SYNTHESIS AND REACTIVITY OF TRANSITION METAL STABILIZED POLYPNICTOGEN CATIONS

DISSERTATION

zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Naturwissenschaften

DR. RER. NAT.

am Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Fakultät für Chemie und Pharmazie der Universität Regensburg

vorgelegt von

CHRISTOPH RIESINGER

aus Passau

im Jahr 2023

Das Promotionsgesuch wurde eingereicht am: 16.10.2023 Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 30.11.2023

Diese Arbeit wurde angeleitet von: Prof. Dr. Manfred Scheer.

Universität Regensburg

Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Ich erkläre hiermit an Eides statt, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit ohne unzulässige Hilfe Dritter und ohne Benutzung anderer als der angegebenen Hilfsmittel angefertigt habe; die aus anderen Quellen direkt oder indirekt übernommenen Daten und Konzepte sind unter Angabe des Literaturzitats gekennzeichnet. Die Arbeit wurde bisher weder im In- noch im Ausland in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form einer anderen Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegt.

Christoph Riesinger

This thesis was elaborated within the period from January 2020 until October 2023 in the Institute of Inorganic Chemistry at the University of Regensburg under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Manfred Scheer.

The following is a chronological list of all peer reviewed publications the author of this thesis contributed to, including chapters $3 - 10$ of this thesis (marked with \bullet), which already have been published. † marks equal contributions.

List of Publications:

() C. Riesinger, L. Dütsch, G. Bálazs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer "*Cationic Functionalization by Phosphenium Ion Insertion*" *Chem. Eur J.* **2020**, *26*, 17165–17170.

() C. Riesinger, G. Bálazs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer "*Stabilization of Pentaphospholes as η 5 -coordinating Ligands*" *Angew, Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 23879–23884.

L. Dütsch, C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Scheer "*Synthesis of Tetrahedranes Containing the Unique Bridging Hetero-Dipnictogen Ligand EE´ (E ≠ E´ = P, As, Sb, Bi)*" *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 8804–8810.

M. Piesch, S. Reichl, C. Riesinger, M. Seidl, G. Balazs, M. Scheer "*Redox Chemistry of Heterobimetallic Polypnictogen Triple*‐*Decker Complexes – Rearrangement, Fragmentation and Transfer*" *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, 27, 9129–9140.

() C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer "*Substituted aromatic pentaphosphole ligands – a journey across the p-block*" *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 13037–13044.

L. Dütsch, C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer "*Structural Diversity of Mixed Polypnictogen Complexes: Dicationic E2E'² (E, E' = P, As, Sb, Bi) Chains, Cycles and Cages Stabilized by Transition Metals*" *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 14531–14539.

() C. Riesinger,† L. Dütsch,† M. Scheer "*Synthesis and Redox Chemistry of a Homoleptic Iron Arsenic Prismane Cluster*" *Z. anorg. allg. Chem.* **2022**, *648*, e202200102.

() C. Riesinger, F. Dielmann, R. Szlosek, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer "*Synthesis and Reactivity of a Cyclo-Octatetraene-Like Polyphosphorus Ligand Complex [Cyclo-P8]*" *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *135*, e202218828.

(\bullet) C. Riesinger, D. Röhner, I. Krossing, M. Scheer

"*Teaching old tricks to new dogs – rational synthesis of multi-decker complexes featuring cyclo-P⁵ decks*"

Chem. Commun. **2023**, *59*, 4495–4498.

M. Haimerl, C. Schwarzmaier, C. Riesinger, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Melaimi, G. Bertrand, M. Scheer

"*Reactivity of Yellow Arsenic towards Cyclic (Alkyl)(Amino) Carbenes (CAACs)*" *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202300280.

 $(*)$ C. Riesinger,[†] L. Zimmermann,[†] M. Scheer "*Redox Chemistry of an End-Deck cyclo-As³ Nickel Complex*" *Organometallics* **2023**, *42*, 2065–2069.

M. Elsayed Moussa, E.-M. Rummel, G. Balázs, C. Riesinger, A. Noor, R. Kempe, M. Scheer "*Unusual coordination mode for 1,3-diphosphete ligands towards a Cr–Cr quintuple bond complex*"

Chem. Commun. **2023**, *59*, 8588–8591.

() C. Riesinger, A. Erhard, M. Scheer "*Ring expansion vs. addition – reactivity of a cyclo-P4 complex towards pnictogenium cations*" *Chem. Commun.* **2023**, *59*, 10117–10120.

L. Zimmermann, C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Scheer "*Synthesis and Reactivity of Hetero-Pnictogen Diazonium Analogs Stabilized by Transition Metal Units*" *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, e202301974.

S. Reichl, C. Riesinger, M. Scheer "*Nucleophilic Attack at Pentaarsaferrocene [Cp*Fe(η⁵ -As5)]-The Way to Larger Polyarsenide Ligands*"

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2023**, *62*, e202307696.

To my Family

Preface

This thesis deals with the synthesis of transition metal stabilized polyphosphorus (chapters 3 – 8) and polyarsenic (chapters 9 and 10) cations. Furthermore, it explores the reactivity of selected transition metal stabilized polyphosphorus cations towards nucleophilic functionalization (chapters 11 and 12).

Most of the presented work (chapters $3 - 10$) has already been published during the preparation of this thesis and the corresponding publications are listed above.

Every chapter includes a separate preface with a list of authors and their respective contributions to the chapter. Moreover, if any of the presented results have previously been reported within other theses, it is stated in the beginning of the respective chapter.

To ensure uniform design of this work, all chapters are divided into the sections 'Abstract' 'Introduction', 'Results and Discussion', 'Conclusion', 'Supporting Information' and 'References'. With minor exceptions all published work has been adapted to fit uniform text settings, language and font. Numeration of compounds, figures, schemes and tables begins anew in each chapter. Generally, starting materials are labelled with capital letters, while products are labelled with Arabic numerals, except for chapters 3, 5 and 6, in which the starting materials are included into the Arabic numerals. Deviation from this uniform design in e. g. figures or within the supporting information is due to different publication requirements of the journals/publishers and the article types the respective results have previously been published in.

The general introduction in the beginning of this thesis puts the presented results into a greater context and the conclusion at the end summarizes them comprehensively.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

1.1. The Pnictogens

The elements of group $15 -$ the so called pnictogens $(Pn) -$ consist of nitrogen $(N,$ number 7), phosphorus (P, 15), arsenic (As, 33), antimony (Sb, 51) and bismuth (Bi, 83) and occupy the center of the p-block in the periodic table of elements.^[1] Only recently even the synthesis of element number 115, named moscovium (Mc), could be achieved, which constitutes the heaviest known group 15 element known to date.^[2] The name "pnictogen" arises from the greek word pniktos (choked) and points towards the suffocating properties of pure elemental nitrogen.[3] Occasionally, these elements are also regarded as the pentels which is associated with their position as the fifth main group of elements. Within group 15 the electronegativity (Pauling) of the elements decreases from 3.0 (N) to 1.9 (Bi) while the metallic character increases in the same order.[4]

Nitrogen is the lightest of the pnictogens and yet comprises 0.017 % (w/w) of the earth's crust making it the second most abundant of the group 15 elements. However, most of the nitrogen on earth is found in the atmosphere, where it occurs as highly inert dinitrogen (N_2) . It was independently discovered and identified as part of the air we breathe by Carl Scheele, Henry Cavandish, Joseph Priestley and Daniel Rutherford, in 1772.^[5] The synthetic utilization of N₂ for the production of ammonia played a crucial role at the beginning of the $20th$ century, as traditional farming and conventionally manufactured fertilizers failed to meet the nutritional demands of an ever growing global population. This challenge could only be circumvented by the efficient reduction of widely abundant N_2 to ammonia (NH₃), as the latter is a feedstock chemical for the production of fertilizers, drugs and food additives.[6] The solution to this problem was provided within the Haber-Bosch-Process, which allows the catalytic (Fe catalyst) hydrogenation of N_2 under elevated pressures and temperatures.^[7] Both Fritz Haber (1918)^[8] as well as Carl Bosch $(1931)^{[9]}$ were awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry for this achievement.[10] Besides ammonia, nitrogen is a crucial element for numerous biologically relevant molecules and together with carbon, oxygen and hydrogen constitutes the elemental foundation of organic chemistry. For example, the complex molecular structures of proteins or the DNA (desoxyribonucleic acid) would be unimaginable without the presence of nitrogen in amino acids or nucleobases.[1]

Phosphorus is the most abundant of the pnictogens at 0.1% (w/w) in the earth´s crust. However, it does not occur in its elemental form due to its high oxophilicity and is rather found within phosphates, such as apatite $Ca₅(PO₄)₃(OH, F, Cl)$. Famously, phosphorus was discovered by Hennig Brand in 1669, who observed a glowing substance upon heating human urine.^[5,11] Although not occurring naturally, phosphorus has several solid elemental modifications (Scheme 1). White phosphorus, consisting of molecular P_4 tetrahedra, ^[12] is the modification obtained during its highly energy intensive synthesis. While this is the synthetically most useful modification of phosphorus due to its high reactivity and solubility in organic solvents, it is self-igniting in air ad furthermore extremely toxic. Both attributes create vast

Scheme 1: Elemental modifications of phosphorus and their transformation into each other.

amounts of safety issues with the handling, storage and transport of white phosphorus. While P_4 can also be melted, gaseous phosphorus exists in an equilibrium of P_4 the P_2 molecule and P atoms dependent on the respective temperature and pressure. The less reactive modifications of phosphorus, violet (Hittorf´s) phosphorus and several amorphous modifications (red phosphorus) can be obtained by exposing white phosphorus to heat or light. Both consist of interconnected strains of alternating P_8 and P_9 cages, although final statement about the connectivity of red phosphorus is difficult due to its amorphous character. Black phosphorus can be obtained from Hittorf´s phosphorus under elevated pressures and consists of phosphorene sheets, which themselves are built from interconnected six membered phosphorus rings.^[1,13] Notably, single layer phosphorene itself constitutes a phosphorus based 2D material, which has experienced rising interest due to its intriguing properties for its use in semiconductor applications.^[14] Finally, phosphorus nanorods could recently be obtained by coordination to copper halides.^[15]

Similar to nitrogen, the biological relevance of phosphorus cannot be overstated, as phosphate groups are found within the backbone of our DNA as well as the universal energy carrier ATP (adenosine triphosphate) and even within our skeletal bones.[16] Besides, phosphorus is also

Scheme 2: Fundamental relationships between phosphorus and carbon: Diagonal relationship in the periodic table (left) and the isolobal principle applied to the relation of the phosphorus atom to the ubiquitous CH fragment, which is commonly found in aromatic organic compounds (right).

an important part of modern fertilizers. However, one of the most important applications of phosphorus emerging over the past century, have been organo-phosphines as ligands in transition metal (TM) chemistry. While seminal TM complexes, such as Wilkinson's^[17] or Grubb's^[18] catalysts employed simple triorgano-phosphine ligands, development of ever more complex phosphines as ligands is a thriving field of chemical research. In fact, the endeavor to access these highly sought-after species in rational and more importantly efficient ways also constitutes a part of the academic motivation of this thesis. Lastly, phosphorus shares a diagonal relation to carbon within the periodic table and the P atom is additionally related to the ubiquitous (in organic chemistry) CH fragment by the isolobal principle (Scheme 2).^[19] Both of these observations predict a rich structural chemistry for phosphorus bordering that of carbon and keep inspiring research on ever more complex molecular architectures in the context of phospha-organic chemistry.[20]

The heavier pnictogens, arsenic, antimony and bismuth are much rarer compared to their lighter homologs and thus are found only in 1.7 ppm (w/w, As), 0.2 ppm (w/w, Sb) and 0.2 ppm (w/w, Bi) of the earth´s crust, respectively. While elemental bismuth is known since ancient times, the discovery of arsenic and antimony is ascribed to Albertus Magnus (ca. 1250) and Basilius Valentinus (1492), respectively. All three elements occur as sulfidic minerals or even their elemental form in nature, with arsenic and antimony classifying as metalloids and bismuth expressing significant metallic character. Several elemental modifications are known for all three of them, but only arsenic shows a molecular modification, namely yellow arsenic (As4), which is isostructural to white phosphorus.^[21] As yellow arsenic is extremely light sensitive and autocatalytically transforms into grey arsenic, elaborate ways for its storage have been developed to simplify its synthetic utilization.^[22] Furthermore, antimony and especially arsenic compounds are known for their high toxicity and must be handled with great care. Yet, these elements find important application e. g. in semiconductors or dopants in certain alloys. The heavier bismuth and its compounds are regarded as non-toxic. Its large scale industrial applications are more limited, but it is used in alloy manufacturing and even pharmaceutical chemistry.[23] An interesting, yet for now primarily academic, application is the use of bismuth complexes in radical^[24] and even redox catalysis.^[25] Both reactivity patterns have long been postulated to be reserved to TM only.

1.2. Organic and Main Group Pnictogen Compounds

(Poly-)pnictogen compounds play a crucial role in numerous applications, such as semiconductors, ligands in TM catalysis, organocatalysis, fertilizers or food additives (*vide supra*). Many of the employed pnictogen species are purely inorganic solid state compounds and thus not covered within the scope of this thesis. However, the developments on molecular (poly-)pictogen systems over the past decades furnished a multitude of fascinating and extremely useful compounds, which are discussed in the following. This overview aims towards providing the background for the significance of developing TM stabilized polypnictogen cations and the investigation of their reactivity. While a comprehensive summary of molecular

(poly-)pictogen chemistry would by far exceed the scope of this thesis, this overview highlights recent developments within (poly-)pnictogen chemistry and sets them into perspective with seminal work in the field.

1.2.1. Mononuclear Pnictogen Species

Although the focus of this thesis is on the synthesis of compounds featuring polypnictogen frameworks, significant achievements in the preparation of highly reactive species involving only one pnictogen center have been made over the past decades. While some of them simply display milestones in this field of chemistry, others also bear implications on the synthesis of polypnictogen compounds (*vide infra*). One of the main goals within this field is the isolation of low valent pnictogen species (e. g. Pn(I)) with the goal of exploring the reactivity of these still exotic species. For comparison, stable singlet carbenes, are a staple in nearly all disciplines of chemistry since their discovery more than 30 years ago.^[26] In contrast, the corresponding first stable pnictinidene, namely the singlet nitrene **I** (Scheme 3) was only synthesized in 2012.[27] The stable singlet phosphinidene **II** was reported four years later requiring a sterically super bulky phosphino substituent for its isolation.^[28] Earlier an isolable phosphinidene transfer reagent based on anthracene was introduced by the group of *Cummins*,^[29] a platform which could also be used to transiently generate the exotic P_2 molecule.^[30] While a stable triplet bismuthinidene (**III**) was isolated in the beginning of this year,[31] singly substituted species of arsenic and antimony remain unknown. However, additional dative stabilization allowed the isolation of singlet stibinidenes and bismuthinidenes.[32] Notably, the carbene adducts (**IVa**, Scheme 4) of phosphinidenes,^[33] arsinidenes,^[34] stibinidenes^[35] as well as bismuthinidenes^[36] have been synthesized over the past decade. However, these compounds owe most of their stability to the resonance forms **IVb** (imidazolium-pnictide) and **IVc** (pnictaolefin). The nitrogen analogs to these species are imines, a common functionality in organic molecules featuring a C=N double bond. When a third carbon nitrogen bond is introduced, one arrives at nitriles, which again are ubiquitous organic molecules. In contrast, the heavier homologs, so called phospha-^[37] and arsaalkynes,^[38] have first been synthesized in 1990 and 1994, respectively. They owe their name to the closer resemblance of e. g. their reactivity to alkynes rather than nitriles. While the corresponding antimony and bismuth compounds remain unknown the C≡P triple bond can also be found in the cyaphide anion [C≡P]^{-,[39]} which was first described as a bridging ligand in a platinum complex.^[40] The recent isolation of a transfer reagent^[41] of this

Scheme 3: Isolated singly substituted pnictogen compounds, namely the singlet nitrene (I), phosphinidene (II) and triplet bismuthinidene (III); the tBu groups in III pointing back are omitted for clarity, Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl.

Scheme 4: Resonance forms of isolated carbene adducts of pnictinidenes IVa – c; R = H, Ph, Cl; Dipp = 2,6 diisopropylphenyl.

exotic analog of simple cyanide sparked renewed interest in its coordination^[42] and oligomerization reactivity.^[43] Similarly, the chemistry of phosphinines has recently gained momentum, $[44]$ although the first isolation of triphenylphosphinine dates back to 1966. $[45]$ Besides these multiply bonded species, the group of *Scheer* was able to isolate several representatives of Lewis base stabilized parent pnictogenyltrielanes.[46] These mixed group 13/15 compounds represent isolobal analogs of prototypical ethylene.

The rise of carbenes as stabilizing ligands in main group chemistry^[26] also furnished numerous ionic and neutral radical species of group 15 elements.^[47] However, carbenes have also been employed to stabilize exotic Pn(I) cations (V, Scheme 5).^[48] which could otherwise only be obtained for P(I) and As(I) as bis-phosphine complexes.^[49] Recently, a series of Pn(I) cations could also be stabilized by a novel bis-silylene ligand.^[50] One of the most important classes of pnictogen based cations are pnictogenium cations, which bear two substituents at the pnictogen center in addition to their positive charge. Thus, these highly reactive species are isolobal analogs to the aforementioned widely applied carbenes and significant effort has been put into their investigation. Salts of donor-stabilized phosphenium ions have first been isolated 50 years ago.[51] Interestingly, their lighter homologs, nitrenium ions, could only be synthesized more than 20 years later and reveal intriguing ligand properties.^[52] While the bisferrocenylphosphenium cation was initially claimed to be the first donor-free pnictogenium cation, it was later shown that its central P atom experiences significant Fe-P interaction.^[53] Thus, donor-free pictogenium cations (VI) were isolated only recently in 2018 (for Sb and Bi)^[54] and 2021 (for P and As)^[55] employing bulky terphenyl or hydrindacen substituents. Introduction of an even higher charge of +2 at the pnictogen center was possible using the electronically flexible Cp^{*}

Scheme 5: Carbene stabilized formal pnictogen(I) cations (V) depicted in their charge separated mesomeric form, and donor-free pnictogenium cations VI; Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl, Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl.

(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl, C_5Me_5) substituent. Thus, salts of $[Cp^*Pn]^{2+}$ (Pn = P, As, Sb, Bi) could be identified.^[56] Besides their overall fascinating reactivity, they also reveal super Lewis acidic character, which is classified by a fluoride ion affinity (FIA) of roughly more than 500 kJ/mol.[57]

Lastly, recent developments in high valent pnictogen chemistry showed for example the possibility to isolate highly charged Sb(V) cations (VII, Scheme 6)^[58] and even the first monomeric stibine oxide (**VIII**).[59] The first trisubstituted P(V) dication (**IX**) was described in 2019[60] by *Dielmann* and coworkers and more recent work by the same group focused on the synthesis and reactivity of oxo-,^[61] thio-^[62] and methylene-phosphonium^[63] cations.

1.2.2. Neutral and Anionic Polypnictogen Compounds

Unsubstituted polynuclear anions of group 15 elements have been reported for all five elements from nitrogen to bismuth. Many pnictides with lower degree of aggregation are obtained in solid state compounds (compare M_3 Pn, where M is an alkali metal).^[1] In contrast, the azide anion (N₃), first described by *Curtius* in 1890,^[64] can be obtained in form of soluble salts. Another highly interesting polynitrogen species is the pentazolate anion, which is the allnitrogen analog of cyclopentadienide, a prototypical organometallic ligand. However, the synthesis of salts of this highly sensitive anion, which are stable under normal conditions could only be achieved within the past decade.^[65] The polynuclear anions of the heavier pnictogens are far more numerous.[1] Notably, several groups but especially *Marianne Baudler* (in nearly 250 "contributions to phosphorus chemistry") intensively studied the chemistry of polyphosphides and -phosphines over the past 60 years.^[66] Covering this comprehensively would exceed the scope of this thesis. Thus only selected examples are presented.

One of these fascinating classes of polypnictogen anions are the cyclic tetrapnictides [*cyclo*- Pn_4 ²⁻ (**X**, Scheme 7), which are known for Pn = $\text{P}_1^{[67]}$ As,^[68] Sb^[69] and Bi.^[70] These four membered cyclic species are planar and show 6π aromaticity, expressing their close relationship to cyclo-butadienides $([C_4H_4]^2)$. The seminal cyclopentadienide homolog [cyclo-P₅]^{-[71]} (XI) was initially obtained in mixtures of various polyphosphides,^[72] but its synthesis could later be refined to give the title anion in good yields.[73] The even larger [*cyclo*-P₆⁴⁻ anion (XII) could be obtained in the solid state, but rapidly disproportionates upon

Scheme 6: A highly charged Sb(V) cation (VII), the first isolated stibine oxide (VIII) and a planar three-coordinate P(V) cation (IX).

Scheme 7: Selected structurally characterized polypnictide anions drawn with delocalized charges.

dissolution.^[74] While its planar structure and 10 π electron count hint towards the aromatic character of this anion, this was later disproven on the basis of solid state ³¹P-MAS-NMR spectroscopy.^[75] The Zintl phases^[76] M_3Pn_7 (**XIII**, M = alkali metal, Pn = $P^{[77]}$ As,^[78] Sb^[69,79]) reveal a nortricyclane type framework, which can also be found in several ionogenic polypnictogen complexes of the TMs (*vide infra*). While the groups of *Baudler* and *von Schnering* were able to prepare even larger polycyclic phosphides^[80] with up to 26 P atoms.^[81] polyanions of the heavier pnictogens (As, Sb and Bi) are less studied and more exotic due to the lack of critical ³¹P NMR spectroscopy.^[82] However, the latter technique has proven essential in the study of complex phosphide/phosphine mixtures and has facilitated the preparation of numerous cyclic and polycyclic polyphosphines including species with P_7 , [83] P_8 , [84] P_9 , [85] P_{10} , $^{[85b,86]}$ P_{11} , $^{[87]}$ P_{12} , $^{[88]}$ P_{13} , $^{[88a,89]}$ P_{14} , $^{[83a,90]}$ P_{16} , $^{[90c]}$ P_{18} , $^{[91]}$ P_{20} , $^{[92]}$ and P_{21} $^{[93]}$ scaffolds. $^{[94]}$ While highly polar and often protic solvents, such as ethylene diamine or DMF (dimethyl formamide) are common in this field, the group of *Korber* even utilized liquid ammonia to prepare highly reduced polypnictogen species, such as three membered *catena*-phosphides.[95] Renewed interest into polyphosphine chemistry was found by the groups of *Hey-Hawkins* and *Wolf*, who investigated the synthesis and reactivity of small four- and five-membered *catena*- as well as *cyclo*-phosphines and -phosphanides.[96] The group of *Lammertsma* was even able to trap the initial addition product of an organo-nucleophile to P_4 by means of coordination to a Lewis acid.^[97] Furthermore, the multifaceted coordination chemistry of cyclic polyphosphines was studied in great detail.^[98] While (poly-)cyclic arsines^[84a,87a,99] and stibines^[100] have become available over the past decades as well, recent focus is on the preparation of interpnictides. These are compounds featuring chemical bonds between two or even more different pnictogen

Scheme 8: Neutral mixed interpnictogen compounds bearing cyclo-P⁴ substituents (XIV), featuring multiple (>2) catenated pnictogens (XV) and an example of the first stable bismuthanyl-stibines (XVI).

elements. Thus, the group of *Hey-Hawkins* was able to prepare complex polyphosphapnictines (**XIV**, Scheme 8),[101] while the *von Hänisch* group was able to develop rational syntheses for *catena*-interpnictogen compounds (e. g. XV).^[102] Fascinatingly, the same group could demonstrate the synthesis of a compound featuring all five pnictogen atoms (N, P, As, Sb and Bi) in a row.^[103] Notably, a compound featuring a Sb-Bi bond stable against scrambling was only reported in 2020 (XVI).^[104]

The above mentioned compounds are centered around the heavier pnictogens $P - Bi$. Yet, the chemistry of polynitrogen species is a vivid field of research and has furnished numerous useful and intriguing compounds. However, these species generally fall into the category of highenergy materials, which shall not be a point of discussion within this thesis. Thus, only the outstanding compounds C₂N₁₄ (XXIII, Scheme 9)^[105] and C₂N₁₆ (XXIV)^[106] shall be mentioned here, which are two of the most nitrogen rich organic molecules known to date. Notably, a heavier analog of prototypical dinitrogen (N_2) , namely phosphorus mononitride (PN), was recently shown to be liberated from a suitable precursor in synthetically useful fashion.^[107]

In addition to saturated compounds, the past decades have seen a dramatic increase in the number of multiply bonded species of the heavy main group elements, a field heavily advanced by the group of *Power*. This can largely be attributed to the availability of sterically protecting substituents, which allowed the isolation of dipnictenes (e. g. **XIX**, Scheme 10).[108] In case of phosphorus, a second class of neutral doubly bonded species is known, which are phosphanylidenephosphoranes (e.g. **XX**), acting as masked phosphinidenes and thus are also regarded as Phospha-Wittig reagents.[109] Impressively, the group of *Hering-Junghans* recently utilized these for the synthesis of unprecedented group 13/15 compounds,^[110] including rare Al/Ga=P double bonded species.^[111]

In addition, the transformation of white phosphorus $(P_4)^{[66f]}$ as well as yellow arsenic $(As_4)^{[21]}$ by low valent main group species has furnished numerous polyphosphorus/-arsenic species. The most versatile reactivity has been observed for the reaction of P_4 with various-heterocyclic carbenes, yielding several P_2 ,^[112] P_4 ,^[113] P_8 ^[114] and P_{12} ^[115] products. Carbene stabilized diphosphorus (NHC)₂P₂ has previously been isolated by the reduction of a PCI₃ precursor.^[116]

Scheme 9: Two selected nitrogen rich organic molecules.

Scheme 10: Neutral doubly bonded dipnictenes (XIX) and phosphanylidenephosphorane (XX).

Recently, this reactivity was transferred to yellow arsenic revealing similar structural motifs.^[117] While reaction of P₄ with low valent group 13 reagents commonly result in the formation of mixed group 13/15 cage compounds,^[118] highly reactive alyminyl anions afford the direct reduction to a *catena-P₄* species.^[119] In contrast, the acetylacetonato derived nacnac (HC(CMeNAr)2) ligand platform allowed for the rational preparation of group 13 derivatives featuring singly[120] and doubly[121] P–P bond inserted species **XXVII** and **XXVIII** (Scheme 11), respectively. Again, this reactivity could be transferred to As₄ and even the interpnictogen compound AsP₃.^[122] The structural motives **XXVII**^[123] and **XXVIII**^[123a] are common within the group 14 mediated transformation of P_4 . However, formation of butterfly type P_4R_2 frameworks^[124] as well as fragmentation of the P_4 tetrahedron^[125] are also common pathways in these reactions. In contrast, As₄ reacts with silylenes either under aggregation to an As₁₀ unit or fragmentation to an $\text{As}_2(\text{SiR}_2)_2$ butterfly species.^[126]

Finally, the recent years have shown that the isolobal analogy between P_4 and the class of tetrahedranes, initially discovered by the group of *Maier*, [127] is not limited to the homopolyatomic compounds (**XXIII**, Scheme 12). Impressively, the group of *Wolf* demonstrated the synthesis of diphospha-tetrahedrane (**XXV**) via catalytic dimerization of a phosphaalkyne.[128] Later, the group of *Cummins* developed elegant synthetic procedures towards the missing phospha- (XXIV)^[129] as well as triphospha-tetrahedrane (XXVI).^[130] Thus, the series of mixed carbon phosphorus tetrahedranes has been completed and future challenge is on the introduction of heavier group 14 and 15 elements into this structural motif.

Scheme 11: Polypnictogen compounds arising from the insertion of low valent group 13 and 14 species into the Pn⁴ tetrahedron.

Scheme 12: Phosphatetrahedranes XXIV – XXVI, P⁴ and their isolobal all-carbon analog tetrakis-tertbutyltetrahedrane XXIII.

1.2.3. Cationic Polypnictogen Compounds

As demonstrated above, the chemistry of neutral as well as anionic polypnictogen compounds has been well established over the past six decades. In contrast, polypnictogen cations are far less common and have received considerable interest only since the early 2000s. For instance, several polyatomic bismuth cations could be isolated, due to the metallic character of this element.^[1,131] For the lighter homologs, these species are extremely rare, however.^[132] Thus, salts of the [N₅]⁺ cation (XXVII, Scheme 13)^[133] and [Sb₈][GaCl₄] (XXIX)^[134] are the only known polyhomoatomic cations for nitrogen and antimony, respectively. The [P₉]⁺ cation (XXVIII),^[135] which was previously identified in solution could be crystallographically characterized only last year^[136] and a homopolyatomic cation of arsenic remains unknown to date. While the latter proceeds via the formal oxidation of P_4 with $[NO]^+$ salts, ^[137] the prototypical protonation of P_4 (**XXX**) could be achieved utilizing a sophisticated weakly coordinating anion (*vide infra*).[138] Dipnictogen cations^[139] could be realized via oxidation of the corresponding carbene stabilized precursors for phosphorus arsenic and even the mixed NP derivative,[140] while the heavier antimony and bismuth analogs relied on the stabilization by anionic carbene ligands^[141] or a gallyl substituent.[142] Triply bonded polypnictogen compounds can be found in seminal diazonium salts,^[143] which arguably represented the first polypnictogen cations to ever be isolated in 1858. Only about 150 years after their first report the corresponding phospha-[144] and arsa-diazonium^[145] analogs became available, featuring the respective N≡P/As triple bond.

However, the largest progress in the field of polypnictogen cations, especially polyphosphorus cations, was made by the groups of *Burford*, *Weigand* and *Krossing* among other teams, who utilized the functionalization of (poly)pnictogen species with main group electrophiles.^[146] The

Scheme 13: Left: Only known homopolyatomic cations of nitrogen (XXVII), phosphorus (XXVIII) and antimony (XXIX) characterized in condensed phases; Right: protonated white phosphorus (XXX).

key intermediates in the majority of these reactions are highly reactive pnictogenium cations, which represent the cationic group 15 analoga of carbenes (*vide supra*). Seminal work by *Burford* and coworkers focused on the synthesis of simple catenated phosphino-phosphonium cations (e. g. XXXI, Scheme 14) via coordination of phosphines to phosphenium cations.^[147] Reduction of halo-phosphino-phosphonium cations was then rapidly demonstrated to afford elongated *catena*-phosphino-phosphonium cations.[148] However, three-membered *catena*-bisphosphino-phosphonium cations have also been obtained via insertion of phosphenium ions into the P–P bond of diphosphines (XXXII).^[149] The functionalization of the latter with simple alkyl electrophiles even afforded diphosphonium cations (e. g. **XXXIII**), which constitute the phosphorus analogs of ethane.[150] Harnessing the insertion reactivity of phosphenium cations, cyclic phosphino-posphonium cations could also be prepared.^[151] Utilizing an elaborate melt approach *Weigand* and *Burford* were able to isolate six-membered cyclic polyphosphorus dications (e. g. XXXIV).^[152] Later, this approach could be transferred to P_4 itself. Besides the cage compound [P₅Ph₂]⁺ (XXXV), whose derivatives are also accessible by different synthetic strategies,^[153] this even gave the dicationic $[P_6 P h_4]^{2+}$ as well as the tricationic $[P_7 P h_6]^{3+}$ polyphosphorus cages.[154] Besides these fascinating insertion reactions, other cyclic phosphino-phosphonium cations can also be accessed via electrophilic addition reactions.[151,155]

While in-depth studies of the reactivity of such polyphosphorus cations are relatively scarce, the latter *cyclo*-phosphino-phosphonium ions reveal intriguing ring opening/expansion reactivity towards nucleophiles (Scheme 15a).^[156] Notably, the halogen substituted $[P_5 RCl]^+$ cages also show fascinating reactivity when exposed to nucleophiles. Thus, a unique [3+2] fragmentation is observed, when these compounds are exposed to NHCs (Scheme 15b).^[157] The resulting diphosphanide (Scheme 15b, top) could then be transformed into an unsymmetrical and cationic diphosphene by chloride abstraction.^[158] This highly polarized diphosphene undergoes (cyclo-)addition reactions with azides providing various unprecedented aza-diphosphorus species.^[159] Latest entries into the synthesis of cationic polyphosphorus frameworks have been demonstrated by the group of *Weigand*, who utilized cationic pyrazolyl substituted P_1 building blocks to access complex cationic polyphosphorus species.[160] The same group was able to prepare cationic imidazolium substituted *cyclo*tetraphosphines^[161] and later used them to synthesize cationic phospha-Wittig reagents as well as phospha-olefins.^[162] Finally, the synthetic procedures developed for polyphosphorus cations

Scheme 14: Selected polyphosphorus cations arising from the insertion of phosphenium cations into, or electrophile addition to neutral polyphosphorus compounds.

Scheme 15: Selected examples for the reactivity of organo-polyphosphorus cations; a) ring opening and expansion of a P³ cycle and b) the fragmentation of a P⁵ cage compound mediated by an NHC; Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl.

were transferred and applied to the synthesis of heavier *catena*-polypnictogen cations, including species incorporating mixed pnictogens.^[163] Thus, even complex interpnictogen cations could be accessed bearing cyclic and polycyclic structural motifs.[164]

Excursion: Weakly Coordinating Anions

The advances made in the synthesis and study of polyphosphorus cations are unthinkable of without the concurrent rise of weakly coordinating anions (WCAs). While small polyatomic anions of p-block elements, such as $[GaCl_4]^-$, $[PF_6]^-$, $[SbF_6]^-$, $[SO_3CF_3]^ [ClO_4]^-$ were long believed to have truly "non-coordinating" properties, this assumption was rapidly proven wrong by the preparation of ever more electrophilic cationic TM complexes by the end of the last century.[165] Ever since, the development of new and more powerful, thus less coordinating, weakly coordinating anions is a highly active field of research.^[166] It spans multiple disciplines of chemistry ranging from TM catalysis^[167] over the preparation of ionic liquids^[168] to the stabilization of exotic and extremely reactive main group cations.^[169] Generally, suitable candidates to be useful weakly coordinating anions should have the following properties, which have in part been summarized before:[167e]

- The WCA should have minimal charge (optimally -1) and maximal size, which both help to minimize the electrostatic interaction between anion and cation.
- **.** The charge of the WCA should be dispersed across the whole anion to avoid nucleophilic sites, which could lead to its degradation.
- The WCA needs to be constructed from chemically inert and highly robust moieties to avoid electrophilic degradation.
- The polarizability of the WCA should be as low as possible to avoid interaction with the corresponding cation.
- The WCA should have reasonably simple synthetic access from readily available starting materials and a suitable way to introduce the WCA into the targeted compound.

With these design principles in mind, numerous WCAs have been developed over the past decades. However, there are three classes of WCAs, which have shown to be the most versatile and synthetically useful. On the one hand, carborate anions (XXXVI, Scheme 16)^[170] were demonstrated to be among the most chemically robust WCAs even allowing the isolation of salts of protonated benzene.^[171] However, their synthesis is tedious, and they have been shown to possess remaining Lewis basicity.^[170] In contrast, fluorinated tetra-aryl-borates (e. g. **XXXVII**) and fluorinated alkoxy-aluminates (e. g. **XXXVIII**) are readily accessible and partially even surpass the WCA properties of carborates. Recently, the largest and yet "leastcoordinating" anion (**XXXIX**) could be prepared in high yields and again represents an alkoxyaluminate based compound.^[172] With their invention affecting chemistry as a whole, WCAs also proved inevitable for the isolation and study of extremely reactive (poly-)pnictogen cations (*vide supra*). Conclusively, the robust perfluorinated anions $[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ ⁻ ($[BAr^F]$ -)^[173] and $[A|\{OC(CF_3)_3\}_4]^-$ ([TEF]⁻)^[174] were also used to stabilize several of the most reactive and unstable cations presented within this thesis (*vide infra*).

Scheme 16: Selected carborate, tetraaryl-borate and aluminate based WCAs.

1.3. Polypnictogen (Pnn) Ligand Complexes

The first part of this introduction $(2.1 - 2.3)$ demonstrated the tremendous progress that has been made in organo- and main-group polypnictogen chemistry over the past decades and highlighted their significance for the preparation of poly-pnictogen cations. However, the seminal reports by *Dahl* and co-workers in 1969 on the synthesis of $[\langle Co(CO)_3\rangle_0As_{4-0}]$ (n = 1, 2 ^[175] demonstrated that polypnictogen frameworks could also be stabilized within the coordination sphere of a TM without the need for additional substituents. This founded the field of polypnictogen (Pn_n) ligand complexes (Pn = P, As, Sb, Bi), which are defined by at least one ligand solely built from Pn atoms, which are only bound to the TM or another Pn atom.^[176] These Pn_n ligands spark interest as they share an isolobal relationship with aromatic hydrocarbons (n = 3 – 6), acetylene (n = 2) or the CH fragment itself (n = 1) as shown in Scheme 17 and offer unprecedented insight into the structural chemistry of the group 15 elements. Lastly, the element nitrogen is largely excluded from this discussion, as the field of TM mediated dinitrogen activation stands on its own, [177] although recent developments show that expanded nitrogen frameworks ($[N_5]$ ⁻) can be coordinated to TMs.^[178]

Scheme 17: Isolobal relationship between aromatic hydrocarbons (and acetylene) and cyclo-Pnⁿ ligands commonly found within Pnⁿ ligand complexes.

1.3.1. Synthesis of Pnⁿ Ligand Complexes

Due to the inherent interest these once exotic Pn_n ligand complexes received, synthetic procedures towards them are now well established and comprise several general methods. The first, and most utilized, of these methods can be described as the reaction of a coordinatively unsaturated TM precursor with a suitable (poly-)pnictogen source. While this includes the classic co-thermolysis as well as -photolysis of TM carbonyls with Ph_n precursors (*vide infra*),[176] modern approaches utilize more labile (compared to CO) ligands, e. g. toluene, allowing for more controlled reaction conditions as during the preparation of **XL** (Scheme 18).^[179] A closely related approach towards Pn_n ligand complexes is the *in situ* reduction of TM halide precursors in the presence of a suitable polypnictogem source, as has been exploited in the synthesis of **XLI**. [180] Similarly, the reaction of d- and f-block metal halides with Zintl anions^[181] or smaller anionic Pn transfer reagents (e. g. [PnR₂]⁻, [PnCO]⁻, [*cyclo*-P₅])^[182] displays a suitable entry into Pn_n ligand complexes, especially for smaller Pn_n (n = 1, 2) units

Scheme 18: Selected synthetic approaches towards Pnⁿ ligand complexes.

as in XLII.^[183] Reversing the roles, the reaction of anionic metalates with pnictogen trihalides has been heavily utilized in the synthesis of Pn₁ and other small Pn_n complexes.^[184] However, recent reports focus on the sequential assembly of the desired Pn_n ligand within the coordination sphere of the TM,^[185] as has been demonstrated for the first side-on complex of P2. Furthermore, photolysis of the platinum azide precursor was shown to produce a metallonitrene.^[186] Similarly, a complex featuring the rare Bi₂ ligand could also be rationalized via photolysis, highlighting the importance of this approach very early on.^[187] However, white phosphorus (P_4) and yellow arsenic (As_4) remain the most versatile precursors for the preparation of larger P_n and As_n ligand complexes, respectively (*vide infra*). In contrast, the preparation of expanded polyantimony and -bismuth ligands (and sometimes As) often relies on the utilization of more exotic compounds, such as organo-polypnictines or -pnictides, [188] poly-anionic Zintl clusters^[189] or even the insoluble allotropes of these elements.^[190] An illustrative example of the complicated access into poly-bismuth complexes is the multi-step procedure towards a compound featuring a Bi_4 tetrahedron and its derivatives.^[182a,191]

1.3.2. Pn⁴ Transformation by TM Complexes

The most versatile Pn_n surrogates for the preparation of P_n and As_n ligand complexes are P₄ and As₄. While they have been used since the infancy of this field, [176] especially the lighter P_4 received renewed interest in the context of a more sustainable production of organophosphorus compounds. Besides the extremely energy intensive production of P_4 itself,^[192] the

conventional synthetic procedures for producing organo-phosphines from P_4 (Scheme 19) involve highly toxic and corrosive intermediates and cause enormous amounts of waste.^[193] Recent developments by the groups of *Cummins*, *Wolf* and *Weigand* try to avoid most of these issues by employing the transformation of P_4 by radical species (**XLIII**),^[194] strong oxidants (XLV),^[195] photocatalysis (XLIV)^[196] or electrochemistry,^[197] or avoid the necessity of P₄ all along.^[198] Yet, while these approaches offer an exciting, highly efficient, and benign entry into mono-phosphorus compounds, they rarely allow for insight into the stepwise cleavage of P–P bonds (Scheme 20) or even the isolation of potential intermediates. In contrast, TM mediated P_4 activation allows both, insight into the stepwise degradation of the P_4 tetrahedron as well as the isolation of polyphosphorus species, which may display intermediates on the way to the desired phosphine products.^[199] Furthermore, the transformation of P_4 by TM species can lead to even larger polyphosphorus compounds, which would otherwise be inaccessible. All of these benefits lead to TM mediated P_4 transformation developing into one of the most fruitful areas within phosphorus chemistry over the past decades. Similarly, the interest into the transformation of isostructural As⁴ by TM complexes received an influx of interest and displays a way to study arsenic's rich structural chemistry, which is otherwise often hard to access.^[21] The following provides an overview of structural motives arising from Pn_4 (Pn = P, As) transformation by TM complexes and aims to show their immense potential for the preparation of polypnictogen cations.

While P₁ and As₁ complexes are readily prepared from small Pn₁ precursors (*vide supra*), the synthesis of such compounds starting from P_4 or As₄ is much less common. This can largely be attributed to the fact that all six Pn–Pn bonds of the $Ph₄$ tetrahedron have to be cleaved within this process. Yet, cubane-type complexes (XLVI, Scheme 21)^[200] could be prepared from Pa_4 and suitable TM precursors, while a tetrahedral complex with a capping phosphide^[201] was obtained using a tungsten alkoxide precursor. Related amido and siloxido precursors could later be utilized to access compounds featuring bridging (**XLVII**) [202] and finally terminal $(XLVIII)^{[203]}$ pnictide complexes starting from P_4 or As₄. Notably, all of the latter compounds are based on group 5 and mostly group 6 metals, highlighting their importance for the field.

Scheme 19: Conventional synthetic route towards organo-phosphines (left) and novel approaches towards this challenge (right); R = usually alkyl residues, R' = usually aryl residues, R'' = various alkyl and aryl groups, R''' = aryl groups, R'''' = substituents derived from relatively acidic functional groups.

Scheme 20: Schematic representation of the stepwise degradation of P⁴ via sequential P–P bond cleavage; Lone pairs of electrons and charges are neglected.

In contrast, P_4 and As₄ have been utilized numerously in the synthesis of Pn_2 complexes. The Pn₂ units in these compounds commonly act as bridging ligands between two metals. Thus, complexes with one bridging Pn² ligand are usually obtained in a tetrahedral (**IL**, Scheme 22)^[204] or butterfly (L)^[202b,205] conformation depending on the presence of a respective M–M bond. Notably, the former are isolobal to the respective Pn₄ tetrahedra themselves, as they are usually formed with TM fragments possessing 15 valence electrons (VE). Two Pn₂ ligands are incorporated within triple-decker compounds (LI)^[204b,206] or cluster type arrangements,^[207] while three Pn_2 units are found within prismatic TM clusters.^[206f] Exceptions to these structural motives are the end-on bridging (μ , η ^{1:1}) P_2 unit in a Nb siloxide complex,^[208] or a phosphidophosphinidene P_2 ligand bridging three Ta centers.^[209]

 Pn_3 complexes obtained by the activation of P_4 or As₄ are similarly numerous. Most commonly, the respective products contain a *cyclo*-Pn₃ ligand in either bridging or end-deck coordination mode. Again, the combination of a TM moiety with 15 VE and the *cyclo-*Pn₃ ligand leads to compounds which are isolobal to Pn₄ (LII, Scheme 23),^[204a-c,210] yet other end-deck *cyclo*-Pn₃ compounds are readily available as well.[211] In contrast, the bridging mode of the *cyclo*-Pn³ ligand is commonly realized within triple-decker complexes or related species (**LIII**).[210b,210d,210j,211f,212] Furthermore, compounds with *catena*-Pn³ ligands are known,[206i,206j,213] but not obtained from Pn₄ as readily as *cyclo*-Pn₃ complexes.

Scheme 21: Selected Pn¹ complexes prepared from Pn4; Cp' = C5H4Me, {Ni} = Cp'Ni, Ar' = 3,5-dimethylphenyl.

Scheme 22: Selected Pn² complexes prepared from Pn4; Cp = C5Me5, Cp⁺ = C5Me4Et.*

Complexes featuring Pn₄ ligands are by far the most accessible Pn_n ligand complexes (from $P_4/As₄$) which can be attributed to the comparably small number of Pn–Pn bonds that need to be cleaved/rearranged. Additionally, Pn₄ ligands show the greatest structural diversity compared to their smaller ($n = 1-3$) and larger ($n = 5-8$) congeners and can usually be obtained in various coordination modes. While the lone pairs of electrons at each of the Pn atoms in P_4 and As₄ allowed the synthesis of various η^1 coordinated complexes (e. g. LIV, Scheme 24) of intact Pn₄ tetrahedra,^[210d,210e,214] n² coordinated side-on complexes (e. g. LV)^[215] could also be obtained especially with coinage metals. Notably, this is due to the HOMO of uncoordinated Pn⁴ being located at a Pn–Pn bond rather than the lone pairs, which possess significant sorbital character. The more common observation of η^1 bound Pn₄ is thus attributed to a more complex electronic interaction between the TM and the Pn_4 tetrahedron.^[214l] Cleavage of one Pn–Pn bond affords the butterfly Pn₄ motif, which is usually obtained by insertion of a TM moiety ($\eta^{1:1}$, e. g. LVI)^[214e,216] or the addition of TM units to the wing-tip Pn atoms (μ , $\eta^{1:1}$, e. g. LVII).^[216],216k,217] When a second Pn–Pn bond is cleaved, there are two possibilities to do so. Most commonly, this affords the formation of *cyclo*-Pn₄ ligand complexes, in which the *cyclo*-Pn₄ ligand can bind in end-deck (LVIII),^{[179,180,206g,206i,206j,218] bridging (e. g.} LIX)^{[212g,212h,216d,216f,216m,216n,216j,218c,219] or even more complicated coordination}

Scheme 23: Selected end-deck and triple-decker cyclo-Pn³ ligand complexes prepared from Pn4; Cp''' = 1,2,4 ^tBu3C5H2.

Scheme 24: Selected examples of Pn⁴ complexes prepared from Pn4; Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl.

mode.[206h,216g,216h,216k,216l,220] In contrast, compounds with a *cyclo*-Pn3-Pn ligand are far less known and only obtained upon simultaneous substitution.^[210i,221] Finally, cleavage of a third Pn–Pn bond affords either *catena*-Pn₄ ligand complexes (e. g. LX)^[211g,212i,216c,216f,216j,216m,217a,222] or compounds with the rarer branched $Pn(Pn)_3$ ligand.^[200b,209,223]

The *cyclo*-Pn⁵ ligand could first be stabilized within the triple decker complex **LXI** (Scheme 25),^[224] which was however obtained by utilizing (MeAs)₅ as As source. While several tripledecker and inverse sandwich complexes of *cyclo*-Pn₅ are accessible from both P₄ as well as As₄,^[2061,210h,217e,225] sandwich compounds with an end-deck *cyclo*-Pn₅ ligand^{[217a,219j,226] also} became available within a short time. Importantly, the *cyclo*-Pn₅ ligand takes a special place, as it displays the isolobal counterpart to the cyclopentadienide anion (C_5H_5) , ^[19] arguably one of the most important ligands in organometallic chemistry. While the carbon-free sandwich compound **LXII**[227] surely displays a landmark within this field, the seminal pentaphosphaferrocene **LXIII**, [226a] featured only one *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand. Similarly to the *cyclo*-Pn⁵ ligand, the *cyclo*-Pn₆ ligand owes its significance to its isolobal carbocyclic counterpart,^[19]

Scheme 25: Selected examples of cyclo-Pn⁵ and cyclo-P⁶ ligand complexes prepared from Pn4.

namely prototypical benzene. Both, the *cyclo*-P₆^{[204b,206i,206j,218a,228] as well as the *cyclo*-As₆^[206d]} ligand could be stabilized within triple decker complexes (**LXIV**, Scheme 25) synthesized from P_4 and As₄, respectively. However, complexes featuring Pn₆ ligands with different structural motives could also be obtained in this way.^[208] Thus, compounds with e. q. prismatic^[219],^{229]} or bicyclic^[212e,230] Pn₆ ligands are available as well.

In comparison to the compounds above, larger Pn_n ligands become even rarer with the number of Pn atoms present. Only few Pn₇ ligand complexes are known, mostly featuring a nortricyclan-type scaffold and group 3 or f-block metals (LXV, Scheme 26).^[231] In contrast, the group of Pn_8 ligands makes up the majority of these larger compounds. The most common structural motif within this group are cunean/realgar-type $Pn₈$ ligand complexes (**LXVII**),[216i,219g,231a,232] but more complex (e. g. bicyclic) arrangements[179,225d,233] and even cyclo-As₈ ligand complexes (LXVII)^[234] could be realized within the past decades. Even larger Ph_n ligand scaffolds with up to 24 P atoms^[235] and 12 As atoms^[236] are accessible from the controlled reaction of unsaturated Co complexes with P_4 and As₄, respectively. Finally, several exotic complexes featuring extended $\mathsf{Pn}_{10}^{[237]}$ or $\mathsf{P}_{11}^{[219e]}$ ligands could also be obtained from the activation of the Pn_4 tetrahedra.

Scheme 26: Selected P⁷ and Pn⁸ ligand complexes prepared from Pn4.

1.3.3. Reactivity

Coordination

The most obvious difference between Pn_n ligand complexes and their carbocyclic counterparts are the free lone pairs of electrons at each of the respective Pn atoms. The availability of these lone pairs enables Pn_n ligand complexes to engage in further coordination chemistry. While this has in part been demonstrated already during the initial synthesis of these compounds (*vide supra*), reaction of uncoordinated Pn_n ligand complexes with coordinatively unsaturated (transition-) metal compounds displays a more general approach towards Pn_n ligand based coordination compounds. Examples for simple molecular coordination complexes of this type are **LXVIII**[238] and **LXIX**[239] (Scheme 27), arising from the coordination of AuCl or a second ${CpFe}$ unit to the respective P₁ and *cyclo-*P₅ complex. Notably, in the latter the π -donor

capability of the *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand overshadows the donating capability of the lone pairs at the P atoms and thus leads to formation of a triple-decker complex. Related triple-decker compounds and other molecular coordination compounds could be isolated numerously from reactions of Pnⁿ ligand complexes with suitable (transition-) metal precursors.[240] However, the *Scheer* group could expand this concept to achieve the synthesis of 1D-, 2D-, and even 3D coordination polymers by reacting Pn_n ligand complexes with coinage metal^[241] and group 13 salts.^[241e,242] While the initial focus was on the lighter P_n ligand complexes, arguably due to their stronger donating character, latest results on heavier Pn_n (e. g. As_n)^[243] ligand complexes highlight their capacity to assemble within unprecedented supramolecular aggregates. Furthermore, the additional integration of organic linking units could be achieved, which afforded the formation of organometallic-organic hybrid materials.^[244] However, the reaction of Pn_n ligand complexes with coinage metal salts can also lead to the formation of spherical supramolecular aggregates with C_{60} topology and beyond.^[245] Outstandingly, these compounds display all-inorganic counterparts to fullerenes and demonstrate the immense potential of Pn_n ligand complexes in coordination chemistry, which has been highlighted in several review articles.^[246]

Scheme 27: Selected examples of further coordination reactivity of Pⁿ ligand complexes leading to bridging phosphido (LXVIII) and triple decker (LXIX) complexes.

Nucleophilic and Reductive Transformation of Pnⁿ Ligand Complexes

Besides their rich coordination chemistry, Pn_n ligand complexes also exhibit interesting redox reactivity. Especially, the reduction of Pn_n ligand complexes to anionic TM stabilized polypnictides has been studied over the past decades. The utilization of common, alkali metal based, reduction agents (e. g. KC8) may lead to a doubly reduced species (e. g. **LXX**, Scheme 28),^[247] dimeric products (e. g. LXXI),^[247a,248] or even scrambling/fragmentation reactions and thus the formation of unexpected anionic Pn_{n} ligand complexes (e. g. **LXXII**).^[248a,249] Consequently, the reaction of Ph_n ligand complexes with highly reducing lanthanide compounds has been found to serve as a useful way to access mixed d-/f-block polypnictides (e. g. LXXIII).^[250] Similarly, conversion of Pn_n complexes with group 2 based reductants allows the preparation of TM polypnictides, in which the group 2 metal is closely assembled with the Pn_n framework.^[251] The closely associated functionalization of Pn_n ligand complexes with nucleophiles is equally well developed. Both, anionic as well as neutral nucleophiles can simply add to the Pn_n ligands and thus provide functionalized complexes (e. g. LXXIV, Scheme 29), respectively.[211e,248b,252] However, the nucleophilic addition can also trigger rearrangement of the Pn_n ligand,^[248b,253] as in **LXXV**, or even fragmentation reactions (e. g. **LXXVI**).^[254] A highly selective fragmentation reaction can for example be observed in the NHC mediated ring contraction of several *cyclo*- Pn_n ligands.^[48b] Furthermore, studies on the controlled hydrolysis and halogenation of TM coordinated P⁴ by the group of *Peruzzini* gave invaluable insight into the mechanism of this fundamental fragmentation reaction of P_4 itself.^[255] Similarly, the halogenation of Pn_n ligand complexes provided crucial understanding of the highly relevant process of e. g. P_4 halogenation.^[256] Lastly, the reaction of Pn_n ligand complexes with low valent main group compounds has been demonstrated to afford unprecedented mixed main group compounds stabilized within the coordination sphere of the TM. This allowed the preparation of TM bound polypnictogen ligands incorporating Al,^[257] Ga,^[258] Si,^[259] Ge,^[260] Sn,^[260a,261] and Pb.^[260a,261b] The reaction of a Zr bound Pn₄ ligand with a halide substituted silylene even provided access to the TM free **LXXVII**, which are Pn/Si analogs (Pn = P, As) of benzene

Scheme 28: Selected TM stabilized polypnictide anions arising from the reduction of Pnⁿ ligand complexes (left) and a mixed d-/f-metal triple decker complex with a P⁵ middle deck (right); {LnM} = {(2,5-bis{N-(2,6 diisopropylphenyl)iminomethyl}pyrrolyl)Sm}.

Scheme 29: Selected example of nucleophilically functionalized Pⁿ ligand complexes resulting from addition (LXXIV), aggregation (LXXV) or fragmentation (LXXVI); R = alkyl, dialkylamino, aryl.

(Scheme 30).^[262] Notably, the nucleophilic functionalization of Pn_n ligand complexes has mainly focused on the phosphorus derivatives, while As_n ligands have rarely been studied and the nucleophilic functionalization of the heavier congeners is virtually unknown.

Scheme 30: Inorganic Pn/Si benzene analogs (LXVII) arising from the reaction of a chloro-silylene with LVI.

However, the subsequent addition of electrophiles to anionic Pn_n , but especially P_n ligand complexes, is comparably well established. Simple salt-metathesis reactions facilitate the introduction of further substituents (e. g. LXXVIII, Scheme 31),^[263] rearrangement reactions (e. g. **LXXIX**),[253g] or expansion of the Pⁿ ligand (e. g. **LXXX**),[249,253h,264] when a Pn based electrophile is used. Prominently, the sequential nucleophilic/electrophilic functionalization of pentaphosphaferrocene **LXIII**[226a] recently allowed the preparation of asymmetric

Scheme 31: Selected examples of functionalized Pnⁿ ligand complexes arising from electrophile addition (LXXVIII), rearrangement (LXXIX) or expansion (LXXX) to anionic polypnictogen complexes.

phosphines.^[265] Further reactivity of Pn_n ligands involves their transfer between TM centers (e. g. *cyclo*-P5),[266] or reactivity towards unsaturated substrates, such as phosphaalkynes. The latter was shown to afford Fe coordinated phospholyl ligands^[267] or highly extended organopolyphosphorus frameworks coordinated to early TMs.^[268] Interestingly, P_1 ligand complexes also display a potential synthetic entry into phosphaalkynes.^[269] Finally, several P_2 complexes can serve as sources for the transient P_2 molecule under suitable conditions,^[270] which has been utilized for the synthesis of valuable organic molecules containing a P_2 unit.

Cationic Polypnictogen Complexes: Challenges and New Potential

In striking contrast to the above discussion, cationic Pn_n ligand complexes have been investigated to a far lower extent. As this closely resembles the situation of TM free polypnictogen species (*vide supra*), the decreased availability of TM coordinated polypnictogen cations may as well be attributed to their high reactivity as well as challenging preparation. However, these compounds display intriguing synthetic targets, as the coordinated TM may allow the isolation of otherwise unstable polypnictogen cations. Furthermore, this route displays another entry into the functionalization of Pn_n ligand complexes and thus give valuable insight into the transformation of P_4/As_4 . Consecutive nucleophilic or reductive transformation of TM coordinated polypnictogen cations could facilitate the preparation of yet unprecedented polypnictogen compounds. With this in mind, the potential synthetic pathways towards TM bound polypnictogen cations can be grouped into three distinct categories (Scheme 32).

The presence of a TM in Ph_n ligand complexes usually allows for their oxidation. Thus, neutral Ph_n ligand complexes can be transformed into the respective cations by addition of a suitable oxidation reagent. While this pathway is rarely applicable to TM free polypnictogen cations, besides e. g. XXVIII,^[135,136] it has already seen utilization for the synthesis of TM bound Pn_n cations. Small Pn₂ complexes can be oxidized to form Pn₄ chains (LXXXI, Scheme 33),

Scheme 32: Conceptual access to TM coordinated polypnictogen cations via oxidation, coordination or electrophilic functionalization.

Scheme 33: Selected TM stabilized polypnictogen cations arising from the oxidation of Pnⁿ ligand complexes.

cages (LXXXII),^[271] and even hetero-polypnictogen cations.^[272] Similarly, the *cyclo-P₅* complex **LXIII**^[226a] can be oxidized under P-P bond formation to yield a P_{10} ligand complex (LXXXIII).^[247a,273] In contrast, the oxidation of *cyclo*-Pn₆^[241e,274] and other Pn_n tripledecker^[247b,247c] complexes only leads to distortion of the ligand (e. g. LXXXIV). Lastly, oxidation of Pn_n ligand complexes can also lead to fragmentation.^[247c,275]

The second pathway towards cationic Pn_n ligand complexes is the coordination of cationic/neutral polyphosphorus species to neutral or cationic TM units, respectively. Most of these compounds fall along the lines of complexes of intact P_4 or As₄ tetrahedra (e. g. LIV) as well as few examples of rearranged Pn_n moieties (*vide supra*). However, the coordination of polyphosphorus cations to neutral TM species can also lead to rearrangement within the polyphosphorus unit as in the *cyclo*-P₅R₂ complex LXXXV (Scheme 34).^[276] Furthermore, the coordination of additional TM units to preformed TM bound polypnictogen cations has been demonstrated to furnish intriguing species, such as the azide analogs **LXXXVI**. [277]

The third and last potential way towards TM bound polypnictogen cations is the functionalization of neutral Pn_n ligand complexes with cationic electrophiles. While the potential of this approach has been utilized very early on within the investigation of Ph_n ligand complexes, it did not receive significant attention within the past decades. Thus, examples of

Scheme 34: Synthesis of the TM coordinated polyphosphorus cation LXXXV via coordination of a Cp^{Ar5}Co unit (left) and the heavy azide analogs <i>LXXXVI (right); COD = cyclo-octadiene, $Cp^{A_75} = C_5(C_6H_4 - 4-Et)$ ⁵.

it being utilized are restricted to the alkylation and protonation reactions of a *cyclo-Pn₃* ligand leading to e. g. LXXXVII (Scheme 35),^[278] and related prefunctionalized species.^[279] While salt metathesis reactions between anionic P_n ligand complexes and halo-phosphines are well established,^[249] e. g. affording *cyclo*-P₅R₂ ligands,^[254a,258a] the electrophilic functionalization with pnictogenium cations has only recently been transferred to neutral P_n ligand complexes.[275] Thus, *in situ* generated phosphenium cations readily insert into one of the P–P bonds of a butterfly P₄ complex to afford LXXXVIII.^[280] Notably, neutral Pn centered electrophiles have previously been shown to insert into non-polar *cyclo*-Pn_n ligands as well.^[281]

Conclusively, the chemistry of TM stabilized polypnictogen cations is highly underdeveloped compared to their neutral as well as anionic TM homologs (*vide supra*). While three potential synthetic pathways towards TM bound polypnictogen cations have been pointed out, these have seen variable utilization. Oxidation of neutral Pn_n ligand complexes has been proven to be a valuable tool in accessing TM stabilized polypnictogen cations with novel structural and bonding motifs. Coordination to cationic TM units has often been utilized to access complexes featuring intact Pn₄ tetrahedra but this does not always facilitate further transformation to other Ph_n scaffolds. Most notably, the potential of the electrophilic functionalization of Ph_n ligand complexes has substantially been overlooked for the past decades.

Scheme 35: Rare examples of TM coordinated polyphosphorus cations arising directly from the electrophilic functionalization of neutral Pⁿ ligand complexes.

1.4. References

- [1] A. F. Holleman, N. Wiberg in *Lehrbuch der anorganischen Chemie*, De Gruyter, Berlin (DE), **2007**, 651–860.
- [2] Y. T. Oganessian, V. K. Utyonkoy, Y. V. Lobanov, F. S. Abdullin, A. N. Polyakov, I. V. Shirokovsky, Y. S. Tsyganov, G. G. Gulbekian, S. L. Bogomolov, A. N. Mezentsev, S. Iliev, V. G. Subbotin, A. M. Sukhov, A. A. Voinov, G. V. Buklanov, K. Subotic, V. I. Zagrebaev, M. G. Itkis, J. B. Patin, K. J. Moody, J. F. Wild, M. A. Stoyer, N. J. Stoyer, D. A. Shaughnessy, J. M. Kenneally, R. W. Lougheed, *Phys. Rev. C* **2004**, *69*.
- [3] A. F. Holleman, N. Wiberg in *Lehrbuch der anorganischen Chemie*, De Gruyter, Berlin (DE), **2007**, 302.
- [4] L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond and the Structure of Molecules & Crystals*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, **1973**.
- [5] A. F. Holleman, N. Wiberg in *Lehrbuch der anorganischen Chemie*, De Gruyter, Berlin (DE), **2007**, 2145–2148.
- [6] J. W. Erisman, M. A. Sutton, J. Galloway, Z. Klimont, W. Winiwarter, *Nat. Geosci.* **2008**, *1*, 636–639.
- [7] a) F. Haber, R. Le Rossignol, "Production of ammonia", U. S. Patent 1202995A, **1909**; b) C. Bosch, "Process of producing ammonia", U. S. Patent 990191, **1908**.
- [8] F. Haber, *Nobel Lecture* **1920**.
- [9] C. Bosch, *Nobel Lecture* **1932**.
- [10] V. Smil, *Enriching the earth*. *Fritz Haber, Carl Bosch, and the transformation of world food production*, MIT Press, Cambridge, **2004**.
- [11] D. E. C. Corbridge, *Phosphorus*. *Chemistry, biochemistry and technology*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, **2013**.
- [12] D. E. C. Corbridge, E. J. LOWE, *Nature* **1952**, *170*, 629.
- [13] X. Ling, H. Wang, S. Huang, F. Xia, M. S. Dresselhaus, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2015**, *112*, 4523–4530.
- [14] A. Carvalho, M. Wang, X. Zhu, A. S. Rodin, H. Su, A. H. Castro Neto, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2016**, *1*, 1–16.
- [15] A. Pfitzner, M. F. Bräu, J. Zweck, G. Brunklaus, H. Eckert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4228–4231.
- [16] W. Jansen, M. Ducci, *CHEMKON* **2006**, *13*, 133–142.
- [17] J. A. Osborn, F. H. Jardine, J. F. Young, G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc. A* **1966**, 1711.
- [18] a) P. Schwab, M. B. France, J. W. Ziller, R. H. Grubbs, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 2039–2041; b) M. Scholl, S. Ding, C. W. Lee, R. H. Grubbs, *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 953– 956.
- [19] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [20] a) K. B. Dillon, F. Mathey, J. F. Nixon, *Phosphorus*. *The carbon copy: from organophosphorus to phospha-organic chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester (UK),

New York (US), Weinheim (DE), Brisbane (AU), Singapore (SG) Toronto (CA), **2022**; b) F. Mathey, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 1578–1604.

- [21] M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 8406–8434.
- [22] A. E. Seitz, F. Hippauf, W. Kremer, S. Kaskel, M. Scheer, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 361– 367.
- [23] D. W. Bierer, *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **1990**, *12*, 3-8.
- [24] M. Mato, D. Spinnato, M. Leutzsch, H. W. Moon, E. J. Reijerse, J. Cornella, *Nat. Chem.* **2023**, *15*, 1138–1145.
- [25] H. W. Moon, J. Cornella, *ACS Catal.* **2022**, *12*, 1382–1393.
- [26] a) M. N. Hopkinson, C. Richter, M. Schedler, F. Glorius, *Nature* **2014**, *510*, 485–496; b) V. Nesterov, D. Reiter, P. Bag, P. Frisch, R. Holzner, A. Porzelt, S. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 9678–9842.
- [27] F. Dielmann, O. Back, M. Henry-Ellinger, P. Jerabek, G. Frenking, G. Bertrand, *Science* **2012**, *337*, 1526–1528.
- [28] L. Liu, D. A. Ruiz, D. Munz, G. Bertrand, *Chem.* **2016**, *1*, 147–153.
- [29] a) A. Velian, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 13978–13981; b) W. J. Transue, A. Velian, M. Nava, C. García-Iriepa, M. Temprado, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 10822–10831.
- [30] A. Velian, M. Nava, M. Temprado, Y. Zhou, R. W. Field, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13586–13589.
- [31] Y. Pang, N. Nöthling, M. Leutzsch, L. Kang, E. Bill, M. van Gastel, E. Reijerse, R. Goddard, L. Wagner, D. SantaLucia, S. DeBeer, F. Neese, J. Cornella, *Science* **2023**, *380*, 1043– 1048.
- [32] P. Simon, F. de Proft, R. Jambor, A. Růzicka, L. Dostál, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 5468–5471.
- [33] K. Hansen, T. Szilvási, B. Blom, S. Inoue, J. Epping, M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 11795–11798.
- [34] A. Doddi, M. Weinhart, A. Hinz, D. Bockfeld, J. M. Goicoechea, M. Scheer, M. Tamm, *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 6069–6072.
- [35] R. Kretschmer, D. A. Ruiz, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 8176–8179.
- [36] G. Wang, L. A. Freeman, D. A. Dickie, R. Mokrai, Z. Benkő, R. J. Gilliard, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 4335–4339.
- [37] M. Regitz, *Chem. Rev.* **1990**, *90*, 191–213.
- [38] P. B. Hitchcock, C. Jones, J. F. Nixon, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1994**, *18*, 2061– 2062.
- [39] T. Görlich, P. Coburger, E. S. Yang, J. M. Goicoechea, H. Grützmacher, C. Müller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202217749.
- [40] H. Jun, V. G. Young, R. J. Angelici, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 10064–10065.
- [41] D. W. N. Wilson, S. J. Urwin, E. S. Yang, J. M. Goicoechea, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 10367–10373.
- [42] E. S. Yang, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202206783.
- [43] E. S. Yang, D. W. N. Wilson, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202218047.
- [44] N. T. Coles, A. Sofie Abels, J. Leitl, R. Wolf, H. Grützmacher, C. Müller, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *433*, 213729–213754.
- [45] G. Märkl, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1966**, *5*, 846–847.
- [46] a) K.-C. Schwan, A. Y. Timoskin, M. Zabel, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 4900– 4908; b) C. Marquardt, A. Adolf, A. Stauber, M. Bodensteiner, A. V. Virovets, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 11887–11891; c) C. Marquardt, O. Hegen, M. Hautmann, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, A. V. Virovets, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13122–13125; d) M. A. K. Weinhart, A. S. Lisovenko, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 5541–5545; e) M. A. K. Weinhart, M. Seidl, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 3806– 3811.
- [47] Z. Feng, S. Tang, Y. Su, X. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2022**, *51*, 5930–5973.
- [48] a) C. L. B. Macdonald, J. F. Binder, A. Swidan, J. H. Nguyen, S. C. Kosnik, B. D. Ellis, *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 7152–7166; b) M. Piesch, S. Reichl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 16563–16568; c) M. M. Siddiqui, S. K. Sarkar, M. Nazish, M. Morganti, C. Köhler, J. Cai, L. Zhao, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, G. Frenking, H. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 1301–1306.
- [49] a) A. Schmidpeter, S. Lochschmidt, W. S. Sheldrick, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 63–64; b) A. Schmidpeter, S. Lochschmidt, W. S. Sheldrick, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 226–227; c) B. D. Ellis, C. L. B. Macdonald, *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 5981– 5986; d) B. D. Ellis, C. L. B. Macdonald, *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 6864–6874; e) E. L. Norton, K. L. S. Szekely, J. W. Dube, P. G. Bomben, C. L. B. Macdonald, *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 1196–1203; f) P. K. Coffer, K. B. Dillon, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *257*, 910– 923; g) J. W. Dube, C. L. B. Macdonald, B. D. Ellis, P. J. Ragogna, *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 11438–11449; h) S. C. Kosnik, C. L. B. Macdonald, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 6251–6258.
- [50] X. Wang, B. Lei, Z. Zhang, M. Chen, H. Rong, H. Song, L. Zhao, Z. Mo, *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 2968–2979.
- [51] a) S. Fleming, M. K. Lupton, K. Jekot, *Inorg. Chem.* **1972**, *11*, 2534–2540; b) B. E. Maryanoff, R. O. Hutchins, *J. Org. Chem.* **1972**, *37*, 3475–3480; c) A. H. Cowley, M. C. Cushner, M. Lattman, M. L. McKee, J. S. Szobota, J. C. Wilburn, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1980**, *52*, 789–797.
- [52] a) G. Boche, P. Andrews, K. Harms, M. Marsch, K. S. Rangappa, M. Schimeczek, C. Willeke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 4925–4930; b) Y. Tulchinsky, M. A. Iron, M. Botoshansky, M. Gandelman, *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 525–531.
- [53] a) S. G. Baxter, R. L. Collins, A. H. Cowley, S. F. Sena, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 714–715; b) M. Olaru, A. Mischin, L. A. Malaspina, S. Mebs, J. Beckmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1581–1584.
- [54] M. Olaru, D. Duvinage, E. Lork, S. Mebs, J. Beckmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 10080–10084.
- [55] M. Olaru, S. Mebs, J. Beckmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 19133–19138.
- [56] a) C. Üffing, A. Ecker, E. Baum, H. Schnöckel, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1999**, *625*, 1354– 1356; b) J. Zhou, L. L. Liu, L. L. Cao, D. W. Stephan, *Chem.* **2018**, *4*, 2699–2708; c) J. Zhou, L. L. Liu, L. L. Cao, D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 5407–5412; d) J. Zhou, H. Kim, L. L. Liu, L. L. Cao, D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 12953– 12956.
- [57] L. Greb, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 17881–17896.
- [58] C. Frazee, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, A. Decken, B. O. Patrick, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 4011–4013.
- [59] J. S. Wenger, M. Weng, G. N. George, T. C. Johnstone, *Nat. Chem.* **2023**, *15*, 633–640.
- [60] P. Mehlmann, T. Witteler, L. F. B. Wilm, F. Dielmann, *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 1139–1143.
- [61] P. Löwe, M. Feldt, M. A. Wünsche, L. F. B. Wilm, F. Dielmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 9818–9826.
- [62] P. Löwe, T. Witteler, F. Dielmann, *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, *57*, 5043–5046.
- [63] P. Löwe, M. A. Wünsche, F. R. S. Purtscher, J. Gamper, T. S. Hofer, L. F. B. Wilm, M. B. Röthel, F. Dielmann, *Chem. Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 7928–7935.
- [64] T. Curtius, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1890**, *23*, 3023–3033.
- [65] a) B. Bazanov, U. Geiger, R. Carmieli, D. Grinstein, S. Welner, Y. Haas, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 13233–13235; b) C. Zhang, C. Sun, B. Hu, C. Yu, M. Lu, *Science* **2017**, *355*, 374–376; c) C. Yang, C. Zhang, Z. Zheng, C. Jiang, J. Luo, Y. Du, B. Hu, C. Sun, K. O. Christe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 16488–16494.
- [66] a) M. Baudler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 419–441; b) H. G. von Schnering, W. Hoenle, *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 243–273; c) M. Baudler, K. Glinka, *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 1623–1667; d) M. Baudler, K. Glinka, *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 1273–1297; e) M. E. Schlesinger, *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 4267–4301; f) M. Scheer, G. Balázs, A. Seitz, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4236–4256; g) T. Wellnitz, C. Hering‐Junghans, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *2021*, 8–21.
- [67] F. Kraus, J. C. Aschenbrenner, N. Korber, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 4030–4033.
- [68] a) F. Kraus, T. Hanauer, N. Korber, *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 1117–1123; b) N. Korber, M. Reil, *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, *1*, 84–85.
- [69] S. C. Critchlow, J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 770–774.
- [70] A. Cisar, J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.* **1977**, *16*, 2482–2487.
- [71] H. Grützmacher, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2012**, *638*, 1877–1879.
- [72] a) M. Baudler, D. Düster, D. Ouzounis, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1987**, *544*, 87–94; b) M. Baudler, S. Akpapoglou, D. Ouzounis, F. Wasgestian, B. Meinigke, H. Budzikiewicz, H. Münster, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 280–281.
- [73] V. A. Milyukov, A. V. Kataev, O. G. Sinyashin, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2006**, *55*, 1297–1299.
- [74] a) W. Schmettow, A. Lipka, H. G. von Schnering, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1974**, *13*, 345; b) H. G. von Schnering, W. Hönle, W. Bauhofer, G. Kliche, T. Meyer, W. Schmettow, U. Hinze, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1987**, *553*, 261–279.
- [75] F. Kraus, J. Schmedt Auf der Günne, B. F. DiSalle, N. Korber, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, *2*, 218–219.
- [76] E. Zintl, *Angew. Chem.* **1939**, *52*, 1–6.
- [77] M. Baudler, W. Faber, *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 3394–3395.
- [78] F. Emmerling, C. Röhr, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **2002**, *57*, 963–975.
- [79] a) C. Hirschle, C. Röhr, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2000**, *626*, 1992–1998; b) F. Mutzbauer, N. Korber, *Acta Crystallogr. E* **2011**, *67*, 1551-1551.
- [80] a) W. Dahlmann, H. G. v. Schnering, *Sci. Nat.* **1972**, *59*, 420; b) H. G. von Schnering, V. Manriquez, W. Hönle, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1981**, *20*, 594–595; c) M. Baudler, O. Exner, *Chem. Ber.* **1983**, *116*, 1268–1270; d) M. Baudler, D. Düster, K. Langerbeins, J. Germeshausen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1984**, *23*, 317–318; e) M. Baudler, D. Düster, J. Germeshausen, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1986**, *534*, 19–26.
- [81] M. Baudler, R. Heumller, D. Dster, J. Germeshausen, J. Hahn, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1984**, *518*, 7–13.
- [82] a) U. Bolle, W. Tremel, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1992**, *2*, 91–93; b) N. Korber, F. Richter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 1512–1514; c) L. Xu, S. Bobev, J. El-Bahraoui, S. C. Sevov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 1838–1839; d) F. Gascoin, S. C. Sevov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 10251–10252; e) F. Gascoin, S. C. Sevov, *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 5177–5181; f) T. Hanauer, J. C. Aschenbrenner, N. Korber, *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 6723–6727; g) T. Hanauer, N. Korber, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2006**, *632*, 1135– 1140; h) M. Reil, N. Korber, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2007**, *633*, 1599–1602; i) M. Kaas, N. Korber, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2017**, *643*, 1331–1334.
- [83] a) G. Fritz, W. Hölderich, *Sci. Nat.* **1975**, *62*, 573–575; b) W. Hönle, H. G. V. Schnering, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1978**, *440*, 171–182.
- [84] a) M. Baudler, J. Hellmann, P. Bachmann, K.-F. Tebbe, R. Fröhlich, M. Fehér, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1981**, *20*, 406–408; b) M. Baudler, B. Koll, C. Adamek, R. Gleiter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 347–348.
- [85] a) M. Baudler, J. Hahn, V. Arndt, B. Koll, K. Kazmierczak, E. Drr, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1986**, *538*, 7–20; b) M. Baudler, M. Schnalke, C. Wiaterek, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1990**, *585*, 7–17.
- [86] M. Baudler, S. Schlitte, J. Hasenbach, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1988**, *560*, 7–17.
- [87] a) H. G. von Schnering, D. Fenske, W. Hönle, M. Binnewies, K. Peters, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1979**, *18*, 679; b) M. Baudler, H. Jachow, J. Germeshausen, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1987**, *553*, 15–23; c) M. Baudler, H. Jachow, A. Floruss, J. Hasenbach, *Chem. Ber.* **1991**, *124*, 1153–1157.
- [88] a) M. Baudler, Y. Aktalay, V. Arndt, K.-F. Tebbe, M. Fehér, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1983**, *22*, 1002–1003; b) M. Baudler, H. Jachow, K.-F. Tebbe, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1991**, *593*, 9–16.
- [89] M. Baudler, M. Schnalke, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1990**, *585*, 18–26.
- [90] a) M. Baudler, H. Jachow, B. Lieser, K.-F. Tebbe, M. Fehér, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 1231–1232; b) M. Baudler, H. Jachow, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1990**, *580*, 27–35; c) M. Baudler, J. Hasenbach, H. Jachow, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1994**, *620*, 2021–2025.
- [91] M. Baudler, W. Oehlert, A. Kmieciak, A. Floruss, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1992**, *611*, 43–49.
- [92] M. Baudler, H. Jachow, W. Oehlert, A. Kmieciak, A. Floruss, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1992**, *616*, 19–26.
- [93] M. Baudler, R. Becher, J. Germeshausen, *Chem. Ber.* **1986**, *119*, 2510–2516.
- [94] M. Baudler, V. Arndt, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1984**, *39*, 275–283.
- [95] a) N. Korber, J. Aschenbrenner, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2001**, *8*, 1165–1166; b) F. Kraus, J. C. Aschenbrenner, T. Klamroth, N. Korber, *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 1911–1919.
- [96] a) A. Schisler, P. Lönnecke, T. Gelbrich, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Dalton Trans.* **2004**, *18*, 2895– 2898; b) R. Wolf, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, *22*, 2626–2627; c) R. Wolf, A. Schisler, P. Lönnecke, C. Jones, E. Hey‐Hawkins, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *2004*, 3277– 3286; d) R. Wolf, S. Gomez-Ruiz, J. Reinhold, W. Böhlmann, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 9107–9113; e) R. Wolf, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2006**, *632*, 727–734.
- [97] J. E. Borger, A. W. Ehlers, M. Lutz, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12836–12839.
- [98] V. J. Eilrich, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *437*, 213749–213762.
- [99] a) W. Hönle, J. Wolf, H. G. von Schnering, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1988**, *43*, 219–223; b) S. Heinl, G. Balázs, A. Stauber, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 15524–15527.
- [100] a) K. Issleib, B. Hamann, L. Schmidt, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1965**, *339*, 298–303; b) H. J. Breunig, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1978**, *33*, 242–243; c) H. J. Breunig, H. Kischkel, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1983**, *502*, 175–177; d) T. F. Berlitz, H. Sinning, J. Lorberth, U. Müller, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1988**, *43*, 744–748; e) L. Tuscher, C. Ganesamoorthy, D. Bläser, C. Wölper, S. Schulz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 10657–10661; f) C. Ganesamoorthy, C. Wölper, A. S. Nizovtsev, S. Schulz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 4204–4209; g) C. Ganesamoorthy, J. Krüger, C. Wölper, A. S. Nizovtsev, S. Schulz, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 2461–2468.
- [101] V. J. Eilrich, T. Grell, P. Lönnecke, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Dalton Trans.* **2021**, *50*, 14144–14155.
- [102] a) S. Traut, A. P. Hähnel, C. von Hänisch, *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 1365–1371; b) B. Ringler, M. Müller, C. von Hänisch, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *2018*, 640–646; c) C. Ritter, N. Michel, A. Rinow, B. Ringler, C. Hänisch, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *2021*, 2514–2522; d) T. Dunaj, C. von Hänisch, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2022**, *28*, e202202932.
- [103] C. Ritter, F. Weigend, C. von Hänisch, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 8536–8540.
- [104] K. M. Marczenko, S. S. Chitnis, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 8015–8018.
- [105] T. M. Klapötke, F. A. Martin, J. Stierstorfer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4227–4229.
- [106] M. Benz, T. M. Klapötke, J. Stierstorfer, M. Voggenreiter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 6143–6147.
- [107] A. K. Eckhardt, M.-L. Y. Riu, M. Ye, P. Müller, G. Bistoni, C. C. Cummins, *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 928–934.
- [108] a) M. Yoshifuji, I. Shima, N. Inamoto, K. Hirotsu, T. Higuchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 4587–4589; b) A. H. Cowley, J. G. Lasch, N. C. Norman, M. Pakulski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 5506–5507; c) N. Tokitoh, Y. Arai, R. Okazaki, S. Nagase, *Science* **1997**, *277*, 78–80; d) N. Tokitoh, Y. Arai, T. Sasamori, R. Okazaki, S. Nagase, H. Uekusa, Y. Ohashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 433–434; e) B. Twamley, C. D. Sofield, M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 3357–3367; f) G. Sieg, M. Fischer, F. Dankert, J.-E. Siewert, C. Hering-Junghans, C. G. Werncke, *Chem. Commun.* **2022**, *58*, 9786– 9789; g) Y. Pang, M. Leutzsch, N. Nöthling, J. Cornella, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, e202302071.
- [109] a) S. Shah, J. D. Protasiewicz, *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, *15*, 1585–1586; b) J. D. Protasiewicz, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *2012*, 4539–4549.
- [110] S. Nees, F. Fantuzzi, T. Wellnitz, M. Fischer, J.-E. Siewert, J. T. Goettel, A. Hofmann, M. Härterich, H. Braunschweig, C. Hering-Junghans, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 24318–24325.
- [111] a) M. Fischer, S. Nees, T. Kupfer, J. T. Goettel, H. Braunschweig, C. Hering-Junghans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 4106–4111; b) T. Taeufer, F. Dankert, D. Michalik, J. Pospech, J. Bresien, C. Hering-Junghans, *Chem. Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 3018–3023; c) S. Nees, T. Wellnitz, F. Dankert, M. Härterich, S. Dotzauer, M. Feldt, H. Braunschweig, C. Hering-Junghans, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202215838.
- [112] O. Back, G. Kuchenbeiser, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5530–5533.
- [113] J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7052–7055.
- [114] C. D. Martin, C. M. Weinstein, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, G. Bertrand, *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 4486–4488.
- [115] J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14180–14181.
- [116] Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer, P. V. R. Schleyer, G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14970–14971.
- [117] M. Haimerl, C. Schwarzmaier, C. Riesinger, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Melaimi, G. Bertrand, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202300280.
- [118] a) M. B. Power, A. R. Barron, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 1353–1354; b) C. Dohmeier, H. Schnöckel, C. Robl, U. Schneider, R. Ahlrichs, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1994**, *33*, 199–200; c) W. Uhl, M. Benter, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, *9*, 771–772; d) A. R. Fox, R. J. Wright, E. Rivard, P. P. Power, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 7729–7733.
- [119] M. M. D. Roy, A. Heilmann, M. A. Ellwanger, S. Aldridge, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 26550–26554.
- [120] G. Prabusankar, A. Doddi, C. Gemel, M. Winter, R. A. Fischer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 7976–7980.
- [121] Y. Peng, H. Fan, H. Zhu, H. W. Roesky, J. Magull, C. E. Hughes, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 3443–3445.
- [122] M. Haimerl, M. Piesch, R. Yadav, P. W. Roesky, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202202529.
- [123] a) Y. Xiong, S. Yao, M. Brym, M. Driess, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 4511–4513; b) J. W. Dube, C. M. E. Graham, C. L. B. Macdonald, Z. D. Brown, P. P. Power, P. J. Ragogna, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 6739–6744; c) D. Sarkar, C. Weetman, D. Munz, S. Inoue, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 3519–3523.
- [124] S. Khan, R. Michel, J. M. Dieterich, R. A. Mata, H. W. Roesky, J.-P. Demers, A. Lange, D. Stalke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 17889–17894.
- [125] a) M. Driess, A. D. Fanta, D. R. Powell, R. West, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 1038–1040; b) M. Drieß, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 1022–1024; c) S. S. Sen, S. Khan, H. W. Roesky, D. Kratzert, K. Meindl, J. Henn, D. Stalke, J.-P. Demers, A. Lange, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 2322–2325; d) M. M. D. Roy, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald, Y. Zhou, E. Rivard, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 6476–6481; e) Y. Wang, T. Szilvási, S. Yao, M. Driess, *Nat. Chem.* **2020**, *12*, 801–807; f) Y. Xiong, S. Dong, S. Yao, J. Zhu, M. Driess, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202205358.
- [126] A. E. Seitz, M. Eckhardt, S. S. Sen, A. Erlebach, E. V. Peresypkina, H. W. Roesky, M. Sierka, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 6655–6659.
- [127] G. Maier, S. Pfriem, U. Schäfer, R. Matusch, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1978**, *17*, 520– 521.
- [128] G. Hierlmeier, P. Coburger, M. Bodensteiner, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 16918–16922.
- [129] M.-L. Y. Riu, R. L. Jones, W. J. Transue, P. Müller, C. C. Cummins, *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6*, eaaz3168.
- [130] M.-L. Y. Riu, M. Ye, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 16354–16357.
- [131] a) M. Ruck, F. Locherer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *285*, 1–10; b) E. Ahmed, M. Ruck, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *255*, 2892–2903; c) M. Drieß, H. Nöth (Eds.) *Molecular Clusters of the Main Group Elements*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (DE), **2008**.
- [132] T. A. Engesser, I. Krossing, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *257*, 946–955.
- [133] a) K. O. Christe, W. W. Wilson, J. A. Sheehy, J. A. Boatz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 2004–2009; b) A. Vij, W. W. Wilson, V. Vij, F. S. Tham, J. A. Sheehy, K. O. Christe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 6308–6313.
- [134] M. Lindsjö, A. Fischer, L. Kloo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2540–2543.
- [135] T. Köchner, T. A. Engesser, H. Scherer, D. A. Plattner, A. Steffani, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 6529–6531.
- [136] J. Frötschel-Rittmeyer, M. Holthausen, C. Friedmann, D. Röhner, I. Krossing, J. J. Weigand, *Sci. Adv.* **2022**, *8*, eabq8613.
- [137] T. Köchner, S. Riedel, A. J. Lehner, H. Scherer, I. Raabe, T. A. Engesser, F. W. Scholz, U. Gellrich, P. Eiden, R. A. Paz Schmidt, D. A. Plattner, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 8139–8143.
- [138] A. Wiesner, S. Steinhauer, H. Beckers, C. Müller, S. Riedel, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 7169– 7173.
- [139] a) M. Y. Abraham, Y. Wang, Y. Xie, R. J. Gilliard, P. Wei, B. J. Vaccaro, M. K. Johnson, H. F. Schaefer, P. V. R. Schleyer, G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 2486– 2488; b) O. Back, B. Donnadieu, P. Parameswaran, G. Frenking, G. Bertrand, *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 369–373.
- [140] R. Kinjo, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 5930–5933.
- [141] a) L. P. Ho, A. Nasr, P. G. Jones, A. Altun, F. Neese, G. Bistoni, M. Tamm, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 18922–18932; b) L. P. Ho, M. Tamm, *Dalton Trans.* **2021**, *50*, 1202–1205.
- [142] H. M. Weinert, Y. Schulte, A. Gehlhaar, C. Wölper, G. Haberhauer, S. Schulz, *Chem. Commun.* **2023**, *59*, 7755–7758.
- [143] P. Griefs, *Ann. Chem. Pharm.* **1858**, *106*, 123–125.
- [144] a) E. Niecke, M. Nieger, F. Reichert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1988**, *27*, 1715–1716; b) N. Burford, J. A. C. Clyburne, P. K. Bakshi, T. S. Cameron, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 8829–8830; c) N. Burford, A. D. Phillips, H. A. Spinney, M. Lumsden, U. Werner-Zwanziger, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 3921–3927.
- [145] M. Kuprat, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 7126–7130.
- [146] a) N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna in *ACS Symposium Series*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, **2005**, 280–292; b) C. A. Dyker, N. Burford, *Chem. Asian J.* **2008**, *3*, 28– 36; c) M. H. Holthausen, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6639–6657; d) A. P. M. Robertson, P. A. Gray, N. Burford, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6050–6069; e) M. Donath, F. Hennersdorf, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 1145–1172.
- [147] a) N. Burford, T. S. Cameron, P. J. Ragogna, E. Ocando-Mavarez, M. Gee, R. McDonald, R. E. Wasylishen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7947–7948; b) N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, *16*, 2066–2067; c) N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 14404–14410; d) N. Burford, D. E. Herbert, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 17067–17073; e) R. J. Davidson, J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, T. S. Cameron, A. Decken, U. Werner-Zwanziger, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, *44*, 4671–4673; f) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, D. Mahnke, A. Decken, *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 7689–7691; g) S. S. Chitnis, E. MacDonald, N. Burford, U. Werner-Zwanziger, R. McDonald, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 7359–7361; h) P. A. Gray, Y. Carpenter, N. Burford, R. McDonald, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 2124–2129; i) J. Possart, A. Martens, M. Schleep, A. Ripp, H. Scherer, D. Kratzert, I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 12305–12313.
- [148] a) C. A. Dyker, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9632–9633; b) Y. Carpenter, C. A. Dyker, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 15732–15741; c) Y. Carpenter, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, R. McDonald, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 3342–3353.
- [149] N. Burford, C. A. Dyker, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 2364–2367.
- [150] J. J. Weigand, S. D. Riegel, N. Burford, A. Decken, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7969– 7976.
- [151] a) N. Burford, C. A. Dyker, M. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 6196–6199; b) C. A. Dyker, S. D. Riegel, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7464–7474; c) M. H. Holthausen, D. Knackstedt, N. Burford, J. J. Weigand, *Aust. J. Chem.* **2013**, *66*, 1155–1162.
- [152] a) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 6733–6737; b) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, A. Decken, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *2008*, 4343–4347.
- [153] a) I. Krossing, I. Raabe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4406–4409; b) I. Krossing, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2002**, *4*, 500–512; c) M. H. Holthausen, J. J. Weigand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 14210–14211; d) M. H. Holthausen, K.-O. Feldmann, S. Schulz, A. Hepp, J. J. Weigand, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 3374–3387; e) M. H. Holthausen, J. J. Weigand, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2012**, *638*, 1103–1108; f) M. H. Holthausen, A. Hepp, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 9895–9907.
- [154] J. J. Weigand, M. Holthausen, R. Fröhlich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 295–298.
- [155] a) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, R. J. Davidson, T. S. Cameron, P. Seelheim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 17943–17953; b) R. Schoemaker, P. Kossatz, K. Schwedtmann, F. Hennersdorf, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 11734–11741; c) C. A. Dyker, N. Burford, G. Menard, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 4277–4285; d) S. D. Riegel, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, *44*, 4668–4670.
- [156] a) A. P. M. Robertson, C. A. Dyker, P. A. Gray, B. O. Patrick, A. Decken, N. Burford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 14941–14950; b) S. S. Chitnis, R. A. Musgrave, H. A. Sparkes, N. E. Pridmore, V. T. Annibale, I. Manners, *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 4522–4538.
- [157] M. H. Holthausen, S. K. Surmiak, P. Jerabek, G. Frenking, J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11078–11082.
- [158] K. Schwedtmann, M. H. Holthausen, C. H. Sala, F. Hennersdorf, R. Fröhlich, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 1409–1412.
- [159] K. Schwedtmann, F. Hennersdorf, A. Bauzá, A. Frontera, R. Fischer, J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 6218–6222.
- [160] a) K.-O. Feldmann, J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 6566–6568; b) K.-O. Feldmann, J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 7545–7549; c) C. Taube, K. Schwedtmann, M. Noikham, E. Somsook, F. Hennersdorf, R. Wolf, J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 3585–3591.
- [161] K. Schwedtmann, J. Haberstroh, S. Roediger, A. Bauzá, A. Frontera, F. Hennersdorf, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 6868–6875.
- [162] P. Royla, K. Schwedtmann, Z. Han, J. Fidelius, D. P. Gates, R. M. Gomila, A. Frontera, J. J. Weigand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, *145*, 10364–10375.
- [163] a) H. Althaus, H. J. Breunig, E. Lork, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, *19*, 1971–1972; b) N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna, K. Sharp, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 9453– 9460; c) N. L. Kilah, M. L. Weir, S. B. Wild, *Dalton Trans.* **2008**, *18*, 2480–2486; d) E. Conrad, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 2952–2954; e) E. Conrad, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 5066–

5067; f) E. Conrad, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 17000–17008; g) E. Conrad, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 4598–4600; h) S. S. Chitnis, B. Peters, E. Conrad, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 12331–12333; i) S. S. Chitnis, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 5359–5372; j) S. S. Chitnis, K. A. Vos, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 685–688.

