, 10 mmol) on the other side. NaPH₂ was suspended in 5 mL THF and the suspension cooled to 213 K. iPrH (2 mL, 760 mg, 10 mmol) was added to the suspension and the mixture stirred for 16 h, while the solution was allowed to reach room temperature. The formation of a white precipitate was observed. After stirring overnight, a yellow solution was obtained. A solution of IBH₂NMe₅ (2.0 g, 10 mmol) in THF was added to the yellow solution and the mixture was stirred overnight. A color change from yellow to colorless and the formation of a white precipitate was observed. After removing the solvent in vacuo, compound 2 was extracted with hexane and filtered over diatomaceous earth. After removing the solvent in vacuo, compound 2 was obtained as a colorless oil.

Yield: 529 mg (3.6 mmol, 36%). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (toluene, 293 K): δ [ppm] = −92.5 (q, ¹J_ph = 49 Hz); ³¹P NMR (toluene, 293 K): δ [ppm] = −92.5 (dq, ¹J_ph = 203 Hz, ¹J_pb = 49 Hz); ¹¹B{¹H}-NMR (toluene, 293 K) δ = −4.3 (d, ¹J_ph = 49 Hz); ¹¹B-NMR (toluene, 293 K) δ = −4.3 (td, ¹J_ph = 203 Hz, ¹J_pb = 49 Hz).

3.6. Synthesis of Me₃NBH₃P₂H₂C₄H₈PH₂BH₂NMe₅ (3a) and H₂PC₆H₆PH₂BH₂NMe₅ (3b)

For the preparation of 3a and 3b, an H-shaped Schlenk flask for condensation was filled with NaPH₂ (560 mg, 10 mmol) on one side and NaNH₂ (390 mg, 10 mmol) on the other side. NaPH₂ was suspended in 20 mL THF and the suspension cooled to 213 K. 1,4-dibromobutane (0.59 mL, 1.1 g, 5 mmol) was added to the suspension and the mixture stirred for 16 h, while the solution was allowed to reach room temperature. The formation of a white precipitate was observed. The resulting THF solution was then condensed onto the solid NaNH₂ in the other half of the flask. The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature under stirring. After stirring for 4 h while slowly warming up to room temperature, a yellow solution was obtained. A solution of IBH₂NMe₅ (2.0 g, 10 mmol) in THF was added to the yellow solution and the mixture was stirred overnight. A color change from yellow to colorless and the formation of a white precipitate was observed. After removing the solvent in vacuo, compounds 3a and 3b were extracted with hexane and filtered over diatomaceous earth. After removing the solvent in vacuo, the mixture of 3a and 3b was obtained as a colorless oil.

3a: ³¹P{¹H} NMR (toluene, 293 K): δ [ppm] = −68.8 (q, ¹J_ph = 51 Hz); ³¹P NMR (toluene, 293 K): δ [ppm] = −68.8 (dq, ¹J_ph = 192 Hz, ¹J_pb = 51 Hz); ¹¹B{¹H}-NMR (toluene, 293 K) δ = −3.9 (d, ¹J_ph = 51 Hz); ¹¹B-NMR (toluene, 293 K) δ = −3.9 (t, ¹J_H,B = 120 Hz).

3b: ³¹P{¹H} NMR (toluene, 293 K): δ [ppm] = −128.1 (q, ¹J_ph = 51 Hz, ¹J_pH = 120 Hz), −138.7 (s, ¹J_pH = 189 Hz, ¹J_pH = 120 Hz); ³¹P NMR (toluene, 293 K): δ [ppm] = −128.1 (dq, ¹J_pH = 203 Hz, ¹J_pb = 51 Hz), −138.7 (t, ¹J_pH = 189 Hz, ¹J_pH = 120 Hz); ¹¹B{¹H}-NMR (toluene, 293 K) δ = 4.5 (br); ¹¹B-NMR (toluene, 293 K) δ = −4.5 (t, ¹J_H,B = 112 Hz).

3.7. Polymerization Experiments

3.7.1. Of 1a

A solution of 1a in toluene was stirred under different conditions, either in the presence or absence of [(η⁵:η¹-C₃H₄C₁₀H₁₄)₂]⁺Tl⁺ ([Tl⁺]). Details are summarized in Table 2.

3.7.2. Of 1b under Catalytic Conditions

A solution of 1b (9.5 mmol mmol, 1.54 g) in 19 mL toluene was added to [(η⁵:η¹-C₃H₄C₁₀H₁₄)₂]⁺Tl⁺ (0.475 mmol, 211 mg, 5 mol%, [Tl⁺]) and stirred for 21 d at room temperature. In the presence of [Tl⁺], a color change to first green and then brown was observed. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the remaining highly viscous dark brown oil was washed three times with cold n-pentane.

