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#### Abstract

We present an exploratory study performing distant viewing via computer vision methods in the genre of fantasy movies. As a case study we use 10 modern fantasy movies of the Harry Potter franchise (also referred to as Wizarding World franchise). We apply methods and state-of-the-art models for color and brightness analysis, object detection, location classification as well as facial emotion recognition. We present descriptive results as well as inference statistics. Furthermore, we discuss the results and the quality of the methods for this unique use case and give examples. We were able to find significant differences in our statistical analysis in the results of the methods across the movies with the movies of the Harry Potter series getting darker and negative emotional expressions on faces becoming more frequent.
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## 1. Introduction

Digital film analysis has gained a lot of interest and popularity in digital humanities (DH) in recent years. Although movies are a multimodal medium, research often focuses on one specific modality. A lot of research uses the text channel as it is more accessible and methods are more established in $\mathrm{DH}[1,2,3]$. However, due to advances in machine learning and computer vision (CV), scholars have also started investigating the visual image channel of movies, for example, to analyze shot lengths [4], colors [5, 6, 7], contrast [8] or sentiment [9, 10]. However, current CV methods offer possibilities beyond basic visual parameters like the method of object detection which has been used in DH for various tasks [11, 12, 13, 14] and emotion recognition which has been used in theater studies [15]. To get a larger overview of potential CV methods and tools, we recommend the survey paper by Pustu-Iren et al. [16].

To give this research branch a theoretical grounding, Arnold and Tilton [11] defined the term "distant viewing" for this kind of computational quantitative analysis of movies and other video

[^0]material in DH. In this paper, we extend previous work on five case studies [14] and present a project in the line of distant viewing research for the specific case study of modern "fantasy" movies, more precisely 10 cinema movies of the Wizarding World (Harry Potter) franchise. We selected various popular CV methods and applied them on the movies: Color and brightness analysis, object detection, location classification and emotion recognition. Our approach is predominantly exploratory. We investigate if these methods uncover certain characteristics of the movies that can be validated statistically and if we can identify diachronic developments across the movies with the metrics given by the CV methods (similar to research on websites by [17]). By doing so, we want to reflect upon the advantages, disadvantages and limitations of the specific methods for digital film studies and which methods to pursue for further research.

## 2. Corpus and Preprocessing

The movie corpus for our analysis, consists of ten released movies of the Wizarding World franchise, consisting of the two subseries Harry Potter and Fantastic Beasts. The Harry Potter Series is based on J.K. Rowling's books of the same title, and follows the eponymous Harry Potter, a student at Hogwarts School of Witchcraft and Wizardry, on his journey of coming of age and his fight against the main antagonist Voldemort. In 2016 a new series in the Wizarding World franchise begun with Fantastic Beasts and Where to Find Them and continued with the release of Fantastic Beasts: The Crimes of Grindelwald in 2018. In general, the movies are prototypical for the fantasy genre.

The titles, short titles, and abbreviations (as we use them in this paper), release years, directors as well as the run times of the movies are shown in table 1 . All movies have a frame rate of 25 frames per second with each frame having 32 bits per sample and a $720 \times 576$ resolution. The technical prerequisites of all CV methods are met. As a sample for our analysis we regard one frame per second of each movie. Therefore, we extracted a single frame for every second of the movie, keeping its temporal integrity, while reducing the data we process drastically. We do regard this sample as sufficient and representative of the movies. The number of frames we effectively worked with is presented in the column "frames" in table 1. Overall, we collected 77,192 frames which we will refer to as the corpus.

Considering the results, we will first present descriptive data and then inference statistics via significance tests for the methods we gathered numeric data. As significance test, we performed a one-way Welch's ANOVA except for one setting with nominal data for which we use Pearson's chi-squared test. Our data meets all necessary requirements for these test. We speak of significant differences for $p<0.05$ and refer to Cohen ([18]) to interpret the effect in the case of ANOVAs. Cohen defines $\eta^{2}>0.01$ as weak, $>0.06$ as moderate and $>0.14$ as strong effect. Furthermore, while we did not perform rigorous systematic evaluations, we will report upon the general impression about the quality of the methods.