- [164] a) E. Conrad, N. Burford, U. Werner-Zwanziger, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 2465–2467; b) M. Donath, E. Conrad, P. Jerabek, G. Frenking, R. Fröhlich, N. Burford, J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 2964–2967; c) S. S. Chitnis, Y. Carpenter, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 4863–4866; d) M. Donath, M. Bodensteiner, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 17306–17310; e) S. S. Chitnis, N. Burford, J. J. Weigand, R. McDonald, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 7828–7832; f) S. S. Chitnis, A. P. M. Robertson, N. Burford, J. J. Weigand, R. Fischer, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 2559–2574.
- [165] W. Beck, K. Suenkel, *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 1405–1421.
- [166] a) S. H. Strauss, *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 927–942; b) I. Krossing, I. Raabe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2066–2090.
- [167] a) E. Y. Chen, T. J. Marks, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 1391–1434; b) C. Knapp in *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (NL), Oxford (UK), Waltham (US), **2013**, 651–679; c) I. Krossing in *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (NL), Oxford (UK), Waltham (US), **2013**, 681–705; d) E.-X. Chen, S. J. Lancaster in *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (NL), Oxford (UK), Waltham (US), **2013**, 707–754; e) I. M. Riddlestone, A. Kraft, J. Schaefer, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 13982–14024; f) A. Barthélemy, P. Dabringhaus, E. Jacob, H. Koger, D. Röhner, M. Schmitt, M. Sellin, K. Ingo in *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry III*, Elsevier, Amsterdam (NL), Oxford (UK), Cambridge (US), **2023**, 378–438.
- [168] a) A. B. A. Rupp, I. Krossing, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2537–2546; b) S. Bulut, P. Klose, M.-M. Huang, H. Weingärtner, P. J. Dyson, G. Laurenczy, C. Friedrich, J. Menz, K. Kümmerer, I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 13139–13154.
- [169] T. A. Engesser, M. R. Lichtenthaler, M. Schleep, I. Krossing, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 789–899.
- [170] C. A. Reed, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 133–139.
- [171] C. A. Reed, K.-C. Kim, E. S. Stoyanov, D. Stasko, F. S. Tham, L. J. Mueller, P. D. W. Boyd, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1796–1804.
- [172] A. Martens, P. Weis, M. C. Krummer, M. Kreuzer, A. Meierhöfer, S. C. Meier, J. Bohnenberger, H. Scherer, I. Riddlestone, I. Krossing, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 7058–7068.
- [173] A. G. Massey, A. J. Park, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1964**, *2*, 245–250.
- [174] S. M. Ivanova, B. G. Nolan, Y. Kobayashi, S. M. Miller, O. P. Anderson, S. H. Strauss, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 503–510.
- [175] a) A. S. Foust, M. S. Foster, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 5631–5633; b) A. S. Foust, M. S. Foster, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 5633–5635.
- [176] a) M. Di Vaira, P. Stoppioni, M. Peruzzini, *Polyhedron* **1987**, *6*, 351–382; b) O. J. Scherer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, *29*, 1104–1122; c) O. J. Scherer, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *32*, 751–762.
- [177] M. P. Shaver, M. D. Fryzuk, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 1061–1076.
- [178] C. Zhang, C. Yang, B. Hu, C. Yu, Z. Zheng, C. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 4512–4514.
- [179] F. Dielmann, A. Timoshkin, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 1671–1675.
- [180] A. Cavaillé, N. Saffon-Merceron, N. Nebra, M. Fustier-Boutignon, N. Mézailles, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 1874–1878.
- [181] a) S. Charles, B. W. Eichhorn, A. L. Rheingold, S. G. Bott, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 8077–8086; b) B. Kesanli, J. Fettinger, B. Eichhorn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 7367– 7376; c) B. Kesanli, J. Fettinger, B. Scott, B. Eichhorn, *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 3840–3846; d) Z.-C. Wang, L. Qiao, Z.-M. Sun, M. Scheer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 6698–6702.
- [182] a) K. H. Whitmire, C. B. Lagrone, M. R. Churchill, J. C. Fettinger, L. V. Biondi, *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 4227–4232; b) M. Baudler, T. Etzbach, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 580–582; c) N. C. Zanetti, R. R. Schrock, W. M. Davis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 2044–2046; d) M. Scheer, J. Müller, M. Häser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 2492–2496; e) G. Balázs, M. Sierka, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 4920– 4924; f) B. M. Gardner, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake, S. T. Liddle, *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 582–590; g) E. P. Wildman, G. Balázs, A. J. Wooles, M. Scheer, S. T. Liddle, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 12884–12895; h) E. P. Wildman, G. Balázs, A. J. Wooles, M. Scheer, S. T. Liddle, *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 14769– 14778; i) T. M. Rookes, B. M. Gardner, G. Balázs, M. Gregson, F. Tuna, A. J. Wooles, M. Scheer, S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 10495–10500; j) G. Hierlmeier, A. Hinz, R. Wolf, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 431–436; k) R. Magnall, G. Balázs, E. Lu, M. Kern, J. van Slageren, F. Tuna, A. J. Wooles, M. Scheer, S. T. Liddle, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 14246–14252; l) S. Senthil, S. Kwon, D. Fehn, H. Im, M. R. Gau, P. J. Carroll, M.-H. Baik, K. Meyer, D. J. Mindiola, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202212488.
- [183] a) O. J. Scherer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 924–943; b) B. P. Johnson, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *250*, 1178–1195; c) G. Balázs, L. J. Gregoriades, M. Scheer, *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 3058–3075.
- [184] a) L. F. Dahl, A. S. Foust, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 7337–7341; b) A. Vizi-Orosz, G. Pályi, L. Markó, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1973**, *60*, C25-C26; c) A. Vizi-Orosz, V. Galamb, G. Pályi, L. Markó, G. Bor, G. Natile, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976**, *107*, 235–240; d) A. Vizi-Orosz, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976**, *111*, 61–64; e) W. Malisch, P. Panster, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1976**, *15*, 618–619; f) C. F. Campana, A. Vizi-Orosz, G. Palyi, L. Marko, L. F. Dahl, *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, *18*, 3054–3059; g) G. Huttner, U. Weber, B. Sigwarth, O.

Scheidsteger, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 215–216; h) G. Huttner, U. Weber, B. Sigwarth, O. Scheidsteger, H. Lang, L. Zsolnai, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1985**, *282*, 331– 348; i) S. Martinengo, G. Ciani, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *20*, 1589–1591; j) J. M. Wallis, G. Mueller, H. Schmidbaur, *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, *26*, 458–459; k) J. M. Wallis, G. Müller, H. Schmidbaur, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1987**, *325*, 159–168; l) V. Grossbruchhaus, D. Rehder, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1988**, *141*, 9–10; m) A. Strube, J. Heuser, G. Huttner, H. Lang, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1988**, *356*, C9-C11; n) A. Strube, G. Huttner, L. Zsolnai, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 1529–1530; o) W. Clegg, N. A. Compton, R. J. Errington, N. C. Norman, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1988**, *6*, 1671–1678; p) W. Clegg, N. A. Compton, R. J. Errington, N. C. Norman, A. J. Tucker, M. J. Winter, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1988**, *12*, 2941–2951; q) A. M. Barr, M. D. Kerlogue, N. C. Norman, P. M. Webster, L. J. Farrugia, *Polyhedron* **1989**, *8*, 2495–2505; r) S. Luo, K. H. Whitmire, *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 1424–1431.

- [185] a) L. Dütsch, C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 8804–8810; b) S. Wang, J. D. Sears, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, M. L. Neidig, J. S. Figueroa, *Science* **2022**, *375*, 1393–1397.
- [186] J. Sun, J. Abbenseth, H. Verplancke, M. Diefenbach, B. de Bruin, D. Hunger, C. Würtele, J. van Slageren, M. C. Holthausen, S. Schneider, *Nat. Chem.* **2020**, *12*, 1054–1059.
- [187] W. Clegg, N. A. Compton, R. Errington, N. C. Norman, *Polyhedron* **1988**, *7*, 2239–2241.
- [188] a) P. J. Sullivan, A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics* **1982**, *1*, 1547–1549; b) H. J. Breunig, R. Rösler, E. Lork, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 2819–2821; c) H. J. Breunig, N. Burford, R. Rösler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4148–4150; d) V. Heinl, A. E. Seitz, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 9726–9732; e) V. Heinl, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2022**, *58*, 2484–2487.
- [189] a) Y. Wang, P. Zavalij, B. Eichhorn, *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 11917–11920; b) N. Lichtenberger, N. Spang, A. Eichhöfer, S. Dehnen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 13253–13258; c) M. Kaas, N. Korber, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2019**, *645*, 146–148; d) A. R. Eulenstein, Y. J. Franzke, N. Lichtenberger, R. J. Wilson, H. L. Deubner, F. Kraus, R. Clérac, F. Weigend, S. Dehnen, *Nat. Chem.* **2021**, *13*, 149–155; e) F. Pan, S. Wei, L. Guggolz, A. R. Eulenstein, F. Tambornino, S. Dehnen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 7176–7188; f) F. Pan, B. Peerless, S. Dehnen, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2023**, *56*, 1018–1030; g) B. Peerless, A. Schmidt, Y. J. Franzke, S. Dehnen, *Nat. Chem.* **2023**, *15*, 347–356.
- [190] a) K. Blechschmitt, H. Pfisterer, T. Zahn, M. L. Ziegler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 66–67; b) C. Schoo, S. Bestgen, A. Egeberg, S. Klementyeva, C. Feldmann, S. N. Konchenko, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 5912–5916; c) G. Etzrodt, R. B. Und, G. Schmid, *Chem. Ber.* **1979**, *112*, 2574–2580.
- [191] a) K. H. Whitmire, M. R. Churchill, J. C. Fettinger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 1056– 1057; b) K. H. Whitmire, J. S. Leigh, M. E. Gross, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *12*, 926–927; c) K. H. Whitmire, J. R. Eveland, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1994**, *11*, 1335–1336.
- [192] H. Diskowski, T. Hofmann in *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (DE), **2000**.
- [193] a) G. Bettermann, W. Krause, G. Riess, T. Hofmann in *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (DE), **2000**; b) J. Svara, N. Weferling, T. Hofmann in *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (DE), **2000**.
- [194] a) D. H. R. Barton, J. Zhu in *World Scientific Series in 20th Century Chemistry*, IMPERIAL COLLEGE PRESS, **1996**, 658–659; b) D. H. Barton, R. A. Vonder Embse, *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 12475–12496; c) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *New J. Chem.* **2010**, *34*, 1533– 1536; d) S. Heinl, S. Reisinger, C. Schwarzmaier, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7639–7642; e) D. J. Scott, J. Cammarata, M. Schimpf, R. Wolf, *Nat. Chem.* **2021**, *13*, 458–464; f) J. Cammarata, D. J. Scott, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2022**, *28*, e202202456.
- [195] M. Donath, K. Schwedtmann, T. Schneider, F. Hennersdorf, A. Bauzá, A. Frontera, J. J. Weigand, *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 384–391.
- [196] a) U. Lennert, P. B. Arockiam, V. Streitferdt, D. J. Scott, C. Rödl, R. M. Gschwind, R. Wolf, *Nat. Cat.* **2019**, *2*, 1101–1106; b) P. B. Arockiam, U. Lennert, C. Graf, R. Rothfelder, D. J. Scott, T. G. Fischer, K. Zeitler, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 16374–16382; c) R. Rothfelder, V. Streitferdt, U. Lennert, J. Cammarata, D. J. Scott, K. Zeitler, R. M. Gschwind, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 24650–24658; d) M. Till, J. Cammarata, R. Wolf, D. J. Scott, *Chem. Commun.* **2022**, *58*, 8986–8989; e) M. Till, V. Streitferdt, D. J. Scott, M. Mende, R. M. Gschwind, R. Wolf, *Chem. Commun.* **2022**, *58*, 1100–1103.
- [197] a) Y. G. Budnikova, D. G. Yakhvarov, Y. M. Kargin, *Mendeleev Commun.* **1997**, *7*, 67–68; b) D. G. Yakhvarov, Y. H. Budnikova, D. I. Tazeev, O. G. Sinyashin, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2002**, *51*, 2059–2064; c) D. G. Yakhvarov, Y. G. Budnikova, O. G. Sinyashin, *Russ. J. Electrochem.* **2003**, *39*, 1261–1270; d) Y. H. Budnikova, D. G. Yakhvarov, O. G. Sinyashin, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, *690*, 2416–2425; e) Y. G. Budnikova, D. I. Tazeev, A. G. Kafiyatullina, D. G. Yakhvarov, V. I. Morozov, N. K. Gusarova, B. A. Trofimov, O. G. Sinyashin, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2005**, *54*, 942–947; f) D. G. Yakhvarov, E. V. Gorbachuk, R. M. Kagirov, O. G. Sinyashin, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2012**, *61*, 1300–1312; g) D. G. Yakhvarov, E. V. Gorbachuk, O. G. Sinyashin, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *2013*, 4709–4726; h) Y. H. Budnikova, T. V. Gryaznova, V. V. Grinenko, Y. B. Dudkina, M. N. Khrizanforov, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2017**, *89*, 311–330.
- [198] a) M. B. Geeson, C. C. Cummins, *Science* **2018**, *359*, 1383–1385; b) M. B. Geeson, P. Ríos, W. J. Transue, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 6375–6384; c) M. B. Geeson, C. C. Cummins, *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2020**, *6*, 848–860; d) F. Zhai, T. Xin, M. B. Geeson, C. C. Cummins, *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2022**, *8*, 332–339.
- [199] a) M. Scheer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *163*, 271–286; b) M. Ehses, A. Romerosa, M. Peruzzini in *Topics in Current Chemistry*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (DE), **2002**, 107– 140; c) B. P. Johnson, G. Balazs, M. Scheer in *Topics in Current Chemistry*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (DE), **2004**, 1–23; d) M. Peruzzini, L. Gonsalvi, A. Romerosa, *Chem.*

Soc. Rev. **2005**, *34*, 1038–1047; e) C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 862– 870; f) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4164–4177; g) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4178–4235; h) L. Giusti, V. R. Landaeta, M. Vanni, J. A. Kelly, R. Wolf, M. Caporali, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *441*, 213927–214019; i) C. M. Hoidn, D. J. Scott, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 1886–1902.

- [200] a) G. L. Simon, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 2175–2183; b) O. J. Scherer, J. Braun, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1990**, *123*, 471–475; c) S. Heinl, K. Kiefer, G. Balázs, C. Wickleder, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 13474–13477.
- [201] M. H. Chisholm, K. Folting, J. W. Pasterczyk, *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 3057–3058.
- [202] a) M. J. A. Johnson, P. M. Lee, A. L. Odom, W. M. Davis, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 87–91; b) J. S. Figueroa, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 4020–4021.
- [203] a) C. E. Laplaza, W. M. Davis, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 2042–2044; b) J. P. Cherry, A. R. Johnson, L. M. Baraldo, Y. C. Tsai, C. C. Cummins, S. V. Kryatov, E. V. Rybak-Akimova, K. B. Capps, C. D. Hoff, C. M. Haar, S. P. Nolan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7271–7286; c) J. P. Cherry, F. H. Stephens, M. J. Johnson, P. L. Diaconescu, C. C. Cummins, *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 6860–6862; d) D. S. Kuiper, P. T. Wolczanski, E. B. Lobkovsky, T. R. Cundari, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 12931–12943; e) J. J. Curley, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 9599–9601; f) H. A. Spinney, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 16233–16243.
- [204] a) O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, *268*, C9- C12; b) O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 351–353; c) L. Y. Goh, C. K. Chu, R. C. S. Wong, T. W. Hambley, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1989**, *10*, 1951–1956; d) O. J. Scherer, M. Ehses, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 507–510; e) C. Eichhorn, O. J. Scherer, T. Sögding, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2859–2861; f) Y.-C. Shi, *J. Coord. Chem.* **2004**, *57*, 393–400; g) M. A. Alvarez, M. E. García, D. García-Vivó, A. Ramos, M. A. Ruiz, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 2064–2066; h) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, V. Mirabello, A. Ienco, G. Manca, F. Zanobini, M. Peruzzini, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *2014*, 1652–1659; i) M. A. Alvarez, M. E. García, D. García-Vivó, M. A. Ruiz, M. F. Vega, *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 870–878.
- [205] a) O. J. Scherer, M. Ehses, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1997**, *531*, 217– 221; b) M. Demange, X.-F. Le Goff, P. Le Floch, N. Mézailles, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 12064–12068; c) B. Zarzycki, T. Zell, D. Schmidt, U. Radius, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *2013*, 2051–2058.
- [206] a) O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *309*, 77– 86; b) A.-J. DiMaio, A. L. Rheingold, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *6*, 404–405; c) O. J. Scherer, M. Swarowsky, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 405–406; d) O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 212–213; e) M. E. Barr, L. F. Dahl, *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 3991–3996;

f) O. J. Scherer, K. Pfeiffer, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *425*, 141–149; g) O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Wolmershäuser, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1993**, *619*, 827–835; h) M. Scheer, U. Becker, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1994**, *93*, 391–392; i) M. Herberhold, G. Frohmader, W. Milius, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1994**, *93*, 205–208; j) M. Herberhold, G. Frohmader, W. Milius, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, *522*, 185–196; k) S. Yao, T. Szilvási, N. Lindenmaier, Y. Xiong, S. Inoue, M. Adelhardt, J. Sutter, K. Meyer, M. Driess, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 6153–6156; l) S. Heinl, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 1962–1966.

- [207] O. J. Scherer, G. Kemény, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1995**, *128*, 1145–1148.
- [208] E. B. Hulley, P. T. Wolczanski, E. B. Lobkovsky, *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, *42*, 6412–6414.
- [209] O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1993**, *3*, 313–314.
- [210] a) F. Cecconi, P. Dapporto, S. Midollini, L. Sacconi, *Inorg. Chem.* **1978**, *17*, 3292–3294; b) M. Di Vaira, C. A. Ghilardi, S. Midollini, L. Sacconi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 2550– 2551; c) C. Bianchini, C. Mealli, A. Meli, L. Sacconi, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1979**, *37*, 543-544; d) P. Dapporto, L. Sacconi, P. Stoppioni, F. Zanobini, *Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *20*, 3834–3839; e) M. Di Vaira, L. Sacconi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 330–342; f) M. Di Vaira, L. Sacconi, P. Stoppioni, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, *250*, 183–195; g) O. J. Scherer, T. Dave, J. Braun, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1988**, *350*, C20-C24; h) O. J. Scherer, W. Wiedemann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1990**, *123*, 3–6; i) M. Peruzzini, J. A. Ramirez, F. Vizza, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 2255–2257; j) E. Mädl, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7702–7707; k) T. D. Lohrey, G. Rao, R. D. Britt, R. G. Bergman, J. Arnold, *Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, *58*, 13492– 13501.
- [211] a) M. H. Chisholm, J. C. Huffman, J. W. Pasterczyk, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1987**, *133*, 17–18; b) O. J. Scherer, C. Blath, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *409*, C15-C18; c) B. M. Cossairt, M.-C. Diawara, C. C. Cummins, *Science* **2009**, *323*, 602; d) B. L. Tran, M. Singhal, H. Park, O. P. Lam, M. Pink, J. Krzystek, A. Ozarowski, J. Telser, K. Meyer, D. J. Mindiola, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 9871–9875; e) D. Tofan, B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 12349–12358; f) B. Pinter, K. T. Smith, M. Kamitani, E. M. Zolnhofer, B. L. Tran, S. Fortier, M. Pink, G. Wu, B. C. Manor, K. Meyer, M.-H. Baik, D. J. Mindiola, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 15247–15261; g) P. Coburger, J. Leitl, D. J. Scott, G. Hierlmeier, I. G. Shenderovich, E. Hey-Hawkins, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 11225–11235.
- [212] a) M. Di Vaira, S. Midollini, L. Sacconi, F. Zanobini, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1978**, *17*, 676–677; b) M. Di Vaira, S. Midollini, L. Sacconi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 1757– 1763; c) C. Bianchini, M. Di Vaira, A. Meli, L. Sacconi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1980**, *19*, 405–406; d) C. Bianchini, M. Di Vaira, A. Meli, L. Sacconi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 1448–1452; e) O. J. Scherer, B. Werner, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1991**, *30*, 553–555; f) Y. Nakanishi, Y. Ishida, H. Kawaguchi, *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 3967–3973; g) F. Spitzer, C. Graßl, G. Balázs, E. Mädl, M. Keilwerth, E. M.

Zolnhofer, K. Meyer, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 2716–2721; h) F. Spitzer, G. Balázs, C. Graßl, M. Keilwerth, K. Meyer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 8760–8764; i) M. Haimerl, C. Graßl, M. Seidl, M. Piesch, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 18129–18134.

- [213] M. A. Alvarez, M. Casado-Ruano, M. E. García, D. García-Vivó, M. A. Ruiz, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 9504–9507.
- [214] a) P. Dapporto, S. Midollini, L. Sacconi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1979**, *18*, 469; b) T. Gröer, G. Baum, M. Scheer, *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 5916–5919; c) M. Peruzzini, L. Marvelli, A. Romerosa, R. Rossi, F. Vizza, F. Zanobini, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *1999*, 931–933; d) M. Peruzzini, S. Mañas, A. Romerosa, A. Vacca, *Mendeleev Commun.* **2000**, *10*, 134–135; e) M. Di Vaira, M. P. Ehses, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *2000*, 2193–2198; f) I. de los Rios, J.-R. Hamon, P. Hamon, C. Lapinte, L. Toupet, A. Romerosa, M. Peruzzini, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 3910–3912; g) M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, S. S. Costantini, P. Stoppioni, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2010**, *695*, 816–820; h) M. Caporali, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini, P. Stoppioni, F. Zanobini, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *2010*, 152–158; i) V. Mirabello, M. Caporali, V. Gallo, L. Gonsalvi, A. Ienco, M. Latronico, P. Mastrorilli, M. Peruzzini, *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 9668–9671; j) V. Mirabello, M. Caporali, V. Gallo, L. Gonsalvi, D. Gudat, W. Frey, A. Ienco, M. Latronico, P. Mastrorilli, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 11238–11250; k) S. Heinl, E. V. Peresypkina, A. Y. Timoshkin, P. Mastrorilli, V. Gallo, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10887–10891; l) I. M. Riddlestone, P. Weis, A. Martens, M. Schorpp, H. Scherer, I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 10546–10551.
- [215] a) D. N. Akbayeva, O. J. Scherer, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2001**, *627*, 1429–1430; b) I. Krossing, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 4603–4604; c) I. Krossing, L. van Wüllen, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 700–711; d) G. Santiso-Quiñones, A. Reisinger, J. Slattery, I. Krossing, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, *47*, 5046–5048; e) L. C. Forfar, T. J. Clark, M. Green, S. M. Mansell, C. A. Russell, R. A. Sanguramath, J. M. Slattery, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 1970–1972; f) C. Schwarzmaier, M. Sierka, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 858–861; g) F. Spitzer, M. Sierka, M. Latronico, P. Mastrorilli, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 4392–4396; h) L. C. Forfar, D. Zeng, M. Green, J. E. McGrady, C. A. Russell, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 5397–5403.
- [216] a) A. P. Ginsberg, W. E. Lindsell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971**, *93*, 2082–2084; b) A. P. Ginsberg, W. E. Lindsell, K. J. McCullough, C. R. Sprinkle, A. J. Welch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 403–416; c) O. J. Scherer, M. Swarowsky, H. Swarowsky, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 694–695; d) O. J. Scherer, M. Swarowsky, G. Wolmershäuser, *Organometallics* **1989**, *8*, 841–842; e) M. Scheer, M. Dargatz, A. Rufińska, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *440*, 327–334; f) O. J. Scherer, K. Pfeiffer, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1992**, *125*, 2367–2372; g) M. Scheer, C. Troitzsch, P. G. Jones, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1992**, *31*, 1377–1379; h) M. Scheer, C. Troitzsch, L. Hilfert, M. Dargatz, E. Kleinpeter, P. G. Jones, J. Sieler, *Chem. Ber.* **1995**, *128*, 251–257; i) M. Scheer, U. Becker, E. Matern, *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 721–724; j) O. J. Scherer, G.

Schwarz, G. Wolmershäuser, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1996**, *622*, 951–957; k) M. Scheer, K. Schuster, U. Becker, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1996**, *109*, 141–144; l) M. Scheer, U. Becker, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1997**, *545*, 451–460; m) S. Dürr, D. Ertler, U. Radius, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 3904–3909; n) C. C. Mokhtarzadeh, A. L. Rheingold, J. S. Figueroa, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 14561–14569; o) M. Schmidt, A. E. Seitz, M. Eckhardt, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, F. Riedlberger, M. Bodensteiner, E. M. Zolnhofer, K. Meyer, M. Scheer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13981–13984; p) M. J. Drance, S. Wang, M. Gembicky, A. L. Rheingold, J. S. Figueroa, *Organometallics* **2020**, *39*, 3394–3402.

- [217] a) O. J. Scherer, T. Hilt, G. Wolmershäuser, *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 4110–4112; b) S. Heinl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 3221–3225; c) S. Pelties, D. Herrmann, B. de Bruin, F. Hartl, R. Wolf, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 7014–7016; d) C. Schwarzmaier, A. Y. Timoshkin, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 9077–9081; e) S. Heinl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **2014**, *189*, 924–932; f) J. E. Borger, M. K. Jongkind, A. W. Ehlers, M. Lutz, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma, *ChemistryOpen* **2017**, *6*, 350–353.
- [218] a) O. J. Scherer, J. Vondung, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 1355–1357; b) O. J. Scherer, J. Vondung, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989**, *376*, C35-C38; c) U. Chakraborty, J. Leitl, B. Mühldorf, M. Bodensteiner, S. Pelties, R. Wolf, *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 3693–3697; d) K. A. Mandla, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, J. S. Figueroa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 1779–1783; e) K. A. Mandla, M. L. Neville, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, J. S. Figueroa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 15329– 15333; f) M. Dietz, M. Arrowsmith, S. Reichl, L. I. Lugo-Fuentes, J. O. C. Jiménez-Halla, M. Scheer, H. Braunschweig, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202206840.
- [219] a) W. W. Seidel, O. T. Summerscales, B. O. Patrick, M. D. Fryzuk, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 115–117; b) A. S. P. Frey, F. G. N. Cloke, P. B. Hitchcock, J. C. Green, *New J. Chem.* **2011**, *35*, 2022–2026; c) M. D. Walter, J. Grunenberg, P. S. White, *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 2120–2130; d) C. Schwarzmaier, A. Noor, G. Glatz, M. Zabel, A. Y. Timoshkin, B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, R. Kempe, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7283–7286; e) C. Camp, L. Maron, R. G. Bergman, J. Arnold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 17652–17661; f) S. Yao, N. Lindenmaier, Y. Xiong, S. Inoue, T. Szilvási, M. Adelhardt, J. Sutter, K. Meyer, M. Driess, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 1250–1254; g) F. Spitzer, C. Graßl, G. Balázs, E. M. Zolnhofer, K. Meyer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 4340–4344; h) A. Formanuik, F. Ortu, R. Beekmeyer, A. Kerridge, R. W. Adams, D. P. Mills, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 2390–2393; i) S. Pelties, T. Maier, D. Herrmann, B. de Bruin, C. Rebreyend, S. Gärtner, I. G. Shenderovich, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 6094– 6102; j) S. Heinl, A. Y. Timoshkin, J. Müller, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 2244– 2247; k) C. Schoo, S. Bestgen, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 4770–4773.
- [220] a) M. E. Barr, S. K. Smith, B. Spencer, L. F. Dahl, *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 3983–3991; b) M. Scheer, M. Dargatz, K. Schenzel, P. G. Jones, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *435*,

123–132; c) M. Scheer, U. Becker, J. C. Huffman, M. H. Chisholm, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1993**, *461*, C1-C3; d) M. Scheer, U. Becker, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1994**, *93*, 257–260; e) M. Scheer, U. Becker, M. H. Chisholm, J. C. Huffman, F. Lemoigno, O. Eisenstein, *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 3117–3119; f) M. Scheer, U. Becker, *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 1307–1310.

- [221] P. Barbaro, M. Peruzzini, J. A. Ramirez, F. Vizza, *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 4237–4240.
- [222] a) F. Cecconi, C. A. Ghilardi, S. Midollini, A. Orlandini, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3667–3668; b) F. Cecconi, C. A. Ghilardi, S. Midollini, A. Orlandini, *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *25*, 1766–1770; c) O. J. Scherer, J. Braun, P. Walther, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1992**, *125*, 2661–2665; d) S. Yao, Y. Xiong, C. Milsmann, E. Bill, S. Pfirrmann, C. Limberg, M. Driess, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 436–439; e) S. Yao, M. Driess, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 276–287.
- [223] D. Yakhvarov, P. Barbaro, L. Gonsalvi, S. Mañas Carpio, S. Midollini, A. Orlandini, M. Peruzzini, O. Sinyashin, F. Zanobini, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 4182–4185.
- [224] A. L. Rheingold, M. J. Foley, P. J. Sullivan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 4727–4729.
- [225] a) O. J. Scherer, J. Schwalb, G. Wolmershäuser, W. Kaim, R. Gross, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986**, *25*, 363–364; b) O. J. Scherer, W. Wiedemann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989**, *361*, C11-C14; c) B. M. Gardner, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake, S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 7068– 7072; d) G. Hierlmeier, P. Coburger, N. P. van Leest, B. de Bruin, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 14148–14153.
- [226] a) O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 59; b) O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, *121*, 935–938; c) O. J. Scherer, C. Blath, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, *387*, C21-C24; d) C. Heinl, E. Peresypkina, G. Balázs, E. Mädl, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 7542–7548.
- [227] E. Urnius, W. W. Brennessel, C. J. Cramer, J. E. Ellis, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 832–834.
- [228] a) O. J. Scherer, J. Schwalb, H. Swarowsky, G. Wolmershäuser, W. Kaim, R. Gross, *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, *121*, 443–449; b) A. C. Reddy, E. D. Jemmis, O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 3894–3900; c) O. J. Scherer, H. Swarowsky, G. Wolmershäuser, W. Kaim, S. Kohlmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 1153–1155.
- [229] E.-M. Schnöckelborg, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 6657– 6660.
- [230] O. J. Scherer, J. Schulze, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1994**, *484*, C5-C7.
- [231] a) W. Huang, P. L. Diaconescu, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 2216–2218; b) W. Huang, P. L. Diaconescu, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *2013*, 4090–4096; c) D. Patel, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake, S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 13334– 13337; d) A. N. Selikhov, T. V. Mahrova, A. V. Cherkasov, G. K. Fukin, E. Kirillov, C. Alvarez Lamsfus, L. Maron, A. A. Trifonov, *Organometallics* **2016**, *35*, 2401–2409; e) F. Zhang, J. Zhang, Z. Chen, L. Weng, X. Zhou, *Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, *58*, 8451–8459.
- [232] S. N. Konchenko, N. A. Pushkarevsky, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, H. Schnöckel, P. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 5740–5741.
- [233] a) M. E. Barr, B. R. Adams, R. R. Weller, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 3052– 3060; b) O. J. Scherer, G. Berg, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 53–58; c) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 169–172.
- [234] a) O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1991**, *30*, 850–852; b) K. Mast, J. Meiers, O. J. Scherer, G. Wolmershäuser, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1999**, *625*, 70–74.
- [235] F. Dielmann, M. Sierka, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 6860– 6864.
- [236] C. Graßl, M. Bodensteiner, M. Zabel, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 1379–1382.
- [237] a) L. Y. Goh, R. C. S. Wong, E. Sinn, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1990**, *21*, 1484– 1485; b) O. J. Scherer, B. Höbel, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1992**, *31*, 1027–1028; c) O. J. Scherer, T. Völmecke, G. Wolmershäuser, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *1999*, 945–949.
- [238] G. Balázs, M. Hautmann, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2018**, *475*, 47–52.
- [239] O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1989**, *122*, 2049–2054.
- [240] a) S. Midollini, A. Orlandini, L. Sacconi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1979**, *18*, 81–82; b) C. A. Ghilardi, S. Midollini, A. Orlandini, L. Sacconi, *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, *19*, 301–306; c) C. Bianchini, M. Di Vaira, A. Meli, L. Sacconi, *Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *20*, 1169–1173; d) F. Cecconi, C. A. Ghilardi, S. Midollini, A. Orlandini, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1982**, *4*, 229–230; e) A. Vizi-Orosz, G. Pályi, L. Markó, R. Boese, G. Schmid, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1985**, *288*, 179–187; f) M. Di Vaira, P. Stoppioni, M. Peruzzini, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990**, *1*, 109–113; g) B. Rink, O. J. Scherer, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1992**, *125*, 1011–1016; h) M. Detzel, T. Mohr, O. J. Scherer, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1994**, *33*, 1110–1112; i) O. J. Scherer, G. Berg, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1995**, *128*, 635–639; j) M. Detzel, G. Friedrich, O. J. Scherer, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 1321–1323; k) G. Friedrich, O. J. Scherer, G. Wolmershäuser, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1996**, *622*, 1478– 1486; l) O. J. Scherer, S. Weigel, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1998**, *4*, 1910–1916; m) A. R. Kudinov, P. V. Petrovskii, M. I. Rybinskaya, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **1999**, *48*, 1362– 1364; n) M. Di Vaira, M. P. Ehses, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *Polyhedron* **1999**, *18*, 2331– 2336; o) M. Di Vaira, M. P. Ehses, P. Stoppioni, M. Peruzzini, *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39*, 2199–2205; p) A. R. Kudinov, D. A. Loginov, Z. A. Starikova, P. V. Petrovskii, M. Corsini, P. Zanello, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *2002*, 3018–3027; q) M. Scheer, L. J. Gregoriades, M. Zabel, J. Bai, I. Krossing, G. Brunklaus, H. Eckert, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 282–295; r) M. Fleischmann, J. S. Jones, F. P. Gabbaï, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 132–139; s) A. E. Seitz, U. Vogel, M. Eberl, M. Eckhardt, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Bodensteiner, M. Zabel, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 10319–10327; t) J. Müller, S. Heinl, C. Schwarzmaier, G. Balázs, M. Keilwerth, K. Meyer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 7312–7317; u) M. Modl, S. Heinl, G. Balázs, F. Delgado Calvo, M. Caporali,

G. Manca, M. Keilwerth, K. Meyer, M. Peruzzini, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 6300– 6305; v) V. Heinl, G. Balázs, S. Koschabek, M. Eckhardt, M. Piesch, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 2966–2978; w) P. A. Shelyganov, M. Elsayed Moussa, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202215650.

- [241] a) J. Bai, E. Leiner, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 783–786; b) J. Bai, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1737–1740; c) M. Scheer, L. J. Gregoriades, A. V. Virovets, W. Kunz, R. Neueder, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 5689–5693; d) L. J. Gregoriades, B. K. Wegley, M. Sierka, E. Brunner, C. Gröger, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Zabel, M. Scheer, *Chem. Asian J.* **2009**, *4*, 1578–1587; e) M. Fleischmann, F. Dielmann, L. J. Gregoriades, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, S. Huber, A. Y. Timoshkin, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13110–13115; f) M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 14332–14336; g) C. Heindl, E. V. Peresypkina, D. Lüdeker, G. Brunklaus, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 2599–2604.
- [242] a) M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, L. J. Gregoriades, C. Gröger, M. Scheer, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **2014**, *69*, 1348–1356; b) M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, H. Krauss, M. Schmidt, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Sierka, C. Gröger, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 3759–3768; c) T. N. Sevastianova, M. Bodensteiner, A. F. Maulieva, E. I. Davydova, A. V. Virovets, E. V. Peresypkina, G. Balázs, C. Graßl, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, G. Frenking, E. A. Berezovskaya, I. V. Kazakov, O. V. Khoroshilova, A. Y. Timoshkin, *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 20648–20658.
- [243] a) H. Krauss, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2010**, *1*, 337–342; b) M. E. Moussa, J. Schiller, E. Peresypkina, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, P. Shelyganov, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 14315–14319.
- [244] a) B. Attenberger, S. Welsch, M. Zabel, E. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 11516–11519; b) M. Elsayed Moussa, B. Attenberger, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Fleischmann, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 10004–10007; c) P. A. Shelyganov, M. Elsayed Moussa, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202300610.
- [245] a) J. Bai, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Science* **2003**, *300*, 781–783; b) M. Scheer, J. Bai, B. P. Johnson, R. Merkle, A. V. Virovets, C. E. Anson, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *2005*, 4023– 4026; c) B. P. Johnson, F. Dielmann, G. Balázs, M. Sierka, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 2473–2475; d) M. Scheer, A. Schindler, R. Merkle, B. P. Johnson, M. Linseis, R. Winter, C. E. Anson, A. V. Virovets, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 13386–13387; e) M. Scheer, L. J. Gregoriades, R. Merkle, B. P. Johnson, F. Dielmann, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **2008**, *183*, 504–508; f) M. Scheer, A. Schindler, C. Gröger, A. V. Virovets, E. V. Peresypkina, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5046–5049; g) S. Welsch, C. Gröger, M. Sierka, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1435–1438; h) C. Schwarzmaier, A. Schindler, C. Heindl, S. Scheuermayer, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Neumeier, R. Gschwind, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10896– 10899; i) F. Dielmann, C. Heindl, F. Hastreiter, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, R. M.

Gschwind, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 13605–13608; j) F. Dielmann, M. Fleischmann, C. Heindl, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, R. M. Gschwind, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 6208–6214; k) C. Heindl, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 10938–10941; l) S. Heinl, E. Peresypkina, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13431–13435; m) E. Peresypkina, K. Grill, B. Hiltl, A. V. Virovets, W. Kremer, J. Hilgert, W. Tremel, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 12132–12142.

- [246] a) M. Scheer, *Dalton Trans.* **2008**, *33*, 4372–4386; b) E. Peresypkina, A. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *446*, 213995–214036; c) A. V. Virovets, E. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *121*, 14485–14554.
- [247] a) M. V. Butovskiy, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2972–2976; b) M. Piesch, C. Graßl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 7154–7160; c) M. Piesch, S. Reichl, C. Riesinger, M. Seidl, G. Balazs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 9129–9140.
- [248] a) M. Schmidt, D. Konieczny, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, F. Riedlberger, H. Krauss, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 7307–7311; b) M. Piesch, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 6745–6751.
- [249] M. Piesch, S. Reichl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 15101–15108.
- [250] a) T. Li, J. Wiecko, N. A. Pushkarevsky, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 9491–9495; b) T. Li, M. T. Gamer, M. Scheer, S. N. Konchenko, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 2183–2185; c) T. Li, N. Arleth, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, T. Augenstein, F. Dielmann, M. Scheer, S. N. Konchenko, P. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 14231–14236; d) N. Arleth, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, N. A. Pushkarevsky, S. N. Konchenko, M. Fleischmann, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 7179–7184; e) N. Arleth, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, M. Fleischmann, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 1557–1560; f) C. Schoo, R. Köppe, M. Piesch, M. T. Gamer, S. N. Konchenko, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 7890–7895; g) N. Reinfandt, N. Michenfelder, C. Schoo, R. Yadav, S. Reichl, S. N. Konchenko, A. N. Unterreiner, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 7862–7871; h) N. Reinfandt, C. Schoo, L. Dütsch, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 3974–3978.
- [251] R. Yadav, M. Weber, A. K. Singh, L. Münzfeld, J. Gramüller, R. M. Gschwind, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 14128–14137.
- [252] a) E. Mädl, M. V. Butovskii, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7643–7646; b) F. Riedlberger, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 13836–13839; c) F. Riedlberger, S. Todisco, P. Mastrorilli, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 16251–16255.
- [253] a) J. C. Green, M. L. H. Green, G. E. Morris, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1974**, *6*, 212–213; b) E. Hey, M. F. Lappert, J. L. Atwood, S. G. Bott, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem.*

Commun. **1987**, *8*, 597–598; c) P. J. Chirik, J. A. Pool, E. Lobkovsky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 3463–3465; d) S. Pelties, A. W. Ehlers, R. Wolf, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 6601–6604; e) J. E. Borger, M. S. Bakker, A. W. Ehlers, M. Lutz, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 3284–3287; f) M. Piesch, A. Nicolay, M. Haimerl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, T. Don Tilley, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2022**, *28*, e202201144; g) S. B. Dinauer, M. Piesch, R. Szlosek, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202300459; h) V. Heinl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202301016.

- [254] a) C. M. Hoidn, T. M. Maier, K. Trabitsch, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18931–18936; b) S. Reichl, R. Grünbauer, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, *57*, 3383–3386.
- [255] a) M. Di Vaira, P. Frediani, S. S. Costantini, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, *13*, 2234–2236; b) M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini, P. Stoppioni, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2006**, *691*, 3931–3937; c) P. Barbaro, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini, P. Stoppioni, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 6682–6690; d) P. Barbaro, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini, P. Stoppioni, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4425–4427; e) P. Barbaro, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini, P. Stoppioni, *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 1091–1096; f) P. Barbaro, C. Bazzicalupi, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini, P. Stoppioni, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8628–8631.
- [256] a) M. Scheer, M. Dargatz, P. G. Jones, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1993**, *447*, 259–264; b) M. Bispinghoff, Z. Benkő, H. Grützmacher, F. D. Calvo, M. Caporali, M. Peruzzini, *Dalton Trans.* **2019**, *48*, 3593–3600; c) H. Brake, E. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Piesch, W. Kremer, L. Zimmermann, C. Klimas, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 16241– 16246; d) A. Garbagnati, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 5163– 5171; e) A. Garbagnati, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2022**, *28*, e202200669; f) A. Garbagnati, M. Piesch, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2022**, *28*, e202201026; g) A. Garbagnati, M. Seidl, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Polyhedron* **2022**, *221*, 115854.
- [257] R. Yadav, T. Simler, B. Goswami, C. Schoo, R. Köppe, S. Dey, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 9443–9447.
- [258] a) C. G. P. Ziegler, T. M. Maier, S. Pelties, C. Taube, F. Hennersdorf, A. W. Ehlers, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1302–1308; b) C. G. P. Ziegler, F. Hennersdorf, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2020**, *646*, 552–557.
- [259] a) Y. Xiong, S. Yao, E. Bill, M. Driess, *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 7522–7524; b) T. Arnold, H. Braunschweig, J. O. C. Jimenez-Halla, K. Radacki, S. S. Sen, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 9114–9117; c) R. Yadav, T. Simler, S. Reichl, B. Goswami, C. Schoo, R. Köppe, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 1190–1195; d) C. G. P. Ziegler, C. Taube, J. A. Kelly, G. Hierlmeier, M. Uttendorfer, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 14071–14074.
- [260] a) J. S. Figueroa, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 4592–4596; b) R. Yadav, B. Goswami, T. Simler, C. Schoo, S. Reichl, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 10207–10210.
- [261] a) C. M. Hoidn, C. Rödl, M. L. McCrea-Hendrick, T. Block, R. Pöttgen, A. W. Ehlers, P. P. Power, R. Wolf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 13195–13199; b) J. A. Kelly, V. Streitferdt, M. Dimitrova, F. F. Westermair, R. M. Gschwind, R. J. F. Berger, R. Wolf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 20434–20441.
- [262] A. E. Seitz, M. Eckhardt, A. Erlebach, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Sierka, M. Scheer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 10433–10436.
- [263] M. A. Alvarez, M. E. García, D. García-Vivó, A. Ramos, M. A. Ruiz, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 11061–11075.
- [264] a) J. S. Figueroa, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 984–988; b) C. M. Hoidn, K. Trabitsch, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, e202301930.
- [265] S. Reichl, E. Mädl, F. Riedlberger, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 5774–5783.
- [266] a) B. Rink, O. J. Scherer, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1995**, *128*, 71–73; b) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 1595–1598.
- [267] a) O. J. Scherer, T. Hilt, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 1425–1427; b) M. Scheer, S. Deng, O. J. Scherer, M. Sierka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 3755– 3758.
- [268] a) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 8863–8866; b) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 9363–9371; c) N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 934–938; d) U. Vogel, M. Eberl, M. Eckhardt, A. Seitz, E.-M. Rummel, A. Y. Timoshkin, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8982–8985.
- [269] a) J. S. Figueroa, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 13916–13917; b) I. Krummenacher, C. C. Cummins, *Polyhedron* **2012**, *32*, 10–13.
- [270] a) N. A. Piro, J. S. Figueroa, J. T. McKellar, C. C. Cummins, *Science* **2006**, *313*, 1276– 1279; b) N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 7387–7393; c) N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 9524–9535; d) A. Velian, C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 1003–1006; e) G. Hierlmeier, R. Wolf, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2022**, *2022*, e202101057.
- [271] L. Dütsch, M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, G. Balázs, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3256–3261.
- [272] L. Dütsch, C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 14531– 14539.
- [273] R. F. Winter, W. E. Geiger, *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 1827–1833.
- [274] M. Fleischmann, F. Dielmann, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 15248– 15251.
- [275] L. Dütsch, *Dissertation* **2022**, University of Regensburg.
- [276] A. K. Adhikari, C. G. P. Ziegler, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18584–18590.
- [277] A. Barth, G. Huttner, M. Fritz, L. Zsolnai, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1990**, *29*, 929–931.
- [278] a) G. Capozzi, L. Chiti, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1986**, *24*, 1799–1800; b) M. Di Vaira, P. Stoppioni, S. Midollini, F. Laschi, P. Zanello, *Polyhedron* **1991**, *10*, 2123–2129.
- [279] a) P. Barbaro, M. Caporali, A. Ienco, C. Mealli, M. Peruzzini, F. Vizza, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *2008*, 1392–1399; b) P. Barbaro, A. Ienco, C. Mealli, M. Peruzzini, O. J. Scherer, G. Schmitt, F. Vizza, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 5196–5210.
- [280] M. Weber, G. Balázs, A. V. Virovets, E. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 3920-3933.
- [281] M. Piesch, M. Seidl, M. Stubenhofer, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 6311–6316.

2. Research Objectives

Based on the above, the synthetic entries to access transition metal stabilized polypnictogen cations as well as studies on their reactivity are highly limited. However, increasing the accessibility of such species would greatly aid the development of fundamentally important concepts in group 15 chemistry and grant insight into the chemistry of the pnictogens. Thus, three distinct main objectives were defined within this thesis to accomplish this goal:

Firstly, the systematic study of the reactivity of $cyclo-P_n$ ($n = 3, 4, 5, 8$) ligand complexes towards cationic electrophiles should provide a synthetic entry into transition metal stabilized polyphosphorus cations, which has substantially been overlooked within the past decades. Moreover, it may enable the preparation of species with yet unprecedented structural and bonding motifs. To realize this objective, the following tasks are at hand:

- Transfer of the insertion reactivity of phosphenium cations towards P_4 to the isolobal cyclo-P₃ complex [Cp'''Ni(η^3 -P₃)], granting access to cationic *cyclo*-P₄R₂ units.
- Expanding the insertion reactivity of phosphenium cations to the larger *cyclo*-P⁴ complexes $[Cp^RTa(CO)₂(\eta⁴-P₄)]$ (Cp^R = Cp'' or Cp''') and investigation of the reactivity of these complexes towards heavier pnictogenium cations, namely arsenium and stibenium cations.
- Functionalization of the *cyclo-* P_5 complex $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ with electrophiles from across the p-block to access a broad range of coordinatively stabilized pentaphosphole ligands, including the parent compound *cyclo*-P5H.
- Investigation of the reactivity of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ towards the cationic transition metal species $\{Cp'''M\}^+$ (M = Cr – Ni) and $[M(C_6H_6)_2]^{n+}$ (M = Fe, Co, n = 1, 2) to access novel triple- and quadruple-decker complexes bearing *cyclo*-P⁵ moieties.
- Electrophilic functionalization of $[(Cp'''Ta)_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1} P_8)]$ bearing the largest monocyclic P_n unit known to date (*cyclo*- P_8) and further studies on the reactivity (coordination, redox) of this unprecedented compound.

Secondly, the redox chemistry of Pn_n ligand complexes should be further expanded to As_n complexes. Of particular interest are end-deck *cyclo*-As₃ as well as cluster type As_n ligand complexes, as the former display an obvious gap in the existing literature and the redox properties of cluster type As_n ligand complexes are promising in terms of observing multiple stable redox states within one structural motif. Thus, the following issues have been targeted:

• Investigation of the redox chemistry of the cyclo-As₃ complex $[Cp''Ni(n^3-As_3)]$ and potential fragmentation products.

• Synthesis and electrochemical as well as synthetic investigation of the redox properties of the cluster compound $[(Cp*Fe)3As6]$.

Lastly, the synthetic utilization of transition metal stabilized polypnictogen cations in terms of their nucleophilic functionalization remains only scarcely explored. However, it bears great potential for the targeted preparation of complex and highly functionalized polypnictogen compounds. As the reactivity of stable singlet carbenes and their analogs with polyphosphorus compounds is well established, they appear to be promising candidates for the functionalization of polypnictogen cations as well. The resulting products then may still serve as valuable starting materials for further nucleophilic quenching with anionic nucleophiles. Thus, the two most accessible classes of polyphosphorus cations prepared within this thesis should be investigated in terms of their nucleophilic functionalization:

- Reactivity studies of the methylated pentaphosphole derivative $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5Me)][OTT]$ towards carbenes and their analogs. Identification of reactivity trends and exploiting the cationic charge in the starting material for functionalization with even weakly nucleophilic species.
- Functionalization of the Ni stabilized *cyclo*- P_4R_2 units in $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-P_4R_2)]^+$ with NHCs and investigation of the resulting products towards their further charge quenching reactivity with simple organic nucleophiles, like [EtO]-, [CN]- and the more elaborate $[ECO]^-$ (E = P, As).

3. Cationic Functionalization by Phosphenium Ion Insertion

Preface

The following chapter has already been published. The article is reprinted with permission from Wiley-VCH. License Number: 5637020010433

"Cationic Functionalization by Phosphenium Ion Insertion"

Chem. Eur. J. **2020**, *26*, 17165–17170.

Parts of this chapter have already been described within the MSc thesis of the first author (Christoph Riesinger).

Authors

Christoph Riesinger, Luis Dütsch, Gábor Balázs, Michael Bodensteiner and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Conceptualization, Synthesis of compounds **2**, **3** and **4**, Writing of original draft.

Luis Dütsch – Conceptualization, Writing of original draft.

Gábor Balázs – Interpretation of computational data.

Michael Bodensteiner – Interpretation of crystallographic data.

Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the project Sche 384/36-1.

3.1. Abstract

The reaction of [Cp'''Ni(η *³-P₃)] (1) with in situ generated phosphenium ions [RR´P]⁺ yields the unprecedented polyphosphorus cations of the type* $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-P_4R_2)][X](R=Ph (2a)$ *, Mes* $(2b)$ *,* Cy (2c), 2,2 -biphen (2d), Me (2e); $[X]^{\mathsf{T}} = [O$ Tf $]^{\mathsf{T}}$, $[SbF_6]^{\mathsf{T}}$, $[GaCl_4]^{\mathsf{T}}$, $[BA^F]^{\mathsf{T}}$, $[TEF]^{\mathsf{T}}$)) and *[Cp'''Ni(3 -P4RCl)][TEF] (R =Ph (2f), ^tBu (2g)). In the reaction of 1 with [Br2P]⁺ , an analogous compound is observed only as an intermediate and the final product is an unexpected dinuclear* complex $[\{Cp'''Ni\}_2(\mu, \eta^3:\eta^1:\eta^1-P_4Br_3)]$ [TEF] (3a). A similar product $[\{Cp'''Ni\}_2(\mu, \eta^3:\eta^1:\eta^1-P_4(2,2\frac{1}{2}$ *biphen)Cl)][GaCl4] (3b) is obtained, when 2d[GaCl4] is kept in solution for prolonged times. While the central structural motif of 2a-g consists of a "butterfly-like" folded P⁴ ring attached to a {Cp'''Ni} fragment, the structures of 3a and 3b exhibit a unique asymmetrically substituted and distorted P⁴ chain stabilized by two {Cp'''Ni} fragments. Additional DFT calculations shed light on the reaction pathway for the formation of 2a-2g and the bonding situation in 3a.*

3.2. Introduction

The structural diversity observed in organic chemistry is immense, and it is based on the high tendency of carbon to form homoatomic bonds, due to the high C–C single and multiple bond energies.^[1] Due to its diagonal relationship to carbon and the isolobality of CH fragments to P,^[2] a similar chemical behaviour is predicted for phosphorus. Thus, a broad variety of anionic and neutral polyphosphorus compounds was reported.^[3] In contrast, the area of polyphosphorus cations is still underdeveloped. Important efforts in view of the synthesis of organosubstituted polyphosphorus cations were made by the groups of *Burford* and *Weigand*, respectively, who were able to isolate catenated^[4] as well as ring-^[5] and cage-type (**I**, *III*)^[6] polyphosphorus cations (Scheme 1), the latter representing mostly unsubstituted polyphosphorus moieties. The *Krossing* group was able to extend these results by isolating halogen-substituted cages (II),^[7] and they even succeeded in demonstrating the formation of the first homoleptic polyatomic phosphorus cation (**IV**) in solution.[8] The syntheses of the cations **I**, **II** and **III** could be achieved by the reaction of P_4 with phosphenium cations $[RR'P]^+,$ which have proven to be very useful electrophiles for this purpose. While donor-substituted

Scheme 1: Left: Selected polyphosphorus cations (I, II and III) derived from the reaction of P⁴ with suitable phosphenium ions. Middle: The first homoatomic polyphosphorus cation IV generated upon the reaction of P⁴ with [NO][TEF]. Right: The starting compound 1, which is isolobal to P4.

derivatives of these heavy carbene analogues can be isolated,^[9] phosphenium cations bearing alkyl, aryl or halogen substituents are too reactive^[10] so that they can only be generated *in situ* by the reaction of halogenophosphines with a suitable halide-abstracting agent. A general reactivity pattern of these phosphenium cations is their insertion into P–P bonds affording expanded polyphosphorus structures.

On the other hand, polypnictogen units can also serve as ligands (E_n ligands; $E = P$, As, Sb, Bi) stabilized in the coordination sphere of transition metals. Reductive^[11] and nucleophilic^[11e] functionalizations of these units have proven to be promising routes to obtain large polypnictogen frameworks. Furthermore, initial studies on their oxidation chemistry revealed the potential in the formation of larger cationic polypnictogen structures.[11a,12] While the reaction of such complexes with electrophilic pnictinidenes $[Cp*E\{W(CO)_{5}\}_2]$ (E = P, As)^[13] and the condensation of an anionic bimetallic complex with halogenophosphines^[14] lead to neutral E_n ring-expanded products, their functionalization with cationic electrophiles has only been scarcely explored. Examples of the latter are alkylation reactions of $[(L)Co(\eta^3-P_3)]^{[15]}$ or $[(L)Rh(\eta^1:\eta^2-P_4R)]^{[16]}$ (L = MeC(CH₂PPh₂)₃). Furthermore, it was shown only recently that derivatives of **I** can be reacted with highly reduced metal units to yield cationic polyphosphorus compounds.[17] An alternative approach, however, the reactivity of polyphosphorus complexes towards phosphenium cations, has not been investigated so far. Therefore, the question arises if this would be a general way for the synthesis of a large variety of novel cationic polyphosphorus frameworks. This way would open a huge variety of functionalization possibilities by the used phosphenium cations as well as afterwards by having introduced suitable functional groups. Moreover, also the question as to a possible mechanism between addition and insertion on the one hand or the immediate insertion on the other came up. Inspired by the results obtained on the electrophilic functionalization of P_4 by the Krossing group (vide supra), we envisioned the P_4 isolobal polyphosphorus complex $[Cp''Ni(\eta^3-P_3)]$ (1, $Cp''' = 1,2,4$ -'Bu₃-C₅H₂)^[11e] (Scheme 1) as a suitable starting material for such investigations. While the recently reported coordinative transformation of derivatives of **I** is so far limited to P5R² moieties,[17] our approach would allow for the preparation of yet unknown *cyclo*-P4R² units. Moreover, if we could demonstrate this reactivity on **1** with success, this approach would potentially open a general way to cationic polyphosphorus ligands of different sizes, since a large variety of polyphosphorus ligand complexes does already exist. Additionally, this approach allows for the simple exchange of substituents in the final products, if e.g. halogen substituents are present and, therefore, the obtained compounds could serve as valuable starting materials in the preparation of novel functionalized polyphosphorus compounds.

3.3. Results and Discussion

When 1 is reacted with $[Ph_2P][OTF]$ (Ph = phenyl, $[OTF]^-$ = $[SO_3CF_3]^-$), *in situ* generated by the reaction of TI[OTf] as the halide-abstracting agent and Ph₂PCI in o -DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene), a colour change from bright orange to dark red and the formation of a white precipitate (TlCl) can be observed over the course of 20 h. Upon workup and recrystallization, [Cp'''Ni(3 -P4Ph2)][OTf] (**2a**[OTf]) can be isolated in 63% crystalline yield (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2: Reaction of 1 with phosphenium and halogenophosphenium ions: i) "[RR'P][X]" in situ generated from RR'PCl and Tl[TEF] (for other abstracting agents see SI); ii) "[Br2P][TEF]" from PBr³ and Tl[TEF]; iii) "[biphenP][GaCl4]" from biphenPCl and GaCl³ with prolonged reaction time (14 d); iv) GaCl3. a) All compounds 2a-g were prepared as their [TEF]– salts and 2a-d additionally as their [GaCl4] – salts; b) Isolated as an isomeric mixture of 2fendo:2fexo = 7:1 with endo and exo referring to the position of the Ph group at the folded P⁴ ring.

As we had anticipated the halide-abstracting agent to have crucial impact on this reaction, we evaluated other compounds applicable for this purpose. Performing the same reaction in the presence of Ag[SbF₆], M[TEF] (M⁺ = Ag⁺ or Tl⁺, [TEF]⁻ = [Al(OC(CF₃)₃)₄]-)^[18] or [(Et₃Si)₂(µ-H)][BAr^F]^[19] ([BAr^F]⁻ = [B(C₆F₅)₄]⁻) yielded **2a**[X] ([X]⁻ = [SbF₆]⁻, [TEF]⁻, [BAr^F]⁻) in comparably lower yields (for details see SI). The exchange of these ionic halide-abstracting agents for the neutral GaCl3, however, gave **2a**[GaCl4] in 71% yield after only 4 h of reaction. With these results in hand, we turned our interest towards exchanging the Ph substituents at the phosphenium ion against other organic groups, while performing the reactions either with GaCl₃ or TI[TEF] (enhanced stabilization). Notably, replacing Ph₂PCI with the sterically very demanding 'Bu₂PCI ('Bu = *tert*-butyl) or the electronically deactivated (Et₂N)₂PCI does not lead to any reaction with **1**. Instead, using Mes₂PCI, Cy₂PCI or (biphen)PCI (Mes = mesityl, Cy = cyclohexyl, biphen = 2,2[']-biphenyl) gives the products $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-P_4R_2)][X]$ (R = Mes (2b: 53% [X]– = [GaCl4] – /46% [X]– = [TEF]–), Cy (**2c**: 59/57%); R² = biphen (**2d**: 70/55%); [X]– = [GaCl₄]-/[TEF]-) in moderate to good yields. When employing the highly reactive Me₂PCI, only the [TEF]– salt is stable enough to isolate [Cp'''Ni(3 -P4Me2)][TEF] (**2e**: 52%). The insertion of multiple $[Ph_2P]^+$ entities into 1 is not observed, not even when using two or more equivalents of Ph2PCl and Tl[TEF]. By expanding the scope of our approach, we replaced halogenophosphines with dihalogenophosphines RPCl2. When **1** is reacted with the respective dihalogenophosphine (PhPCl₂ or ^{*t*}BuPCl₂) under similar conditions, a colour change from bright

orange to brownish red and again the formation of a white precipitate (TlCl) are observed. After workup, the products $[Cp''Ni(\eta^3-P_4RCI)][TEF]$ (R = Ph (2f: 60%), R = ${}^{t}Bu$ (2g: 50%)), can be isolated as brownish red powders. While for **2g** the formation of a single product is observed, NMR data (*vide infra*) suggest the formation of two isomers of **2f** (Ph-substituent in *endo*/*exo* position; see Figures S2 and 3). Furthermore, when we implemented PBr₃ as the phosphenium ion precursor, the expected $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-P_4Br_2)]^+$ (2h) was only observed as an intermediate (see Figures SI 8-10 for the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra) that cannot be isolated. However, stirring the reaction mixture for three days at room temperature leads to the formation of the dinuclear complex $[\{Cp'''Ni\}_2(\mu, \eta^3:\eta^1:\eta^1-P_4Br_3)]$ [TEF] (3a, Scheme 2). The additional detection of P₄ hints at a fragmentation pathway for the formation of **3a**, which, after workup and crystallization, is isolated as dark brown blocks in high yields (90%, based on **1**). Intriguingly, we discovered that keeping **2d**[GaCl4] in *o*-DFB solution at room temperature for two weeks yields a similar dinuclear complex $[\{Cp''Ni\}_2(\mu, \eta^3:\eta^1:\eta^1-P_4(\text{biphen})CI)]$ [GaCl₄] (3b) in 74 % yield (based on 1). When we tried to further increase the yield of reactions involving GaCl₃ by varying the order of addition, we found that 1 also reacts with $GaCl₃$ alone in the absence of $R₂PCI$. Investigating this new reaction pattern revealed that the formation of the novel triple-decker complex [{Cp"'Ni}₂(μ,η³:η³-P₃)][GaCl₄] (4) can be achieved that way. To the best of our knowledge, 4 represents the first cationic representative of a Ni/Ni triple-decker complex with an $\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3$ middle deck. Thus, it completes the series of previously reported anionic and neutral complexes $[{Cp''Ni}_2(\mu, \eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)]^{-/0}$.^[11e] Except for 2b and 2g, all obtained products could be crystallized as their [GaCl4] ─ (**2a**, **2c**, **2d**) or [TEF]─ (**2e**, **2f**) salt, allowing their X-ray crystallographic characterization (Figure 1). For **2f**, only the *endo*-Ph (regarding the P⁴ ring) isomer could be characterized crystallographically. Additional solid-state structures of **2a**[X] $([X] = [OTT]^{-}$, $[SbF_6]^{-}$ or $[TEF]^{-}$) hint at a negligible influence of the anion on the structure of 2a (see Figures SI 12-15). The central structural motif of 2 consists of a bent *cyclo-P₄* unit, which is attached to a {Cp"'Ni} fragment, carrying two substituents at one of the P atoms. This structural motif arises from the formal insertion of the corresponding phosphenium cation into one of the P–P bonds of **1** and represents a rare example of a ring expansion reaction from a

Figure 1: Solid-state structures of 2a and 2c-f; Hydrogen atoms (except Me in 2e), solvent molecules (0.5 o-DFB (2c), 0.4 n-hexane (2d)) and counter anions ([GaCl4] (2a, 2c, 2d), [TEF] (2e, 2f)) are omitted for clarity and ADPs *are drawn at 50 % probability level. The cation denoted with Ni1 is displayed for the structures of 2d and 2e, as the respective crystal structures contain five and four molar equivalents in the asymmetric unit, respectively.*

strained 3-membered ring to a 4-membered one.^[5b,20] The P–P bond lengths in the P₄ ring (2a: 2.173(1) - 2.194(2) Å, **2c**: 2.174(1) - 2.195(1) Å, **2d**: 2.162(1) - 2.208(1) Å, **2e**: 2.149(2) – 2.195(2) Å, **2f**: 2.146(1) - 2.204(2) Å) are all well within the range of P–P single bonds,[20] and comparably shorter than found in **I** or **III**. [6a,b] Along the lines of the latter, the shortest P–P bonds are those involving the atom P1. These bond lengths are the shortest in **2f** (2.146(1)/2.147(1) Å), increase according to the steric bulk of the substituents (**2f** < **2e** < **2d** < **2a** < **2c**) and are the longest in **2c** (2.174(1)/2.183(1) Å). A similar trend is not observed for the dihedral angle P1–P2–P4–P3, which is the smallest in **2e** (40.75(9)°) but the largest in **2f** (49.48(7)°). The former P–P bond of **1** is clearly broken in these compounds, which is indicated by the elongated P2–P4 distances (**2a**: 3.028(5) Å, **2c**: 3.020(1) Å, **2d**: 3.044(2) Å, **2e**: 3.031(3) Å, **2f**: 3.078(1) Å).[20,21] The solid-state structures of the cations **3a** and **3b** could be established as their [TEF]⁻ and [GaCl₄]⁻ salt, respectively (Figure 2). The central structural motif within both cations is the unprecedented, unsymmetrically substituted P_4 chain, which is coordinated to two {Cp'''Ni} fragments. The structure of these products can be formally described by the insertion of a second {Cp'''Ni} fragment into a P–P bond of the cations **2** and the addition of a halide substituent to the P_4 unit. The P–P bond lengths in **3a** (2.162(1) - 2.223(1) \hat{A}) and **3b** $(2.144(2) - 2.239(2)$ Å) match the expected values for P-P single bonds,^[20] where the longest ones are those between P1 and P2. While the Ni1–P bond lengths (**3a**: 2.221(1) - 2.269(1) Å, **3b**: 2.217(1) - 2.262(1) Å) are close to the values found for the starting material **1** (2.236(1) - 2.246(1) Å),[11e] the Ni2–P1/P4 distances are comparably shorter (**3a**: 2.104(1) - 2.157(1) Å, **3b**: 2.142(1) - 2.147(1) Å). The formulation as a P_4 chain is clearly manifested in the large P1– P4 distances of 2.878(1) Å and 2.919(2) Å in **3a** and **3b**, respectively.[20] With P3–P4–P2–P1 dihedral angles of 135.30(6)° (**3a**) and 130.58(9)° (**3b**) the P1 atom is located below the plane spanned by the other P atoms, and the halide substituents are situated above that plane (P2– P4–P3–X = 163.09(5) (**3a**), 162.14(8)° (**3b**)). The solid-state structure of **4** reveals an allylically distorted P_3 ligand in-between two {Cp"'Ni} fragments (Figure 2). The P1–P2 (2.202(1) Å) and P2–P3 (2.198(1) \AA) bonds are within common P–P single bonds,^[20] while the P1–P3 (2.539(1) Å) distance is clearly elongated. The elongation of the P1–P3 distance is more pronounced than in the neutral (2.398(1) and anionic (2.241(1) Å) derivatives of **4**, [11e] with the anion

Figure 2: Solid state structures of the cations in 3a, 3b and 4; H atoms and the counter anions are omitted for clarity; ADPs are drawn at 50% probability level.

showing a nearly triangular P_3 ligand. Furthermore, the P1–P2–P3 angles in the anionic (61.16(2)°) and neutral (66.60(2)°) derivatives[11e] are comparably smaller than the one in **4** (70.48(5)°). According to DFT calculations, the increasing allylic distortion going from the anion $[{Cp}'''\text{Ni}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{3}:\eta^{3}-P_{3})]$ ⁻ over the neutral species $[{Cp}'''\text{Ni}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{3}:\eta^{3}-P_{3})]$ to the cation **4** is caused by the stepwise depopulation of the HOMO of the anion, which has a large P–P bonding contribution.[11e]

The ³¹P and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of the isolated products **2** in CD₂Cl₂ show AA'MX (**2b**, **2d**, **2f**), AMM´X (**2a**, **2e**, **2g**) or AMXX´ (**2c**) spin systems (Figure 3), which are in agreement with the cyclic P4R² ligand found in these compounds. For **2f,** an additional set of signals is found, as both isomers (*endo*-Ph and *exo*-Ph) are present in a 7:1 ratio (see Figures S2 and 3). The chemical shifts of the signals are found between δ = –10 and 120 ppm (exact values are provided in the SI) and their assignment is simplified by additional P–H coupling of the signal corresponding to the former phosphenium cation in the ³¹P NMR spectrum. While the ¹ J_{P-P} coupling constants in **2a**-**g** (see SI) are slightly larger compared to the isolobal **I**/**III**, [6a,b] the

*Figure 3: ³¹P{ ¹H} NMR spectra of isolated 2a-g in CD2Cl² recorded at 298 K with signal assignment according to the colour code. The two sets of signals observed for 2f are labelled with 2f¹ and 2f2, with the former being most probably the endo-Ph isomer due to steric reasons. * = unidentified minor impurities.*
varying sequence of signals (in addition to electronic effects) may be attributed to similar "cross-ring through space" interactions as reported for derivatives of **III**. [6b] The ¹H NMR spectrum of 3a in CD₂Cl₂ shows five signals for the *Bu groups and four signals for the residual* protons of the Cp^{'''} ligands, respectively. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3b** in CD_2Cl_2 is similar but shows six signals for the *^t*Bu groups and additional resonances for the 2,2´-biphen substituent. Thus, a hindered rotation of the Cp"' ligands can be assumed in both cases at ambient temperatures. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **3a** in CD₂Cl₂ reveals an AMNX spin system with signals at δ = -1.1 (P^x), 128.0 (P^N), 134.2 (P^M) and 182.0 (P^A) ppm, which is consistent with an asymmetric P₄ chain. An unexpectedly large ${}^{2}J_{P-P}$ coupling constant (281.7 Hz) of the P atoms at each end of the chain may however hint at possible orbital interactions between those atoms in 3a (*vide infra*). The ³¹P NMR spectra of 3b in CD₂Cl₂ recorded at room temperature only reveal two moderately resolved and two very broad resonances at δ = -31.9 (br), 80.6 (br), 84.1 and 146.6 ppm. Upon cooling to -80 °C, these signals split into two separate, wellresolved sets indicating the presence of two isomers which we assume to arise from a hindered rotation of the Cp''' ligands at this temperature. Again, unusually high ²J_{P–P} coupling constants are found between the P atoms at each end of the chain for both isomers $(3b_1)^2 J_{P-P} = 108.2$ Hz, $3b_2$: $2J_{P-P}$ = 113.2 Hz). The ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in CD₂Cl₂ shows three signals consistent with two symmetrical Cp'" ligands. Accordingly, its ³¹P NMR spectrum reveals only one singlet at δ = 139.3 ppm, which does not change even upon cooling to –80 °C. Thus, we assume a rapid dynamic behaviour for the allylic P_3 ligand.

ESI(+) mass spectrometry studies on salts of the cations **2a**-**g** reveal their high stability towards fragmentation, as the only observed peaks are those attributed to the molecular cations (*m/z* = 569.1 (**2a**), 653.2 (**2b**), 581.2 (**2c**), 567.1 (**2d**), 445.1 (**2e**), 527.1 (**2f**), 507.1 (**2g**)). Only for **2d**[GaCl₄], a second peak at $m/z = 895.2$ (3b) is observed, which is in agreement with our experimental finding of a (slow) fragmentation/rearrangement process of **2d**. When isolated samples of **3a** or **3b** in *o*-DFB are subjected to ESI(+) MS experiments, only the molecular ion peaks are found (*m/z* = 947.0 (**3a**), 895.2 (**3b**)). The ESI(+) mass spectrum of **4** also shows the molecular ion peak $(m/z = 675.2)$.

To obtain insight into the formation of the cations **2,** we carried out DFT calculations (BP86/def2-TZVP, PCM solvent correction for CH_2Cl_2 , see SI for details) on the model system consisting of $[CpNi(\eta^3-P_3)]$ (1') and $[Me_2P]^+$ (Figure 4). While the crucial impact of the $[GaCl_4]^$ anion on the formation of the isolobal compounds **III** has been pointed out for reactions involving GaCl₃ as halide abstracting agent,^[6b] this seems unfeasible for reactions involving the weakly coordinating [TEF]– anion. Furthermore, investigations of the solution chemistry of the PBr₃/Ag^[TEF] couple showed that the formation of free phosphenium cations is unlikely,^[22] but, to our knowledge, similar reactivity has not been studied for TI⁺. Thus, we assume the formation of a free phosphenium cation ([Me₂P]⁺) in the first step of the reaction. **1**' reacts with [Me₂P]⁺ in an exergonic reaction ($\Delta G_{298K} = -24.08$ kcal/mol) and without a transition state to yield the intermediate **I2** in which [Me₂P]⁺ is coordinated to the *cyclo*-P₃ ligand of 1' in an η ¹ fashion. This reaction proceeds via TS2 (ΔG_{298K} = -18.31 kcal/mol, $\Delta\Delta G_{298K}$ = 5.77 kcal/mol) in which the [Me₂P]⁺ fragment is coordinated to one of the P-P bonds of 1' and finally gives

Figure 4: Calculated (BP86/def2-TZVP, PCM solvent correction for CH2Cl2) energy profile of the reaction between the model compound 1´ and [Me2P]⁺ ; stationary points were verified by analytical frequency calculations, energies are given in kcal/mol and the couple 1´+[Me2P]⁺ was arbitrarily set to an energy of 0 kcal/mol.

the product P2, which shows the complete insertion of [Me₂P]⁺ into the respective P-P bond. The overall reaction is exergonic $(\Delta G_{298K} = -42.26$ kcal/mol), which is caused by the assumption of free $[Me₂P]⁺$ being initially formed.

Finally, we also investigated the electronic and bonding properties of **3a**/**b** computationally (B3LYP/def2-SVP, PCM solvent correction for CH_2Cl_2). The observed geometric parameters for **3a** and **3b** obtained from these calculations match well with the experimental values, which corroborates the formulation of the P_4X_3 ligands as chains. Furthermore, the Wiberg Bond Indices (WBIs) for both compounds, obtained from NBO analyses, are in line with this formulation (**3a**: 0.87 (P1–P2), 1.12 (P2–P3), 1.04 (P3–P4); **3b**: 0.84 (P1–P2), 1.14 (P2–P3), 1.05 (P3–P4)). When we examined the canonical frontier orbitals of **3a**, we found an allylictype interaction between P1, Ni2 and P4 within the HOMO-4 (see Figure S24 for details). The comparably small but significant orbital contributions of both P1 (7%) and P4 (6%) may explain the large ${}^{2}J_{P-P}$ coupling constant found in the ³¹P NMR spectra of **3a** and **3b**.

3.4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the reaction of **1** with a variety of phosphenium cations yields numerous cationic polyphosphorus scaffolds, which are stabilized by coordination to {Cp'''Ni} moieties. While the cations **2** contain novel P_4R_2 ligands, **3a** and **3b** display a P_4X_3 chain motif that is unprecedented to date. DFT calculations revealed the reaction pathway leading to **2** as an addition/insertion mechanism and gave insight into the electronic structure of **3a**/**b**. Thus, we could show that the combination of phosphenium cations with polyphosphorus ligand complexes (such as **1**) is an additional possibility to design polyphosphorus cations of the desired size in a rational way. While we demonstrate this for the *cyclo*-P₃ complex **1**, we expect our approach to be transferrable to other polyphosphorus ligand complexes as well. The presented simple synthetic route allows for large diversification regarding the substitution pattern of the product by simply exchanging the phosphenium ion precursor. While the discussed reactivity is exemplified by the P³ ligand complex **1**, our approach should also be transferrable to other neutral polyphosphorus and other polypnictogen ligand complexes, and investigations in this direction are in progress. Furthermore, the obtained products hold great potential for functionalizations via reduction, nucleophilic functionalization, or displacement of the {Cp'''Ni} fragments, paving the way for even more elaborate substituted polyphosphorus compounds.

3.5. Supporting Information

3.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus with N_2 as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. o-DFB was distilled from P₂O₅, CD₂Cl₂ was distilled from CaH₂ and other solvents were directly taken from an MB SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz), ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz) and ³¹P (161.976 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using $(H_3C)_4Si$ (¹H), CFCI₃ (¹⁹F) or 85% phosphoric acid (³¹P), respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Chemical shifts and coupling constants for all ³¹P{¹H} and ³¹P NMR spectra were derived from spectral simulation. The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $ddd =$ doublet of doublets of doublets, $t =$ triplet, br = broad and $m =$ multiplet. ESI mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 mass spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.^[23] Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials [Cp'''Ni(n³-P₃)] (1),^[11e] TI[TEF],^[18b] [(Et₃Si)₂(µ-H)][BAr^F],^[19] (2,2'-biphen)PCI^[24] and ^tBuPCI₂^[25] were synthesized following literature procedures. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors. GaCl₃ was sublimed and all purchased halogenophosphanes were distilled before use.

[Cp'''Ni(³ -P4Ph2)][OTf] (2a[OTf])

A colourless solution of Ph₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 22 mg, 17.9 μ L, 1 eq.) in 1 mL of toluene was added to an orange solution of **1** (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Tl[OTf] (0.1 mmol, 35 mg, 1 eq.) in 5 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. Immediate precipitation of small amounts of white solid occurred and a colour change to dark red could be observed upon stirring for 24 h. The mixture was then filtered through glass fibre filter paper and upon addition of 50 mL of *n*-hexane a dark red oil was precipitated. This oil was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, and dried under reduced pressure (10─3 mbar). Dissolving the residue in *o*-DFB and layering with *n*-hexane (ratio of 1:8) yielded [Cp´´´Ni(3 -P4Ph2)][OTf] (**2a**[OTf]) after five days at room temperature as small red plates suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Ph2)][SbF6] (2a[SbF6])

A colourless solution of Ph₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 22 mg, 17.9 μ L, 1 eq.) in 1 mL of toluene was added to an orange solution of $[Cp^{\prime\prime}$ Ni $(\eta^3-P_3)]$ (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Ag[SbF₆] (0.1 mmol, 34 mg, 1 eq.) in 5 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. Immediate precipitation of small amounts of white solid and a colour change to red could be observed. After stirring for 24 h at room temperature the mixture was filtered through glass fibre filter paper and upon addition of 50 mL of *n*-hexane, a dark red solid precipitated. The precipitate was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, and dried under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar). Recrystallisation from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane (1:8) at room temperature yielded [Cp´´´Ni(3 -P4Ph2)][SbF6] (**2a**[SbF6]) as red platelets, suitable for X-ray analysis after one week.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Ph2)][TEF] (2a[TEF])

A colourless solution of Ph₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 22 mg, 17.9 μ L, 1 eq.) in 1 mL of toluene was added to an orange solution of **1** (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq., $[TEF] = [Al(OC(CF₃)₃)₄]$ in 5 mL of o -DFB at room temperature. Immediate precipitation of small amounts of white solid and a slow colour change to red could be observed. After stirring for 24 h at room temperature the mixture was filtered through glass fibre filter paper and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The oily red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*hexane, each, and dried under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar). Dark red crystals of [Cp´´´Ni(η^3 -P4Ph2)][TEF] (**2a**[TEF]), suitable for X-ray analysis could be obtained by slowly evaporating CH₂Cl₂ from a concentrated solution. Performing the same reaction with Ag[TEF] instead of Tl[TEF] results in diminished yields.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Ph2)][BAr^F] (2a[BAr^F])

A colourless solution of $[(Et_3Si)_2(\mu-H)][Bar^F]$ (0.1 mmol, 91 mg, 1 eq., $[Bar^F]^- = [B(C_6F_5)_4]^T$) in 3 mL of o -DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Ph₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 22 mg, 17.9 μ L, 1 eq.) in 4 mL of o -DFB at room temperature. Immediate colour change to red could be observed. After stirring for 6 h at room temperature the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The oily red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, and dried under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar) leading to solidification. [Cp''Ni(n³-P₄Ph₂)][BAr^F] (**2a**[BAr^F]) was isolated as an orange to red powder. All attempts to obtain (**2a**[BAr^F]) as a single crystallin material failed.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Ph2)][GaCl4] (2a[GaCl4])

A colourless solution of GaCl³ (0.1 mmol, 18 mg, 1 eq.) in 4 mL of *o*-DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Ph₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 22 mg, 17.9 μ L, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. A rapid colour change to dark red occurred. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the oily red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar) lead to solidification and dark red crystals of $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-P_4Ph_2)][GaCl_4]$ (2a[GaCl₄]), suitable for X-ray analysis could be obtained by recrystallisation from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane (1:6) at room temperature after seven days.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Mes2)][GaCl4] (2b[GaCl4])

A colourless solution of GaCl³ (0.1 mmol, 18 mg, 1 eq.) in 4 mL of *o*-DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Mes₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 30 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. A rapid colour change to red occurred. After stirring for 6 h at room temperature the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the oily red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure (10─3 mbar) lead to solidification of the product [Cp'''Ni(n³-P₄Mes₂)][GaCl₄] (2b[GaCl₄]), which was isolated as a red powder. All attempts to recrystallise the product ended in the formation of red oil at the bottom of the flask.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Mes2)][TEF] (2b[TEF])

A colourless solution of TI[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq., [TEF] = $[A(OC(CF₃)₃)₄]$ in 2 mL of o -DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Mes₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 30 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. A rapid colour change to red occurred and formation of white solid could be observed. After stirring for 24 h at room temperature, the mixture was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo.* The oily red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar) lead to solidification of the product [Cp''Ni(n³-P₄Mes₂)][TEF] (2b[TEF]), which was isolated as a red powder. All attempts to recrystallise the product ended in the formation of red oil at the bottom of the flask.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Cy2)][GaCl4] (2c[GaCl4])

A colourless solution of GaCl³ (0.1 mmol, 18 mg, 1 eq.) in 4 mL of *o*-DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Cy₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 23 mg, 22 μ L, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. A rapid colour change to red occurred. After stirring for 6 h at room temperature the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the oily red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure (10─3 mbar) lead to solidification of the product $[Cp^{\prime\prime\prime}\text{Ni}(\eta^3-P_4Cy_2)]$ [GaCl₄] (2c[GaCl₄]), which was then recrystallised from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane (1:8) at – 30 °C to give dark red crystals of X-ray analysis quality after two weeks.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Cy2)][TEF] (2c[TEF])

A colourless solution of Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 2 mL of *o*-DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Cy₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 23 mg, 22 μ L, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. A rapid colour change to red occurred and formation of white solid could be observed. After stirring for 24 h at room temperature, the mixture was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo.* The oily red residue was dissolved in 3 mL of CH2Cl2, precipitated with 30 mL of *n* -hexane and washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure $(10^{-3}$ mbar) lead to solidification of the product [Cp^{'''}Ni(n³-P₄Cy₂)][TEF] (2c[TEF]), which was isolated as an orange to red powder. All attempts to recrystallise the product ended in the formation of red oil at the bottom of the flask.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4biphen)][GaCl4] (2d[GaCl4])

A colourless solution of GaCl₃ (0.1 mmol, 18 mg, 1 eq.) in 4 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added to an orange solution of **1** (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and biphenPCl (0.1 mmol, 22 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. Rapid colour change to dark red occurred. After stirring the solution for 1.5 h, it was constrained to a total of 4 mL, layered with 20 mL of *n*-hexane, and stored at – 30 °C. After one week, formation of red microcrystalline $[Cp^{\cdots}Ni(n^3-d)]$ P₄biphen)][GaCl₄] (2d[GaCl₄]) could be observed. Recrystallisation from CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane (1:5) at –30 °C yielded light red crystals of X-ray quality after two weeks.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4biphen)][TEF] (2d[TEF])

A colourless solution of Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 2 mL of *o*-DFB was added to an orange solution of **1** (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and biphenPCl (0.1 mmol, 22 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. A rapid colour change to dark red occurred and formation of white solid could be observed. After stirring for 24 h at room temperature, the mixture was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo.* The dark red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, and then dried under reduced pressure (10─3 mbar) to yield [Cp´´´Ni(3 -P4biphen)][TEF] (**2d**[TEF]) as an orange to red powder.