Conversion: 90%.
$^{31}$P [$^1$H] NMR (toluene, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = −63.3 (br, poly-1b); $^{31}$P NMR (toluene, 293 K): $\delta$ [ppm] = −63.3 (br, poly-1b); $^{11}$B [$^1$H] NMR (toluene, 293 K) $\delta$ = −38.0 (br, poly-1b); $^{11}$B NMR (toluene, 293 K) $\delta$ = −38.0 (br, poly-1b).

Table 2. Conditions used in polymerization experiments of 1a, catalyst: [($\eta^5$-$\eta^1$-C$_5$H$_4$C$_{10}$H$_{14}$)$_2$Ti] ([Ti]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst Loading</th>
<th>Reaction Time</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Concentration 1a [mol/L]</th>
<th>Conversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mol%</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mol%</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mol%</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mol%</td>
<td>210 min</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mol%</td>
<td>42 h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.7.3. Of 1c

A solution of 1c in toluene was stirred under different conditions, either in the presence or absence of [($\eta^5$-$\eta^1$-C$_5$H$_4$C$_{10}$H$_{14}$)$_2$Ti] ([Ti]). Details are summarized in Table 3. In the presence of [Ti], a color change to first green and then brown was observed.

Table 3. Conditions used in polymerization experiments of 1c, catalyst: [($\eta^5$-$\eta^1$-C$_5$H$_4$C$_{10}$H$_{14}$)$_2$Ti] ([Ti]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst Loading</th>
<th>Reaction Time</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Concentration 1c [mol/L]</th>
<th>Conversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>16 h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>16 h</td>
<td>323 K</td>
<td>Neat [a]</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>40 h</td>
<td>323 K</td>
<td>Neat [a]</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mol%</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mol%</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 mol%</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 mol%</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 mol%</td>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 mol%</td>
<td>21 d</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Traces of toluene/n-hexane are necessary to provide suitable viscosity during the reaction.

3.7.4. Of 2

A solution of 2 in toluene (c = 0.2 mol/L) was stirred for 90 min either in the absence or in the presence of [($\eta^5$-$\eta^1$-C$_5$H$_4$C$_{10}$H$_{14}$)$_2$Ti] (5 mol% or 10 mol%, [Ti]), and monitored via $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy. In the presence of [Ti], an unusual color change to purple was observed, having turned to brown after several days.

To further investigate this system, a solution of dPrPHBH$_2$NMe$_3$ (29 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 0.27 mL toluene was added to a solution of [($\eta^5$-$\eta^1$-C$_5$H$_4$C$_{10}$H$_{14}$)$_2$Ti] (90 mg, 0.2 mmol, [Ti]) in 3 mL toluene. A color change to first blue-green, then green–purple and ultimately red-brown was observed. The solution was stirred for 16 h at r.t. and reduced to 0.75 mL. By precipitating in 40 mL CH$_3$CN, a gray-green solid was obtained. However, all attempts to isolate intermediates or characterize the products of this reaction have failed up to now.

4. Conclusions

Substituted phosphinoboranes are important precursors for functionalized inorganic polymers. Therefore, having a flexible synthetic route to producing phosphinoboranes with a variety of different substituents is of great value. With the new approach presented in this work, various primary alkyl-substituted phosphinoboranes are accessible in high purity and yields. The resulting compounds RPHBH$_2$NMe$_3$ (1a–c, R = nPr, nBu, nHex)
were used as starting materials for thermal and [Ti] catalyzed polymerization reactions. All three compounds revealed promising properties in these polymerizations, leading to the formation of polymers with up to 30 repetition units. These systems allowed us to investigate in detail the influence of various factors such as concentration, temperature, reaction time, and catalyst loading. Thus, it was possible to identify the best conditions for these polymerizations at elevated temperatures in very concentrated solutions, mostly independent of the catalyst concentration.

Furthermore, two new phosphinoborane derivatives were investigated: A phosphinoborane with an iPr substituent as an example of a secondary phosphino-alkyl residue, and a diphosphinoborane system bridged by a butyl chain. They were synthesized via the reaction of the respective alkylhalides, isopropylidide or dibromobutane with NaPH₂, followed by a subsequent metalation with NaNH₂ and additional salt metathesis with IBH₂NMe₃. In addition to being inaccessible via established synthetic routes up to date, both the iPr-substituted phosphinoborane 2 and the butyl-bridged diphosphinoborane 3a revealed surprising and interesting properties distinguishing them from already reported compounds. The detailed investigation of the features of these compounds as well as their potential as precursors for polymers will be the focus of future work.
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