| Title | Year | Director | Runtime (mins.) | Frames |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harry Potter and the Philosopher's Stone <br> (Harry Potter 1; HP1) | 2001 | Chris Columbus | 152 | 8,293 |
| Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrets <br> (Harry Potter 2; HP2) | 2002 | Chris Columbus | 161 | 8,606 |
| Harry Potter and the Prisoner of Azkaban <br> (Harry Potter 3; HP3) | 2004 | Alfonso Cuarón | 142 | 7,465 |
| Harry Potter and the Goblet of Fire <br> (Harry Potter 4; HP4) | 2005 | Mike Newell | 157 | 8,270 |
| Harry Potter and the Order of the Phoenix <br> (Harry Potter 5; HP5) | 2007 | David Yates | 138 | 7,388 |
| Harry Potter and the Half-Blood Prince <br> (Harry Potter 6; HP6) | 2009 | David Yates | 153 | 8,278 |
| Harry Potter and the Deathly Hallows - Part 1 <br> (Harry Potter 7; HP7) | 2010 | David Yates | 146 | 7,750 |
| Harry Potter and the Deathly Hallows - Part 2 <br> (Harry Potter 8; HP8) | 2011 | David Yates | 130 | 6,791 |
| Fantastic Beasts and Where to Find Them <br> (Fantastic Beasts; FB1) | 2016 | David Yates | 133 | 7,147 |
| Fantastic Beasts: The Crimes of Grindelwald <br> (Fantastic Beasts 2; FB2) | 2018 | David Yates | 134 | 7,204 |

Table 1
General information on the movie corpus. We extracted one frame per second for each movie.

## 3. Color Analysis

### 3.1. Approach

We analyzed the movies' visual parameters color and brightness using OpenCV [19]. For the color analysis, we focus on "movie barcodes", a method already applied in color analysis for digital film studies [5, 6, 7]. To get an average color value for each frame, we imported the frames as arrays of RGB-values and calculated a mean value for all three color-channels over all pixels. These mean color values extracted per frame can be utilized to visualize the movies by generating a so-called "movie barcode", in which each frame is represented by a vertical line of its mean color [5]. The barcodes can be used to view the movies from a distance and let us perceive the diachronic progression of colors across a movie and multiple movies.

### 3.2. Results

The movie barcodes show significant artistic scenes considering color usage (fig. 1): For example, the light blue strips in the middle of HP3 consist of scenes playing in winter; the large field of light in the otherwise rather dark HP8 is due to a specific scene in which Harry Potter spends time in a state of limbo. The movie barcodes show a lot of warm browns and beige tones in the first two Harry Potter movies as well as larger areas of dark blue, green and cyan colors in HP3. Overall, the movies tend to get darker and less colorful which is in line with the plot of the movies getting more serious and less light-hearted. Reflecting upon the benefits of this method, we conclude that movie barcodes do offer an interesting analysis method for the overall style


Figure 1: "Movie barcodes" for all movies (HP1-HP8, FB1-FB2, from top to bottom).
and presentation of a movie. However, the limitation is that the analysis is done in a rather qualitative way consisting of interpretation of the barcodes which is always a process that is prone to subjectivity.

| movie | mean | SD | median | max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HP1 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.91 |
| HP2 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.98 |
| HP3 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.96 |
| HP4 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.85 |
| HP5 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.97 |
| HP6 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.87 |
| HP7 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.81 |
| HP8 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.96 |
| FB1 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.97 |
| FB2 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.94 |
| Overall | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.98 |

Table 2
Descriptive statistics for brightness for each movie and overall. Mean is the average across all frames, SD is the standard deviation, max the maximum.

## 4. Brightness Analysis

### 4.1. Approach

We calculated the brightness value for each frame by converting it to a grayscale image and then calculating the mean value over all pixels representing the image's brightness on a scale of 0 to 1 (with 0 being a solid black and 1 being a solid white image).

### 4.2. Results

Table 2 summarizes the statistics for the brightness values. The highest brightness value can be found for HP1 $(M=0.19)$ and the lowest for HP7 $(M=0.10)$. Indeed the brightness becomes consistently lower throughout the series. The Fantastic Beasts movies are of average brightness. We performed a one-way Welch's ANOVA to assess the significance of the difference among the movies. We did receive a significant result ( $\mathrm{F}=680.21, p<0.001$ ). Post-hoc tests (using Holm correction to adjust $p$ ) showed the largest effects regarding the difference between HP1 and HP6 ( $\eta^{2}=0.15$ ), and HP1 and HP7 $\left(\eta^{2}=0.16\right)$, which are large effects according to Cohen ([18]).