Yield: 84 mg (0.055 mmol, 55%)

- Elemental analysis: calc. (%) for $[Cp^{\prime\prime}Ni(\eta^3-P_4biphen)][TEF]$ (C₄₅H₃₇O₄F₃₆AlP₄Ni): C: 35.20 H: 2.43; found (%): C: 35.02 H: 2.47
- ESI(+) MS (CH₂Cl₂): m/z (%) = 567.1 (100%) [Cp^{'''}Ni(η ³-P₄biphen)]⁺
- NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): ¹**H**: δ ppm = 1.36 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.52 (s, 18 H, C(CH₃)₃), 6.25 (d, ⁴J_H-^H = 1.5 Hz, 2 H, C5H² *^t*Bu3), 7.72 (m, 2 H, biphen), 7.83 (m, 2 H, biphen), 7.96 (m, 2 H, biphen), 8.28 (m, 2 H, biphen)

³¹P{¹H}: (AA'MX spin system) \Box /ppm = 17.1 (m, ¹J_{PX-PA} = 292.8 Hz, ¹J_{PX}-PA´ = 274.2 Hz, ²*J*PX-PM = 24.8 Hz, 1 P, P^X), 63.8 (m, ¹*J*PM-PA = 277.2 Hz, $1J_{PM\text{-}PA'} = 282.5 \text{ Hz}, \frac{2J_{PM\text{-}PX}}{2} = 24.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ P}, \text{ P}^{\text{M}}$, 70.0 (m, $1J_{PA\text{-}PX} = 292.8 \text{ Hz}$ Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX = 274.2 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PM = 277.2 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PM = 282.5 Hz, 2 P, $P^{\text{A/A}'}$

 31 **P**: (AA'MX spin system) ∂ /ppm = 17.1 (t, 1 J_{PX-PA/A} \cdot = 282.4 Hz, 1 P, P^x), 63.8 (m, 1 J_{PM-PA} = 277.2 Hz, 1 J_{PM-PA} \cdot = $, ^2$ J_{PM-PX} = 24.8 Hz, 1 P, P^M), 70.0 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 292.8 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX = 274.2 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PM = 277.2 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PM = 282.5 Hz, 2 P, $P^{A/A'}$)

 19 **F{¹H}**: δ /ppm = -75.54 (s, [TEF]⁻)

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Me2)][TEF] (2e[TEF])

A colourless solution of Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 2 mL of *o*-DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and Me₂PCI (0.1 mmol, 10 mg, 10 μ L, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. A rapid colour change to red occurred and formation of white solid could be observed. After stirring for 24 h at room temperature, the mixture was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo.* The oily red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure (10─3 mbar) lead to solidification. Recrystallisation from o-DFB/n-hexane (1:10) at 4 °C gave [Cp¹¹Ni(n³-P₄Me₂)][TEF] (2e[TEF]) as a single crystalline material after 10 days.

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4PhCl)][TEF] (2f[TEF])

A colourless solution of Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 2 mL of *o*-DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and PhPCl₂ (0.1 mmol, 18 mg, 14 μ L, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. A rapid colour change to dark red occurred and formation of white solid could be observed. After stirring for 20 h at room temperature, the mixture was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo.* The oily red residue was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure (10─3 mbar) lead to formation of [Cp´´´Ni(3 -P4ClPh)][TEF] (**2f**[TEF]) as a brownish red solid. NMR spectroscopy studies revealed that **2f**[TEF] is formed in two isomeric forms, endo-Ph and exo-Ph, respectively. According to the ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectrum the two isomers are formed in a ratio of 1:7. Crystals of the *endo*-Ph isomer of **2f**[TEF] could be obtained in X-ray analysis quality, by recrystallisation from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane (1:6) at 4 °C for two weeks.

Yield: 93 mg (0.06 mmol, 60%)

Elemental analysis: calc. $(\%)$ for 3 -P $_4$ Me $_2$)][TEF] \cdot (C $_6$ H $_4$ F $_2)_{0,6}$ (C42.6H36.4O4F37.2AlP4Ni): C: 32.72 H: 2.35; found (%): C: 32.89 H: 2.52 (Signals for $C_6H_4F_2$ are also found in the ¹H NMR of the isolated product)

ESI(+) MS (o-DFB): m/z (%) = 527.1 (100%) [Cp⁻⁻⁻Ni(η^3 -P₄PhCl)]⁺

NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): ¹H: δ /ppm = 1.21 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃ (2f⁺exo)), 1.29 (s, 18 H, C(CH₃)₃ (**2f⁺ exo**)), 1.39 (s, 9 H, C(CH3)³ (**2f⁺ endo**)), 1.49 (s, 18 H, C(CH3)³ (**2f⁺ endo**)), 5.91 (d, ⁴J_{H-H} = 2.7 Hz, 2 H, C₅H₂^tBu₃ (2f⁺exo)), 6.05 (s, 2 H, C₅H₂^tBu₃ (**2f⁺ endo**)), 7.73 (m, 2.3 H, Ph (**2f⁺**)), 7.81 (m, 1.1 H, Ph (**2f⁺**)), 7.9 ─ 8.0 (m, 2.3 H, Ph (**2f⁺**)), **2f⁺ endo**: **2f⁺ exo** = 7:1 **31P{1H}**: (two AA'MX spin systems) δ ppm = 34.3 (ddd, ¹J_{PX-PA} = 274.1 Hz, ¹*J*PX-PA´ = 273.6 Hz, ²*J*PX-PM = 36.8 Hz, 1 P, P^X (**2f⁺ endo**)), 42.3 (ddd, 1 *J*_{PX-PA} = 331.1 Hz, 1 *J*_{PX-PA} \cdot = 330.2 Hz, 2 *J*_{PX-PM} = 18.7 Hz, 1 P, P^X (2f⁺exo)), 59.5 (ddd, ¹*J*PM-PA = 346.4 Hz, ¹*J*PM-PA´ = 338.5 Hz, ²*J*PM-PX = 36.8 Hz, 1 P, P^M (**2f⁺ endo**)), 73.9 (ddd, ¹*J*PM-PA = 285.0 Hz, ¹*J*PM-PA´ = 282.3 Hz, ²*J*PM-PX = 18.7 Hz, 1 P, P^M (**2f⁺ exo**)), 94.1 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 331.1 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX = 330.2 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PM = 285.0 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PM = 282.3 Hz, 2 P, PA/A´ (**2f⁺ exo**)), 113.5 (ddd, ¹PPA-PM = 346.4 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PM = 338.5 Hz, ¹*J*P2-PX = 274.1 Hz, ¹*J*P4-PX = 273.6 Hz, 2 P, PA/A´ (**2f⁺ endo**)) ³¹**P**: (two AA'MX spin systems) δ /ppm = 34.3 (ddd, ¹J_{PX-PA} = 274.1 Hz, ¹*J*PX-PA´ = 273.6 Hz, ²*J*PX-PM = 36.8 Hz, 1 P, P^X (**2f⁺ endo**)), 42.3 (t (broad), ¹J_{P-P} = 339.8 Hz, 1 P, P^x (2f⁺_{exo})), 59.5 (t (broad), ¹J_{P-P} = 342.4 Hz, 1 P, P ^M (**2f⁺ endo**)), 73.9 (ddd, ¹*J*PM-PA = 285.0 Hz, ¹*J*PM-PA´ = 282.3 Hz, ²*J*PM-PX = 18.7 Hz, 1 P, P^M (**2f⁺ exo**)), 94.1 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 331.1 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX = 330.2 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PM = 285.0 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PM = 282.3 Hz, 2 P, PA/A´ (**2f⁺ exo**)), 113.5 (ddd, ¹PPA-PM = 346.4 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PM = 338.5 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PX = 274.1 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX = 273.6 Hz, 2 P, PA/A´ (**2f⁺ endo**)), Integration: **2f⁺ endo**: **2f⁺ exo** = 7:1 ¹⁹**F{¹H}**: ∂/ppm = —75.57 (s, [TEF][−])

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P⁴ ^tBuCl)][TEF] (2g[TEF])

A colourless solution of Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 2 mL of *o*-DFB was added to an orange solution of 1 (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and 'BuPCl₂ (0.1 mmol, 16 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature. Precipitation of white solid and a colour change to red could be observed within one hour. Stirring for 20 h lead to darkening of the mixture. The solution was then filtered of the white solid, and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The dark red to brown precipitate was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each. After drying under vacuum (10⁻³ mbar) [Cp´´Ni(η³-P₄Cl[']Bu)][TEF] (2g[TEF]) could be isolated as a brown powder.

[(Cp´´´Ni)2(;³ :¹ :¹ -P4Br3)][TEF] (3a[TEF])

Addition of a solution of TI[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of CH_2Cl_2 to a solution of **1** (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) and PBr₃ (0.1 mmol, 27 mg, 10 μ L, 1 eq.) in 4 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at – 80 °C lead to an immediate colour change to dark red and the precipitation of white solid. ³¹P NMR spectra (o-DFB/tol-o^e capillary, r. t., Figure SI 7) taken 1 h after the addition revealed the initial formation of $[Cp^{\prime\prime}\text{Ni}(\eta^3-P_4Br_2)][TEF]$ (2h^[TEF]) besides P_4 and the final product [(Cp'''Ni)₂(µ;n³:n¹:n¹-P₄Br₃)][TEF] (3a[TEF]). Attempts to isolate the intermediate 2h[TEF] by filtration of the cold (–80 °C) solution and washing three times with cold *n*-hexane (10 mL each, –80 °C) failed. Isolated batches of (**2h**[TEF]) always carried impurities of the final product **3a**[TEF]. The latter was obtained, by letting the reaction mixture warm to room temperature overnight and stirring for three more days. The now dark brown mixture was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The brown precipitate was washed with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, and then dried under reduced pressure (10^{-3} mbar). Recrystallisation from CH_2Cl_2/n -hexane (1:6) at room temperature for six days yielded large blackish red crystals of **3a**[TEF] in X-ray quality. These crystals were washed with toluene, dried under reduced pressure and then isolated. Conducting the reaction in *o*-DFB, but only cooling to –30 °C yielded similar results.

Yield: 92 mg (0.048 mmol, 90% based on **1**)

- Elemental analysis: calc. $(%)$ for 3 : η ¹: η ¹-P₄Br₃)][TEF] \cdot (TlBr) $_{0.5}$ $(C_{50}H_{58}O_{4}F_{36}AlP_{4}Ni_{2}Br_{3.5}TI_{0.5})$: C: 29.24 H: 2.85; found (%): C: 29.16 H: 2.56 (even after several filtration steps, TlBr precipitates after layering *o*-DFB solutions of the product and covers the surface of the crystals)
- ESI(+) MS (o -DFB): m/z (%) = 332.2 (100) $[Cp'''Ni(H_3CCN)]^+$, , 373.2 (20) [Cp´''Ni(H₃CCN)₂]⁺, 947.0 (5) [(Cp'''Ni)₂(μ ; η ³: η ¹: η ¹-P₄Br₃)]⁺; Acetonitrile molecules are from the purging solution of the diffractometer.

NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): ¹H: δ /ppm = 1.25 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.41 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.42 (s, 9 H, C(CH3)3), 1.46 (s, 18 H, C(CH3)3), 1.48 (s, 9 H, C(CH3)3), 4.91 (m, 1 H, C5H² *^t*Bu3), 5.35 (m, 1 H, C5H² *^t*Bu3), 5.43 (s, 1 H, C5H² *^t*Bu3), 5.91 (s, 1 H, C5H² *^t*Bu3) **31P{1H}**: (AMNX spin system) δ /ppm = -1.1 (t (br), ¹J_{PX-PN} = 347.0 Hz, ¹*J*PX-PM = 379.2 Hz, 1 P, P^X), 128.0 (td, ¹*J*P2-PA = 353.1 Hz, ¹*J*PN-PX = 347.0 Hz, ²*J*PN-PM = 71.3 Hz, 1 P, P^N), 134.2 (ddd, ¹*J*PM-PX = 379.2 Hz, $^{2}J_{PM\text{-PA}} = 281.7 \text{ Hz}, \frac{^{2}J_{PM\text{-PN}}}{ } = 71.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ P}, \text{ P}^{\text{M}}$), 182.0 (dd, $^{1}J_{PA\text{-PN}} =$ 353.1, ²*J*PA-PM = 281.7 Hz, 1 P, P^A) **31P{1H}**: (AMNX spin system) ∂ ppm = -1.1 (t (br), 1 J_{PX-PN} = 347.0 Hz, ¹*J*PX-PM = 379.2 Hz, 1 P, P^X), 128.0 (td, ¹*J*P2-PA = 353.1 Hz, ¹*J*PN-PX = 347.0 Hz, ²*J*PN-PM = 71.3 Hz, 1 P, P^N), 134.2 (ddd, ¹*J*PM-PX = 379.2 Hz, ²*J*PM-PA = 281.7 Hz, ²*J*PM-PN = 71.3 Hz, 1 P, P^M), 182.0 (dd, ¹*J*PA-PN = 353.1, ²*J*PA-PM = 281.7 Hz, 1 P, P^A) **¹⁹F{¹H}**: /ppm = ─75.50 (s, [TEF]─)

[(Cp´´´Ni)2(;³ :¹ :¹ -P4biphenCl)][GaCl4] (3b[GaCl4])

Following the same synthetic protocol as for (**2d**[GaCl4]) but performing the reaction in *o*-DFB and stirring for 20 h again leads to a dark red solution of (**2d**[GaCl4], by NMR spectroscopy). When this solution was concentrated to 4 mL, layered with *n*-hexane (6:1), and then stored at room temperature for two weeks $[(Cp^{\prime\prime}Ni)_2(\mu;\eta^3:\eta^1:\eta^1-P_4biphenCl)][GaCl_4]$ (3b[GaCl₄]) crystallised as light brown plates of X-ray quality. Alternatively, **2d**[GaCl4] can be stirred in *o*-DFB solution (4 mL) for two weeks. When the resulting solution is layered with *n*-hexane, crystals of **3b**[GaCl4] can be obtained in similar yield. Decanting off the solvent followed by washing steps (3 x 10 mL *n*-hexane) and drying under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar) gives pure 3b[GaCl₄].

observed due to significant line broadening

[(Cp´´´Ni)2(;³ -³ -P3)][GaCl4] (4)

A colourless solution of GaCl³ (36 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) in *o*-DFB (4 mL) was added to a bright orange solution of **1** (78 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) in *o*-DFB (4 mL), which resulted in an immediate colour change to a dark greenish brown. This solution was stirred for 1 h and then 40 mL of *n*pentane were added to precipitate a dark brown oil. The slightly yellowish solvent mixture was decanted, the oil washed three times with 10 mL of toluene and another three times with 10 mL of *n*-pentane, each and then dissolved in 3 mL of o-DFB again. This solution was layered with 25 mL of *n*-pentane and stored for four weeks at room temperature, affording dark greenish brown crystals of $[(Cp^{\prime\prime}Ni)_2(\mu;\eta^3:\eta^3-P_3)][GaCl_4]$ (4) in X-ray analysis quality.

Tested Reactions and Conditions

Attempts to employ ^{*t*}Bu₂PCI or (Et₂N)₂PCI under identical conditions (o -DFB, TI[TEF] equimolar) as for the synthesis of **2a**-**2e**[TEF] failed as no observable colour change or precipitation of a white solid occurred. ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures revealed unreacted halogenophosphanes and 1 at δ = -168.8 ppm. Using two equivalents of Ph₂PCI $(0.2 \text{ mmol}, 44 \text{ mg}, 35.8 \text{ µL}, 2 \text{ eq.})$ and TI[TEF] $(0.2 \text{ mmol}, 234 \text{ mg}, 2 \text{ eq.})$ with only one equivalent of **1** (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) under identical conditions did not lead to the anticipated second insertion of [Ph₂P]⁺ into 2a⁺. This reaction rather yielded 2a[TEF] in similar yields to the reported procedure (*vide supra*) accompanied by $[Ph_2ClPPPh_2][TEF]$, identified by ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction solutions. When **1** (0.1 mmol, 38 mg, 1 eq.) is reacted with dihalogenophosphanes RPCI₂ (R = Ph, $\overline{B}u$; 0.1 mmol) in the presence of two equivalents of Tl[TEF] (0.2 mmol, 234 mg, 2 eq.) the second chloride atom is not abstracted and the reaction outcome are the products **2f**[TEF] and **2g**[TEF]. Excess of Tl[TEF] crystallises from the reaction mixtures upon layering with *n*-hexane and cooling to –30 °C.

3.5.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

The crystallographic data for all synthesised compounds was collected on either an Xcalibur Gemini (Agilent technologies, AT) with an Atlas^{S2} detector using Mo–K_a (2a[SbF₆], 2a[GaCl₄], **2a**[TEF], **2g**[TEF], **3a**[TEF]) radiation (sealed tube), on a SuperNova diffractometer (AT) with a Titan^{s2} detector using Cu–K_ß radiation (2a[OTf], 2c[GaCl₄], 2e[TEF], 3b[GaCl₄], 4[GaCl₄]), obtained by using customised optics, or on another SuperNova diffractometer (AT) with a Titan^{S2} detector using a standard Cu–K_a (2d[GaCl₄]) sealed tube microfocus source. Data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro software package.^[26] Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2 $(1.3$ -alpha)^[27] with ShelXT^[28] (solution) and ShelXL-2014^[29] (least squares refinement (F^2)) or olex2.refine (Gauss-Newton).[27] All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and H atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C-H bond lengths (sp³: 0.96 (CH₃), 0.97 (CH₂); sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Residual electron density arising from disordered solvent molecules was treated with the integrated solvent mask procedure of Olex2 (1.3 alpha) and visualisation of the crystal structures was done with this program as well. $[27]$

CCDC-2015036 (**1**), CCDC-2015037 (**2a**[OTf]), CCDC-2015038 (**2a**[SbF6]), CCDC-2015039 (**2a**[TEF]), CCDC-2015040 (**2a**[GaCl4]), CCDC-2015041 (**2c**[GaCl4] *o*DFB), CCDC-2015042 (**2d**[GaCl4]), CCDC-2015043 (**2e**[TEF]), CCDC-2015044 (**2fendo**[GaCl4]), CCDC-2015045 (**3a**[TEF]), CCDC-2015046 (**3b**[GaCl4]) and CCDC-2015047 (**4**[GaCl4] *o*DFB), contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge a[t www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/contents/retrieving.html](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/contents/retrieving.html) (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44-1223-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.com.ac.uk).

Compound	$1^{[30]}$	2a[OTf]	2a[SbF ₆]	2a[TEF]
Empirical formula	$C_{17}H_{29}NiP_3$	$C_{30}H_{39}F_{3}NiO_{3}P_{4}S$	C ₂₉ H ₃₉ F ₆ NiP ₄ Sb	C ₉₀ H ₇₈ Al ₂ F ₇₂ Ni ₂ O ₈ P ₈
Formula weight	385.02	719.26	805.94	3074.66
Temperature/K	123.00(10)	122.98(17)	293(2)	122.7(4)
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$
$a/\text{\AA}$	19.2653(9)	10.49870(10)	10.6938(2)	20.99150(10)
b/\AA	14.6055(3)	26.0828(2)	25.2128(4)	22.23550(10)
$c/\text{\AA}$	9.2674(4)	12.95300(10)	13.2668(2)	25.32560(10)
α /°	90	90	90	90
β /°	133.487(8)	109.4820(10)	110.963(2)	96.6970(10)
$\gamma/2$	90	90	90	90
Volume/Å3	1891.9(2)	3343.91(5)	3340.25(10)	11740.23(9)
Z	4	4	4	4
pcalcg/cm3	1.352	1.429	1.603	1.740
μ /mm-1	7.840	5.520	1.616	3.115
F(000)	816.0	1496.0	1624.0	6128.0
Crystal size/mm3	$0.352 \times 0.245 \times$	$0.383 \times 0.293 \times$ 0.111	$0.402 \times 0.224 \times$	$0.322 \times 0.208 \times$ 0.175
	0.151		0.165	
Radiation	Cu Kβ ($λ$ =	Cu Kβ ($λ =$	Mo Kα ($λ$ =	Cu Kα ($λ$ =
20 range for data	1.39222)	1.39222)	0.71073)	1.54184)
collection/°	7.906 to 149.05	6.12 to 148.27	6.582 to 64.626	7.028 to 147.352
Index ranges	$-26 \le h \le 22$, $-12 \le$	$-13 \le h \le 14$, $-35 \le$	$-15 \le h \le 15, -34 \le$	$-24 \le h \le 26, -27 \le$
	$k \le 20, -11 \le l \le 12$	$k \le 30, -17 \le l \le 16$	$k \le 37, -19 \le l \le 19$	$k \le 27, -23 \le l \le 31$
Reflections collected	10908	28827	31775	101791
Independent reflections	5090 [$R_{int} = 0.0372$] $R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0466$	9012 [$R_{int} = 0.0409$, $R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0359$	10913 $[R_{int} =$ 0.0322 , R _{sigma} = 0.04171	23503 $[R_{int} =$ 0.0302 , R _{sigma} = 0.02261
Data/restraints/pa rameters	5090/0/199	9012/63/420	10913/0/379	23503/655/2095
Goodness-of-fit on F ₂	1.017	1.044	1.061	1.019
Final R indexes $[1>=2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0345$, wR ₂ = 0.0864	$R_1 = 0.0380$, wR ₂ = 0.1046	$R_1 = 0.0343$, wR ₂ = 0.0702	$R_1 = 0.0351$, wR ₂ = 0.0873
Final R indexes [all	$R_1 = 0.0386$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0396$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0501$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0382$, wR ₂ =
data]	0.0906	0.1064	0.0773	0.0900
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	$0.65/-0.49$	$0.54/-0.73$	$0.87/-1.15$	$0.62/-0.41$

Table S 1: Crystallographic and refinement details for compounds 1, 2a[OTF], 2a[SbF6] and 2a[TEF].

Compound	2a[GaCl ₄]	$2c$ [GaCl ₄]	2d[GaCl ₄]	2e[TEF]
		C64H106Cl8F2Ga2Ni2	C ₁₅₇ Cl ₂₀ Ga ₅ H ₂₁₃ N _{i5} P	C ₁₄₀ H ₁₄₀ Al ₄ F ₁₄₄ Ni ₄ O
Empirical formula	C ₂₉ H ₃₉ Cl ₄ GaNiP ₄	P_8	20	16P16
Formula weight	781.71	1701.70	4070.81	5652.79
Temperature/K	293(2)	123.00(12)	122.9(3)	123.01(10)
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P-1$
$a/\text{\AA}$	10.3088(18)	10.30213(12)	23.5978(3)	19.4947(11)
b/\AA	37.3289(11)	16.4498(2)	26.0263(3)	24.9584(14)
$c/\text{\AA}$	17.239(3)	23.1565(3)	31.9826(3)	25.7834(14)
α /°	90	90	90	64.002(6)
β /°	149.06(5)	95.0842(12)	107.3890(10)	73.289(5)
$\gamma/2$	90	90	90	71.681(5)
Volume/Å3	3411(2)	3908.84(9)	18744.8(4)	10538.0(12)
Z	4	2	4	2
pcalcg/cm 3	1.522	1.446	1.442	1.781
μ /mm-1	1.862	6.657	5.898	4.384
F(000)	1600.0	1764.0	8360.0	5616.0
Crystal size/mm3	$0.681\times0.324\times$	$0.339 \times 0.205 \times$	$0.272 \times 0.077 \times$	$0.242 \times 0.23 \times$
	0.126	0.082	0.057	0.179
Radiation	Mo Kα ($λ$ =	Cu Kβ ($λ =$	Cu Kα ($λ$ =	Cu Kβ ($λ$ =
	0.71073)	1.39222)	1.54184)	1.39222)
20 range for data collection/°	7.028 to 64.43	5.958 to 139.876	6.792 to 148.454	4.752 to 150.572
Index ranges	$-14 \leq h \leq 15$, $-30 \leq$	$-13 \le h \le 13, -21 \le$	$-29 \le h \le 29$, $-23 \le$	$-21 \le h \le 27, -33 \le$
	$k \le 53, -24 \le l \le 18$	$k \le 18, -30 \le l \le 30$	$k \le 32, -29 \le l \le 39$	$k \le 34, -35 \le l \le 34$
Reflections collected	18408	27605	104837	110292
Independent reflections	10619 $[R_{int} =$ 0.0384 , R _{sigma} = 0.0815]	9585 [$R_{int} = 0.0304$, $R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0298$	36561 $[R_{int} =$ 0.0598 , R _{sigma} = 0.0597]	56269 $[R_{int} =$ 0.0648 , R _{sigma} = 0.0843]
Data/restraints/pa rameters	10619/0/361	9585/36/458	36561/288/1975	56269/4534/4740
Goodness-of-fit on F ₂	1.076	1.028	1.022	1.029
Final R indexes	$R_1 = 0.0514$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0309$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0543$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0714$, w $R_2 =$
$[1>=2σ (1)]$	0.0861	0.0824	0.1327	0.1818
Final R indexes [all	$R_1 = 0.0744$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0336$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0739$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.1111$, w $R_2 =$
data]	0.0965	0.0845	0.1437	0.2293
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	$0.88/-0.66$	$0.57/-0.51$	$0.92/-0.73$	$0.99/-0.91$

Table S 2: Crystallogrraphic and refinement details for compounds 2a[GaCl4], 2c[GaCl4], 2d[GaCl4] and 2e[TEF].

Compound	2f _{endo} [TEF]	3a[TEF]	3b[GaCl ₄]	4[GaCl ₄]
	$C_{39}H_{34}AlClF_{36}NiO_4P$	C50H58AlBr3F36Ni2O		$C113Cl12F2G31H190Ni$
Empirical formula	$\overline{4}$	$4P_4$	$C_{46}H_{66}Cl_5GaNi_2P_4$	6P ₉
Formula weight	1495.68	1914.97	1107.25	2852.19
Temperature/K	293(2)	293(2)	122.99(13)	293(2)
Crystal system	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic	trigonal
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$\overline{P1}$	$P-1$	$R-3$
$a/\text{\AA}$	15.4562(5)	10.5753(4)	10.4773(5)	62.3366(8)
b/\AA	12.8245(4)	17.8782(7)	14.5388(8)	62.3366(8)
$c/\text{\AA}$	28.0794(11)	20.7325(8)	18.7053(11)	17.8833(5)
α /°	90	108.035(3)	105.393(5)	90
β /°	96.820(3)	103.509(3)	105.799(5)	90
$\gamma/2$	90	101.557(3)	97.951(5)	120
Volume/Å3	5526.5(3)	3462.9(2)	2574.3(3)	60182(2)
Z	4	2	2	18
pcalcg/cm3	1.798	1.837	1.428	1.417
μ /mm-1	0.693	2.518	7.587	5.121
F(000)	2968.0	1896	1148.0	26748.0
Crystal size/mm3	$0.702 \times 0.659 \times$	$0.328 \times 0.177 \times$	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$	$0.538 \times 0.351 \times$
	0.368	0.141	(estimated	0.292
Radiation	Mo Kα ($λ$ =	Mo Kα ($λ$ =	Cu K β (λ =	Cu _{Kα} ($λ$ =
	0.71073)	0.71073)	1.39222)	1.54184)
20 range for data collection/°	6.568 to 64.97	6.538 to 64.868	4.676 to 119.1	6.572 to 149.804
Index ranges	$-19 \le h \le 22$, $-18 \le$	$-13 \le h \le 15, -18 \le$	$-11 \le h \le 12$, $-17 \le$	$-75 \le h \le 76, -71 \le$
	$k \le 19, -42 \le l \le 40$	$k \le 26, -31 \le l \le 29$	$k \le 17, -22 \le l \le 12$	$k \le 77, -20 \le l \le 17$
Reflections collected	53485	31980	16125	98135
Independent reflections	18349 $[R_{int} =$ 0.0236 , R _{sigma} = 0.0300]	21606 $\lceil R_{\text{int}} \rceil$ 0.0258 , R _{sigma} = 0.0680]	9775 [$R_{int} = 0.0394$, $R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0718$	26099 $[R_{int} =$ 0.0603 , R _{sigma} = 0.0432]
Data/restraints/pa rameters	18349/1072/1457	21606/18/974	9775/151/646	26099/408/1556
Goodness-of-fit on F ₂	1.038	1.053	1.026	1.047
Final R indexes $[1>=2σ (1)]$	$R_1 = 0.0778$, wR ₂ = 0.2092	$R_1 = 0.0497$, w $R_2 =$ 0.0865	$R_1 = 0.0561$, wR ₂ = 0.1344	$R_1 = 0.0477$, w $R_2 =$ 0.1250
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0958$, wR ₂ = 0.2252	$R_1 = 0.0799$, w $R_2 =$ 0.0978	$R_1 = 0.0761$, wR ₂ = 0.1493	$R_1 = 0.0532$, wR ₂ = 0.1326
Largest diff. peak/hole / e \AA -3	$1.85/-1.30$	$1.00/-0.58$	$0.83/-0.51$	$0.92/-0.63$

Table S 3: Crystallogrraphic and refinement details for compounds 2fendo[TEF], 3a[TEF], 3b[GaCl4] and 4[GaCl4].

1

A different polymorph of **1** has already been described previously.[30] Compound **1** crystallized from a concentrated *n*-hexane solution, which was stored at –30 °C for one day. It forms large bright red blocks with the space group *P*21/*n* containing a single molecule in the asymmetric unit. The respective solid state structure is shown in Figures S1.

Figure S 1: The asymmetric unit of 1, ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.1410(8), P1-P3 2.1425(7), P2-P3 2.1488(8), Ni-P1 2.2427(5), Ni-P2 2.2455(6), Ni-P3 2.2357(6), P1-P2-P3 59.93(3), P2-P3-P1 59.86(3), P2-P1-P3 60.22(3).

2a[OTf]

Compound **2a**[OTf] crystallised from a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB, which was layered with *n*-hexane (8:1) and stored for several days at room temperature. It crystallizes as large dark red plates with the space group *P*21/*n* containing one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit. The solid state structure is shown in Figure S2.

Figure S 2: The asymmetric unit of 2a[OTf], ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.1723(6), P1-P4 2.1740(5), P2-P3 2.1984(6), P3-P4 2.1889(6), P2-Ni 2.2743(5), P3-Ni 2.2154(5), P4-Ni 2.2778(5), P1-P2-P3 83.66(2), P2-P3-P4 87.48(2), P3-P4-P1 83.84(2), P2-P1-P4 88.51(2), P3- P4-P2-P1 136.21(4).

2a[SbF6]

Compound **2a**[SbF6] crystallised from a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB, which was layered with *n*-hexane (8:1) and stored for several days at room temperature. It crystallizes as large dark red plates with the space group *P*21/*n* containing one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit. The solid state structure is shown in Figure S3.

Figure S 3: Asymmetric unit of 2a[SbF6], ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.1727(7), P1-P4 2.1737(7), P2-P3 2.1946(8), P3-P4 2.2002(8), P2-Ni 2.2787(6), P3-Ni 2.2130(6), P4-Ni 2.2786(6), P1-P2-P3 83.39(3), P2-P3-P4 87.58(3), P3-P4-P1 83.23(3), P2-P1-P4 88.80(3), P3- P4-P2-P1 135.47(4).

2a[TEF]

Compound 2a^[TEF] crystallised by slowly evaporating the solvent of a CH₂Cl₂ solution. Thus, a few red platelets of **2a**[TEF] could be obtained, which crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*n* with two anions and two cations in the asymmetric unit. The structure in solid state is shown in Figure S4. The severe disorder within one of the anions was treated with restraints. The cations are enantiomers to each other and besides that their structural parameters differ only very slightly. Thus, these structural parameters are given for only one of them.

Figure S 4: Asymmetric unit of 2a[TEF] (left) and the marked cation denoted with Ni1 (right), ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.1659(6), P1-P4 2.1733(6), P2-P3 2.1988(6), P3-P4 2.1967(6), P2-Ni1 2.2598(5), P3-Ni1 2.2192(5), P4-Ni1 2.2867(5), P1-P2-P3 83.56(2), P2-P3-P4 86.93(3), P3-P4-P1 83.44(2), P2-P1-P4 88.35(3), P3-P4-P2-P1 134.70(3).

2a[GaCl4]

Compound **2a**[GaCl4] crystallised from a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB, which was layered with *n*-hexane (6:1) and stored for several days at room temperature. It crystallises as large dark red plates with the space group *P*21/*n* containing one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit. The structure in solid state is shown in Figure S5.

Figure S 5: Asymmetric unit of 2a[GaCl4], ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.1790(20), P1-P4 2.1731(14), P2-P3 2.1862(16), P3-P4 2.1940(20), P2-Ni 2.2873(12), P3- Ni 2.2281(9), P4-Ni 2.2780(20), P1-P2-P3 84.85(9), P2-P3-P4 87.54 (11), P3-P4-P1 84.80(8), P2-P1-P4 88.17(9), P3-P4-P2-P1 138.83(11).

2c[GaCl4](o-DFB)0.5

Compound **2c**[GaCl4] crystallised as an o-DFB solvate from a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB, which was layered with *n*-hexane (6:1) and stored at -30 °C for several days. It forms large dark red plates with the space group $P2₁/n$ containing one anion, one cation and half a molecule of o-DFB in the asymmetric unit. Slight disorder within the [GaCl4] anion could be refined without restraints, but DFIX restraints were used for two of the C-H bonds in the disordered *o*-DFB molecule. The structure in solid state is shown in Figure S6.

Figure S 6 Asymmetric unit of 2c[GaCl4](o-DFB)0.5, ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.1738(6), P1-P4 2.1827(5), P2-P3 2.1922(5), P3-P4 2.1951(6), P2-Ni 2.2788(4), P3-Ni 2.2186(5), P4-Ni 2.2854(4), P1-P2-P3 83.59(2), P2-P3-P4 86.98(2), P3-P4-P1 83.32(2), P2-P1-P4 87.75(2), P3-P4-P2-P1 133.96(3).

2d[GaCl4](n-hex)0.4

Layering a concentrated CH₂Cl₂ solution of **2d**[GaCl₄] with *n*-hexane (5:1) and storing it at -30 °C for two weeks leads to formation of light red crystals of 2d[GaCl₄] \cdot (*n*-hex)_{0.4}. It crystallises in the monoclinic space group P21/n with five cations, five anions and two n-hexane molecules in the asymmetric unit. Disorder within the $[GaCl₄]$ anions and one of the Cp^{oor} ligands was treated with restraints. Both *n*-hexane molecules are too disordered for proper modelling and thus have been treated with the implemented solvent mask procedure in Olex2.[9] As the structural parameters within the cations only differ very slightly, just those for one of them (Ni1) are given and the structure of the asymmetric unit and the respective cation are provided in Figure S7.

*Figure S 7: Asymmetric unit of 2d[GaCl4](n-hex)0.4, where * marks two disordered parts of one [GaCl4] – anion and the arrow points to the cation denoted with Ni1 (left) and the respective structure of this cation (right), ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.1629(14), P1-P4 2.1620(14), P2-P3 2.2078(15), P3-P4 2.1919(14), P2-Ni 2.2642(12), P3-Ni 2.2343(13), P4-Ni 2.2952(12), P1-P2-P3 82.59(6), P2-P3-P4 87.53(5), P3-P4-P1 82.97(6), P2-P1-P4 89.46(5), P3-P4-P2-P1 134.74(8).*

2e[TEF]

Red crystals of **2e**[TEF] could be obtained from layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:10) and storing it at 4 °C for ten days. **2e**[TEF] crystallises in the triclinic space group *P*¹ with four cations and four anions in the asymmetric unit. Heavy disorder within the anions was treated with restraints, but still leads to comparably bad R values. Thus, structural parameters were considered carefully. As the structural parameters within the cations only differ very slightly, just those for one of them (Ni1) are given and the structure of the asymmetric unit and the respective cation are provided in Figure S8.

Figure S 8: Asymmetric unit of 2e[TEF], where the arrow points to the cation denoted with Ni1 (left) and the respective structure of this cation (right), ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.153(2), P1-P4 2.149(2), P2-P3 2.188(2), P3-P4 2.195(2), P2-Ni 2.260(1), P3-Ni 2.199(1), P4-Ni 2.274(1), P1-P2-P3 84.37(9), P2-P3-P4 87.50(8), P3-P4-P1 84.28(10), P2-P1-P4 89.58(7), P3-P4-P2-P1 139.25(9).

2fendo[TEF]

Layering a concentrated solution of **2f**[TEF] in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane and storing the solution at 4 °C for two weeks, yields bright red crystals of the *endo*-Ph isomer of **2f**[TEF] (Figure S9). The X-ray structural analysis of **2fendo**[TEF] revealed its incommensurate modulated structure in the solid state (Figure S10), but the solution and refinement of the average structure yielded a reasonable structural model $(R_1 = 7.8\%)$, which may be discussed. Structural parameters were considered carefully. The average structure of $2f_{\text{endo}}[TEF]$ forms a monoclinic lattice with the space group *P*21/*n*, where the asymmetric unit contains one anion and one cation. As a result of the modulation, the structure appears heavily disordered, which especially counts for the [TEF]– anion. Disorder has been treated with restraints.

Figure S 9: Asymmetric unit of the average structure solution of $2f_{\text{endo}}[TEF]$ *with ADPs drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.1462(11), P1-P4 2.1471(11), P2-P3 2.1966(17), P3-P4 2.2038(16), P2-Ni 2.2684(9), P3-Ni 2.2141(9), P4-Ni 2.2708(9), P1-Cl 2.0227(11), P1-P2-P3 79.86(5), P2-P3-P4 88.76(5), P3-P4-P1 79.68(4), P2-P1-P4 91.60 (5), P2-P3-P4-P1 130.52(7).*

Figure S 10: Views of the reciprocal space of 2fendo[TEF] along the a(top), b*(middle) and c*(bottom) axis, with clearly visible satellite peaks (along a* and c*) arising from the incommensurate structure of this compound; Bottom: Intensity distribution histograms with (left) and without (right) the refined modulation vector 0.393, 0.0, 0.169 of order 2.*
3a[TEF]

Storing a solution of 3a^[TEF] in CH₂Cl₂ layered with *n*-hexane at room temperature for six days yield dark blackish red crystals. **3a**[TEF] crystallises in the triclinic space group *P*1̅ with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit. Disorder within the [TEF]– anion was treated with SIMU restraints and the solid state structure is shown in Figure S11.

Figure S 11: Asymmetric unit of 3a[TEF], ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.2228(11), P2-P3 2.1653(12), P3-P4 2.1623(10), P2-Ni1 2.2562(8), P3-Ni1 2.2691(8), P4- Ni1 2.2209(8), P1-Ni2 2.1035(8), P4-Ni2 2.1573(8), P1-Br2 2.2161(8), P1-Br3 2.2194(8), P4-Br1 2.2146(8), P1-P2- P3 100.82(4), P2-P3-P4 86.47(4), P3-P4-Ni2 116.62(4), P1-Ni2-P4 84.96(3), P2-P1-Ni2 117.12(4), Br2-P1-Br3 100.82(3), P3-P4-P2-P1 135.30(6), P3-P4-P2-Ni2 126.93(7).

3b[GaCl4]

Brownish crystals of **3b**[GaCl4] are obtained from layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (6:1) and storing it for two weeks at room temperature. **3b**[GaCl4] crystallises in the triclinic space group $\overline{P1}$ with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit (Figure S12). Disorder within the *^t*Bu groups and the anion were treated with DFIX and SIMU restraints. The crystal movie recording before the X-ray diffraction experiment failed due to issues with the camera. Thus, the dimensions of the crystal are therefore estimated and only multi-scan absorption correction was applied.

Figure S 12: Asymmetric unit of 3b[GaCl4], ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.2387(16), P2-P3 2.1450 (20), P3-P4 2.1444(16), P2-Ni1 2.2525(13), P3-Ni1 2.2617(13), P4-Ni1 2.2167(12), P1-Ni2 2.1420(12), P4-Ni2 2.1470(13), P4-Cl1 2.0738(16), P1-P2-P3 100.66(7), P2-P3-P4 85.34(6), P3-P4-Ni2 116.16(7), P1-Ni2-P4 85.79(5), P2-P1-Ni2 113.88(5), P3-P4-P2-P1 –130.58(8), P3-P4-P2-Ni2 –124.67(9).

4[GaCl4](o-DFB)0.33(n-pent)0.33

Layering a concentrated solution of 4^{[GaCl₄] with *n*-pentane (8:1) and storing it for four weeks} at room temperature afforded dark brownish green crystals of the solvate 4[GaCl₄] \cdot (o - $DFB)_{0.33}$ ^{$(n$ -pent)_{0.33}. This compound has the space group $R\overline{3}$ and the asymmetric unit contains} three cations, three anions, an *o*-DFB and a strongly disordered *n*-pentane molecule, which was treated with the implemented solvent mask procedure in Olex2 (Figure S13).^[9] Disorder within the Cp^{$\gamma\gamma$} ligands, the anions and two of the three P_3 middle decks was treated with restraints and the cation without disorder is selected for description of structural parameters.

Figure S 13: Asymmetric unit of 4[GaCl4](o-DFB)0.33(n-pent)0.33 (left) and the cation without disorder (right), ADPs are drawn at the 50% probability level; Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P1-P2 2.2021(11), P1-P3 2.5385(10), P2-P3 2.1975(11), P1-Ni1 2.1842(9), P2-Ni1 2.3566(9), P3-Ni1 2.1831(9), P1-Ni2 2.1746(8), P2-Ni2 2.3920(9), P3-Ni2 2.1786(9), P1-P2-P3 70.48(5), P2-P3-P1 54.84(4), P3-P1-P2 54.68(5), P2-P1-P3-Ni1 82.51(5), P2-P3-P1-Ni2 84.28(6), fold angle in between the planes of the Cp´´´ ligands: 24.48(15).

3.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

Assignment of the signals in the $31P$ NMR spectra (CD₂Cl₂, r. t.) of the compounds 2a-q is simplified by the broadening of the signal of the former phosphenium ion (P1) compared to the $31P{1}$ ¹H} NMR spectrum. The other signals can then be assigned by following the $1J_{PP}$ couplings around the P⁴ ring. For simplification, the ³¹P{¹H} and ³¹P NMR spectra of **2a**-**e** and **2f**/**g** are compared in Figure S14 and Figure S15, respectively. The signals are labelled according to Figure S1b.

Figure S 14: ³¹P and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 2f-2g[TEF] in CD2Cl² recorded at 298 K with signal assignment corresponding to Figure S1b); The endo/exo labelling for 2f refers to the position of the Ph ring on the P⁴ ring.

Figure S 15: a) ³¹P{¹H} (left) and ³¹P NMR spectra (right) of 2a-2e[TEF] in CD2Cl² recorded at 298 K; signal assignment is done according to the structure shown in b) to illustrate the variation of the sequence of the signals with the corresponding P atoms.

Figure S 16: Plot of the isomeric ratio 2fendo:2fexo against the time after dissolving crystals of 2fendo[TEF] *in CD2Cl² obtained from ³¹P NMR spectra recorded every 30 min for 6 h; The final ratio after 6 h is 8:1, which is very close to that observed for spectra of precipitated 2f (7:1).*

As both isomers of 2f are formed during the reaction of 1 with Ph₂PCI and TI[TEF], the question arises if they exist in equilibrium or if the observed isomeric ratio of 7:1 (**2fendo**:**2fexo**) is due to kinetic factors during the reaction. Thus, crystals of **2fendo**[TEF] were subjected to ³¹P NMR spectroscopic measurements to gather information about the formation of both isomers of **2f**. Crystals of 2f_{endo}[TEF] were dissolved in 0.8 mL of CD₂Cl₂ and ³¹P NMR spectra of this sample were recorded every 30 min for 6 h. The plot of the isomeric ratio during this time (Figure S16) shows that the initially present endo isomer slowly interconverts to the exo isomer until an isomeric ratio of 7:1 (**2fendo**:**2fexo**) is approached after 6 h. This clearly supports the assumption that both isomers exist in equilibrium (in solution) and their formation is not based on kinetic factors during the initial synthesis of **2f**.

The room temperature $3^{1}P$ and $3^{1}P$ {¹H} NMR spectra of **3a** in CD₂Cl₂ are identical and express an AMNX spin system. An expected similar spectrum is not observed for 3b in CD₂Cl₂, which

Figure S 17: ³¹P (top) and ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) NMR spectra of 3a and 3b in CD2Cl² recorded at 298 K with zoomed in signals for the spectra of 3a; The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3b was recorded with 6144 scans to be able to see the full set of four signals, which is not visible for the 31P NMR spectrum (512 scans).

is probably caused by a dynamic effect involving rotation of the Cp^{ore} ligands. Thus, the complete set of four resonances in the spectrum of **3b** is only observed at very high scan numbers (6144), but two of them are significantly broadened (Figure S17).

Only when the solution is cooled to -80 °C sharp signals can be observed. However, at this temperature two sets of signals are found in the $3^{1}P{^{1}H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure S18), thus indicating the presence of two isomeric forms of **3b1/2**. We attribute these isomers to differently rotated Cp^{""} ligands at both Ni centres. As the number of scans needed for recording this spectrum was still very high (8192), the corresponding ³¹P spectrum could not be recorded and signal assignment was conducted as for **3a** (most downfield shifted signal should be that of P^A due to the similarity with the starting material (2,2´-biphen)PCl). However, the corresponding ¹H NMR shows signals in accordance with two isomers of **3b** being present. While the respective signals of the *^t*Bu and the biphen moieties overlap and thus avoid further interpretation, the region for the C₅H₂'Bu₃ protons is well resolved and allows for integration (Figure S19), which affords an isomeric ratio of 1:1.25.

Figure S 18: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3b in CD2Cl² recorded at 193 K with 8192 scans (bottom), zoomed in signal with assignment (top) and structure of 3b with assigned P atoms (right); Isomerism in the cation 3b is most probably caused by hindered rotation of the Cp´´´ ligands at this temperature; Spectral parameters: 3b1: /ppm = 149.1 (ddd, 1 J_{PA-PX} = 397.1 Hz, 2 J_{PA-PQ} = 108.2 Hz, 2 J_{PA-PM} = 57.3 Hz, 1 P, P^A), 94.8 (ddd, 1 J_{PM-PX} = 346.9 Hz, 1 J_{PM-PQ} = 247.2 Hz, 2 J_{PM-PA} = 57.3 Hz, 1 P, P^M), 85.7 (ddd, ¹J_{PQ-PM} = 247.2 Hz, 2 J_{PQ-PA} = 108.2 Hz, 2 J_{PQ-PX} = 11.7 Hz, 1 P, P^Q), -57.8 (ddd, ¹J_{PX-PA} = 397.13 Hz, ¹J_{PX-PM} = 346.9 Hz, ²J_{PX-PQ} = 11.7 Hz, 1 P, P^X); **3b**₂: δ /ppm = 144.7 (ddd, ¹J_{PA-PX} = 391.2 Hz, 2 JPA-PM = 113.2 Hz, 2 JPA-PQ = 60.6 Hz, 1 P, P^{A}), 83.4 (ddd, 1 JPM-PQ = 246.2 Hz, 2 JPM-PA = 113.2, 2 JPM-PX = 11.7 Hz. 1 P. P^M), 73.4 (ddd. ¹Jpo-px = 360.4 Hz. ¹Jpo-p*N* = 246.2 Hz. ²Jpo-pA = 60.6 Hz. 1 P. P^Q), -32.4 (ddd. ¹Jpx-pA = *391.2 Hz, ¹JPX-PQ = 360.4 Hz, ²JPX-PM = 11.7 Hz, 1 P, P^X).*

Figure S 19: ¹H NMR spectrum of 3b in CD2Cl² recorded at 193 K showing two sets of signals for the C5H² ^tBu³ protons, thus indicating the presence of two isomers of 3b1/2 in a ratio of 1.25:1; One of the expected signals is probably overlapped by the large solvent signal caused by residual CH2Cl² ().*

The allylic distortion of the P_3 middle deck in 4 leads to inequivalent P atoms and thus its $31P$ NMR spectrum should show multiple (two) signals. Similar behaviour is found for the isoelectronic complex $[(Cp'''Co)(Cp'''Ni)(\mu, \eta^3-P_3)]$.^[31] However, in the room temperature ³¹P NMR spectrum of 4 in CD₂Cl₂ only one sharp singlet is found, located at δ = 139.5 ppm, which hints towards a dynamic process of the P_3 middle deck in solution. A ³¹ P ^{{1}H} VT NMR study of 4 in CD₂Cl₂ (Figure S20) revealed that this dynamic behaviour is retained even at temperatures as low as 193 K. The only noticeable change in these spectra is the slight shift of the singlet upon cooling of the sample.

Figure S 20: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 4 in CD2Cl² recorded at different temperatures.

To get insight into the formation of the dinuclear products **3** an NMR study was carried out to elucidate possible intermediates. Thus, $PBr₃$ (10 μ L, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) was added to a freshly prepared solution of **1** (38 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (117 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in 1 mL of o-DFB. A capillary with toluene-d⁸ and PPh₃ (standard) was added, the NMR tube closed and then shaken. Immediately the solution turned dark brown and the sample was subjected

*Figure S 21: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of a reaction solution containing 0.1 mmol of 1, PBr³ and Tl[TEF] in o-DFB with a capillary containing tol-d ⁸ and PPh³ (); Spectra were measured hour-wise and additionally another spectrum was measured 24 h after addition of PBr3; Signals are assigned to the corresponding species 2h, 3a, † (unidentified side product) and * (residual PBr3).*

to the first measurement (10 min). Afterwards, ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR spectra of the solution were recorded every hour and a final one was collected 24 h after the reaction was started (Figure S21). In the first spectrum the immediate formation of **2h** can be seen, alongside the complete consumption of the starting material **1**. After one hour the formation of **3a** is visible in the spectra and a third species (**†**) also appears but so far eludes structural assignment.

While the intensity of the signals corresponding to **2h** slowly decrease, the ones for **3a** increase and that of **†** stays the same (Figure S22). This data may suggest that **2h** is an intermediate during the reaction of **1** with "[Br2P][TEF]" which finally affords **3a**. Further insight into this reaction could however not be obtained as the precipitation of TlCl and the elongated reaction times (three days with stirring) caused severe problems during NMR spectroscopic investigations. Thus, the plot in figure SI 7 can only be seen having qualitative character.

In one more attempt to get an understanding of this reaction we recorded ${}^{31}P_1{}^{1}H_1$ NMR spectra of reaction solutions (o -DFB, C_6D_6 capillary) with a very broad ppm range (Figure S23). Thus, we were able to find P⁴ as one of the by-products of the reaction yielding **3a**. Accordingly, a

Figure S 22: Relative signal intensities of 2h, 3a and † compared to the standard PPh³ over the course of 24 h; The flattening of the plot is probably caused by the absence of mixing in between the measurements.

reaction mechanism involving the initial formation of **2h** followed by its fragmentation into P⁴ and a {Cp´´´Ni} containing species and the formation of **3a** from this species and another equivalent of **2h** seems to be plausible. However, this complicated reaction pathway involving several species eludes further study so far.

Figure S 23: Bottom: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture (0.1 mmol 1, PBr₃ and TI[TEF]) in o-DFB (C₆D₆) capillary) recorded at 298 K with a broad ppm range; The singlet at = –528.3 ppm clearly shows the formation of P⁴ during the reaction; Top: Zoomed in signals assigned to 2h, which shows a typical A2MX spectrum; Spectral parameters: δ /ppm = 215.1 (dd, ¹JPA-PX = 370.6 Hz, ¹JPA-PM = 277.5 Hz, 2P, P^A), 56.7 (td, ¹JPM-PA = 277.5 Hz, ²JPM-*PX = 44.1 Hz, 1P, P^M), –9.1 (td, ¹JPX-PA = 370.6 Hz, ²JPX-PM = 44.1 Hz, 1P, P^X).*

3.5.4. Computational Details

General Considerations

Calculations were carried out using the Gaussian09 software package^[31] at the DFT level by means of either the density functional BP86^[32] or the hybrid density functional B3LYP.^[33] The def2SVP[16] basis set was used for calculations involving both isomers of **2f** and **2g** and the structures of **3a** and **3b**. The def2TZVP[34] basis set was used for calculations on the possible reaction mechanism. Implicit solvent correction was applied via a polarisable continuum model for CH₂Cl₂.^[35] NBO analysis of 3a and 3b was conducted with the NBO6 software package.^[36] Stationary points were verified by analytical frequency calculations. The transition state **TS2** was verified by slightly changing its molecular geometry along its imaginary frequency and then performing geometry optimisations on the obtained structures.

Selected canonical molecular orbitals of 3a

To obtain further insight into the electronic structure of **3a** we performed a Mulliken population analysis on its optimized geometry. While most of the canonical frontier orbitals (HOMO-5 to LUMO+1, Figure S24) are smeared across large parts of the cation, the orbital contributions of P1 and P4 in the HOMO-4 (Significant orbital contributions: $Ni2(d) = 20 \%$, $P1(p) = 7 \%$, $P(4(p) = 6\%)$ may yield a possible explanation for the relatively high $2J_{P-P}$ coupling constant found in the ³¹P NMR spectra of **3a**. The bonding motif found for P1-Ni2-P4 in the HOMO-4 resembles an allylic-type interaction.

Figure S 24: Canonical frontier orbitals of 3a; The allylic part of the HOMO-4, which is stretched across P1, Ni2 and P4 is marked in green, isosurfaces are drawn with both signs and at a 0.03 contour value.

Optimized Geometries

[CpNi(³ -P3)]:

BP86/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –2726.415940, Enthalpies/H = –2726.414996, Free Energies/H = –2726.462662, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 227.85 (ZPVE = zero point vibrational energies)

[Me2P]⁺:

BP86/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –420.933417, Enthalpies/H = –420.932473, Free Energies/H $= -420.966318$, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 182.86

[CpNi(³ -P4Me2)]⁺ (I2):

BP86/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –3147.407361, Enthalpies/H = –3147.406417, Free Energies/H = -3147.467349 , ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 424.86

[CpNi(³ -P4Me2)]⁺ (TS2):

BP86/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –3147.399502, Enthalpies/H = –3147.398558, Free Energies/H = -3147.458166 , ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 422.58

[CpNi(³ -P4Me2)]⁺ (P2):

BP86/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –3147.439393, Enthalpies/H = –3147.438449, Free Energies/H = -3147.496319 , ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 427.63

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4PhCl)]⁺ (2fendo):

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = –4228.944626, Enthalpies/H = –4228.943682, Free Energies/H = –4229.043422, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1366.27

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4ClPh)]⁺ (2fexo):

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = –4228.942000, Enthalpies/H = –4228.941056, Free Energies/H = –4229.037902, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1368.80

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P⁴ ^tBuCl)]⁺ (2gendo):

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = –4155.171882, Enthalpies/H = –4155.170938, Free Energies/H = –4155.268938, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1447.38

[Cp´´´Ni(³ -P4Cl^tBu)]⁺ (2gexo):

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = –4155.165046, Enthalpies/H = –4155.164101, Free Energies/H = –4155.262553, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1446.79

[(Cp´´´Ni)2(;³ :¹ :¹ -P4Br3)]⁺ (3a):

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = –13431.720505, Enthalpies/H = –13431.719561, Free Energies/H = –13431.862697, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 2238.06

[(Cp´´´Ni)2(;³ :¹ :¹ -P4biphenC)]⁺ (3b):

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = –6631.766303, Enthalpies/H = –6631.765358, Free Energies/H = –6631.918303, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 2656.45

3.6. References

- [1] a) Y.-R. Luo, "Comprehensive handbook of chemical bond energies, *CRC Press*, Boca Raton, *Fla.* **2007**; b) S. W. Benson, *J. Chem. Educ.* **1965**, *42*, 502.
- [2] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [3] a) M. Baudler, K. Glinka, *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *4*, 1623–1667; b) M. Baudler, K. Glinka, *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *5*, 1273–1297.
- [4] a) N. Burford, T. S. Cameron, P. J. Ragogna, E. Ocando-Mavarez, M. Gee, R. McDonald, R. E. Wasylishen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7947–7948; b) N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 14404– 14410; c) N. Burford, C. A. Dyker, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 2364– 2367; d) J. Possart, A. Martens, M. Schleep, A. Ripp, H. Scherer, D. Kratzert, I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 12305–12313.
- [5] a) N. Burford, C. A. Dyker, M. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 6196–6199; b) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 6733–6737; c) C. A. Dyker, N. Burford, *Chem. Asian J.* **2008**, *3*, 28- 36.
- [6] a) J. J. Weigand, M. Holthausen, R. Fröhlich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 295– 298; b) M. H. Holthausen, K. O. Feldmann, S. Schulz, A. Hepp, J. J. Weigand, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 3374–3387; c) M. H. Holthausen, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6639–6657.
- [7] a) I. Krossing, I. Raabe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4406–4409; b) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, Müller, Lutz, I. Raabe, M. Jansen, L. v. Wüllen, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 4475– 4492.
- [8] T. Köchner, T. A. Engesser, H. Scherer, D. A. Plattner, A. Steffani, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 6529–6531.
- [9] a) A. H. Cowley, M. C. Cushner, M. Lattman, M. L. McKee, J. S. Szobota, J. C. Wilburn, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1980**, *52*, 789–797; b) A. H. Cowley, R. A. Kemp, *Chem. Rev.* **1985**, *85*, 367–382; c) H. A. Spinney, I. Korobkov, G. A. DiLabio, G. P. A. Yap, D. S. Richeson, *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 4972–4982.
- [10] J. M. Slattery, S. Hussein, *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 1808–1815.
- [11] a) M. V. Butovskiy, G. Balázs, M.Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2972–2976; b) T. Li, M. T. Gamer, M. Scheer, S. N. Konchenko, P. W. Roesky, *ChemComm* **2013**, *49*, 2183–2185; c) N. Arleth, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, N. A. Pushkarevsky, S. N. Konchenko, M. Fleischmann, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 7179–7184; d) N. Arleth, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, M. Fleischmann, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 1557–1560; e) E. Mädl, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7702–7707; f) C. Schoo, S. Bestgen, M. Schmidt, S. N. Konchenko, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *ChemComm* **2016**, *52*, 13217–13220; g) M. Schmidt, D. Konieczny, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, G.

Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, F. Riedlberger, H. Krauss, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 7307–7311.

- [12] a) M. Fleischmann, F. Dielmann, L. J. Gregoriades, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, S. Huber, A. Y. Timoshkin, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13110–13115; b) L. Dütsch, M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, G. Balázs, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3256–3261.
- [13] M. Piesch, M. Seidl, M. Stubenhofer, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 6311–6316.
- [14] C. G. P. Ziegler, T. M. Maier, S. Pelties, C. Taube, F. Hennersdorf, A. W. Ehlers, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1302–1308.
- [15] a) G. Capozzi, L. Chiti, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *ChemComm* **1986**, *24*, 1799–1800; b) A. Barth, G. Huttner, M. Fritz, L. Zsolnai, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1990**, *29*, 929–931; c) M. Peruzzini, R. R. Abdreimova, Y. Budnikova, A. Romerosa, O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, *689*, 4319–4331.
- [16] P. Barbaro, A. Ienco, C. Mealli, M. Peruzzini, O. J. Scherer, G. Schmitt, F. Vizza, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 5196–5210.
- [17] A. K. Adhikari, C. G. P. Ziegler, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18584-18590.
- [18] a) I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 490–502; b) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, N. Mitzel, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2002**, *628*, 1821–1830.
- [19] S. J. Connelly, W. Kaminsky, D. M. Heinekey, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 7478–7481.
- [20] a) P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 186–197; b) P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 2326–2337.
- [21] M. Mantina, A. C. Chamberlin, R. Valero, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 5806–5812.
- [22] a) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, *11*, 2022–2030; b) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, *7,* 1203–1213; c) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, E. Matern, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 1703–1714.
- [23] https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator, (27.12.2019).
- [24] H. T. Teunissen, C. B. Hansen, F. Bickelhaupt, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Relat. Elem.* **1996**, *118*, 309–312.
- [25] M. Fild, O. Stelzer, R. Schmutzler, G. O. Doak, "Tert-butyldichlorophosphine and Ditert-butylchlorophosphine" in (A.Wold, J. K. Ruff, *Inorganic Syntheses* **1973**, 12 - Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, N. J.), 4–9.
- [26] Agilent (**2014**). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.
- [27] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- [28] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [29] a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. C* **2015**, *71*, 3–8; b) G. M. Sheldrick*, Acta Crystallogr. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122.
- [30] Miriam Eberl, Dissertation, University of Regensburg **2011**.
- [31] M. Piesch, F. Dielmann, S. Reichl, M. Scheer, *[Chem. Eur. J.](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201905240)* **2020**, *24*, 1518–1524.
- [32] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian,A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, "Gaussian 09", Revision E.01, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT **2013**.
- [33] A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098–3100.
- [34] C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789.
- [35] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305.
- [36] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3093.
- [37] E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2013**, *34*, 1363–1374.

4. Ring Expansion vs. Addition – Reactivity of a *cyclo***-P⁴ Complex Towards Pnictogenium Cations**

Preface

The following chapter has already been published. The article is reproduced with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.

"Ring expansion vs. addition – reactivity of a cyclo-P⁴ complex towards pnictogenium cations" Chem. Commun. **2023**, *59*, 10117–10120.

Parts of this chapter have already been described within the BSc thesis of Alexander Erhard.

Authors

Christoph Riesinger, Alexander Erhard and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Conceptualization, Synthesis of compounds **2**, **3** and **5**, Acquisition and interpretation of crystallographic data, Interpretation of computational data, Writing of original draft.

Alexander Erhard – Synthesis of compounds **1** and **4**.

Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the project Sche 384/36-2. C. R. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for a PhD fellowship. We thank Lisa Zimmermann for her assistance with recording the IR spectra of **1** – **5**.

4.1. Abstract

A systematic reactivity study of the cyclo-P⁴ complexes [Cp^RTa(CO)2(4 -P4)] towards pnictogenium cations results in the formation of functionalised interpnictogen cations. Phosphenium ions insert into one of the P–P bonds to give ring-expanded cyclo-P5R² products. In contrast, the arsenium-functionalised P4AsCy² ligand displays an interesting borderline case between ring expansion and coordination, while stibenium cations afford addition products. Tuning of steric and electronic properties of the stibenium ion shows drastic influence on the reaction outcome.

4.2. Introduction

Carbenes are an essential class of compounds in which the central divalent carbon atom has two substituents and bears a lone pair of electrons.^[1] They find use as ligands in transition metal catalysis,^[2] as organocatalysts^[3] or for the stabilisation of highly reactive (main group) species.^[4] In contrast to the well-explored chemistry of carbenes (CR₂), the isolobally ^[5] related phosphenium cations ($[PR_2]^+$) and their heavier congeners ($[ER_2]^+$, $E = As$, Sb, Bi) received considerably less attention. However, phosphenium substituents have been employed with great success by the groups of *Bertrand* and *Liu* as e. g. in the stabilisation of a singlet phosphinidene,^[6] of carbyne anions^[7] or stable ketenyl anions.^[8] Furthermore, the first salts of unstabilised phosphenium and arsenium cations have only been isolated very recently by the group of *Beckmann*,^[9] with the heavier stibenium and bismuthenium cations only known shortly longer.^[10] Nevertheless, phosphenium ions and their homologues are key intermediates in the synthesis of extended cationic polyphosphorus frameworks. Thus, catenated linear polyphosphorus cations (**I**) [11] as well as ring- (**II**, **III**) [12] and cage-type (**IV**) [13] compounds could be obtained (Scheme 1), displaying the phosphorus analogues of ubiquitous organic carbo(cyclic) species. Similarly, cations with mixed and heavier pnictogens are accessible by the exchange of the phosphenium ion for its heavier homologues.^[14] The express carbene-like

Scheme 1: Organophosphorus cations (I – IV) arising from the insertion of phosphenium ions into P–P bonds, selected transition metal complexes arising from phosphenium ion insertion (V), halophosphane condensation (VI) or pnictogenium addition (VII, ER₂ = PBr₂ or AsCy₂), and the cyclo-P₄ compounds **A** and **B**; Cp^{*m*} = 1,2,4^{-t}Bu₃C₅H₂, *Cp′′ = 1,3- ^tBu2C5H3, Cp* = C5Me5, BIAN = 1,2-bis((C6H³ ⁱPr2-2,6)imino)acenaphthene.*

character of phosphenium ions leads to P–P bond insertion (e. g. ring expansion) reactions being the primary reactivity pattern of these species. In contrast, the reactivity of heavier pnictogenium ions is far less explored, but the decreased similarity to carbenes (e. g. s-p separation) should lead to addition reactions being preferred over insertion reactions.^[14e] Recent investigations transferred the reactivity of pnictogenium ions to transition metal polyphosphorus complexes. Phosphenium ions were found to insert into small strained *cyclo*-E₃ (E = P, As) ligands to afford ring-expanded products such as $[Cp'''Ni(n^3-P_4R_2)]^+$ (**V**, R = alkyl, aryl; Cp''' = 1,2,4-^tBu₃C₅H₂).^[15] The neutral [(BIAN)Co(η^4 -P₅R₂)] (**VI**, R = alkyl, aryl, BIAN = 1,2bis((C₆H₃'Pr₂-2,6)imino)acenaphthene) is not a product of phosphenium ion insertion but is formed by the replacement of a [(nacnac)Ga] (nacnac = $N(C_6H_3/Pr_2-2,6)C(Me)$ }₂CH)) moiety via salt metathesis of chlorophosphines with the respective cobalt- P_4 precursor (Scheme 1).^[16] In contrast, both phosphenium and arsenium ions do not insert into the *cyclo-P₅* ligand of pentaphosphaferrocene but instead yield functionalised pnictino-pentaphosphole complexes $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5ER_2)]$ ⁺ (VII, $ER_2 = PBr_2$, AsCy₂; $Cp* = C_5Me_5$).^[17] Surprisingly, a much larger *cyclo*-P⁸ complex can be functionalised with arsenium ions in an addition reaction, affording salts of the exotic cation $[\{Cp'''Ta\}_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1} - P_8 AsCy_2)]^{+.[18]}$ Despite the precedent for the synthetic utility of pnictogenium ions for the preparation of transition metal-stabilised polyphosphorus cations, a systematic evaluation of the reactivity trend from lighter phosphenium to their heavier homologues is still missing. Most notably, the reactivity of polyphosphorus complexes towards stibenium ions is completely unexplored to the best of our knowledge. With respect to the above results, a neutral *cyclo*-P⁴ complex should be optimally suited for the purpose of evaluating the addition or insertion behaviour of pnictogenium ions. Herein we report the functionalisation of $[Cp^RTa(CO)_2(\eta^4-P_4)]$ (**A**: Cp^R = Cp''' ; **B**: Cp^R = $Cp'')^{[19]}$ with a variety of aryl-

Scheme 2: Synthesis of 1 and 2 from A/B via phosphenium ion insertion/ring expansion and 3 via arsenium ion addition.

and alkyl-substituted pnictogenium ions. While the tendency towards either addition or insertion is primarily governed by the pnictogen present, variation of substituents and reaction conditions influence the reaction outcome as well. Additionally, the exchange of the bulkier Cp′′′ (in **A**) for the sterically less demanding Cp′′ (in **B**) is demonstrated to trigger an additional aggregation reaction upon insertion of a phosphenium ion.^[20]

4.3. Results and Discussion

Initially, **A** and **B** were reacted with alkyl- and aryl-substituted phosphenium cations, generated *in situ* via halide abstraction from chlorophosphines and TI[TEF] ([TEF]⁻ = [Al{OC(CF₃)₃}₄]⁻).^[21] Similar mixtures of R_2EX (E = P, As, X = Br, I) and Ag⁺ ions were found to provide free pnictogenium cations.^[22] Interestingly, the aryl-substituted [Ph₂P]⁺ cleanly inserts into one of the P–P bonds of **A** to give rise to the *cyclo*-P₅R₂ compound $[Cp'''Ta(CO)₂(\eta^4-P_5Ph_2)][TEF]$ (1, Scheme 2) in 75% yield. In contrast, the reaction of the alkyl phosphenium cation $[Cv_2P]^+$ with **B** only intermediately leads to an isostructural species **2int** compared to **1** (via NMR, see ESI). Choice of the sterically less demanding Cp′′ ligand in **B** causes **2int** to rapidly decarbonylate and addition of a second unit of **B** occurs to finally afford the dinuclear complex [Cp''Ta(CO){Cp''Ta(CO)₂(μ , η ^{4:1}-P₄}(η ⁴-P₅Ph₂)][TEF] (2) in good yields of 86%. However, when the electrophile is exchanged for the heavier arsenium ion [Cy2As]⁺ , the product [Cp′′Ta(CO)2(4 -P4AsCy2)][TEF] (**3**) is obtained in 72% yield. Notably, **3** displays a borderline case as it shows a *cyclo*-P⁴ ligand with an exocyclic Cy2As substituent in the solid state, while multinuclear solution NMR spectroscopic studies are in line with the arsenium ion being inserted into the P_4 ring (*vide infra*). The central structural motif in **1** and **2** is the *cyclo-* P_5R_2 ligand coordinated to the Ta centre (Figure 1). While the latter still bears two CO ligands in **1**, one of these ligands is displaced by a second moiety of **B** in **2**. However, this substitution has only minor implications on the structure of both the *cyclo*-P₅Cy₂ (P1 – P5) and the *cyclo*-P₄ (P6 – P9) ligand in **2**, as can be seen in the P–P bond lengths of 2.157(1) – 2.165(1) Å (**B**: 2.150(2) – 2.173(3) Å).[19] The *cyclo*-P5R² ring in both compounds can best be described showing partial

Figure 1: Solid-state structures of 1 – 3; counter anions and H atoms are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are drawn the 50% probability level.

double-bond character for the P2–P3 (**1**: 2.150(2) Å, **2**: 2.138(1) Å) and the P4–P5 (**1**: 2.141(2) Å, 2: 2.160(1) Å) bonds,^[23] with the P1 atom bent out of the plane of the residual four P atoms by 52.7(1)° (**1**) and 57.0(1)° (**2**), respectively. Thus, a typical envelope structural motif is obtained, which has been observed for similar neutral *cyclo*-P₅R₂ as well as anionic *cyclo*-P₅R⁻ ligands.[16,24] In contrast, the solid-state structure of **3** reveals an intact *cyclo*-P⁴ ligand which is functionalised via the addition of the Cy₂As moiety to P1 (Figure 1). The new P1–As bond length (2.348(1) Å) is in the range of a single bond,^[23] while the P–P bonds (2.141(2) – 2.176(2) Å) remain nearly unchanged compared to **B**. [19] The ¹H NMR spectra of **1** – **3** reveal the hindered rotation of the respective Cp^R ligands and thus the asymmetric nature of the compounds. Accordingly, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **1** (CD₂Cl₂, r. t.) reveals an AMNXY spin system with five chemically different P atoms, where P^A shows the signal broadening expected for the PPh₂ moiety in the ³¹P NMR spectrum. Furthermore, 2 shows similar ³¹P{¹H} and ³¹P NMR spectra with additional four signals arising from the additional moiety of **B** coordinated to the Ta centre. Lastly, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **3** does not show the expected set of signals for an intact and substituted P_4 cycle. In contrast, the AMXY spin system is reminiscent of the insertion of the AsCy₂ moiety into the *cyclo-P₄* ligand. This behaviour is observed even when dissolving crystalline **3** in cold *o*-DFB. Accordingly, a rapid transformation of the addition product to the inserted one seems to take place upon dissolution, while the coordination of the AsCy₂ fragment to the *cyclo-P₄* ligand likely stems from packing effects in the solid state. The latter agrees with DFT calculations on this isomerisation process (*vide infra*) and the observation that redissolved **3** forms the addition product upon crystallisation repeatedly. Additionally, **A** and **B** were exposed to two different stibenium cations. Interestingly, the simple [SbPh2] ⁺ needs two moieties of **B** for its coordinative stabilisation. After simple workup, the complex $[{Cp'Ta(CO)_2(\eta^4-P_4)}_2SbPh_2][TEF]$ (4, Figure 2a) can be isolated as an orange brown powder in good yields of 73%. In contrast, steric and electronic tuning of the stibenium electrophile as well as the choice of the bulkier Cp"' ligand allowed the isolation of a singly coordinated species. Reaction of **A** with one equivalent of [Cp'''Sbl][TEF]^[17] yields [Cp'''Ta(CO)₂(η ⁴-P₄)Sb(I)Cp'''][TEF] (5) with an intact, functionalised *cyclo*-P⁴ ligand in 56% yield.

The solid-state structure of **4** (Figure 2b) features the central stibenium cation being coordinated by two units of **B**. While the P–P bond lengths (2.154(2) – 2.174(2) Å) remain nearly unchanged compared to the starting material, the new Sb–P distances $(2.760(1)/2.891(1)$ Å) are longer than the sum of the respective covalent radii,^[19] hinting towards the coordinative nature of these bonds. Furthermore, the planes of both *cyclo*-P⁴ ligands are tilted against each other by 100.4(1)°. In contrast, the $[CD''Sb]$ ⁺ cation in **5** is only coordinated by one unit of A, which is attributed to the larger steric demand of the Cp^{'''} substituent and the higher electronic stabilisation it invokes at the Sb centre. Again, the P–P bonds (2.154(3) – 2.170(3) Å) undergo only minor changes upon coordination of the stibenium ion and the Sb–P distance (2.772(2) Å) is slightly elongated compared to the sum of the respective covalent radii. In agreement, the ¹H NMR spectra of **4** and **5** reveal signals in accordance with rotationally unhindered Cp^R ligands. Furthermore, the ³¹P NMR spectra of

Figure 2: a) Synthesis of 4 and 5 via coordination of stibenium ions to A and B; b) Solid-state structures of 4 and 5; counter anions and H atoms are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are drawn the 50% probability level.

both compounds show AMX² spin systems reminiscent of the starting materials **A** and **B**. However, the signals are shifted due to the coordination to the respective stibenium cation in **4** and **5**.

To shed further light onto the distinct addition or insertion reactivities of pnictogenium ions towards the *cyclo*-P₄ complexes **A** and **B**, DFT calculations (@B97X-D4^[25]/def2-TZVP^[26]) were performed to elaborate the thermodynamic relation between inserted and coordinated species. The sterically demanding Cp^R groups were replaced with standard Cp ligands and simple $[EMe₂]⁺$ (E = P, As, Sb) cations were used in the model systems $1' - 4'$ to save computational resources. In contrast to the experimental results, the insertion of [EMe₂]⁺ into the cyclo-P₄ is found to be exergonic for the model systems **1′** – **4′** (see ESI, Table S3). More precisely, the insertion (**1′Ins**) of the phosphenium ion is strongly exergonic by 86.0 kJ/mol compared to its coordination (**1′Coord**), while both species are closer (43.9 kJ/mol) or similar (1.5 kJ/mol) in energy for the arsenium and stibenium cations, respectively. However, the electronic structure of the coordinated isomers drastically changes upon exchange of the phosphino group for its heavier analogues (for details see ESI). While 1'_{coord} features a covalent P–P bond (Figure 3) with similar orbital contributions of both P atoms and a WBI of 0.90, the latter decreases over **3′Coord** (0.83) to **4′′Coord** (0.71) accompanied by the increased polarisation of the P–E bond (E = As, Sb). This trend highlights the tendency of the heavier pnictogenium ions to engage in dative bonding and thus a stabilisation of the coordinated species compared to the insertion products. Furthermore, the lone pair of electrons located at the coordinated pnictogenium cation shows increased s-character going from **1′Coord** (52%) over **3′Coord** (63%) to **4′′Coord** (70%). Summing up, the covalency of the P1–E bond as well as the availability of the E-centred lone pair of electrons increase from 4"_{Coord} to 1'_{Coord} explaining the ring expansion tendency of the latter, compared to its heavier analogues. At last, the bonding interaction within **4′** was investigated and shown to be of mostly electrostatic nature. Two lone pairs of the respective

Figure 4: a) Selected NBOs representing the P–E bond as well as the lone electron pair in 1'_{coord}, 3'_{coord} and 4"_{coord} *exemplarily shown for 1′Coord; b) bonding interaction within 4′ caused by the interaction of a linear combination of two P-centred lone electron pairs with an empty p-type orbital at Sb; LC = linear combination.*

cyclo-P⁴ ligands form a bonding interaction with an empty p-orbital at the stibenium cation. Notably, similar interaction has been observed for phosphine complexes of simple stibenium cations and has been studied in great detail.^[27]

4.4. Conclusion

In conclusion, this systematic study of the reactivity of pnictogenium cations towards the *cyclo*-P⁴ complexes **A** and **B** provides an overview of the distinct insertion and addition trends of these interesting carbene analogues. Phosphenium cations readily insert into one of the P–P bonds of **A** and **B** to afford the ring-expanded *cyclo*-P5R² compounds **1** and **2**. In contrast, arsenium cations reveal their ambivalent character, as the solid-state structure of **3** clearly shows addition to the *cyclo*-P₄ ligand, while its spectroscopic data in solution hints towards the insertion of the arsenium cation into the *cyclo*-P⁴ ligand. Furthermore, the sterically unstabilised [SbPh2] ⁺ simply adds to the *cyclo*-P⁴ ligand of **B**. However, addition of a second unit of **B** is necessary to stabilise the highly electrophilic and sterically unshielded stibenium cation in **4**. Lastly, employing the sterically tuned stibenium cation $[Cp''Sbl]^+$ affords the monocoordinated complex **5**. These findings are supported by DFT calculations, providing insight into the reaction pathway, and thus explaining the distinct reactivities within this system. Accordingly, this work may suit as a point of reference for future research into the reactivity of pnictogenium cations and heavier carbene analogues in general. Moreover, compounds **1** – **5** could serve as useful starting materials for the synthesis of polyphosphorus compounds incorporating heteroatoms.

4.5. Supporting Information

4.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under N_2 as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. *o*-DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene) was distilled from P_2O_5 , CD_2Cl_2 was distilled from CaH_2 and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz), ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz)a and ³¹P (161.976 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using $(H_3C)_4$ Si (¹H, ¹³C), CFCI₃ (¹⁹F) or 85% phosphoric acid $(31P)$, respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $dt =$ doublet of triplets, $t =$ triplet, $td =$ triplet of doublets br = broad and $m =$ multiplet. Mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 (ESI) or Finnigan MAT 95 (LIFDI) mass spectrometer or by the first author on a Waters Micromass LCT ESI-TOF mass-spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.[27] Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials [Cp'''Ta(CO)₂(η ⁴-P₄)] (A), [Cp''Ta(CO)₂(η ⁴-P₄)] (B),^[19] TI[TEF],^[28] Cy₂AsBr^[29] and [Cp'''SbI][TEF]^[30] were synthesized according to literature procedures. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification.

[Cp′′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P5Ph2)][TEF] (1)

A solution of Tl[TEF] (117 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in 4 mL *o*-DFB was added to a solution of **A** (59 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) and Ph₂PCI (0.5 mL stock solution in toluene (c = 0.2 M), 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) resulting in the rapid precipitation of colourless solid and a slow colour change to orange. After stirring the mixture for 2 h, 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate a yellow solid. The supernatant was decanted, the solid dried and then resuspended in 4 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . The yellow solution was filtered, constrained to 2 mL and again 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate the product [Cp'''Ta(CO)₂(n⁴-P₅Ph₂)][TEF] (1) as a yellow solid. After drying, 1 could be isolated as a yellow powder. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies can be obtained by layering a concentrated solution of **1** in 3 mL of *o*-DFB with 15 mL of *n*-hexane and storing the mixture at -30 °C for 10 days.

[Cp′′Ta(CO){Cp′′Ta(CO)2(,4:1-P4)}(⁴ -P5Cy2)][TEF] (2)

Cy₂PBr (29 μ L stock solution in toluene (c = 1.07 M), 0.05 mmol, 0.5 eq.) was added to a solution of **B** (54 mg, 0.1mmol, 1 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (58 mg, 0.05 mmol, 0.5 eq.) in 3 mL *o*-DFB resulting in the rapid precipitation of colourless solid and a slow colour change to orange-red. After stirring the mixture for one day, 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate an orange solid. The supernatant was decanted, the solid dried and then resuspended in 3 mL of *o*-DFB. The solution was filtered, and again 60 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate the product $[Cp'Ta(CO)\{Cp'Ta(CO)_{2}(\mu, \eta^{4:1}-P_{4}\}(\eta^{4}-P_{5}Cy_{2})][TEF]$ (2) as an orange-red solid. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies could be obtained by layering a concentrated solution of **2** in 4 mL of *o*-DFB with 30 mL of *n*-hexane and storing the mixture at r. t. for 8 days.

[Cp′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P4AsCy2)][TEF] (3)

Cy₂AsBr (15 μ L, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) was added to a solution of **B** (54 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (117 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in 4 mL *o*-DFB resulting in the rapid precipitation of colourless solid and a slow colour change to orange. After stirring the mixture for 3 h, the solution was constrained to 1 mL and 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate an orange solid. The supernatant was decanted, the solid dried and then resuspended in 4 mL of *o*-DFB. The solution was filtered, and again 30 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate the product [Cp''Ta(CO)₂(η ⁴-P₄AsCy₂)][TEF] (3) as a red oil. This oil was washed with 5 mL of toluene and two times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, affording **3** as a red solid, which could be isolated after drying under reduced pressure (10^{-3} mbar). Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies could be obtained by layering a concentrated solution of **3** in 2 mL of *o*-DFB with 20 mL of *n*-hexane and storing the mixture at -30 °C for one month.

[{Cp′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P4)}2SbPh2][TEF] (4)

A solution of Ph2SbCl (31 mg, 0.01 mmol, 1 eq.) in 2 mL *o*-DFB was added to a solution of **B** (108 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (117 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in 4 mL of *o*-DFB resulting in the rapid precipitation of colourless solid and a slow colour change to brownish yellow. After stirring the mixture for 2 h, 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate a yellow solid. The supernatant was decanted, the solid dried and then resuspended in 4 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . The yellowish solution was filtered, constrained to 2 mL and again 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate the product $[{Cp'Ta(CO)_2(n^4-P_4)}_2SbPh_2][TEF]$ (4) as a brownish yellow solid. After drying, **4** could be isolated as a brownish yellow powder. Single crystals suitable for Xray diffraction studies could be obtained by slow addition of 20 mL of *n*-hexane to a concentrated solution of **4** in 2 mL of *o*-DFB.

[Cp′′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P4Sb(I)Cp′′′)][TEF] (5)

A solution of Cp′′′SbI² (61 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in 2 mL *o*-DFB was added to a solution of **A** (59 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (117 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in 4 mL of *o*-DFB resulting in the rapid precipitation of pale yellow solid and a slow colour change to clear red. After stirring the mixture for 2 h, 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate a red solid. The supernatant was decanted, the solid dried and then resuspended in 4 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . The red solution was filtered, constrained to 2 mL and again 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate the product [Cp"Ta(CO)₂(η ⁴-P₄Sb(I)Cp"')][TEF] (5) as a clear red solid. After drying, 5 could be isolated as a red powder. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies could be obtained by layering a concentrated solution of **5** in 3 mL of CH2Cl² with 30 mL of *n*-hexane and storing the mixture at -30 °C for two weeks.

4.5.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

General Considerations

The crystallographic data for all described compounds were collected on a SuperNova diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Titan^{s2} detector using Cu–K_a radiation (3, 5), on an Xcalibur Gemini (Rigaku) with an Atlas^{S2} detector using $Cu-K_\alpha$ (1, 4) radiation, or an XtaLAB Synergy R, DW System (Rigaku) with a HyPix-Arc 150 detector using Cu-K_a radiation from a rotating anode (**2**). Data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro software package.^[31] Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2 (1.5-alpha)^[32] with ShelXT^[33] (solution) and ShelXL-2018/3^[34] (least squares refinement (F^2)). All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and H atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C-H bond lengths (sp^3 : 0.96 $(CH₃)$, 0.97 $(CH₂)$; sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Visualisation of the crystal structures was performed with Olex2 (1.5-alpha).[32]

CCDC-2280522 (**1**), CCDC-2280523 (**2**), CCDC-2280524 (**3**), CCDC-2280525 (**4**), and CCDC2280526 (**5**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: + 44-1223-336-033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

[Cp′′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P5Ph2)][TEF] (1)

Compound **1** crystallizes in the triclinnic space group *P*1̅ forming yellowish-orange plates from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures at -30 °C (Figure S1). The asymmetric unit contains the cation and one anion. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anion and the cation was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 1: Solid state structure of 1; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation and one anion; ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[Cp′′Ta(CO){Cp′′Ta(CO)2(,4:1-P4)}(⁴ -P5Cy2)][TEF] (2)

Compound **2** crystallizes in the triclinnic space group *P*1̅ forming clear orange blocks from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures at room temperature (Figure S2). The asymmetric unit contains the cation and one anion. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 2: Solid state structure of 2; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation and one anion; ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[Cp′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P4AsCy2)][TEF] (3)

Compound **3** crystallizes in the triclinnic space group *P*1̅ forming clear orange blocks from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures at -30 °C (Figure S3). The asymmetric unit contains the cation, one anion and 0.4 *o*-DFB. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 3: Solid state structure of 3; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation, one anion and 0.4 o-DFB (treated with a solvent mask); ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[{Cp′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P4)}2SbPh2][TEF] (4)

Compound 4 crystallizes in the triclinnic space group P1 forming dark orange plates upon addition of *n*-hexane to a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB at room temperature (Figure S4). The asymmetric unit contains the cation and one anion. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 4: Solid state structure of 4; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation and one anion; ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[Cp′′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P4Sb(I)Cp′′′)][TEF] (5)

Compound **5** crystallizes in the triclinnic space group *P*1̅ forming intense yellow blocks from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures at -30 °C (Figure S5). The asymmetric unit contains the cation and one anion. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 5: Solid state structure of 5; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation and one anion; ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

4.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

*Figure S 6: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the residual solvent signal of CH2Cl2.*

Figure S 7: ³¹P and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature and experimental (top) as well as simulated (bottom) spectra shown in the enlarged inserts.

Table S 2: Spectral parameters of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

J/Hz		δ / ppm	
J_{PA-PX}	360.9	ΡA	119.6
1 J _{PA-PY}	370.7	P^M	-7.1
1 J _{PM-PN}	366.8	P^N	-25.9
1 J _{PM-PY}	362.7	P^{χ}	-104.9
$1J_{PN\text{-}PX}$	391.4	Р ^у	-108.3
$2J_{PA-PM}$	11.0		
$^{2}J_{PA-PN}$	7.1		
$2J_{PM\text{-}PN}$	40.6		
$2J_{PN\text{-}PY}$	41.4		
$^{2}J_{PX\text{-}PY}$	1.8		
R -factor $(\%)$	6.8		

Figure S 8: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[Cp′′Ta(CO){Cp′′Ta(CO)2(,4:1-P4)}(⁴ -P5Cy2)][TEF] (2)

The formation of **2** via an intermediate, which is isostructural to **1**, is highly plausible and should then be followed by decarbonylation accompanied by addition of a second equivalent of **B**. However, already after one hour signals corresponding to **2** are clearly visible in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture without any traces of an intermediate. This strongly points towards the rapid decarbonylation of the hypothetical intermediate under the reaction conditions (room temperature, *o*-DFB). Furthermore, this is supported by our observation of formation of **2** even in reactions of equimolar amounts of starting materials.

*Figure S 9: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the residual solvent signal of CH2Cl² and the signal from residual H-grease.*

*Figure S 10: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signals of traces of unreacted B.*

*Figure S 11: ³¹P NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signals of traces of unreacted B.*

Figure S 12: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[Cp′′Ta(CO)2(⁴ -P4AsCy2)][TEF] (3)

While the solid state structure of **3** (Figure S3) clearly shows a coordinated arsenium residue at the *cyclo*-P⁴ ligand, the ³¹P NMR spectra in *o*-DFB point towards the insertion of the arsenium ion into the P₄ cycle and thus formation of a *cyclo*-P₄AsCy₂ ligand. The presence of four chemically inequivalent ³¹P nuclei of which two only show one ¹J_{P-P} coupling is clear indication for this insertion taking place in solution. The spectra are well reproduced even upon dissolving crystalline 3 in cold o -DFB. However, 3 rapidly degrades in CD₂Cl₂ solution limiting the temperature range to -30 °C.

Figure S 13: ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 in o-DFB with C6D⁶ capillary recorded at room temperature; the signal of o-DFB is cut off for clarity.

Figure S 14: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3 in o-DFB (with C6D⁶ capillary) recorded at room temperature; small additional signals indicate the rapid degradation of this compound in solution at room temperature.

Figure S 15: ³¹P NMR spectrum of 3 in o-DFB (with C6D⁶ capillary) recorded at room temperature; small additional signals indicate the rapid degradation of this compound in solution at room temperature.

*Figure S 16: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal of o-DFB.*

Figure S 17: ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 18: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 19: ³¹P NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 20: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 21 ¹H NMR spectrum of 5 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 22: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 5 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 23: ³¹P NMR spectrum of 5 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 24: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 5 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

4.5.4. Computational Details

General Considerations

DFT calculations were performed using the Orca 5.0 software package.^[35] The sterically demanding CpR were replaced with unsubstituted Cp ligands and the dimethylpnictogenium cations $[Me₂E]⁺$ (E = P, As, Sb) were used to save computational resources. Geometry optimizations were performed at the ω B97X-D4^[24]/def2-TZVP^[25] level of theory with PCM solvent correction for CH_2Cl_2 .^[36] Stationary points were verified by analytical frequency calculations. Single point calculations were performed at the ω B97X-D4/def2-TZVP level of theory with solvent correction as described above. Imaginary modes with a value higher than -30 cm⁻¹ were not considered due to approximations implemented in Orca 5.0. The differences in energy between the ring expanded insertion products and the respective coordination products **1′** – **4′′** are listed in Table S3.

Table S 3: Computed (@B97X-D4/def2-TZVP) energy differences between the ring expanded and the coordinated species in the model systems 1′ – 4′′.

		\bullet	
ΔG (Ins-Coord) [kJ/mol]	-86.0	-43.১	

NBO Analysis

NBO analyses were performed using the NBO7.0 software package.^[37] To gain further insight into the electronic structure of the coordinated species, NBO analysis was performed. Representative NBOs for **1′Coord**, **3′Coord**, **4′′Coord** and **4′** are provided in Figure S25. While the monocoordinated compounds all feature expressed $P-E$ (E = P, As, Sb) bonding (WBI: 0.90 (**1′Coord**), 0.83 (**3′Coord**) and 0.71 (**4′′Coord**)), this bond becomes more polar going down the group. This is supported by NLMO analysis providing orbital contributions of 62% P: 38% P (1'coord), 68% P:32% As (**3′Coord**) and 74% P: 26% Sb (**4′′Coord**). Accordingly, the WBIs decrease (0.90 (**1′Coord**), 0.83 (**3′Coord**), 0.71 (**4′′Coord**)) and the s-character of the lone-pair at the former pnictogenium cation increases (52% (**1′Coord**), 63% (**3′Coord**), 70% (**4′′Coord**)). This again is in line with the decreased "carbene-like" character of heavy pnictogenium cations and thus supports the formation of a ring expanded product for phosphenium ions, while their heavier congeners yield addition products. Furthermore, the bonding situation within **4′** is more complicated, as there are no directly bonding NBOs to be found for the P-Sb interactions. However, the linear combination of NBOs 79 and 84 fits the symmetry of an empty p-orbital at Sb (NBO 157), supporting a more electrostatic attractive interaction within **4′**. This is in line with significantly decreased WBIs (P-Sb: 0.41/0.38) and earlier investigations on phosphine complexes of stibenium cations.^[26]

Figure S 25: Selected NBOs for 1' – 4' from DFT calculations at the B97X-D4/def2-TZVP level of theory.

Optimized Geometries

1′Ins

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -2264.25837190, Enthalpies/H = -2264.25742769, Free Energies/H = -2264.32298419, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 130.86

1′Coord

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -2264.22439225, Enthalpies/H = -2264.22344804, Free Energies/H = -2264.29024895, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 130.69

3′Ins

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -4158.68068398, Enthalpies/H = -4158.67973977, Free Energies/H = -4158.74917894, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 130.85

3′Coord

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -4158.66504282, Enthalpies/H = -4158.66409861, Free Energies/H = -4158.73246870, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 130.11

4′′Ins

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -2163.18677742, Enthalpies/H = -2163.18583321, Free Energies/H = -2163.25728000, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 130.11

 $\frac{1}{2}$

4′′Coord

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -4006.37272524, Enthalpies/H = -4006.37178103, Free Energies/H = -4006.47815535, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 209.74

 \Rightarrow

4′

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -2163.18547273, Enthalpies/H = -2163.18452852, Free Energies/H = -2163.25672647, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 129.88

4.6. References

- [1] M. N. Hopkinson, C. Richter, M. Schedler, F. Glorius, *Nature* **2014**, *510*, 485–496.
- [2] a) F. Glorius, N-heterocyclic carbenes in transition metal catalysis, *Springer*, Berlin, New York, **2007**; b) S. Díez-González, N. Marion, S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 3612–3676.
- [3] D. Enders, O. Niemeier, A. Henseler, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5606–5655.
- [4] V. Nesterov, D. Reiter, P. Bag, P. Frisch, R. Holzner, A. Porzelt, S. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 9678–9842.
- [5] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [6] a) L. Liu, D. A. Ruiz, D. Munz, G. Bertrand, *Chem* **2016**, *1*, 147–153; b) M. M. Hansmann, R. Jazzar, G. Bertrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 8356–8359.
- [7] R. Wei, X.-F. Wang, C. Hu, L. L. Liu, *Nat. Synth.* **2023**, *2*, 357–363.
- [8] R. Wei, X.-F. Wang, D. A. Ruiz, L. L. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202219211.
- [9] M. Olaru, S. Mebs, J. Beckmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 19133–19138.
- [10] M. Olaru, D. Duvinage, E. Lork, S. Mebs, J. Beckmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 10080–10084.
- [11] a) N. Burford, T. S. Cameron, P. J. Ragogna, E. Ocando-Mavarez, M. Gee, R. McDonald, R. E. Wasylishen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7947–7948; b) N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 14404–14410; c) N. Burford, C. A. Dyker, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 2364–2367; d) J. Possart, A. Martens, M. Schleep, A. Ripp, H. Scherer, D. Kratzert, I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 12305–12313.
- [12] a) N. Burford, C. A. Dyker, M. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 6196–6199; b) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 6733–6737.
- [13] a) I. Krossing, I. Raabe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4406; b) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, L. Müller, I. Raabe, M. Jansen, L. van Wüllen, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 4475–4492; c) J. J. Weigand, M. Holthausen, R. Fröhlich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 295–298; d) M. H. Holthausen, K.-O. Feldmann, S. Schulz, A. Hepp, J. J. Weigand, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 3374–3387; e) M. H. Holthausen, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6639–6657.
- [14] a) H. Althaus, H. J. Breunig, E. Lork, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, *19*, 1971–1972; b) K. A. Porter, A. C. Willis, J. Zank, S. B. Wild, *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41*, 6380–6386; c) N. L. Kilah, S. Petrie, R. Stranger, J. W. Wielandt, A. C. Willis, S. B. Wild, *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 6106–6113; d) N. L. Kilah, M. L. Weir, S. B. Wild, *Dalton Trans.* **2008**, *18*, 2480–2486; e) E. Conrad, N. Burford, U. Werner-Zwanziger, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 2465–2467.
- [15] a) C. Riesinger, L. Dütsch, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 17165–17170; b) M. Piesch, S. Reichl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 15101–15108.
- [16] a) C. M. Hoidn, T. M. Maier, K. Trabitsch, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18931–18936; b) C. G. P. Ziegler, T. M. Maier, S. Pelties, C. Taube, F. Hennersdorf, A. W. Ehlers, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1302–1308.
- [17] C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 13037–13044.
- [18] C. Riesinger, F. Dielmann, R. Szlosek, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202218828.
- [19] O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Wolmershuser, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1993**, *619*, 827– 835; Notably, the exchange of Cp′′′ for Cp′′ and *vice versa* does not influence the insertion/addition reactivity of these compounds towards pnictogenium ions.
- [20] M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, N. Mitzel, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2002**, *628*, 1821–1830.
- [21] M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, *11*, 2022–2030.
- [22] P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 2326−2337.
- [23] a) E. Mädl, M. V. Butovskii, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, A.V. Virovets, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7643–7646; b) A. K. Adhikari, C. G. P. Ziegler, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, 58, 18584–18590; c) S. Reichl, E. Mädl, F. Riedlberger, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, 12, 5774–5782.
- [24] a) Y.-S. Lin, G.-D. Li, S.-P. Mao, J. D. Chai, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 263–272; b) E. Caldeweyher, S. Ehlert, A. Hansen, H. Neugebauer, S. Spicher, C. Bannwarth, S. Grimme, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *150*, 154122–154141.
- [25] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs*, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305.
- [26] N. L. Kilah, S. Petrie, R. Stranger, J. W. Wielandt, A. C. Willis, S. B. Wild, *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 6106–6113.
- [27] *https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator (25.04.2023)*.
- [28] M. Gonsior, I. Krossing and N. Mitzel, *Z. anorg. allg. Chem.*, **2002**, *628*, 1821–1830.
- [29] W. Steinkopf, H. Dudek, S. Schmidt, *Ber. dtsch. Chem. Ges. A/B* **1928**, *61*, 1911–1918.
- [30] C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Seid, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 13037–13044.
- [31] Agilent Technologies Ltd, *CrysAlis PRO*, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, **2014**.
- [32] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- [33] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [34] a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. C* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [35] a) F. Neese, F. Wennmohs, U. Becker, C. Rieplinger, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *152*, 224108–224125; b) F. Neese, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 1606–1620.
- [36] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3093.

[37] NBO 7.0. E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, P. Karafiloglou, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI (**2018**).
5. Stabilization of Pentaphospholes as η 5 -Coordinating Ligands

Coordinative Stabilization of Pentaphospholes

Preface

The following chapter has already been published. The article is reproduced with permission from Wiley-VCH. License Number: 5637011186594

"Stabilization of Pentaphospholes as 5 -Coordinating Ligands"

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2020**, *59*, 23879–23884.

Angew. Chem. **2020**, *132*, 24088–24094.

Parts of this chapter have already been described within the MSc thesis of the first author (Christoph Riesinger).

Authors

Christoph Riesinger, Gábor Balázs, Michael Bodensteiner and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Conceptualization, Synthesis of compounds **2**, **3** and **4**, Writing of original draft.

Gábor Balázs – Interpretation of computational data.

Michael Bodensteiner – Interpretation of crystallographic data.

Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the project Sche 384/36-1.

5.1. Abstract

Electrophilic functionalisation of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ *(1) yields the first transition metal complexes of pentaphospholes (cyclo-P5R). Silylation of 1 with [(Et3Si)2(-H)][B(C6F5)4] leads to the ionic species [Cp*Fe(5 -P5SiEt3)][B(C6F5)4] (2), whose subsequent reaction with H2O yields the* parent compound [Cp*Fe(η ⁵-P₅H)][B(C₆F₅)₄] (3). The synthesis of a carbon-substituted derivative [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅Me)][X] ([X]⁻ = [FB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ (4a), [X]⁻ = [B(C₆F₅)₄]⁻ (4b)) is achieved by *methylation of 1 employing [Me3O][BF4] and B(C6F5)³ or a combination of MeOTf and [Li(OEt2)2][B(C6F5)4]. The structural characterisation of these compounds reveals a slight envelope structure for the cyclo-P5R ligand. Detailed NMR spectroscopic studies suggest a highly dynamic behaviour and thus a distinct lability for 2 and 3 in solution. DFT calculations shed light on the electronic structure and bonding situation of this unprecedented class of compounds.*

5.2. Introduction

The Cyclopentadienide anion $(Cp^{-}, C_5H_5^{-})$ and its derivatives are some of the most utilised ligands in organometallic chemistry. They are widely used in designing catalysts, e.g. group 4 metallocene derivatives for olefin polymerisation,^[1] and in the stabilisation of highly reactive and thus uncommon species (e.g. the isoelectronic series of $Cp^RAl (Cp^R = Cp[*], [2] Cp^R = Cp^{''[3]}),$ $[Cp^*Si]^+$,^[4] and $[Cp^*P]^{2+[5]}$ (Cp''' = 1,2,4- $^tBu_3C_5H_2^-$, Cp^{*} = C₅Me₅⁻). The powerful concept of isolobality^[6] relates the exotic pentaphospholide anion ([*cyclo*-P₅]⁻) to Cp⁻ (Scheme 1). *Scherer* et al. were able to isolate the first transition metal complexes bearing such a *cyclo-P₅* ligand in bridging ($\mu_2, \eta^{5.5}$ ^[7] or end-deck (η^{5} ^[8] coordination. In 1987, the group of *Baudler* succeeded in synthesising the first alkali metal salts of [*cyclo*-P₅]⁻ (II') in solution.^[9] The synthesis for such solutions could later be optimized,^[10] and initial reactivity studies revealed their potential in the preparation of polyphosphorus compounds.^[11] In the following decades, complexes of various transition metals with *cyclo*-P₅ ligands in bridging^[12] or end-deck^[13] coordination modes could be obtained and it was even possible to synthesise an all-phosphorus sandwich dianion

Scheme 1: Formal protonation/deprotonation reactions (I and II) of the isolobal Cp– and cyclo-P⁵ – moieties, reactivity studies on cyclo-P⁵ – with organohalides (III) and our approach of stabilising pentaphospholes in the coordination sphere of transition metals (IV).

 $[(\eta^5-P_5)_2]$ Ti $]^{2-1}$ ^{4]} While the synthetic strategy for these compounds usually involves the reaction of a transition metal precursor with a reactive source of phosphorus (e.g. P_4 or K_3P_7), a common way to introduce the Cp^- ligand (I') is by salt metathesis with $[Cat][Cp]$ ($[Cat]^+ = [Li]^+,$ [Na]⁺, [K]⁺), which is obtained by deprotonation of cyclopentadiene (CpH, C₅H₆, Scheme 1, **I**). Because CpH is metastable at ambient temperatures and undergoes [2+4] Diels-Alder cyclisation (dimerisation), the question arises as to the existence of the isolobal parent pentaphosphole (*cyclo*-P5H), its derivatives (*cyclo*-P5R), and their stability (Scheme 1, **II**). In view of the high reactivity of CpH, less stability can be assumed for *cyclo*-P5R. Consequently, attempts by *Baudler* et al. to obtain pentaphospholes by reacting solutions of [Cat][P₅] with alkyl halides only yielded further aggregated polyphosphines (Scheme 1, **III**).[15] Moreover, reports on functionalised P_5 ligands coordinated to transition metal fragments are relatively scarce^[16] and there are no reports on neutral pentaphosphole ligand complexes **II**.^[17] Thus, the current literature on pentaphospholes is mostly limited to computational studies dealing with the predicted planar structure of the aromatic parent *cyclo*-P5H, which is in contradiction with the nonaromaticity of CpH (**I**).[18] Therefore, the generation and stabilisation of such a moiety seems to be a valuable target and we report herein a first access to complexes possessing a parent-aromatic *cyclo*-P₅H ligand and related *cyclo*-P₅R ligands, respectively.

One of the key interests of our group is the synthesis of novel polyphosphorus (P_n) ligand complexes and the evaluation of their reactivity. We could demonstrate that pentamethylpentaphosphaferrocene ([Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅)], 1)^[8] readily reacts with a variety of Lewis acids to form coordination compounds.[19] It was found that **1** can be oxidised and reduced under P–P bond formation to yield a dimeric dication and dianion, respectively. Doubly reducing **1** even provides a monomeric dianion with an extremely folded *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand.[20] **1** also reacts with charged main group nucleophiles to give products bearing an η^4 -coordinated *cyclo*-P₅R ligand with an envelope structure, representing the coordinated anionic form of the isolobal CpH moiety **I**. [16a] However, the reactivity of **1** towards cationic main group electrophiles (Scheme 1, **IV**) remains unexplored. Inspired by recent reports on the protonation of the P_4 -butterfly complex $[{Cp}'''Fe(CO)₂}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{2.2}-P₄)]^[21]$ and even P₄ (white phosphorus),^[22] the question as to the possible protonation of 1 came up. Interestingly, the protonation of ferrocene^[23] or the P₄ complexes $[{}^{Ph}PP_2{}^{Cy}Fe(\eta^4-P_4)]$ $({}^{Ph}PP_2{}^{Cy} = PhP(C_2H_4PCy_2)_2[^{24]}$ and $[Na_2(THF)_5(Cp^{Ar}Fe)_2(\mu, \eta^{4:4-P_4}]\$ P_4] (Cp^{Ar} = C₅(C₆H₄-4-Et)₅)^[25] occurs at the iron and not on the polyphosphorus ligand. In contrast, if the protonation of **1** were to occur at the *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand, this would yield the first transition metal complex of the parent *cyclo*-P5H (**II**). However, the comparably low proton affinity of 1 labels common acids such as HBF₄ (in Et₂O) or even $[H(OEt₂)₂][TEF]$ ([TEF]⁻ = $[A|\{OC(CF_3)_3\}_4]^{-}[26]}$ unsuitable for this purpose (for details see SI). Thus, we envisioned a twostep process in which **1** would react with an electrophile to yield a metastable intermediate, subsequently to be quenched with a suitable proton source. With this in mind, silylium cations, sometimes referred to as masked protons,^[27] seemed to be promising electrophiles to obtain the desired reactivity.

5.3. Results and Discussion

When 1 is reacted with the silylium ion precursor $[(Et_3Si)_2(\mu-H)][B(C_6F_5)_4]^{[28]}$ in o -DFB (1,2difluorobenzene), a colour change to brownish green marks a rapid reaction providing [Cp*Fe(5 -P5SiEt3)][B(C6F5)4] (**2**) in 71 % yield (Scheme 2). **2** is stable in *o*-DFB solution at room temperature but decomposes slowly in CH_2Cl_2 and is insoluble in toluene or aliphatic hydrocarbons. Furthermore, the slightest traces of moisture immediately decompose **2**. When **2** is treated with half an equivalent of H₂O in o-DFB, a rapid colour change to bright red is observed and after workup the protonated complex $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (3) can be isolated in 61 % yield. **3** represents the first transition metal complex of the parent pentaphosphole P₅H. It is well soluble and stable in o -DFB and CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature and can be stored as a solid under inert atmosphere for several weeks. Similar to **2**, **3** is highly sensitive towards moisture and air and has to be handled with great care. Thus, we also searched for ways to avoid H2O during the synthesis of **3**, as slight errors in stoichiometry lead to the decomposition of the product. However, when **2** was reacted with MeOH as a proton source, the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the corresponding reaction solution suggested that, besides **3**, a second species ($[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5Me)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, **4b**) with a substituted P_5 ligand is formed, which we assume to be caused by C–O bond cleavage of MeOH induced by the silylium cation (*vide infra*, Figure 2d). The respective product mixture could, however, not be separated. Thus, we sought for an alternative way to access the methylated derivative **4** which we found in the stoichiometric reaction of 1 with a trimethyloxonium salt. When 1 is reacted with [Me₃O][BF₄] and B(C6F5)³ in *o*-DFB at room temperature, a slow colour change of the solution from clear

Scheme 2: Reaction of 1 with cationic main group electrophiles to yield silylated (2), protonated (3) and methylated (4) pentaphosphole complexes: i) 1 eq. $[(Et_3Si)_2(\mu-H)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, o-DFB, r.t., 1 h; ii) 0.5 eq. H₂O, o-DFB, r.t., 1 h; iii) *1. 1 eq. [Me3O][BF4] in o-DFB, 2. 1 eq. B(C6F5)3, o-DFB, r. t., 3 h; iv) 1. 1 eq. MeOTf in o-DFB, r.t., 1 h, 2. 1 eq. [Li(OEt2)2][B(C6F5)4], o-DFB, r.t., 18 h.*

Figure 1: Solid state structures of the cations in 2, 3 and 4; Hydrogen atoms at the Cp ligand and the Et groups in* 2, the anions [B(C₆F₅)₄] (2 and 3) and [FB(C₆F₅)₃] (4a) and cocrystallised [H][FB(C₆F₅)₃] (4a) are omitted for clarity. *As the cyclo-P5Me ligand in 4b is disordered, only structural parameters within 4a are discussed; ADPs are drawn at the 50 % probability level.*

green to brownish red can be observed. After workup and crystallisation, $[Cp^*Fe(n^5-R)$ P₅Me)][FB(C₆F₅)₃] {HFB(C₆F₅)₃}_{0.5} (4a {HFB(C₆F₅)₃}_{0.5}), a carbon-substituted pentaphosphole transition metal complex, can be isolated as dark red crystals in 64 % yield (Scheme 2). In addition, we found an even easier way to access the methylated derivative **4** and avoided the stoichiometric formation of $HFB(C_6F_5)_3$ by reacting 1 with MeOTf followed by the addition of one equivalent of $[L(OEt_2)_2][B(C_6F_5)_4]$. After workup, the product 4b can then be isolated as dark red crystals in 65% yield (Scheme 2).

Compounds 2, 3 and 4 crystallise from mixtures of o -DFB or CH₂Cl₂ and *n*-hexane at -30 °C (**2** and **3**) or at room temperature (**4**) as dark green plates (**2**) and red blocks (**3**, **4**), respectively, which allowed for their X-ray crystallographic investigation. The core-structural motif of the cations is a slightly bent cyclo-P_5R ($R = \text{SiEt}_3$ (2), H (3), Me (4)) ligand coordinating to the ${ {Cp*Fe}^+ }$ moiety in η^5 mode (Figure 1). In contrast to the previously reported anionic compounds $[Cp*Fe(\eta^4-P_5R)]^{-1}$ ^[16a] the substituents at the P1 atom in **2**, **3** and **4** are oriented in exo-fashion with regard to the envelope of the P_5 ring (towards the ${Cp^*Fe}^+$ moiety). The P– P bond lengths in **2** (2.099(1)-2.122(1) Å) are similar to each other, and those in **3** (2.115(1)- 2.130(1) Å) and **4** (2.108(4)-2.133(4) Å) are only slightly longer and in-between the expected values for P–P single (2.22 Å) and double (2.04 Å) bonds.^[29] The deviation of the P1 atom from the plane spanned by the other P atoms is less pronounced in **2** (7.44(6)°) than in **3** (25.38(5)°) and $4(18.1(2)°)$, which may be attributed to the sterically demanding SiEt₃ group in **2**. The P1– Fe distances are only slightly longer (**2**: 2.3010(7) Å, **3**: 2.3729(5) Å, **4**: 2.306(3) Å) than the sum of the covalent radii (2.27 Å), which we attribute to the bonding interaction between the Fe centre and the back lobe of an occupied p-orbital of P1 (*vide infra*). The P1–Si bond in **2** (2.308(1) Å) is slightly longer than the expected P–Si single bond (2.27 Å),^[29] which may again be caused by the steric bulk of the $SIEt₃$ group and points towards a comparably weak bond between these atoms. In contrast, the P1–C bond length in **4** (1.848(9) Å) is well within the expected values for a P–C single bond (1.86 Å). The position of H1 in **3** is clearly visible in the difference electron density map, but standard refinement of hydrogen positions from X-ray diffraction data is known to underestimate their distance to adjacent atoms. Thus, it is not surprising that the determined P1-H1 bond length for **3** is only 1.29(3) Å, which is distinctly

Figure 2: a) ${}^{31}P$ {¹H} NMR spectrum of isolated 4 in CD₂Cl₂ at r. t., b) ${}^{31}P$ and c) ${}^{31}P$ {¹H} NMR spectra of isolated 3 *in CD2Cl² at –80 °C and d) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the product mixture obtained from the reaction of 2 with MeOH in CD2Cl² at –80 °C; assignment of P atoms to the molecular structures of 3 and 4 is provided by the colour code of the signals; * marks the signal for residual 1 and a group of signals assigned to trace impurities of an unidentified side product.*

shorter than the sum of the covalent radii (1.43 Å) .^[29] Consequently, neutron diffraction data obtained on compounds containing P–H bonds shows P–H distances much closer to the expected value of 1.43 \AA ,^[30] even when there is a positive charge localisation at the P atom as in $[PH_4]$ [$[1]$]. $[31]$

NMR spectroscopic investigations of **2** in *o*-DFB revealed its dynamic behaviour in solution at room temperature (see SI). The respective ³¹P NMR spectrum shows three broad signals centred at 87.6, 102.7 and 149.8 ppm. Upon cooling, the signals sharpen up and at -30 °C a clear AA´MXX´ spin system can be observed, which proves the structural integrity of **2** in solution. Additionally, the signal for P^M shows the expected ²⁹Si satellites and the ²⁹Si¹H} NMR spectrum reveals a doublet ($1J_{Si-P}$ = 61 Hz) at 42 ppm, which is slightly upfield shifted compared to the starting material (δ = 57 ppm).^[28] Similar to **2**, **3** expresses dynamic behaviour in solution (CD_2Cl_2) at room temperature, which is indicated by three broad resonances centred at –60.9, 112.6 and 179.6 ppm in the $31P$ NMR spectrum. Consequently, the respective $1H$ NMR spectrum shows a broad resonance at 1.56 ppm for the Cp^{*} ligand and an additional very broad signal for the proton of the phosphole ligand (δ = 4.6 ppm). Upon cooling the sample, the signals in the $3^{1}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectrum become sharper and at -80 °C a well resolved AA´MM´X spin system is observed (Figure 2c). While these signals are only slightly shifted compared to the room temperature spectrum, the P^X signal shows additional coupling in the

³¹P NMR spectrum ($^1J_{P-H}$ = 316 Hz, Figure 2b). The same coupling constant is found for the P₅H signal (δ = 4.6 ppm) in the ¹H NMR spectrum at –80 °C. Neither the ¹¹B nor the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 3 reveal an interaction of the $[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ counteranion with the proton. However, traces of **1** can be detected in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of **3** (even after several recrystallisation steps). We thus attribute the observed dynamic behaviour to a "bond-breaking/bond-forming" process between **3** itself and **1** (see SI for further details). In contrast to **2** and **3**, **4** shows a well-resolved AA´BXX´ spin system with signals centred at 78.7, 111.8 and 114.2 ppm in the $31P$ NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂, Figure 2a). Thus, dynamic behaviour (on the NMR time scale) of **4** in solution at room temperature can be ruled out. In keeping with that, the ¹H NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂, r. t.) of 4 shows a singlet for the Cp^{*} ligand (δ = 1.7 ppm) and a doublet of triplets for the methyl group of the P₅Me ligand (δ = 2.68 ppm, ²J_{H-P} = 11.2 Hz, ³J_{H-P} = 3.8 Hz). Consistent with the dynamic behaviour in solution, **3** undergoes partial fragmentation under ESI-MS conditions, and several other species are detected besides the molecular ion 3^+ (m/z = 347). This behaviour is even more pronounced for **2**, for which the molecular ion peak is absent and of which only fragments can be detected in the ESI mass spectrum. In contrast, for **4**, the molecular ion peak is detected at $m/z = 361$ (4^+) and only minor hints of fragmentation are observed under ESI MS conditions.

To obtain further insight into the reaction energetics and the electronic structure of the obtained products **2**–**4**, DFT calculations were carried out at the B3LYP[32]/def2-TZVP[33] level of theory (see SI for details). The silylation reaction ((*1*), Figure 3) of **1** is only slightly exothermic with a reaction enthalpy of $\Delta H = -31.41$ kJ/mol, which is in line with the experimentally observed dynamic behaviour and instability of **2**. However, the follow-up hydrolysis (*2*) of **2** is highly exothermic ($\Delta H = -89.49$ kJ/mol), which is also the case for the methylation (3) of 1 ($\Delta H = -$ 122.96 kJ/mol). The latter is in line with the calculated methyl cation affinity[34] of **1** (see Scheme S 1). NBO analysis^[35] revealed sigma bonding interaction between the P1 atom and the respective substituent in **2**, **3** and **4** (Figure 3). While the Wiberg bond indices (WBI) for the P–

$$
[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_s)] + [(Et_sSi)_2(\mu-H)]^+ \longrightarrow [Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_sSiEt_s)]^+ + Et_sSiH \quad (1)
$$

$$
2 [Cp*Fe(\eta^{\circ} - P_{\circ}SIEt_{\circ})]^{\circ} + H_2O \longrightarrow 2 [Cp*Fe(\eta^{\circ} - P_{\circ}H)]^{\circ} + (Et_{\circ}Si)_{2}O \quad (2)
$$

$$
[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_s)] + [Me_sO]^+ \longrightarrow [Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_sMe)]^+ + Me_2O \tag{3}
$$

Figure 3: Reaction equations for the formation of 2, 3 and 4 (top); NBO orbitals representing the bond between the P⁵ moiety and the respective substituent in 2, 3 and 4, respectively (isosurfaces drawn at 0.04 contour value), the energies of these orbitals and the respective WBIs (bottom).

Figure 4: Section of the orbital interaction diagram for 3 ⁺, which is split into the cationic ${Cp^*Fe}$ ⁺ and the neutral *cyclo-P5H fragments; as well as selected frontier orbitals of both fragments (isosurfaces at 0.04 contour value), and 3 + . Additionally, the frontier orbitals of the bent geometry of the P5H ligand observed in 3 ⁺ are compared to those of the planar geometry (global minimum structure of free cyclo-P5H).*

H bond in **3** (WBI = 0.92) and the P–C bond in **4** (WBI = 0.97) are in line with the formulation as single bonds, the one for the P–Si bond in **2** (WBI = 0.69) is significantly smaller. Additionally, the charge distribution between the ${Cp^*Fe(n^5-P_5)}$ moiety and the respective substituent suggests a more polar bond for **2** than for **3** and **4**. This corresponds with the dynamic behaviour of **2** in solution and the elongated P–Si distance observed in the solid state, underlining the weak character of this bond and the high instability of **2**. As **3** displays the first isolated coordination complex of the parent pentaphosphole *cyclo-P₅H* and the molecular structure of free *cyclo*-P₅H has been subject to numerous computational studies,^[18] we were especially interested in the orbital interactions within the cation $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)]^*$ (Figure 4, see SI for details). While the global minimum geometry of free P_5H is planar, the coordination to the {Cp^{*}Fe}⁺ fragment in 3 leads to a bent geometry for the P₅H ligand. However, we found that the differences regarding the orbital energy and the symmetry of the frontier molecular orbitals (MOs) of both geometries are minor. Namely, the HOMO and HOMO-1 switch places by going from planar P_5H to the bent geometry, and the LUMO experiences a lowering in energy of 0.61 eV (Figure 4). Additionally, the aromatic character of the P_5H moiety is largely preserved in the bent geometry as indicated by a comparison of NICS $(1/-1)_{zz}^{[36]}$ values of -31.71/-30.92 and -37.19 for the bent and planar geometry of P_5H , respectively, obtained at the PBE0^[37]/aug-pcSseg-2^[38] level of theory. While the HOMO (88) and HOMO-1 (87) in **3** can be considered as non-bonding, bonding interaction can be found for the MOs 84 (π bond), 85 (δ bond) and 86 (δ bond). The strongest bonding interactions, however, become manifest in the HOMO-7 (81) and HOMO-8 (80) which display large contributions from the HOMO (38b) and HOMO-1 (37b) of the P₅H ligand. The LUMO (89) of **3** is mainly located at the P₅H ligand, which goes hand in hand with the large contribution of the LUMO (39b) of the P_5H ligand itself. As 37b itself shows a large contribution from one of the p orbitals localised at P1 and contributes to the bonding MOs 86 and 81 in **3**, the hapticity of the P5H ligand in **3** can be regarded as η^5 . A related bonding motif has already been found in the oxidation product^[20] of **1** and is consistent with the short P1–Fe distances found in the solid state structure of **2**-**4** (*vide supra*). In account of the bonding situation in **3** and the aromaticity of the bent *cyclo*-P5H ligand, the description of 3 as a coordination complex of neutral *cyclo*-P₅H and the {Cp*Fe}⁺ fragment seems appropriate, despite the high degree of covalency between the *cyclo-P₅H* and the {Cp*Fe}⁺ fragment.

5.4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we were able to isolate and fully characterise the first transition metal complexes bearing pentaphosphole (cyclo-P₅R) ligands. Silylation and methylation of [Cp*Fe(n⁵-P₅)] (1) afforded the respective products $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5R)][X]$ (R = SiEt₃, $[X]^-$ = $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ (2); R = Me, $[X]^-$ = $[FB(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ (4a), $[X]^-$ = $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ (4b)). Selective hydrolysis of 2 results in P–Si bond cleavage and yields the protonated compound $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (3), which bears the parent *cyclo*-P5H ligand. Crystallographic characterisation of these compounds revealed that the P_5R unit, in contrast to earlier computational predictions, ^[18] shows a slight envelope

structure, which we attribute to the coordination to the {Cp*Fe}⁺ fragment. Detailed computational analysis of the parent compound **3** highlights the preservation of the aromatic character of the *cyclo*-P5H ligand upon coordination and slightly bending and sheds light on the covalent bonding situation within the cation $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)]^*$. Furthermore, the cationic charge of the obtained compounds may allow for the functionalisation of the *cyclo-P₅R* ligand, which could lead to further advances in polyphosphorus chemistry.

5.5. Supporting Information

5.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under N_2 as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 1 h prior to use. o -DFB was distilled from P_2O_5 , CD_2Cl_2 was distilled from CaH₂ and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature. Solution ${}^{1}H$ (400.130 MHz), ${}^{13}C$ (100.627 MHz), ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz), ²⁹Si (79.485 MHz) and ³¹P (161.976 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using $(H_3C)_4S$ i (¹H, ¹³C, ²⁹Si), CFCI₃ (¹⁹F) or 85% phosphoric acid (³¹P), respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Chemical shifts and coupling constants for all ${}^{31}P_1{}^{1}H_1$ and ${}^{31}P_1{}^{1}M_1R_1$ spectra were derived from spectral simulation using the built-in simulation package of TopSpin4.0. The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $dt =$ doublet of triplets, $t =$ triplet, td = triplet of doublets br = broad and $m =$ multiplet. ESI mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 mass spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.[39] Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials $Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)$ (1),^[8] [(Et₃Si)₂(µ-H)][B(C₆F₅)₄]^[28] and B(C₆F₅)₃^[40] were synthesized following literature procedures. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors.

*[Cp*Fe(5 -P5SiEt3)][B(C6F5)4] (2)*

Addition of a colourless solution of $[(Et_3Si)_2(\mu-H)][B(C_6F_5)_4]^{[28]}$ (156 mg, 0.171 mmol, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of o-DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene, C₆H₄F₂) to a green solution of [Cp*Fe(n⁵-P₅)]^[8] (59 mg, 0.171 mmol, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature immediately resulted in a greenish brown reaction solution. After stirring this solution for 1 h 30 mL of *n*-pentane were added under vigorous stirring, which resulted in the precipitation of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5SiEt_3)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (2) as a light greenish brown powder. **2** was washed two times with 20 mL of *n*-pentane each, then dried under reduced pressure (10^{-3} mbar) and isolated as a light greenish brown powder (134 mg, 0.12 mmol, 71%). Crystallisation from a solution in *o*-DFB (2 mL) which was layered with *n*-hexane (18 mL) leads to the formation of dark green crystals of **2** as large plates.

 $^{31}P{^1H}$ δ ppm = 84.32 (m, $^1J_{PX-PM}$ = 558.5 Hz, $^1J_{PX-PM}$ = 557.9 Hz, $1J_{PX-PA} = 435.2$ Hz, $1J_{PX' - PA'} = 421.9$ Hz, $2J_{PX-PX'} = 22.2$ Hz, $2J_{PX-PA'} = -1$ 54.9 Hz, ² $J_{PX' - PA}$ = -46.3 Hz, 2P, P^{X/X}), 101.69 (m, ¹ J_{PM-PX} = 558.5 Hz, $1J_{PM\text{-}PX}$ ^{$=$} 557.9 Hz, $1J_{PM\text{-}Si}$ = 62.1 Hz, $2J_{PM\text{-}PA}$ = 14.1 Hz, $2J_{PM\text{-}PA}$ = 10.9 Hz, 1P, P^M), 146.28 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 435.2 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX´ = 421.9 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PA´ = 415.1 Hz, ²*J*PA-PX´ = -46.3 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX = -54.9 Hz, ²*J*PA-PM = 14.1 Hz, ²*J*_{PA-PM} $= 10.9$ Hz, 2P, P^{A/A}^{\prime})

²⁹Si{¹H} δ ppm = 42 (d, ¹J_{Si-P} = 60.7 Hz)

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5H)][B(C6F5)4] (3)*

[Cp*Fe(5 -P5SiEt3)][B(C6F5)4] (**2**, 114 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB and H_2O (1 μ L, 0.05 mmol, 0.5 eq.) was added with a syringe. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h, upon which a gradual colour change from brownish green to clear red could be observed. 20 mL of *n*-pentane were added to precipitate a brownish red powder, which was then washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-pentane each. After drying under reduced pressure $(10⁻³$ mbar) $[Cp*Fe(\eta⁵-P₅H)][B(C₆F₅)₄]$ (3) could be isolated as a pale brownish red powder. Recrystallisation of **3** from *o*-DFB (4 mL) solution layered with *n*-hexane (32 mL) gave after 5 days large red blocks, suitable for single crystals X-ray analysis.

416.91 Hz, ²*J*PM-PA´ = –63.45 Hz, ²*J*PM´-PA = –52.76 Hz, ²*J*PM-PX = 5.32 Hz, ²*J*PM´-PX = 4.20 Hz, 2P, PM/M´), 183.95 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 516.99 Hz, $1J_{PA\cdot PR} = 516.94$ Hz, $1J_{PA\cdot PM} = 436.75$ Hz, $1J_{PA\cdot PM'} = 428.24$ Hz, $2J_{PA}$ PM´ = –63.45 Hz, ²*J*PA´-PM = –52.76 Hz, ²*J*PA-PA´ = 18.53 Hz, 2P, PA/A´)

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)][FB(C6F5)3]{HFB(C6F5)3}0.5 (4a)*

[Cp*Fe(n⁵-P₅)] (1, 70 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) and [Me₃O][BF₄] (30 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) were weighed into a Schlenk flask and 6 mL of o -DFB were added ([Me₃O][BF₄] does not dissolve). Then a solution of B(C₆F₅)₃^[40] (102 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) in 2 mL of o -DFB was added. Upon stirring the resulting mixture for 3 h a gradual colour change from clear green to brownish red could be observed (after 2 hours there was no solid [Me3O][BF4] left in the flask). 30 mL of *n*pentane were added to precipitate a brownish red oil, which was washed three times with 20 mL of *n*-pentane each. Recrystallisation from *o*-DFB (5 mL) solution by layering with *n*-pentane (20 mL) gave after two weeks [Cp*Fe(5 -P5Me)][FB(C6F5)3] (**4a**) as brownish red stick-shaped crystals.

 ^{31}P {¹H} δ ppm = 78.74 (m, ¹J_{PX-PB} = 607.74 Hz, ¹J_{PX-PB} = 605.96 Hz, $1J_{PX-PA} = 462.34 \text{ Hz}, \frac{1}{V_{PX'PA'}} = 448.15 \text{ Hz}, \frac{2J_{PX'PA'}}{PX_{PX}PA'} = -61.40 \text{ Hz}, \frac{2J_{PX'B}}{PX_{YPA}}$ $_{PA}$ = -48.99 Hz, ² $J_{PX\text{-}PX'}$ = 42.11 Hz, 2P, P^{X/X}), 111.79 (m, ¹ $J_{PB\text{-}PX}$ = 607.74 Hz, $^{1}J_{\text{PB-PX}}$ ^{\cdot} = 605.96 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-PA}}$ = 11.82 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-PA}}$ ^{\cdot} = 9.42 Hz, 1P, P^B), 114.24 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 462.34 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX´ = 448.15 Hz, $1J_{PA-PA'} = 405.82$ Hz, $2J_{PA-PB} = 11.82$ Hz, $2J_{PA'PB} = 9.42$ Hz, 2P, $P^{A/A'}$)

 ^{31}P δ ppm = 78.73 (m, $^{1}J_{P}x-PB$ = 607.66 Hz, $^{1}J_{P}x-PB$ = 606.05 Hz, $^{1}J_{P}x$ PA = 461.98 Hz, ¹*J*PX´-PA´ = 448.49 Hz, ²*J*PX-PA´ = –60.90 Hz, ²*J*PX´-PA = -49.45 Hz, 2 J_{PX-PX} $= 42.11$ Hz, 3 J_{PX-H(Me)} = 3.59 Hz, 3 J_{PX}-_{H(Me)} = 2.87 Hz, 2P, P^{X/X'}), 111.79 (m, ¹ J_{PB-PX} = 607.66 Hz, ¹ $J_{PB-PX'}$ = 606.05 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-PA}}$ = 10.72 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-PA}'}$ = 10.47 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-H(Me)}}$ = 11.33 Hz, 1P, P^B), 114.23 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 461.98 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX´ = 448.49 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PA´ = 405.90 Hz, ²*J*PA-PB = 10.72 Hz, ²*J*PA´-PB = 10.47 Hz, 2P, PA/A´)

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)][B(C6F5)4] (4b)*

[Cp*Fe(5 -P5)] (**1**, 70 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) was weighed into a Schlenk flask and 4 mL of *o*-DFB and MeOTf (22 μ L, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) were added. After stirring the solution for 1 h a solution of $[(Li(OEt₂)₂][B(C₆F₅)₄]$ (167 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) in 2 mL of o -DFB was added. Upon stirring the resulting mixture for 3 h a gradual colour change from clear green to brownish red could be observed and the solution was then stirred for another 15 h. Then, 30 mL of *n*-pentane were added to precipitate a brownish red solid, which was washed three times with 10 mL of *n*-pentane each. 2 mL of CH_2Cl_2 were added and the resulting red solution was filtered from the formes white precipitate (LiOTf). Layering this red solution with 16 mL of *n*-pentane and storing it at room temperature afforded [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅Me)][B(C₆F₅)₄] (4b) as brownish red block shaped crystals after one day.

Hz, 1P, P^B), 114.24 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 462.34 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX´ = 448.15 Hz, $1J_{PA-PA}$ ^{$=$} 405.82 Hz, $2J_{PA-PB}$ = 11.82 Hz, $2J_{PA-PB}$ = 9.42 Hz, 2P, $P^{A/A}$ ^{*})

 ^{31}P δ ppm = 78.73 (m, $^{1}J_{PX-PB}$ = 607.66 Hz, $^{1}J_{PX-PB}$ = 606.05 Hz, $^{1}J_{PX}$ PA = 461.98 Hz, ¹*J*PX´-PA´ = 448.49 Hz, ²*J*PX-PA´ = –60.90 Hz, ²*J*PX´-PA = -49.45 Hz, $^{2}J_{PX\text{-}PX'} = 42.11$ Hz, $^{3}J_{PX\text{-}H(Me)} = 3.59$ Hz, $^{3}J_{PX'\text{-}H(Me)} = 2.87$ Hz, 2P, P^{X/X'}), 111.79 (m, ¹ J_{PB-PX} = 607.66 Hz, ¹ $J_{PB-PX'}$ = 606.05 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-PA}}$ = 10.72 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-PA}'}$ = 10.47 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-H(Me)}}$ = 11.33 Hz, 1P, P^B), 114.23 (m, ¹*J*PA-PX = 461.98 Hz, ¹*J*PA´-PX´ = 448.49 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PA´ = 405.90 Hz, ${}^{2}J_{PA\text{-}PB} = 10.72$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{PA\text{-}PB} = 10.47$ Hz, 2P, $P^{A/A}$)

5.5.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

The crystallographic data for all synthesised compounds was collected on either an Xcalibur Gemini (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction) with an Atlas^{S2} detector using Mo–K_a (2) or Cu–K_a (4b) radiation (sealed tube), on a SuperNova diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Titan^{S2} detector using $Cu-K_β$ radiation (3), obtained by using customised optics, or on another SuperNova diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Titan^{s2} detector using a standard $Cu-K_α$ (4a) sealed tube microfocus source. Data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro software package.[41] Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2 $(1.3$ -alpha)^[42] with ShelXT^[43] (solution) and ShelXL-2014^[44] (least squares refinement (F²)) or olex2.refine (Gauss-Newton).^[42] All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and H atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C–H bond lengths (sp³: 0.96 (CH₃), 0.97 (CH₂); sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Visualisation of the crystal structures was performed with Olex2 (1.3-alpha).[42]

CIF files with comprehensive information on the details of the diffraction experiments and full tables of bond lengths and angles for **2**, **3**, **4a** and **4b** are deposited in Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under the deposition codes CCDC-2022440, CCDC-2022441, CCDC-2022442 and CCDC-2022443, respectively.

Compound	$\overline{2}$	3	4a	4 _b
Empirical formula	C ₄₀ H ₃₀ BF ₂₀ FeP ₅ Si	$C34H16BF20P5Fe$	C304H144B12F192Fe8P40	$C35H18BF20P5Fe$
Formula weight	1140.24	1025.98	9258.95	1040.00
Temperature/K	123(2)	123.00(10)	89.9(4)	123(2)
Crystal system	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	R/a	$\overline{P1}$	$C\mathcal{C}$	$\overline{P1}$
$a/\text{\AA}$	17.8248(4)	10.3611(2)	24.7070(2)	10.4794(3)
b/\AA	18.3720(4)	12.6558(2)	29.0546(2)	12.6253(4)
$c/\text{\AA}$	28.7731(7)	14.0360(3)	47.1012(3)	14.3041(4)
α /°	90	94.696(2)	90	85.003(2)
β /°	106.865(3)	90.009(2)	93.7920(10)	88.481(2)
$\gamma/2$	90	98.632(2)	90	83.202(2)
Volume/ \AA^3	9017.3(4)	1813.41(6)	33737.7(4)	1871.81(10)
7.	8	2	4	2
$\rho_{\text{calc}}g/cm^3$	1.680	1.879	1.823	1.845
μ /mm ⁻¹	0.653	4.964	5.957	6.471
F(000)	4560.0	1012.0	18255.0	1028.0
Crystal size/mm ³	$0.347 \times 0.207 \times$	$0.465 \times 0.269 \times$	$0.259 \times 0.211 \times$	$0.432 \times 0.26 \times$
	0.114	0.165	0.172	0.186
Radiation	Mo Kα ($λ$ =	Cu Kβ ($λ =$	Cu Kα ($λ = 1.54184$)	Cu Kα ($λ$ =
	0.71073	1.39222)		1.54184)
20 range for data collection/°	6.816 to 58.202	5.706 to 148.828	7.154 to 148.394	7.076 to 144.032
	$-24 \le h \le 16$, $-21 \le$	$-14 \le h \le 14$, $-17 \le$	$-30 \le h \le 29, -36 \le k$	$-12 \le h \le 9, -15$
Index ranges	$k \leq 23, -38 \leq l \leq$	$k \leq 16, -19 \leq l \leq$	$≤ 36, -58 ≤ l ≤ 55$	$\leq k \leq 14, -16 \leq l$
	37	19		≤ 17
Reflections	17646	28063	142942	20735
collected				
Independent	10205 $[R_{int} =$	9759 [R_{int} =	53933 [$R_{int} = 0.0345$,	7190 $[R_{int} =$
reflections	0.0232 , R _{sigma} =	0.0298 , R _{sigma} =	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0329$	0.0393 , R _{sigma} =
	0.0434]	0.0272]		0.0368]
Data/restraints/pa rameters	10205/0/621	9759/0/559	53933/1050/5338	7190/0/603
Goodness-of-fit on				
F ₂	1.050	1.039	1.051	1.021
Final R indexes	$R_1 = 0.0429$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0340$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0578$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0406$, w R_2
$[1>=2\sigma(I)]$	0.0835	0.0913	0.1553	$= 0.1098$
Final R indexes [all	$R_1 = 0.0590$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0354$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0632$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0424$, wR ₂
data]	0.0897	0.0926	0.1621	$= 0.1116$
Largest diff.	$0.46/-0.35$	$0.56/-0.53$		$0.55/-0.49$
peak/hole / e Å -3			$1.26/-0.45$	

Table S 1: X-ray crystallographic and refinement data on compounds 2, 3, 4a and 4b.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SiEt3)][B(C6F5)4] (2)*

Large green prism shaped crystals of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5SiEt_3)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (2) are obtained by layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:7) and storing it at room temperature for two weeks. **2** crystallises in the monoclinic space group *I*2/a with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit (Figure S1).

Figure S 1: Solid state structure of 2; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50% probability); Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.1062 (9) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.1177(9) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.1216(10) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.1145(9) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.0993(9) Å, d(P1-Fe) = 2.3010(7) Å, d(P2-Fe) = 2.3947(7) Å, d(P3-Fe) = 2.3852(7) Å, d(P4-Fe) = 2.3855(7) Å, d(P5-Fe) = 2.3979(7) Å, d(P1-Si) = 2.3085(9) Å), ∢*(P1-P2-P3) = 101.70(3)°,* ∢*(P2-P3-P4) = 109.91(4)°,* ∢*(P3-P4-P5) = 110.02(4)°,* ∢*(P4-P5-P1) = 101.83(4)°,* ∢*(P5-P1-P2) = 116.02(4)°,* ∢*(Si-P1-P2) = 123.82(4)°,* ∢*(Si-P1-P5) = 120.14(4)°,* ∢*(Si-P1-Fe) = 140.16(4)°, (P4-P5-P2-P1) = 172.31(8)°, (P3-P2-P5-P1) = –172.54(6)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5H)][B(C6F5)4] (3)*

Large red crystalline blocks of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (3) are obtained by layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:10) and storing it at –30 °C for five days. **3** crystallises in the triclinic space group *P*1̅ with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit (Figure S2).

Figure S 2: Solid state structure of 3; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.1241(6) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.1179(7) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.1295(8) Å, d(P4- P5) = 2.1155(7) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.1242(7) Å, d(P1-Fe) = 2.3729(5) Å, d(P2-Fe) = 2.3076(5) Å, d(P3-Fe) = 2.3903(5) Å, d(P4-Fe) = 2.3926(5) Å, d(P5-Fe) = 2.3067(5) Å, d(P1-H1) = 1.29(3) Å, ∢*(P1-P2-P3) = 99.84(3)°,* ∢*(P2-P3-P4) = 110.11(3)°,* ∢*(P3-P4-P5) = 109.73(3)°,* ∢*(P4-P5-P1) = 100.17(3)°,* ∢*(P5-P1-P2) = 114.44(3)°,* ∢*(H1-P1-P2) = 108.8(17)°,* ∢*(H1-P1-P5) = 112.1(14)°,* ∢*(H1-P1-Fe) = 102.2(14)°, (P2-P5-P1-H1) = -124.5(18)°, (P4-P2-P5-P1) = -154.77(4)°, (P3-P5-P2-P1) = 154.62(4)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)][FB(C6F5)3] (4a)*

[Cp*Fe(5 -P5Me)][FB(C6F5)3] (**4a**) crystallises as a {[H][FB(C6F5)3]} solvate from *o*-DFB solution layered with *n*-hexane (1:6) after 4 days at room temperature. The obtained dark red to brown block shaped crystals adopt the space group *Cc* with eight formula units and four equivalents of $[H][FB(C_6F_5)_3]$ in the asymmetric unit (Figure S3). Together with the acid, two equivalents of the anion $[FB(C_6F_5)_3]$ ⁻ form a dianionic agglomerate, in which the exact position of the proton could not be determined. Furthermore, several of the cations $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5Me)]^+$ are disordered. The disorder for these cations could however only be resolved for the Fe atoms and the P_5 Me ligand (distance and ADP restraints were applied), but the disorder within the Cp* ligands of these cations could not be resolved. Thus, one of the cations, which was not disordered is discussed regarding its structure.

Figure S 3: Asymmetric unit of 4a[H][FB(C6F5)3]0.5 with the cation discussed structurally marked in red (left), the respective cation (top right) and one of the dianionic aggregates {[H][FB(C6F5)3]3} 2– (bottom right); ADPs are drawn at the 50 % probability level; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.108(4) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.101(4) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.123(5) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.084(5) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.106(4) Å, d(P1-Fe) = 2.306(3) Å, d(P2-Fe) = 2.351(3) Å, d(P3-Fe) = 2.382(3) Å, d(P4-Fe) = 2.364(3) Å, d(P5-Fe) = 2.354 (3) Å, d(P1-C) = 1.799(11) Å, ∢*(P1-P2-P3) = 100.31(16)°,* ∢*(P2-P3-P4) = 110.09(19)°,* ∢*(P3-P4-P5) = 110.70(20)°,* ∢*(P4-P5-P1) = 100.58(18)°,* ∢*(P5-P1-P2) = 116.37(18)°,* ∢*(C-P1-P2) = 121.3(4)°,* ∢*(C-P1-P5) = 116.5(4)°,* ∢*(C-P1-Fe) = 122.0(4)°, (P2-P5-P1-C) = – 153.6(4)°, (P4-P2-P5-P1) = –165.4(2)°, (P3-P5-P2-P1) = 164.7(2)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)][B(C6F5)4] (4b)*

[Cp*Fe(n⁵-P₅Me)][B(C₆F₅)₄] (4b) crystallises as dark red blocks from concentrated CH₂Cl₂ solutions, layered with *n*-pentane (1:10) and stored for one day at room temperature. The formed crystals adopt the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit (Figure S4). Disorder within the cyclo-P₅Me ligand was refined using distance and ADP constraints and restraints.

Figure S 4: Asymmetric unit of 4b, with only one part oft he disordered cation displayed; ADPs are drawn at the 50 % probability level; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.131(9) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.260(4) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.110(6) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.050(5) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.170(3) Å, d(P1-Fe) = 2.282(7) Å, d(P2-Fe) = 2.291(2) Å, d(P3-Fe) = 2.390(4) Å, d(P4-Fe) = 2.380(4) Å, d(P5-Fe) = 2.350(3) Å, d(P1-C) = 1.750(1) Å, ∢*(P1-P2-P3) = 99.80(1)°,* ∢*(P2- P3-P4) = 108.30(2)°,* ∢*(P3-P4-P5) = 113.0(2)°,* ∢*(P4-P5-P1) = 102.9(2)°,* ∢*(P5-P1-P2) = 114.4(8)°,* ∢*(C-P1-P2) = 120.3(4)°,* ∢*(C-P1-P5) = 117.3(9)°,* ∢*(C-P1-Fe) = 119.2(4)°, (P2-P5-P1-C) = –148.9(9)°, (P4-P2-P5-P1) = – 166.0(2)°, (P3-P5-P2-P1) = 164.8(2)°.*

5.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SiEt3)][B(C6F5)4] (2)*

[Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-P₅SiEt₃)][B(C₆F₅)₄] (2) is highly unstable towards hydrolysis and reacts even with traces of water left on the surface of meticulously dried glassware (heated to 600 $^{\circ}$ C at 10⁻³ mbar for 3 h and then stored in a glove box for 7 days). Thus, exposing isolated **2** to fresh glassware/solvents usually leads to partial decomposition. As **2** shows highly dynamic behaviour in solution at room temperature, we carried out a VT NMR study (Figure S5) of this compound by preparing it in the NMR tube itself. However, the stoichiometry of the reaction could not be accurately controlled under these circumstances and there was residual **1** left in the reaction mixture, which can be clearly seen in the $31P{1H}$ NMR spectra (Figure S5).

*Figure S 5: ³¹P{¹H} VT NMR spectra of 2 in o-DFB (with a toluene-d ⁸ capillary and PPh³ as external standard). The spin system is labelled according to the Scheme in [Figure S.](#page-206-0) * = 1.*

When a crystalline sample of **2** is dissolved in *o*-DFB in a NMR tube and then subjected to NMR spectroscopic analysis, the respective ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ } NMR spectrum (at -30 °C) reveals small signs of degradation (Figure S6), but obviously there is no **1** left in the sample. While these degradation products may imply the impurity of **2**, elemental analysis (*vide supra*) confirmed the purity of **2**. Simulation of this spectrum allowed the determination of the coupling constants (Table S2) within the *cyclo-P₅SiEt₃* ligand in 2. In accordance with the observed signal in the ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S7, $1J_{PM-Si} \approx 60$ Hz), simulation of the ²⁹Si satellites in the ³¹ P ^{{1}H} NMR spectrum yields a ¹ J_{PM-Si} coupling constant of 62.1 Hz.

*Figure S 6: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of isolated 2 in o-DFB at 243 K and assignment of the spin system (left); * = 3, which is formed due to the slightest traces of H2O in the NMR tube. = unidentified side product.*

Figure S 7: ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR spectra of 2 in o-DFB recorded at different temperatures; The spectrum at 243 K was collected with 2000, the one at 253 K with 4000 scans.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5H)][B(C6F5)4] (3)*

Similarly to 2, $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (3) is highly moisture sensitive and easily reacts with traces of moisture left on the surface of glass ware. Thus, small impurities of **1** and an unidentified product, already formed during hydrolysis of **2**, can be detected in the NMR spectra of isolated **3**. **2** also expresses significant dynamic behaviour in CD_2Cl_2 solution at room temperature as seen from the respective $31P$ NMR spectra. VT $31P\{1H\}$ NMR spectroscopic investigations (Figure S8) however show that this dynamic behaviour is not expressed at lower temperatures and at –80 °C a well resolved spectrum is observed (Figure S9). Spectral simulation of the latter revealed the coupling constants (Table S2) within the *cyclo*-P5H ligand and the additional coupling (Table S3) to the proton of this ligand can be observed in the respective $3^{1}P$ NMR spectrum (Figure S10). Accordingly, the $1H$ NMR spectrum of 3 in CD₂Cl₂ recorded at room temperature only shows a broad resonance for the *cyclo*-P₅H ligand but at – 80 °C a well resolved doublet can be observed (Figure S11). As both, the ¹¹B and ¹⁹F NMR spectra (at r. t.) of **3** do not show similar signs of dynamic effects, we do not attribute this behaviour to exchange of the proton between 1 and the $[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ ⁻ anion. Furthermore, we observed the formation of small quantities of **3** in other reactions involving the electrophilic functionalisation of **1** as well, and the respective ³¹P NMR spectra are already well resolved at

*Figure S 8: ³¹P{¹H} VT NMR spectra of 3 in CD2Cl2. The spin system is labelled according to the Scheme in [Figure](#page-209-0) [S,](#page-209-0) * = residual 1, which is probably formed due to slight traces of moisture on the NMR tube and = trace impurities of an unidentified side product.*

room temperature. It has to be noted that in these cases no traces of free 1 are detected in the ¹H or ³¹P NMR spectra. Thus, we attribute the highly dynamic behaviour of isolated **3** to the small quantities of **1**, which are formed upon exposure to fresh glass ware (when dissolved in the NMR tube). This dynamic process can then be understood as a constant "bondbraking/bond-forming" mechanism and based upon the above we exclude a mechanism, in which the proton would migrate around a single molecule of **1**.

*Figure S 9: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl² at 193 K and assignment of the spin system (right); * = residual 1 and = trace impurities of an unidentified side product.*

Table S 2: Spectral parameters of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl² recorded at 193 K extracted from spectral simulation.

	J/Hz		δ / ppm
$J_{P A/A' - P M/M'}$	436.76/427.80	D ^{A/A}	183.95
1 J $_{P$ A/A'-PX	517.37/516.60	D M/M'	107.75
1 J $_{PM\text{-}PM'}$	416.61	P^X	-64.13
2 Ј $_{PA\!/A\!$ '-РМ'/М	$-61.77/-54.41$		
2 J $_{PM/M^{\prime}\text{-}PX}$	5.67/3.19		
$^{2}J_{PA-A'}$	18.16		
R -factor $(\%)$	9.9		

*Figure S 10: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl² at 193 K and assignment of the spin system (right); * = residual 1 and = trace impurities of an unidentified side product.*

*Figure S 11: ¹H VT NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl2. * = residual 1, Cp* is the signal arising from the Cp* ligand in 3* $and \rightarrow =$ *residual* CH_2Cl_2 *.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)][X] (4a/b)*

In contrast to **2** and **3**, $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5Me)][X]$ (**4a** $[X]^- = [FB(C_6F_5)_3]^{-}/4b$ $[X]^- = [B(C_6F_5)_4]^{-}$) do not show signs of dynamic behaviour in CD_2Cl_2 at room temperature. Thus, the corresponding NMR spectra can easily be obtained (depicted are only the ones for **4a**, as those obtained for **4b** are similar) and show the expected signals for the cation **4⁺** in the ¹H (Figure S14), ¹³C{¹H} (Figure S 11), ^{31}P (Figure S13) and $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ (Figure S12) NMR spectra. The coupling constants within the *cyclo*-P₅Me ligand could be obtained by simulation (Table S4 and S5) of the ³¹P and $31P{1H}$ NMR spectra and extracted from the $1H$ and $13C{1H}$ (Figure S15) NMR spectra. The ¹⁹F and ¹⁹F{¹H} and ¹¹B NMR spectra of **4a** reveal two sets of signals for species containing the B(C_6F_5)₃ moiety, of which one can clearly be attributed to the $[FB(C_6F_5)_3]$ ⁻ anion. In accordance with the solid state structure of **4a** and the obtained ESI(-) mass spectra, we attribute the second set of signals to $B(C_6F_5)_3$ HF. The respective ¹⁹F and ¹¹B NMR spectra of **4b** reveal the sole presence of the $[B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$ anion, which is in agreement with the solid state structure of this compound and the respective mass spectra and elemental analysis.

Figure S 12: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4a in CD2Cl² at r. t. and assignment of the spin system (left).

Table S 4: Spectral parameters of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4a in CD2Cl² recorded at r. t. extracted from spectral simulation.

	J/Hz		δ / ppm
$J_{P A/A' - P X/X'}$	462.34/448.15	DA/A	114.24
1 J _{PB-PX/X'}	607.74/605.96	PΒ	111.79
1 J _{PA-PA'}	405.82	D ^{X/X'}	78.74
2 J $_{P$ A/A'-PX'/X	$-61.40/-48.99$		
$2J_{P A/A' - PB}$	11.82/9.42		
$2J_{PX-X'}$	42.11		
R -factor $(\%)$	2.9		

Figure S 13: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 4a in CD2Cl² at r. t. and assignment of the spin system (left).

Table S 5: Spectral parameters of the ³¹P NMR spectrum of 4a in CD2Cl² recorded at r.t. extracted from spectral simulation.

	J/Hz		δ / ppm
$J_{P A/A' - P X' X'}$	461.98/448.49	$\mathsf{P}^{A/A'}$	114.23
1 J _{PB-PX/X'}	607.66/606.05	P^{B}	111.79
$1J_{PA\text{-}PA'}$	405.90	D ^{X/X'}	78.73
2 J $_{PA/A^{\prime}\text{-}PX^{\prime}\!\!/X}$	$-60.90/-49.45$		
$^{2}J_{PA/A^{\prime}-PB}$	10.72/10.47		
² $J_{PX\cdot X'}$	42.11		
2 J $_{PB\text{-}H(M\mathrm{e})}$	11.33		
3 J px/X'-H(Me)	3.59/2.87		
R -factor $(\%)$	1.4		

Figure S 14: ¹H NMR spectrum of 4a in CD2Cl² at r.t.; "Cp" and "Me" mark the signals for the respective protons in 4 and is the signal from residual CH2Cl2.*

Figure S 15: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4a in CD2Cl² at r.t.; "Cp" and "Me" mark the signals for the respective* protons in 4, \bullet marks the signals for the [FB(C₆F₅)₃] anion and H[FB(C₆F₅)₃] and * is the solvent residual signal.

Additional NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

MeOH Quenching

In search of milder quenching reagents for **2** to form **3**, we thought MeOH would be a suitable substrate. Adding MeOH (0.1 mmol, 4 μ L, 1 eq.) to a solution of 2 (0.1 mmol, 114 mg, 1 eq.) in *o*-DFB (4 mL) leads to the desired colour change to red within seconds. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the red residue dissolved in 1 mL of CD_2Cl_2 . Subjecting this sample to low temperature (–80 °C) NMR spectroscopic studies (Figure S16) revealed the presence of both, 3⁺ and 4⁺ in solution. As this product mixture could however not be separated, MeOH seems unsuitable for preparing salts of either 3⁺ or 4⁺, respectively.

Figure S 16: ³¹P NMR spectrum of the reaction solution of 2 and MeOH in CD2Cl² recorded at 193 K, which indicates the formation of two species, namely 3 and 4 (assignment according to colour scheme).
5.5.4. Computational Details

General Considerations

DFT calculations were performed using the Gaussian09 software package.^[45] The geometry optimizations were performed at the B3LYP^[32]/def2-TZVP^[33] level of theory with PCM solvent correction for CH_2Cl_2 .^[46] The gas phase energy values for the calculation of proton affinities were obtained on the B3LYP/def2-TZVPD^[33,47] level of theory without solvent correction. Orbital interaction schemes were created using single point calculations at the B3LYP/def2- TZVP level of theory (PCM solvent correction for CH_2Cl_2)^[46] and the AOMix program.^[48] Magnetic shielding tensors were calculated at the PBE0^[37]/aug-pcSseg-2^[38] level of theory (PCM solvent correction for CH_2Cl_2).^[46] NICS(0/1/-1)_{zz} values^[49] and ring critical points were derived using Multiwfn.^[50] The basis sets def2-TZVPD and aug-pcSseg-2 were generated with the help of the open access "basis set exchange" web page.[51]

Proton and Methyl Cation Affinities of 1

As we were experimentally unsuccessful in direct protonation of 1 with acids, such as H[BF₄] in ether or $[H(OEt_2)_2][TEF]$,^[26] we computed the gas phase proton affinities^[52] of **1** and Et₂O at the B3LYP/def2-TZVPD (pre optimized structures at B3LYP/def2-TZVP) level of theory. For the latter, we assumed formation of the $[H(OEt_2)_2]^+$ cation, as it is found in the crystal structure of [H(OEt₂)₂][TEF]. Proton affinities (PA) were calculated using equation (1).^[52,53] For 1 we calculated a proton affinity of 875.42 kJ/mol, which is 11.31 kJ/mol lower than that found for Et₂O (886.73 kJ/mol), thus yielding a theoretical explanation for our experimental finding that **1** cannot be protonated in the presence of Et_2O .

$$
PA = \Delta E_{ele} + \Delta E_{vib} + \frac{5}{2}RT \qquad (1)
$$

Additionally, we computed the methyl cation affinity^[34] of 1 according to Scheme S1 at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory with implicit solvent correction (PCM model for CH_2Cl_2) implemented in Gaussian09). Thus, we calculated a methyl cation affinity of 355.27 kJ/mol for **1**.

Scheme S 1: Reaction equation used for calculating the methyl cation affinity[23] of 1.

Reaction Energetics for the Formation of 2, 3 and 4

The molecular structures of all involved species for the reactions yielding **2**, **3** and **4** were optimized at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory with implicit solvent correction (PCM model for CH_2Cl_2 implemented in Gaussian09). Reaction enthalpies were then extracted by summation across all involved species according to equations *2*, *3* and *4*.

$$
[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_s)]+[(Et_sSi)_2(\mu\text{-}H)]^*\text{---}\text{=}[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_sSiEt_s)]^*+Et_sSiH~~(2)
$$

$$
2 [Cp^*Fe(\eta^5 \text{-} P_{\text{s}} SiEt_3)]^* + H_2O \longrightarrow 2 [Cp^*Fe(\eta^5 \text{-} P_{\text{s}}H)]^* + (Et_3Si_2O \quad (3)
$$

 $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)] + [Me_3O]^*$ \longrightarrow $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5Me)]^* + Me_2O$ (*4*)

NBO Analysis of 2, 3 and 4

NBO analysis was performed on the pre-optimized (B3LYP/def2-TZVP, PCM solvent correction for CH2Cl2) molecular structures of **2**, **3** and **4** using the NBO6.0[35] software package and its implementation in Gaussian09 (Figure S17). Wiberg bond indices (WBI) and orbital energies for the respective P1-R (R= SiEt₃ (2), H (3) and Me (4)) bond have also been obtained from these calculations. While the WBIs suggest proper single bonds for **3** (0.92) and **4** (0.97), the one for the P1-Si bond in **2** (0.69) is significantly lower, which may hint towards the dative character of this bond. This is also represented in the orbital contributions for the discussed bonds:

P1-Si (NBO 71) in **2**: s(P1) = 27%, p(P1) = 47%, s(Si) = 4%, p(Si) = 22%, overall contribution (P1: 75%, Si: 25%)

P1-H (NBO 57) in **3**: $s(P1) = 6\%$, $p(P1) = 47\%$, $s(H) = 45\%$, overall contribution (P1: 54%, H: 46 %)

P1-Me (NBO 60) in **4**: s(P1) = 14%, p(P1) = 30%, s(C) = 14%, p(C) = 42%, overall contribution (P1: 44%, C: 56%)

Additional Mulliken population analysis on the optimized structures of **2**, **3** and **4** revealed the charge distribution for these compounds. Summation of the partial charges on the ${Cp^*Fe(n^5-)}$ P_5 } moiety and the respective substituent R (R = SiEt₃ (2), H (3), Me (4)) shows that the charge transfer from the substituent is much less pronounced in **2** than it is in **3** and **4** (Table S6). This goes in hand with a more polar P–Si bond in **2** and more covalent P–H and P–C bonds in **3** and **4**.

Table S 6: Summed up Mulliken charges of the ${Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)}$ *moiety and the respective substituent (R) for the compounds 2, 3 and 4.*

Figure S 17: P1-R bonding NBOs of 2 (R = SiEt3, left), 3 (R = H, middle) and 4 (R = Me, right), the respective orbital energies and WBIs.

Orbital Interaction in 3

The orbital interaction diagram (Figure S18) for **3 ⁺** has been created using single point calculations performed at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory (PCM solvent correction for CH2Cl2) using Gaussian09 and the AOMix program. The geometries of **3 ⁺** and free *cyclo*-P5H were optimized at the same level of theory and the fragments ${Cp^*Fe}^+$ and bent *cyclo-P₅H* were extracted from the optimized structure of 3⁺. As indicated by the schematic representations of the MOs in Figure S 18, the P1 atom (the one the H atom is bonded to) in the bent geometry of *cyclo*-P5H has strong contributions to the HOMO-1 (37b, the atomic contribution of P1 is $p(P1) = 34$ %), which itself shows strong overlap MOs of the ${Cp^*Fe}^+$ fragment to form the HOMO-2 (86, $p(P1) < 10\%$) and HOMO-7 (81, $p(P1) = 14\%$) of 3⁺. This strong contribution manifests the cyclo-P₅H ligands hapticity in 3⁺ as η^5 . Orbital energies of selected MOs of the {Cp*Fe}⁺ fragment, 3⁺, bent *cyclo*-P₅H and planar *cyclo*-P₅H are provided in Table S 8.

*Figure S 18: Orbital interaction diagram for 3 ⁺ as parted into the {Cp*Fe}⁺ fragment and the cyclo-P5H ligand (left box) and changes in the molecular orbitals of the cyclo-P5H ligand upon bending into the geometry found in 3 + .*

Orbital	free cyclo-P ₅ H		bent cyclo-P ₅ H		{Cp*Fe}+		$3+$	
	index	E/eV	index	E/eV	index	E/eV	index	E/eV
HOMO-9	29	-14.2835015	29	-14.222548	41	-10.9419161	79	-9.60915683
HOMO-8	30	-13.3404365	30	-13.2228833	42	-10.8791939	80	-9.10465772
HOMO-7	31	-11.3309301	31	-11.1431171	43	-9.97487786	81	-8.95989315
HOMO-6	32	-9.15959751	32	-9.12550165	44	-9.96755799	82	-8.63771034
HOMO-5	33	-9.11529738	33	-8.96253265	45	-9.96391167	83	-8.21919922
HOMO-4	34	-8.72407928	34	-8.69518078	46	-7.45874982	84	-7.80993997
HOMO-3	35	-7.40457195	35	-7.48397477	47	-7.36930599	85	-7.75932679
HOMO-2	36	-7.1652478	36	-7.14366917	48	-7.33393119	86	-7.74953069
HOMO-1	37	-6.94562471	37	-6.91963783	49	-6.18484877	87	-7.55061546
HOMO	38	-6.85490194	38	-6.7637438	50	-6.18212763	88	-7.49728114
LUMO	39	-2.67887934	39	-3.28572047	51	-2.58173469	89	-3.70292544
LUMO+1	40	-2.39446593	40	-2.54772046	52	-2.57762577	90	-3.12794885
LUMO+2	41	-1.06089032	41	-0.9772153	53	-1.67559553	91	-2.91978174
$LUMO+3$	42	-0.67361787	42	-0.60237846	54	0.61696376	92	-2.69038976
$LUMO+4$	43	-0.52381919	43	-0.33608783	55	0.77927968	93	-1.55240959
LUMO+5	44	0.28882165	44	0.21703802	56	0.79789227	94	-1.26641792
LUMO+6	45	0.81119864	45	0.62052845	57	0.86815207	95	-1.04709414
$LUMO+7$	46	3.23905294	46	2.60676917	58	0.87149907	96	-0.59647359
LUMO+8	47	3.23995091	47	3.35138154	59	1.89647035	97	-0.51783268
$LUMO+9$	48	3.6583804	48	3.58161708	60	1.93304246	98	0.34640095

*Table S 7: Orbital energies in eV for selected frontier orbitals of planar (free) cyclo-P5H, bent (coordinated) cyclo-P*₅*H*, the {Cp^{*}Fe}⁺ fragment and of 3⁺.

NICS Values for cyclo-P5H

We calculated the NICS values for the bent geometry of the cyclo-P₅H ligand found in 3⁺ to assess its aromaticity and compared them with the values obtained for the planar geometry of hypothetical free *cyclo-P₅H* and two geometries of [*cyclo-P₅]* for which a highly aromatic character can safely be stated (Table S8). Calculation of these NICS values has been performed on optimized (B3LYP/def2-TZVP, PCM solvent correction for CH_2Cl_2) molecular structures of free *cyclo*-P₅H, [*cyclo*-P₅]⁻, the bent geometry of the *cyclo*-P₅H ligand in 3⁺ and the coordinated [*cyclo*-P5] – ligand in **1**. Calculation of magnetic shielding tensors for dummy atoms placed at the centre (ring critical point), 1 Å above this centre and 1 Å below this centre have been performed on the PBE0/aug-pcSseg-2 level of theory. MultiWFN was used to obtain the ring critical point for these species and to extract the corresponding $NICS(0/1/-1)_{zz}$ values. The obtained values show clearly that the aromatic character of *cyclo*-P5H is retained upon bending into the geometry found in 3⁺. While the decrease in all three NICS values is larger for this bending, than what is found for the geometric changes within the [*cyclo*-P5] – upon coordination, the obtained NICS values are still negative indicating a high degree of aromaticity for the system.

Optimized Geometries

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5)] (1)*

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –3360.782830, Enthalpies/H = –3360.781885, Free Energies/H = -3360.850077 , ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 610.65

B3LYP/def2TZVPD: Energies/H = –3360.781850, Enthalpies/H = –3360.780905, Free Energies/H = -3360.848996 , ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 610.99

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SiEt3)]⁺ (2 +)*

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –3887.722003, Enthalpies/H = –3887.721059, Free Energies/H = –3887.814994, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1136.93

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5H)]⁺ (3 +)*

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –3361.177444, Enthalpies/H = –3361.176500, Free Energies/H = -3361.245504 , ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 633.43

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)]⁺ (4 +)*

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –3400.488573, Enthalpies/H = –3400.487629, Free Energies/H = –3400.560708, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 710.48

[(Et3Si)2(-H)]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –1054.628352, Enthalpies/H = –1054.627408, Free Energies/H = – 1054.704688, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1063.67

Et3SiH

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –527.701140, Enthalpies/H = –527.700196, Free Energies/H $= -527.750313$, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 536.82

(Et3Si)2O

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –1129.581330, Enthalpies/H = –1129.580386, Free Energies/H = –1129.663504, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1045.45

H2O

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –76.445378, Enthalpies/H = –76.444434, Free Energies/H = -76.465863 , ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 55.42

Me2O

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –155.012573, Enthalpies/H = –155.012573, Free Energies/H $= -155.042385$, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 208.17

[Me3O]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –194.684233, Enthalpies/H = –194.683289, Free Energies/H $= -194.718687$, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 317.88

cyclo-P5H (free)

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –1707.509978, Enthalpies/H = –1707.509034, Free Energies/H = –1707.548299, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 41.90

[cyclo-P5] – (free)

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = –1707.08315, Enthalpies/H = –1707.082210, Free Energies/H = –1707.12035, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 20.55

[H(Et2O)2] +

B3LYP/def2TZVPD: Energies/H = –467.587972, Enthalpies/H = –467.587028, Free Energies/H = –467.647942, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 747.04

Et2O

B3LYP/def2TZVPD: Energies/H = –233.619297, Enthalpies/H = –233.618353, Free Energies/H = –233.656271, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 357.00

5.6. References

- [1] a) W. Kaminsky, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1998**, 1413–1418; b) G. G. Hlatky, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *181*, 243–296; c) W. Kaminsky, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* **1999**, *121*, 3– 12; d) W. Kaminsky, A. Funck, H. Hähnsen, *Dalton. Trans.* **2009**, 8803–8810.
- [2] a) C. Dohmeier, C. Robl, M. Tacke, H. Schnöckel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 564–565; b) S. Schulz, H. W. Roesky, H. J. Koch, G. M. Sheldrick, D. Stalke, A. Kuhn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 1729–1731.
- [3] A. Hofmann, T. Tröster, T. Kupfer, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 3421–3428.
- [4] P. Jutzi, A. Mix, B. Rummel, W. W. Schoeller, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, *Science* **2004**, *305*, 849–851.
- [5] J. Zhou, L. L. Liu, L. L. Cao, D. W. Stephan, *Chem* **2018**, *4*, 2699–2708.
- [6] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [7] O. J. Scherer, J. Schwalb, G. Wolmershäuser, W. Kaim, R. Gross, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986**, *25*, 363–364.
- [8] O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 59.
- [9] M. Baudler, D. Düster, D. Ouzounis, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1987**, *544*, 87–94.
- [10] V. A. Milyuko, A. V. Kataev, O. G. Sinyashin, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2006**, *55*, 1297–1299.
- [11] a) V. A. Miluykov, O. G. Sinyashin, O. J. Scherer, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Mendeleev Commun.* **2002**, *12*, 1–2; b) V. A. Miluykov, A. Kataev, O. Sinyashin, P. Lönnecke, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 2233–2236.
- [12] a) A. R. Kudinov, D. A. Loginov, Z. A. Starikova, P. V. Petrovskii, M. Corsini, P. Zanello, Eur. *J. Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *2002*, 3018–3027; b) S. Heinl, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 1962–1966; c) D. A. Loginov, Y. V. Nelyubina, A. R. Kudinov, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2018**, *870*, 130–135.
- [13] a) O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, *121*, 935–938; b) M. Baudler, T. Etzbach, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 580–582; c) B. Rink, O. J. Scherer, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1995**, *128*, 71–73; d) C. M. Knapp, B. H. Westcott, M. A. C. Raybould, J. E. McGrady, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9097–9100.
- [14] E. Urnius, W. W. Brennessel, C. J. Cramer, J. E. Ellis, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 832–834.
- [15] M. Baudler, S. Akpapoglou, D. Ouzounis, F. Wasgestian, B. Meinigke, H. Budzikiewicz, H. Münster, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 280–281.
- [16] a) E. Mädl, M. V. Butovskii, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7643–7646; b) A. K. Adhikari, C. G. P. Ziegler, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18584–18590; c) M. Piesch, M. Seidl, M. Stubenhofer, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 6311–6316; d) C. G. P. Ziegler, T. M. Maier, S. Pelties, C. Taube, F. Hennersdorf, A. W. Ehlers, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1302–1308.
- [17] Note that there is a compound formulated as $[Me_3Si(\eta^5-P_5)W(CO)_3]$ in [13c], which is only characterised NMR-spectroscopically. The fact that in the ³¹P NMR spectrum it shows only a singlet at -23 ppm renders silylation at the *cyclo*-P₅ ligand unlikely.
- [18] a) L. Nyulászi, *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 4690–4693; b) M. N. Glukhovtsev, A. Dransfeld, P. v. R. Schleyer, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 13447–13454; c) A. Dransfeld, L. Nyulászi, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 4413–4420; d) M. K. Cyrański, P. v. R. Schleyer, T. M. Krygowski, H. Jiao, Haijun, G. Hohlneicher, *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 1657–1665; e) W. P. Ozimiński, J. C. Dobrowolski, *Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *313*, 123–132; f) L. Wang, H. J. Wang, W. B. Dong, Q. Y. Ge, L. Lin, *Struct. Chem.* **2007**, *18*, 25–31; g) W.-Q. Li, L.-L. Liu J.-K. Feng, Z.-Z. Liu, A.-M. Ren, G. Zhang, C.-C. Sun, *J. Theor. Comput. Chem.* **2008**, *07*, 1203–1214; h) D. Josa, A. Peña-Gallego, J. Rodríguez-Otero, E. M. Cabaleiro-Lago, *J. Mol. Model.* **2011**, *17*, 1267–1272.
- [19] a) J. Bai, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1737–1740; b) J. Bai, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Science* **2003**, *300*, 781–783; c) M. Scheer, J. Bai, B. P. Johnson, R. Merkle, A. V. Virovets, C. E. Anson, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *2005*, 4023– 4026; d) M. Scheer, L. J. Gregoriades, A. V. Virovets, W. Kunz, R. Neueder, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 5689–5693; e) S. Welsch, L. J. Gregoriades, M. Sierka, M. Zabel, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 9323–9326; f) M. Scheer, A. Schindler, R. Merkle, B. P. Johnson, M. Linseis, R. Winter, C. E. Anson, A. V. Virovets, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 13386–13387; g) M. Scheer, L. J. Gregoriades, R. Merkle, B. P. Johnson, F. Dielmann, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem.* **2008**, *183*, 504–508; h) M. Scheer, A. Schindler, C. Gröger, A. V. Virovets, E. V. Peresypkina, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5046–5049; i) M. Scheer, A. Schindler, J. Bai, B. P. Johnson, R. Merkle, R. Winter, A. V. Virovets, E. V. Peresypkina, V. A. Blatov, M. Sierka, H. Eckert, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 2092–2107; j) E. Peresypkina, C. Heindl, A. Virovets, H. Brake, E. Mädl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 2503–2508.
- [20] M. V. Butovskiy, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2972–2976.
- [21] C. Schwarzmaier, S. Heinl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13116–13121.
- [22] A. Wiesner, S. Steinhauer, H. Beckers, C. Müller, S. Riedel, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 7169– 7173.
- [23] M. Malischewski, K. Seppelt, J. Sutter, F. W. Heinemann, B. Dittrich, K. Meyer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 13372–13376.
- [24] A. Cavaillé, N. Saffon-Merceron, N. Nebra, M. Fustier-Boutignon, N. Mézailles, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *57*, 1874–1878.
- [25] U. Chakraborty, J. Leitl, B. Mühldorf, M. Bodensteiner, S. Pelties, Stefan, R. Wolf, *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 3693–3697.
- [26] I. Krossing, A. Reisinger, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *10*, 1979–1989.
- [27] C. Marquardt, A. Adolf, A. Stauber, M. Bodensteiner, A. V. Virovets, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 11887–11891.
- [28] S. J. Connelly, W. Kaminsky, D. M. Heinekey, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 7478–7481.
- [29] a) M. A. P. Pyykkö, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, *15*, 186–197; b) P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 2326–2337.
- [30] G. Becker, H.-D. Hausen, O. Mundt, W. Schwarz, C. T. Wagner, T. Vogt, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1990**, *591*, 17–31.
- [31] A. Sequeira, W. C. Hamilton, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1967**, *47*, 1818–1822.
- [32] a) P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **1929**, *123*, 714–733; b) J. C. Slater, *Phys. Rev.* **1951**, *81*, 385–390; c) S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk, M. Nusair, *Can. J. Phys.* **1980**, *58*, 1200– 1211; d) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789; e) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098–3100; f) A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652.
- [33] a) R. A. F. Weigend, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305; b) F. Weigend, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 1057–1065.
- [34] S. Hämmerling, P. Voßnacker, S. Steinhauer, H. Beckers, S. Riedel, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 14377–14384.
- [35] E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2013**, *34*, 1363–1374.
- [36] a) P. v. R. Schleyer, C. Maerker, A. Dransfeld, H. Jiao, N. J. R. v. E. Hommes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 6317–6318; b) Z. Chen, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 3842–3888.
- [37] a) J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868; b) J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 1396; c) V. B. C. Adamo, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 6158–6170.
- [38] F. Jensen, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 132–138.
- [39] https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator, (14.07.2020).
- [40] Y. Soltani, L. C. Wilkins, R. L. Melen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 11995–11999.
- [41] Agilent (**2014**). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.
- [42] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- [43] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [44] a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. C* **2015**, *71*, 3–8; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122.
- [45] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian,A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J.

Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, "Gaussian 09", Revision E.01, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT **2013**.

- [46] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3093.
- [47] F. F. D. Rappoport, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *133*, 134105–134116.
- [48] a) S. I. Gorelsky, AOMix: Program for Molecular Orbital Analysis; **2017**, version 6.90, http://www.sg-chem.net; b) S. I. Gorelsky, A. B. P. Lever, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *635*, 187–196.
- [49] a) P. v. R. Schleyer, C. Maerker, A. Dransfeld, H. Jiao, N. J. R. v. Eikema Hommes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 6317–6318; b) Z. Chen, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 3842–3888.
- [50] T. Lu, F. Chen, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2012**, *33*, 580–592.
- [51] a) D. Feller, *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 1571–1586; b) K. L. Schuchardt, B. T. Didier, T. Elsethagen, L. Sun, V. Gurumoorthi, J. Chase, J. Li, T. L. Windus, *J. Chem. Infor. Model.* **2007**, 47, 1045–1052; c) B. P. Pritchard, D. Altarawy, B. T. Didier, D. Gibson, T. L. Windus, *J. Chem. Infor. Model.* **2019**, *59*, 4814–4820.
- [52] A. K. Chandra, A. Goursot, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 11596–11599.
- [53] B. Kovacević, Z. B. Maksić, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 1524–1526.

6. Substituted Aromatic Pentaphosphole Ligands – A Journey Across the p-Block

Preface

The following chapter has already been published. The article is reproduced with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.

"Substituted Aromatic Pentaphosphole Ligands – A Journey Across the p-Block"

Chem. Sci. **2021,** *12***,** 13037–13044.

Authors

Christoph Riesinger, Gábor Balázs, Michael Seidl and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Conceptualization, Synthesis of compounds **2** – **13** and **15**, Writing of original draft.

Gábor Balázs – Interpretation of computational data.

Michael Seidl – Interpretation of crystallographic data.

Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) in the project Sche 384/36-1. C. R. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for a PhD fellowship.

6.1. Abstract

The functionalization of Pentaphosphaferrocene $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ *(1) with cationic group 13 – 17 electrophiles is shown to be a general synthetic strategy towards P–E bond formation of unprecedented diversity. The products of these reactions are dinuclear [{Cp*Fe}₂{<i>µ*, $\eta^{5.5}$ $(P_5)_2$ EX₂}][TEF] (EX₂ = BBr₂ (2), Gal₂ (3), [TEF]⁻ = [Al{OC(CF₃)₃}₄]⁻) or mononuclear [Cp*Fe(η^5 - P_5E][X] (E = CH₂Ph (4), CHPh₂ (5), SiHPh₂ (6), AsCy₂ (7), SePh (9), TeMes (10), Cl (11), Br *(12), I (13)) complexes of hetero-bis-pentaphosphole ((cyclo-P5)2R) or hetero-pentaphosphole ligands (cyclo-P5R), the aromatic all-phosphorus analogs of prototypical cyclopentadienes. Further, modifying the steric and electronic properties of the electrophile has a drastic impact on its reactivity and leads to the formation of* $[Cp*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.2}-P_5)SbICp$ *^{*}''][TEF] (8) which possesses a triple-decker-like structure. X-ray crystallographic characterization reveals the slightly twisted conformation of the cyclo-P5R ligands in these compounds and multinuclear NMR spectroscopy confirms their integrity in solution. DFT calculations shed light on the bonding situation of these compounds and confirm the aromatic character of the pentaphosphole ligands on a journey across the p-block.*

6.2. Introduction

Electrophilic aromatic substitution is one of the most basic and widely applied reactions for the functionalization of aromatic organic compounds. While Friedel-Crafts alkylation and acylation are in fact textbook examples for the reactivity of benzene derivatives, [1] they can also be applied to smaller ring systems.^[2] In contrast, derivatives of the carbocyclic aromatic cyclopentadienide anion $(Cp^{-}, C_5H_5^{-})$ form non-aromatic cyclopentadiene derivatives (CpR, C_5H_5R) upon salt metathesis with element halogenides. Compared to their alkali metal salts, transition metal (TM) bound Cp⁻

*Scheme 1: a) Known substituted cyclo-P⁵ ligand architectures I ({LnM} = {(MesneoPentN)2Nb} or {Cp*Fe}), II ({LnM} = {Cp*Fe}, {CpPEtCo}⁺ , {(BIAN)Co}), III (L = CpPEt); b) The parent pentaphosphole complex IV; c) Targeted functionalization of an iron bound pentaphospholide ion with in situ generated cationic electrophiles from across the p-block to yield unprecedented coordinatively stabilized hetero-pentaphospholes.*

ligands (e. g. in Cp_2Fe)^[3] exhibit different reactivity towards electrophiles mimicking that of benzene derivatives (e.g. $(C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3$).^[4] The isolobal relationship between the CH fragment and the P atom^[5] and the diagonal relationship between carbon and phosphorus suggest a comparable reactivity for the pentaphospholide anion *cyclo*-P₅ .^[6] However, investigations on the reactivity of the salts of *cyclo-P*₅⁻ towards alkylhalogenides showed further aggregation to polyphosphides,^[7] leaving the chemistry of pentaphospholes *cyclo*-P5R to theoretical studies for decades.[8] Similar to Cp⁻, cyclo-P₅⁻ can be stabilized within the coordination sphere of different TMs^[9] and is thus closely associated with the TM-mediated conversion of $P₄$ ^[10] One of the most prominent examples of such complexes is pentaphosphaferrocene $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (**1**).[9a] While **1** readily reacts with various monovalent metal salts to form coordination compounds, $[11]$ we could also demonstrate both its redox reactivity $[12]$ and its behavior towards anionic^[13] and neutral nucleophiles.^[14] These reactions yielded complexes with bent *cyclo*-P₅R ligands (**I**, Scheme 1),^[13,14] which are also accessible by the reaction of a Niobium phosphorus triple bond complex with P₄.^[15] Disubstituted *cyclo*-P₅R₂ moieties (II and III, Scheme 1) could be obtained via the coordination of the respective $[P_5R_2]^+$ cations^[16] to low-valent transition metal fragments.^[17] The formation of the structural motif **II** was also observed upon condensation of an anionic *cyclo-P₄* complex with chlorophosphines.[18] The introduction of germylene substituents has recently been achieved by the reaction of 1 with a digermylene.^[19] While their structural motifs are remarkable, the respective $\frac{c}{c}$ *cyclo*-P₅R_n ligands (n = 1, 2) within types **I** – **III** do not show any aromatic character, since they do not represent pentaphospholes (IV).⁸ Only recently, we succeeded in the synthesis of the first transition metal complexes $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5R)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (IV, R = H, Me, SiEt₃) featuring such pentaphosphole ligands.[20] While our previous approach is well-suited to prepare the parent compound with a *cyclo*-P₅H ligand, it is limited to group 14 electrophiles (such as CH₃/SiEt₃) as introducible substituents.^[21] Thus, we sought a more general strategy to electrophilically functionalize **1** which may also be applicable beyond the synthesis of the targeted hetero-pentaphosphole complexes to other heteroaromatic ligands. Such a strategy would represent a valuable contribution to electrophilic substitution reactions in general. We hypothesized that the *in situ* generation of cationic electrophiles from p-block element halogenides and a suitable halide-abstracting reagent, as has for example been utilized for the functionalization of coordinated chlorophosphines.^[22] and subsequent reaction with **1** could provide this reactivity. Such an approach would allow P–E bond formation with a nearly unlimited choice of electrophiles and would thus overcome the limitations of known synthetic strategies towards the P–E bond formation in the vicinity of transition metals. $[14,15,23]$ Indeed, the major drawback of the so far used strategies is their immensely limited applicability to a very narrow range of electrophile/nucleophile combinations. This is usually reflected in the use of rather exotic low-valent main group species such as tetrylenes, $[14b, 18]$ {Cp*Al}₄, $[24]$ or the utilization of highly reactive, e.g. anionic, polyphosphorus ligand complexes.^[23e,f] While

Scheme 2: Reactivity of Pentaphosphaferrocene 1 with different cationic p-block electrophiles; Reactions were carried out in o-DFB and stirred at room temperature for several hours (for details see SI); While all other compounds are obtained as their [TEF] salt, 6 has a [B(C₆F₅)₄] counterion; [TEF] = [Al{OC(CF₃)₃}₄]; While all *displayed reactions are quantitative (by NMR), the yields provided are those for the crystalline compounds.*

our goal is the functionalization of **1** to obtain unprecedented hetero-pentaphosphole ligands, namely the aromatic all-P congeners of cyclopentadienes, we expect our approach to also be applicable to polyphosphorus ligand complexes of various sizes. Furthermore, this idea merges the functionalization of TM polyphosphorus complexes with the concept of electrophilic substitution, $[2]$ a classic organic or organometallic (for e.g. Cp₂Fe^[3] or $(C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3^{[4]}$ reaction. Thus, it may be transferred back to these fields and allow the electrophilic functionalization of still challenging substrates such as multifunctionalized CpR derivatives.[25] To achieve the *in situ* generation of the desired electrophiles from the respective halogenides, $TI[TEF]$ ([TEF]⁻ = [Al{OC(CF₃)₃}₄]⁻)^[26] was chosen to facilitate efficient halide abstraction and simultaneously introduce the weakly coordinating [TEF]– anion. However, for some cases, we also provide an easily accessible alternative avoiding TI⁺ salts by the reaction of simple element hydrides with [Ph3C][B(C6F5)4] for *in situ* electrophile generation. This method has been employed e. g. for the generation of the highly reactive silylium ion precursor $[(Et_3Si)_2(\mu-$ H)][B(C_6F_5)₄].^[21] However, the question arises as to how broad, if successful, the applicability of this approach would actually be, and which electrophiles could be introduced by employing it. We herein report on a synthetic strategy allowing the functionalization and P–E bond formation of **1** with twelve distinct cationic electrophiles all across the p-block elements and compare their structural and electronic properties.

6.3. Results and Discussion

Starting with group 13 electrophiles, we investigated the reactivity of 1 towards BBr₃ in the presence of Tl[TEF]. However, performing the reaction in a 1:1:1 stoichiometry leads to a reaction of the *in situ* prepared borinium cation [BBr₂]⁺ with the solvent, resulting in a mixture of products (see SI). Repeating the reaction in the presence of a second equivalent of 1 afforded the bimetallic compound $[{Cp*Fe}_{2}\{\mu,\eta^{5.5}-(P_5)_2BBr_2\}][TEF]$ (2), featuring an unprecedented{(cyclo-P5)2BBr2} ligand (Scheme 2). After workup, **2** could be isolated in 70% yield. To our pleasure, exchanging $BBr₃$ for the heavier analog GaI $_3$ affords the isostructural compound $[{Cp*Fe}_{2}\{\mu,\eta^{5.5}-(P_5)_{2}Gal_{2}\}]$ [TEF] (3) in 32% yield. While we had already been able to demonstrate the silylation and methylation of 1,^[20] we now wanted to broaden the scope of group 14 functionalized pentaphosphole derivatives, by using better accessible and easier-to-handle electrophile precursors. In both cases, the reaction of 1 with PhCH₂Br and Ph₂CHCl in the presence of TI[TEF] affords the pentaphosphole complexes [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅CH₂Ph)][TEF] (4) and [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P5CHPh2)][TEF] (**5**) in a yield of 45% and 80%, respectively. To demonstrate an easily accessible alternative pathway towards the electrophile generation avoiding the use of TI⁺ salts, we chose to react 1 with Ph₂SiH₂ in the presence of one equivalent of $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$. A rapid reaction is indicated by the color change of the solution from green to greenish red and, after simple workup, the product $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_1)]$ P5SiHPh2)][B(C6F5)4] (**6**) could be isolated in an astonishing 85% yield. In principle, it should be possible to prepare phosphino-pentaphosphole complexes similarly well as they benefit from the additional stabilization caused by P-P bond formation. However, attempts to prepare such phosphino-functionalized species are seriously affected by

Figure 1: Molecular structures of the cations in 2, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 13 in the solid state; Structural models for the cations in 3, 4, 5, 10 and 12 as well as a list of selected structural parameters (bond lengths and angles) for all compounds can be found in the SI.

the inherently high tendency of halogenophosphines to form phosphinophosphonium ions under Lewis acidic conditions.^[27] We were able to observe the desired cation [Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-P₅PBr₂)]⁺ spectroscopically only after reacting **1** with PBr₃ and TI[TEF] at −80 °C (see SI). This cation, however, undergoes rapid fragmentation and rearrangement processes above –60 °C, affording a complex mixture of several polyphosphorus compounds and thus prohibiting its isolation even at low temperatures. In contrast, the reaction of **1**, Cy₂AsBr and TI[TEF] proceeds smoothly, and from the filtered solution, the arsino-pentaphosphole complex $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5AsCy_2)][TEF]$ (7) could be isolated in 68% yield. When both the electronic and steric properties of the pnictogenium cation were changed and 1 was reacted with Cp"'SbI₂ (Cp"' = 1,2,4 $fBu_3C_5H_2$) and TI[TEF], the unique triple-decker-like complex $[Cp*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5:2}]-$ P5)SbICp'''][TEF] (**8**) with a P⁵ middle deck could be obtained in 24% yield. The molecular structure of **8** may provide insight into the mechanism of electrophilic functionalization of **1**, which initially seems to occur through the π -system and not by

Figure 2: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 2, 4, 7, 9 and 12 (from top to bottom, representing substitution with electrophiles from each group within the p-block) recorded in CD2Cl² at room temperature and signal assignment according to the color code; respective NMR spectra of all other compounds are given in the SI.

the lone pairs of one of the P atoms of the cyclo- P_5 ligand. Functionalization of 1 with group 16 electrophiles could be achieved by the reaction with Tl[TEF] and PhSeBr or MesTeBr, respectively. The products $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5ER)][TEF]$ (9: E = Se, R = Ph; 10: E $=$ Te, R = Mes) could be isolated in 65% and 57% yield, respectively, and reveal novel seleno- or telluro-pentaphosphole ligands. To date, there is no synthetic pathway for a rational and selective monohalogenation of unsubstituted polyphosphorus frameworks, although the products would provide both insight into the mechanism of P_n halogenation reactions^[28] and a high potential for further functionalization. After recently investigating the complex iodination chemistry of **1** [29] and of the diphosphorus complex $[{CDMo(CO)_2}_2({\mu}, {\eta}^{2:2}-P_2)]$, [30] we were wondering if electrophilic halogen transfer could lead to the desired reactivity. Indeed, when a solution of [PCl4][TEF] is added to **1** in *o*-DFB, the NMR spectra of the crude solution indicate the clean conversion to $[Cp*Fe(n^5-d)]$ P5Cl)][TEF] (**11**) and PCl3. After workup, **11** can be isolated in 65% yield. Similarly, the addition of X_2 to mixtures of 1 and TI[TEF] leads to the formation of $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_1)]$ P_5X)][TEF] (**12**: $X = Br$; **13**: $X = I$), which could be isolated in 65% and 62% yield, respectively. Notably, these halogenation reactions can be scaled up to at least 2 mmol, allowing the gram scale preparation of **11** – **13**, which opens broad perspectives for their further functionalization. While the starting material **1** is comparably robust, the pentaphosphole complexes **2** – **13** are highly sensitive towards both, moisture and air, while retaining decent thermal stability. All compounds **2** – **13** can be crystallized from mixtures of o -DFB or CH₂Cl₂ and *n*-hexane either at room temperature or at –30 °C. However, incommensurate modulation (**11**) or extreme disorder of anion and cation (**12**) within **11** and **12** prohibit a satisfactory refinement of their crystal structure. Compound **8** reveals a triple-decker-like arrangement (Figure 1) of the cation $[Cp^*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.2} - \sigma)]$ P_5)SbICp"''|+ with elongated P-Sb interactions (3.236(2)/3.400(2) Å) and a planar P₅ ligand. The cations in **4** – **7** and **9** – **13** show the anticipated pentaphosphole complex structure with a slightly bent *cyclo*-P5R ligand (Figure 1). In contrast, the cations in **2** and **3** are dinuclear complexes in which two {Cp*Fe} units are bridged by the respective $\{(cyclo-P_5)_2\to X_2\}$ (2: E = B, X = Br, 3: E = Ga, X = I) ligand. The P–P bond lengths within **2** – **13** are similar and in between the sum of the covalent radii of P–P single and double bonds.^[31] As observed for the parent compound $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)]^+$,^[20] the substituents in the hetero-pentaphosphole complexes **2** – **7** and **9** – **13** are oriented in exo-fashion regarding the envelope of the P_5 ring (Figure 1). The respective $P-E$ bond lengths (2: 1.985(7) Å, **4**: 1.853(4) Å, **5**: 1.866(4) Å, **7**: 2.348(1) Å, **9**: 2.2234(7) Å, **10**: 2.438(2) Å, **13**: 2.385(1) Å) are in the range of single bonds, whereas those in **3** (2.410(1)/2.387(1) Å) and **6** (2.3053(8) Å) are elongated compared to the sum of the respective covalent radii (P-Ga: 2.35 Å, P-Si: 2.27 Å).^[31] The slight folding of the P₅ ring in these pentaphosphole complexes is far less pronounced than in anionic or neutral substituted *cyclo*-P5R ligands possessing an envelope structure (type **I**, Scheme 1).[13,14] Interestingly, this slight folding, represented by the pyramidalization at the P1 atom (359.94° (**2**) - 350.41°(**13**)), gradually increases when going from group 13 to group 17

substituents at the pentaphosphole ligand. To elaborate the molecular structure of the obtained pentaphosphole complexes $2 - 13$ in CD_2Cl_2 solution, they were investigated by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. In general, all of them reveal the expected signals for the Cp^{*} ligand and the respective substituents in the ¹H NMR spectra. Furthermore, the signals for the benzylic hydrogen atoms in **4** (δ = 4.42 ppm) and **5** (δ = 5.93 ppm) show a coupling to the P₅ moiety (4: ²J_{H-P} = 11.2 Hz, ³J_{H-P} = 3.2 Hz, **5**: ²J_{H-P} = 17.3 Hz). Interestingly, the isostructural **6** does not show a similar coupling for the hydrogen atom bound to silicon, which we attribute to the dynamic behavior of this compound in solution. Accordingly, the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of **4** and **5** both reveal signals for the benzylic carbon atoms, which show ${}^{1}J_{C-P}$ coupling of 23 Hz for both compounds. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of most of the obtained products reveal complex AMM´XX´ (**4**, **5**), AA´BB´X (**7**), AA´MXX´ (**11**), AA´MM´X (**6**, **9**, **12**) or even AA´M2M´2X2X´² (**2**) spin systems (see SI). However, **3, 8, 10** and **13** show a highly dynamic behavior in CD_2Cl_2 solution, which cannot be resolved, not even at -80 °C. Similar dynamic broadening of signals has been observed for the parent compound^[20] and might be caused by a tumbling process of the respective substituent around the P_5 ring. When comparing the ³¹P{1H} NMR spectra (Figure 2), the signal assigned to P1 (according to Figure 1) gradually shifts to higher fields going from group 13 to group 17 substituents at the pentaphosphole moiety. Similarly, the signal assigned to P2/5 is downfield shifted following the same order, whereas the effect on the P3/4 signal is rather small (for assignment see Figure 1). While this trend should depend on various electronic and steric factors, it correlates well with the folding of the P₅ moiety (*vide supra*). The ⁿJ_{P-P} $(n = 1-2)$ coupling constants for all compounds are within the expected range (see SI). Additional ¹J_{P-B} coupling of 64 Hz is clearly visible in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of **2** and is confirmed in its ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum. While the dynamic behavior of the Si-substituted compound 6 in CD_2Cl_2 solution, even at -80 °C, does not allow for determination of P-P coupling constants, its ²⁹Si(DEPT135) NMR spectrum shows a clear doublet of multiplets at δ = –20 ppm with a ¹ J_{Si-P} = 239 Hz coupling constant. Compared to the SiEt₃-substituted derivative (¹ J_{P-Si} = 61 Hz) this rather high ¹ J_{P-Si} coupling constant may be the result of the difference in substitution at Si and the resulting change in the orbital contribution to the P-Si bonding interaction. Furthermore, the ³¹P NMR spectrum of **9** reveals ⁷⁷Se satellites for the P^x signal and a clear doublet ($^1J_{P-Se}$ = 418 Hz) at δ = 287.3 ppm in the ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR spectrum.

To attain a better understanding of the electronic structure of the obtained complexes **2** - 13, computational analyses at the B3LYP^[32]/def2-TZVP^[33] level of theory were performed. Employing solvent correction,^[34] the molecular structures, determined in the solid state, are well reproduced by these computations. NBO analysis^[35] indicates the interaction between the [Cp'''SbI]⁺ fragment and **1** in **8** to be of a mostly electrostatic and dispersive nature as shown by the absence of bonding MOs and the low charge transfer from the electrophile (see SI). Furthermore, the Wiberg Bond Indices (WBIs)

Figure 3: Selected representative NBOs for the bonding and antibonding molecular orbitals for the P1–E and P1– Fe interactions found in the pentaphosphole complexes $2 - 7$ *and* $9 - 13$ *exemplified at 11; The* σ^* *orbitals are unoccupied.*

for the P1–E bonds in **3** (E = Ga, WBI = $0.58/0.57$) and **6** (E = Si, WBI = 0.72) suggest these bonds to be of polar single bond character. This is substantiated by the respective orbital contributions to the P1–E bonding (**3**: 79%P/21%Ga, **6**: 72%P/28%Si) and unoccupied antibonding (**3**: 21%P/79%Ga, **6**: 28%P/72%Si) molecular orbitals and agrees with the respective bond lengths determined for **3** (2.410(1)/2.387(1) Å) and **6** (2.3053(8) Å). In contrast, the WBIs of all other pentaphosphole complexes corroborate the P1–E single bonds (WBI = $0.82 - 0.99$) determined from their respective solid-state structures (Table 1, column 3). Accordingly, the orbital contributions to the respective

Com-		Orbital	$d(P1-E)/\AA$		Charge	
pound	WBI (P1-E)	contribution P1-E	Exp.	Theo.	Transfer	$NICS(+/-1)_{zz}^{c}$
					$\{1\} \rightarrow \{E\}^{b}$	
$2^{a)}$	0.89	57/43	1.985(7)	2.01	0.57^{d}	$-31.2/-30.5$
3a)	0.58	79/21	2.399(1)	2.46	0.56^{d}	$-34.7/-34.3$
4	0.92	45/55	1.853(4)	1.86	0.85	$-33.7/-32.8$
5	0.88	45/55	1.866(4)	1.89	0.84	$-31.2/-32.1$
66	0.72	72/28	2.3053(8)	2.35	0.68	$-34.3/-34.7$
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	0.82	67/33	2.348(1)	2.38	0.63	$-34.0/-33.4$
9	0.98	53/47	2.2234(7)	2.25	0.83	$-33.5/-32.6$
10	0.92	62/38	2.438(2)	2.48	0.74	$-34.9/-34.0$
11	0.96	36/64		2.03	1.09	$-31.0/-30.9$
12	0.98	41/59		2.21	1.05	$-31.8/-31.7$
13	0.99	51/49	2.385(1)	2.42	0.94	$-34.3/-34.2$

Table 1: Selected computational and experimental parameters for the pentaphosphole complexes 2 – 7 and 9 – 13.

*a) As 2 and 3 are dinuclear complexes the average of the actual values is provided for clarity, NICS values have only been obtained on one the P⁵ rings; b) The charge transfer from fragment {1} to the respective fragments {E} is obtained by ECDA; c) NICS(+/-1)zz values are computed on the ligand geometries from the optimized structures of the complexes 2 – 7 and 9 – 13 after removal of the {Cp*Fe}⁺ fragments; d) The charge transfer is given per fragment {1}.*

P1–E bonding and antibonding molecular orbitals (Figure 3, left) are more balanced (Table 1, column 2), suggesting more covalent bonding in these cases. Analyzing the summed up natural charges for the fragments $\{Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)\}\$ ($\{1\}\$) and $\{R_nE\}$ ($\{E\}$, n = 1 – 3) (see SI) of **2** – **13** reveals correlation between the positive charge accumulation at {**1**} and the electronegativity of the central atom of the electrophiles. As **3** and **6** incorporate the least electronegative central atoms in the respective electrophile, they show the lowest charge accumulation at {**1**} in the series of the herein reported pentaphosphole complexes (see Table S 13). The charge transfer from {**1**} to {E} (Table 1, column 5) obtained by an extended charge decomposition analysis (ECDA)[36] on the optimized structures of **2** – **13** shows a similar trend but hints towards other factors to be of relevance as well. Thus, effective charge transfer seems to be at least one of the governing factors for the formation of covalent P1–E bonds and consequently less labile pentaphosphole ligands, which is in line with the highly dynamic behavior of **3** and **6** in CD₂Cl₂ solution (*vide supra*). Similar to the parent compound $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)]^{+}$ ^[20] the pentaphosphole complexes **2** – **7** and **9** – **13** exhibit a pronounced P1-Fe bonding interaction (Figure 3, right), which is represented by WBIs of 0.34 – 0.36. This interaction manifests their η^5 -binding mode and hints towards the aromatic character of the pentaphosphole ligands. To clarify the latter point, we computed the NICS(0/1/– 1 _{zz}^[37] values for the ligand geometries from the optimized structures of the cations **2** – **7** and $9 - 13$ on the PBE0^[38]/aug-pcSseg-1^[39]/def2-TZVPPD^[33,40] level of theory. Consequently, these $NICS(+/-1)_{zz}$ values (Table 1) corroborate the aromaticity of the cyclo-P₅R ligands but are smaller than the ones for the cyclo-P₅⁻ ligand in 1 (NICS(+/- $1)_{zz}$ = -40.5). However, they compare well with that determined for the parent pentaphosphole complex $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)]$ ⁺ (NICS(+/-1)_{zz} = -32.1/-31.2).^[20] A rough correlation between the pyramidalization at P1 and the computed NICS values is observed, although this effect is not accentuated and has minor exceptions (see SI). Thus, we demonstrate *in situ* electrophilic functionalization of **1** to be a highly versatile approach towards a variety of unprecedented hetero-pentaphosphole complexes. However, to provide a first insight into the generality of this synthetic strategy, we expanded our investigations on the electrophilic functionalization of $[Cp'''Ta(CO)₂(\eta^4-P)$ P₄)] (14)^[41] bearing an aromatic tetra-phospha-cyclo-butadiendiide (cyclo-P₄²⁻) ligand. Initial reactivity studies reveal that two equivalents of 14 react with BBr₃ in the presence of TI[TEF] to afford $[{Cp}^{\prime\prime}Ta(CO)_2}_2{\mu,\eta^{4.4}-(P_4)_2BBr_2})][TEF]$ (15) in 74% yield. Compound **15** crystallizes as orange blocks and shows a similar dinuclear structure as found in **2**, which was confirmed by X-ray structural analysis. Interestingly, the metal fragments in **15** are rotated by nearly 180° compared to those in **2** and the P1/P5 atoms are bent out of the former P_4 plane by only 1.2(2)/0.7(2)°, respectively. The P–P bond lengths $(2.124(4) - 2.171(4)$ Å) in the ${(cyc/o-P₄)}_2BBr₂}$ ligand are slightly shortened compared to the starting material **14** (2.1555(15) – 2.1800(15) \hat{A}),^[41] but still in between covalent P–P single (2.22 Å) and double bonds (2.04 Å).^[31] The P1/P5-B bond lengths $(1.997(10)/1.996(9)$ Å) are within the expected range for P–B single bonds $(1.96$ Å) and the P1–B–P5 angle of 112.3(5)° leads to a slightly distorted tetrahedral geometry around the B atom. The $31P$ NMR spectrum of 15 in CD_2Cl_2 reveals a complicated $A_2A'_2M$ M'XX'Z (Z = B) spin systems with resonances located at -15.4 , -37.1 and -80.8 ppm, agreeing with its structure being retained in solution. ¹ J_{P-B} coupling is clearly visible for the $P^{MM'}$ resonance and consequently the respective ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H$ } NMR spectrum shows a triplet at -10.2 ppm with a $^{1}J_{P-B}$ coupling constant of 60 Hz.

The solid state structure of 15 is well reproduced by DFT calculations (B3LYP^[32]/def2-TZVP^[33], PCM solvent correction for CH₂Cl₂), which give further insight into its electronic structure. The WBIs for the P1/P5–B bonds (0.88/0.86) in **15** are in agreement with covalent P–B single bonds. Furthermore, the orbital contributions to these P–B bonds (58%P/42%B%) are in line with this formulation. While the positive charge within **15** is distributed across both {**14**} fragments, the B atom shows negative charge accumulation (natural charge of –0.44), labelling the {(cyclo-P4)2BBr2} ligand in **15** as a borate bridged bis-tetra-phospha butadiendiide. At last, the respective NICS $(+/-1)_{zz}$ values indicate the aromaticity of the *cyclo-P₄* ligand of **14** $(-3.3/-3.5)$ to be preserved within the ligand geometry in **15** (–9.5/–9.7, –10.8/–9.6), although it is less expressed as in the pentaphosphole complexes **2**–**7** and **9**–**13**.

6.4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated a general synthetic strategy to obtain a broad range of hetero-pentaphosphole coordination complexes bearing substituents from across the whole p-block of the periodic table. While the group $14 - 17$ substituted species [Cp*Fe(5 -P5E)]⁺ (E = CH2Ph (**4**), CHPh² (**5**), SiHPh² (**6**), AsCy² (**7**), SePh (**9**), TeMes (**10**), Cl (**11**), Br (**12**), I (**13**)) reveal the desired mononuclear aromatic pentaphosphole complexes, the group 13 functionalized species result in unexpected dinuclear complexes $[{Cp*Fe}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{5.5}-(P_5)_{2}EX_{2}][TEF]$ (EX₂ = BBr₂ (2), Gal₂ (3)) with bridging bispentaphosphole {(*cyclo*-P₅)₂EX₂} ligands. In contrast, the alternation of the electronic

Figure 4: a) Reactivity of 14 towards the in situ formed dibromoborinium ion "[BBr2][TEF]"; b) Molecular structure of 15 in the solid state (hydrogen atoms and the counter ion are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level).

and steric properties of the employed electrophile, as in [Cp"'SbI]⁺, leads to the formation of the triple-decker-like arrangement observed in $[Cp^*Fe(\mu,n^{5:2-})]$ P5)SbICp'''][TEF] (**8**). This paves the way towards the investigation of the chemical, physical and electronic properties of these all-P congeners of prototypical cyclopentadienes, which have raised considerable theoretical interest over the past decades.[8] Furthermore, this report highlights the versatility of the electrophilic functionalization of transition metal-stabilized polyphosphorus frameworks. While some P–E bond formation processes at such compounds have been observed, these are highly specific towards certain electrophile/nucleophile combinations (*vide supra*). In contrast, our approach shows an unprecedented diversity and thus allows P–E bond formation for elements all across the p-block. The generality of this approach is proven by the additional electrophilic aromatic functionalization of the *cyclo*-P⁴ ligand of **14**, which furnishes $[{Cp}$ "Ta ${CO})_2$ }₂ ${(\mu, \eta^{4.4}-(P_4)_2BBr_2)}$ [TEF] (15), bearing a unique borate bridged bis-tetra-phospha butadiendiide ligand. Finally, the obtained cationic pentaphosphole complexes **2** – **13** represent highly interesting starting materials (e.g. the halogen derivatives **11** – **13**) towards even further functionalized polyphosphorus frameworks, which is underlined by the gram scale synthesis of selected representatives (**5**, **11** – **13**).

6.5. Supporting Information

6.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under N_2 as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. *o*-DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene) was distilled from P_2O_5 , CD_2Cl_2 was distilled from CaH_2 and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz), ¹¹B (128.432 MHz) ¹³C (100.627 MHz), ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz), ²⁹Si (79.485 MHz), $31P$ (161.976 MHz) and $77Se$ (76.334 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using $(H_3C)_4Si$ (¹H, ¹³C, ²⁹Si), BF₃·OEt₂ (¹¹B) CFCI₃ (¹⁹F), SeMe₂ (⁷⁷Se) or 85% phosphoric acid (³¹P), respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Chemical shifts and coupling constants for all ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ } and ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectra were derived from spectral simulation using the built-in simulation package of TopSpin3.2. The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $dt =$ doublet of triplets, $t =$ triplet, td = triplet of doublets br = broad and $m =$ multiplet. ESI mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 mass spectrometer or by the first author on a Waters Micromass LCT ESI-TOF mass-spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.^[42] Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials [Cp*Fe(n⁵-P₅)] (1),^[9a] Tl[TEF],[26b] $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ ^[43] Cy_2AsCl ^[44] PhSeBr,^[45] MesTeBr,^[46] NaCp"', [47] [Cp"Ta(CO)₂(n⁴-P₄)] (14)^[41] were synthesized following literature procedures. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification.

*[{Cp*Fe}2{(⁵ -P5)2BBr2}][TEF] (2)*

 $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 2 eq.) and TI[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 4 mL of o -DFB and cooled to -30 °C. BB r_3 (0.1 mmol, 10 μ L, 1 eq.) was added with a syringe which afforded a rapid colour change to brownish green and the precipitation of white solid (TlBr). The resulting solution was stirred for 1.5 h, filtered, and then layered with 40 mL of *n*hexane. After storage for eight days the product [{Cp*Fe}2{(5 -P5)2BBr2}][TEF] (**2**) could be isolated as crystalline dark brownish green sticks, which were of X-ray quality.

- Elemental analysis: calc. $({\%})$ for 5-P₅)₂BBr₂}][TEF]·(*n*-hex)_{0.4} (C38.4H35.6BO4F36AlP10Fe2Br2): C: 24.74 H: 1.92 found (%): C: 25.13 H: 1.76
- ESI(+) MS (o -DFB): m/z (%) = 345.92 (30%) $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]^+$, 417.98 (100%) [Cp*FeP6·MeCN]⁺ , (strong fragmentation)

NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):¹**H**: δ /ppm = 1.76 (s, 15 H, Cp^{*})

³¹**P{¹H}**: AA´M₂M´₂X₂X´₂ spin system δ /ppm = 165.8 (m, ¹J_{PA-PX/X}′ = 604.5/589.8 Hz, ²*J*PA-PM/M´ = 6.8/3.8 Hz, ²*J*PA-PA´ = 123.6 Hz, *1 J*PA/A´-B = 64 Hz, 2 P, P^{A/A}), 145.6 (m, ¹ J_{PM/M}_{-PX/X}⁻ = 447.5/436.8 Hz, ¹ J_{PM-PM}⁻ = 415.3 Hz, ²*J*PM/M´-PX´/X = -58.4/-47.0 Hz, ²*J*PM/M´-PA = 6.8/3.8 Hz, 4 P, PM/M´), 58.4 (m, ¹*J*PX/X´-PA = 604.5/589.8 Hz, ¹*J*PX/X´-PM/M´ = 447.5/436.8 Hz, ²*J*PX/X´-PM´/M $=$ -58.4/-47.0 Hz, ²J_{PX-PX} $=$ 36.9 Hz, 4 P, P^{X/X})

³¹**P**: AA´M₂M´₂X₂X´₂ spin system δ /ppm = 165.8 (m, ¹J_{PA-PX/X}² = 604.5/589.8 Hz, $^{2}J_{PA\text{-}PM/M'} = 6.8/3.8$ Hz, $^{2}J_{PA\text{-}PA'} = 123.6$ Hz, $^{1}J_{PA/A':B} = 64$ Hz, 2 P, $P^{A/A}$), 145.6 (m, 1 *J*_{PM/M} $_{PXX'}$ = 447.5/436.8 Hz, 1 *J*_{PM} $_{PM'}$ = 415.3 Hz, ²*J*PM/M´-PX´/X = -58.4/-47.0 Hz, ²*J*PM/M´-PA = 6.8/3.8 Hz, 4 P, PM/M´), 58.4 (m, ¹*J*PX/X´-PA = 604.5/589.8 Hz, ¹*J*PX/X´-PM/M´ = 447.5/436.8 Hz, ²*J*PX/X´-PM´/M $=$ -58.4/-47.0 Hz, ²J_{PX-PX} $=$ 36.9 Hz, 4 P, P^{X/X})

 11 **B**: δ /ppm = -15.6 (t, $1J_{\text{B-PA/A'}}$ = 64 Hz, 1 B, {BBr₂})

1**9F{1H}**: *8*/ppm = - 75.6 (s, [TEF][–])

*[{Cp*Fe}2{(⁵ -P5)2GaI2}][TEF] (3)*

 $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 2 eq.), TI[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) and Gal₃ (0.1 mmol, 45 mg, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 5 mL of *o*-DFB, which afforded a brown solution, with the GaI₃ still being suspended. Stirring this solution for three days lead to a colour change to brownish green and the formation of a yellow precipitate (TlI). After filtration, this solution was layered with 50 mL of *n*-hexane, which after nine days afforded [{Cp*Fe}₂{(η^5 -P₅)₂Gal₂}][TEF] (**3**) as dark brownish green stick shaped crystals of X-ray quality.

Yield:
\n63 mg (0.032 mmol, 32%)
\nElemental analysis:
\ncalc. (%) for
$$
[(Cp^*Fe)_2((\eta^5-P_5)_2Ga)_2][TEF]
$$
 (C₃₆H₃₀O₄F₃₆AlP₁₀Fe₂Gal₂):
\nC: 21.81 H: 1.53
\nfound (%): C: 22.17 H: 1.37
\nESI(+) MS (o-DFB): m/z (%) = 345.92 (60%) [Cp*Fe(n⁵-P₅)]⁺, (strong fragmentation)
\nNMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):¹H: δ ppm = 1.63 (s, 15 H, Cp*)
\n³¹P{^1H}: δ ppm = 117.2 (br)
\n³¹P: δ ppm = 117.2 (br)
\n¹⁹F{^1H}: δ ppm = -75.6 (s, [TEF])
\nNMR (CD₂Cl₂, 193 K):¹H: δ ppm = 1.62 (s, 15 H, Cp*)
\n³¹P{^1H}: δ ppm = 92.7 (m(br), 6 P), 141.6 (m(br), 4 P)
*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5CH2Ph)][TEF] (4)*

PhCH₂Br (0.2 mmol, 24 μ L, 1 eq.) was added dropwise to a solution of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 4 mL *o*-DFB, which resulted in a gradual colour change from brownish green to red and the formation of a white precipitate (TlBr) over the course of 24 h. The resulting mixture was filtered and 20 mL of *n*-hexane were added, which afforded the precipitation of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5CH_2Ph)][TEF]$ (4) as a dark red solid. This solid was washed two times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, dried and then dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB. Layering this solution with 20 mL of *n*-hexane and storing it for one week resulted in the formation of large red crystals of **4** in X-ray quality.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5CHPh2)][TEF] (5)*

Ph₂CHCI (0.2 mmol, 36 μ L, 1 eq.) was added dropwise to a solution of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 4 mL *o*-DFB, which resulted in a gradual colour change from brownish green to red and the formation of a white precipitate (TlCl) over the course of 3 h. The resulting mixture was filtered and 20 mL of *n*-hexane were added, which afforded the precipitation of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5CHPh_2)][TEF]$ (5) as a dark red solid. This solid was washed two times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, dried and then dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB. Layering this solution with 40 mL of *n*-hexane and storing it for one week resulted in the formation of large red crystals of **5** in X-ray quality.

Note: The order of addition in this reaction can be exchanged and the reaction could be scaled up to 2 mmol, which allows the gram scale isolation of **5**.

242

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SiHPh2)][B(C6F5)4] (6)*

Ph₂SiH₂ (0.2 mmol, 37 μ L, 1 eq.) were added to a mixture of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) and [Ph3C][B(C6F5)4] (0.2 mmol, 185 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB which afforded a rapid colour change to brownish green. The mixture was stirred for one hour and then directly layered with 30 mL of n-hexane. Storing this mixture for one day at room temperature afforded [Cp*Fe(5 -P5SiHPh2)][B(C6F5)4] (**6**) as brownish green plate shaped crystals of X-ray quality. After decanting the solution and thoroughly drying the crystals under reduced pressure (10⁻³) mbar), **6** could be isolated as a pure compound.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5AsCy2)][TEF] (7)*

 $Cy₂AsCl$ (0.2 mmol, 39 μ L, 1 eq.) was added to a suspension of LiBr (3 mmol, 261 mg, 15 eq.) in 2 mL of toluene (Similar halogen exchange has been described for chlorophosphanes).^[48] The mixture was stirred for two hours and the white precipitate allowed to settle. The resulting solution was filtered to a mixture of $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) and TI[TEF] (0.2 mmol, 234 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB which afforded a rapid colour change to dark red and the precipitation of a colourless solid (TIBr). The suspension was stirred for 3 h and 20 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅AsCy₂)][TEF] (7) as a dark red solid. The supernatant was decanted, the solid washed two times with 10 mL of toluene, each, one time with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, and then dried at reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar). 2 mL of o -DFB were added to give a dark red solution above a colourless solid. The solution was filtered and 20 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate **7** as a red solid, which was isolated after drying at reduced pressure (10−3 mbar). **7** could be recrystallized from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures as red block shaped crystals of X-ray quality.

Yield: 210 mg (0.135 mmol, 68%)

Elemental analysis: calc. (%) for $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5AsCy_2)][TEF]$ $(C_{38}H_{37}O_4F_{36}AlP_5FeAs)$: C: 29.36 H: 2.40

found (%): C: 28.89 H: 2.28

ESI(+) MS (o-DFB): m/z (%) = 587.00 (100%) $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5AsCy_2)]*$

NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K)):¹**H** (298 K): δ ppm = 1.62 (s, 15 H, Cp^{*}), 1.34-2.94 (br, 22 H, AsCy₂)

³¹P{¹H} (273 K): /ppm = 123.9 (m, ¹*J*PA/A´-PB/B´ = 432.9/430.0 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PA´ $= 420.1$ Hz, $^{2}J_{PA/A' - PB'/PB} = -52.0/-50.5$ Hz, $^{2}J_{PA/A' - PX} = 10.2/3.8$ Hz, 2 P, P A/A´), 117.1 (m, ¹*J*PB/B´-PX = 576.0/574.5 Hz, ¹*J*PB/B´-PA/A´ = 432.9/430.0 Hz, $^{2}J_{PBB' - PA' / PA}$ = -52.0/-50.5 Hz, $^{2}J_{PBB' - PB'}$ = 22.2 Hz, 2 P, $P^{BB'}$), 86.6 (m, $^{1}J_{PX}$ $_{\mathsf{P B/B'}} = 576.0/574.5\ \mathsf{Hz},\ ^{2}\mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{PX-PA/A'}} = 10.2/3.8\ \mathsf{Hz},\ 1\ \mathsf{P},\ \mathsf{P}^{\chi})$

³¹P (298 K): δ /ppm = 123.9 (m, ¹*J*PA/A´-PB/B´ = 432.9/430.0 Hz, ¹*J*PA-PA´ = 420.1 Hz, ²*J*PA/A´-PB´/PB = -52.0/-50.5 Hz, ²*J*PA/A´-PX = 10.2/3.8 Hz, 2 P, P A/A´), 117.1 (m, ¹*J*PB/B´-PX = 576.0/574.5 Hz, ¹*J*PB/B´-PA/A´ = 432.9/430.0 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PBB}'\text{B}'\text{-PA}'\text{PA}}$ = -52.0/-50.5 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PB-PB}'}$ = 22.2 Hz, 2 P, $P_{\text{B}}^{B/B'}$), 86.6 (m, $^{1}J_{\text{PX}}$ $_{\mathsf{P B/B'}} = 576.0/574.5\ \mathsf{Hz},\ ^{2}\mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{PX-PA/A'}} = 10.2/3.8\ \mathsf{Hz},\ 1\ \mathsf{P},\ \mathsf{P}^{\chi})$

1**9F{¹H}** (298 K): δ /ppm = - 75.6 (s, [TEF]⁻)

*[{Cp*Fe(,5:2-P5)}SbICp'''][TEF] (8)*

 $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.), $Cp^{\prime\prime}Sbl_2$ (0.2 mmol, 122 mg, 1 eq.) and TI[TEF] (0.2 mmol, 234 mg, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 5 mL of *o*-DFB, which gave a light brown solution and a pale yellow precipitate (TlI). This mixture was stirred for 1 h, filtered and then directly layered with 50 mL of *n*-hexane. After 13 days of storage at room temperature [{Cp*Fe(n^{5:2}-P5)}SbICp'''][TEF] (**8**) could be isolated as dark brown rod shaped crystals of X-ray quality.

Elemental analysis: calc. (%) for $[\{Cp*Fe(\eta^{5.2}-P_5)\}]$ SbICp"'][TEF] $(C_{43}H_{44} O_4F_{36}AlP_5FeSbl)$: C: 28.77 H: 2.47

found (%): C: 28.62 H: 2.25

- ESI(+) MS (o-DFB): m/z (%) = 481.03 (5%) [Cp"'SbI]⁺, 587.36 (10%) [Cp"'₂Sb]⁺ (strong fragmentation)
- NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):¹H: δ ppm = 1.41 (s, 15 H, Cp^{*}), 1.51 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.55 (s, 18 H, $C(CH_3)$ ₃), 6.72 (s, 2 H, C_5H_2 ^tBu₃)

 31 **P{¹H}**: δ /ppm = 164.7 (s, 5 P, P₅)

 31 **P**: δ /ppm = 164.7 (s, 5 P, P₅)

1**9F{1H}**: *8*/ppm = - 75.6 (s, [TEF][–])

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SePh)][TEF] (9)*

 $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (0.1 mmol, 35 mg, 1 eq.) and PhSeBr (0.1 mmol, 24 mg, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB to give an orange-green solution. Tl[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.), dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB, was added to afford a rapid colour change to dark brown and the precipitation of a white solid (TlBr). This mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature and then filtered. The resulting dark reddish-brown solution was concentrated to 2 mL under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar) and 20 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate $[Cp^*Fe(n^5-R)]$ P5SePh)][TEF] (**9**) as a dark brown solid. This solid was washed two times with 10 mL of *n*hexane, each, and then dissolved in 3 mL of *o*-DFB. Layering this solution with 20 mL of *n*hexane and storing it for 12 days at room temperature afforded dark brown rod shaped crystals of **9** in X-ray quality.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5TeMes)][TEF] (10)*

 $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) and MesTeBr (0.2 mmol, 65 mg, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB to give a brownish-green solution. Tl[TEF] (0.2 mmol, 234 mg, 1 eq.), dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB, was added to afford a rapid colour change to dark brown and the precipitation of a white solid (TlBr). This mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature and then filtered. The resulting dark reddish-brown solution was concentrated to 4 mL under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar) and 20 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate $[Cp^*Fe(n^5-R)]$ P5TeMes)][TEF] (**10**) as a dark brown solid. This solid was washed two times with 10 mL o toluene and two times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane and then dried under reduced pressure to afford **10** as a pure compound. Dissolving **10** in 3 mL of *o*-DFB and layering the solution with 20 mL of *n*-hexane after storage for 12 days at room temperature afforded dark brownish red rod shaped crystals in X-ray quality.

139.3 (br, $\omega_{1/2}$ = 1500 Hz)

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Cl)][TEF] (11)*

PCI₅ (0.2 mmol, 42 mg, 1 eq.) and TI[TEF] (0.2 mmol, 234 mg, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB and stirred for 1.5 h. The resulting colourless solid (TlCl) was allowed to settle from the clear solution. The latter was then filtered into a solution of $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) in 2 mL of *o*-DFB resulting in an immediate colour change from green to dark brownish red. This solution was stirred for 2 h, concentrated under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar) and then 30 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5Cl)][TEF]$ (11) as a dark brownish red solid. The solid was washed two times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane, each, then dried and dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB. The resulting solution was layered with 20 mL of *n*-hexane and then stored at -30 °C for five days, which afforded dark red block shaped crystals of **11** in X-ray quality.

NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 K):¹H: δ ppm = 1.73 (s, Cp^{*})

 31 **P{¹H}**: δ /ppm = 156.1 (m, 1 *J*_{PA/A´-PM} = 637.3/626.9 Hz, 1 *J*_{PA/A´-PX/X´ =} 461.8/426.0 Hz, ²*J*PA/A´-PX´/X = -71.2/-37.6 Hz, ²*J*PA-PA´ = 41.7 Hz, 2 P, P A/A´), 84.9 (m, ¹*J*PM-PA/A´ = 637.3/626.9 Hz, ²*J*PM-PX/X´ = 6.1/2.0 Hz, 1 P, P ^M), 70.7 (m, ¹*J*PX/X´-PA/A´ = 461.8/426.0 Hz, ¹*J*PX-PX´ = 406.2Hz, ²*J*PX/X´-PA´/A $=$ -71.2/-37.6 Hz, ²*J*_{PX/X}⁻-PM = 6.1/2.0 Hz, 2 P, P^{X/X})

 31 **P**: δ /ppm = 156.1 (m, 1 *J*_{PA/A´-PM = 637.3/626.9 Hz, 1 *J*_{PA/A´-PX/X´ =}} 461.8/426.0 Hz, ²*J*PA/A´-PX´/X = -71.2/-37.6 Hz, ²*J*PA-PA´ = 41.7 Hz, 2 P, P A/A´), 84.9 (m, ¹*J*PM-PA/A´ = 637.3/626.9 Hz, ²*J*PM-PX/X´ = 6.1/2.0 Hz, 1 P, P ^M), 70.7 (m, ¹*J*PX/X´-PA/A´ = 461.8/426.0 Hz, ¹*J*PX-PX´ = 406.2Hz, ²*J*PX/X´-PA´/A $=$ -71.2/-37.6 Hz, $^{2}J_{P$ X/X´-PM = 6.1/2.0 Hz, 2 P, $P^{X/X}$)

1**9F{1H}**: δ /ppm = -75.5 (s, [TEF]⁻)

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Br)][TEF] (12)*

Br₂ (0.2 mmol, 10 μ L, 1 eq.) was added dropwise to a solution of $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) and TI[TEF] (0.1 mmol, 117 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of CH_2Cl_2 at -80 °C affording an immediate colour change to dark brownish red and the precipitation of a white solid (TlBr). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h, then filtered and addition of 20 mL of *n*-pentane afforded the precipitation of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5Br)][TEF]$ (12) as a dark brown powder. This powder was dissolved in 3 mL of *o*-DFB to give a dark brown solution, which was layered with 30 mL of *n*-pentane and stored for 7 days to afford dark reddish brown crystals (rod shaped) of **12** in X-ray quality.

³¹P: /ppm = 157.6 (m, ¹*J*PA/A´-PX = 616.4/615.2 Hz, ¹*J*PA/A´-PM/M´ = 439.0/436.5 Hz, ²*J*PA/A´-PM´/M = -58.0/-49.4 Hz, ²*J*PA-PA´ = 34.4 Hz, 2 P, P A/A´), 77.4 (m, ¹*J*PM/M´-PA/A´ = 439.0/436.5 Hz, ¹*J*PM-PM´ = 415.6 Hz, ²*J*PM/M´- PA´/A = -58.0/-49.4 Hz, ²*J*PM/M´-PX = -1.8/-1.4 Hz, 2 P, PM/M´), 64.2 (m, ¹*J*PX- $_{\mathsf{PA/A}^{\prime}}$ = 616.4/615.2 Hz, 2 J $_{\mathsf{PX-PMM}^{\prime}}$ = -1.8/-1.4 Hz, 1 P, P $^{\chi}$)

1**9F{1H}**: *8* ppm = –75.5 (s, [TEF][–])

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5I)][TEF] (13)*

 I_2 (0.2 mmol, 51 mg, 1 eq.) and TI[TEF] (0.2 mmol, 234 mg, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 8 mL of o -DFB and cooled to -30 °C. Addition of this solution to another solution of $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) in 3 mL of *o*-DFB (– 30 °C) afforded a rapid colour change to brown and the formation of a yellow precipitate (TII). This mixture was stirred for another 2 h at -30 °C and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure $(10^{-3}$ mbar). The resulting dark brown residue was washed two times with 20 mL of *n*-pentane, each, and then dissolved in 3 mL of cold (– 30 °C) *o*-DFB. After filtration, this solution was layered with 30 mL of *n*-pentane and stored at – 30 °C, which after 14 days afforded formation [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅I)][TEF] (**13**) as dark brown crystals in X-ray quality.

[{Cp'''Ta(CO)2}2{,4:4-((P4)2BBr2)}][TEF] (15)

[Cp"Ta(CO)₂(η^4 -P₄)] (59 mg, 0.1 mmol, 2 eq.) and BBr₃ (5 μ L, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 5 mL of *o*-DFB to give a yellow solution. Tl[TEF] (59 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 2 ml of *o*-DFB was added slowly under strong stirring. An immediate colour change to pale orange occurred and precipitation of a white solid (TlBr) can be observed. The mixture was stirred for six hours, then filtered and directly layered with 40 mL of *n*-pentane. Storage of this mixture for one day at room temperature affords $[\langle Cp''']Ta(CO)_2\rbrace_2{\mu,\eta^{4:4}}-(P_4)_2BBr_2)][TEF]$ (**15**) as clear yellow crystals in X-ray quality. Further storage at –30 °C for several weeks leads to quantitative precipitation of **15** as orange powder.

Yield: 87 mg (0.037 mmol, 74%) Elemental analysis: calc. (%) for $[\{Cp'''Ta(CO)_2\}_2\{\mu,\eta^{4.4}-(P_4)_2BBr_2\}]^\text{TEF}$ (C54H58BO8F36AlP8Br2Ta2): C: 27.88 H: 2.51 calc. (%) for $[\{Cp'''Ta(CO)_2\}_2\{\mu, \eta^{4.4}-(P_4)_2BBr_2\}] [TEF] \cdot (TIBr)_{0.4}$ (C54H58BO8F36AlP8Br2Ta2)]·(TlBr)0.4: C: 26.58 H: 2.40 found (%): C: 26.23 H: 2.11 Traces of TlBr are inseparable from the product by filtration due to weak interaction of 15 with the soft TI⁺ ion. NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 K):¹**H**: δ /ppm = 1.12 (s, 9 H, C₅H₂'<u>Bu</u>₃), 1.56 (s, 18 H, C₅H₂'<u>Bu</u>₃), 6.33 (s, 1 H, C₅H₂^{*t*Bu₃), 6.34 (s, 1 H, C₅H₂^{*t*Bu₃)</sub>}} 31 **P{¹H}**: δ /ppm = –15.4 (m, ¹*J*PA/A´-PM/M´ = 350 Hz, ¹*JPA/A*´-PX/X´ = 293 Hz, 4 P, $P^{A/A}$), -37.1 (m (br), $^{1}J_{PM/M' - PAA'} = 350$ Hz, $^{2}J_{PM/M' - PXX'} = 117$ Hz, , $^{2}J_{PM\text{-}M'}$ = 120 Hz, $^{1}J_{PM\text{-}B}$ = 61 Hz, 2 P, $P^{A/A'}$) and -80.8 (m, $^{1}J_{PX/X' \text{-}PAA'}$ = 293 Hz, ¹*J*PX/X´-PM/M´ = 117 Hz) 31 **P**: δ /ppm = –15.4 (m, 1 *J_{PA/A´-PM/M´* = 350 Hz, 1 *J_{PA/A´-PX/X´* = 293 Hz, 4 P,}} P A/A´), –37.1 (m (br), ¹*J*PM/M´-PA/A´ = 350 Hz, ²*J*PM/M´-PX/X´ = 117 Hz, , ²*J*PM-M´ = 120 Hz, ${}^{1}J_{PM-B}$ = 61 Hz, 2 P, $P^{A/A}$) and -80.8 (m, ${}^{1}J_{PXX' - PAA'}$ = 293 Hz, $1J_{PXX'-PMM'} = 117 Hz$ **¹¹B{¹H}**: δ /ppm = –10.2 (t, 1 B, ¹J_{B-P} = 61 Hz, {BBr₂}) 1**9F{1H}**: *8* ppm = –75.6 (s, [TEF][–])

Cp'''SbI²

To a solution of 9.80 g (19.5 mmol) Sbl₃ in 200 mL THF a solution of 5.00 g (19.5 mmol) Cp"'Na in 100 mL THF was added at −80°C. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 18 hours. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue extracted with hexane. The hexane solution was concentrated until beginning of crystallization and stored over night at −28°C. Large orange crystals were formed. Yield 10.03 g (85%).

6.5.2. Xray Crystallographic Data

General Considerations

The crystallographic data for all described compounds were collected on a SuperNova diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Titan^{S2} detector using Cu–K_a radiation (micro-focus sealed tube) (7), on a GV50 diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Titan^{S2} detector using Cu–K_{β} radiation obtained by using customised optics (13), an Xcalibur Gemini Ultra diffractometer with an Atlas^{S2} detector using Mo-K_a (9) radiation (sealed tube) or a standard Cu-K_a sealed tube (2, 3, 5, 8), or an XtaLAB Synergy R, DW System with a HyPix-Arc 150 detector using Cu - K_{α} radiation from a rotating anode (**4**, **6**, **10**, **12**). Data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro software package.^[49] Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2 (1.3-alpha)^[50] with ShelXT^[51] (solution) and ShelXL-2018/3^[52] (least squares refinement (F²)). All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters (except the minor disordered part of **7**) and H atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C-H bond lengths (sp³: 0.96 (CH₃), 0.97 (CH₂); sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Visualisation of the crystal structures was performed with Olex2 (1.3-alpha).^[50]

CIF files with comprehensive information on the details of the diffraction experiments and full tables of bond lengths and angles for **2**, **3**, **4**, **5**, **6**, **7**, **8**, **9**, **10**, **13** and **15** are deposited in Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under the deposition codes CCDC[-2083554,](tel:2083554) CCDC-[2083555,](tel:2083554) CCDC[-2083556,](tel:2083554) CCDC[-2083557,](tel:2083554) CCDC[-2083558,](tel:2083554) CCDC[-2083559,](tel:2083554) CCDC-[2083560,](tel:2083554) CCDC[-2083561,](tel:2083554) CCDC[-2083562,](tel:2083554) CCDC[-2083563,](tel:2083554) respectively.

Compound	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	5
Formula	C _{39.6} H _{38.4} AlBBr ₂ F ₃₆ Fe ₂ O_4P_{10}	C ₃₆ H ₃₀ AlF ₃₆ Fe ₂ GaI ₂ O ₄ P 10	C33H22AlF36FeO4P5	C ₃₉ H ₂₆ AlF ₃₆ FeO ₄ P ₅
D_{calc} / g cm ⁻³	1.937	2.074	1.896	1.830
μ /mm ⁻¹	8.892	15.621	4.279	5.382
Formula	1881.31	1982.50	1404.18	1480.28
Weight				
Colour	clear light green	dark brown	clear dark red	clear dark red
Shape	prism	plate	block	block-shaped
Size/mm ³	$0.36 \times 0.12 \times 0.06$	$0.42 \times 0.37 \times 0.12$	$0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.19$	$0.63 \times 0.38 \times 0.21$
T/K	123(1)	123(1)	123.00(10)	123(1)
Crystal System	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space Group	C2	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1/n$	$P\overline{1}$
$a/\text{\AA}$	40.0184(7)	12.8777(2)	15.0993(7)	15.4816(4)
$b/\text{\AA}$	9.73790(10)	16.0557(2)	18.0632(8)	16.9548(5)
$c/\text{\AA}$	18.3964(4)	18.4470(3)	18.8351(10)	21.6022(6)
α /°	90	112.4860(10)	90	86.014(2)
β /°	115.870(2)	95.1250(10)	106.706(5)	71.751(2)
γ /°	90	110.9810(10)	90	88.928(2)
V/A^3	6450.6(2)	3175.29(9)	4920.3(4)	5372.1(3)
Z	4	2	4	4
Z^{\prime}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$
Wavelength/Å	1.54184	1.54184	1.39222	1.54184
Radiation type	$Cu K_{\alpha}$	$Cu K_{\alpha}$	Cu K _β	$Cu K_{\alpha}$
Θ_{min}/\degree	4.346	3.830	2.999	3.500
Θ_{max} /°	72.039	71.666	75.377	71.857
Measured	23789	33614	26352	58001
Refl's.				
Indep't Refl's	12143	12088	13101	20392
Refl's I≥2 σ (I)	11912	11702	10904	18475
$R_{\rm int}$	0.0362	0.0473	0.0306	0.0433
Parameters	921	1145	1385	2252
Restraints	88	851	608	1556
Largest Peak	0.730	1.421	0.612	1.211
Deepest Hole	-0.429	-1.030	-0.667	-0.506
GooF	1.037	1.035	1.087	1.044
wR_2 (all data)	0.1117	0.1314	0.1640	0.1939
wR_2	0.1109	0.1301	0.1462	0.1882
R_1 (all data)	0.0432	0.0487	0.0667	0.0722
R_1	0.0425	0.0477	0.0559	0.0675
Flack Parameter	0.386(5)	\prime	$\sqrt{2}$	\prime
Hooft Parameter	0.387(2)	\prime	\prime	\prime

Table S 1: Crystallographic and refinement details on compounds 2, 3, 4 and 5.

Compound	6	$\overline{7}$	8	9
Formula	$C_{46}H_{26}BF_{20}FeP_5Si$	$AlAsC41.5F36FeH41O$	$Al_2C_{92}F_{72}Fe_2H_{98}I_2O_8P_{10}$	$C_{32}H_{20}AlF_{36}FeO_4P_5$
		$4P_5$	Sb ₂	Se
D_{calc} / g cm ⁻³	1.707	1.812	1.837	1.944
μ /mm ⁻¹	5.490	5.578	11.213	1.374
Formula Weight	1208.27	1600.34	3672.36	1469.12
Colour	clear light green	clear dark red	light brown	clear dark red
Shape	plate	block-shaped	rod-shaped	block-shaped
$Size/mm^3$	$0.22 \times 0.15 \times 0.05$	$0.48 \times 0.28 \times 0.22$	$0.53 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$	$0.52 \times 0.44 \times 0.28$
T/K	123.00(10)	123.15(1)	123(1)	123(1)
Crystal System	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space Group	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P\overline{1}$
a/\AA	17.0141(2)	28.0092(2)	21.0539(2)	12.1286(4)
b/\AA	14.79290(10)	21.7375(2)	16.5834(2)	12.6674(5)
$c/\text{\AA}$	20.3007(3)	10.53850(10)	38.0631(4)	17.2846(8)
α /°	90	90	90	107.670(4)
β /°	113.070(2)	113.8980(10)	92.2010(10)	96.922(3)
$\gamma\prime^{\circ}$	90	90	90	90.247(3)
V/\AA ³	4700.82(11)	5866.28(10)	13279.7(2)	2509.49(18)
Z	4	4	4	2
Z'	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
Wavelength/Å	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184	0.71073
Radiation type	Cu K α	Cu K_{α}	Cu K_{α}	Mo K_{α}
$\Theta_{min}/^{\circ}$	2.823	4.007	3.394	3.379
Θ_{max} /°	73.459	66.906	71.750	32.286
Measured Refl's.	33445	61278	75069	22005
Indep't Refl's	9127	10404	25253	15499
Refl's I≥2 σ(I)	8217	9640	18923	11637
R_{int}	0.0288	0.0393	0.0782	0.0296
Parameters	696	1182	2570	924
Restraints	48	368	1318	164
Largest Peak	0.649	0.842	1.024	0.770
Deepest Hole	-0.540	-0.807	-0.980	-0.555
GooF	1.091	1.024	1.008	1.059
wR_2 (all data)	0.1029	0.1469	0.1737	0.1329
WR ₂	0.1008	0.1429	0.1570	0.1169
R_1 (all data)	0.0396	0.0572	0.0875	0.0743
R_1	0.0359	0.0537	0.0649	0.0508

Table S 2: Crystallographic and refinement details on compounds 6, 7, 8 and 9.

Table S 3: Crystallographic and refinement details on compounds 10, 13 and 15.

*[{Cp*Fe}2{(⁵ -P5)2BBr2}][TEF] (2)*

Large pale greenish brown rod shaped crystals of $[{Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)}_2BBr_2][TEF]$ (2) are obtained by layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:7) and storing it at room temperature for two weeks. **2** crystallises as inversion twins in the monoclinic space group *C*2 with one cation, one anion and 0.6 hexane solvent molecules present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S1). Due to the heavy disorder of the hexane molecule a solvent mask was calculated with the in Olex2 implemented masking tool and 120 electrons were found in a volume of 550 $\rm \AA^3$ in 2 voids per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of 0.6 hexane molecules per asymmetric unit, which account for 120 electrons per unit cell. Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP (Anisotropic Displacement Parameter) restraints.

Figure S 1: Solid state structure of 2; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.098(2) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.117(3) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.121(4) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.103(3) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.108(2) Å, d(P6-P7) = 2.105(2) Å, d(P7-P8) = 2.110(3) Å, d(P8-P9) = 2.120(3) Å, d(P9- P10) = 2.111(3) Å, d(P6-P10) = 2.110(2) Å, d(P1-B) = 1.985(7) Å, d(P6-B) = 1.985(8) Å, d(P1-Fe1) = 2.289(2) Å, d(P2-Fe1) = 2.408(2) Å, d(P3-Fe1) = 2.383(2) Å, d(P4-Fe1) = 2.379(3) Å, d(P5-Fe1) = 2.395(2) Å, d(P6-Fe2) = 2.275(2) Å, d(P7-Fe2) = 2.289(2) Å, d(P8-Fe2) = 2.380(3) Å, d(P9-Fe2) = 2.387(2) Å, d(P10-Fe2) = 2.397(2) Å, ∢*(P1-B-P6) = 100.9(3)°,* ∢*(P3-P5-P2-P1) = 174.31(18)°,* ∢*(P8-P10-P7-P6) = 173.10(14)°.*

*[{Cp*Fe}2{(⁵ -P5)2GaI2}][TEF] (3)*

Dark brown crystalline plates of [{Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-P₅)}₂Gal₂][TEF] (3) are obtained by layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:7) and storing it at room temperature for one week. **3** crystallises in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with one cation and one anion present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S2). Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints.

Figure S 2: Solid state structure of 3; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.1054(15) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.1076(16) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.1175(17) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.1179(15) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.1076(16) Å, d(P6-P7) = 2.1116(15) Å, d(P7-P8) = 2.1174(17) Å, d(P8-P9) = 2.1123(17) Å, d(P9-P10) = 2.1127(17) Å, d(P6-P10) = 2.1044(15) Å, d(P1-Ga) = 2.3871(11) Å, d(P6-Ga) = 2.4102(12) Å, d(P1-Fe1) = 2.310(1) Å, d(P2-Fe1) = 2.375(2) Å, d(P3-Fe1) = 2.394(1) Å, d(P4-Fe1) = 2.368(2) Å, d(P5-Fe1) = 2.370(1) Å, d(P6-Fe2) = 2.308(1) Å, d(P7-Fe2) = 2.367(2) Å, d(P8-Fe2) = 2.391(2) Å, d(P9-Fe2) = 2.386(1) Å, d(P10-Fe2) = 2.375(1) Å [∢]*(P1-Ga-P6) = 97.31(4)°,* ∢*(P3-P5-P2-P1) = 170.04(10)°,* ∢*(P8-P10-P7-P6) = 171.61(12)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5CH2Ph)][TEF] (4)*

[Cp*Fe(n⁵-P₅CH₂Ph)][TEF] (4) can be obtained as dark red crystalline blocks after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:8) and storing it at room temperature for one week. 4 crystallises in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/n$ with one cation and one anion present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S3). Disorder within the anion and the cation was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints.

Figure S 3: Solid state structure of 4; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.0893(18) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.1091(17) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.1273(14) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.1032(15) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.0928(17) Å, d(P1-C1) = 1.853(4) Å, d(P1-Fe1) = 2.282(1) Å, d(P2-Fe1) = 2.358(1) Å, d(P3-Fe1) = 2.391(1) Å, d(P4-Fe1) = 2.389(1) Å, d(P5-Fe1) = 2.359(1) Å, [∢]*(P1-C1-C2) = 109.6(3)°,* ∢*(P3-P5-P2-P1) = 166.00(9)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5CHPh2)][TEF] (5)*

[Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-P₅CHPh₂)][TEF] (5) can also be obtained as red crystalline blocks after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:8) and storing it at -30 °C for eleven days. **5** crystallises in the triclinic space group $\overline{P1}$ with two cations and two anions present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S4). Disorder within the anions was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints.

*Figure S 4: Solid state structure of 5; Depicted is half of the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.0957(13) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.1198(18) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.1195(17) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.1170(20) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.0984(15) Å, d(P1-C1) = 1.866(4) Å, d(P1-*Fe1*) =* 2.2944(10) *Å*, *d(P*2*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3679(13) *Å*, *d(P*3*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3829(12) *Å*, *d(P*4*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3863(18) *Å*, *d(P*5*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3520(12) *Å,* [∢]*(P1-C1-C2) = 107.0(3)°,* ∢*(P3-P5-P2-P1) = 162.96(10)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SiHPh2)][B(C6F5)4] (6)*

Crystals of [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅SiHPh₂)][B(C₆F₅)₄] (6) can be obtained as clear greenish brown plates after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:10) and storing it at room temperature for two days. **6** crystallises in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* with one cation and one anion present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S5). Disorder within the Cp* ligand was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints.

*Figure S 5: Solid state structure of 6; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.1047(8) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.1124(8) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.1150(8) Å, d(P4- P5) = 2.1151(8) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.1027(8) Å, d(P1-Si) = 2.3053(8) Å, d(P1-*Fe1*) =* 2.3080(8) *Å*, *d(P*2*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3640(8) *Å*, *d(P*3*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3683(6) *Å*, *d(P*4*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3963(6) *Å*, *d(P*5*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3544(7) *Å,* [∢]*(P1-Si-H1) = 97.4(12)°,* ∢*(P3-P5- P2-P1) = 167.74(5)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5AsCy2)][TEF] (7)*

Dark red block shaped crystals of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5ASCy_2)][TEF]$ (7) can be obtained after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:10) and storing it at -30 °C for two weeks. **7** crystallises in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/n$ with one cation, one anion and one half of a toluene molecule present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S6). The toluene could not be modelled properly and was therefore treated with the in Olex2 implemented masking tool. A solvent mask was calculated and 100 electrons were found in a volume of 348 \AA^3 in 1 void per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of half a toluene molecule per asymmetric unit, which accounts for 100 electrons per unit cell. Disorder within the anion and one of the cyclohexyl groups was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints. Further, is the complete cationic part disordered over two positions (0.96 : 0.4). Due to the low occupancy of the second part it was only possible to model the heavy atom framework consisting of $FeP₅As$.

*Figure S 6: Solid state structure of 7; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.110(2) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.119(2) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.121(3) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.106(2) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.116(2) Å, d(P1-As) = 2.348(1) Å, d(P1-*Fe1*) =* 2.353(1) *Å*, *d(P*2*-*Fe1*) =* 2.335(2) *Å*, *d(P*3*-* Fe1*) =* 2.393(1) *Å*, *d(P*4*-*Fe1*) =* 2.386(2) *Å*, *d(P*5*-*Fe1*) =* 2.335(1) *Å,* [∢]*(P3-P5-P2-P1) = 162.66(8)°.*

*[{Cp*Fe(,5:2-P5)}SbICp'''][TEF] (8)*

Clear light greenish brown crystalline plates of [{Cp*Fe(5:2 -P5)}SbICp'''][TEF] (**8**) can be obtained after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:10) and storing it at room temperature for ten days. **8** crystallises in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ with two formula units and two times half a hexane molecule present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S7). Disorder within the anions and the cations was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints. The *n*-hexane molecules could not be modelled appropriately and therefore were treated with the in Olex2 implemented masking tool. A solvent mask was calculated and 204 electrons were found in a volume of 1206 \AA^3 in 3 voids per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of two half hexane molecules per asymmetric unit, which account for 200 electrons per unit cell.

*Figure S 7: Solid state structure of 8; Depicted is the asymmetric unit (left), an excerpt of the cation (right) and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.105(3) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.137(4) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.143(3) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.119(3) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.107(3) Å, d(P3-Sb) = 3.236(2) Å, d(P4-Sb) = 3.400(2) Å, d(Sb-I) = 2.787(3) Å, d(P1-*Fe1*) =* 2.348(3) *Å*, *d(P*2*-*Fe1*) =* 2.329(2) *Å*, *d(P*3*-*Fe1*) =* 2.347(2) *Å*, *d(P*4*-*Fe1*) =* 2.328(2) *Å*, *d(P*5*-*Fe1*) =* 2.345(2) *Å,* [∢]*(cent(P5)-Sb-cent(C5)) = 128.51(8)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SePh)][TEF] (9)*

Clear dark red block shaped crystals of $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5SePh)][TEF]$ (9) can be obtained after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (1:7) and storing it at room temperature for twelve days. **9** crystallises in the triclinic space group *P*1̅ with one cation and one anion present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S8). Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints.

*Figure S 8: Solid state structure of 9; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles: d(P1-P2) = 2.1201(11) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.1109(11) Å, d(P3-P4) = 2.1395(12) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.1098(12) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.1220(10) Å, d(P1-Se) = 2.2234(7) Å, d(P1-*Fe1*) =* 2.3061(9) *Å*, *d(P*2*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3489(8) *Å*, *d(P*3*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3923(8) *Å*, *d(P*4*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3967(8) *Å*, *d(P*5*-*Fe1*) =* 2.3401(10) *Å,* [∢]*(P3-P5-P2-P1) = 163.19(6)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5TeMes)][TEF] (10)*

[Cp*Fe(5 -P5TeMes)][TEF] (**10**) can be obtained as crystalline dark red blocks after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-pentane (1:6) and storing it at room temperature for two weeks. **10** crystallises in the triclinic space group \overline{PI} with three cations, three anions and one *o*-DFB molecule present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S9). Disorder within the anions and the solvent molecule was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints.

*Figure S 9: Solid state structure of 10; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles within the labelled cation: d(P1-P2) = 2.123(3) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.092(4) Å, d(P3- P4) = 2.126(3) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.123(4) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.105(2) Å, d(P1-Te) = 2.438(2) Å, d(P1-*Fe1*) =* 2.314(2) *Å*, $d(P2-Fe1) = 2.340(2)$ Å, $d(P3-Fe1) = 2.393(3)$ Å, $d(P4-Fe1) = 2.376(2)$ Å, $d(P5-Fe1) = 2.344(2)$ Å, $\propto (P3-P5-P2-P1)$ *= 164.93(13)°.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Cl)][TEF] (11)*

Dark red block shaped crystals of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5C)][TEF]$ (11) can easily be obtained from storing mixtures of concentrated solutions in o -DFB or CH₂Cl₂ and *n*-hexane at -30 °C for several days. However, the crystal structure of **11** appears to be incommensurate modulated with a 1st order modulation vector of (0.201/-0.032/-0.230). Despite many attempts of recrystallisation and variation of experiment parameters during data collection (e. g. temperature), this modulation could not be resolved. Thus, the solid state structure of **11** cannot be provided within this report and only the cell parameters are given within Table S4.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Br)][TEF] (12)*

[Cp*Fe(5 -P5Br)][TEF] (**12**) can be obtained as crystalline dark brownish red plates after layering a concentrated solution in CH₂Cl₂ with *n*-hexane (1:7) and storing it at -30 °C for three weeks. **12** crystallises in the monoclinic space group *C*2/*c* with one cation, one anion and one third of a CH₂Cl₂ molecule present in the asymmetric unit. Despite using appropriate geometric and ADP restraints and constraints for handling the severe disorder within both the anion and the cation, proper refinement of the crystal structure was not feasible. Thus, only the major disordered parts of the anion and the cation are depicted in Figure S10 as structural proof. The unit cell parameters of **12** are provided in Table S5.

Figure S 10: Solid state structure of 12; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and disorder, and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

Table S 5: Cell parameters for the unit cell of 12.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5I)][TEF] (13)*

[Cp*Fe(5 -P5I)][TEF] (**13**) can be obtained as crystalline dark brown blocks after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-pentane (1:10) and storing it at -30 °C for three days. **13** crystallises in the monoclinic space group *C*2/*c* with one cation, one anion and half an equivalent of *o*-DFB present in the asymmetric unit (Figure S11). Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints.

*Figure S 11: Solid state structure of 13; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles within the labelled cation: d(P1-P2) = 2.107(4) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.106(6) Å, d(P3- P4) = 2.147(6) Å, d(P4-P5) = 2.102(3) Å, d(P1-P5) = 2.112(3) Å, d(P1-I) = 2.385(1) Å, d(P1-*Fe1*) =* 2.363(1) *Å*, $d(P2-Fe1) = 2.301(6)$ Å, $d(P3-Fe1) = 2.422(4)$ Å, $d(P4-Fe1) = 2.494(3)$ Å, $d(P5-Fe1) = 2.403(2)$ Å, $\angle (P3-P5-P2-P1)$ *= 156.4(3)°.*

[{Cp'''Ta(CO)2}2{,4:4-((P4)2BBr2})][TEF] (15)

 $[{Cp}$ "Ta $(CO)_2\}_2\$ _{(μ}, $\eta^{4.4}$ - $({P_4})_2BBr_2$))][TEF] (**15**) can be obtained as clear yellow crystals after layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-pentane (1:6) and storing it at room temperature for one day. **15** crystallises in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit (Figure S12). Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate geometric and ADP restraints.

Figure S 12: Solid state structure of 15; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs are drawn at 50% probability; Selected bond lengths and angles within the labelled cation: d(P1-P2) = 2.133(4) Å, d(P2-P3) = 2.171(4) Å, d(P3- P4) = 2.164(4) Å, d(P1-P4) = 2.124(4) Å, d(P5-P6) = 2.129(4) Å, d(P6-P7) = 2.171(4) Å, d(P7-P8) = 2.170(4) Å, d(P5-P8) = 2.149(3) Å, d(P1-B) = 1.997(10) Å, d(P5-B) = 1.996(9) Å, , [∢]*(P1-B-P5) = 112.3(5)°.*

6.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

*[{Cp*Fe}2{(⁵ -P5)2BBr2}][TEF] (2)*

While the ¹H NMR spectrum recorded at room temperature only shows one singlet at δ = 1.76 ppm for the Cp^{*} ligands, its^{31}P NMR spectrum (Figure S13) reveals a complex AA'M₂M'₂X₂X'₂ spin system with an additional ¹¹B-P coupling (chemical shifts and coupling constants provided in Table S6). Consistently, the ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S14) of 2 reveals a triplet at δ = -15.6 with a $^1J_{\text{B-P}} = 64$ Hz coupling constant.

Figure S 13: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² at room temperature and assignment of the spin system (right).

Figure S 14: ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² at room temperature.

*[{Cp*Fe}2{(⁵ -P5)2GaI2}][TEF] (3)*

3 is well soluble in *o*-DFB, but shows rapid dynamic behaviour in solution, leading to observation of only one broad signal at room temperature. Even when a crystalline sample of **3**, dissolved in CD₂Cl₂, is cooled to -80 °C this dynamic behaviour is not completely resolved. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **3** recorded at -80 °C shows two signals **I** and **II** (Figure S15) at δ = 92.7 and 141.6 ppm integrating in a ratio of 4:6, respectively, thus indicating overlap of two out of the three expected signals. However, 3 slowly decomposes in CD₂Cl₂ solution, which is indicated by the presence of degradation products, such as $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)]^+$,^[20] in its ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

*Figure S 15: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 3 in CD2Cl² recorded at indicated temperatures, revealing its dynamic behaviour in solution (even at –80 °C); marks the signals assigned to [Cp*Fe(5 -P5H)]⁺ and * those assigned to corresponding, yet unidentified decomposition products.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5CH2Ph)][TEF] (4)*

4 is well soluble in CD₂Cl₂, allowing its NMR spectra to be recorded easily. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure S18) recorded at room temperature reveals a singlet at δ = 1.73 ppm for the Cp^{*} ligand, a doublet of triplets at δ = 4.42 ppm for the benzylic protons and a multiplet of at δ $= 7.40 - 7.55$ ppm for the Ph group. Its^{31}P{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S16) reveals an AMM'XX' spin system (chemical shifts and coupling constants provided in Table S7), of which the signals for P^A shows additional coupling in the $31P$ NMR spectrum (Figure S17 and Table S8). The ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S19) of 4 additionally reveals a small doublet (δ = 26.1 ppm) for the benzylic carbon with a ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 23$ Hz coupling constant.

Figure S 16: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² at room temperature and assignment of the spin system (right).

Table S 7: Coupling constants (left) and chemical shifts (right) of 4 in CD₂Cl₂ solution obtained from spectral simulation of the respective ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

	J/Hz		δ / ppm
$J_{PA-PX/X'}$	615.4/615.2	DΑ	126.8
J _{PM/M'-PX/X'}	455.4/454.6	D M/M'	113.9
$^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ J _{PM-PM'}	407.0	$P^{X/X'}$	75.7
2 J $_{PM/M^\prime}$ -px'/x	$-56.0 - 55.7$		
$2J_{PA-PMM'}$	10.2/9.0		
$2J_{PX-X'}$	42.3		

Figure S 17: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² at room temperature and assignment of the spin system (right).

Table S 8: Coupling constants (left) and chemical shifts (right) of 4 in CD2Cl² solution obtained from spectral simulation of the respective ³¹P NMR spectrum.

	J/ Hz		δ / ppm
$J_{PA-PX/X'}$	617.1/613.6	D	126.8
1 J $_{PM/M'$ -PX/X'	459.3/450.9	DM/M'	113.9
1 J $_{PM\text{-}PM'}$	407.0	DXX'	75.7
2 J $_{PM/M^{\prime}\text{-}PX^{\prime}\!\!/X}$	$-60.6/-51.2$		
$2J_{PA$ -PM/M'	10.5/9.1		
$2J_{PX-X'}$	42.1		
$2J_{PA-H}$	11.3		

Figure S 18: ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² at room temperature; marks the residual solvent signal for CD2Cl2.

Figure S 19: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² at room temperature; marks the residual solvent signal for CD2Cl2.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5CHPh2)][TEF] (5)*

Similar to 4, compound 5 is well soluble in CD₂Cl₂ and its ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure S22) recorded at room temperature reveals a sharp singlet at δ = 1.64 ppm for the Cp^{*} ligand, a doublet of triplets at δ = 5.93 ppm for the benzylic proton and a set of multiplets in between δ $= 7.43$ -7.72 ppm for the Ph groups. The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ } NMR spectrum (Figure S20) again shows an AMM´XX´ spin system (chemical shifts and coupling constants provided in Table S9), of which the P^A signal shows further coupling in the $31P$ NMR spectrum (Figure S21 and Table S10). In agreement, the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S23) of 5 reveals a doublet at δ = 49.3 ppm with a distinct ${}^{1}J_{C-P}$ = 23 Hz coupling constant.

Figure S 20: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 5 in CD2Cl² at room temperature and assignment of the spin system (right).

Figure S 21: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 5 in CD2Cl² at room temperature and assignment of the spin system (right).

Figure S 22: ¹H NMR spectrum of 5 in CD2Cl² at room temperature; marks the residual solvent signal for CD2Cl2, ■ *marks the signal for residual o-DFB.*

Figure S 23: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of 5 in CD2Cl² at room temperature; marks the residual solvent signal for CD2Cl2.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SiHPh2)][B(C6F5)4] (6)*

While **6** is well soluble in *o*-DFB, its ³¹P NMR spectrum at room temperature reveals significant dynamic behaviour as indicated by the presence of three broad signals at δ = 76.4,102.6 and 141.1 ppm. However, even cooling a sample of 6 in CD_2Cl_2 to -80 °C does not resolve this dynamic behaviour and additionally leads to partial degradation by the solvent (Figure S24). The product of this degradation may be formulated as $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5CH_2Cl)]^+$ due to the similarity of its ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum with that of $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5Me)]^{+}$.^[20] Thus, obtaining a well resolved spectrum of **6** cannot be achieved and no coupling information could be extracted from its $31P$ and $31P\{1H\}$ spectra. Peak assignment is still possible by comparison of the peak shape with similar compounds reported herein. In contrast, the ²⁹Si(DEPT135) spectrum of **6** in CD₂Cl₂ recorded at –30 °C shows a doublet of multiplets ($^1J_{\text{Si-P}}$ = 239 Hz) at –20 ppm manifesting its integrity (although dynamic) in solution (Figure S25).

Figure S 24: a) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 6 in CD2Cl² recorded at indicated temperatures, revealing its dynamic behaviour in solution (even at –80 °C); b) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 6 in CD2Cl² at –80 °C with assignment of the spin system; \bullet marks the signals for $[Cp^*Fe/\eta^5-P_5H]^*$, which is formed in the presence of traces of moisture (< 3 *ppm in solvents and on glass surfaces),* ∎ *marks a group of signals, which is assigned to [Cp*Fe(5 -P5CH2Cl]⁺ arising from degradation of 6 in CD2Cl2.*

Figure S 25: ²⁹Si(DEPT135) NMR spectrum of 6 in CD2Cl² recorded at –30 °C with 4096 scans.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5AsCy2)][TEF] (7)*

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **7** in CD₂Cl₂ shows a signal at δ = 1.62 ppm for the Cp^{*} ligand and multiple broad signals in between δ = 1.34-2.94 for the Cy groups. The corresponding ³¹P NMR spectrum reveals a complex AA´BB´X spin system with slight line broadening. Cooling the sample to 273 K leads to an even better resolved ³¹P NMR spectrum (Figure S26, chemical shifts and coupling constants provided in Table S11), which agrees with the molecular structure of **7**.

Figure S 26: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 7 in CD2Cl² at 273 K and assignment of the spin system (top left).

*[{Cp*Fe(,5:2-P5)}SbICp'''][TEF] (8)*

While the ¹H NMR spectrum of **8** in CD₂Cl₂ recorded at room temperature reveals the signals for the respective Cp^{*} and Cp'" groups, the respective ³¹P NMR spectrum only shows a singlet at δ = 164.7 ppm. We thus carried out a VT NMR study (Figure S27) on 8, but even at -80°C the signal, now located at δ = 172.9 ppm, does not show any splitting. This highlights the extreme dynamic behaviour of **8** in solution.