These results are in line with the plot of the movie becoming more serious and darker. Thus, with the brightness analysis and the inference statistics we show that more recent movies differ significantly to the older movies considering this metric although the absolute values are rather similar. Subsequently, we see brightness analysis as a beneficial method for digital film studies. However, it is hard to point to specific scenes and frames since the summarized value is an overall calculation over all frames of a movie. Nevertheless, we can also look for maximum values to find interesting stylistic scenes and frames for in-depth analysis (e.g. fig 2).


Figure 2: Frame with the highest brightness value in HP3. (0.94)

## 5. Object Detection

### 5.1. Approach

Object detection is the task to predict object classes and their positions in images. We performed object detection with the Detectron2 API ${ }^{1}$ [20] which is regarded as state-of-the-art for object detection. We used a mask-RCNN model pretrained on the well-known COCO dataset [21]. The model can predict 80 common everyday objects like cars, animals or furniture. The predictor takes frames as input and delivers the detected object, its respective location mask, and the confidence of the prediction on a scale of 0 to 1 . We set the threshold for the confidence score to 0.5 for a prediction. This rather low value allows for an exploratory assessment of the results, while cutting off the model's too uncertain predictions. To compare the movies regarding the objects occurring in them, we counted the objects for every frame and summed up the total number of occurrences for each object over all frames. Additionally, we calculated the percentage of frames an object is detected in.

### 5.2. Results

To analyze the results of this method we focus on frequency distributions across movies. Tables 3,4 and 5 illustrate the 10 most frequently detected objects for each movie and overall. The overall impression is that the distributions are rather homogeneous. Persons are the most frequent objects in all movies by a wide margin which is likely a general characteristic of movies (fig. 3). Other common objects are ties (as they are part of the school uniforms), chairs and books. Objects that uncover specific characteristics of the movies are rare except for the suitcase object in FB1 (see table 5). This object does appear in a high frequency for this movie since it is an important part of the protagonist and the plot in general.

We did not perform exact evaluations but we analyzed the detection results heuristically by scanning through multiple examples across all movies. We gained the impression that the person detection and the detection of furniture does work quite accurate. However, we did

[^1]

Figure 3: Frame with the most frequent persons as determined by the object detection (HP2).
identify problems with objects in the movies that are not part of the COCO-class set. For example, many of the detected animals are actually fantasy creatures for which (of course) no predefined class is set in the used model (fig. 4). On the other hand, we also identified false classifications for objects that are in the model but actually not part of the movies like wands being classified as smartphones. While all these problems are understandable, we conclude from this that the method of object detection has its greatest potential when adapting the models to the unique domain of a movie genre so that the model does deal with the objects that are important for the specific genre.


Figure 4: Frame with a fantasy creature "falsely" classified as cat by the object detection (FB1).

| Harry Potter 1 |  |  | Harry Potter 2 |  |  | Harry Potter 3 |  | Harry Potter 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| object | $\#$ | \% | object | $\#$ | \% | object | $\#$ | \% | object | $\#$ | \% |
| person | 23,138 | $88.0 \%$ | person | 23,839 | $87.5 \%$ | person | 22,842 | $82.1 \%$ | person | 31,750 | $83.8 \%$ |
| tie | 2,941 | $19.5 \%$ | tie | 3,508 | $25.8 \%$ | tie | 2,536 | $15.6 \%$ | tie | 2,693 | $17.3 \%$ |
| chair | 824 | $6.6 \%$ | book | 2,459 | $4.2 \%$ | chair | 1,140 | $9.7 \%$ | chair | 598 | $5.7 \%$ |
| book | 820 | $2.3 \%$ | chair | 1,050 | $8.6 \%$ | book | 586 | $3.8 \%$ | book | 307 | $1.9 \%$ |
| cup | 492 | $3.3 \%$ | vase | 356 | $3.2 \%$ | bottle | 498 | $4.3 \%$ | handbag | 299 | $3.3 \%$ |
| dining table | 297 | $2.7 \%$ | cup | 325 | $2.8 \%$ | bird | 430 | $4.3 \%$ | bottle | 244 | $1.8 \%$ |
| bird | 284 | $2.1 \%$ | dog | 298 | $3.3 \%$ | dining table | 430 | $4.1 \%$ | horse | 219 | $2.4 \%$ |
| horse | 284 | $3.2 \%$ | bottle | 230 | $2.1 \%$ | cup | 396 | $3.9 \%$ | cup | 201 | $1.7 \%$ |
| wine glass | 245 | $2.1 \%$ | handbag | 223 | $2.4 \%$ | horse | 320 | $0.3 \%$ | dog | 195 | $2.3 \%$ |
| vase | 211 | $2.2 \%$ | dining table | 218 | $2.1 \%$ | wine glass | 302 | $2.4 \%$ | wine glass | 193 | $1.7 \%$ |