Figure S 27: ³¹P NMR spectra of 8 in CD2Cl² at specified temperatures.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5SePh)][TEF] (9)*

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **9** in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature shows the expected signals for the Cp^{*} ligand and the Ph group at δ = 1.75 and 7.47-7.90 ppm, respectively. The corresponding ³¹P NMR spectrum (Figure S28) reveals an AA'MM'X spin system (chemical shifts and coupling constants provided in Table S12), in which the P^X signal has additional 77 Se satellites with a ¹ J_{P-Se} = 418 Hz coupling constant. This coupling constant is corroborated by the ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S29) of 9 in CD₂Cl₂ solution, which shows a doublet at δ = 287.3 ppm.

*Figure S 28: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 9 in CD2Cl² at room temperature and assignment of the spin system (right); * marks the signal for trace impurities of an unidentified species.*

Figure S 29: ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR spectrum of 9 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature with 11000 scans and a dwell time of 6.6 s.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5TeMes)][TEF] (10)*

While **10** is well soluble in *o*-DFB, its ³¹P NMR spectrum at room temperature reveals significant dynamic behaviour as indicated by the presence of one extremely broadened signal at δ = 126.3 ppm. Cooling a sample of 10 in CD₂Cl₂ to -80 °C leads to splitting into three signals (Figure S30) located at δ = 10.0, 124.9 and 139.3 ppm but also to partial degradation. Thus, obtaining a well resolved spectrum of **10** cannot be achieved and no coupling information could be extracted from its $31P$ and $31P$ {1H} spectra.

*Figure S 30: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 10 in CD2Cl² recorded at specified temperatures * marks the signals for trace impurities of two unidentified species arising from degradation of 10.*

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Cl)][TEF] (11)*

As compound 11 is well soluble in CD₂Cl₂, its NMR spectra can easily be recorded. Its ¹H NMR spectrum recorded at room temperature only reveals one singlet for the Cp^{*} ligand at δ = 1.73 ppm. The respective ³¹P NMR spectrum (Figure S31) shows an AA´MXX´ spin system (chemical shifts and coupling constants provided in Table S13), manifesting the structure of **11** in solution.

Figure S 31: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 11 in CD2Cl² at room temperature and assignment of the spin system (right); ∎ *marks a signal for trace impurities of [{Cp*Fe}2(, 5:5 -P10)]2+ .*

Table S 13: Coupling constants (left) and chemical shifts (right) of 11 in CD2Cl² solution obtained from spectral simulation of the respective ³¹P NMR spectrum.

J/Hz		δ / ppm		
' J PA∕A´-PM	637.3/626.9	D ^{A/A}	156.6	
¹ J pa/a'-px/x'	461.8/426.0	DМ	84.9	
1 J $_{\mathsf{PX}\text{-}\mathsf{PX}'}$	406.2	D ^{X/X}	70.7	
$2J_{PA/A}$ - $PX'X$	$-71.2/-37.6$			
$2J_{PM\text{-}PX/X'}$	6.1/2.0			
² J_{PA-A}	41.7			

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Br)][TEF] (12)*

Compound 12 is well soluble in CD₂Cl₂, its NMR spectra can easily be recorded. Its ¹H NMR spectrum recorded at room temperature only reveals one singlet for the Cp^{*} ligand at δ = 1.73 ppm. The respective ³¹P NMR spectrum (Figure S32) shows an AA´MM´X spin system (chemical shifts and coupling constants provided in Table S14), manifesting the structure of **12** in solution.

Figure S 32: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 12 in CD2Cl² at room temperature and assignment of the spin system (right); ∎ *marks a signal for trace impurities of [{Cp*Fe}2(, 5:5 -P10)]2+, and * marks the signalfor trace impurities of an unidentified species.*

Table S 14: Coupling constants (left) and chemical shifts (right) of 12 in CD₂Cl₂ solution obtained from spectral simulation of the respective ³¹P NMR spectrum.

J/Hz		δ / ppm		
' J PA/A´-PX	616.4/615.2	D ^{A/A}	135.5	
J PA/A'-PM/M'	439.0/436.5	DM/M	113.8	
$^{\prime}$ J $_{PM\text{-}PM'}$	415.6	D ^Х	70.4	
$2J_{P A/A' - P M''/M}$	$-58.0/-49.4$			
$2J_{PM/M-PX}$	$-1.8/-1.4$			
$^{2}J_{PA-A'}$	34.4			

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5I)][TEF] (13)*

As compound 13 is well soluble in CD₂Cl₂, its NMR spectra can easily be recorded. Its ¹H NMR spectrum recorded at room temperature only reveals one singlet for the Cp^{*} ligand at δ = 1.67 ppm. The respective ³¹P NMR spectrum however only shows a broad signal at room temperature. We thus carried out a VT NMR study (Figure S33) on **13**, which revealed splitting of the signal upon cooling. However, the dynamic behaviour of **13** in solution is manifested in the persistent broadening of the observed signals, even at -80 °C. Assignment of the signals to certain P Atoms in **13** (Figure S33, bottom right) has been carried out by comparison with the spectra of its lighter homologs **11** and **12**.

*Figure S 33: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 13 in CD2Cl² recorded at specified temperatures and assignment of the spin system (bottom right); * marks a signal for trace impurities of an unidentified species.*

[{Cp'''Ta(CO)2}2{,4:4-((P4)2BBr2})][TEF] (15)

As compound 15 is well soluble in CD₂Cl₂, its NMR spectra can easily be recorded. Its ¹H NMR spectrum recorded at room temperature reveals four signals at δ = 1.12, 1.56, 6.33 and 6.34 ppm in agreement with the presence of two chemically equivalent (on the NMR time scale) Cp"' ligands. The $31P$ NMR spectrum (Figure S34) shows a complex $A_2A_2'MM'XX'Z$ spin system (chemical shift and coupling constants provided in Table S15) and the ¹¹B{¹H} NNMR spectrum (Figure S35) corroborates the $^1J_{\text{B-PMM}'} = 61$ Hz coupling constant.

Figure S 34: Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 15 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature and assignment of the spin system according to the scheme on the right.

Table S 15: Coupling constants (left) and chemical shifts (right) of 15 in CD₂Cl₂ solution obtained from spectral simulation of the respective ³¹P NMR spectrum; Simulation was performed manually and thus coupling constants arre provided without decimals.

Figure S 35: ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum of 15 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Additional Data

When 1 (0.2 mmol, 70 mg, 1 eq.) is reacted with equimolar amounts of BB r_3 (0.2 mmol, 19 μ L, 1 eq.) and Tl[TEF] (0.2 mmol, 234 mg, 1 eq.) in *o*-DFB at room temperature, two products can be observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S36) of the crude reaction mixture. While one of them can clearly be identified as compound **2**, the major product is the protonated species $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)]^+$, $[20]$ which we hypothesize to be formed from the reaction of excess borinium species [BBr2] ⁺ with the solvent *o*-DFB.

Figure S 36: ³¹P NMR spectrum of the crude mixture of 1, BBr³ and Tl[TEF] in o-DFB; marks the signals for compound **2** and \bullet *marks those assigned to the protonated species* $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5H)]^+$ *.*

Attempts to functionalize [Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-P₅)] (1) with *in situ* generated phosphenium ions suffer from the high tendency of phosphinophposphonium ion $([R_2P-P(C|R_2]^+)$ formation upon exposing Chlorophosphanes (R₂PCI, R = Aryl, Alkyl) to Lewis acidic conditions.^[27a] As this behavior can be attributed to the high (compared to e. g. the respective Chloroarsines) Lewis basicity of most Chlorophosphanes, we thought $PBr₃$ could be a suitable phosphenium ion precursor for the functionalization of 1. Indeed, the desired product $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5PBr_2)]^+$ can

*Figure S 37: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture containing 1, PBr³ and Tl[TEF] (1:1:1) in CD2Cl² recorded at 193 K and signal assignment; * marks the broad signal for 1, which coordinates to Tl⁺ in solution.*

Figure S 38: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of the 1:1:1 mixture of 1, PBr³ and Tl[TEF] in CD2Cl² recorded at different temperatures and times (right); ◼ *marks the group of signals assigned to 12.*

be detected NMR spectroscopically (Figure S37) in mixtures of 1, PBr₃ and TI[TEF] in CD₂Cl₂, which are cooled to -80 °C (already after ~10min, the reaction seems to be nearly complete). However, this compound appears to be highly unstable in solution and decomposes above −60 °C, prohibiting its isolation and further characterization. When the sample is allowed to warm from -80 °C to room temperature over the course of 6 h, this decomposition process can be monitored (Figure S38), revealing [Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-P₅Br)][TEF] (12) as the major product of this decomposition. However, formation of several other P-containing (and probably ionic) products is visible. Despite various attempts, separation of this product mixture was not possible.

Figure S 39: $31P$ Chemical shifts within the pentaphosphole complexes 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 and 11 – 13 showing a significant *upfield shift for P1, while the signal for P2/3 experiences a downfield shift; Spectra of all other pentaphospholes complexes reported herein are not considered as they do not show resolved 31P NMR spectra at room temperature.*

Comparison of the ³¹P NMR spectra of the obtained pentaphosphole complexes reveals a periodic trend (Figure S39) according to which, the signal assigned to P1 is shifted upfield with the main group of the central atom of the electrophile. Similarly, the signal assigned to P2/3 is shifted downfield in the same order. Note that compounds **3**, **6**, **8** and **10** are not included in this consideration, as they do not show well resolved ³¹P NMR spectra at room temperature. Comparison of the above shown NMR spectroscopic data to structural parameters of the respective compounds reveals a very similar periodic trend for the pyramidalization at the P1 atom (which also represents the folding angle of the envelope cyclo- P_5 structure, Figure S40). Both, data from X-ray structural analysis (blue) and computational data (red) show an increase of the pyramidalization at the P1 atom, where the computational data slightly overestimates the experimentally derived values. This may suggest a dependency of the ³¹P NMR chemical shifts within the studied pentaphosphole complexes on the observed pyramidalization at P1.

Figure S 40: Summed up ∢(*P2-P1-E),* ∢(*P5-P1-E) and* [∢] *(P2-P1-P1) angles around P1 decreasing with the main group of the central atom of the electrophile determined from Xray crystallographic data (blue) and from the computationally optimized structures (vide infra, red).*

6.5.4. Computational Details

General Considerations

DFT calculations were performed using the Gaussian09 software package.^[53] Geometry optimizations were performed at the B3LYP^[32]/def2-TZVP^[33] (or B3LYP/def2-SVP^[33] for compounds 14 and 15) level of theory with PCM solvent correction for CH₂Cl₂.^[34] Stationary points were verified by analytical frequency calculations. Magnetic shielding tensors were calculated using the PBE0^[38] functional and the basis sets aug-pcSseg-1^[39] (for P) and def2-TZVPPD^[33,40] (all other atoms). Ring critical points were derived using MultiWFN.^[37c] Charge decomposition analysis (CDA)^[36] was also performed utilizing MultiWFN. The basis sets def2-TZVPPD and aug-pcSseg-1 were generated with the help of the open access "basis set exchange" web page.[54]

NBO Analyses

NBO analysis was performed on the pre-optimized (B3LYP/def2-TZVP, PCM solvent correction for CH_2Cl_2) molecular structures of $2 - 15$ using the NBO6.0 software package^[35] and its implementation in Gaussian09. Wiberg bond indices (WBI, Table S16) for the respective P1-E and P1-Fe bonds have also been obtained from these calculations. These WBIs suggest covalent P1-E single bonds for most of the obtained pentaphosphole complexes. However, the WBIs for the P1-Ga and P1-Si bonds in **3** and **6**, respectively, suggest weaker and more polar bonds in these cases. The more expressed bond polarity in these cases is also expressed by the orbital contributions (from P1 and the central atom of the electrophile) to the respective bonding NBO. The P1-E bond lengths determined in the solid state are generally well reproduced by our calculations. However, the closest P-Sb contact in **8** is highly overestimated and no orbital interaction between both fragments could be found. Thus, the structure of **8** seems to mostly depend on electrostatic and dispersive interactions, which is also indicated by its highly dynamic behaviour in solution (*vide supra*). Analysing the natural charges within **2** – **13** reveals a clear trend (Figure S41) for the charge accumulation at the fragment {**1**} and the respective electrophile fragment {E} upon formation of the pentaphosphole complex. Thus, charge transfer, computed via extended charge decomposition analysis (ECDA), increases, the higher the electronegativity (Allred/Rochow) of the central atom of the electrophile is (Figure S42). Again, **8** does not follow this trend, which we attribute to its significantly different molecular structure. Furthermore, the charge transfer in **2** and **3** is more expressed, as the positive charge can be distributed across two units of {**1**}.

Table S 16: Selected computational and experimental parameters for the pentaphosphole complexes 2 – 7 and 9 – 13; a) As 2 and 3 are dinuclear complexes the average of the actual values is provided for clarity; b) The charge transfer from fragment {1} to the respective fragments {E} is obtained by ECDA; c) The cations in 2 and 3 were dissected into two fragments (Fragment $1 = 2[*](1)$, Fragment $2 = \{EX_2\}^+$) for ECDA and the value in brackets is *simply the charge transfer per {1} unit.*

Comp	WBI	Orbital	$d(P1-E)/\AA$		\sum charge		Charge			
ound	(P1-E)	contribution P1-E					Transfer			
		to σ (P1-E) NBO					$\{1\} \rightarrow \{E\}^{b}$			
			Exp.	Theo.	${1}$	$\{E\}$				
$\mathbf{2}$	0.89a)	57/43a	$1.985(7)^a$	2.01a	0.79a)	$-0.58a$	$1.13(0.57)$ ^{c)}			
3	0.58a)	$79/21^{a}$	$2.399(1)^a$	2.46a)	0.43a)	0.14a	$1.11(0.56)^{c}$			
4	0.92	45/55	1.853(4)	1.86	1.09	-0.09	0.85			
5	0.88	45/55	1.866(4)	1.89	1.09	-0.09	0.84			
6	0.72	72/28	2.3053(8)	2.35	0.57	0.43	0.68			
7	0.82	67/33	2.348(1)	2.38	0.63	0.37	0.63			
8			3.236(2)	4.53	0.01	0.99	0.02			
9	0.98	53/47	2.2234(7)	2.25	0.84	0.16	0.83			
10	0.92	62/38	2.438(2)	2.48	0.67	0.33	0.74			
11	0.96	36/64		2.03	1.17	-0.17	1.09			
12	0.98	41/59		2.21	1.06	-0.06	1.05			
13	0.99	51/49	2.385(1)	2.42	0.89	0.11	0.94			

Figure S 41: Natural charge accumulation at {1} and the employed electrophile {E} against the electronegativity of the central atom of the electrophile.

Figure S 42: Charge transfer from {1} to the respective electrophile {E} within in cations 2 – 13, computed via ECDA of their optimized geometries (vide supra, against the electronegativity of the central atom of the electrophile.

NICS Values

 $NICS^[37]$ values (Table S17) were obtained on the ligand geometries from the optimized structures of the cationic pentaphosphole complexes as well as **1**, **14** and **15**. The computed values derived for the (cyclo-P₅)⁻ ligand in 1 and the parent pentaphosphole ligand compare well with those previously calculated on a different level of theory. Ring critical points and the points above and below the ring plane, respectively, were derived using MultiWFN. Magnetic shielding tensors were calculated using the correlation functional PBE0 and the basis sets augpcSseg-1 (for P atoms) and def2-TZVPPD (for all other atoms: C, H, B, Ga, Si, As, Se, Te, Cl, Br, I; in case of **15**, the aug-pcSseg-1 basis set was used for B and Br). The negative of the eigenvalue (z) of the magnetic shielding tensor at the respective position (RCP (0), one angstrom above (+1) and one angstrom below (-1)) represents the corresponding NICS value.

*Table S 17: NICS values for compounds 2 – 7 and 9 – 15 obtained on the PBE1/aug-pcSseg-1/def2-TZVPPD level of theory; NICS values of the ligand geometries in 1 and [Cp*Fe(5 -P5H)]⁺ were recalculated for better comparison; for 15, the NICS values for both P4-rings are listed, as they are chemically distinct within the solid state structure of this compound.*

To evaluate possible correlation between the calculated NICS $(1/-1)_{zz}$ values and the pyramidalization at P1, the former values are plotted against the sum of the respective P2-P1- R, P5-P1-R and P2-P1-P5 bond angles at P1 (Figure S43). While a general trend of decreasing $NICS(1/1)_{zz}$ values (thus increasing aromaticity) for increasing planarization of P1 can be observed within this plot, the presence of two outliers, namely compounds **2** and **5**, suggests a more complicated electronic situation for the pentaphosphole complexes in question.

Figure S 43: Plot of the calculated NICS(1/-1)zz for compounds 2 – 7 and 9 – 13 against the sum of the bond angles at P1 of the respective compound; Labelling according to color code and compound number.

Optimized Geometries

[2]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -11894.674338, Enthalpies/H = -11894.673394, Free Energies/H = -11894.802066, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1241.735

[3]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -9242.030969, Enthalpies/H = -9242.030024, Free Energies/H = -9242.164203, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1233.115

[4]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -3631.534317, Enthalpies/H = -3631.533373, Free Energies/H = -3631.616864, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 925.420

H -4.2605090 3.6951340 2.2048220 H -4.5343590 3.1900810 -2.0419460 H -5.1056760 4.4662300 0.0044090

L

H 1.8218150 -2.0755980 3.4513290 H 0.2421580 0.9353090 2.9225260 H 1.6535540 1.6318080 3.7070860 C -3.1491680 -1.6422780 0.2985780 C -4.1022360 -1.7620380 -0.7222600 C -2.8383250 -2.7780180 1.0606920 C -4.7225390 -2.9795390 -0.9738110 H -4.3758110 -0.9024930 -1.3208320 C -3.4591520 -3.9953050 0.8087370 H -2.1127370 -2.7147790 1.8628400 C -4.4007570 -4.0972340 -0.2100150 H -5.4596210 -3.0549220 -1.7629460 H -3.2117300 -4.8612430 1.4093260 H -4.8865660 -5.0446930 -0.4055890 C -3.2211450 1.5471190 0.3316600 C -3.5736190 2.3707440 1.4109460 C -3.6045040 1.9381450 -0.9597400 C -4.2955000 3.5412810 1.2067350 H -3.2859480 2.0993370 2.4196260 C -4.3243040 3.1082220 -1.1628360 H -3.3380880 1.3370990 -1.8207640 C -4.6721020 3.9101580 -0.0796460 H -4.5610340 4.1642550 2.0511800 H -4.6124450 3.3955430 -2.1658820 H -5.2327730 4.8222540 -0.2396550 Si -2.3081580 -0.0312640 0.6963710
H -1.7955580 -0.0380600 2.0781410

H -1.7955580 -0.0380600

[7]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -6066.908076, Enthalpies/H = -6066.907131, Free Energies/H = -6067.010590, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1455.621

[8]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -4563.845732, Enthalpies/H = -4563.844788, Free Energies/H = -4563.976174, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1716.721

[9]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -3658.406358, Enthalpies/H = -3658.405413, Free Energies/H $= -3658.481294$, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 612.879

[10]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -3978.258935, Enthalpies/H = -3978.257990, Free Energies/H = -3978.359390, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1065.305

[11]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -3820.828078, Enthalpies/H = -3820.827134, Free Energies/H = -3820.902382, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 613.509

[12]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -5934.784939, Enthalpies/H = -5934.783995, Free Energies/H = -5934.858303, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 613.138

[13]⁺

B3LYP/def2TZVP: Energies/H = -3658.406358, Enthalpies/H = -3658.405413, Free Energies/H = -3658.481294, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 612.879

14

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = -2312.831455, Enthalpies/H = -2312.830511, Free Energies/H $= -2312.924899$, ZPVE/ kJ/mol $= 1164.342$

H -4.432602000 -1.468589000 -2.052451000 H -4.164166000 -1.468635000 -0.309716000

[15]⁺

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = -9798.557610, Enthalpies/H = -9798.556666, Free Energies/H $= -9798.733683$, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 2349.701

6.6. References

- [1] a) C. Friedel, J. M. Crafts, *Compt. Rend.***1877**, *84*, 1392; b) C. Friedel, J. M. Crafts, *Compt. Rend.* **1877**, *84*, 1450.
- [2] a) R. Brückner, *Reaktionsmechanismen*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, **2004**, 203- 235; b) P. Y. Bruice, *Organische Chemie*, Pearson Studium, Hallbergmoos, **2013**, 627-642.
- [3] a) T. J. Kealy, P. L. Pauson, *Nature* **1951**, *168*, 1039–1040; b) R. B. Woodward, M. Rosenblum, M. C. Whiting, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 3458–3459.
- [4] E. O. Fischer, K. Öfele, *Chem. Ber.* **1957**, *90*, 2532–2535.
- [5] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [6] a) M. Baudler, T. Etzbach, *Chem. Ber.* **1991**, *124*, 1159–1160; b) V. A. Milyukov, A. V. Kataev, O. G. Sinyashin, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2006**, *55*, 1297– 1299; c) M. Jo, A. Dragulescu-Andrasi, L. Z. Miller, C. Pak, M. Shatruk, *Inorg. Chem.* **2020**, *59*, 5483–5489.
- [7] M. Baudler, S. Akpapoglou, D. Ouzounis, F. Wasgestian, B. Meinigke, H. Budzikiewic, H. Münster, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 280–281.
- [8] a) L. Nyulászi, *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 4690–4693; b) M. N. Glukhovtsev, A. Dransfeld, P. v. R. Schleyer, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 13447–13454; c) A. Dransfeld, L. Nyulászi, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 4413–4420; d) M. K. Cyrański, P. v. R. Schleyer, T. M. Krygowski, H. Jiao, G. Hohlneicher, *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 1657–1665; e) W. P. Ozimiński, J. C. Dobrowolski, *Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *313*, 123–132; f) L. Wang, H. J. Wang, W. B. Dong, Q. Y. Ge, L. Lin, *Struct. Chem.* **2007**, *18*, 25–31; g) W.-Q. Li, L.-L. Liu, J.-K. Feng, Z.-Z. Liu, A.-M. Ren, G. Zhang, C.-C. Sun, *J. Theor. Comput. Chem.* **2008**, *07*, 1203–1214; (h) D. Josa, A. Peña-Gallego, J. Rodríguez-Otero, E. M. Cabaleiro-Lago, *J. Mol. Model.* **2011**, *17*, 1267–1272.
- [9] a) O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 59; b) M. Baudler, T. Etzbach, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 580–582; c) A. R. Kudinov, D. A. Loginov, Z. A. Starikova, P. V. Petrovskii, M. Corsini, P. Zanello, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *2002*, 3018–3027; d) E. Urnius, W. W. Brennessel, C. J. Cramer, J. E. Ellis, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 832–834; e) C. M. Knapp, B. H. Westcott, M. A. C. Raybould, J. E. McGrady, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9097–9100; f) S. Heinl, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 1962–1966.
- [10] a) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4164–4177; b) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4178– 4235; c) C. M. Hoidn, D. J. Scott, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 1886–1902.
- [11] a) J. Bai, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1737–1740; b) J. Bai, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Science* **2003**, *300*, 781–783; c) M. Scheer, J. Bai, B. P. Johnson, R. Merkle, A. V. Virovets, C. E. Anson, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*

2005, *2005*, 4023–4026; d) M. Scheer, L. J. Gregoriades, A. V. Virovets, W. Kunz, R. Neueder, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 5689–5693; e) M. Scheer, A. Schindler, R. Merkle, B. P. Johnson, M. Linseis, R. Winter, C. E. Anson, A. V. Virovets, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 13386–13387; f) S. Welsch, L. J. Gregoriades, M. Sierka, M. Zabel, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 9323–9326; g) M. Scheer, L. J. Gregoriades, R. Merkle, B. P. Johnson, F. Dielmann, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon, Relat. Elem.* **2008**, *183*, 504– 508; h) M. Scheer, A. Schindler, C. Gröger, A. V. Virovets, E. V. Peresypkina, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5046–5049; i) M. Scheer, A. Schindler, J. Bai, B. P. Johnson, R. Merkle, R. Winter, A. V. Virovets, E. V. Peresypkina, V. A. Blatov, M. Sierka, H. Eckert, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 2092–2107; j) F. Dielmann, A. Schindler, S. Scheuermayer, J. Bai, R. Merkle, M. Zabel, A. V. Virovets, E. V. Peresypkina, G. Brunklaus, H. Eckert, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 1168– 1179; k) E. Peresypkina, C. Heindl, A. Virovets, H. Brake, E. Mädl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 2503–2508.

- [12] M. V. Butovskiy, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2972–2976.
- [13] E. Mädl, M. V. Butovskii, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7643–7646.
- [14] a) F. Riedlberger, S. Todisco, P. Mastrorilli, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 16251–16255; b) R. Yadav, T. Simler, S. Reichl, B. Goswami, C. Schoo, R. Köppe, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 1190–1195.
- [15] D. Tofan, B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 12349–12358.
- [16] a) J. J. Weigand, M. Holthausen, R. Fröhlich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 295–298; b) M. H. Holthausen, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6639– 6657.
- [17] A. K. Adhikari, C. G. P. Ziegler, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18584–18590.
- [18] C. G. P. Ziegler, T. M. Maier, S. Pelties, C. Taube, F. Hennersdorf, A. W. Ehlers, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1302–1308.
- [19] R. Yadav, B. Goswami, T. Simler, C. Schoo, S. Reichl, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 10207–10210.
- [20] C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 23879–23884.
- [21] S. J. Connelly, W. Kaminsky, D. M. Heinekey, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 7478– 7481.
- [22] a) A. Jayaraman, T. V. Jacob, J. Bisskey, B. T. Sterenberg, *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 8788-8791; b) A. Jayaraman, B. T. Sterenberg, *Organometallics* **2016**, *35*, 14, 2367–2377; c) A. Jayaraman, S. Nilewar, T. V. Jacob, B. T. Sterenberg, *ACS Omega* **2017**, *2*, 7849–7861.
- [23] a) G. Capozzi, L. Chiti, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *Chem. Commun.* **1986**, *24*, 1799–1800; b) A. Barth, G. Huttner, M. Fritz, L. Zsolnai, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, *29*, 929–931; c) P. Barbaro, A. Ienco, C. Mealli, M. Peruzzini, O. J. Scherer, G. Schmitt, F. Vizza, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 5196–5210; d) M. Peruzzini, R. R. Abdreimova, Y. Budnikova, A. Romerosa, O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, *689*, 4319–4331; e) B. M. Cossairt, M.-C. Diawara, C. C. Cummins, *Science* **2009**, *323*, 602; f) P. Barbaro, C. Bazzicalupi, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini, P. Stoppioni, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8628–8631; g) C. Schwarzmaier, S. Heinl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13116–13121; h) E. Mädl, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7702–7707; i) A. Cavaillé, N. Saffon-Merceron, N. Nebra, M. Fustier-Boutignon, N. Mézailles, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 1874–1878; j) C. M. Hoidn, T. M. Maier, K. Trabitsch, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18931–18936; k) U. Chakraborty, J. Leitl, B. Mühldorf, M. Bodensteiner, S. Pelties, R. Wolf, *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 3693–3697.
- [24] a) C. Dohmeier, C. Robl, M. Tacke, H. Schnöckel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 564–565; b) S. Schulz, H. W. Roesky, H. J. Koch, G. M. Sheldrick, D. Stalke, A. Kuhn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 1729–1731; c) R. Yadav, T. Simler, B. Goswami, C. Schoo, R. Köppe, S. Dey, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 9443–9447.
- [25] A. Frei, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 7074–7090.
- [26] a) I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 490–502; b) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, N. Mitzel, *Z. anorg. allg. Chem.* **2002**, *628*, 1821–1830.
- [27] a) N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 14404–14410; b) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, L. Müller, I. Raabe, M. Jansen, L. van Wüllen, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 4475–4492; c) J. Possart, A. Martens, M. Schleep, A. Ripp, H. Scherer, D. Kratzert, I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 12305–12313.
- [28] G. Manca, A. Ienco, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2021**, *517*, 120205.
- [29] H. Brake, E. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Piesch, W. Kremer, L. Zimmermann, C. Klimas, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 16241–16246.
- [30] A. Garbagnati, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 5163–5171.
- [31] a) P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 186–197; b) P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 12770–12779; c) P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 2326–2337.
- [32] a) P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **1929**, *123*, 714–733; b) J. C. Slater, *Phys. Rev.* **1951**, *81*, 385–390; c) S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk, M. Nusair, *Can. J. Phys.* **1980**, *58*, 1200–1211; d) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785– 789; e) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098–3100; f) A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652.
- [33] a) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305; b) F. Weigend, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 1057–1065.
- [34] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3093.
- [35] a) E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2013**, *34*, 1363– 1374; b) E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2013**, *34*, 2134.
- [36] a) S. Dapprich, G. Frenking, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 9352–9362; b) M. Xiao, T. Lu, *J. Adv. Phys. Chem.* **2015**, *04*, 111–124.
- [37] a) P. v. R. Schleyer, C. Maerker, A. Dransfeld, H. Jiao, N. J. R. van Eikema Hommes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 6317–6318; b) Z. Chen, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 3842–3888; c) T. Lu, F. Chen, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2012**, *33*, 580–592.
- [38] a) J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868; b) J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 1396; c) C. Adamo, V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 6158–6170.
- [39] F. Jensen, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 132–138.
- [40] a) B. Metz, H. Stoll, M. Dolg, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 2563–2569; b) K. A. Peterson, D. Figgen, E. Goll, H. Stoll, M. Dolg, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *119*, 11113– 11123; c) D. Rappoport, F. Furche, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *133*, 134105–134116.
- [41] F. Dielmann, E. V. Peresypkina, B. Krämer, F. Hastreiter, B. P. Johnson, M. Zabel, C. Heindl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 14833-14837.
- [42] *https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator (30.03.2021)*.
- [43] P. Romanato, S. Duttwyler, A. Linden, K. K. Baldridge and J. S. Siegel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 7828–7829.
- [44] W. Steinkopf, H. Dudek and S. Schmidt, *Ber. dtsch. Chem. Ges. A/B* **1928**, *61*, 1911– 1918.
- [45] O. Behaghel and H. Seibert, *Ber. dtsch. Chem. Ges. A/B* **1932**, *65*, 812–816.
- [46] A. K. S. Chauhan, P. Singh, A. Kumar, R. C. Srivastava, R. J. Butcher and A. Duthie, *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 1955–1959.
- [47] a) H. Sitzmann, P. Zhou and G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1994**, *127*, 3–9; b) M. D. Walter, C. D. Sofield, C. H. Booth and R. A. Andersen, *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 2005–2019.
- [48] S. Humbel, C. Bertrand, C. Darcel, C. Bauduin and S. Jugé, *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 420–427.
- [49] Agilent Technologies Ltd, *CrysAlis PRO*, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, **2014**.
- [50] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- [51] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [52] a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. C,* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [53] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian,A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *"Gaussian 09", Revision E.01, Gaussian Inc.*, Wallingford CT, **2013**.
- [54] a) D. Feller, *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 1571–1586; b) K. L. Schuchardt, B. T. Didier, T. Elsethagen, L. Sun, V. Gurumoorthi, J. Chase, J. Li and T. L. Windus, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2007**, *47*, 1045–1052; c) B. P. Pritchard, D. Altarawy, B. Didier, T. D. Gibson and T. L. Windus, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2019**, *59*, 4814–4820.

7. Teaching Old Tricks to New Dogs – Rational Synthesis of Multi-Decker Complexes Featuring *cyclo***-P⁵ Decks**

Preface

The following chapter has already been published. The article is reproduced with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.

"Teaching Old Tricks to New Dogs – Rational Synthesis of Multi-Decker Complexes Featuring cyclo-P⁵ Decks"

Chem. Commun. **2023,** *59***,** 4495–4498.

Parts of this chapter have already been described within the BSc thesis of Adrian Stadler.

Authors

Christoph Riesinger, David Röhner, Ingo Krossing and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Conceptualization, Synthesis of compounds **1**, **2-Fe** and **3**, Interpretation of computational data, Interpretation of crystallographic data, Writing of original draft. David Röhner – Synthesis of **2-Co**, Writing of original draft Ingo Krossing – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript. Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the projects Sche 384/36-2 and Sche 384/32-2. C. R. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for a PhD fellowship.

7.1. Abstract

A rational synthetic pathway towards a series of cyclo-P5-bearing multi-decker complexes was developed. The combination of $[Cp*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.5}-P_5)]$ (A) with low-valent transition metal cations *yields a series of triple-decker complexes [Cp*Fe(, 5:5 -P5)MCp′′′][WCA] (M = Cr – Ni; WCA = weakly coordinating anion). Utilizing the arene complexes [M(C6R6)2][WCA]ⁿ (M = Fe, Co) instead allowed the synthesis of the unprecedented cyclo-P5-containing quadruple-decker complexes* $[\{Cp*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.5}-P_5)\}$ ₂*M*][pf]_n (M = Fe, Co).

7.2. Introduction

Since the first isolations of ferrocene^[1] and bis-benzene chromium^[2] in 1951 and 1955, respectively, sandwich complexes have become a staple in organometallic and even organic chemistry, being applied in e. g. electrochemistry,^[3] catalysis^[4] or in the stabilisation of exotic compounds as recently reported for a $U(1)$ species.^[5] While the intriguing physical properties $(e, g.$ magnetism)^[6] of these species have attracted considerable scientific interest over the past decades, the classic sandwich structure was rapidly expanded to triple-decker compounds, when Salzer and Werner isolated salts of the [Cp₃Ni₂]⁺ cation (I) in 1972 (Scheme 1).^[7] Since then, the synthesis, reactivity and use of organometallic multi-decker compounds have been an ever-growing field, yielding complexes with up to six decks (**III**).[8] However, while triple-decker complexes are comparatively well-known, the number of reported compounds drastically decreases when it comes to larger multi-decker systems. Their inherently challenging synthesis further diminishes their availability and often deviates from rational synthetic pathways, e. g. as has recently been described for the archetypical $[(COT^{1,4-})]$ Si*i*Pr3)4Sm3] (**II**).[9]

Scheme 1: Selected multi-decker complexes (top) and relevant sandwich as well as multi-decker species bearing cyclo-P⁵ ligands; Si = SiⁱPr3.

Besides multi-decker complexes based purely on carbocyclic ligands,^[10] compounds bearing heteroatomic ligands are of great importance to the field.^[11] Especially, cyclic polyphosphorus (*cyclo*-Pn) ligands received significant attention very early on, which is due to their isolobal relationship with their carbocyclic analogs. Thus, sandwich and triple-decker complexes with cyclo-P_n ligands (n = 3 ,^[12] 4,^[13] 5,^[14] 6^[15]) are well studied species, even finding their way into the use as e. g. building blocks for supramolecular chemistry.^[16] The arguably most prominent one of these complexes is $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (A, $Cp* = C_5Me_5$, Scheme 1),^[14b] the *cyclo-*P₅ analog to prototypical ferrocene, first described by the group of Scherer. Furthermore, the *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand was also used to stabilise the purely inorganic metallocene [Ti(cyclo-P₅)₂]²⁻ (IV)^[17] as well as numerous triple-decker species with planar^[18] and bent^[19] *cyclo-P₅* middle decks. However, various synthetic protocols were used for their preparation which resulted in rather low-yielding syntheses. A general synthetic pathway towards *cyclo-P₅* triple-decker complexes in high yields is still missing and quadruple-decker compounds bearing *cyclo-P₅* ligands are completely unknown to the best of our knowledge. However, **A** displays a promising building block for the synthesis of *cyclo-P₅-bearing multi-decker systems*. With its great potential in coordination chemistry already demonstrated for coinage metal^[20] and heavy group 13 ions.^[21] a combination of **A** and suitable transition metal precursors, such as the arene complexes $[M(C_6R_6)_2][WCA]_n$ (M = Fe,^[22] Co;^[23] n = 1, 2; WCA = weakly coordinating anion), could allow the preparation of *cyclo-P₅* multi-decker complexes. Herein, a general and high-yielding synthetic approach towards such complexes is reported for new hetero-bimetallic triple-decker compounds and then transferred to a series of unprecedented *cyclo-P₅-based* quadrupledecker complexes.

7.3. Results and Discussion

As the reactivity of unsaturated cationic transition metal species has played a key role in the synthesis of triple-decker compounds ever since their first synthesised in 1972,^[7] this methodology could be called an "old trick", which had been envisioned to systematically yield

Scheme 2: Rational synthesis of cationic triple- (left) and quadruple-decker (right) complexes bearing cyclo-P⁵ ligands; yields are reported in parantheses.

cationic *cyclo*-P₅-bearing triple-decker complexes of various metals in combination with **A**, a "new player" in the field of expanded multi-decker systems. Interestingly, *in situ* halide abstraction from $[Cp'''M(\mu-X)]_2$ (M = Cr – Ni, X = Cl, Br, Cp''' = 1,2,4- tBu_3C_5H_2)^[24] and reaction with **A** was found to even provide a general straightforward access to the triple-decker compounds of the type $[Cp*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.5} - P_5)MCp''][WCA]$ (1-Cr – 1-Ni, $[WCA]^- = [BAr^F]^-$ or $[pf]^-$, $[BAr^{F}]^- = [B(C_6F_5)_4]^-$, $[pf]^- = [A{(OC(CF_3)_3}_4]^-$; Scheme 2). The compounds **1-Cr** – **1-Ni** can be isolated as crystalline solids in good yields of up to 70% after a simple workup. Only the paramagnetic **1-Mn** is obtainable in diminished yields of 20%, due to its complicated separation from side products (see SI). Moving forward, the Cp^{'''} ligands in these complexes may be pictured to be replaced with another moiety of **A** to form unprecedented *cyclo*-P⁵ based quadruple-decker complexes. However, halide abstraction reactions between $FeBr₂$ or CoCl₂ and Tl[*pf*] in the presence of **A** failed to provide the desired products. In contrast, the reaction of two equivalents of **A** with $[Fe(tol)_2][pf]_2$ yields the new homometallic quadruple-decker species $\{ \text{Cp*Fe}(\mu, \eta^{5.5} - P_5) \}$ ₂Fe][pf]₂ (2-Fe) in a straightforward and clean reaction (Scheme 2). Even more interestingly, [Co(*o*-dfb)2][*pf*] readily reacts with **A** to afford a novel heterometallic quadruple-decker complex $[{CD^*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.5}-P_5)}_2Co][pf]$ (2-Co). Both species can be isolated in excellent crystalline yields of 90% enabling the investigation of their physical properties. While both compounds **2-Fe** and **2-Co** display unprecedented quadruple-deckers bearing *cyclo*-P⁵ ligands, the recent exploration of cationic arene complexes of more and more transition metals may allow the preparation of a whole series of such complexes.[22,23,25]

Figure 1: a) Solid-state structure of the triple-decker complexes exemplified for 1-Mn; b) Both structures of 1-Ni in the solid state with the assignment of spin states and their energy difference (H atoms and counterions are omitted *for clarity); c) Experimental (blue) and simulated (red) X-band EPR spectrum of 1-Mn in frozen o-DFB solution at 77 K; d) Calculated spin density of 1-Mn (at B97X-D3/def2-TZVP), cut-off at 0.01 a.u.*

Structurally, the triple-decker complexes **1** reveal a cationic central framework of a *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand sandwiched between a ${Cp^*Fe}$ moiety and another ${Cp^m}$, $(M = Cr - Ni)$ unit (Figure 1a for **1-Mn**). While the *cyclo-P₅* ligand is oriented in a staggered conformation towards the Cp^{*} ligand, it is aligned in an eclipsed fashion to the Cp''' ligand. Moreover, the Fe–M distances expand from 2.666(1) Å (**1-Cr**, 28 VE) to 3.293(1) Å (**1-Ni**, 32 VE). The opposite trend is observed for the P–P bond lengths, which are elongated in **1-Cr** (2.198(5) – 2.235(9) Å) compared to the starting material **A** (2.088(3) – 2.108(3) Å) and gradually decrease down to **1-Ni** (2.115(2) – 2.130(2) Å). Both trends are in line with the increasing number of valence electrons (VE) in the triple-decker system. Furthermore, the solid-state structure of **1-Ni** features two distinct molecular geometries, one of which shows a planar and the other one a bent *cyclo*-P₅ middle deck. This is attributed to a rapid dynamic behaviour of the *cyclo*-P₅ ligand within **1-Ni** and was already observed in the isoelectronic compound $[Cp^*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.5} P_5$)CoCp"^{''[19b]} (Figure 1b). However, spectroscopic investigations suggest the planar conformation of the *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand to be the dominant one for **1-Ni** in solution (*vide infra*). Spectroscopically, the well-resolved ¹H NMR spectra of the complexes **1-Cr** and **1-Fe** reveal their singlet character and agree with rotationally free Cp* and Cp′′′ ligands. Furthermore, **1-Cr** and **1-Fe** show sharp singlets at ∂ ppm = 14.2 and –12.5 in the ³¹P NMR spectrum, respectively. In contrast, the NMR spectra of **1-Mn** indicate its paramagnetic character, which is confirmed by its X-band EPR spectrum. The axial signal with exceptionally hyperfine coupling to the Mn nucleus (Figure 1c) is well reproduced by its simulation and in good agreement with DFT calculations showing the localisation of the spin density at the Mn atom (Figure 1d). Similar behaviour was observed for the cation in **1-Co**. [18c] Despite its even number of VE (32), **1-Ni** is silent in both the NMR and the X-band EPR spectrum, suggesting a triplet ground state in solution. This is further supported by DFT calculations revealing the planar conformation of **1- Ni** (triplet) to be 55 kJ mol⁻¹ more stable than the bent form (singlet, ω B97X-D3/def2-TZVP, see SI). Finally, the Evans method imparts two unpaired electrons for **1-Ni** (see SI), disclosing its triplet ground state. Notably, the isoelectronic neutral compound $[Cp^*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.5} - P_5)CoCp''']$ is a singlet.^[19b]

Figure 2: a) and b) Side view of the solid-state structures of 2-Fe and 2-Co, respectively; c) Top view of the solidstate structure 2-Co (H atoms and counterions omitted for clarity); d) Calculated spin density of 2-Co (at B97X-D3/def2-TZVP), cut-off at 0.01 a.u..

Similarly to the triple-decker species **1**, the Fe–M distance in the quadruple-decker complexes **2** increases from 3.101(1)/3.103(1) Å (**2-Fe**, 42 VE, Figure 2a) to 3.286(1)/3.287(1) Å (**2-Co**, 44 VE, Figure 2b,c) upon addition of two valence electrons. However, the P–P bond lengths remain nearly unchanged going from **2-Fe** (2.122(2) – 2.160(2) Å) to **2-Co** (2.112(2) – 2.141(2) Å) and are only slightly elongated compared to those in A.^[14b] In both species, the *cyclo*-P₅ ligands are arranged in an ecliptic conformation with the Cp* ligands being oriented in a staggered fashion relative to them. While **2-Fe** is a diamagnetic quadruple-decker-species showing a sharp singlet in the ³¹P NMR spectrum at δ ppm = 12.9, **2-Co** shows paramagnetic character despite its 44 VE. The X-band EPR spectrum of **2-Co** remains silent, suggesting a triplet ground state. DFT calculations reveal a highly distorted and energetically unfavored (127 kJ mol⁻¹, ω B97X-D3/def2-TZVP) molecular geometry for a hypothetical singlet configuration, thus pointing towards the triplet character of **2-Co** as well (see SI). Consequently, the Evans method reveals two unpaired electrons, corroborating that indeed **2-Co** has a triplet ground state, with the spin density being highly localised at the Co atom (Figure 2d).

To demonstrate the generalisability of the presented quadruple-decker synthesis even beyond the variation of the central metal atom, the starting material **A** was exchanged for its heavier analog [Cp*Fe(n⁵-As₅)] (B)^[26] bearing a *cyclo*-As₅ ligand. Interestingly, two equivalents of B cleanly react with $[Fe(tol)_2][pf]_2$ (tol = toluene) to afford the unprecedented *cyclo*-As₅ containing quadruple-decker compound [{Cp*Fe(μ , η ^{5:5}-As₅)}₂Fe][*pf*]₂ (3) in almost quantitative 96% crystalline yield (Figure 3a). The dication in **3** shows a quadruple- decker structural motif similar to 2-Fe, featuring, however, two perfectly eclipsed *cyclo*-As₅ ligands on the central Fe atom (Figure 3b). The Fe–Fe distances (3.166(1)/3.173(1) Å) in **3** are longer than in **2-Fe** but still shorter compared to the Fe-Co distance in **2-Co**, thus allowing careful tuning of this crucial structural parameter within the series of herein reported quadruple-decker complexes. Furthermore, the As–As bonds in **3** (2.346(1) – 2.356(1) \hat{A}) are only slightly elongated compared to those in the starting material **B** $(2.317(1) - 2.330(1)$ Å). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3** reveals only one singlet corresponding to chemically equivalent Cp* ligands and indicating its diamagnetic character.

Figure 3: a) Synthesis of 3, a quadruple- decker complex bearing cyclo-As⁵ ligands; b) Its solid-state structure, H atoms and counterions omitted for clarity; tol = toluene.

7.4. Conclusion

In summary, a general synthetic approach towards new *cyclo*-P⁵ bearing triple-decker complexes was developed utilising the reactivity of **A** with *in situ* generated [Cp′′′M]⁺ cations $(M = Cr - Ni)$. The respective products $[Cp*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.5} - P_5)MCp''][WCA]$ (**1-Cr** – **1-Ni**) show intriguing spectroscopic and magnetic properties rendering them promising candidates for further applications. Systematic replacement of $[Cp''M]^+$ by arene-coordinated transition metal cations $[M(\text{arene})]^{n+}$ (n = 1, 2) enabled the synthesis of the unprecedented *cyclo-*P₅ containing quadruple-decker complexes $\{Cp*Fe(\mu, \eta^{5.5}-P_5)\}\text{2M}\}[pf]_n$ (2-Fe, 2-Co). While compounds 2 are the first representatives of this class of compounds, the generality of the herein described approach should allow for the preparation of a wide variety of *cyclo*-P5-based quadruple-decker species. Finally, this method is not limited to *cyclo*-P₅ ligands but can even be transferred to the preparation of *cyclo*-As₅ quadruple-decker compounds. Thus, replacing **A** with [Cp*Fe(n⁵-As₅)] (B) enables the synthesis of [{Cp*Fe(μ , η ^{5:5}-As₅)}₂Fe][*pf*]₂ (3), the first *cyclo*-As₅-containing quadruple-decker species. Notably, the structural and spectroscopic properties of the quadruple-decker species **2** and **3** can easily be varied via the exchange of the central metal ion or middle-deck ligand. Finally, compared to their carbocyclic analogs, the quadruple-decker complexes **2** and **3** bear great potential for further application in e. g. coordination chemistry due to the availability of lone pairs of electrons centered at the pnictogen atoms.

7.5. Supporting Information

7.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under N_2 as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. *o*-DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene) was distilled from P_2O_5 , CD_2Cl_2 was distilled from CaH_2 and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz), ¹¹B (128.432 MHz), ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz)a and ³¹P (161.976 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using $(H_3C)_4Si$ (¹H, ¹³C), BF₃·OEt₂ (¹¹B) CFCI₃ (¹⁹F) or 85% phosphoric acid (³¹P), respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $dt =$ doublet of triplets, $t =$ triplet, $td =$ triplet of doublets br = broad and m = multiplet. Mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 (ESI) or Finnigan MAT 95 (LIFDI) mass spectrometer or by the first author on a Waters Micromass LCT ESI-TOF mass-spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.^[27] Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅)],^[14b] [Cp*Fe(η^5 -As₅)],^[26] [Cp‴M(μ - $[X]_2$ (M = Cr,^[24e] Mn,^[24c] Fe,^[24b] Co,^[24a] Ni,^[24d] X = Cl, Br), K[BAr^F],^[28] Tl[*pf*]^[29] and [Co(*o*dfb)₂][pf^[23] were synthesized according to literature procedures. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification.

1-Cr

A dark blue solution of $[Cp'''Cr(\mu-CI)]_2$ (64 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in 6 mL of o -DFB was added to a dark green solution of $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P_5)]$ (70 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) and K[BAr^F] (144 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) in 6 mL of *o*-DFB. A rapid colour change to red and formation of a colourless solid was observed and the reaction was completed by stirring the solution at room temperature for 1 h. Afterwards the solution was filtered, constricted to 3 mL and layered with 15 mL of *n*-hexane. Storage of this mixture at room temperature for 4 days yielded dark red plate shaped crystals of $[Cp*Fe(\mu;\eta^{5.5}-P_5)CrCp'''][BAr^F]$ (1-Cr), which were isolated by decanting the solvent and drying under reduced pressure $(10^{-3}$ mbar).

1-Mn

 $[Cp'''Mn(thf)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (79 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.), $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (70 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) and Tl[*pf*] (234 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) were suspended in 4 mL of *o*-DFB to afford a clear green solution and formation of a colourless precipitate. The solution was stirred for 17 h and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure (10 3 mbar). 3 mL of CH_2Cl_2 were added to the mixture and the solution was then filtered. The now green solution was layered with 20 mL of *n*-hexane. Storage of this mixture for 2 weeks yielded dark green block shaped crystals of [Cp^{*}Fe(µ;n^{5:5}-P₅)MnCp'''][*pf*] (1-Mn). As the formation of a polymeric coordination compound of [Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-P₅)] and Tl[*pf*] during this reaction cannot be suppressed, the product has to be isolated by mechanical separation of the crystals of **1-Mn**, which results in decreased yields.

1-Fe

 $[Cp'''Fe(\mu-Br)]_2$ (74 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.), $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (70 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) and TI[BAr^F] (277 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) were suspended in 4 mL of *o*-DFB to afford a rapid colour change to greenish blue and formation of a colourless solid. The reaction was completed by stirring the solution at room temperature for 2 h and then the solvent was constrained to 2 mL. Afterwards the solution was filtered, the solvent removed, the residue dissolved in 3 mL of CH2Cl² and layered with 30 mL of *n*-hexane. Storage of this mixture for 7 days yielded dark brownish blue block shaped crystals of $[Cp*Fe(\mu;\eta^{5.5}-P_5)FeCp''][BATE]$ (1-Fe), which were isolated by decanting the solvent and drying under reduced pressure $(10^{-3}$ mbar).

1-Co

1-Co has already been prepared on a different route, we reported previously.^[18c]

 $[Cp'''Co(\mu\text{-}Cl)]_2$ (33 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.), $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5\text{-}P_5)]$ (35 mg, 0.1 mmol, 2 eq.) and Tl[pf] (134 mg, 0.1 mmol, 2 eq.) were suspended in 4 mL of *o*-DFB to afford arapid colour change to dark olive green and formation of a colourless solid. The reaction was completed by stirring the solution at room temperature for 4 h. The solution was filtered and 40 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate [Cp*Fe(µ;n^{5:5}-P₅)CoCp"'][*pf*] (**1-Co**) as an olive green powder, which could be isolated in 53% yield (85 mg, 0.085 mmol). Spectroscopic data of this product matches that reported earlier.

1-Ni

 $[Cp'''Ni(\mu-Br)]_2$ (74 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.), $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (70 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) and K[BAr^F] (144 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) were suspended in 6 mL of *o*-DFB to afford a rapid colour change to brown and formation of a colourless solid. The reaction was completed by stirring the solution at 90 °C for 4 h. Afterwards the solution was filtered, the solvent removed, and the residue washed two times with 15 mL of *n*-hexane, each. The residue was dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB and layered with 10 mL of *n*-hexane. Storage of this mixture at room temperature for 4 days yielded dark brownish block shaped crystals of $[Cp*Fe(\mu;\eta^{5.5}-P_5)NiCp'''][BAr^F]$ (1-Ni), which were isolated by decanting the solvent and drying under reduced pressure $(10^{-3}$ mbar).

2-Fe

 $[Fe(tol)_2][pf]_2$ (217 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) and $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ (70 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) were dissolved in 3 mL of *o*-DFB affording a dark green solution, which was stirred for 10 min. Afterwards the solution was layered with 20 mL of *n*-hexane and stored at 4 °C for three days, yielding dark green plate shaped crystals of [{Cp*Fe(5 -P5)}2Fe][*pf*]² (**2-Fe**).

As crystal quality of **2-Fe** could not be improved to a level suitable for single crystal X-ray diffractometry, its' [BAr^F]⁻ salt was prepared by sonication of [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅)], K[BAr^F] and FeBr₂•dme in o -DFB and crystallized from a CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane layering (3mL/20mL). Notably, the turnover and yield of **2-Fe** in this reaction is drastically decreased compared to the procedure using $[Fe(tol)_2][pf]_2$. Spectroscopic data for both compounds matches.

2-Co

[Co(dfb)2][*pf*] (125 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB was slowly added to [Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-P₅)] (70 mg, 0.2 mmol, 2 eq.) dissolved in 2 mL of o-DFB at -30 °C. A rapid colour change to dark green was observed, the solution stirred for 1 h at room temperature and then constrained to 1 mL. 30 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate a dark green powder, which was dried under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar). 3 mL of o -DFB were added, and the solution was layered with 30 mL of *n*-hexane. Storage at room temperature for two days yielded dark green plate shaped crystals of [{Cp*Fe(5 -P5)}2Co][*pf*] (**2-Co**).

3

 $[Fe(tol)_2][pf]_2$ (24 mg, 0.01 mmol, 1 eq.) and $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-As_5)]$ (11 mg, 0.02 mmol, 2 eq.) were dissolved in 3 mL of *o*-DFB affording a dark brown solution, which was stirred for 2 h. Afterwards the solution was layered with 50 mL of *n*-hexane and stored at room temperature for three days, yielding dark brownish green plate shaped crystals of $[{Cp*Fe(n^5-R)}]$ As5)}2Fe][TEF]² (**3**).

[Fe(tol)2][pf]²

Fe(CO)₅ in 1.3 mL of toluene (1.96 mmol, 384 mg, 1 eq.) was added to a purple suspension of [Ag(CH2Cl2)2][*pf*] (3.92 mmol, 4.88 g, 2 eq.) and I² (497 mg, 1.96 mmol, 1 eq.) in 20 mL of *o*-DFB, which resulted in a rapid colour change to dark red and precipitation of colourless solid. Stirring at 90 °C for 16 hours completed the reaction, after which an orange solution with colourless precipitate was obtained. Filtration and precipitation with *n*-hexane afforded $[Fe(tol)_2][pf]_2$ as analytically pure compound. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies could be obtained by layering a concentrated solution in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane and storage at room temperature for two days.

7.5.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

General Considerations

The crystallographic data for all described compounds were collected on a GV50 diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Titan^{s2} detector using Cu–K_a radiation (1-Cr, 1-Mn, 1-Ni, 2-Co) or an XtaLAB Synergy R, DW System with a HyPix-Arc 150 detector using Cu - K_{α} radiation from a rotating anode (1-Fe, 2-Fe[BAr^F], 3). Data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro software package.[30] Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2 $(1.5$ -alpha)^[31] with ShelXT^[32] (solution) and ShelXL-2018/3^[33] (least squares refinement (F^2)). All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and H atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C–H bond lengths (sp³: 0.96 (CH₃), 0.97 (CH₂); sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Visualisation of the crystal structures was performed with Olex2 (1.5-alpha).[31]

CIF files with comprehensive information on the details of the diffraction experiments and full tables of bond lengths and angles for **1-Cr**, **1-Mn**, **1-Fe**, **1-Ni**, **2-Fe**, **2-Co**, **3 and [Fe(tol)2][***pf***]²** are deposited in Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under the deposition codes CCDC 2242844-2242851.

1-Cr

Compound **1-Cr** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* forming dark red plates from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S1) contains the cation, one anion and one *o*-DFB molecule. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models.

Figure S 1: Solid state structure of 1-Cr; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation, one anion as well as one o-DFB molecule; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

1-Mn

Compound **1-Mn** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* forming dark green blocks from CH2Cl2/*n*-hexane mixtures at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S2) contains the cation and one anion. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 2: Solid state structure of 1-Mn; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation and one anion; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

1-Fe

Compound **1-Fe** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* forming dark brownish-blue blocks from CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane mixtures at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S3) contains the cation and one anion. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within on *^t*Bu group was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 3: Solid state structure of 1-Fe; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation and one anion; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

1-Ni

Compound **1-Ni** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*2¹ forming dark brown blocks from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S4) contains two distinct cations and two anions. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models.

Figure S 4: Solid state structure of 1-Ni; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing two distinct cations and two anions; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

2-Fe

Compound **2-Fe** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* forming dark green plates from CH2Cl2/*n*-hexane mixtures at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S5) contains one cation and two anions, as well 0.9 CH₂Cl₂ molecules. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the *cyclo*-P₅ ligands was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 5: Solid state structure of 2-Fe; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one dication, two anions as well as one CH2Cl² molecule; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.
2-Co

Compound **2-Co** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* forming dark green plates from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S6) contains one cation and one anion and an *o*-DFB molecule. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anion was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 6: Solid state structure of 2-Co; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation, one anion as well as one o-DFB molecule; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

3

Compound **3** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* forming dark brownish green plates from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixtures at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S7) contains one cation, two anions and three *o*-DFB molecules. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anions and solvent molecules was treated with appropriate restraints.

Figure S 7: Solid state structure of 3; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one dication, two anions as well as three o-DFB molecules; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[Fe(tol)2][pf]²

Figure S 8: Solid state structure of [Fe(tol)2][pf]2; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing four half dications and four anions; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

7.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations and EPR Studies

1-Cr

Figure S 9: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1-Cr in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 10: ³¹P (top) and ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) NMR spectrum of 1-Cr in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 11: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1-Cr in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 13: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1-Mn in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; 32 mg of substance were dissolved in 0.6 mL of CD2Cl² inside the NMR tube and a coaxial capillary filled with CD2Cl² was added to determine the number of unpaired electrons in 1-Mn via the Evans method.

Figure S 14: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1-Mn in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 15: X-band EPR spectrum of 1-Mn in o-DFB recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 16: Experimental (bottom) and simulated (top) X-band EPR spectrum of 1-Mn in frozen o-DFB solution recorded at 77 K; Simulation: $g_{\parallel} = 1.976$ *,* $g_{\perp} = 1.902$ *,* $A_{\parallel} = 125.17$ *MHz,* $A_{\perp} = 337.73$ *MHz,* $Iw = 0.53$ *mT, HStrain_I = 114.60 MHz, HStrain┴ = 84.05 MHz, AStrain‖ = 114.20 MHz, AStrain┴ = 0 MHz.*

Simulation of the X-band EPR spectrum of **1-Mn** in frozen *o*-DFB solution at 77 K allowed the determination of g-values and coupling constants, which are given in Figure S16. Minor errors in the simulation persist due to the anisotropy of the signal.

Figure S 17: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1-Fe in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 18: ³¹P (top) and ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) NMR spectrum of 1-Fe in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 19: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1-Fe in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 21: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1-Co in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 22: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1-Ni in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 23: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1-Ni in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 24: ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1-Ni in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 25: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1-Ni in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; 18 mg of substance were dissolved in 0.6 mL of CD2Cl² inside the NMR tube and a coaxial capillary filled with CD2Cl² was added to determine the number of unpaired electrons in 1-Ni via the Evans method.

Figure S 26: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2-Fe in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 27: ³¹P (top) and ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) NMR spectrum of 2-Fe in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 28: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2-Fe in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 29: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2-Co in o-DFB with added C6D6 capillary *recorded at room temperature.*

Figure S 30: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2-Co in o-DFB with added C6D6 capillary *recorded at room temperature.*

Figure S 31: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2-Co in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; 20 mg of substance were dissolved in 0.2 mL of CD2Cl² inside the NMR tube and a coaxial capillary filled with CD2Cl² was added to determine the number of unpaired electrons in 2-Co via the Evans method.

Figure S 32: ^{*1H NMR spectrum of 3 in CD*₂*Cl*₂ *recorded at room temperature with traces of o-DFB at* δ *= 7.1 ppm.*}

Figure S 33: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature with traces of o-DFB at = 140 ppm.

[Fe(tol)2][pf]²

Figure S 34: ¹H NMR spectrum of [Fe(tol)2][pf]² in o-DFB with added C6D6 capillary *recorded at room temperature.*

Figure S 35: ¹⁹*F{*¹*H}* NMR spectrum of **[Fe(tol)**₂**][pf]**₂ in o-DFB with added C_6D_6 capillary *recorded at room temperature.*

7.5.4. Computational Details

General Considerations

DFT calculations were performed using the Orca 5.0 software package.^[34] The sterically demanding Cp* ligands in **2-Fe** and **2-Co** were replaced with unsubstituted Cp ligands to save computational resources. Geometry optimizations were performed at the ω B97X-D3^[35]/def2-TZVP^[36] level of theory with PCM solvent correction for CH₂Cl₂.^[37] Stationary points were verified by analytical frequency calculations. Single point calculations were performed at the ω B97X-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory with solvent correction as described above.

Spin Density Distribution and Energetic Comparison

To gain insight into the electronic structure of especially the paramagnetic species **1-Mn**, **1-Ni** and **2-Co**, their spin densities were analysed (Figure S36). While the spin density in **1-Mn** is clearly localized at the Mn atom, the two unpaired electrons in **1-Ni** and **2-Co** are centred at the Ni and Co atoms, respectively. Only in **1-Ni**, minor contributions from the Cp′′′ ligand are apparent. As for both latter species a hypothetical singlet electron configuration would be possible and is transiently even observed for **1-Ni** in the solid state, the energetic separation between this singlet configuration and the experimentally observed triplet ground state was of interest. Thus, both the compounds **1-Ni** and **2-Co** were optimized as singlet as well as triplet configuration, the geometries compared, and the energetic separation determined (Figure S37). In both cases, the triplet configuration is 55 kJ/mol (**1-Ni**) and 127 kJ/mol (**2-Co**) more stable, respectively.

Figure S 36: Calculated spin density distribution for 1-Mn, 1-Ni and 2-Co; cutoff: 0.01 a. u.

Figure S 37: Comparison of optimized molecular structures for 1-Ni (left) and 2-Co (right) in case of a singlet or triplet electronic configuration, each.

Optimized Geometries

1-Mn

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -5176.41492301, Enthalpies/H = -5176.41397880, Free Energies/H = -5176.51963493, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 441.30

1-Ni (triplet)

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -5533.77609673, Enthalpies/H = -5533.77515253, Free Energies/H = -5533.88116412, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 439.97

1-Ni (singlet)

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -5533.75348611, Enthalpies/H = -5533.75254190, Free Energies/H = -5533.86009630, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 440.62

2-Fe'

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -7591.66093026, Enthalpies/H = -7591.65998606, Free Energies/H = -7591.73656363, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 124.09

2-Co' (triplet)

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -7710.95679967, Enthalpies/H = -7710.95585546, Free Energies/H = -7711.03591349, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 123.19

2-Co' (singlet)

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -7710.90921936, Enthalpies/H = -7710.90827516, Free Energies/H = -7710.98747581, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 123.07

7.6. References

- [1] a) T. J. Kealy, P. L. Pauson, *Nature* **1951**, *168*, 1039–1040; b) G. Wilkinson, M. Rosenblum, M. C. Whiting, R. B. Woodward, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 2125– 2126; c) E. O. Fischer, W. Pfab, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1952**, *7*, 377–379.
- [2] E. O. Fischer, W. Hafner, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1955**, *10*, 665–668.
- [3] G. Gritzner, J. Kuta, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1984**, *56*, 461–466.
- [4] G. G. Hlatky, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *181*, 243–296.
- [5] L. Barluzzi, S. R. Giblin, A. Mansikkamäki, R. A. Layfield, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 18229–18233.
- [6] a) F.-S. Guo, B. M. Day, Y.-C. Chen, M.-L. Tong, A. Mansikkamäki, R. A. Layfield, *Science* **2018**, *362*, 1400–1403; b) F. T. Edelmann, *New J. Chem.* **2011**, *35*, 517– 528.
- [7] a) A. Salzer, H. Werner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1972**, *11*, 930–932; b) E. Dubler, M. Textor, H.-R. Oswald, A. Salzer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1974**, *13*, 135–136.
- [8] T. Kuhlmann, W. Siebert, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1985**, *40*, 167–170.
- [9] L. Münzfeld, A. Hauser, P. Hädinger, F. Weigend, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 24493–24499.
- [10] V. Beck, D. O'Hare, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, *689*, 3920–3938.
- [11] a) O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 212–213; b) X. Wang, M. Sabat, R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 12218–12226; c) M. Piesch, M. Scheer, *Organometallics* **2020**, *39*, 4247–4252; d) C. Rödl, G. Hierlmeier, R. Wolf, *Chem. Commun.* **2022**, *58*, 12212–12215.
- [12] a) O. J. Scherer, J. Braun, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1990**, *123*, 471–475; b) E. Mädl, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7702–7707.
- [13] F. Dielmann, A. Timoshkin, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 1671–1675.
- [14] a) O. J. Scherer, J. Schwalb, G. Wolmershäuser, W. Kaim, R. Gross, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986**, *25*, 363–364; b) O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 59.
- [15] a) O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmannn, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl*. **1985**, *24*, 351–353; b) O. J. Scherer, H. Swarowsky, G. Wolmershäuser, W. Kaim, S. Kohlmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 1153–1155; c) O. J. Scherer, J. Schwalb, H. Swarowsky, G. Wolmershäuser, W. Kaim, R. Gross, *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, *121*, 443–449.
- [16] E. Peresypkina, A. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *446*, 213995.
- [17] E. Urne˙žius, W. W. Brennessel, C. J. Cramer, J. E. Ellis, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 832–834.
- [18] a) O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1989**, *122*, 2049–2054; b) B. Rink, O. J. Scherer, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1992**, *125*,

1011–1016; c) M. Piesch, S. Reichl, C. Riesinger, M. Seidl, G. Balazs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 9129–9140.

- [19] a) T. Li, J. Wiecko, N. A. Pushkarevsky, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 9491–9495; b) M. Piesch, F. Dielmann, S. Reichl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 1518–1524; c) X. Sun, A. K. Singh, R. Yadav, D. Jin, M. Haimerl, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commmun.* **2022**, *58*, 673–676.
- [20] a) S. Welsch, C. Gröger, M. Sierka, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1435–1438; b) F. Dielmann, A. Schindler, S. Scheuermayer, J. Bai, R. Merkle, M. Zabel, A. V. Virovets, E. V. Peresypkina, G. Brunklaus, H. Eckert, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 1168–1179; c) C. Heindl, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 10938–10941; d) M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 14332–14336; e) E. Peresypkina, K. Grill, B. Hiltl, A. V. Virovets, W. Kremer, J. Hilgert, W. Tremel, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 12132–12142; f) M. Haimerl, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, P. Mastrorilli, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 5840–5850; g) H. Brake, E. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, W. Kremer, C. Klimas, C. Schwarzmaier, M. Scheer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 6027–6039.
- [21] a) S. Welsch, L. J. Gregoriades, M. Sierka, M. Zabel, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 9323–9326; b) M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, H. Krauss, M. Schmidt, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Sierka, C. Gröger, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 3759–3768.
- [22] E. O. Fischer, R. Böttcher, *Chem. Ber.* **1956**, *89*, 2397–2400.
- [23] S. C. Meier, A. Holz, J. Kulenkampff, A. Schmidt, D. Kratzert, D. Himmel, D. Schmitz, E.-W. Scheidt, W. Scherer, C. Bülow, M. Timm, R. Lindblad, S. T. Akin, V. Zamudio-Bayer, B. v. Issendorff, M. A. Duncan, J. T. Lau, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 9310–9314.
- [24] a) F. Baumann, E. Dormann, Y. Ehleiter, W. Kaim, J. Kärcher, M. Kelemen, R. Krammer, D. Saurenz, D. Stalke, C. Wachter, G. Wolmershäuser, H. Sitzmann, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *587*, 267–283; b) M. Wallasch, G. Wolmershäuser, H. Sitzmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 2597–2599; c) M. Maekawa, M. Römelt, C. G. Daniliuc, P. G. Jones, P. S. White, F. Neese, M. D. Walter, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2972–2979; d) M. Schär, D. Saurenz, F. Zimmer, I. Schädlich, G. Wolmershäuser, S. Demeshko, F. Meyer, H. Sitzmann, O. M. Heigl, F. H. Köhler, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 6298–6305; e) M. Kreye, C. G. Daniliuc, M. Freytag, P. G. Jones, M. D. Walter, *Dalt. Trans.* **2014**, *43*, 9052–9060.
- [25] M. Schmitt, M. Mayländer, T. Heizmann, S. Richert, C. Bülow, K. Hirsch, V. Zamudio-Bayer, J. T. Lau, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *134*, e202211555.
- [26] O. J. Scherer, C. Blath, G. Wolmershäuser, *J.Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, *387*, C21– C24.
- [27] *https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator* (30.01.2023).
- [28] V. D. Makhaev, A. N. Galiullin, E. E. Faingol´d, N. M. Bravaya, L. A. Petrova, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2014**, *63*, 651–656.
- [29] M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, N. Mitzel, *Z. anorg. allg. Chem.* **2002**, *628*, 1821–1830.
- [30] Agilent Technologies Ltd, *CrysAlis PRO*, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, **2014**.
- [31] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- [32] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [33] a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. C* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [34] a) F. Neese, F. Wennmohs, U. Becker, C. Rieplinger, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *152*, 224108–224126; b) F. Neese, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 1606–1621.
- [35] Y.-S. Lin, G.-D. Li, S.-P. Mao, J. D. Chai, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 263–272.
- [36] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305.
- [37] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3094.

8. Synthesis and Reactivity of a Cyclooctatetraene-Like Polyphosphorus Ligand Complex [*Cyclo***-P8]**

Preface

The following chapter has already been published. The article is reproduced with permission from Wiley-VCH. License Number: 5637011373493

"Synthesis and Reactivity of a Cyclooctatetraene-Like Polyphosphorus Ligand Complex [Cyclo-P8]"

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2023***, 62,* e202218828.

Angew. Chem. **2023***, 135,* e202218828.

Parts of this chapter have already been described within the PhD thesis of Dr. Fabian Dielmann.

Authors

Christoph Riesinger, Fabian Dielmann, Robert Szlosek, Alexander V. Virovets and Manfred **Scheer**

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Synthesis of **3** ,**4**, **5** and further reactivity studies, Interpretation of crystallographic data (**3**, **4**, **5**), Interpretation of computational data, writing of original draft. Fabian Dielmann – Synthesis of **A**, **B**, **1**, **2** and **2a**, writing of original draft. Robert Szlosek – Performance and interpretation of electrochemical investigations. Alexander V. Virovets – X-ray data acquisition, Interpretation of crystallographic data (**A**, **B**, **1**). Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the projects Sche 384/36-2 and Sche 384/32-2. C. R. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for a PhD fellowship. R. S. is grateful to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for a PhD fellowship. The authors thank Prof. Dr. Karaghiosoff (LMU Munich) for the measurement of 2D-31P NMR experiments.

8.1. Abstract

The thermolysis of Cp'''Ta(CO)⁴ with white phosphorus (P4) gives access to $[$ {Cp"'Ta}₂ $(\mu, \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1}$ -P₈)] (**A**), representing the first complex containing a cyclo-octatetraene*like (COT) cyclo-P⁸ ligand. While ring sizes of n > 6 have remained elusive for cyclo-Pⁿ structural motifs, the choice of the transition metal, co-ligand and reaction conditions allowed the isolation of A. Reactivity investigations reveal its versatile coordination behaviour as well as its redox properties. Oxidation leads to dimerization to afford [{Cp'''Ta}4(4, 2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1 -* P_{16})][TEF]₂ (4, TEF = [Al(OC{CF₃}₃)₄]). Reduction, however, leads to the fission of one P–P *bond in A followed by rapid dimerization to form [K@[2.2.2]cryptand]2[{Cp'''Ta}4(4, 2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1 -P16)] (5), which features an unprecedented chain-type P¹⁶ ligand. Lastly, A serves as a P² synthon, via ring contraction to the triple-decker complex [{Cp'''Ta}2(, 6:6 -P6)] (B).*

8.2. Introduction

Carbocyclic compounds are of tremendous importance as e. g. in organic drug-like molecules or ligands in transition metal catalysts. Closely related cyclic oligo-phosphorus compounds, although synthetically more challenging, have also gained considerable attention over the past decades.^[1] This development was initiated by the fundamental interest in the close relationship (cross relation, isolobality between P and CH)^[2] of oligo-phosphorus compounds with carbonbased species. In addition, the field of white phosphorus (P_4) activation has been growing significantly in recent years and has thus raised additional interest in these compounds from

Scheme 1: a) Known cyclo-(PR)ⁿ (I, R = alkyl or aryl) and cyclo-Pⁿ structural motifs for n = 3 – 6 (II – V), b) selected P₈ species (VI – VII, {L_nM} = {Cp*₂Sm}, {(^{dmp}nacnac)Fe} (^{dmp}nacnac = [{N(C₆H₃Me₂-2,6)C(Me)}₂CH]⁺), {(1,1'- $Fc(NSiBuMe₂)₂)Sc_i$ and crown-shaped cyclo-E₈⁸-containing compounds (*VIII*, $E = As$, Sb, $M = Cr$, Mo, Nb, Ta), c) *synthesis of [{Cp'''Ta}2(, 2:2:2:2:1:1 -P8)] (A).*

an application-oriented perspective.^[3] Simple organically substituted cyclic oligo-phosphines (RP)ⁿ (**I**, Scheme 1a) were already prepared as early as 1877.[4] Since then, development of various synthetic protocols has yielded $(RP)_n$ compounds (n = 3 – 6), in which the sterics of R govern the overall ring size.^[1a-c, 5] Notably, however, the ring size of $n = 6$ could not be exceeded and larger organo-polyphosphines, such as 'Bu₆P₈, form bicyclic systems.^[6] Besides organic substitution, oligo-phosphorus species can also be stabilized via coordination to transition metals. Very prominently, the Figueroa group recently was able to stabilize the elusive P_2 at a mononuclear iron center.^[7] Besides, several completely unsubstituted cyclic P_n ligands ($n = 3 - 6$), could be stabilized within the coordination sphere of transition metals (Scheme 1a). While *cyclo-P*_n (n = 3 – 5) ligands can be obtained within sandwich type complexes (II,^[8], III,^[9] IV^[10]), *cyclo*-P₆ (V)^[11] could this far only be obtained within triple-decker complexes. Even though complexes with far larger oligo-phosphorus moieties (up to 24 Patoms) are known, such species unexceptionally feature aggregated polycyclic structural motifs.^[12] Thus, monocyclic all-phosphorus species with more than six ring atoms are unknown to date. These facts raised the question whether compounds featuring larger all-phosphorus rings are accessible and how such species can be stabilized. Such compounds would be optimal starting materials on the way towards extended oligo-phosphorus frameworks. Additionally, their large ring size could allow for their use as transfer reagents of smaller P_n units under ring contraction. While the isolobal relationship of *cyclo-P₈* to *cyclo-octatetraene* (COT) renders its coordinative stabilization viable, known P_8 ligand complexes feature polycyclic arrangements,^[13] as e. g. the cunean type (VI)^[14] or related (VII)^[15] structures (Scheme 1b). While highly ionic crown-shaped *cyclo*-E₈⁸⁻ structural motifs (VIII)^[16] could be obtained for the heavier congeners arsenic and antimony, their transfer to phosphorus has not yet been possible. However, a neutral *cyclo*-As₈ ligand could be stabilized within a niobium complex,[17] which provides a good starting point for investigations to realize a group 5 *cyclo*- P_8 ligand complex. Herein the synthesis and isolation of a tantalum complex are presented featuring an unprecedented COT-like *cyclo*-P₈ ligand, which notably is the largest cyclic allphosphorus species known to date. Moreover, the reactivity of this exotic compound demonstrates its versatility as building block for large entities in phosphorus chemistry and as a P₂ source by ring contraction reactions.

8.3. Results and Discussion

Careful choice of the metal, the Cp^{R} ligand and the reaction conditions enabled the synthesis of $[\{Cp'''Ta\}_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1}-P_8)]$ (A, $Cp''' = 1,2,4-tBu_3C_5H_2$) via co-thermolysis of P₄ with Cp'''Ta(CO)⁴ in boiling 1,3-diisopropyl-benzene (Scheme 1c). After chromatographic separation (see SI) from the byproducts, $[{Cp''Ta}^{\lambda}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{6.6}-P_6)]$ (**B**, 20%) and $[{Cp}$ "'Ta}₃(μ_3 , η ^{1:1:1:1:1-P₄)(μ_3 , η ^{1:1:1}-P₂)] (**C**, 7%), **A** was isolated as a dark red solid in 41% yield.} Assessing the *cyclo*-P₈ ligand´s potential for coordination chemistry, it was reacted with excess $[W(CO)_5(thf)]$ (Scheme 2). The major product of this reaction is the tetranuclear [{Cp'''Ta}2(4, 2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1 -P8){W(CO)5}2] (**1**). However, the chromatographic separation of **1**

Scheme 2: Reactivity of A towards the Lewis acid [W(CO)5(thf)], its electrophilic functionalization with [AsCy2] + , and its redox chemistry.

from the trinuclear side product $[\{Cp'''Ta\}_2(\mu_3, \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1:1}.P_8)\{4-W(CO)_5\}]$ (2), which decomposes during workup, is difficult and results in rather low isolated yields of 21%. Interestingly, thermolysis of 1 affords cleavage of only one $\{W(CO)_5\}$ fragment, and formation of $[{Cp}^{\prime\prime}Ta}_2(\mu_3, \eta^{2.2:2:2:1:1:1} - P_8){1-W(CO)_5}]$ (2a), which can be followed spectroscopically. These results suggested that the selective functionalization of **A** could also be achieved with Lewisacidic cationic main group electrophiles. Indeed, **A** reacts with the *in situ* generated [Cy₂As]⁺ to form [{Cp'''Ta}₂(µ, $\eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1}$ -P₈(1-AsCy₂)][BArF₂₄] (**3**, [BArF₂₄]⁻ = [B{3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃}₄]-) in 46% crystalline yield. As redox-mediated aggregation/dimerization has been observed for smaller Pⁿ ligand complexes,[15,18] utilization of **A** would allow the preparation of extended polyphosphorus structures. Chemical oxidation of **A** affords the dimeric $[{Cp}^{\prime\prime}Ta}_4(\mu_4, \eta^{2.2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1} - P_{16})][TEF]_2$ (4, (80%), $[TEF]^- = [Al{OC(CF_3)}_3]_4]^-$) which shows a newly formed P1–P1' bond. Notably, **4** displays the largest cationic polyphosphorus arrangement known to date. On the other hand, the reduction of A with KC₈ also results in dimerization, furnishing [K@[2.2.2]cryptand]₂[{Cp"Ta}₄(μ_4 , $\eta^{2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1}$ -P₁₆)] (**5**, 80%, Scheme 2). **5**, however, reveals a bond formation via the more encumbered P4 atoms, accompanied by the cleavage of the former P4–P4' bond within **A**. Thus, **5** features an unprecedented P_{16} chain, which is solely stabilized by the coordination to the ${Cp''Ta}$ moieties. Crystallization of the compounds **A**, **1**, **3**, **4** and **5** allowed their X-ray crystallographic structure determination (Figure 1).^[19] The central structural motif of **A** is a puckered *cyclo-P*₈ ligand coordinated by the two Ta atoms. The P–P bond lengths within the P_8 cycle are roughly alternating in length (P1–P1´ = 2.243(1) Å, P1–P2 = 2.145(1) Å, P2–P3 = 2.196(1) Å, P3–P4 $= 2.170(1)$ Å, P4–P4´ = 2.444(2) Å), reminiscent of the isolobal relationship to COT. Thus, the more coordinated P1–P2 and P3–P4 bonds are closer to the expected bond lengths for a P– P double bond than the residual P–P single bonds. The newly formed P–W bonds in **1** are in the expected range (2.510(2) – 2.570(2) Å),^[20] while the structural core remains intact upon coordination of the {W(CO)5} moieties. Similarly, the arsenium functionalization in **3** has only a minor impact on the structure of the *cyclo-P₈* ligand with the newly formed P1–As bond length

Figure 1: Molecular structures of A, 1, 3, 4 and 5 in the solid state, H atoms and counterions are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

(2.301(4) Å) agreeing with a single bond.[20] The oxidized species **4** features a large bicyclic P¹⁶ ligand, comprising two *cyclo*-P⁸ moieties linked via a central P–P single bond (2.201(2) Å).[20] The P1–P2 bond in **4** is shortened (2.178(1) Å), while the residual P–P bonds remain similar in length upon oxidation. In contrast, the dianion in **5** also arises from the dimerization of **A**, however, the new P8–P9 bond (2.269(1) Å) is formed via the former P4 (in **A**) atoms. Additionally, the P1–P8 (3.222(1) Å) as well as the P9–P16 (3.263(2) Å) distances indicate complete P–P bond fission, thus leading to an unsubstituted P_{16} chain. Notably, while long chain-type polyphosphides have been described,^[21] the P_{16} ligand in **5** is by far the longest linear polyphosphorus chain known to date.

Multinuclear NMR studies of **A** in CD₂Cl₂ reveal the preservation of its *C*₂ symmetry in solution. Moreover, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **A** features an AA'MM'QQ'XX' spin system for the symmetrical *cyclo*-P₈ ligand. In contrast, this symmetry is revoked within the $\{W(CO)_{5}\}$ coordinated species **1**, **2a** and **2**. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **1** reveals the presence of two species in solution at –80 °C which, however, coalesce at room temperature (see SI). As both species feature similar ABMNOPQX spin systems, this behavior is attributed to rotationally hindered Cp''' ligands consistent with the presence of two diastereomers (see SI), which are in rapid exchange at room temperature. Additional ${}^{1}J_{P\text{-}W}$ coupling for P_A and P_X corroborates the structural integrity of **1** in solution. Similarly, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of **2a** and **2** show complex ACGMNSTX and AMNQRSTU spin systems, respectively, yet with only one ¹J_{P-W} coupling each. This allows the determination of $2a$ as the respective $1-\{W(CO)_{5}\}$ and 2 as the 4-{W(CO)5} isomer. Analogously, the arsenium functionalization in **3** leads to an AMNOPQRX spin system in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum, bearing the ${ASCy₂}$ substituent at P_M.

Figure 2: a) Molecular frontier orbitals of A'; b) Spin densities of [A']⁺ and [A']-; calculated at the ω B97X-D3/def2-*TZVP level of theory, surfaces are drawn at isovalues of 0.005 (MOs) and 0.008 (spin densities).*

The much larger dicationic complex **4** reveals broad and overlapping signals in the $31P{1H}$ NMR spectrum as well as a set of signals consistent with rotationally fixed Cp''' ligands in the ¹H NMR spectrum. This is in line with a rapid exchange between two diastereomers formed by rotationally hindered Cp''' ligands as in **1** (see SI). However, this exchange process cannot be resolved for **4**, not even at low temperatures (–80 °C, see SI). In contrast, **5** rapidly decomposes upon dissolution in common solvents, hampering its spectroscopic characterization. However, the combustion analysis of crystalline **5** confirms its composition. To obtain insight into the electronic structure and reactivity of **A**, DFT calculations on the model system [{CpTa}₂(µ, n^{2:2:2:1:1}-P₈)] (A') were performed (see SI). The WBIs for the P–P bonds in A' (0.85 – 1.06) corroborate its formulation as a *cyclo*- P_8 ligand complex, with only the P4–P4' bond exhibiting slightly lower values (0.51). The HOMOs of **A'** demonstrate a strong p(P1/1') contribution (Figure 2a), explaining the reactivity of **A** towards Lewis acids and cationic electrophiles. On the other hand, the P4–P4' character of the LUMO+2 of **A'** as well as the calculated spin density for the initial reduction product [A']⁻⁻ (Figure 2, right) reveal a tendency for the cleavage of the latter bond. Thus, both the oxidation and the reduction of **A'** lead to a dimerization via P–P bond formation involving P1 or P4, and the newly formed P–P bonds showing WBIs of 0.86 and 0.94, respectively. However, the comparably low calculated bond dissociation energy for 5 ($\triangle G$ = -74.55 kJ/mol, see SI) corroborates its labile character.

Moreover, the large *cyclo*-P₈ ligand in **A** was hypothesized to potentially serve as a source of extremely reactive P_2 via ring contraction to **B**. Although P_2 is a highly versatile building block in organophosphorus chemistry, only a handful of compounds are known to liberate molecular P_2 under certain conditions,^[22] while remaining stable enough to be stored. Indeed, the mild

Scheme 3: Thermolysis of **A** with $[\{Cp''Co\}_2(\mu, \eta^{6.6}]$ -PhMe)] demonstrating the potential of **A** as a P₂ synthon.

thermolysis of A with $[{\rm CpMo(CO)_{2}}]_{2}^{[23]}$ or $[\{{\rm Cp}''{\rm Co}\}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{6.6}\text{-}{\rm PhMe})]^{[24]}$ proceeds rapidly affording $[{CpMo(CO)_{2}}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{2.2}-P_{2})]^{[8a]}$ (**6**, 54% by NMR)^[25] or $[{Cp}^{'''Co}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{2.2}-P_{2})_{2}]^{[12c]}$ (**7**, 40% by NMR), respectively (Scheme 3). Accordingly, the formation of **B** is observed spectroscopically, indicating the clean release of P_2 from A .

8.4. Conclusion

In summary, the synthesis and characterization of $[(Cp'''Ta)_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1} - P_8)]$ (A) is reported. A is the first compound featuring a $cyc/o-P₈$ ligand, which is the largest monocyclic polyphosphorus species known to date revealing a COT-like arrangement and bonding character. Reaction of A with $[W(CO)_5(thf)]$ combined with computational studies discloses the energetically most accessible P-centered lone pairs. These findings allowed the selective electrophilic functionalization of **A** yielding $[{Cp}^{\prime\prime}Ta}_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1} - P_8(1-ASCy_2))] [BATF_{24}]$ (3). Exploiting the redox reactivity of **A** allowed the isolation of dicationic $[{({Cp}''}{Ta})_4(\mu_4,\eta^{2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.1:1:1:1}.P_{16})][T{E}F]_2$ (4) as well as dianionic [K@[2.2.2]cryptand]2[{Cp'''Ta}4(4, 2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1 -P16)] (**5**) compounds. While **4** represents the largest cationic polyphosphorus cage species reported to date, the P₁₆ chain in 5 constitutes the longest unsubstituted polyphosphorus chain so far. This highlights the synthetic utility of **A** as a building block for even larger polyphosphorus architectures. Completing this synthetic survey, A was demonstrated to be an efficient precursor transferring molecular P₂ units upon ring contraction.
8.5. Supporting Information

8.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under N_2 as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. *o*-DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene) was distilled from P_2O_5 , CD_2Cl_2 was distilled from CaH_2 and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz), ¹¹B (128.432 MHz) ¹³C (100.627 MHz), ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz), ²⁹Si (79.485 MHz), $31P$ (161.976 MHz) and $77Se$ (76.334 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using $(H_3C)_4Si$ (¹H, ¹³C, ²⁹Si), BF₃·OEt₂ (¹¹B) CFCI₃ (¹⁹F), SeMe₂ (⁷⁷Se) or 85% phosphoric acid (³¹P), respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Chemical shifts and coupling constants for all ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ } and ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectra were derived from spectral simulation using the built-in simulation package of TopSpin3.2. The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $dt =$ doublet of triplets, $t =$ triplet, td = triplet of doublets br = broad and $m =$ multiplet. Mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 (ESI), Finnigan MAT 95 (LIFDI), or Finnigan MAT SSQ 710 A (EI) mass spectrometer or by the first author on a Waters Micromass LCT ESI-TOF mass-spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.^[26] IR spectra were recorded on a VARIAN FTS-800 FT-IR spectrometer, in the form of KBr discs or in solution. Cyclic voltammetry measurements were performed in *o*-DFB solution with [NBu₄][PF₆] (0.1 M) as supporting electrolyte. The redox pair of ferrocene ($Fc^{0/+} = 0.000$ V) was applied as reference. Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials TI[TEF],^[27] Cy₂AsCI,^[28] [Thia][TEF]^[18b], [{Cp'"Co}(C₇H₈)]^[24], TI[BArF₂₄]^[29] and [CpMo(CO)₂]₂^[23] were synthesized according to literature procedures. Cp"Ta(CO)₄ was prepared following the protocol developed for the previously reported Cp" analog.^[30] All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification.

Thermolysis of [Cp'''Ta(CO)4] with P⁴

A solution of [Cp'''Ta(CO)4] (1.10 g, 2.09 mmol) in 50 mL DIB was slowly added to a refluxing solution of P_4 (1.29 g, 10.45 mmol) in 70 mL DIB through the reflux condenser. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h until the carbonyl bands in the IR spectrum had disappeared. After stirring for 30 min at room temperature the solvent was removed at 60 °C upon distillation under vacuum. In the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (C_6D_6) of the reaction mixture the signals of complexes **A**, **B** and **C** were observed. The resulting brown residue was adsorbed on silica gel. A subsequent column chromatographic separation of the solid (hexane, 21×3 cm) eluted traces of unreacted **A** (35 mg) first. This was followed by a yellow fraction of **B** (209 mg). With hexane/toluene (5:1) a green fraction was eluted containing complex **C** (72 mg). Finally, toluene eluted a reddish brown fraction of **A** (456 mg). Crystals of **A** and **B** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained for both compounds from $CH₂Cl₂$ solutions.

Analytical data of [(Cp'''Ta)2(*μ*;*η* 6:6 -P6)] (**B**):

Analytical data of [(Cp'''Ta)3(*μ*3-*η* 1:1:1:1:1:1 -P4)(*μ*3-*η* 1:1:1 -P2)] (**C**):


```
Analytical data of [(Cp'''Ta)2(μ-η
2:2:2:2:1:1
-P8)] (A):
Yield: 456 mg (41%)
<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 300 K): \delta [ppm] = 5.31 (s, 2 H; CH), 4.42 (s, 2 H; CH), 1.44 (s,
                                       18 H; tBu), 1.28 (s, 18 H; tBu), 1.17 (s, 18 H; tBu)
<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 300 K): \delta [ppm] = 5.29 (s, 2 H; CH), 4.44 (s, 2 H; CH), 1.39 (s,
                                       18 H; tBu), 1.21 (s, 18 H; tBu), 1.13 (s, 18 H; tBu)
```


Reaction of A with [W(CO)5(thf)]

A freshly prepared solution of $[W(CO)_5(th)]$ (0.028 mol/L, 0.56 mmol) in 20 mL THF was added to a stirred solution of **A** (203 mg, 0.189 mmol) in 20 mL THF at room temperature. After stirring the mixture over 2 h at room temperature the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. A thin-layer chromatography of a small part of the reaction mixture in a glove box revealed the presence of two reaction products: a brown-yellow spot (2) (toluene/hexane (1:2); $R_f = 0.71$), a brown-green spot (**1**) (toluene/hexane (1:2); *R^f* = 0.63). The NMR spectroscopic analysis of the reaction mixture showed a composition of **1** and **2** in a ratio of 1.7:1. During the column chromatographic workup (hexane/toluene $(5:1)$, 26 \times 3 cm) of the brown solid on silica gel compound **2** decomposed. Only a brown-green fraction of **1** could be isolated. After removal of the solvent under vacuum the resulting brown solid was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 , layered with hexane and stored in a freezer at −35°C. Dark brown sticks of **1** deposited within two weeks in the vessel. The crystals were isolated, washed with pentane $(3 \times 3 \text{ mL})$ and dried under vacuum at room temperature.

Analytical data of [(Cp'''Ta)2(*μ4*-*η* 2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1 -P8){W(CO)5}2] (**1**):

Analytical data of [(Cp'''Ta)2(*μ3*-*η* 2:2:2:2:1:1:1 -P8){4-W(CO)5}] (**2**):

Thermolysis of 1

A solution of **1** in toluene (0.7 mL) was heated to 90 °C in an NMR tube over 3 h. Thereby, the green-brown solution became brown and the signals of **1** disappeared. Concomitant, new signals of the thermolysis product **2a** appeared in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

Analytical data of [(Cp'''Ta)2(*μ3*-*η* 2:2:2:2:1:1:1 -P8){1-W(CO)5}] (**2a**):

Synthesis of [{Cp'''Ta}2(³;2:2:2:2:1:1-P8(1-AsCy2))][BArF24] (3)

A (108 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) and TI[BArF₂₄] (107 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 2 mL of o-DFB and Cy₂AsBr (10 µL) was added carefully at room temperature. Immediate precipitation of white solid (TlBr) and a colour change of the solution to dark brown could be observed. The mixture was stirred for one hour at room temperature. Afterwards, 20 mL of *n*pentane were added to precipitate a brownish oil. The supernatant was decanted, and the oily residue washed two times with 10 mL of *n*-pentane, each. After drying, the residue was dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB, filtered and the resulting solution carefully layered with 20 mL of *n*-hexane. Storage for at room temperature for three weeks afforded dark brownish red crystals of $[(Cp'''Ta)_2(\mu_3; \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1} - P_8(1-ASCy_2))]$ [BArF₂₄] (3) in X-ray quality, which could be isolated upon decanting the supernatant and drying under reduced pressure $(10^{-3}$ mbar).

Oxidation of A to [{Cp'''Ta}4(⁴;2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1-P16)][TEF]² (4)

A (54 m, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) and [Thia][TEF] (59 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) were weighed into one flask and 2 mL of CH₂Cl₂ were added to afford a rapid colour change to brownish red. After 10 min of stirring 30 mL of *n*-pentane were added to precipitate [{Cp"Ta}₄(μ_4 ; $\eta^{2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1}$ P₁₆)][TEF]₂ (4) as a brown powder. The powder was washed with 10 mL of toluene and 10 mL of *n*-pentane three times, each, and then dried under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mar). The brown residue was dissolved in 1 mL of CD_2Cl_2 and subjected to multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. Afterwards, the solution was layered with 20 mL of *n*-pentane and stored at room temperature over night to give crystals of **4** in X-ray quality, which could be isolated after decanting the solution and drying under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar).

Reduction of A to [K@crypt]2[{Cp'''Ta}4(⁴;2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1-P16)] (5)

 $\mathsf{\Delta}$ (54 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) and KC_8 (10 mg, 0.075 mmol, 1.5 eq.) were weighed into a Schlenk flask and 5 mL of THF were condensed upon the solids at –196°C. The mixture was allowed to slowly reach –80 °C and then stirred for 20 min resulting in a gradual colour change to dark grey/black. [2.2.2]-cryptand (19 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 2 mL of precooled (–80°C) THF was added to the solution, which was then stirred at –80 °C for additional 30 min. Afterwards the solution was slowly warmed to room temperature, constrained to 2 mL under reduced pressure (10-3mbar), and 30 mL of *n*-hexane added to afford precipitation of a dark solid. The supernatant was decanted, the solid dried and then redissolved in 3 mL of THF. Filtration gave a dark grey solution of $[K@crypt]_2[\{Cp'''Ta\}_4(\mu_4;\eta^{2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1} - P_{16})]$ (5), which was layered with 20 mL of toluene and stored at room temperature for several hours. From this mixture **5** crystallized in X-ray quality and could be isolated after decanting the solvent and drying under reduced pressure $(10^{-3}$ mbar).

As **5** decomposes rapidly upon dissolving crystals of this compound, no further spectroscopic or mass spectrometric details can be provided.

Thermolysis of A with $[CpMo(CO)_2]_2$ *and* $[{Cp}'''Co)_2(\mu, \eta^{6.6} - PhMe)]$

A (0.04 mmol, 43 mg, 1 eq.) and $[CPMo(CO)₂]$ (0.04 mmol, 17 mg, 1 eq.) were weighed into a Schlenk flask and dissolved in 5 mL of toluene. The brown solution was then heated to 90 °C for 2 h, constrained to 1 mL and then subjected to NMR spectroscopic analysis with a C_6D_6 capillary containing 0.002 mmol PPh₃. The $31P$ NMR spectrum (Figure S21) of this solution clearly indicates the formation of $[\{CpMo(CO)_2\}_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2}-P_2)]^{[8a]}$ (6) in 54% yield. Additionally, the complex $[{CDMo(CO)_2}(n^3-P_3)]^{[9]}$ can be observed as a side product, which probably arises from the further reaction of 6 with the liberated P_2 from A .

A (0.05 mmol, 54 mg, 1 eq.) and $[{Cp}^{\text{nc}}Co}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{6.6} - PhMe)]$ (0.05 mmol, 17 mg, 1 eq.) were weighed into a Schlenk flask and dissolved in 2 mL of toluene. The brown solution was then heated to 100 °C for 2 h, and 0.5 mL of this solution were subjected to NMR spectroscopic analysis with a C_6D_6 capillary containing 0.01 mmol PPh₃. The ³¹P NMR spectrum (Figure S22) of this solution clearly indicates the formation of $[\{Cp'''Co\}_2(\mu, \eta^{2.2} - P_2)_2]^{[12c]}$ (7) in 40% yield.

8.5.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

General Considerations

The crystallographic data for all described compounds were collected on a SuperNova diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Atlas^{S2} detector using Cu–K_a radiation (micro-focus sealed tube) (A), on a GV50 diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Titan^{S2} detector using Cu–K_a (5) or Cu–K_B radiation obtained by using customised optics (**4**), an Xcalibur Gemini Ultra diffractometer with an Atlas^{S2} detector using Cu-K_a (B, 1) radiation (sealed tube), or an XtaLAB Synergy R, DW System with a HyPix-Arc 150 detector using Cu-K_a radiation from a rotating anode (3). Data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro software package.^[31] Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2 $(1.5\text{-alpha})^{[32]}$ with ShelXT^[33] (solution) and ShelXL-2018/3^[34] (least squares refinement (F^2)). All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and H atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C–H bond lengths (sp³: 0.96 (CH₃), 0.97 (CH₂); sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Visualisation of the crystal structures was performed with Olex2 (1.5-alpha).^[32] CIF files with comprehensive information on the details of the diffraction experiments and full tables of bond lengths and angles for **A**, **B**, **1**, **3**, **4**, and **5** are deposited in Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under the deposition codes CCDC-2225834 (**A**), CCDC-2225835 (**B**), CCDC-2225836 (**1**), CCDC-2225837 (**3**), CCDC-2225838 (**4**) and CCDC-2225839 (**5**).

Compound	\mathbf{A}	B	1
Empirical formula	$C_{41}H_{66}P_8Ta_2$	$C_{34}H_{58}P_6Ta_2$	$C_{44}H_{58}O_{10}P_8Ta_2W_2$
Formula weight	1168.60	1014.52	1724.25
Temperature/K	123.0(2)	123.0(10)	123(1)
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic
Space group	C2/c	$P2_1/n$	Pna2 ₁
a/\AA	15.0257(4)	8.5617(1)	36.6216(8)
b/\AA	25.8432(4)	11.8881(1)	11.2823(2)
$c/\text{\AA}$	13.6833(4)	18.5854(1)	26.8981(10)
α /°	90.00	90	90
β /°	122.327(4)	92.030(1)	90
$\gamma/2$	90.00	90	90
Volume/Å3	4489.87(28)	1890.48(3)	11113.7(5)
7.	4	2	8
pcalcg/cm3	1.729	1.782	2.061
μ /mm-1	11.739	13.052	17.109
F(000)	2312.0	996.0	6528.0
Crystal size/mm3	$0.2169 \times 0.166 \times 0.0171$	$0.1 \times 0.056 \times 0.056$	$0.226 \times 0.048 \times 0.02$
Radiation	CuKα ($λ = 1.54178$)	CuKα ($λ = 1.54178$)	CuKα ($λ = 1.54178$)
20 range for data collection/°	6.84 to 145.86	12.1 to 133.36	5.84 to 133.82
Index ranges	$-18 \le h \le 17$, $-31 \le k \le 31$, $-16 \le l \le 16$	$-10 \le h \le 9, -14 \le k \le 13, -1$ $22 \leq l \leq 19$	$-42 \le h \le 28, -11 \le k \le 13,$ $-30 \le l \le 31$
Reflections collected	17525	13016	29918
	4444 [Rint = 0.0241 ,	3220 [Rint = 0.0321 ,	16247 [Rint = 0.0373 ,
Independent reflections	Rsigma = 0.0166]	Rsigma = 0.0231]	Rsigma = 0.0507]
Data/restraints/paramet ers	4444/0/253	3220/0/199	16247/67/1226
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.039	1.058	1.023
Final R indexes $[I>=2\sigma$	$R1 = 0.0197$, wR2 =	$R1 = 0.0221$, wR2 =	$R1 = 0.0303$, wR2 =
(I)]	0.0465	0.0514	0.0687
Final R indexes [all data]	$R1 = 0.0220$, wR2 = 0.0472	$R1 = 0.0263$, wR2 = 0.0528	$R1 = 0.0353$, wR2 = 0.0709
Largest diff. peak/hole / $e \AA - 3$	$1.96/-0.97$	$1.14/-0.76$	$2.52/-0.77$
Flack parameter			0.445(9)

Table S 1: Crystallographic and refinement details for compounds A, B and 1.

Compound	3	4	5
Empirical formula	$C_{78}H_{92}BF_{24}P_8AsTa2$	C55H70AlF36O4P8Ta2	$C_{104}H_{188}N_4O_{12}P_{16}K_2Ta_4$
Formula weight	2180.90	2115.75	2984.09
Temperature/K	100.00(10)	123.00(10)	123.01(10)
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$
$a/\text{\AA}$	12.5850(2)	13.8517(2)	14.1190(2)
b/\AA	18.4172(2)	17.3944(3)	15.4930(2)
$c/\text{\AA}$	19.4711(3)	31.2933(5)	35.0834(4)
α /°	74.9520(10)	90	87.9770(10)
β /°	87.9360(10)	94.5120(10)	81.4590(10)
$\gamma/2$	88.7680(10)	90	74.8080(10)
Volume/Å3	4355.09(11)	7516.5(2)	7323.96(17)
Z.	2.	4	2
pcalcg/cm3	1.663	1.870	1.353
μ /mm-1	7.182	6.114	7.884
F(000)	2160.0	4140.0	3012.0
Crystal size/mm3	$0.15 \times 0.1 \times 0.08$	$0.53 \times 0.38 \times 0.06$	$0.392 \times 0.158 \times 0.062$
Radiation	Cu Kα (λ = 1.54184)	Cu Kβ (λ = 1.39222)	Cu Kα ($λ = 1.54184$)
20 range for data collection/ \degree	4.702 to 146.208	5.252 to 144.774	7.37 to 133.75
Index ranges	$-14 \le h \le 15, -22 \le k$	$-18 \le h \le 16, -23 \le k$	$-16 \le h \le 16$, $-18 \le k \le$
	\leq 22, -21 \leq 1 \leq 24	\leq 22, -35 \leq 1 \leq 42	15, $-41 \le l \le 40$
Reflections collected	56080	36487	147906
	16743 [Rint =	18981 [Rint =	25840 [Rint = 0.1005,
Independent reflections	0.0362 , Rsigma =	0.0514 , Rsigma =	Rsigma = 0.0547]
	0.02601	0.0546]	
Data/restraints/parameters	16743/286/1210	18981/0/928	25840/0/1315
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.133	1.038	0.977
Final R indexes $[I>=2\sigma(I)]$	$R1 = 0.0449$, wR2 =	$R1 = 0.0439$, wR2 =	$R1 = 0.0417$, wR2 =
	0.1270	0.1188	0.1040
Final R indexes [all data]	$R1 = 0.0478$, wR2 =	$R1 = 0.0469$, wR2 =	$R1 = 0.0508$, wR2 =
	0.1289	0.1227	0.1101
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	$1.32 / - 1.44$	$1.54/-1.17$	$1.48/-1.55$

Table S 2: Crystallographic and rrefinement details foor compounds A, B and 1.

[(Cp'''Ta)2(μ-η 2 :η² :η² :η² :η 1 :η¹ -P8)] (A)

Complex **A** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *C*2/*c* forming brown plates from toluene solutions at −35 °C. The asymmetric unit (Figure S1) contains half a molecule of **A** and half a toluene molecule, which is disordered over two positions. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models.

Figure S 1: Solid state structure of A; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one molecule A as well as one disordered toluene; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[(Cp'''Ta)2(μ;η⁶ :η⁶ -P6)] (B)

Complex **B** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*n* forming yellow blocks from concentrated CH2Cl² solutions. The asymmetric unit (Figure S2) contains half a molecule of **B**. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models.

Figure S 2: Solid state structure of B; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one molecule B; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[(Cp'''Ta)₂(μ₄-η²:η²:η²:η¹:η¹:η¹:η¹:η¹-P₈){W(CO)₅}₂] (**1**)

Complex 1 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pna*2₁ forming brown rods from a CH2Cl2/pentane mixture at −35°C. The asymmetric unit (Figure S3) contains two enantiomeric molecules of **1**. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models.

Figure S 3: Solid state structure of 1; Shown is half of the asymmetric unit, which contains two enantiomeric molecules of 1; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[{Cp'''Ta}2(³;2:2:2:2:1:1-P8(1-AsCy2))][BArF24] (3)

Compound **3** crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*1̅ forming brownish red blocks from a *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane mixture at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S4) contains one anion and one cation. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models. Disorder within the anion as well as the $-AsCy₂$ moiety was refined using adequate restraints.

Figure S 4: Solid state structure of 3; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one cation [3] ⁺ and one [BAr^F] – counter anion; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[{Cp'''Ta}4(⁴;2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1-P16)][TEF]² (4)

Compound **4** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* forming dark brown plates from a CH₂Cl₂/n-pentane mixture at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S5) contains one anion, one half of the dication and one *n*-pentane molecule, which was treated with a solvent mask. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models.

Figure S 5: Solid state structure of 4; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing half of the dication [4] 2+, one anion and one n-pentane molecule, which is omitted for clarity; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

[K@crypt]2[{Cp'''Ta}4(⁴;2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1-P16)] (5)

Compound 5 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $\overline{P1}$ forming greyish brown plates from a THF/toluene mixture at room temperature. The asymmetric unit (Figure S6) contains the dianion, two cations and five THF molecules, which were treated with a solvent mask. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the H atoms were treated as riding models.

Figure S 6: Solid state structure of 5; Shown is the asymmetric unit containing one dianion [5] 2– and two [K@crypt]⁺ cations; five cocrystallized THF molecules are omitted for clarity; thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

8.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

[(Cp'''Ta)2(μ-η 2 :η² :η² :η² :η 1 :η¹ -P8)] (A)

The $31P\{1H\}$ as well as the $31P$ NMR spectra of **A** in CD₂Cl₂ recorded at room temperature (Figure S7 and S8) reveal an AA´MM´QQ´XX´ spin system with signals centered at δ ppm = 148.1, 46.7, 0.8 and −35.9 for the C₂ symmetric *cyclo*-P₈ ligand. Spectral simulation of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum allows to derive the $^nJ_{P-P}$ (n = 1, 2) coupling constants (Table S3). The corresponding ³¹P{¹H}-³¹P{¹H} COSY NMR spectrum (Figure S9) corroborates the connectivity of the P_8 ring.

*Figure S 7: ³¹P NMR spectrum of A in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal of trace impurities of B.*

Figure S 8: Simulated (top) and experimental (bottom) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of A in CD2Cl² at room temperature.

$1J_{PXPM}$ = 394.2 Hz	$^{1}J_{\text{PMPA}} = 421.4 \text{ Hz}$	$^{1}J_{PAPR}$ = 369.5 Hz
$^{1}J_{\text{PRPR'}} = -285.8 \text{ Hz}$	$^{1}J_{PXPY}$ = 125.9 Hz	$^{2}J_{PXPA}$ = 7.2 Hz
$^{2}J_{\text{PMPR}} = 0.3 \text{ Hz}$	$^{2}J_{PAPR'}$ = 12.3 Hz	$^{2}J_{PXPM'} = -43.3$ Hz
$3J_{PXPR} = 1.6 \text{ Hz}$	$3J_{PXPA'} = -12.5$ Hz	$3J_{PMPR'} = 19.2$ Hz
${}^{3}J_{PAPA'} = 16.0$ Hz	$3J_{PMPM'} = 12.1$ Hz	$4J_{PXPR'} = -6.4$ Hz
$^{4}J_{PMPA'} = -12.4$ Hz		

Table S 3: Coupling constants derived from the simulation of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of A.

Figure S 9: ³¹P{¹H}- ³¹P{¹H} COSY NMR spectrum of A in CD2Cl² at room temperature.

[(Cp'''Ta)2(μ;η⁶ :η⁶ -P6)] (B)

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **B** in C₆D₆ (Figure S10) reveals only one sharp singlet at δ ppm = 28.4 reminiscent of the rotational symmetry of its central *cyclo*-P₆ ligand.

Figure S 10: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of B in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature.

[(Cp'''Ta)3(μ3-η 1:1:1:1:1:1-P4)(μ3-η 1:1:1-P2)] (C)

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of C in C_6D_6 reveals five multiplets which agree with the structural assignment presented in Figure S11. Notably, the Cp'' derivative of this compound has already been reported previously.^[35]

Figure S 11: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of C in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature.

[(Cp'''Ta)2(μ4-η 2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1-P8){W(CO)5}2] (1) and its thermolysis to [(Cp'''Ta)2(μ3-η 2:2:2:2:1:1:1-P8){1-W(CO)5}] (2a)

The $31P{1H}$ NMR spectrum of 1 in CD_2Cl_2 (Figure S12) reveals the presence of two diastereomers **1I** and **1II** in solution, which arise from the hindered rotation of the planar chiral Cp''' ligands. While those dynamically interconvert at room temperature, this dynamic process (Figure S14) can be suppressed by cooling the solution to –80 °C. This allows the spectral simulation of the ABMNOPQX spin system of the major isomer **1I** and thus to derive the respective nJ_{P-P} (n = 1, 2) as well as $1J_{P-W}$ coupling constants (Table S4). The connectivity of **1** is corroborated by the ³¹ P {¹H}-³¹ P {¹H} COSY NMR spectrum in CD₂Cl₂ recorded at –80 °C (Figure S13). Changing the solvent to tol-o⁶ and heating the sample to 55 °C and then 90 °C leads to slow and then more rapid formation of $2a$ under the release of one $\{W(CO)_5\}$ moiety (Figure S15). This is indicated by observation of a new set of signals in the respective $31P\{1H\}$ NMR spectra consistent with an intact *cyclo*-P₈ ligand, which persist upon cooling the solution to room temperature (Scheme S1). Interestingly, the signals remain sharp at room temperature indicating unhindered rotation of the Cp"' ligands, which in conjunction with the W satellites allows the structural assignment of **2a**.

Figure S 12: Selected temperature dependent ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of 1 (−80 °C and 27 °C in CD2Cl2, 55 °C and 90 °C in tol-d⁸) and the simulated spectrum (below) of the major species 1^{*i*} (blue) in the experimental spectrum at *−80 °C. The blue and red signals belong to two diastereomers of 1; The green signals belong to the decomposition product 2a; Relative intensities are given below the signals.*

Table S 4: Coupling constants determined from the simulated ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S 12) of the major species 1 I (blue) in the experimental spectrum of 1 at −80 °C; Due to the large linewidths of the signals only the coupling constants exceeding 40 Hz are given; The ¹J_{P-W} coupling constants were estimated from the experimental P{¹H} NMR spectrum at 27 °C.

$^{1}J_{PAPO}$ = 429.8 Hz	$^{1}J_{PAPM}$ = 397.2 Hz	$^{1}J_{\text{PMPO}} = 378.2 \text{ Hz}$
$1J_{\text{POP}} = 126.1 \text{ Hz}$	1 J _{PNPP} = 381.9 Hz	$^{1}J_{\rm PBPN}$ = 420.1 Hz
$1J_{\text{PPX}} = 342.9 \text{ Hz}$	$^{1}J_{P$ OPX = 299.8 Hz	$^{2}J_{\text{PNPO}} = -45.1 \text{ Hz}$
$^{2}J_{\text{PMPP}} = -42.0 \text{ Hz}$	$^{1}J_{PAW} = 218$ Hz	$1J_{PXW} = 165$ Hz

Figure S 13: ³¹P{¹H}- ³¹P{¹H} COSY NMR spectrum of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 14: Dynamic process of complex 1; Due to the C² symmetric Ta2P⁸ core isomer 1 ^I can convert into isomer 1 II by rotation of its Cp''' ligands or a simultaneous 1,2 shift of both {W(CO)5} groups.

*Figure S 15: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the thermolysis product 2a in tol-d 8 recorded at room temperature; Relative intensities are given in italic numbers under the signals; * marks signals of residual 1.*

Scheme S 1: Formation of 2a from 1 by loss of a W(CO)⁵ group at 90 °C.

[(Cp'''Ta)2(μ3-η 2:2:2:2:1:1:1-P8){4-W(CO)5}] (2)

Since complex **2** decomposes during workup of the reaction mixture (*vide supra*), it can only be characterized spectroscopically, aside of complex **1**. In the FD mass spectrum of the reaction mixture the $W(CO)_5$ mono- and di-adduct were detected, whereas in the FD mass spectrum of pure **1** only its molecule ion peak was observed. This suggests **2** to be a W(CO)₅ mono-adduct [(Cp"Ta)₂(μ₃-η^{2:2:2:1:1:1}-P₈){W(CO)₅}]. Since the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **1** is known (Figure S12), the signals of complex **2** can be assigned (Figure S16). In the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum complex **2** shows a AMNQRSTU spin system with the signals being in the same range like those of 1 and \mathbf{A} , which suggests no difference in the connectivity of the Ta_2P_8 core. Signal U additionally shows tungsten satellites $(^1J_{PU-W} = 163$ Hz), just as found for 1, where signals A and X also show small tungsten satellites due to the coordination to the {W(CO)5} fragments. By comparing the chemical shift of signal U with the signals of **A** (Figure S7), it can be assigned to P1/P1' or P4/P4' using the numbering of Figure S1. However, a primary coordination of a {W(CO)5} fragment to P1 would give isomer **2a** and moreover would have allowed a coordination of a second fragment to P2' due to the excess of $[W(CO)_5(thf)]$ in the reaction. Consequently, the primary coordination of the $\{W(CO)_{5}\}$ fragment to P4 or P4' apparently inhibits additional coordination of other {W(CO)5} groups and the connectivity of **2a** is corroborated as [(Cp'''Ta)₂(μ₃-η^{2:2:2:2:1:1:1}-P₈){4-W(CO)₅}].

*Figure S 16: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture containing 1 and 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; the signals of 2 are highlighted, those of 1 faded and relative intensities are given in italic numbers under the signals. * marks the signals for 1 and # those of an unknown impurity.*

[{Cp'''Ta}2(³;2:2:2:2:1:1-P8(1-AsCy2))][BArF24] (3)

As 3 has good solubility in CD₂Cl₂, its NMR spectra can easily be obtained. While signal assignment in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of **1**, **2a** and **2** is simplified due to the respective ¹⁸³W satellites, it is more complicated for **3**. However, the characteristically small $1_{\text{op-px}} = 119.4 \text{ Hz}$ coupling (*vide supra*) observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure S17) provides a good starting point for spectral simulation. Additional broadening of P^o , which we attribute to small $4J_{P-H}$ coupling with the Cy residues, in the $31P$ NMR spectrum (Figure S18) finally allows complete assignment of the functionalized *cyclo*-P₈ ligand. Moreover, spectral simulation of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum delivered the ¹J_{P-P} and ²J_{P-P} coupling constants for **3** (Table S5). Interestingly, only one set of signals for the *cyclo-P₈* ligand is observed in these spectra (in contrast to **1**), which points towards less hindered rotation of the Cp''' ligands. However, the substitution of the P_8 ligand still leads to a decreased symmetry for the Cp"' ligands, as the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum reveals six signals for the *^t*Bu groups, and four signals are found for the Cp-H protons.

Figure S 17: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; Experimental spectrum (top) and simulated spectrum (below).

Figure S 18: ³¹P NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; The inlet shows the signal broadening for P^O in the ³¹P NMR spectrum compared to the decoupled ³¹P{¹H} MR spectrum.#

Table S5: ¹J_{P–P} and ²J_{P–P} coupling constants derived from the simulation of the ³¹P^{{1}H} NMR spectrum of 3.

1 J _{PAPP} = 425.4 Hz	1 J _{PAPR} = 346.6 Hz	$1J_{PMPO} = 388.6$
$^{1}J_{\text{PMPR}} = 412.0 \text{ Hz}$	$^{1}J_{\text{PNPO}} = 436.5 \text{ Hz}$	$1J_{\text{PNPP}} = 403.9$ ⊔→
$1_{\text{JPPY}} = 119.4 \text{ Hz}$	1 J _{POPX} = 402.7 Hz	2 J _{PNPX} = 50.6 Hz
$^{2}J_{PPPO} = 43.4 \text{ Hz}$		

[{Cp'''Ta}4(⁴;2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:1:1:1:1-P16)][TEF]² (4)

The ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in CD₂Cl₂ reveals twelve singlets for the ^{*t*}Bu groups and eight signals for the CpH protons clearly pointing towards the asymmetric character of this compound. However, the respective $3^{1}P{^{1}H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure S19) reveals several strongly overlapping multiplets in between δ ppm = -40 - 180 of which only two can reasonably be integrated. Their integral ratio of 1.4:1 hints towards the presence of two isomers of **4** in solution, which are detected due to the slower time scale of $31P{1H}$ compared to $1H NMR$ spectroscopy. Similarly to **1**, this isomerism is most probably caused by the hindered rotation of the Cp''' ligands, making them planar chiral, thus affording several diastereomers of **4**, which slowly interconvert. Notably, this is supported by multinuclear variable temperature NMR studies (Figure S20). While the $3^{1}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectra experience only minor changes upon cooling a solution of **4** to –80 °C, the signals in the respective ¹H NMR spectra experience significant broadening, pointing towards a slower exchange rate at this temperature.

Figure S 19: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (top) NMR spectrum of 4 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature with integral values for the two most downfield shifted signals.

Figure S 20: ³¹P{¹H} (left) and ¹H (right) NMR spectra of 4 in CD2Cl² recorded at indicated temperatures.

Thermolysis of A with $[CpMo(CO)_{2}]_{2}$ *and* $[\{Cp''Co\}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{6.6} - PhMe)]$

Figure S 21: ³¹P NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture of A and [CpMo(CO)2]² after 2 h at 90 °C in toluene with a C6D⁶ capillary containing 0.002 mmol PPh3; the integral ratio between the product 6 and PPh³ indicates the yield of 54% yield.

Figure S 22: ³¹P NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture of A and [{Cp'''Co}2(, 6:6 -PhMe)] after 2 h at 100 °C in toluene with a C6D⁶ capillary containing 0.01 mmol PPh3; the integral ratio between the product 7 and PPh³ indicates the yield of 40% yield.

8.5.4. Electrochemical Investigations on A

To get an initial idea of the redox behavior of **A** in solution, cyclovoltammetric (CV) measurements were performed. Thus, **A** (50 mg) were dissolved in 5 mL of *o*-DFB, 750 mg of [ⁿBu₄N][PF₆] were added and the measurements performed on these solutions. The CV of A in between +1 V and -1.5 V (vs. Fc/Fc⁺, Figure S23) reveals one irreversible oxidative, as well as one irreversible reductive process. An additional reductive event can be observed when scanning to even lower potentials (Figure S24), which is however accompanied by decomposition.

Figure S 23: Cyclovoltammogram of A from –1.5 V to 1.0 V (50 mg in 5 mL o-DFB with 750 mg [ⁿBu4N][PF6] as supporting electrolyte).

Figure S 24: Cyclovoltammogram of A from –1.9 V to 1.0 V (50 mg in 5 mL o-DFB with 750 mg [ⁿBu4N][PF6] as supporting electrolyte).

8.5.5. Computational Details

General Considerations

DFT calculations were performed using the Orca 5.0 software package.^[36] The sterically demanding Cp''' ligands were replaced with unsubstituted Cp ligands to save computational resources on the large molecular structures described herein. Geometry optimizations were performed at the BP86^[37]/def2-TZVP^[38] level of theory with PCM solvent correction for CH₂Cl₂ $(A', [A']^{+}, [4']^{2+})$ or THF $([A']^{-}, [5']^{2})$.^[39] Stationary points were verified by analytical frequency calculations. Single point calculations were performed at the ω B97X-D3/def2-TZVP^[38] level of theory with solvent correction as described above. NBO analyses were performed using the NBO7.0 software package.^[40]

Molecular Orbitals and WBIs

The highest molecular orbitals of **A'** are centred at the *cyclo*-P₈ ligand (Figure S25). While the HOMO shows great contribution from the P1-P1' bond, the HOMO-1 and HOMO-2 both have high p(P1/1') character. Additionally, the HOMO-4 also features high contributions from the P2/2' atoms. In contrast, the LUMO+2 is mainly centred at the P4-P4' bond. These observations indicate that oxidative/electrophilic reactivity should largely take place at the more exposed P1/1' and P2/2' atoms, while reductive processes may rather impact the encumbered P4/4' bond.

Additionally, we investigated the spin density distributions (Figure S26) within the hypothetical radical cation [A']⁺⁺ and anion [A']⁺⁺ intermediates, which should be formed upon either one electron oxidation or reduction of **A'**, respectively. While the spin density within the radical cation $[A']^+$ is clearly centered at P1 (43%), the spin density within the radical anion $[A']^+$ is distributed more diffusely (17% at P4). This agrees with our experimental findings of oxidatively induced dimerization via P1 and reductive dimerization via P4.

Figure S 25: Frontier molecular orbitals of A' computed at the B97XD/def2-TZVP level of theory; isosurfaces drawn at a contour value of 0.005.

Figure S 26: Total spin density distributions of [A']⁺ and [A']⁻ <i>computed at the ω B97XD/def2-TZVP level of theory; *isosurfaces drawn at a contour value of 0.008.*

While generally being slightly overestimated, the calculated bond lengths within **A'** agree well with those found in the solid state structure of **A**. Moreover, the Wiberg bond indices (WBI) indicate the presence of P-P single bonds throughout the cyclo- P_8 ligand, with slightly higher bond order observed for the P1–P2/P1'–P2' bond and greatly decreased bond order for the P4-P4' bond (Table S6). Upon oxidation to [A']⁺⁺ a shortening of all P-P bonds as well as a slight increase in bond order are observed, while reduction to [A']⁻⁻ leads to a distortion of the $cycle-P_8$ ligand.

Bond Length/ A (WBI)	A^{\prime}	[A'] ^{.+}	$[A']^T$
$P1-P1'$	2.27056	2.21164	2.24710
	(0.92)	(1.00)	(0.93)
$P1-P2$	2.17037/2.16985	2.17634/2.18211	2.17440/2.17385
	(1.06)	(1.05/1.01)	(1.03)
P ₂ -P ₃	2.22456/2.22522	2.20731/2.21780	2.22463/2.22502
	(0.86)	(0.90/0.88)	(0.88/0.89)
P ₃ -P ₄	2.19794/2.19777	2.19202/2.18908	2.24835/2.24592
	(0.85)	(0.87/0.85)	(0.71)
$P4-P4'$	2.43423	2.40799	2.29968
	(0.51)	(0.52)	(0.66)

Table S 6: Wiberg bond indices for A', [A'] •+ and [A'] •- computed at the B97X-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory.

Both dimerization reactions are highly exergonic. Thus, dimerization of $[A']^+$ to $[4']^{2+}$ is exergonic by $\triangle G$ = -182.91 kJ/mol, while the dimerization of $[A']^{\cdot}$ to $[5']^2$ is exergonic by $\triangle G$ = -74.55 kJ/mol. The newly formed P–P bonds are in the range of single bonds as indicated by WBIs of 0.86 ([**4'**] 2+) and 0.94 ([**5'**] 2-).

Optimized Geometries

A'

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -3233.20088307, Enthalpies/H = -3232.99701806, Free Energies/H = -3233.07081305, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 127.33

[A'] •+

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -3232.80467482, Enthalpies/H = -3232.80373061, Free Energies/H = -3232.87830324, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 127.59

[4'] 2+

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH₂Cl₂)): Energies/H = -6465.70685560, Enthalpies/H = -6465.70591139, Free Energies/H = -6465.82627164, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 256.79

[A'] •-

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -3233.12408579, Enthalpies/H = -3233.12314158, Free Energies/H = -3233.19780651, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 126.84

[5'] 2-

 ω B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -6466.30353101, Enthalpies/H = -6466.30258680, Free Energies/H = -6466.42400744, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 255.18

8.6. References

- [1] a) M. Baudler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 492–512, b) M. Baudler, K. Glinka, *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 1623–1667, c) T. Wellnitz, C. Hering‐Junghans, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *2021*, 8–21, d) O. J. Scherer, *Nachr. Chem.* **1987**, *35*, 1140–1144, e) O. J. Scherer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, *29*, 1104–1122.
- [2] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [3] a) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4164–4177, b) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4178–4235, c) M. Scheer, G. Balázs, A. Seitz, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4236–4256, d) C. M. Hoidn, D. J. Scott, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 1886–1902, e) L. Giusti, V. R. Landaeta, M. Vanni, J. A. Kelly, R. Wolf, M. Caporali, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *441*, 213927.
- [4] a) H. Köhler, A. Michaelis, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1877**, *10*, 807–814, b) J. J. Daly, L. Maier, *Nature* **1964**, *203*, 1167–1168, c) J. J. Daly, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 6147.
- [5] K. Schwedtmann, J. Haberstroh, S. Roediger, A. Bauzá, A. Frontera, F. Hennersdorf, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 6868–6875.
- [6] a) M. Baudler, J. Hellmann, P. Bachmann, K.-F. Tebbe, R. Fröhlich, M. Fehér, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1981**, *20*, 406–408, b) T. Grell, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 1008–1012, c) V. J. Eilrich, T. Grell, P. Lönnecke, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Dalton Trans.* **2021**, *50*, 14144–14155.
- [7] S. Wang, J. D. Sears, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, M. L. Niedig, J. S. Figueroa, *Science* **2022**, *375*, 1393–1397.
- [8] a) O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, *268*, C9-C12, b) O. J. Scherer, J. Braun, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1990**, *123*, 471– 475.
- [9] a) O. J. Scherer, J. Vondung, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 1355–1357, b) F. Dielmann, A. Timoshkin, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 1671–1675, c) A. Cavaillé, N. Saffon-Merceron, N. Nebra, M. Fustier-Boutignon, N. Mézailles, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 1874– 1878.
- [10] a) O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 59, b) E. Urnius, W. W. Brennessel, C. J. Cramer, J. E. Ellis, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 832– 834, c) C. M. Knapp, B. H. Westcott, M. A. C. Raybould, J. E. McGrady, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9097–9100.
- [11] O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 351–353.
- [12] a) O. J. Scherer, G. Berg, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 53–58, b) J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14180–14181, c) F. Dielmann, M. Sierka, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 6860–6864.
- [13] a) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2008**, *47*, 169–172, b) G. Hierlmeier, P. Coburger, N. P. van Leest, B. de Bruin, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2020**, *59*, 14148–14153, c) C. Yang, X. Jiang, Q. Chen, X. Leng, J. Xiao, S. Ye, L. Deng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c08647.
- [14] a) M. E. Barr, B. R. Adams, R. R. Weller, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 3052–3060, b) M. Scheer, U. Becker, E. Matern, *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 721–724, c) S. N. Konchenko, N. A. Pushkarevsky, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, H. Schnöckel, P. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 5740–5741, d) W. Huang, P. L. Diaconescu, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 2216–2218, e) F. Spitzer, C. Graßl, G. Balázs, E. M. Zolnhofer, K. Meyer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 4340–4344.
- [15] M. Piesch, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 6745–6751.
- [16] a) H.-G. von Schnering, J. Wolf, D. Weber, R. Ramirez, T. Meyer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986**, *25*, 353–354, b) B. W. Eichhorn, S. P. Mattamana, D. R. Gardner, J. C. Fettinger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 9708–9709, c) B. Kesanli, J. Fettinger, B. Eichhorn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 7367–7376, d) B. Kesanli, J. Fettinger, B. Scott, B. Eichhorn, *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 3840–3846.
- [17] O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 850–852.
- [18] a) M. V. Butovskiy, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2972–2976, b) L. Dütsch, M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, G. Balázs, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3256–3261.
- [19] Deposition numbers 2225834 (**A**), 2225835 (**B**), 2225836 (**1**), 2225837 (**3**), 2225838 (**4**) and 2225839 (**5**) contain supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint CCDC and FIZ Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
- [20] P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 2326–2337.
- [21] S. Du, J. Hu, Z. Chai, W.-X. Zhang, Z. Xi, *Chin. J. Chem.* **2019**, *37*, 71–75.
- [22] a) N. A. Piro, J. S. Figueroa, J. T. McKellar, C. C. Cummins, *Science* **2006**, *313*, 1276– 1279, b) A. Velian, M. Nava, M. Temprado, Y. Zhou, R. W. Field, C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13586–13589, c) D. Rottschäfer, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, R. Kishi, M. Nakano, R. S. Ghadwal, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 3244–3247, d) G. Hierlmeier, A. Hinz, R. Wolf, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 431–436.
- [23] M. D. Curtis, R. J. Klingler, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978**, *161*, 23.
- [24] J. J. Schneider, D. Wolf, C. Janiak, O. Heinemann, J. Rust, C. Krüger, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1998**, *4*, 1982–1991.
- [25] [CpMo(CO)₂}(η^3 -P₃)] is observed as a side product, which is attributed to the follow-up reaction between **6** and an excess of P2; see SI.
- [26] *https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator (15.11.2022)*.
- [27] M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, N. Mitzel, *Z. anorg. allg. Chem.* **2002**, *628*, 1821-1830.
- [28] W. Steinkopf, H. Dudek, S. Schmidt, *Ber. dtsch. Chem. Ges. A/B* **1928**, *61*, 1911–1918.
- [29] R. P. Hughes, D. C. Lindner, A. L. Rheingold, G. P. A. Yap, *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 1726–1727.
- [30] O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Wolmershäuser, *Z. anorg. allg. Chem.* **1993**, *619*, 827– 835.
- [31] Agilent Technologies Ltd, *CrysAlis PRO*, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, **2014**.
- [32] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- [33] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [34] a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. C* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [35] O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Commun.* **1993**, *3*, 313–314.
- [36] a) F. Neese, F. Wennmohs, U. Becker, C. Rieplinger, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *152*, 224108; b) F. Neese, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 1606.
- [37] A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098–3100.
- [38] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305.
- [39] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3093.
- [40] NBO 7.0. E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, P. Karafiloglou, C. R. Landis, and F. Weinhold, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI (**2018**).

9. Redox Chemistry of an End-Deck *cyclo***-As³ Nickel Complex**

Preface

The following chapter has already been published. The article is reprinted with permission from

"Redox Chemistry of an End-Deck cyclo-As³ Nickel Complex"

Organometallics **2023**, *42*, 2065–2069.

Copyright 2023 American Chemical Society.

Authors

Christoph Riesinger,† Lisa Zimmermann† and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Conceptualization, Synthesis of **1**, **2**, **3** and **5**, Interpretation of crystallographic data, Interpretation of computational data, Writing of original draft. Lisa Zimmermann – Synthesis of **4**, Mechanistic Investigations, Writing of original draft. Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

† These authors contributed equally.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the project Sche 384/36-2 and Sche 384/32-2. C. R. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for a PhD fellowship. We thank Robert Szlosek for his assistance with electrochemical studies.

9.1. Abstract

The redox chemistry of [Cp'''Ni(n³-As₃)] (A), an end-deck cyclo-As₃ complex, is explored in terms of systematically accessing a series of Ni2As³ triple-decker compounds. While oxidation of A affords the cationic complex $[\{Cp'''Ni\}^2(\mu, \eta^{3/3} - AS_3)]$ *[FAI] (1, [FAI]⁻ =* [FAI{O(1-C₆F₅)C₆F₁₀}₃]), reduction of **A** yields the anionic [K@crypt][{Cp"Ni}₂(μ , η ^{3.3}-As₃)] (**2**, *crypt = [2.2.2]-cryptand). One-electron reduction of 1 as well as one-electron oxidation of 2 yield the neutral compound [{Cp'''Ni}2(, 3:3 -As3)] (3), representing the missing link between 1 and 2, which is corroborated electrochemically. The cyclo-As³ ligand in 2 undergoes bond weakening and finally splitting of one As-As bond upon stepwise oxidation to 3 and 1, finally displaying an allylic As³ ligand. In-depth experimental studies shed light onto the reaction pathway of the oxidation of A and additional DFT computations give insight into the electronic structure of the obtained complexes.*

9.2. Introduction

Transition metal polypnictogen (E_n) complexes are an important class of compounds as they enable the synthesis and investigation of highly reactive and otherwise unstable group 15 species.^[1] Tremendous attention has been paid to polyphosphorus ligand complexes, mainly in the context of (transition) metal-mediated activation and conversion of white phosphorus (P_4) .^[2] In contrast, representatives of E_n complexes of the heavier homologs As, Sb and Bi are far less known, which is commonly attributed to the lower homoatomic E-E bond energies.[3] Nevertheless, especially As_n complexes raised considerable interest from very early on, as indeed the first reported E_n ligand complexes contain an As_2 and a *cyclo-As₃* ligand, respectively.^[4] Since then, *cyclo*-As_n ligand complexes bearing *cyclo*-As₃,^[4a,5] *cyclo*-As₄,^[6] cyclo-As₅,^[7] cyclo-As₆^[8] and even cyclo-As₈^[9] ligands, which are related to the respective hydrocarbons via the isolobal principle,^[10] have been isolated, often by using yellow arsenic $(As₄)$.^[11] Other representatives include transition metal polyarsenides, such as the recently

Scheme 1: Selected examples of transition metal poly-arsenides (I - V) arising from the oxidation or reduction of Asⁿ ligand complexes and the starting material A.

isolated binary Fe/As Zintl cluster $^{[12]}$ [Fe $_3$ (As $_3$) $_3$ As $_4$] 3 or organometallic polyarsenides, as e. g. $[{Cp*Co}_{3}(\mu_{3},\eta^{4.4.4}-As_{6})]^{0/4/2+}$ (Cp^{*} = C₅Me₅).^[13] One field of particular interest is the redox chemistry of such E_n ligand complexes, as, on the one hand, it allows to study the redox properties of these species which are otherwise inaccessible and, on the other hand, grants access to completely unprecedented E_n structural motifs. Thus, one-electron oxidation of the prominent ferrocene analog $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ affords a bicyclic P_{10} ligand, while its stepwise reduction first yields a bicyclic P_{10} motif as well, which can then be further reduced to the extremely reactive $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^4-P_5)]^{2-[14]}$ In contrast, the reduction of the heavier $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-As_5)]$ leads to a myriad of aggregated Fe polyarsenides, with $[\{Cp^*Fe\}_2(\mu, \eta^{4.4}\text{-As}_{10})]^2$ (I) as one example (Scheme 1).^[15] The smaller [{CpMo(CO)₂}₂(μ , η ²-As₂)] dimerizes upon oxidation to form a dicationic As₄ chain (II),^[16] while its reduction leads to Mo-Mo bond cleavage or the formation of a cyclo-As₄ ligand (III). The latter reduction is highly dependent on the Cp^R ligand employed at the Mo centers.^[17] The triple-decker complex $[{Cp''Co}^{\lambda}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{4.4}-As_4)]$ can be stepwisely oxidized as well as reduced by two electrons, each, in both cases surprisingly leading to sequential As-As bond formation within the As₄ ligand (IV, Scheme 1).^[6d] Similarly, oxidation and reduction of the prismane complex $[\{Cp^*Fe\}_3(\mu_3, \eta^{4:4:4}\text{-As}_6)]$ only lead to minor distortion within the As₆ ligand.^[18] Finally, the oxidation of the hexaarsa-benzene complex [{Cp*Mo}₂(μ,η^{6:6}-As₆)] leads to the bis-allylic distortion of the *cyclo*-As₆ ligand (**V**).^[19] In comparison, little is known about the redox chemistry of *cyclo-As*₃ ligand complexes. While the reduction of $[{(\text{nacnac})M}_{2}(\mu, \eta^{3.3}-As_{3})]^{2+}$ (M = Co, Ni, nacnac = $[{N(2,6^{-1}Pr_{2}C_{6}H_{3})C(Me)}_{2}CH]^{-})$ has no significant structural influences on the M_2As_3 core,^[20] the redox properties of end-deck cyclo-As₃ ligand complexes are completely unexplored. Herein, we report on the oxidation as well as the reduction of [Cp'''Ni(η³-As₃)] (**A**, Scheme 1),^[21] a complex with an end-deck *cyclo*-As₃ ligand, which leads to a series of triple-decker complexes bearing an $Ni₂As₃$ core. Additionally, light is shed onto the intriguing reaction pathway, which follows upon the initial one electron oxidation of **A**.

9.3. Results and Discussion

While the oxidation of $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-As_3)]$ (A) with an equimolar amount of oxidizing agent (e. g. [Thia][FAI], [Fc][FAI] or [Fc^{Diac}][FAI]; Thia = $C_{12}H_8S_2$, Fc = $C_{12}Fe$, Fc^{Diac} = $\{CpC(O)CH_3\}_2Fe$, $[FA]$ ⁻ = $[FA$ {O(1-C₆F₅)C₆F₁₀}₃] results in a mixture of several products (see SI), the careful addition of 0.5 equivalents of $[Fe^{Diac}][FA]$ ($Fe^{Diac} = {CpC(O)CH_3}_2Fe$, $[FA]$ ⁻ = $[FA]{O(1-G_2)}$ $C_6F_5)C_6F_{10}$ ₃] to a solution of **A** affords the triple-decker cation $[\{Cp'''Ni\}_2(\mu, \eta^{3.3} - As_3)][FAI]$ (1) in 49% yield, as well as three equivalents of grey arsenic (Scheme 2).^[21] Similarly, the reduction of A with KC₈ leads to the extrusion of (grey) arsenic and the formation of the triple-decker anion [K@crypt][{Cp"'Ni}₂(µ,η^{3:3}-As₃)] (2) (Scheme 2). **2** can be isolated as a dark deep blue crystalline solid in 50% yield. Logically, a neutral As₃ triple-decker complex [{Cp'''Ni}₂(µ, n^{3:3}-As3)] (**3**) was hypothesized to link both ionic species electrochemically. Indeed, the cyclic voltammogram of **1** in *o*-DFB reveals two reversible reduction processes at -0.07 V and -1.30 V (vs. Fc/Fc⁺ , see SI), reminiscent of the stepwise reduction of **1** to **2**. Chemical reduction of **1**

Scheme 2: Oxidation and reduction of A to the ionic triple-decker species 1 and 2, as well as the reduction of 1 to 3; i) Cp2Co, o-DFB, r. t., 2 h; isolated yields are given in parentheses; ii) [Fc][PF6], THF, -80 °C, 2 h.

with one equivalent of Cp2Co affords a dark, intensely red colored solution of **3**. After workup, the neutral triple-decker species **3** can be isolated in good crystalline yields of 79% (Scheme 2). Likewise, **3** is accessible via the oxidation of **2** with [Fc][PF6], with **3** being obtained in slightly lower yields of 62 % (see SI).

The core structural motifs of **1** - **3** are assembled from two {Cp'''Ni} moieties bridged by a central As³ ligand (Figure 1). While the anion in **2** features very similar As-As bond lengths of *d*(As-As) = 2.438(4) - 2.505(6) Å, one of these bonds undergoes stepwise elongation via the neutral **3** (*d*(As1-As3) = 2.693(1) Å) to the cationic **1** (*d*(As1-As3) = 2.873(1) Å, Figure 1). This deformation of the *cyclo-As₃* ligand in 2 to the allylic As₃ ligand in 1 is accompanied by a shift of the Ni atoms above the As1-As3 vector and significant tilting of the Cp''' against each other (**2**: 4.7(1)°, **3**: 18.6(1)°, **1**: 28.9(1)°). This behavior is consistent with the stepwise depopulation of the bonding As1-As3 interaction in **2** (*vide infra*). Notably, a similar structural trend has been observed for a series of lighter homologous P³ triple-decker complexes.[22] Compounds **1** and **2** display diamagnetic character in their ¹H NMR spectra which agrees with their even electron count of 32 and 34 valence electrons (VE), respectively. In contrast, the neutral compound **3** shows highly broadened signals in its ¹H NMR spectrum, hinting towards its paramagnetic nature. Indeed, the X-band EPR spectrum of **3** in frozen *n*-pentane recorded at 77 K reveals

Figure 1: Solid state structures of the triple-decker species 1 – 3; the As1-As3 bond lengths are given as an insert and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Figure 2: Selected molecular orbitals of optimized (@B97-D3/def2-TZVP) model systems of 1′ – 3′ and overall spin density of 3´; isosurface cut-off at 0.04 a. u. for MOs and 0.01 a. u. for the spin density.

an axial signal with $g_{\parallel} = 2.15$ and $g_{\perp} = 2.02$ corroborating its paramagnetic character (see SI). This is in line with its odd 33 VE. Furthermore this axial signal is in line with the spin density being mostly metal centered (*vide infra*). To gain deeper insight into the electronic structure of these triple-decker compounds, DFT calculations were performed at the ω B97X-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory with the bulky Cp"' being replaced with Cp ligands to save computational resources. Looking at the LUMO of the oxidation product **1′** clearly reveals the contribution of p-orbitals of As1 and As3 (Figure 2). Populating this orbital with two electrons, as in the HOMO-1 of **2′**, leads to bonding interaction and, thus, the shortening of the As1-As3 distance observed experimentally. In contrast, the SOMO of compound **3′** shows only minor contribution of the As atoms and localization of the spin primarily at the Ni atoms. However, close inspection of the overall spin density distribution in **3´** reveals a small contribution of the As2 atom, besides those of the Ni atoms. This agrees with the experimental finding of small, yet unresolved ⁷⁵As coupling observed in the EPR spectrum of **3**.

Initial experiments on the oxidation of **A** in a 1:1 stoichiometry resulted in a product mixture (*vide supra*), from which a second product, namely $[\{Cp'''Ni\}_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2:1:1:1}$ -As₅)][FAI] (4), could be identified. Thus, the oxidative fragmentation of **A** was further studied to obtain a deeper understanding of the reaction pathway (Figure 3a). **A** reacts with the relatively strong oxidizing agent [Thia][FAI] (0.860 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺)^[23] by releasing the complete *cyclo*-As₃ ring to form [Cp"'Ni(n^{1:1}-thia)][FAI] (5) in 16 % isolated crystalline yield (Figure 3). Even if 1 was not obtained, this reaction nonetheless indicates the formation of a {Cp"'Ni}⁺ fragment which could be trapped with thianthrene. Notably, **5** can be accessed in even higher yields via a salt metathesis approach starting from $[\{Cp'''Ni\}(\mu-Br)]_2$ (see SI). On the one hand, the one-electron oxidation of **A** to form the radical cation [Cp'''Ni(η³-As₃)]⁺, followed by the dissociation of the As₃ ligand (in form of As_{grey}) and further reaction with another molecule **A**, is anticipated to be responsible for the formation of **1** (see SI). On the other hand, the formation of **4** should be favored by a constant high local concentration of [**A**] + to increase the probability for two [Cp"'Ni(n³-As₃)]⁺ to encounter each other and simultaneously prevent formation of **1**. This was achieved by changing the order of addition. When first charging the Schlenk flask with both solids, **A** and $[Fc^{Diac}][TEF^{C}']$ ($[TEF^{C}]$ ⁻ = $[A \{OC(CF_3)_2 (CCI_3)\}_4]$ ⁻), followed by the addition of cold (-80 °C) CD2Cl2, yielded a ratio of 0.35:1 (**4**:**1**) of the products. When **A** was added slowly (2 min) at -80 °C to an equimolar amount of [FcDiac][TEFCl], a ratio of 0.42:1 (**4**:**1**) was obtained.

Figure 3: a) Synthesis of 4 and 5, i) $[FC^{Die}]/TEF^{Cl}$, CD₂Cl₂, -80 °C, 10 min, ii) [Thia][FAI], o-DFB, r.t., 4h; b) Solid *state structures of 4 and 5, ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level; c) schematic representation of the anions [TEFCl] – and [FAl]– .*

Prolonging the addition time to 10 min, further increased the ratio up to 0.95:1 (**4**:**1**, for details see SI). A concentrated solution of $1 + 4$ in CH₂Cl₂ layered with *n*-pentane afforded crystals in which **1** and **4** are co-crystallized (see SI), enabling the structural elucidation of the latter compound. In 4, two {Cp"'Ni} fragments are bridged by a chain-type, strongly bent As₅ ligand (Figure 3b). The As-As bond lengths $(2.402(7) - 2.431(7)$ Å) are in the range of single bonds, ^[24] while the As1-As5 (2.831(6) Å) and the As2-As4 (2.796(6) Å) distances indicate the chain character of the As_5 ligand. The As3 atom is strongly bent out of the plane of the other As atoms by $79.9(2)^\circ$. Notably, 4 displays the first species featuring such a bent As₅ ligand in the coordination sphere of two Ni atoms.

9.4. Conclusion

In summary, the redox reactivity of the end-deck *cyclo*-As₃ complex $[Cp'''Ni(n^{3.3}-As_3)]$ (A) undergoes fragmentation upon both oxidation, and reduction. While oxidation affords the cationic triple-decker $\{Cp'''\text{Ni}\}_2(\mu, \eta^{3:3}\text{-As}_3)\}$ [FAI] (1), reduction yields the corresponding anionic complex $[K@crpt][{Cp'''Ni}_2(\mu, \eta^3-As_3)]$ (2). Interestingly, these compounds are electrochemically linked via the neutral paramagnetic $[\{Cp'''Ni\}_2(\mu, \eta^{3:3}$ -As₃)] (3), which is accessible from both **1** and **2** and confirmed by cyclic voltammetry. The variation of the reaction conditions during the oxidation of **A** gives insight into the reaction pathway, which presumably involves the cleavage of the $As₃$ ligand from the initial oxidation product followed by the trapping of the highly reactive {Cp'''Ni}⁺ with either another equivalent of **A** or e.g. with thianthrene to afford the As-rich complex [{Cp"'Ni}₂(μ,η^{2:2:1:1:1}-As₅)][FAI] (4) or the product $[Cp''Ni(\eta^{1:1}$ -thia)][FAI] (5), which does not contain As. Our findings are supported by detailed computational studies providing insight into the electronic structure of the triple-decker series **1** - **3**.

9.5. Supporting Information

9.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under N_2 as an inert gas or in a glovebox with Ar atmosphere. The nitrogen inert gas was led over a BASF R 3-1 (CuO/MgSiO₃) catalyst to remove traces of oxygen. By flowing the nitrogen inert gas through concentrated sulfuric acid, orange gel and SICAPENT® traces of moisture were eliminated. All glassware was dried with a heatgun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. o-DFB and CD₂Cl₂ were distilled from CaH₂ and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature prior to use. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz), ¹¹B (128.379 MHz) and ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance400 spectrometer using (H₃C)₄Si (¹H), BF₃∙Et₂O (¹¹B) or CFCl³ (¹⁹F), respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (*δ*) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $t =$ triplet, $br =$ broad and m = multiplet. Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. ESI mass spectra were either recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 mass spectrometer or in our own group using a Micro mass spectrometer. The peak assignment was performed using the molecular weight calculator 6.50.^[25] IR spectra were recorded as solids using a ThermoFisher Nicolet iS5 FT-IR spectrometer with an iD7 ATR module and an ITX Germanium or ITX Diamond crystal. The starting materials [Cp'''Ni(η^3 -As₃)]^[21], [Cp"'Ni(µ-CO)]₂,^[26] [Thia][FAI]^[16] and KC₈^[27] were synthesized following literature procedures. $[Fc^{Diac}][X]$ ([X] = [FAI], [TEF^{CI}]) were synthesized according to the literature procedure for [Fc^{Diac}][TEF].^[28] All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors.

Yellow arsenic and arsenic compounds are extremely hazardous. Their handling has to be performed with great care and contact (skin, breathing, swallowing) must be avoided at all costs. The arsenic compounds used during this work were handled and disposed according to the regulation (EG) Nr. 1272/2008 of the European union (Appendix VI, Table 3.1, 033-002- 00-X and 033-002-00-5, arsenic and arsenic compounds, with the exception of those specified elsewhere in this annex). The following GHS hazard statements need to be considered before using these compounds: H301, H331, H400, H410. Due to their high toxicity, these compounds need to be handled extremely carefully, the respective solids or solutions were disposed in special collecting tanks for Hg-, Tl-, As-, Se-, Be-containing waste.

$[(Cp''']$ *Ni* $)_2$ $(\mu, \eta^{3/3}$ -As₃ $)$][FAI] (1)

Compound **1** was prepared according to literature procedures. Nevertheless, compound **1** was fully characterized due to reasons of completeness.^[21]

A solution of $[Fe^{Diac}][FA]$ (83 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) was added at -80 °C to a solution of $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-As_3)]$ (A) (52 mg, 0.1 mmol, 2 eq.) in CH_2Cl_2 . The colour of the reaction mixture changed from red to dark brown immediately. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, *n*-pentane (30 mL) was added to precipitate a brown powder which was washed with *n*-pentane (2 x10 mL) and with toluene (10 mL). After drying under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar), the residue was dissolved with CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) and layered with *n*-pentane (15 mL). After one day room temperature, dark orange blocks of $[(Cp'''Ni)₂(\mu, \eta^{3:3}]$ As3)][FAl] (**1**) suitable for single crystals X-ray analysis were obtained.

$[(Cp'''Ni)_{2}(\mu, \eta^{3/3}-As_{3})][TEF^{Cl}]$ (1) and $[(Cp''Ni)_{2}(\mu, \eta^{2/2/11/1}-As_{5})][TEF^{Cl}]$ (4)

A solution of $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-As_3)]$ (26 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) was added at -80 °C to a solution of $[Fe^{Diac}][TEF^{C1}]$ (72 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) over a period of 10 min. The colour of the reaction mixture changed from red to dark reddish-brown immediately. After the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, the NMR sample was submitted. An integral ratio of **1**:**4** of 1:0.95 was found in the ¹H NMR spectrum.

[K@crypt][(Cp'''Ni)2(,3:3-As3)] (2)

THF (8 mL) was condensed into a Schlenk flask containing $[Cp'''Ni(n^3-As_3)]$ (A) (207 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2.0 eq.), KC_8 (30 mg, 0.22 mmol, 1.1 eq) and [2.2.2]cryptant (75 mg, 0.2 mmol, 0.5 eq.) The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at -80 °C, allowed to warm to room temperature and further stirred for 1 h. The colour of the reaction mixture changed from red to black immediately. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the black residue washed with *n*hexane (3 x 10 mL). After drying under reduced pressure (10 3 mbar), the residue was dissolved with THF (5 mL) and filtered through a glass fibre filter paper. The black solution was layered with *n*-hexane. After five days at room temperature, black crystals of [K@crypt][(Cp'"Ni)₂(µ, n^{3:3}-As₃)] (2) suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis were obtained. The solvent was removed by decanting and the crystals dried in vacuum for 3 h.

* Compound **2** is extremely sensitive and rapidly decomposes to form grey arsenic, contaminating samples for elemental analysis.

$[(Cp''']$ *Ni* $)_{2}$ $(\mu, \eta^{3/3}$ *-As*₃ $)$ $[$ (3)

Method A:

A solution of [Cp2Co] (45 mg, 0.24 mmol, 0.96 eq.) in *o*-DFB (3 mL) was added slowly to a solution of [(Cp'''Ni)₂(μ,η^{3:3}-As₃)][PF₆] (237 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in o-DFB (3 mL). The colour of the reaction mixture changed immediately to intense red. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the dark residue was extracted with *n*-hexane (5 mL) and filtered through a glass fibre filter paper. The solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in 1 mL *n*-pentane. Red crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis were obtained by slow diffusion of *n*-pentane into toluene. The solvent was removed by decanting and the crystals dried in vacuum for 3 h.

Method B:

A solution of $[Fe][PF_6]$ (53 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1 eq.) in THF (3 mL) was added at -80 °C slowly to a solution of $[K@crypt]](Cp'''Ni)₂($\mu, \eta^{3.3}$ -As₃)] (2) (204 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in THF (3 mL).$ The colour of the reaction mixture changed immediately to intense red. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the dark residue was extracted with *n*-hexane (10 mL) and filtered through a glass fibre filter paper. A dark powder was obtained by removing the solvent under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar) and subsequent drying in vacuum for 3 h.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-thia)][FAl] (5)

A solution of [Thia][FAl] (159 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in *o*-DFB (10 mL) was added at room temperature to a solution of [Cp'''Ni(n³-As₃)] (A) (52 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) in *o*-DFB. The colour of the reaction mixture changed from red to dark brown-red immediately. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature, *n*-pentane (40 mL) was added to precipitate a brown powder which was dried under reduced pressure (10^{-3} mbar) . The residue was dissolved with *o*-DFB (12 mL) and layered with *n*-pentane (40 mL). After five days at room temperature, red blocks of [Cp'''Ni(n^{1:1}-thia)][FAI] (5) suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis were obtained. The solvent was decanted, and the crystals were dried in vacuum for 3 h.

Alternatively, 5 can be synthesized via halide substitution of $[Cp'''\text{Ni}(\mu\text{-Br})]_2$:

 $[Cp'''Ni(\mu-Br)]_2$ (37 mg, 0.05 mmol, 0.5 eq.), Ag $[FAI] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$ (157 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) and thianthrene (22 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) were dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB rapidly affording a dark red suspension and white solid. After completing the reaction by stirring at room temperature for 16 h, the solution was filtered, and 60 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate **5** as a light red powder. Drying under reduced pressure (10-3 mbar) afforded **5** as analytically pure compound in 90% yield.

9.5.2. Mechanistic Investigations on the Oxidation of A

First attempts on the oxidation of **A** with [Fc^{Diac}][FAI] afforded compounds 1 and 4 in a 9:1 ratio according to X-ray crystallography and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Hence, we aimed to direct the reaction towards **1** and **4** respectively by variation of reaction parameters (oxidation agent, temperature, time/order of addition, solvent). Since **A** reacts with the relatively strong oxidation agent [thia][FAI] to form [Cp'''Ni(n^{1:1}-thia)][FAI] (5), it indicates the formation of a {Cp'''Ni}⁺ fragment which is formed during the reaction and could be trapped with thianthrene. We obtained 1 selectively by addition of 0.5 eq. [Fc^{Diac}][FAI] to **A** in CH₂Cl₂ at -80 °C. We anticipate the mechanism to occur via the middle path of Scheme 1. One-electron oxidation of **A** leads to the formation of the radical cation $[Cp''NiAs_3]^+$, dissociation of the As₃ ligand (in form of gray arsenic) and further reaction with another molecule of **A** should give **1**.

Scheme S 1: Possible reaction pathways for the oxidation of A.

As oxidation agent, solvent and temperature seemed not to play a significant role in the product ratio, we expected presence of the {Cp'''Ni}⁺ fragment favors formation of **1**. First, we decided to use concentrated solutions of **A**, in order to ensure a high local concentration of [**A**] + . By this, we hoped to favor the formation of $[(Cp'''Ni)_2As_6]^+$ or $[(Cp'''Ni)_2As_6]^{2+}$ (upper and lower part of Scheme 1). Nevertheless, we almost only saw formation of 1, thus $As₃$ elimination has to be much faster than As elimination. Next, we changed the order of addition. By adding **A** to the oxidation agent, a constant excess of oxidation agent would increase the probability for two [Cp"'NiAs₃]⁺ to meet each other (Scheme S1, bottom part) and favor formation of 4. Indeed,

charging the Schlenk flask with **A** and [FcDiac][TEFCl] increased the ratio of **1**:**4** to 1:0.35. Next we added a concentrated solution of **A** to [Fc^{Diac}][TEF^{Cl}] at -80 °C over a period of 2 min, with a ratio of **1**:**4** of 1:0.42. Elongating the reaction time from 2 min to 10 min did further increase the preferred formation of **4**, and a ratio of **1**:**4** of 1:0.95 was observed.

In summary, formation of **1** could not be circumvented, however we were able to find conditions for increasing its ratio significantly. Presumably, the middle path of Scheme S1 is kinetically most favored. Maximum excess of the oxidation agent ensures the possibility for two [Cp"'NiAs₃]⁺ to meet each other and to follow the bottom part of Scheme S1.

Table S 1: Optimization for the syntheses of 1 and 4, respectively. Procedure: Solution 2 was added to solution 1 under the given conditions.

9.5.3. X-ray Crystalloraphic Data

General Considerations

The crystallographic data for all synthesized compounds was collected either on an Xcalibur Gemini with an AtlasS2 detector using $Cu-K_{\alpha}$ radiation (1) on a GV1000 diffractometer (AT) with a TitanS2 detector using Cu-K_a radiation (5) or Cu-K_{β} radiation (1 + 4) or on a XtaLAB Synergy R, DW system with HyPix-Arc 150 detector applying Cu-Kα radiation (**2**, **3**) from a rotating anode X-ray source. All measurements were performed at 123 K. Data collection, data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro^[29] software package. Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2^[30] with ShelXT^[31] and ShelXL^[32] (full-matric least-squares method against F^2). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and hydrogen atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C-H bond lengths (sp^3 : 0.96 (CH₃), 0.97 (CH₂), sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Visualization of the crystal structures was performed with Olex2.^[30]

CCDC-2064654 (**1**), CCDC-2263450 (**2**), CCDC-2263451 (**3**), CCDC-2263452 (**4**), and CCDC-2263453 (**5**), contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: + 44-1223-336-033; e-mail: [deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk\)](mailto:deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Compound	1	$\overline{2}$	3
Formula	$C_{70}H_{58}O_3F_{46}AlNi_2As_3$	$C_{52}H_{94}As_3KN_2Ni_2O_6$	$C_{34}H_{58}Ni_{2}As_{3}$
O calc	1.850	1.378	1.493
μ /mm ⁻¹	3.549	3.639	4.500
Formula Weight	2190.32	1224.57	808.98
Colour	clear dark orange	dark brown	dark red
Shape	block-shaped	block-shaped	stick-shaped
Size/mm ³	$0.48 \times 0.40 \times 0.22$	$0.23 \times 0.10 \times 0.07$	$0.26 \times 0.07 \times 0.05$
T/K	293(2)	123.01(10)	123.01(10)
Crystal System	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic
Space Group	$\bar{P1}$	$\bar{P1}$	$\bar{P1}$
$a/\text{\AA}$	14.3245(4)	10.70200(10)	12.2871(3)
$b/\text{\AA}$	17.2360(5)	13.29600(10)	12.8493(3)
$c/\text{\AA}$	19.5299(5)	21.45380(10)	13.3497(2)
α /°	99.648(2)	99.2150(10)	67.261(2)
β /°	109.149(2)	101.4120(10)	71.784(2)
γ ^o	113.282(3)	90.5780(10)	71.514(2)
V/\AA ³	3931.0(2)	2951.01(4)	1799.50(8)
Z	\overline{c}	$\overline{2}$	2
Z^{\prime}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
Wavelength/Å	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184
Radiation type	Cu K _a	Cu K _a	Cu K _a
Θ_{min}/\degree	3.469	2.130	3.678
Θ_{max}/\degree	72.120	73.717	71.773
Measured Refl's.	31525	113148	47740
Indep't Refl's	14915	11611	6894
Refl's $I \geq 2$ s(I)	13757	10529	6644
$R_{\rm int}$	0.0290	0.0358	0.0231
Parameters	1144	641	370
Restraints	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
Largest Peak	1.017	0.376	0.315
Deepest Hole	-0.642	-0.355	-0.490
GooF	1.045	1.038	1.087
ωR_2 (all data)	0.0881	0.0639	0.0536
ωR_2	0.0856	0.0624	0.0531
R_1 (all data)	0.0367	0.0292	0.0221
R_1	0.0336	0.0257	0.0211

Table S 2: X-ray crystallographic data of compounds 1, 2 and 3.

Compound	$1 + 4$	5
Formula	$C_{70}H_{57.2}AlAs_{3.18}F_{46}Ni_2O_3$	C68.66H39.44AlF47.22NiO3S2
p _{calc}	1.858	1.767
μ /mm ⁻¹	5.248	2.582
Formula Weight	2202.99	1959.35
Colour	clear dark green	clear red
Shape	prism-shaped	block-shaped
Size/mm ³	$0.42 \times 0.23 \times 0.22$	$0.26 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$
T/K	123.02(10)	123.00(10)
Crystal System	triclinic	monoclinic
Space Group	$\overline{P1}$	$P2_1/n$
$a/\text{\AA}$	14.3364(3)	19.41090(10)
$b/\text{\AA}$	17.2418(5)	19.44110(10)
$c/\text{\AA}$	19.5270(5)	19.63140(10)
α /°	99.412(2)	90
β /°	109.126(2)	96.0460(10)
$\gamma\prime^{\circ}$	113.382(2)	90
V/\AA ³	3938.72(19)	7367.08(7)
Z	2	4
Z^{\prime}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
Wavelength/Å	1.39222	1.54184
Radiation type	Cu K _b	Cu K _a
Θ_{min}/\degree	2.679	3.385
Θ_{max} /°	74.091	74.297
Measured Refl's.	45249	74655
Indep't Refl's	21198	14866
Refl's $I \geq 2$ $s(I)$	18223	13092
$R_{\rm int}$	0.0443	0.0267
Parameters	1227	1494
Restraints	54	200
Largest Peak	0.630	0.727
Deepest Hole	-1.023	-0.355
GooF	1.028	1.042
ωR_2 (all data)	0.1192	0.0943
ωR_2	0.1105	0.0886
R_1 (all data)	0.0519	0.0406
R_1	0.0441	0.0347

Table S 3: X-ray crystallographic data of compounds 1 + 4 and 5.

[(Cp'''Ni)2(,3:3-As3)][FAl] (1)

Compound [(Cp"'Ni)₂(µ, n^{3:3}-As₃)][FAI] (1) crystallizes from a concentrated solution in CH₂Cl₂ layered with *n*-pentane at room temperature in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ as clear dark orange blocks. The asymmetric unit (Figure S1) contains one cation and one anion.

Figure S 1: Solid state structure of 1; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[K@crypt][(Cp'''Ni)2(,3:3-As3)] (2)

Compound $[K@crpt][(Cp'''Ni)₂(μ , η ^{3:3}-As₃)] (2) crystals from a concentrated solution in THF$ layered with *n*-hexane at room temperature in the triclinic space group \overline{PI} as clear dark orange blocks. The asymmetric unit (Figure S2) contains one cation and one anion. Disorders within the cation were treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 2: Solid state structure of 2; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

$[(Cp''']$ *Ni* $)_{2}$ $(\mu, \eta^{3:3}$ -As₃ $)$ (3)

Compound $[(Cp'''Ni)₂(μ , η ^{3.3}-As₃)] (3) crystals by slow diffusion of a saturated solution of 3$ in *n*-pentane into toluene at room temperature in the triclinic space group $\overline{P1}$ as dark red sticks. The asymmetric unit (Figure S3) contains one molecule **3**.

Figure S 3: Solid state structure of 3. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

$[(Cp''''Ni)_{2}(\mu, \eta^{3.3} - As_{3})][FA[i](1)$ and $[(Cp''Ni)_{2}(\mu, \eta^{2.2:1:1:1} - As_{5})][FA[i](4)]$

Compounds $[(Cp'''Ni)₂(\mu, \eta^{3.3}-As₃)][FAI]$ (1) and $[(Cp'''Ni)₂(\mu, \eta^{2.2:1:1:1}-As₅)][FAI]$ (4) crystallizes from a concentrated solution in CH₂Cl₂ layered with *n*-pentane at room temperature in the triclinic space group \overline{PI} as clear dark orange blocks. The asymmetric unit (Figure S4) contains one cation and one anion. The cation shows a disorder. Part 1 includes $[(Cp'''Ni)_2(\mu,\eta^{3:3}\text{-As}_3)]^*$ with an occupancy of 91.1 %, whereas part 2 includes $[(Cp'''Ni)_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2:1:1:1}$ -As₅)]⁺ with an occupancy of 8.9 %.

Figure S 4: Solid state structures of a mixture of 1 and 4; Depicted is the asymmetric unit (left) and the respective compounds 1 and 4 (right). ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-thia)][FAl] (5)

Compound [Cp"'Ni(n^{1:1}-thia)][FAI] (5) crystallizes from a concentrated solution in CH₂Cl₂ layered with *n*-pentane at room temperature in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/n$ as clear dark orange blocks. The asymmetric unit (Figure S5) contains one cation, one anion and one molecule *o*-DFB. Disorders within the cation and the *o*-DFB were treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 5: Solid state structure of 5. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

*Figure S 6: ¹H NMR spectra of the oxidation of A with different reaction conditions recorded in CD2Cl2. *: CD2Cl2; Integral ratio is given in red.*

Figure S 7: ¹H NMR spectrum of $[(Cp'''Ni)_{2}(\mu, \eta^{3/3}-As_{3})][FAI]$ (1) in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature; $* = CD_{2}Cl_{2}$.

Figure S 8: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of [(Cp"'Ni)₂(μ , η ^{3:3}-As₃)][FAI] (1) in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature; low signal-to*noise ratio is caused due to low solubility of [FAl]- salts.*

Figure S 9: ¹H NMR spectrum of $[(Cp'''Ni)_{2}(\mu, \eta^{3/3}-As_3)][FAI]$ (1) and $[(Cp'''Ni)_{2}(\mu, \eta^{2/2\cdot1/1}\cdot As_5)][FAI]$ (4) in CD₂Cl₂ at *room temperature; * = CD2Cl2; # = traces of [FcDiac][FAl] since the reaction was carried out in a 1:1 stoichiometry.*

Figure S 10: ¹H NMR spectrum of [K@crypt][(Cp"'Ni)2(µ, $\eta^{3.3}$ -As3)] (2) in THF-d⁶ at room temperature; *= THF-d⁶.

Figure S 11: ¹H NMR spectrum of $[(Cp'''Ni)z(\mu, \eta^{3/3}-As3)]$ (3) in C₆D₆ at room temperature; $* = C_6D_6$.

Figure S 12: ¹H NMR spectrum of $[(Cp'''Ni)z(\mu, \eta^{1.1}\text{-}thia)][FAI]$ (5) in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature; $* = CD_2C_2$.

Figure S 13: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of $[(Cp'''Ni)z(\mu, \eta^{1.1}$ -thia)][FAI] (5) in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature; * marks the *signal for residual o-DFB.*

9.5.5. Cyclovoltammetric Studies

To get an initial idea of the redox properties of **A**, we conducted cyclovolammetric studies in solution. Thus, 52 mg of A were dissolved in o -DFB (5 mL) and 750 mg [ⁿBu₄N][PF₆] were added. The cyclovoltammogram (Figure S14) was then recorded.

Figure S 14: Cyclovoltammogram of A in o-DFB recorded at room temperature.

Furthermore, we conducted cyclovoltammetric studies of **3** in solution. 50 mg of **3** were dissolved in o-DFB (5 mL) and 500 mg [ⁿBu₄N][PF₆] were added. The cyclovoltammogram (Figure S15) was then recorded.

Figure S 15: Cyclovoltammogram of 3 in o-DFB recorded at room temperature.

9.5.6. EPR Studies on 3

As **3** has 33 VE and should thus be paramagnetic, we subjected a sample of it in *n*-pentane to EPR studies. While we could not observe a signal at room temperature, the frozen solution at 77 K reveals a signal with $g_1 = 2.15$ and $g_2 = 2.02$ and an additional hyperfine coupling to the arsenic nuclei (Figure S16), which however could not be resolved within the spectral simulation.

Figure S 16: X-band EPR spectrum of 3 in frozen n-pentane solution at 77 K and its simulation.

9.5.7. Computational Details

General Considerations

DFT calculations were performed using the Orca 5.0 software package.^[33] The sterically demanding Cp''' ligands were replaced with unsubstituted Cp ligands to save computational resources. Geometry optimizations were performed at the ω B97X-D3^[34]/def2-TZVP^[35] level of theory with PCM solvent correction for either CH_2Cl_2 or THF.^[36] Stationary points were verified by analytical frequency calculations (imaginary modes >-50cm⁻¹ were neglected). Single point calculations were performed at the ω B97X-D3/def2-TZVP level of theory with solvent correction as described above.

Molecular Orbitals and Spin Density of 3

Molecular orbitals for compounds **1** – **3** were obtained from single point calculations on the optimized geometries of the respective species. Selected molecular orbitals, as well as the spin density within **3** are given in Figure S17. Notably, the former LUMO (in **1**) becomes a populated bonding orbital (HOMO-1 in **2**), upon the stepwise reduction. Furthermore, the spin density of **3** displays partial As contribution, explaining the hyperfine coupling observed in the experimental X-band EPR spectrum of **3**.

Figure S 17: Selected molecular orbitals (MOs) of 1´ - 3´ and the spin density of 3´; isosurfaces are drawn at 0.04 a. u. for MOs and 0.01 a. u. for the spin density.

Optimized Geometries

[(CpNi)2(,3:3-As3)]⁺ (1´)

B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -10111.45850306, Enthalpies/H = - 10111.45755885, Free Energies/H = -10111.51722937, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 119.61

[(CpNi)2(,3:3-As3)]– (2´)

B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH2Cl2)): Energies/H = -10111.75668011, Enthalpies/H = - 10111.75573590, Free Energies/H = -10111.81585998, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 117.18

[(CpNi)2(,3:3-As3)] (3´)

B97XD/def2TZVP (CPCM (CH2Cl2)): Energies/H = -10111.64813529, Enthalpies/H = - 10111.64719108, Free Energies/H = -10111.70733936, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 118.08

9.6. References

- [1] O. J. Scherer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, *29*, 1104–1122.
- [2] a) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro, , C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4164–4177; b) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4178–4235; c) M. Scheer, G. Balázs, A. Seitz, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4236–4256; d) N. A. Giffin, J. D. Masuda, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *255*, 1342–1359, e) C. M. Hoidn, D. J. Scott, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 1886–1902; f) L. Giusti, V. R. Landaeta, M. Vanni, J. A. Kelly, R. Wolf, M. Caporali, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *441*, 213927.
- [3] S. W. Benson, *J. Chem. Educ.* **1965**, *42*, 502.
- [4] a) A. S. Foust, M. S. Foster, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 5631–5633; b) A. S. Foust, M. S. Foster, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 5633–5635.
- [5] a) I. Bernal, H. Brunner, W. Meier, H. Pfisterer, J. Wachter, M. L. Ziegler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1984**, *23*, 438–439; b) M. Gorzellik, H. Bock, L. Gang, B. Nuber, M. L. Ziegler, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *412*, 95–120; c) O. J. Scherer, J. Braun, P. Walther, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1992**, *125*, 2661–2665.
- [6] a) O. J. Scherer, J. Vondung, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989**, *376*, C35-C38; b) C. Schwarzmaier, A. Noor, G. Glatz, M. Zabel, A. Y. Timoshkin, B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, R. Kempe, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7283–7286; c) M. Schmidt, A. E. Seitz, M. Eckhardt, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets,; F. Riedlberger, M. Bodensteiner, E. M. Zolnhofer, K. Meyer, M. Scheer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13981–13984, d) M. Piesch, C. Graßl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 7154–7160; e) M. Dietz, M. Arrowsmith, S. Reichl, L. I. Lugo-Fuentes, J. O. C. Jiménez-Halla, M. Scheer, H. Braunschweig, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202206840.
- [7] a) A. L. Rheingold, M. J. Foley, P. J. Sullivan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 4727– 4729; b) O. J. Scherer, W. Wiedemann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989**, *361*, C11–C14; c) O. J. Scherer, W. Wiedemann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1990**, *123*, 3–6; d) O. J. Scherer, C. Blath, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, *387*, C21–C24.
- [8] O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 212–213.
- [9] O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 850–852.
- [10] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [11] M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119* (14), 8406–8434.
- [12] W.-Q. Zhang, Z.-S. Li, J. E. McGrady, Z.-M. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202217316.
- [13] a) O. J. Scherer, K. Pfeiffer, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *425*, 141–149; b) C. von Hänisch, D. Fenske, F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, R. Ahlrichs, F. Weigend, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1997**, *3*, 1494–1498.
- [14] M. V. Butovskiy, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2972–2976.
- [15] M. Schmidt, D. Konieczny, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, F. Riedlberger, H. Krauss, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 7307–7311.
- [16] L. Dütsch, M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, G. Balázs, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3256–3261.
- [17] N. Reinfandt, C. Schoo, L. Dütsch, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 3974–3978.
- [18] C. Riesinger, L. Dütsch, M. Scheer, *Z. Anorg Allg. Chem.* **2022**, *648*, e2022000102.
- [19] M. Fleischmann, F. Dielmann, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 15248– 15251.
- [20] M. Haimerl, C. Graßl, M. Seidl, M. Piesch, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 18129– 18134.
- [21] **1** was already obtained as a dismutation product of a heterobimetallic triple decker complex $\{Cp''''NN\}$ $\{Cp''''Co\}$ $(\mu, \eta^{3.3}$ -As₃)][FAI] to the homobimetallic complexes and the solid state structure is deposited under CCDC2064654: M. Piesch, S. Reichl, C. Riesinger, M. Seidl,; G. Balazs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 9129–9140.
- [22] a) E. Mädl, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7702–7707; b) C. Riesinger, L. Dütsch, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 17165–17170.
- [23] N. G. Conelly, W. E. Geiger, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 877–910.
- [24] P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 2326−2337.
- [25] *omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator* (16.12.2022).
- [26] H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1995**, *50*, 750–756.
- [27] J.-M. Lalancette, G. Rollin, P. Dumas, *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 3058–3062.
- [28] A. Straube, P. Coburger, L. Dütsch, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 10657– 10668.
- [29] Agilent, CrysAlisPro **2014**, Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.
- [30] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Crystallogr. **2009**, 42, 339–341.
- [31] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [32] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. C* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [33] a) F. Neese, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 1606–1621; b) F. Neese, F. Wennmohs, U. Becker, C. Riplinger, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *152*, 224108–224126;
- [34] Y.-S. Lin, G.-D. Li, S.-P. Mao, J.-D. Chai, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 263–272.
- [35] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305.
- [36] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3093.