Table 3
Distribution of top 10 detected objects for each movie (part 1). \# is the absolute frequency for this object class. $\%$ is the percentage of frames containing the specific object at least once.

| Harry Potter 5 Harry Potter 6 |  |  |  | Harry Potter 7 |  |  |  | Harry Potter 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| object | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | object | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | object | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | object | $\#$ | \% |
| person | 25,984 | $87.9 \%$ | person | 19,377 | $82.3 \%$ | person | 17,762 | $84.9 \%$ | person | 21,739 | $83.7 \%$ |
| tie | 3,491 | $23.5 \%$ | book | 1,716 | $4.3 \%$ | chair | 1,612 | $9.6 \%$ | tie | 1,315 | $8.5 \%$ |
| chair | 1,026 | $9.5 \%$ | tie | 1,637 | $14.1 \%$ | book | 1,257 | $3.2 \%$ | chair | 328 | $3.9 \%$ |
| cup | 749 | $5.6 \%$ | cup | 1,210 | $6.5 \%$ | tie | 906 | $9.2 \%$ | book | 207 | $1.4 \%$ |
| bottle | 644 | $3.5 \%$ | chair | 1,144 | $9.2 \%$ | dining table | 479 | $3.3 \%$ | handbag | 155 | $2.2 \%$ |
| book | 326 | $3.4 \%$ | bowl | 634 | $4.0 \%$ | bottle | 338 | $2.5 \%$ | bottle | 148 | $1.3 \%$ |
| handbag | 315 | $3.4 \%$ | wine glass | 603 | $4.3 \%$ | cup | 274 | $2.6 \%$ | horse | 135 | $1.7 \%$ |
| dining table | 302 | $3.3 \%$ | dining table | 589 | $5.4 \%$ | bird | 265 | $1.0 \%$ | cup | 112 | $1.6 \%$ |
| vase | 301 | $3.3 \%$ | vase | 466 | $4.6 \%$ | wine glass | 252 | $1.7 \%$ | dog | 108 | $1.2 \%$ |
| wine glass | 269 | $2.6 \%$ | bottle | 442 | $3.9 \%$ | car | 229 | $1.3 \%$ | wine glass | 90 | $0.7 \%$ |

Table 4
Distribution of top 10 detected objects for each movie (part 2).

| Fantastic Beasts 1 |  |  |  | Fantastic Beasts 2 |  |  | Overall |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| object | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | object | $\#$ | \% | object | $\#$ | \% |  |
| person | 21,070 | $87.6 \%$ | person | 20,961 | $86.2 \%$ | person | 228,462 | $85.4 \%$ |  |
| tie | 3,850 | $35.6 \%$ | tie | 3,611 | $29.6 \%$ | tie | 26,488 | $19.8 \%$ |  |
| chair | 947 | $10.2 \%$ | chair | 1,730 | $11.7 \%$ | chair | 10,399 | $8.4 \%$ |  |
| book | 713 | $4.1 \%$ | book | 634 | $3.2 \%$ | book | 9,025 | $3.2 \%$ |  |
| cup | 456 | $3.8 \%$ | bottle | 390 | $3.0 \%$ | cup | 4,481 | $3.4 \%$ |  |
| handbag | 297 | $3.6 \%$ | dining table | 263 | $2.4 \%$ | bottle | 3,295 | $2.5 \%$ |  |
| suitcase | 277 | $3.4 \%$ | cup | 230 | $2.5 \%$ | dining table | 3,021 | $2.9 \%$ |  |
| bottle | 270 | $2.1 \%$ | handbag | 227 | $2.8 \%$ | wine glass | 2,469 | $2.1 \%$ |  |
| wine glass | 220 | $1.6 \%$ | Dog | 221 | $2.7 \%$ | vase | 2,317 | $2.5 \%$ |  |
| dining table | 200 | $2.5 \%$ | Vase | 209 | $2.4 \%$ | handbag | 2,295 | $2.6 \%$ |  |

Table 5
Distribution of top 10 detected objects for each movie (part 3) and overall.

## 6. Location Classification

### 6.1. Approach

Location classification (also often called place or scene classification) does not refer to the geographical location of an image but the overall setting which an image depicts, e.g. a forest,

| movie | indoor | outdoor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HP1 | $78.9 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| HP2 | $84.2 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| HP3 | $60.5 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ |
| HP4 | $75.1 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ |
| HP5 | $82.8 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| HP6 | $85.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| HP7 | $70.2 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| HP8 | $75.4 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ |
| FB1 | $73.7 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| FB2 | $74.8 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ |
| Overall | $76.3 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ |

Table 6
Distribution of frames classified as predominantly indoor or outdoor for each movie and overall.
an indoor-room, a street etc. To detect locations and the setting of a scene, we used places $365^{2}$, which offers a residual neural network (ResNet) pretrained on the Places2 ${ }^{3}$ dataset [22]. The ResNet can predict 365 location categories, including rather exotic ones like "airfields" or "zen gardens" based on what the overall image resembles the most. The 365 classes are structured in a hierarchical order summing up to differ between indoor and outdoor on the highest level. Using the model on preprocessed images yields the most likely location as well as the prediction confidence on a scale of 0 to 1 . The default mode of the location classifier is assigning every image with the most likely location, but the probabilities of these predictions are often very low. Therefore, we introduced a threshold of 0.7 to keep only rather certain predictions of the model. This resulted in 14,263 classified frames ( $18.5 \%$ of all frames). For each movie we summed up the number of times the location is predicted and calculated the percentage of frames it is detected in. Additionally, we categorized each frame into the groups indoor and outdoor, using the model's 5 most likely predictions and majority voting.

### 6.2. Results

First, table 6 presents the distribution of frames classified as rather indoor and outdoor for all movies. We can consistently identify that the majority of frames across all movies are classified as indoor. This is in line with the content of the movies that usually take place inside of a castle. We performed a Pearson's chi-squared test, which showed significant differences between the movies ( $\chi^{2}=243.9, p<0.001$ ). The effect size measured by Cramér's V ( 0.13 ) shows a weak effect ([18]). We can see that the indoor-percentage decreases for the last two movies which makes sense plot-wise since the main characters travel throughout the movies. However, the large outdoor-percentage for HP3 is mostly due to misclassifications. This movie is shot with a lot of blue lightning and effects due to artistic reasons which are constantly misclassified as underwater (see fig. 5).

Table 7, 8 and 9 illustrate the distribution of the subcategories across all movies. Similar to
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Figure 5: Frame falsely classified as underwater (HP3).

| Harry Potter 1 |  |  | Harry Potter 2 |  |  | Harry Potter 3 |  | Harry Potter 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| location | $\#$ | \% | location | $\#$ | \% | location | $\#$ | \% | location | \# | \% |
| jail cell | 235 | $21.1 \%$ | jail cell | 498 | $37.8 \%$ | jail cell | 557 | $50.1 \%$ | jail cell | 375 | $27.7 \%$ |
| catacomb | 229 | $20.6 \%$ | catacomb | 291 | $22.1 \%$ | catacomb | 68 | $6.1 \%$ | catacomb | 348 | $25.7 \%$ |
| nursing home | 62 | $5.6 \%$ | archive | 72 | $5.5 \%$ | elevator shaft | 65 | $5.8 \%$ | aquarium | 116 | $8.6 \%$ |
| aquarium | 55 | $4.9 \%$ | pub/ indoor | 63 | $4.8 \%$ | ocean deep | 50 | $4.5 \%$ | ocean deep | 73 | $5.4 \%$ |
| stage/ indoor | 45 | $4.0 \%$ | elevator shaft | 51 | $3.9 \%$ | aquarium | 41 | $3.7 \%$ | discotheque | 59 | $4.4 \%$ |
| staircase | 41 | $3.7 \%$ | aquarium | 31 | $2.4 \%$ | sky | 37 | $3.3 \%$ | elevator shaft | 40 | $3.0 \%$ |
| elevator shaft | 39 | $3.5 \%$ | bookstore | 29 | $2.2 \%$ | train interior | 24 | $2.2 \%$ | sky | 39 | $2.9 \%$ |
| conference center | 36 | $3.2 \%$ | sky | 21 | $1.6 \%$ | staircase | 21 | $1.9 \%$ | auditorium | 20 | $1.5 \%$ |
| archive | 23 | $2.1 \%$ | hospital room | 20 | $1.5 \%$ | hospital room | 20 | $1.8 \%$ | throne room | 18 | $1.3 \%$ |
| sky | 22 | $2.0 \%$ | Slum | 15 | $1.1 \%$ | crevasse | 18 | $1.6 \%$ | staircase | 18 | $1.3 \%$ |

Table 7
Distribution of top 10 detected locations for each movie (part 1).
the object detection, the distribution is overall homogeneous. However, the detected classes are often rather exotic. The frequent jail classifications are surprising. While some scenes do play in jails, most of these classifications are due to the lattice-like windows in the Hogwarts castle in which most of the movies take place (see fig. 6). While many classifications are understandable, the method suffers from the fact that the model is trained for the classification of nature photographs and not for movies. Close shots pose a lot of challenges to the model due to the missing surroundings and landscapes. In future work we intend to segment these shots from wide shots including landscapes to focus on the rather correctly classified frames.

## 7. Emotion Recognition

### 7.1. Approach

Emotion recognition is the method to detect emotions on human faces and employed in various use cases in computer science ( $[23,24,25,26]$ but, to the best of our knowledge, rarely on the image channel in DH [15] but predominantly on text, e.g. plays [27, 28, 29] or social media

| Harry Potter 5 |  |  | Harry Potter 6 |  |  | Harry Potter 7 |  | Harry Potter 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| location | $\#$ | \% | location | $\#$ | \% | location | $\#$ | \% | location | \# | \% |
| jail cell | 374 | $31.8 \%$ | catacomb | 1,113 | $51.1 \%$ | jail cell | 515 | $34.7 \%$ | jail cell | 858 | $51.1 \%$ |
| discotheque | 149 | $12.7 \%$ | jail cell | 762 | $35.0 \%$ | catacomb | 396 | $26.7 \%$ | catacomb | 518 | $30.9 \%$ |
| catacomb | 125 | $10.6 \%$ | archive | 61 | $2.8 \%$ | basement | 113 | $7.6 \%$ | elevator shaft | 92 | $5.5 \%$ |
| pub/indoor | 76 | $6.5 \%$ | elevator shaft | 52 | $2.4 \%$ | bamboo forest | 78 | $5.3 \%$ | church/indoor | 34 | $2.0 \%$ |
| aquarium | 71 | $6.0 \%$ | sky | 30 | $1.4 \%$ | elevator shaft | 45 | $3.0 \%$ | aquarium | 23 | $1.4 \%$ |
| elevator shaft | 53 | $4.5 \%$ | alley | 17 | $0.8 \%$ | sky | 33 | $2.2 \%$ | sky | 19 | $1.1 \%$ |
| stage/indoor | 44 | $3.7 \%$ | igloo | 15 | $0.7 \%$ | campsite | 32 | $2.2 \%$ | staircase | 14 | $0.8 \%$ |
| underwater/ocean deep | 30 | $2.6 \%$ | aquarium | 14 | $0.6 \%$ | elevator/door | 26 | $1.8 \%$ | escalator/indoor | 12 | $0.7 \%$ |
| medina | 28 | $2.4 \%$ | stable | 12 | $0.6 \%$ | wheat field | 21 | $1.4 \%$ | subway station/ platform | 11 | $0.7 \%$ |
| playground | 24 | $2.0 \%$ | cemetery | 11 | $0.5 \%$ | alley | 20 | $1.3 \%$ | basement | 9 | $0.5 \%$ |

Table 8
Distribution of top 10 detected locations for each movie (part 2).

| Fantastic Beasts 1 |  | Fantastic Beasts 1 |  |  | Overall |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| location | $\#$ | \% | location | $\#$ | $\%$ | location | $\#$ | \% |
| jail cell | 487 | $39.8 \%$ | jail cell | 914 | $56.0 \%$ | jail cell | 5,575 | $39.1 \%$ |
| catacomb | 142 | $11.6 \%$ | catacomb | 210 | $12.9 \%$ | catacomb | 3,440 | $24.1 \%$ |
| bamboo forest | 55 | $4.5 \%$ | sky | 60 | $3.7 \%$ | elevator shaft | 548 | $3.8 \%$ |
| elevator shaft | 54 | $4.4 \%$ | elevator shaft | 57 | $3.5 \%$ | aquarium | 435 | $3.0 \%$ |
| pub/indoor | 41 | $3.3 \%$ | aquarium | 39 | $2.4 \%$ | sky | 309 | $2.2 \%$ |
| sauna | 32 | $2.6 \%$ | medina | 39 | $2.4 \%$ | discotheque | 272 | $1.9 \%$ |
| bank vault | 30 | $2.5 \%$ | igloo | 33 | $2.0 \%$ | pub/indoor | 247 | $1.7 \%$ |
| aquarium | 28 | $2.3 \%$ | throne room | 26 | $1.6 \%$ | archive | 175 | $1.2 \%$ |
| sky | 27 | $2.2 \%$ | burial chamber | 24 | $1.5 \%$ | underwater/ocean deep | 171 | $1.2 \%$ |
| igloo | 26 | $2.1 \%$ | crevasse | 18 | $1.1 \%$ | crevasse | 20 | $1.3 \%$ |

Table 9
Distribution of top 10 detected locations for each movie (part 3) and overall.


Figure 6: Frame falsely classified as jail cell (HP8).
content [30, 31]. We used the Python module $\mathrm{FER}^{4}$ [32] to recognize the characters' emotions. In a first step, the faces must be detected. We used a multitask cascaded convolutional networks (MTCNN; [33]) and the Haar Cascade facial recognition algorithm proposed by Viola and Jones [34]. For the emotion analysis, we used a CNN trained on the FER-2013[32] data set that can predict the seven emotional categories anger, disgust, fear, happiness, neutral, sadness

[^3]|  | HP1 |  | HP2 |  | HP3 |  | HP4 |  | HP5 |  | HP6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| emotion | mean | \% | mean | \% | mean | \% | mean | \% | mean | \% | mean | \% |
| angry | 0.16 | $11.4 \%$ | 0.16 | $10.2 \%$ | 0.17 | $12.7 \%$ | 0.21 | $18.6 \%$ | 0.15 | $8.5 \%$ | 0.19 | $14.2 \%$ |
| disgust | 0.00 | $0.1 \%$ | 0.00 | $0.1 \%$ | 0.00 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 | $0.0 \%$ |
| fear | 0.11 | $5.1 \%$ | 0.11 | $4.7 \%$ | 0.10 | $2.9 \%$ | 0.10 | $2.5 \%$ | 0.10 | $2.5 \%$ | 0.09 | $2.0 \%$ |
| happy | 0.10 | $9.0 \%$ | 0.09 | $7.9 \%$ | 0.11 | $7.8 \%$ | 0.12 | $10.1 \%$ | 0.10 | $8.1 \%$ | 0.09 | $8.2 \%$ |
| neutral | 0.23 | $24.3 \%$ | 0.25 | $27.8 \%$ | 0.24 | $27.2 \%$ | 0.18 | $15.2 \%$ | 0.27 | $31.4 \%$ | 0.24 | $24.5 \%$ |
| sad | 0.29 | $41.2 \%$ | 0.30 | $43.2 \%$ | 0.31 | $45.3 \%$ | 0.32 | $49.2 \%$ | 0.31 | $46.6 \%$ | 0.32 | $47.6 \%$ |
| surprise | 0.10 | $8.8 \%$ | 0.08 | $6.1 \%$ | 0.06 | $4.1 \%$ | 0.07 | $4.4 \%$ | 0.06 | $3.0 \%$ | 0.07 | $3.4 \%$ |

Table 10
Results for the emotion recognition across all movies (part 1). Mean is the average of this emotion across all frames (with detected faces), \% is the proportion of frames with this specific emotion as maximum value across all these frames.

|  | HP7 |  | HP8 |  | FB1 |  | FB1 |  | Overall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| emotion | mean | \% | mean | \% | mean | \% | mean | \% | mean | \% |
| angry | 0.18 | $10.9 \%$ | 0.21 | $17.5 \%$ | 0.18 | $14.0 \%$ | 0.19 | $15.8 \%$ | 0.18 | $12.9 \%$ |
| disgust | 0.00 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.01 | $0.4 \%$ | 0.00 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.00 | $0.1 \%$ |
| fear | 0.09 | $2.0 \%$ | 0.10 | $3.9 \%$ | 0.12 | $5.5 \%$ | 0.11 | $3.3 \%$ | 0.10 | $3.6 \%$ |
| happy | 0.07 | $4.7 \%$ | 0.08 | $5.1 \%$ | 0.09 | $7.4 \%$ | 0.07 | $5.9 \%$ | 0.09 | $7.5 \%$ |
| neutral | 0.20 | $17.6 \%$ | 0.20 | $19.2 \%$ | 0.23 | $24.9 \%$ | 0.21 | $21.9 \%$ | 0.23 | $24.1 \%$ |
| sad | 0.37 | $59.7 \%$ | 0.34 | $50.7 \%$ | 0.30 | $42.7 \%$ | 0.33 | $47.9 \%$ | 0.32 | $46.9 \%$ |
| surprise | 0.08 | $5.1 \%$ | 0.07 | $3.3 \%$ | 0.08 | $5.5 \%$ | 0.08 | $5.1 \%$ | 0.08 | $5.0 \%$ |

Table 11
Results for the emotion recognition across all movies (part 2) and overall.
and surprise. For every face multiple emotions can be predicted simultaneously in varying percentages, summing up to 1 . If more than one face was detected in a frame, we calculated a mean value for the emotions. Additionally, we assigned the highest scoring emotion as the dominant emotion for a frame, which allows us to explore what the most dominant emotion is for every movie.

### 7.2. Results

For the statistical analysis, we averaged the means for all frames of a movie on which at least one face is detected to get an overall value. Furthermore, we calculated the percentage of frames having a specific emotion as maximum value of all emotions (on the same set of frames). In tables 10 and 11, we present the results.

The generally low mean values are due to the fact that the emotion classes often have a value of 0 since the values do need to sum up to 1 . Overall, we identified sadness as the most frequently detected emotion ( $46.9 \%$ ) (see fig. 7 for an example) followed by neutral ( $24.1 \%$ ) and anger ( $12.9 \%$ ). The sadness value increases up to HP8 reaching the maximum in HP7 (59.7\%) while the happy value decreases. Again, this points to the increased dramatic seriousness in the plot throughout the movies. The emotion disgust was rarely detected. We performed a Welch's ANOVA test and found that, indeed, the difference among the movies for each emotion class is significant $(p<0.001)$. However, the effect size is rather small for most emotions $\left(\eta^{2}<0.01\right)$
except for angry with a moderate effect $\left(\eta^{2}=0.02\right)$. Nevertheless, this shows that the movies are rather homogeneous concerning the emotional tone. Analyzing the results, we found that the emotion detection generally works quite well. However, the face detection has problems dealing with faces that are not looking directly towards the camera (fig. 8). This is due to the fact that the training material of the model predominantly consists of such faces. We conclude for the face detection that it needs domain adaptation for the complex angles that movies consist of.


Figure 7: Frame with a maximum sad value (HP8).


Figure 8: Frame with a face not looking directly towards the camera, thus not being detected by the face detection (HP8).

## 8. Discussion

One of our research goals was to identify if the applied methods can uncover specific characteristics and differences across the movies. Indeed, the color and brightness analysis showed descriptive differences and in the case of brightness differences that could be supported by significance tests. The movies of the Harry Potter series tend to get darker. These general visual results are in line with the results of the emotion analysis which also shows an increase in
sadness classifications and a decrease for the average happiness value. However, for most of the other methods, we found significant differences but with rather low effects. Most of the methods behaved rather homogeneous across the movies with some punctual exceptions. One reason for this might be that the movies belong to the same series, franchise and genre. Therefore, the stylistic and content-based differences might be too small to become apparent via these kind of methods. We want to explore this assumption in future work by conducting case studies with movies of different genres and decades.

We did not perform an exact evaluation. We plan to do so in future work for some of the methods by systematically evaluating a subset of the corpus against human-made annotation to get a precise overview about the quality of the methods. However, we did sporadically explore the quality of the results while conducting our research. While we do think that all of the methods in many cases work surprisingly well, mistakes and misclassifications are not rare. Many of these problems are connected to the fantasy genre and the behavior of the model is understandable. We conclude that this is the general main challenge of the research. All of the CV methods are not intended for artistic movies and therefore need domain-adaptation which is possible and has been a common research branch in machine learning in recent years. However, domain-adaption needs large amounts of correctly annotated frames which is very resource-intensive and challenging for similar narrative content like plays [35, 36]. Nevertheless, we intend to further this process by starting annotation studies for one of the most promising methods, object detection, which we will then use to train and extend general purpose models for the specific use case of fantasy movies.

Despite the problems, we could show that many of the methods offer a lot of possibilities for large-scale distant viewing research in digital film studies. We see a great potential in combining the methods to explore correlations, for example if certain locations in genre-based movies appear more frequent with specific objects. At the same time, we also see potential in analyzing diachronic developments or in comparing different genres via CV methods.
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