10. Synthesis and Redox Chemistry of a Homoleptic Iron Arsenic Prismane Cluster

Redox Mediated Distortion of an Iron Arsenic Prismane Cluster

Preface

The following chapter has already been published. The article is reprinted with permission of Wiley-VCH. License Number: 5637020248485

"Synthesis and Redox Chemistry of a Homoleptic Iron Arsenic Prismane Cluster"

Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **2022**, *648*, e2022000102.

Parts of this chapter have already been described within the PhD thesis of Dr. Luis Dütsch.

Authors

Christoph Riesinger,† Luis Dütsch† and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Conceptualization, Synthesis of **A**, [**2**][TEF]2, [K@crypt][**3**], Interpretation of crystallographic data, Interpretation of computational investigations, Writing of original draft. Luis Dütsch – Conceptualization, Synthesis of [**1**][TEF], [**1**][FAl], Electrochemical investigations, Writing of original draft.

Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

† These authors contributed equally.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the project Sche 384/36-1. C. R. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for a PhD fellowship. Lisa Zimmermann is acknowledged for assistance in graphical design.

10.1. Abstract

The redox chemistry of the homoleptic iron prismane cluster $[{CD^*Fe}_{3}(\mu_3, \eta^{4.4.4} - As_6)]$ *(A,* $Cp^* =$ *C5Me5) is investigated both electrochemically and synthetically. While its first oxidation leads to the diamagnetic species [{Cp*Fe}3(3, 4:4:4 -As6)][X] ([1][TEF], [1][FAl], [TEF]– =* [Al{OC(CF₃)₃}₄]⁻, [FAI]⁻ = [FAI{O(1-C₆F₅)C₆F₁₀}₃]⁻), the second oxidation yields the *paramagnetic [{Cp*Fe}3(3, 4:4:4 -As6)][TEF]2 ([2][TEF]2). The reduction of A leads to the monoanionic compound [K@[2.2.2]-cryptand][{Cp*Fe}3(3, 4:4:4 -As6)] ([K@crypt][3]), while a second reduction could only be traced spectroscopically. All compounds were comprehensively characterized, revealing the structural changes accompanying the described redox processes. All findings are supported by spectroscopic as well as computational studies.*

10.2. Introduction

Molecular transition metal polyarsides $(As_n$ ligand complexes) are a continually growing class of compounds, owing their attraction to their multifaceted structural chemistry.[1] In general, the polyarsenic As_n units adopt a size and hapticity necessary to fulfill the 18 valence electron (VE) rule at the utilized transition metal fragment(s), often resembling aromatic hydrocarbon ligands.^[2] Thus, complexes featuring As₂ dumbbells,^[3] As₃,^[4] As₄,^[5] As₅,^[6] As₆^[7] and As₈^[8] rings have been described. Commonly, these species are obtained under thermolytic or photolytic conditions using transition metal carbonyl complexes and a suitable As source, such as yellow arsenic $(As₄)$.^[1c] One of these very prominent thermolysis reactions is the one between [Cp^{*}Fe(CO)₂]₂ and yellow arsenic, which affords [Cp^{*}Fe(n⁵-As₅)], a pentaarsolide (*cyclo*-As₅) derivative of the prototypical ferrocene.^[6b] However, we recently described two additional products arising from this reaction. The heteroleptic complex $[\{\mathsf{Cp*Fe}\}_2(\mu_3, \eta^{4:4:4}\text{-}\mathsf{As}_6)\mathsf{Fe}(\eta^{3}\text{-}\eta^{3})]$ As3)] could be obtained as an analytically pure compound, while the homoleptic

Scheme 1: Known arsenic prismane clusters and their respective skeletal electron counts in brackets; Cp = C5Me5, Cp'' = 1,3- ^tBu2-C5H3.*

 $[\{\mathsf{Cp^*Fe}\}_3(\mu_3,\eta^{4:4:4}\text{-}\mathsf{As}_6)]$ (A) was hypothesized but could not be structurally validated yet.^[9] Herein, we describe the isolation as well as surprising structural features of **A**, allowing to further study its reactivity. Amongst others, the group of Fenske succeeded in obtaining several hetero- and homometallic As₆ prismane clusters $[\{Cp^RM\}3(\mu_3, \eta^{4:4:4}-As_6)]^{*n}$ (**I** – **IV**; $Cp^R = Cp[*]$, Cp^{+} , Cp' , Cp'' ; M = Fe, Co ; n = 0, 1, 2, Scheme 1) as well as their lighter P-derivatives $[{(Cp^*M}_3(\mu_3, \eta^{4.4.4}-P_6)]^{*n}$ (M = Fe, Co; n = 0, 1) from co-thermolysis as well as salt metathesis reactions.[10] However, studies on their reactivity are scarce and limited to "Aufbau"-reactions leading to extended heterotetranuclear clusters.^[11] Yet, the redox chemistry of these compounds remains completely unstudied and no anionic arsenic prismane clusters could be isolated so far, which becomes even more of a target against the background of the wide range of skeletal electron numbers observed in the complexes mentioned above (Scheme 1).

10.3. Results and Discussion

A was synthesized by the co-thermolysis of $[Cp*Fe(CO)₂]$ with As₄ and could be isolated in an improved 39% yield by a more elaborate chromatographic workup involving toluene/hexane mixtures as eluents (see SI).^[6b,9]

Figure 1: Cyclic voltammogram of A in CH₂CI₂ referenced to the Fc/Fc⁺ couple with $[^nBu_4N][PF_6]$ as conducting salt.

With **A** in hand, we investigated its electrochemical properties by means of cyclovoltammetric measurements that reveal four distinct and reversible redox processes at −1.76 V, −1.16 V, 0.10 V and 0.75 V versus the Fc/Fc⁺ couple (**Fig. 1**, Fc = ferrocene). Accordingly, **A** should be doubly reducible as well as doubly oxidizable. Therefore, the thianthrenium radical cation [Thia]+• should be a suitable oxidant for both oxidative processes.[12] When **A** is reacted with one equivalent of [Thia][X] ([X]⁻ = [TEF]⁻ or [FAI]⁻, [TEF]⁻ = [AI{OC(CF₃)₃}₄]⁻, [FAI]⁻ = [FAI{O(1-C₆F₅)C₆F₁₀}₃]⁻)^[13] in CH₂Cl₂ or *o*-DFB (*ortho*-difluorobenzene), a rapid reaction affords the respective diamagnetic monocationic species $[{CD^*Fe}_{3}(\mu_3, \eta^{4:4:4}-\text{As}_6)][X]$ ([1][TEF] (64%), [1][FAI] (82%), Scheme 2). The doubly oxidized species $[\{Cp^*Fe\}_3(\mu_3, \eta^{4\cdot4\cdot4}-As_6)]$ [TEF]₂ (**[2][TEF]2**) is obtained in even better yields of 92% when two equivalents of [Thia][TEF] are employed. The paramagnetic dication **[2]2+** is highly reactive, preventing the isolation of its salts with less innocent anions. Thus, samples of **[2][BAr^F]2**, which we prepared to obtain better crystallographic data, are highly contaminated with a range of oxidized polyarsenic complexes. The reduction of A can be achieved by reacting it with one equivalent of KC₈ in

Scheme 2: Synthesis of ionic iron-arsenic prismane clusters 1 – 3 starting from A. Isolated yields are given in parentheses. Thia = thianthrene (C12H8S2), [TEF] = [Al{OC(CF3)3}4], [FAI] = [FAl{O(1-C6F5)C6F10}3], [BArF] = *[B(C6F5)4] – , crypt = [2.2.2]-cryptand (C18H36O6N2).*

THF. The product $[K@crypt][\{Cp*Fe\}3(\mu_3, \eta^{4.4.4} - As_6)]$ (**[K@crypt][3]**, 58%, crypt = [2.2.2]cryptand) was obtained after the addition of crypt to the reaction solution and careful workup. The second reduction of **A** could not be achieved, which we attribute to the high sensitivity of the proposed dianionic species $[{Cp*Fe}_{3}(\mu_{3}, \eta^{4:4:4}\text{-As}_{6})]^{2-}$, which appears to decompose upon workup. EPR spectroscopic data (see SI), however, points towards the presence of this paramagnetic compound in the reaction mixture.

Generally, the structural chemistry of the described iron arsenic prismane clusters is governed by the number of skeletal electrons.^[10] Thus, it is even more surprising that, in contrast to its Cp" analog, the As₆ core in **A** does not adopt a regular prismatic structure.^[9] Instead, the As1-As2 edge is opened (3.5012(4) Å), which goes in hand with a shortening of the Fe1-Fe2 distance (3.2504(4) Å). The edges of the distorted prismane show As-As distances of 2.683(3)–2.706(7) Å, while those within the triangular faces are in between 2.512(2) Å and 2.636(8) Å and thus above the sum of the covalent radii.^[14] Interestingly, the distortion of the prismane core is even more accentuated in the monocation, which was already reported.[10e] In the monocationic **[1][FAl]** synthesized here**,** the elongation of the As1-As2 distance (3.797(13) Å) increases (Fig. 2). Accordingly, the respective Fe1-Fe2 distance is even shorter (2.8956(9) Å) compared to **A**. The second oxidation, however, seems to have less structural influence on the As₆ core, as the As1-As2 (3.689(4) \AA) as well as the Fe1-Fe2 distances (2.8993(6) Å) in **[2][BAr^F]²** are similar to those in **[1][FAl]**. Furthermore, the prismane edges $(2.698(4)-2.721(3)$ Å) as well as the As-As distances in the triangular faces $(2.5047(7) -$ 2.6199(11) Å) remain similar to those found in **A**. The reduction of **A** to **[K@crypt][3]** is

Figure 2: Molecular structures of the $[\{Cp^*F\Theta\} \mathfrak{z}(\mu_3,\eta^{4\cdot4\cdot4}\text{-As}_6)]^{10/4\cdot2+}$ core within the compounds **A**, [1][FAI], [2][BArF]z *and [K@crypt][3] in order of decreasing electron count for the central prismane cluster.*

accompanied by an equalization within the prismane core, as found in the Fe₂Co analog III (Scheme 1). The As-As distances along the edges amount to 2.795(10)–2.847(1) Å, while the triangular faces share As-As distances of 2.543(9)–2.5833(15) Å. Accordingly, the Fe-Fe distance is elongated to a nonbonding interaction of 3.7832(8) Å. Conclusively, the stepwise oxidation from $[3]$ ⁻ to $[2]$ ²⁺ primarily results in a gradual distortion of the central $Fe₂As₂$ square. While this is dominated by a closer As1-As2 distance in the reduced **[3]**– , the oxidized **[2]**2+ reveals a significantly closer Fe1-Fe2 and an elongated As1-As2 distance.

The diamagnetic species **[1][X]** ([X]– = [TEF]– or [FAl]–) and **[K@crypt][3]** only show the expected signal for chemically equivalent Cp^{*} ligands in their respective ¹H NMR spectra (see SI). In contrast, **A** in CD₂Cl₂ reveals a broad resonance at $\delta = 2.93$ ppm with $\omega_{1/2} = 40$ Hz indicating its paramagnetic character. The corresponding X-band EPR spectrum of **A** in frozen CH₂Cl₂ solution at 77 K shows a rhombic signal with $g_1 = 2.20$, $g_2 = 2.13$ and $g_3 = 2.04$ and additional hyperfine coupling to the arsenic nuclei. Similarly, a solution of the dicationic **[2][TEF]**₂ in CD₂Cl₂ shows a broad signal at δ = –7.84 ppm with $\omega_{1/2}$ = 126 Hz in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The X-band EPR spectrum of [2][TEF]₂ in frozen CH₂Cl₂ solution at 77 K again reveals a rhombic signal with $g_1 = 2.42$, $g_2 = 2.00$, $g_3 = 1.96$ and coupling to the arsenic nuclei (see SI).

To better understand the bonding and electronic situation within **A** and **1**–**3,** we conducted DFT computations at the B3LYP^[15]/def2SVP^[16] level of theory. As expected, the Wiberg bond indices (WBI) obtained from NBO analyses^[17] for the central $Fe₃As₆$ core are all below 1 which is indicative of the cluster nature of these compounds. However, the WBIs for the As1-As2 interactions decrease from the reduced **[3]–** (0.283) to the neutral **A** (0.095) to the cationic **[1]**⁺ and dicationic species **[2]**2+ (0.078/0.080). Accordingly, the WBIs for the Fe1-Fe2 interaction increase from 0.089 ([**3**] –) to 0.1 (**A**) to 0.207 (**[1]** +)/0.219 (**[2]** 2+). This is in line with a decreased As1-As2 contribution and an increased Fe1–Fe2 contribution to the multi center bonding interaction within the $Fe₂As₂$ square upon oxidation. Furthermore, the spin density distribution was calculated for the neutral **A** and the dicationic **[2]**²⁺(Figure 3). While the unpaired electron in **A** seems to be located mainly at the two iron atoms close to each other (Fe1 and Fe2), the spin density within **[2]**2+ in a doublet ground state should solely be located at the Fe3 atom. In a hypothetical quartet ground state of **[2]**2+, however, the spin density would be smeared out across all three iron atoms.

Figure 3: Computed spin densities for A and $[2]^{2+}$ *in a doublet and a hypothetical quartet ground state obtained at the B3LYP/def2SVP level of theory.*

10.4. Conclusion

In summary, we report the isolation and characterization of a side product that had long been unclarified, formed during the co-thermolysis of $[Cp*Fe(CO)₂]$ and yellow arsenic (As₄), which is the prismatic cluster $[\{Cp^* \text{Fe}\}_3(\mu_3, \eta^{4:4:4} - \text{As}_6)]$ (A). For the first time, we shed light on the redox chemistry and the accompanying structural changes of arsenic prismane complexes, utilizing **A** as starting material. It was possible to oxidize **A** once to form **[1][X]** ([X]– = [TEF]– or [FAl]–) and twice to give **[2][TEF]2**. While **A** should be doubly reducible, we could only experimentally realize selectively its monoreduction to give **[K@crypt][3]** which represents the first anionic cluster of this type. Structural characterization of these compounds revealed Fe-Fe bond formation as well as As-As bond splitting upon oxidation. Reduction on the other hand leads to additional As-As interaction formation and Fe-Fe bond breaking. DFT calculations elucidated the electronic structures of **A** and **1**–**3**. These results display a starting point in the study of the electrochemical properties of polyarsenide prismane clusters and give insight into the influence of redox processes on As-As bond formation/splitting processes.

10.5. Supporting Information

10.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under N_2 as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. *o*-DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene) was distilled from P₂O₅, CD₂Cl₂ was distilled from CaH₂, THF- d^6 and DME (1,2-Dimethoxyethane) were distilled from Na/K alloy and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz) and ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using (H₃C)₄Si (¹H) and CFCI₃ (¹⁹F) as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, br = broad and m = multiplet. X-Band EPR spectra were recorded on a MiniScope MS400 device from Magnettech GmbH with a frequency of 9.5 GHz equipped with a rectangular resonator TE102. CV measurements were performed in *o*-DFB solution with [NBu₄][PF₆] (0.1 M) as supporting electrolyte. The redox pair of ferrocene (Fc^{0/+} = 0.000 V) was applied as reference. ESI mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 mass spectrometer or by the authors on a Waters Micromass LCT ESI-TOF mass-spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.^[19] Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials $[Cp*Fe(CO)₂]_{2}$,^[20] [Thia][X] ([X]⁻ = [TEF]⁻, $[FAI]^-$; $[TEF]^- = [A]\{OC(CF_3)_3\}_4]^-$, $[FAI]^- = [FA]\{O(1-C_6F_5)C_6F_{10}\}_3]^-$),^[13] $K[B(C_6F_5)_4]^{[21]}$ and $KC_8^{[22]}$ were synthesized following literature procedures. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification.

*Reaction of [Cp*Fe(CO)2]² with As⁴*

This reaction has already been described previously, but with different workup.[6b] The following reaction steps were carried out under exclusion of light. Grey arsenic (~5 g) was heated to 550 °C and sublimed into 300 mL boiling decalin yielding a saturated solution of yellow As4. This hot solution was transferred onto a orange brown solution of $[Cp*Fe(CO)]_2$ (1.25 g, 2.53 mmol) in 15 mL decaline and refluxed for 90 minutes yielding a dark greenish brown solution, which is not light sensitive anymore. The solvent was condensed into another flask yielding a brown crude product. This procedure was conducted for a total of six times and the crude products were combined. The brown powder was redissolved in 20 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , mixed with 20 g dried silica and the solvent removed under reduced pressure until a free-flowing powder was obtained. The powder was transferred onto a chromatographic column (silica, 3x15 cm). Elution with *n*-hexane yields a dark green fraction of [Cp*Fe(n⁵-As₅)]. Subsequently, by elution with *n*-hexane/toluene = 2:1 an additional brown fraction of $[(Cp*Fe)_3As_6]$ (A) starts to arise, which can be separated by increasing the toluene percentage to 1:1. A last fraction, containing a mixture of **A** and [(Cp*Fe)₃As₆{Fe(n³-As₃)}] (IV) was eluted but not isolated. From both, the green as well as the brown fraction, the solvent was removed and the powders redissolved in 10 mL ([Cp^{*}Fe(η⁵-As₅)]) or 40 mL (A) of CH₂Cl₂, respectively, and stored at −30 ^oC yielding dark green ([Cp^{*}Fe(η⁵-As₅)]) or brownish black (A) crystals. The latter were subjected to single crystal X-ray diffraction. The crystals were isolated and dried in vacuum for 3h. The solvents of the supernatant was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting powder again dried in vacuum for 3h.

 $[(Cp*Fe)_{3}As_{6}]$ (A) :

*Synthesis of [(Cp*Fe)3As6][TEF] ([1][TEF])*

A brown solution of $[(Cp*Fe)_3As_6]$ (A; 91 mg, 0.09 mmol, 0.9 eq.) in 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was reacted with a dark purple solution of [Thia][TEF] (118 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ leading to an immediate colour change to orange brown. The solution was stirred for 60 minutes. Addition of 50 mL *n*-hexane led to precipitation of a dark brown powder. The supernatant was decanted, and the residue washed with 30 mL *n*-hexane and 30 mL toluene. The powder was dried in vacuum and recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane at room temperature yielded pure **[1][TEF]** as black needles suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction. The solvent was removed by decanting and the crystals dried in vacuum for 3h.

*Synthesis of [(Cp*Fe)3As6][FAl] ([1][FAl])*

A brown solution of $[(Cp*Fe)_3As_6]$ (A; 182 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in 15 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was reacted with a dark purple solution of [Thia][FAl] (118 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in 15 mL of CH2Cl² leading to an immediate colour change to orange brown. The solution was stirred for 60 minutes. Addition of 80 mL *n*-hexane led to precipitation of a dark brown powder. The supernatant was decanted, and the residue washed with 30 mL *n*-hexane and 30 mL toluene. The powder was dried in vacuum and recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/n-hexane at room temperature yielded pure **[1][FAl]** as black plates suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction. The solvent was removed by decanting and the crystals dried in vacuum for 3h.

The ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of **1[FAI]** in CD₂Cl₂ shows signals characteristic for the presence of the [FAl]– anion.

*Synthesis of [(Cp*Fe)3As6][TEF]² ([2][TEF]2)*

A brown solution of [(Cp*Fe)3As6] (**A**; 91 mg, 0.09 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in 15 mL of *o*-DFB was reacted with a dark purple solution of [Thia][TEF] (210 mg, 0.18 mmol, 2.0 eq.) in 5 mL of *o*-DFB leading to an immediate colour change to dark orange red. The solution was stirred for 60 minutes. Addition of 80 mL *n*-hexane led to precipitation of a black powder. The supernatant was decanted, and the residue washed with 50 mL toluene. The powder was dried in vacuum and recrystallization from *o*-DFB/*n*-hexane at room temperature yielded pure **[2][TEF]²** as black stick shaped crystals. The solvent was removed by decanting and the crystals dried in vacuum for 3h.

Compound [2][TEF]₂ can also be synthesized by analogous reaction in CH₂Cl₂, by reacting **[1][TEF]** with a further equivalent of [Thia][TEF]. To obtain appropriate crystallographic data for the dicationic species $[2]^2$ ⁺, we reacted **A** with two equivalents of $[NO][SbF_6]$ in the presence of K[Bar^F] (2 equivalents) under the same conditions as for **[2][TEF]2**. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **[2][BAr^F]²** after crystallization however reveals the presence of several cationic iron arsenic clusters, which is why we could not isolate **[2][BAr^F]²** as a clean compound.

*Synthesis of [K@crypt][(Cp*Fe)3As6] ([K@crypt][3])*

 $[(Cp*Fe)_{3}As_{6}]$ (A; 204 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.0 eq.), KC₈ (27 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and [2.2.2.]cryptand (N(CH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂OCH₂CH₂)₃N) (75 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.0 eq.) were weighed in separate flasks and dissolved in 5 mL of THF, each. The $KC₈$ suspension was added to the solution of **A** at –80°C to afford an immediate colour change to dark reddish brown. After 5 minutes of stirring the solution of [2.2.2.]cryptand was added as well, the mixture was stirred for 2h and allowed to warm to room temperature. Filtration afforded a dark brownish red solution, which was layered with 80 mL *n*-pentane and storage at 4 °C yielded pure [K@crypt][(Cp*Fe)3As6] (**[K@crypt][3]**) as dark brown blocks suitable for single crystal diffraction. The solvent was removed by decanting and the crystals dried in vacuum for 3h.

10.5.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

All crystal manipulations were performed under mineral oil. The diffraction experiments were performed at 123 K (if not stated otherwise) either on a Rigaku (former Agilent Technologies or Oxford Diffraction) Gemini Ultra with an AtlasS2 detector, on a GV50 diffractometer with a TitanS2 detector, on a Gemini Ultra with an AtlasS2 detector, or an XtaLAB Synergy R, DW System with a HyPix-Arc 150 detector using Cu-*K*α, Cu-*K^β* or Mo-*K*^α radiation. Crystallographic data together with the details of the experiments are given in *[Table S](#page-485-0)* . The cell determination, data reduction and absorption correction for all compounds were performed with the help of the CrysAlis PRO software.^[23] All structures were solved by using the programs SHELXT^[24] and Olex2.^[25] The full-matrix least-squares refinement against P^2 was done using SHELXL^[26] and Olex2.^[25] If not stated otherwise, all atoms except hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The H atoms were calculated geometrically, and a riding model was used during the refinement process.

CCDC reference numbers 2157447 (**A**), 2157448 (**[1][TEF]**), 2157449 (**[1][FAL]**), 2157450 (**[2][Bar^F]2**), and 2157451 (**[K@crypt][3]**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retreving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: (internat.) + 44-1223-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

A

Compound **A** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*2/*m* with half a molecule in the asymmetric unit (Figure S1) exhibiting a distorted $As₆$ prism with an open As-As bond. The square sides of the prism are coordinated by {Cp*Fe} fragments. Rotational disorder of one of the Cp* ligands was refined using adequate restraints.

Figure S 1: Molecular structure of A; The grown structure of the asymmetric unit is shown, which contains one half molecule of A.

[1][TEF]

Compound **[1][TEF]** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* with two cations, two [TEF][−] anions and two toluene molecules in the asymmetric unit (Figure S2). One [TEF][−] anion (including Al1) shows rotational disorder of three $OC(CF_3)_3$ groups in a ratio of 69:31, 63:37 and 56:44. Adequate restraints were used during the refinement of the disordered [TEF]– anion.

Figure S 2: Molecular structure of [1][TEF]; The asymmetric unit is shown containing two cations, two [TEF][−] anions and two toluene molecules.

[1][FAl]

Compound [1][FAI] crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*¹ with one cation and one [FAI]⁻ anion in the asymmetric unit (Figure S3).

Figure S 3: Molecular structure of [1][FAl]; The asymmetric unit is shown containing one cation and one [FAl][−] anion.

[2][BAr F]2

Compound [2][Bar^F]₂ crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1 with one cation, two [Bar^F]⁻ anions and half a *o*-DFB molecule in the asymmetric unit (Figure S4). Residual electron density at the As₆ core indicates that [2][Bar^F]₂ cocrystallizes with traces of another dicationic species, which could however not be fully resolved.

Figure S 4: Molecular structure of [2][Bar^F]2; The asymmetric unit contains one cation, two [BAr^F] [−] anions and half a o-DFB molecule.

[K@crypt][3]

Compound **[K@crypt][3]** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pnma* with half an anion, half a cation and half of a THF molecule in the asymmetric unit (Figure S5). Adequate restraints were used during the refinement of both, the anion and the cation.

Figure S 5: Molecular structure of [K@crypt][3]; The asymmetric unit contains only half of the cation and the anion and an additional half of a THF molecule.

10.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

Figure S 6: ¹H NMR spectrum of $[(Cp^*Fe)3As_0]$ (A) in CD₂Cl₂; * = solvent residual signal, \bullet = unidentified trace *impurities.*

*Figure S 7: ¹H NMR spectrum of [(Cp*Fe)3As6][TEF] ([1][TEF]) in CD2Cl2; * = solvent residual signal.*

*Figure S 8: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of [(Cp*Fe)3As6][TEF] ([1][TEF]) in CD2Cl2.*

*Figure S 9: ¹H NMR spectrum of [(Cp*Fe)3As6][FAl] ([1][FAl]) in CD2Cl2; * = solvent residual signal.*

*Figure S 10: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of [(Cp*Fe)3As6][FAl] ([1][FAl]) in CD2Cl2.*

Figure S 11: ¹H NMR spectrum of [(Cp*Fe)₃As₆][TEF]₂ ([2][TEF]₂) in CD₂Cl₂; *= solvent residual signal.

*Figure S 12: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of [(Cp*Fe)3As6][TEF]² ([2][TEF]2) in CD2Cl2.*

*Figure S 13: ¹H NMR spectrum of [K@crypt][(Cp*Fe)3As6] ([K@crypt][3]) in THF-d 8 ; * = solvent residual signal.*

10.5.4. Cyclovoltammetric Study of A

To get an initial idea of the redox properties of **A** we conducted cyclovoltammetric studies in solution. Thus, 50 mg of A were dissolved in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 750 mg of [ⁿBu₄N][PF₆] were added. The cyclovoltammogram (Figure S14) was then recorded.

Figure S 14: Cyclovoltammogram of A in CH2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

10.5.5. EPR Studies

As \bm{A} itself is already paramagnetic, we subjected a sample of it in CH_2Cl_2 to EPR studies. While we could not observe a signal at room temperature, the frozen solution at 77 K reveals a rhombic signal (Figure S15) with $g_1 = 2.20$, $g_2 = 2.13$ and $g_3 = 2.04$ and additional hyperfine coupling to the arsenic nuclei, which however is not resolved.

Figure S 15: X-band EPR spectrum of A in frozen CH2Cl² solution at 77 K.

While 2^{[TEF]₂ bearing the paramagnetic $[(Cp*Fe)_3As_6]^{2+}$ dication already shows an EPR signal} in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature, we also studied its X-band EPR spectrum at 77 K in frozen solution. Again, a rhombic signal (Figure S16, $g_1 = 2.42$, $g_2 = 2.00$, $g_3 = 1.96$) with additional hyperfine coupling to As nuclei is observed which could not be resolved.

Figure S 16: X-band EPR spectrum of 2[TEF]² in frozen CH2Cl² solution at 77 K.

While we were not able to realize the second reduction of **A** on a synthetic scale, EPR spectroscopic investigations (Figure S17) on reaction solutions of **A** and two equivalents of KC₈ reveal a weak rhombic signal with $g_1 = 2.07$, $g_2 = 2.03$ and $g_3 = 1.93$ in agreement with the presence of the doubly reduced $[{ {Cp*Fe} }_3As_6]^{2-}.$

Figure S 17: X-band EPR spectrum of a filtered mixture of A with two equivalents of KC₈ in frozen DME solution at 77 K.

10.5.6. Computational Details

DFT calculations were performed using the Gaussian09 software package.^[27] Geometry optimizations, frequency computations and population analyses were performed at the B3LYP^[15]/def2-svp^[16] level of theory. The NBO7^[17] software package was used for population analyses and determination of Wiberg bond indices (WBI). The optimized structures are provided in the following.

Table S 2: Wiberg Bond Indices for the Fe3As6 core structural motif in A and 1 – 3 obtained at the B3LYP/def2svp level of theory.

	$[3]^-$	A	$[1]^+$	$[2]^{2+}$ (doublet)	$[2]^{2+}$ (quartet)
As1-As2	0.283	0.095	0.078	0.080	0.095
As3-As5	0.338	0.495	0.523	0.545	0.621
As4-As6	0.338	0.495	0.523	0.545	0.621
As1-As5	0.685	0.731	0.713	0.694	0.773
As1-As6	0.685	0.731	0.713	0.694	0.773
As5-As6	0.639	0.592	0.695	0.707	0.463
As2-As3	0.675	0.733	0.713	0.693	0.767
As2-As4	0.675	0.733	0.713	0.693	0.767
As3-As4	0.656	0.593	0.693	0.715	0.481
Fe1-As1	0.475	0.487	0.510	0.516	0.408
Fe1-As2	0.483	0.487	0.510	0.516	0.411
Fe1-As4	0.478	0.405	0.374	0.373	0.353
Fe1-As6	0.481	0.406	0.373	0.371	0.352
Fe2-As1	0.475	0.487	0.510	0.516	0.408
Fe2-As2	0.483	0.487	0.510	0.516	0.411
Fe2-As3	0.481	0.405	0.374	0.373	0.353
Fe2-As5	0.478	0.406	0.394	0.371	0.352
Fe3-As3	0.477	0.436	0.396	0.337	0.382
Fe3-As4	0.473	0.436	0.394	0.344	0.382
Fe3-As5	0.473	0.434	0.396	0.337	0.394
Fe3-As6	0.477	0.434	0.394	0.344	0.394
Fe1-Fe2	0.089	0.100	0.207	0.219	0.042

Optimized Geometries

[A]

B3LYP/def2SVP (doublet ground state): Energies/H = -18373.623680, Enthalpies/H = - 18373.622735, Free Energies/H = -18373.768901, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1766.450

[1]⁺

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = -18373.438305, Enthalpies/H = -18373.437361, Free Energies/H = -18373.581314, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1773.109

[2]2+

B3LYP/def2SVP (quartet ground state): Energies/H = -18373.156681, Enthalpies/H = -18373.155737, Free Energies/H = -18373.301525, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1769.063

[2]2+

B3LYP/def2SVP (doublet ground state): Energies/H = -18373.145030, Enthalpies/H = -18373.144086, Free Energies/H = -18373.279055, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1771.863

[3]–

B3LYP/def2SVP: Energies/H = -18373.685536, Enthalpies/H = -18373.684592, Free Energies/H = -18373.831185, ZPVE/ kJ/mol = 1761.759

10.6. References

- [1] a) O. J. Scherer, Angew*. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 924–943; b) O. J. Scherer, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *32*, 751-762; c) M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 8406–8434.
- [2] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [3] a) A. S. Foust, M. S. Foster, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 5633-5635; b) O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, *268*, C9–C12.
- [4] a) A. S. Foust, M. S. Foster, L. F. Dahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 5631-5633; b) O. J. Scherer, W. Wiedemann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Ber.* **1990**, *123*, 3-6.
- [5] O. J. Scherer, J. Vondung, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989**, *376*, C35- C38.
- [6] a) A. L. Rheingold, M. J. Foley, P. J. Sullivan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 4727– 4729; b) O. J. Scherer, C. Blath, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, *387*, C21-C24.
- [7] O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 212–213.
- [8] O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser*, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 850–852.
- [9] H. Krauss, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Mendeleev Commun.* **2022**, *32*, 42–45.
- [10] a) O. J. Scherer, K. Pfeiffer, G. Heckmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *425*, 141–149; b) R. Ahlrichs, D. Fenske, K. Fromm, H. Krautscheid, U. Krautscheid, O. Treutler, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1996**, *2*, 238–244; c) G. Friedrich, O. J. Scherer, G. Wolmerrshäuser, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1996**, *622*, 1478–1486; d) C. v. Hänisch, D. Fenske, F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1997**, *3*, 1494–1498; e) C. v. Hänisch, D. Fenske, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1999**, *624*, 367–369.
- [11] M. Detzel, K. Pfeiffer, O. J. Scherer, G. Wolmershäuser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 914–916.
- [12] N. G. Connelly, W. E. Geiger, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 877–910.
- [13] L. Dütsch, M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, G. Balázs, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3256–3261.
- [14] a) P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *15*, 186–197; b) P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 12770–12779; c) P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 2326–2337.
- [15] a) P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **1929**, *123*, 714–733; b) J. C. Slater, *Phys. Rev.* **1951**, *81*, 385–390; c) S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk, M. Nusair, *Can. J. Phys.* **1980**, *58*, 1200–1211; d) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789; e) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098–3100; f) A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652.
- [16] a) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305; b) F. Weigend, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 1057–1065.
- [17] a) E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2013**, *34*, 1363– 1374; b) E. D. Glendening, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2013**, *34*, 2134.
- [18] Supplementary crystallographic information on compounds **A**, **[1][TEF]**, **[1][FAl]**, **[2][BAr^F]²** and **[K@crypt][3]** is available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center under the reference numbers 2157447-2157451.
- [19] *https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator*, (30.01.2022).
- [20] D. Catheline, D. Astruc, *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 1094–1100.
- [21] V. D. Makhaev, A. N. Galiullin, E. E. Faingol´d, N. M. Bravaya, L. A. Petrova, *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2014**, *63*, 651–656.#
- [22] J.-M. Lalancette, G. Rollin, P. Dumas, *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 3058–3062.
- [23] Agilent Technologies Ltd, *CrysAlis PRO*, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, **2014**.
- [24] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [25] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- [26] a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* **2008**, *64*, 112–122; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. C*, **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [27] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, "Gaussian 09", Revision E.01, *Gaussian Inc.*, Wallingford CT, **2013**.

11. Functionalization of Pentaphosphole Complexes: Enhancing the reactivity of 5 -P5)] via Electrophilic Activation

Preface

This chapter has not been published until the end of this thesis. It should give insight into the unprecedented reactivity of cationic pentaphosphole complexes towards carbenes and their analoga. While many of the described compounds are fully characterized, some of the results especially on the expanded compounds **7** and **8** are of preliminary nature, so far. Further characterization and additional synthetic as well as computational investigations are needed and should be a topic of future research. Some of the presented results have already been part of the BSc thesis of Philip Blank.

Authors

Christoph Riesinger, Philip M. Blank and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Synthesis of compounds **1**, **4**, **6** ,**7** and **8**, Interpretation of crystallographic data, Interpretation of computational data, Writing of original draft. Philip Blank – Synthesis of 2, 3 and 4_{INT2} . Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Co-writing final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the project Sche 384/36-2. C. R. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for a PhD fellowship. P. M. B. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes and the Max Weber-Programm for Bachelor and Master degree fellowships.

11.1. Abstract

Electrophilic activation of the neutral cyclo-P₅ complex $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ *is demonstrated to drastically enhance its reactivity towards carbenes and their analoga. This is utilized within [Cp*Fe(5 -P5Me)][OTf] (A) to access unprecedented functionalized, shrunk, as well as complexly aggregated polyphosphorus compounds, highlighting the great potential of this almost unexplored mode of activation. Addition of carbenes to A affords the novel 1,2- or 1,1 difunctionalized cyclo-P₅</sub> complexes* $[Cp*Fe(\eta^4-P_5(1-L)(2-Me)][OTT]$ *(L = IDipp (1), ^{Et}CAAC (2),* ℓ ^{*Pr*} (3b)) and $[Cp*Fe(\eta^4-P_5(1-\ell)P_5)(1-Me)]$ [OTf] (3a) based on the steric demand of the *respective carbene. The even smaller IMe⁴ for the first time gives rise to a complex with a contracted P₄</sub> ligand [Cp*Fe(* η *⁴-P₄(1-IMe)(4-Me)] (4) starting from cyclo-P₅<i>.* While DFT *calculations shed light into the delicate mechanism of this reaction, additional invaluable insight could be gained by spectroscopic observation and even isolation of key intermediates ([Cp*Fe(4 -P5(1-IMe4)(1-Me)][OTf] (4INT1)). Furthermore, even the comparably weak nucleophile IDippCH² could be added on the cyclo-P⁵ ligand in [Cp*Fe(4 -P5(1-IDippCH2)(1/2- Me)][OTf] (6a/b), highlighting the explicitly more reactive nature of A. Lastly, A was found to react with IDippEH (E = N, P) in a complicated aggregation reaction affording* [{Cp*Fe}2{ $\mu_2, \eta^{4:3:1}$ -P₁₀Me₂(IDippN)}][OTf] (**7**) and [{Cp*Fe}2{ $\mu_2, \eta^{4:1:1:1}$ -P₁₁Me₂(IDipp)}][OTf] (**8**), *respectively. The unique substituted P¹⁰ and P¹¹ ligands in these products are among the most complex polyphosphorus architectures known to date.*

11.2. Introduction

The functionalization and transformation of polyphosphorus compounds has been growing over the past decades and has been developed into a key area of fundamental chemical research. While this fundamental interest is based on the close relationship of phosphorus and carbon (diagonal relationship and isolobality of e. g., P and CH),^[1] the field gained renewed attention in the context of the more sustainable conversion of P_4 to useful (organo)phosphorus compounds (e. g., phosphines).^[2] Latest developments focus on the oxidative.^[3] photocatalytic,^[4] or main group mediated^[5] conversion of the P_4 tetrahedron on the way to the desired phosphines. Transition metal mediated conversion of P_4 bears similarly high potential and additionally provides access to exotic polyphosphorus structural motifs.^[2a-d,6] These often display highly reactive intermediates requiring the stabilization by the transition metal, as e. g., demonstrated by the recent side-on stabilization of P_2 ^[7] or the trapping of its lighter homolog NP.^[8] However, these species in turn give rise to more elaborate molecular polyphosphorus architectures, thus paving the way towards a structural prosperity, nearly comparable to organic (carbon based) chemistry.

From the early days of polyphosphorus chemistry on, great effort was put onto the nucleophilic and reductive functionalization of polyphosphorus species e. g., by the work of *Baudler et al*. [9] In contrast, the electrophilic^[10] as well as oxidative^[11] transformation of such compounds

Scheme 1: Functionalization reactions of coordinated cyclo-P⁵ ligands in selected complexes; a) R = alkyl/aryl, R' = alkyl, R'' = Bn; b) ArNᴖNAr = bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)phenanthrene-9,10-diimine, R = alkyl/aryl; c) R = alkyl, R'' = Cl, CN, Cy; d) L = PhC(N^tBu)2.

started to flourish only within the past two decades. Unsurprisingly, the reactivity of the resulting cationic polyphosphorus compounds is far less explored than for their anionic counterparts. Rare examples for such reactivity studies include the addition of cyclotriphosphines to (iso)nitriles,^[12] the fragmentation of cage-type $[P_5 RCl]^+$ cations by Nheterocyclic carbenes (NHCs)^[13] or the utilization of cationic phosphinidene precursors to access phospha-olefins.[14]

This discrepancy is even more expressed for transition metal stabilized polyphosphorus compounds. The synthesis of anionic polyphosphorus complexes by means of nucleophilic functionalization^[15] or reduction^[16] is an established field, which also lead to numerous studies on the reactivity of these complexes.^[17] In contrast, transition metal stabilized polyphosphorus cations became more available only from the early 2000s on,^[18] with occasional studies having been reported before that.^[19] Accordingly, this left the investigation of their reactivity comparably underexplored. Besides others, our group has undertaken significant effort to synthesize and characterize transition metal stabilized polyphosphorus cations ranging from P_{2} - up to P_{16} -complexes.^[16f,16i,20] Thus, one of our key interests is to investigate the reactivity of these novel compounds and explore their utility in the preparation of complex functionalized polyphosphorus frameworks. For this purpose the class of *cyclo-P₅* complexes is one of the most investigated classes of polyphosphorus ligand complexes to date, with the unsubstituted *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand being found in organometallic sandwich compounds,[16d,21] purely inorganic sandwich complexes,^[22] and even triple- as well as quadruple-deckers.^[23] Yet, studies on the nucleophilic functionalization of the fundamental [Cp*Fe(η^5 -P₅)] (I, Scheme 1a)^[21] revealed the robustness of the *cyclo-P₅* ligand and primarily delivered complexes bearing functionalized cyclo-P₅R ligands (II)^[15a] even when strong nucleophiles, such as NHCs were used.^[15d] While further functionalization (**III**) and finally release of asymmetric phosphines could be achieved, this still requires extremely harsh nucleophiles (e. g. BnK).^[17f] In contrast, the cobalt complex IV (Scheme 1b) already undergoes fragmentation with weak nucleophiles, such as [CN], which may, however, partly be attributed to its beneficial ligand properties yielding the *cyclo*-P³ complex **V**. [24] Furthermore, the *cyclo*-P5R² ligand in **VI** (Scheme 1c) again demonstrates the robustness of this ligand class, by undergoing simple functionalization reactions (**VII**) even with strong nucleophiles.[25] Only when **I** is exposed to extremely reactive reagents, such as Al(I) species (VIII),^[26] disilylenes (IX)^[27] or iodine^[28] under partly forcing conditions, direct transformation to more complex structural motifs could be observed (Scheme 1d). The recently reported functionalization of I with electrophiles from across the p-block (Scheme 1e)^[20d,20f] was envisioned to greatly enhance the reactivity of the *cyclo-P₅* ligand towards nucleophiles, such as ubiquitous carbenes and their analoga. The ligand system in **I** is chosen intentionally as its robustness usually prevents it to undergo complex rearrangement or fragmentation reactions under mild conditions (*vide supra*). Thus, demonstrating this concept on this challenging platform should allow for its simple transfer to a broad variety of polyphosphorus ligand complexes.

Within this study we demonstrate the drastic reactivity enhancement of **I** via its electrophilic activation. The observed reactivity ranges from novel functionalization patterns over the fragmentation of **I** to unprecedented aggregation reactions and should thus open a new and rational avenue towards complex polyphosphorus architectures.

11.3. Results and Discussion

To initially probe the reactivity enhancement of **I** by electrophilic activation, the methylated derivative [Cp*Fe(n⁵-P₅Me)][OTf] (A) was chosen as a benchmark for its comparably high stability as well as its simple preparation (see SI).^[21] Furthermore, stable singlet carbenes, such as NHCs or CAACs (Cyclic Alkyl Amino Carbenes) have been shown to only form labile adducts with **I**, which is attributed to the robustness of its *cyclo-P₅* ligand.^[15d] Thus, **A** was reacted with one equivalent of the sterically demanding NHC IDipp (IDipp=1,3-bis(2,6 diisopropyl-phenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene) as well as ^{Et}CAAC (^{Et}CAAC = (2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-4,4-diethyl-2,2-dimethyl-pyrrolidin-5-ylidene). Interestingly, both reactions proceed smoothly affording $[Cp^*Fe{n^4-P_5(1-L)(2-Me)}][OTI]$ (1: L = IDipp, 2: L = ^{Et}CAAC) in good isolated yields of 98% and 63%, respectively (Figure 1). In both complexes, the carbene substituent is strongly bound to the *cyclo-P₅* ligand without any signs of dynamic behaviour at room temperature, contrasting the reactivity towards **I.**^[15d] Surprisingly, both products feature an η^4 bound 1,2difunctionalized *cyclo*-P5R² ligand instead of the expected and well established 1,1 regioisomer. Notably, synthetic access to 1,2-disubstituted *cyclo*-P₅ ligands is extremely

Figure 1: Synthesis of 1 – 3 via functionalization of A with the corresponding carbene (top) and the corresponding molecular structures of 1, 2 and 3a in the solid state (bottom); H atoms and anions are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

limited,[29] and **1** and **2** constitute the first complexes in which this structural motif could be realized via a selective addition reaction. When **A** is exposed to the smaller I*ⁱ*Pr (I*ⁱ*Pr = 1,4 diisopropyl-2,3-dimethylimidazol-5-ylidene) the product, obtained in 75% yield, is a mixture of both, the 1,1-regioisomer [Cp*Fe{ 4 -P5(1-I *ⁱ*Pr)(1-Me)}][OTf] (**3a**) and the 1,2-regioisomer [Cp*Fe{ 4 -P5(1-I *ⁱ*Pr)(2-Me)}][OTf] (**3b**). While the initial isomeric ratio (1,1 to 1,2 isomer) can be as high as 2:1, when the reaction is conducted at –80 °C, both isomers slowly equilibrate to a final ratio of 0.17:1 when kept in solution for prolonged times (cf. SI). Overall, this points towards the steric demand of the introduced carbene to govern the regioselectivity of the addition reaction to **A**. Moreover, potential dispersive interaction between the aryl side arms of the imidazolyl substituents and the Me group in **1** and **2** may reinforce this selectivity (*vide infra*).

Spectroscopically, **1** and **2** reveal their 1,2-difunctionalization as they show ABMQX and AMNQX spin systems in the respective ³¹P NMR spectra (see SI). While solutions of **3** always contain both isomers **3a** and **3b**, the respective AMM´XX´ spin system of **3a** is indicative of its 1,1-disubstitution and allowed the assignment of signals to both compounds **3a** and **3b**. Structurally, the most striking feature of these complexes is the rare 1,2-difunctionalized cyclo-P⁵ ligand in **1**, **2** and **3b** carrying an imidazolyl substituent at the bent P1 atom and an additional Me group at P2. While the P–P bond lengths (**1**: 2.112(3) – 2.155(1) Å, **2**: 2.049(1) – 2.252(1) Å, **3b**: 2.057(9) – 2.223(9) Å) and angles are similar to those observed in other complexes featuring envelope shaped *cyclo*-P⁵ ligands,[15a,17f] the P1–C1 (**1**: 1.836(4) Å, **2**: 1.827(3) Å, **3b**: 1.834(5) Å) as well as the P2–C2 (**1**: 1.737(7) Å, **2**: 1.643(7)/1.743(1) Å, **3b**: 1.785(18)/1.890(30) Å) bond lengths are in agreement with their formulation as single bonds.^[30]

Figure 2: Synthetic pathway towards 4 including both experimentally observed intermediates 4INT1 and 4INT2 as well as the decomposition product 5 *(top) and the corresponding molecular structures of* 4_{INT2} *<i>, 4 and* 5 *in the solid state (bottom); H atoms and anions are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.*

Notably, the imidazolyl substituent in **3b** is rotated perpendicular to the position it occupies in **1** and **2**. This may be a result of the smaller steric demand of I*ⁱ*Pr but also prohibits any dispersive interaction of the *ⁱ*Pr groups with the Me substituent. Thus, the latter dispersive interactions may be responsible for the high regioselectivity in which **1** and **2** are formed. In contrast, the solid state structure of **3a** reveals a more common 1,1-disubstituted *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand. Again, the P–P bond lengths (2.114(3) – 2.159(2) Å) and angles in **3a** are similar to reported derivatives with both P1–C bond lengths $(1.811(7)/1.867(5)$ Å) in the range of single bonds.[30]

While the even smaller $IMe₄$ (IMe₄ = 1,2,3,4-tetramethylimidazol-5-ylidene) leads to ring contraction reactions with the majority of polyphosphorus ligands,^[15c] it only affords labile adducts in combination with **I**. [15d] In contrast, exposing the electrophilically activated **A** to three equivalents of IMe₄ yields the contracted complex $[Cp*Fe(\eta^4-P_4(1-IMe_4)(4-Me)]$ (4, 52%, Figure 2). Accordingly, extrusion of the $[(\text{Me}_4)_2P]^{+[31]}$ cation can easily be observed spectroscopically (see SI). While carbene induced fragmentation/rearrangement reactions are known for polyphosphorus compounds, mechanistic understanding is usually hard to obtain. Thus, experimental insight into the underlaying mechanism of these transformations has been reported in only a few cases.[32] When **A** is reacted with equimolar amounts or two fold excess of IMe4, respectively, complicated product mixtures are obtained, which is attributed to the instability of the corresponding intermediates formed in these reactions. However, subjecting a 1:1 mixture of **A** and IMe₄ to ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR spectroscopy at -80 °C reveals immediate formation of the anticipated first intermediate $[Cp*Fe(\eta^4-P_5(1-IMe_4)(1-Me)][OTI]$ (4_{INT1}). Interestingly, no trace of the respective 1,2-difunctionalized regioisomer is observed, which agrees with the higher stability of the 1,1-isomer bearing the IMe₄ substituent (see SI). Exposing **A** to two equivalents of IMe₄ under the same conditions shows more complicated ³¹P

NMR spectra (see SI). However, a second intermediate, formed already at -80 °C, can be identified from these spectra and assigned to $[Cp*Fe{\eta^4-P_5(1-IMe_4)(1-Me)(5-IMe_4)}][OTf](4_{INT2})$, featuring an opened *catena*-P₅ ligand. More importantly, it was even possible to grow a single crystal of this key intermediate from the reaction mixture, corroborating the structural assignment of 4_{INT2} (Figure 2). 4_{INT2} decomposes rapidly upon warming to room temperature, leading to the surprisingly selective formation of $[Cp^*(\eta^4-P_5(1-PIME_4)(1-Me)]$ (5, 45% based on Fe), possessing a phosphinidenido substituent. While this decomposition could not be further spectroscopically traced, it highlights the delicate reaction pathway during the formation of **4**. Finally, adding three or more equivalents of IMe₄ to **A** results in the formation of 4 and unreacted IMe₄, respectively.

Obtaining structural information on the highly reactive intermediates involved in the formation of **4** was key to elucidating the underlaying mechanism of its formation. While the molecular structure of 4_{INT1} is consolidated by its spectroscopic data and the structural information obtained on the derivatives $1 - 3$, elucidating the structure of 4_{INT2} was a far more complicated matter. This is due to its extreme sensitivity, complex spectroscopic features as well as lack of comparable data. However, it was possible to obtain single crystals of this key intermediate by performing the crystallization at low temperatures. The solid state structure of 4_{INT2} reveals an opened *catena*-P₅ ligand attached to the Fe center in η^4 binding mode (Figure 2). Additionally, the *catena*-P₅ ligand bears an IMe₄ and a Me group at the uncoordinated P5 atom as well as another IMe⁴ substituent at P1. This structural motif arises from the nucleophilic attack of the second IMe₄ at the P2 atom (in 4_{INT1}), which is followed by cleavage of the P1–P5 bond and formation of the *catena*-P₅ ligand observed in 4_{INT2} . While the P4–P5 bond length (2.234(1) Å) agrees with a single bond, the residual P–P bonds coordinated to Fe are slightly shortened $(2.131(1) - 2.160(1)$ Å) and point towards delocalized double bond character.^[30] Furthermore, the P1–C1 (1.835(3) Å) bond length as well as the P5–C2/3 distances (1.832(3)/1.845(4) Å) are in the range of single bonds.[30] Interestingly, the final product **4** shows a similar structural core compared to 4_{INT2} , with only the phosphino group in the latter being replaced by the Me group. Accordingly, the P–P bond lengths (2.134(1) – 2.157(1) Å) in **4** are similar to those in **4INT2** and agree with delocalized double bond character. Again, the P1–C1 (1.841(3) Å) and the P4–C2 (1.876(3) Å) bond lengths are best described as single bonds. In contrast, the decomposition product **5** shows a 1,1-disubstituted *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand bearing an IMe⁴ stabilized phosphinidenido and a Me group. Thus, the P⁶ ligand in **5** displays the rare product of a formal expansion of the former *cyclo*-P₅ ligand. The overall envelope structure of the P₅ ring and the associated P–P bond lengths $(2.134(1) - 2.187(1)$ Å) are similar to those observed in simple alkyl substituted derivatives **III**. [17f] The new P1–P6 bond (2.124(1) Å) is slightly shorter than the sum of the covalent single bond radii. While the P1–C2 (1.822(2) \AA) bond as well as the P6–C1 (1.822(2) Å) bond are best described as single bonds, the IMe₄ substituent is located directly below the plane of the Fe-coordinated P atoms. This may allow for additional stabilization through $\pi-\pi$ interactions.

Figure 3: Stepwise reaction of A with three equivalents of IMe⁴ computed at the B97X-D4/def2-TZVPPD level of theory and PCM solvent correction for THF.

To gain even deeper insight into the mechanistic key steps of the contraction reaction leading to 4, DFT calculations (@_@B97X-D4/def2-TZVPPD, Figure 3) were carried out. The overall reaction of **A** with three equivalents of IMe₄ to **4** and $[(IMe₄)₂P]^+$ is strongly exergonic by 297 kJ/mol. However, the first steps of this reaction and especially the ring opening of the *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand were of utmost interest, as this reactivity is unprecedented for **I**. Thus, the addition of the first equivalent of IMe₄ in **TS1** occurs at P1 with an energy barrier of 23.4 kJ/mol and followup formation of 4_{INT1} is exergonic by 169.6 kJ/mol. Interestingly, the structural core of A is nearly undeformed within **TS1** and the carbene center is at 4.0 Å to P1. The corresponding 1,2-isomer of 4_{INT1} is 5.9 kJ/mol higher in energy, which agrees with the exclusive experimental observation of the 1,1-isomer (4_{INT1}) in solution (*vide supra*). Addition of the second equivalent of IMe⁴ then proceeds via approach to the neighboring P2 in **TS2** which has an energetic barrier of 55.4 kJ/mol. Although, P1 shows a Mulliken charge of 0.9 addition of the second IMe₄ unit to P1, as discussed for the reaction of neutral *cyclo-P₅R₂* ligands with anionic nucleophiles,[17f] can be discarded on steric arguments. While the carbene center in **TS2** is located only at 2.6 Å from P2 (as labelled in 4_{INT1}) the respective P1–P2 bond is at 2.4 Å and thus significantly elongated compared to 4_{INT1} . Next, the P1–P2 bond is cleaved in 4_{INT2} and its computed molecular structure is in good agreement with the parameters observed experimentally in its solid state structure (*vide supra*). Finally, the addition of the last equivalent of IMe₄ to 4_{INT2} leads to extrusion of $[(IMe₄)₂P]⁺$ and accordingly to formation 4, which is exergonic by 26.1 kJ/mol. However, we were unable to determine a suitable transition state for this last reaction step with sufficient certainty, as numerous points of nucleophilic attack at **4INT2** are feasible.

To test the boundaries of the electrophilic reactivity enhancement of **1**, **A** was reacted with the weakly nucleophilic IDippCH₂ (N-heterocyclic olefin, NHO). Surprisingly, even this comparably weak nucleophile rapidly undergoes an addition to the *cyclo-P₅* ligand of **A** affording the functionalized complex [Cp*Fe{n⁴-P₅(1-NHO)(1/2-Me)}][OTf] (6a/b, Figure 4) in good yields of 74%. The formation of both the 1,1- as well as the 1,2-regioisomer is attributed to the diminished steric control invoked by the IDipp substituent due to the integration of the methylene moiety in **6**. Both isomers **6a** and **6b** co-crystallize and reveal 1,1- and 1,2 disubstituted *cyclo-P₅* ligands, respectively (Figure 4). While the P–P bonds (2.040(14) – 2.224(19) Å) are close to those observed in simple alkyl substituted derivatives III,^[17f] the P1– C1 bond in **6a** (1.786(9) Å) is shorter than in **6b** (1.914(4) Å). Similarly the P1–C2 bond in **6a** (1.70(4) Å) is shorter than the P2–C2 bond in **6b** (1.833(6) Å). The C=C bond (1.465(5) Å) in the IDippCH₂ substituent remains in the range of a double bond.^[30]

Finally, **A** was reacted with the carbene stabilized parent phosphinidene IDippPH as well as its lighter homolog IDippNH (Figure 5). Interestingly, both reactions lead to highly complex products $[\{Cp^* \mathsf{Fe}\}_2\{\mu_2,\eta^{4:3:1}\text{-}\mathsf{P}_{10}\mathsf{M} \mathsf{e}_2(\mathsf{I} \mathsf{D} \mathsf{ipp} \mathsf{N})\}][\mathsf{O} \mathsf{Tf}]$ (7) and $[\{\mathsf{C} \mathsf{p}^* \mathsf{F} \mathsf{e}\}_2\{\mu_2,\eta\}$ 4:1:1:1 - P11Me2(IDipp)}][OTf] (**8**), respectively. Comparably large cationic polyphosphorus species of such complex substitution and coordination pattern are entirely unprecedented. Formally, both compounds arise from the addition of IDippXH $(X = N, P)$ to **A** followed by deprotonation, insertion of a second equivalent of **A** and the loss of one equivalent of $[IDippXH_2]^+(X = N, P)$. The latter is easily confirmed as $[IDippPH_2]^+$ is observed in the ³¹P NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture of **8** (cf SI). While the initial 1:1 stoichiometry of **A** to IDippXH is necessary for the formation of **7** and **8**, it also leads to formation of the inseparable [IDippXH2][OTf] as byproduct. Until the end of this thesis, this issue could not be resolved satisfactorily. Thus, both **7** and **8** could only be obtained in amounts of few single crystals, allowing solely for their Xray crystallographic structure determination. A possible way to prepare both species analytically pure would be to isolate potential intermediates. Surprisingly, reacting **A** with two equivalents of IDippNH lead to rapid formation of $[Cp*Fe{\{n^4-P_5(1-NIDipp)(1-Me)\}}]$ (7_{INT}) as well as [IDippNH₂]⁺. However, the latter can now easily be removed via filtration, allowing the isolation of 7_{INT} in yields of up to 75%. Indeed, 7_{INT} may display the key intermediate in the formation of **7**, where the deprotonated IDippN- substituent is already assembled at the *cyclo*-P₅ ligand but the second equivalent of **A** has not yet inserted. However, this needs to be further corroborated

Figure 4: Synthesis of 6a and 6b via addition of IDippCH² to A (left) and the molecular structure of 6b in the solid state (right); H atoms and the anion are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

11 – Functionalization of Pentaphosphole Complexes: Enhancing the reactivity of [Cp*Fe(n5-P5)] via Electrophilic Activation

Figure 5: Synthesis of 7 and 8 including formation of 7INT (top) as well as the molecular structures of 7 and 8 in the solid state (bottom); H atoms and anions are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

in future studies. Notably, this approach cannot be transferred to **8**, as the respective key intermediate 8_{INT} is inaccessible *via* this way. This is attributed to the strongly decreased basicity of IDippPH compared to the lighter IDippNH, preventing the *in situ* deprotonation of the former prior to the nucleophilic attack at **A**.

Both the solid state structures of **7** and **8** reveal extremely complex polyphosphorus ligands (Figure 5). While the former *cyclo*- P_5 ligands can still be recognized within the bicyclic P_{10} ligand of **7**, the P₁₁ ligand in **8** has partly rearranged to a nortricyclan type scaffold with an inserted *cyclo*-P₅Me moiety. The P₁₀ ligand in **7** is derived from the molecular structure of 7_{INT} which underwent insertion of another equivalent of **A** into the former P2–Fe bond. Notably, this insertion takes place via the P2 atom in **A** and results in a strong bend of the *cyclo-P₅* ligand. As the steric demand of the Me substituents is comparably small, this insertion can occur with both Me groups pointing into the same or the opposing direction and thus affords two distinct isomers (cf SI) of which it was possible to crystallize the respective *trans* derivative. Furthermore, the formation of isomers of **7** as well as **8** is confirmed by inspection of the ³¹P NMR spectra of the respective reaction mixtures. However, this issue could not be circumvented due to the low steric demand of the Me substituent in **A**, which does not afford enough steric discrimination between the potential isomers. The bond lengths between the Fe

coordinated P atoms in **7** (2.131(3) – 2.149(2) Å) agree with partial multiple bond character in the respective allylic (P2 – P4) and butadiene like (P7 – P10) fragments. The P1–P2/5 bonds $(2.174(2)/2.191(2)$ Å) are also comparably short, which is attributed to the phosphonium character of P1, while the residual P4–P5, P5–P6 and P6–P7/10 bond lengths $(2.201(2) -$ 2.262(2) Å) are in the range of single bonds.^[30] The P1–C1 (1.814(7) Å) and the P7–C2 (1.825(8) Å) bonds are best described as single bonds as well. In contrast, the P1–N1 bond length (1.593(5) Å) as well as the corresponding N1–C distance (1.318(8) Å) are comparably short. On the other hand, the nortricyclan cage in **8** formally arises from the addition of an IDippP- moiety to **A**. While the Me group is bound to one of the basal P atoms (P3), the {Cp*Fe} fragment occupies one of the upper corner positions with the IDipp substituent bound to the adjacent P1 atom. The second equivalent of **A** can then be imagined inserting between the latter {Cp*Fe} fragment and the other adjacent P5 via the former P2 atom (in **A**). Again, formation of *cis*- and *trans*-Me isomers is possible due to the small steric demand of the Me group and can now even be observed in the solid state as positional disorder of C3. The P–P bond lengths in the nortricyclan part of **8** are best described as single bonds (2.201(1) – 2.257(1) Å) except for the P1–P6 bond (2.191(1) Å), which is slightly shortened. Similarly, the intact *cyclo*-P⁵ moiety within **8** also displays shortened P–P bonds (2.128(1) – 2.191(1) Å) and only the P7–P11 bond (2.216(1) \AA) is in the range of a single bond.^[30] Again, the P1–C1 (1.843(3) Å), P3–C2 (1.831(4) Å) as well as the P8–C3 (1.822(4) Å) bond lengths are within the range of single bonds. While it was also possible to crystallize **7INT**, its solid state structure is incommensurately modulated, prohibiting satisfactory structural refinement. Yet, it was possible to derive a preliminary structural model for 7_{INT} from these data, which confirms it to bear a 1,1-disubstituted *cyclo*-P₅ ligand with an envelope type structure (cf. SI). The molecular geometry of 7_{INT} is well reproduced by DFT calculations and agrees with its spectroscopic data. Thus, the highly indicative AMM´XX´ spin system in the ³¹P NMR spectrum agrees with the 1,1-disubstituted *cyclo*-P₅RR' ligand. Notably, the molecular geometry of 7_{INT} reveals short P1– N1 (1.64 Å) as well as N1–C2 (1.31 Å) distances and a widened P1–N1–C2 angle (147.7°). While this points towards the double bond character of these bonds, the Wiberg Bond Index (WBI) of only the N1–C2 bond (1.40) allows for this formulation. In contrast, the WBI of the P1–N1 bond (0.91) indicates it to be a single bond, which is in line with the observation of highly localized NBOs and no π -type orbitals. Thus, the formulation of 7_{INT} with separated charges and no P1–N1 double bond (see Scheme S3) seems to be most appropriate. This intriguing feature of the electronic structure of 7_{INT} may be the reason for its unprecedented reactivity towards **A**, especially when compared to simple alkyl substituted derivatives **III**. [17f]

11.4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the reactivity enhancement of the robust *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand in **I** by electrophilic activation to **A** has been demonstrated. Initial studies on the reactivity of **A** towards carbenes not only showed an increase in reactivity (strong covalent vs. labile bonding) but furthermore pave a rational/selective pathway towards 1,2-disubstituted *cyclo*-P⁵ moieties in **1**, **2** and **3b**.

Notably, this regioselectivity can even be tuned via the variation of the steric bulk employed at the carbene. When the small IMe₄ is employed, the enhanced reactivity of **A** culminates in a contraction reaction affording the *catena*-P⁴ complex **4**. DFT calculations provided valuable insight on the underlaying reaction mechanism, which was corroborated by low temperature NMR spectroscopic and even crystallographic studies on the isolated key intermediates 4_{INT1} and 4_{INT2} , respectively. Lastly, A was exposed to IDippX ($X = CH_2$, NH, PH) and found to even react with these comparably weak nucleophiles. While the reaction with $IDippCH₂$ leads to functionalization at the *cyclo*-P⁵ ligand in **6**, its group 15 analogs afford the more complex compounds **7** and **8**, bearing unprecedented functionalized P_{10} and P_{11} ligands, respectively. The isolation of the key intermediate 7_{INT} gave insight into the reaction pathway of these complicated aggregation reactions and provides a stepping point for future investigations. The latter may involve fine-tuning of the stereoselectivity of the above aggregation reactions and the exploration of various substitution patterns at **A**. Thus, electrophilic reactivity enhancement of **A** was demonstrated as a powerful tool to access unprecedented, complex, and functionalized polyphosphorus architectures. This concept is expected to be easily expanded to various other polyphosphorus compounds and should thus aid in shaping phosphorus chemistry to novel complexity.

11.5. Supporting Information

11.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under $N₂$ as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. *o*-DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene) was distilled from P_2O_5 , CD₂Cl₂ was distilled from CaH₂ and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system and degassed at room temperature. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz), ¹³C (100.627 MHz), ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz) and ³¹P (161.976 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using (H₃C)₄Si (¹H), CFCI₃ (¹⁹F) or 85% phosphoric acid (³¹P), respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Chemical shifts and coupling constants for all ${}^{31}P_1{}^{1}H_1$ and ${}^{31}P_1$ NMR spectra were derived from spectral simulation using the built-in simulation package of TopSpin3.2. The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $dt =$ doublet of triplets, $t =$ triplet, $td =$ triplet of doublets $br = broad$ and $m =$ multiplet. ESI mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 mass spectrometer or by the first author on a Waters Micromass LCT ESI-TOF mass-spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.^[33] Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)],$ ^[21] IDipp,^[34] ^{Et}CAAC,^[35] I[']Pr,^[36] IMe₄,^[36] IDippCH₂,^[37] IDippNH^[38] and IDippPH^[39] were synthesized following literature procedures. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)][OTf] (A)*

[Cp*Fe(5 -P5)] (1.00 g, 2.89 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 50 mL of *o*-DFB and MeOTf (0.595 g, 0.397 mL, 3.63 mmol, 1.3 eq.) was added to the green solution. Heating the mixture to reflux for three hours lead to a gradual color change to brownish red. The solution was concentrated to 10 mL under reduced pressure and addition of 50 mL of *n*-pentane were added to precipitate [Cp*Fe(5 -P5Me)][OTf] (**A**) as brownish red powder. After washing two times with 20 mL of *n*pentane, each, **A** was dried under reduced pressure (10-3 mbar), redissolved in 20 mL of *o*-DFB and layered with 40 mL of *n*-pentane. Storage at room temperature for one day afforded stick shaped crystals of A in X-ray quality and nearly quantitative yield.

Derivatives of A have already been reported with different counterions^[20d] and thus it is only characterized spectroscopically.

Yield: 1.45 g (2.84 mmol, 98%)

ESI(+) MS (o -DFB): m/z (%) = 360.94 ([Cp*Fe($n⁵$ -P₅CH₃)]⁺)

NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K):¹H: δ ppm = 1.69 (s, 15 H, Cp^{*}), 2.78 (m (unresolved), 3 H, P₅CH₃)

 31 **P{¹H}**: δ /ppm = 114.4 (m, 1 *J*_{PA-PX/X} \cdot = 609/608 Hz, 2 *J*_{PA-PB/B} \cdot = 11/6 Hz, 1 P, P^A), 113.0 (m, ¹*J*PB/B´-PX/X´ = 458/456 Hz, ¹*J*PB-PB´ = 402 Hz, ²*J*PB/B´-PX´/X $= -51/-58$ Hz, 2 *J*_{PB/B} $_{\cdot}$ -PA = 11/6 Hz, 2 P, $P^{B/B}$), 77.9 (m, 1 *J*_{PX/X} $_{\cdot}$ -PA = 609/608 Hz, ¹*J*PX/X´-PB/B´ = 458/456 Hz, ²*J*PX/X´-PB´/B = -51/-58 Hz ²*J*PX-PX´ = 40 Hz, 2 $P, P^{X/X'}$

 31 **P**: δ /ppm = 114.4 (m, 1 *J*_{PA-PX/X} ϵ = 609/608 Hz, 2 *J*_{PA-PB/B} ϵ = 11/6 Hz, 2 *J*_{PA-} ^H = 14 Hz, 1 P, P^A), 113.0 (m, ¹*J*PB/B´-PX/X´ = 458/456 Hz, ¹*J*PB-PB´ = 402 Hz, ${}^{2}J_{\text{PBB}'\text{-PX}/X}$ = -51/-58 Hz, ${}^{2}J_{\text{PBB}'\text{-PA}}$ = 11/6 Hz, 2 P, $P^{\text{BB}'}$), 77.9 (m, ¹J_{PX/X} \cdot -PA = 609/608 Hz, ¹J_{PX/X} \cdot -PB/B^{\cdot} = 458/456 Hz, ²J_{PX/X} \cdot -PB/B = -51/-58 $Hz^{2}J_{PX-PX'} = 40 Hz$, 2 P, $P^{X/X'}$

1**9F{1H}**: *8*/ppm = –78.3 (s, [SO₃C<u>F</u>₃]⁻)

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-IDipp)(2-Me)}][OTf] (1)*

A (102 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB and IDipp (80 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.), dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB, was added at room temperature to afford a color change from brownish red to dark brown. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and then directly layered with 20 mL of *n*-pentane. Storage for one day at room temperature afforded dark brown rod shaped crystals of [Cp*Fe{ 4 -P5(1-IDipp)(2-Me)}][OTf] (**1**), which were isolated by decanting the supernatant and drying under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar).

1**9F{1H}**: *8*/ppm = –78.9 (s, [SO₃C<u>F</u>₃]⁻)

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1- EtCAAC)(2-Me)}][OTf] (2)*

A (51 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB and EtCAAC (31 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.), dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB, was added at room temperature to afford a color change from brownish red to dark brown. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and then directly layered with 20 mL of *n*-pentane. Storage for one day at room temperature afforded dark brown plate shaped crystals of $[Cp*Fe{\{\eta^{4}-P_5(1-EtCAAC)(2-Me)\}}][OTf]$ (2), which were isolated after decanting the supernatant and drying under reduced pressure (10 3 mbar).

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1- I ⁱPr)(1-Me)}][OTf] (3a) and [Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1- I ⁱPr)(2-Me)}][OTf] (3b)* **A** (102 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 2 mL of THF and I*ⁱ*Pr (36 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.), dissolved in 2 mL of THF, was added at $-$ 80 °C to afford a color change from brownish red to dark brown. The mixture was stirred and allowed to slowly warm up to room temperature over the course of 2 h. The resulting dark brown solution was concentrated to 2 mL and directly layered with 20 mL of *n*-pentane. Storage for one day afforded brown crystals of both isomers [Cp*Fe{ 4 -P5(1- I *ⁱ*Pr)(1-Me)}][OTf] (**3a**) and [Cp*Fe{ 4 -P5(1- I *ⁱ*Pr)(2-Me)}][OTf] (**3b**) in X-ray quality. Attempts to selectively prepare either one of these isomers however failed due to equilibration in solution.

 $31P\{^1H\}$: δ /ppm = 63.6 (br, 1 P, P^A), 61.0 (br, 1 P, P^B), 17.1 (m, 1 P, P^M), –28.3 (br, 1 P, P^Q), –101.2 (t (br), 1 P, P^x)

 $3^{1}P$: δ /ppm = 63.6 (br, 1 P, P^A), 61.0 (br, 1 P, P^B), 17.1 (m, 1 P, P^{M}), -28.3 (br, 1 P, P^{Q}), -101.2 (t (br), 1 P, P^{X})

1**9F{¹H}**: *8*/ppm = –78.81 (s, [SO₃C<u>F</u>₃]⁻)

 $(CD_2C_1, 193 K):$ **1H**: δ ppm = 1.42 (br, 12 H, -CH(CH₃)₂), 1.63 (s, 15 H, Cp^{*}), 2.13 $(s, 6 H, C(N(Pr)C(CH_3))_2$, 2.28 (t(br), ²J_{H-P} = 10 Hz, 3 H, P₅CH₃), 4.53 (m, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7 Hz, 2 H, -CH(CH₃)₂)

> 31 **P{¹H}**: δ /ppm = 62.4 (m, ¹*J*_{PA-PX} = 492 Hz, ¹*J*_{PA-PQ} = 482 Hz, ²*J*_{PA-} PB = 53 Hz, ²*J*PA-PM = 10 Hz, ²*J*PA-H = 11 Hz, 1 P, P^A), 58.0 (m, ¹*J*PB-PQ = 372 Hz, ¹*J*PB-PM = 347 Hz, ²*J*PB-PA = 53 Hz, ²*J*PB-PX = 32 Hz, 1 P, P^B), 11.6 (m, ¹*J*PM-PX = 442 Hz, ¹*J*PM-PB = 347 Hz, ²*J*PM-PQ = 77 Hz, ²*J*PM-PA = 10 Hz, 1 P, P^M), –35.8 (m, ¹*J*PQ-PA = 482 Hz, ¹*J*PQ- $_{\text{PR}}$ = 372 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PO-PM}}$ = 77 Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{PO-PX}}$ = 2 Hz, 1 P, P^Q), -106.4 (m, $1J_{PX-PA} = 492$ Hz, $1J_{PX-PM} = 442$ Hz, $2J_{PX-PB} = 32$ Hz, $2J_{PX-PQ} = 2$ Hz, 1 P, P^X)

> 31 **P**: δ /ppm = 62.5 (m, $1J_{PA-PX}$ = 490 Hz, $1J_{PA-PQ}$ = 484 Hz, $2J_{PA-PB}$ = 52 Hz, ²*J*PA-PM = 12 Hz, ²*J*PA-H = 11 Hz, 1 P, P^A), 58.0 (m, ¹*J*PB-PQ $= 368$ Hz, 1 *J*_{PB-PM} = 348 Hz, 2 *J*_{PB-PA} = 52 Hz, 2 *J*_{PB-PX} = 32 Hz, 1 P, P B), 11.6 (m, ¹*J*PM-PX = 441 Hz, ¹*J*PM-PB = 348 Hz, ²*J*PM-PQ = 76 Hz, $^{2}J_{PM\text{-PA}}$ = 12 Hz, 1 P, P^M), -35.9 (m, ¹ $J_{PQ\text{-PA}}$ = 484 Hz, ¹ $J_{PQ\text{-PB}}$ = 368 Hz, ²*J*PQ-PM = 76 Hz, ²*J*PQ-PX = 4 Hz, 1 P, P^Q), –106.4 (m, ¹*J*PX-PA = 490 Hz, ¹*J*PX-PM = 441 Hz, ²*J*PX-PB = 32 Hz, ²*J*PX-PQ = 4 Hz, 1 P, P^X

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P4(1-IMe4)(4-Me)}][OTf] (4)*

A (306 mg, 0.6 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 10 mL of THF and IMe₄ (224 mg, 1.8 mmol, 3 eq.), dissolved in 20 mL of THF, was added very slowly at $-$ 80 °C to afford a color change from brownish red to dark brown. The solution was stirred and allowed to slowly reach room temperature over the course of 2 h upon which the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting dark brown oily solid containing $[Cp*Fe{\{\eta^{4}-P_{4}(1-IMe_{4})(4-Me)\}}][OTT]$ (4) was redissolved in 15 mL of MeCN, stirred for 5 min and extracted with *n*-hexane (5x50 mL). The dark brown *n*-hexane fractions were combined, and the solvent removed to provide crude **4** as brown powder. Recrystallization from a concentrated *n*-hexane solution at –30 °C gave **4** as analytically pure microcrystalline substance. Crystals of **4** suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis could be obtained by slowly evaporating solvent from concentrated benzene solutions.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-IMe4P)(1-Me)}][OTf] (5)*

A (102 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 6 mL of THF and IMe₄ (50 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 eq.), dissolved in 15 mL of THF, was added very slowly at $-$ 80 °C to afford a color change from brownish red to dark brown. The solution was stirred for 1 h, left to warm up to room temperature, and then concentrated to a volume of 2 mL. Addition of 10 mL of *n*-hexane afforded precipitation of colorless and brown solids. The supernatant, containing $[Cp^*Fe\{n^4-H\}$ P5(1-IMe4P)(1-Me)}][OTf] (**5**), was filtered and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. Dark green crystals of **5** could be obtained by storing a concentrated solution (toluene/*n*-hexane, 2:1) at - 30 °C for one week.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-NHO)(1-Me)}][OTf] (6a) and [Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-NHO)(2-Me)}][OTf] (6b)* **A** (102 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 4 mL of o -DFB and IDippCH₂ (81 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.), dissolved in 4 mL of o-DFB, was added at room temperature to afford a color change from brownish red to a greenish brown. The resulting solution was concentrated to 4 mL and directly layered with 40 mL of *n*-pentane. Storage at -30 °C for several days afforded brown crystals of both co-crystallized isomers [Cp*Fe{ η^4 -P₅(1-NHO)(1-Me)}][OTf] (6a) and [Cp*Fe{ η^4 -P5(1-NHO)(2-Me)}][OTf] (**6b**) in X-ray quality. Attempts to selectively prepare either one of these isomers however failed due to equilibration in solution.

Simulation of the ³¹P{¹H} as well as the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the mixture of **6a**/**b** could not be obtained satisfactorily due to the overlap of four signals in the range of 27 – 38 ppm (vide infra).

*[{Cp*Fe}² {² ,4:3:1-P10Me2(IDippN)}][OTf] (7)*

IDippNH (81 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB was added to **A** (102 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 2 mL of o-DFB at room temperature affording a color change to red. The solution was stirred for 1 h. The solvent was then removed and the resulting brown solid dissolved in 1 mL of CH₂Cl₂. Addition of 20 mL of *n*-pentane afforded precipitation of a dark solid. The supernatant was decanted, the solid washed with 10 mL of *n*-pentane and the solid then dried under reduced pressure. After dissolving it in 3 mL of *o*-DFB it was layered with 40 mL of *n*-pentane and stored at room temperature for several days. A few single crystals of the product $\text{[{Cp*Fe]}_2\{µ_2, \eta^{4:3:1}-P_{10}Me_2(\text{IDippN)}\}]\text{[OTT]}$ (7) could be obtained from this mixture, but isolation of bulk **7** as analytically pure product was not possible within the time frame of this thesis.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-NIDipp)(1-Me)}] (7INT)*

A (102 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB and added to IDippNH (161 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2 eq.) dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB at –30 °C. After a rapid color change to greenish brown the solution was stirred for 1 h and left to warm up to room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue extracted with 6 mL of *n*-hexane. After filtration (a colorless solid remained) the dark greenish brown solution was concentrated to 2 mL and stored at -30 °C over night affording [Cp*Fe{η⁴-P₅(1-NIDipp)(1-Me)}] (7_{INT}) as brown plate shaped crystals of X-ray quality. After decanting the solvent and drying under reduced pressure, **7INT** could isolated as analytically pure compound.

*[{Cp*Fe}² {² ,4:1:1:1-P11Me2(IDipp)}][OTf] (8)*

IDippPH (84 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 3 mL of *o*-DFB was added to **A** (102 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 3 mL of *o*-DFB at room temperature affording a color change to dark brown. The solution was stirred for 3 h and allowed to reach room temperature. The solvent was then removed and the resulting brown solid washed with toluene (5 mL) once. After drying the solid, CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL) was added, layered with 40 mL of *n*-hexane and stored at -30 °C for eleven days. A few single crystals of the product $[\{Cp^*Fe\}_2\{\mu_2,\eta^{4:1:1:1} - P_{11}Me_2(1Dipp)\}]$ [OTf] (8) could be obtained from this mixture, but isolation of bulk **8** as analytically pure product was not possible within the time frame of this thesis.

11.5.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

General Considerations

The crystallographic data for all synthesised compounds was collected on an XtaLAB Synergy R, DW System (Rigaku) with a HyPix-Arc 150 detector using Cu-K_a radiation from a rotating anode (3a, 4, 6a/b, 7, 7_{INT} 8), on a SuperNova diffractometer (Rigaku) with a Titan^{S2} detector using a standard Cu–K_a (A, 2, 3b, 4_{INT2},) sealed tube microfocus source, or an Xcalibur Gemini Ultra diffractometer with a Titan^{S2} detector using a standard Cu-K_a sealed tube (1). Data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro software package.^[40] Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2 $(1.5\text{-alpha})^{[41]}$ with ShelXT^[42] (solution) and ShelXL-2014^[43] (least squares refinement (F^2)). All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and H atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C-H bond lengths (sp^3 : 0.96 (CH₃), 0.97 (CH₂); sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Visualisation of the crystal structures was performed with Olex2 (1.5-alpha).^[41]

Table S 1: X-ray crystallographic data on A, 1, 2 and 3a.

Table S 2: X-ray crystallographic data on 3b, 4, 4INT2 and 5.

Table S 3: X-ray crystallographic data on 6, 7, 8 and 7INT.

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)][OTf] (A)*

Layering a concentrated solution of **A** in *o*-DFB with *n*-pentane leads to formation of red stick shaped crystals after one day of storage at room temperature. **A** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/n$ with one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit, which is depicted in Figure S1.

Figure S 1: Solid state structure of A; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-IDipp)(2-Me)}][OTf] (1)*

Layering a concentrated solution of **1** in *o*-DFB with *n*-pentane leads to formation of dark brown rod shaped crystals after one day of storage at room temperature. **1** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *P*nma with half an anion and half a cation in the asymmetric unit. The grown structure is depicted in Figure S2 and disorder has been treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 2: Solid state structure of 1; Depicted are two asymmetric units and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.
*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1- EtCAAC)(2-Me)}][OTf] (2)*

Layering a concentrated solution of **2** in *o*-DFB with *n*-pentane leads to formation of brown plate shaped crystals after one day of storage at room temperature. **2** crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1 with one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit, which is depicted in Figure S3. Disorder has been treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 3: Solid state structure of 2; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1- I ⁱPr)(1-Me)}][OTf] (3a) and [Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1- I ⁱPr)(2-Me)}][OTf] (3b)*

Layering a concentrated solution of **3** in THF with *n*-pentane leads to formation of brown plate (**3a**) and block (**3b**) shaped crystals after one day of storage at room temperature. **3a** crystallizes in the triclinic space group $\overline{P1}$ with one anion and one cation as well as one THF molecule in the asymmetric unit, which is depicted in Figure S 4 (left). **3b** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2₁$ with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit, which is depicted in Figure S4 (right). Notably, both compounds crystallize alongside each other and can only be separated by visual distinction. Disorder within both structures has been treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 4: Solid state structure of 3a (left) and 3b (right); Depicted is the asymmetric unit of each compound and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P4(1-IMe4)(4-Me)}] (4)*

Small brownish red block shaped crystals of **4** can be obtained by slowly evaporating benzene from a concentrated solution. **4** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* with one molecule in the asymmetric unit, which is shown in Figure S5.

Figure S 5: Solid state structure of 4; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-IMe4)(1-Me)(5-IMe4)}][OTf] (4INT2)*

Few single crystals of **4INT2** formed upon layering a concentrated solution in THF with *n*-pentane at –30 °C and storage for one day. 4_{INT2} crystallizes in the triclinic space group \overline{PI} with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit, which is shown in Figure S6. Disorder within the anion has been treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 6: Solid state structure of 4INT2; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-IMe4P)(1-Me)}] (5)*

5 could be obtained as dark green plate shaped crystals by storing a concentrated solution (toluene/*n*-hexane, 2:1) at –30 °C for one week. **5** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/c with one molecule and one toluene in the asymmetric unit, which is displayed in Figure S7. The toluene molecule has been treated with a solvent mask.

Figure S 7: Solid state structure of 5; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-NHO)(1-Me)}][OTf] (6a) and [Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-NHO)(2-Me)}][OTf] (6b)*

Layering a concentrated solution of **6** in *o*-DFB with *n*-pentane leads to formation of brown plate shaped crystals after several days of storage at room temperature. **6a** and **6b** cocrystallize in the triclinic space group $\overline{P1}$ with one anion and one cation as well as one molecule of *o*-DFB in the asymmetric unit, which is depicted in Figure S8. Disorder has been treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 8: Solid state structure of 6a (left) and 6b (right); Depicted is the asymmetric unit in which both compounds appear as positionally disordered and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[{Cp*Fe}² {² ,4:3:1-P10Me2(IDippN)}][OTf] (7)*

Layering a concentrated solution of **7** in CH₂Cl₂ with *n*-pentane leads to formation of brown plate shaped crystals after several days of storage at room temperature. **7** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *Pc* with one cation and one anion in the asymmetric unit, which is shown in Figure S9. Disorder has been treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 9: Solid state structure of 7; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-IDippN)(1-Me)}] (7INT)*

7INT crystallizes upon slowly evaporating *n*-hexane from its concentrated solutions and forms dark brownish green plate shaped crystals. While these crystals visually appear as of sufficient quality for X-ray diffraction analysis, the crystal structure of 7_{INT} ($P2_1/c$) turns out to be incommensurably modulated in nature. In addition, all four molecules within the asymmetric unit are highly disordered, further impeding proper structural refinement. Thus, we herein only provide only a preliminary structure of 7_{INT} , which reveals a 1,1-disubstituted *cyclo*- P_5 (IDippN)(Me) ligand coordinated to the {Cp*Fe} moiety in η^4 fashion (Figure S10). The P1– N1 (1.594(5) Å) as well as the N1–C (1.286(7) Å) bond lengths are remarkable, as they may indicate double bond character for these bonds. In agreement, the P1–N1–C angle is enlarged drastically to 151.9(5)° from the expected ~120°. However, these values (from the nondisordered molecule in the asymmetric unit) must be considered carefully due to the preliminary nature of this solid state structure.

Figure S 10: Solid state structure of 7INT; Depicted is the asymmetric unit (left) as well as the one non-disordered molecule within (right); ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

*[{Cp*Fe}² {² ,4:1:1:1-P11Me2(IDipp)}][OTf] (8)*

Layering a concentrated solution of 8 in CH₂Cl₂ with *n*-hexane leads to formation of brown plate shaped crystals after eleven days of storage at –30 °C. **8** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with one cation and one anion as well as a not fully occupied CH₂Cl₂ molecule in the asymmetric unit, which is shown in Figure S11. Disorder has been treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 11: Solid state structure of 8; Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at the 50% probability level.

11.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

*[Cp*Fe(⁵ -P5Me)][OTf] (A)*

*Figure S 12: ¹H NMR spectrum of A in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal of residual protonated solvent.*

Figure S 13: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectra of A in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature and the corresponding simulated 31P{1H} (left) and 31P (right) NMR spectra (inverted).

*Figure S 14: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of A in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal for residual traces of o-DFB.*

*Figure S 15: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal for residual protonated solvent and indicates trace amounts of o-DFB.*

Figure S 16: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 17: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

*Figure S 18: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal for trace amounts of o-DFB.*

*Figure S 19: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal of residual protonated solvent.*

Figure S 20: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 21: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 22: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

*Figure S 23: ¹H NMR spectra of freshly dissolved crystalline 3a (bottom) and 3b (top) in CD2Cl² recorded at indicated temperatures; * marks the signal of residual protonated solvent and indicates trace amounts of THF.*

Figure S 24 Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of freshly dissolved 3a in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; traces of 3b are already observable even after short amounts of time.

11 – Functionalization of Pentaphosphole Complexes: Enhancing the reactivity of [Cp*Fe(η5-P5)] via Electrophilic Activation

Figure S 25: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P NMR spectrum of freshly dissolved 3a in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; traces of 3b are already observable even after short amounts of time.

Figure S 26: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of freshly dissolved 3b in CD2Cl² recorded at –80 °C; formation of 3a is observable even after short amounts of time.

11 – Functionalization of Pentaphosphole Complexes: Enhancing the reactivity of [Cp*Fe(η5-P5)] via Electrophilic Activation

Figure S 27: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P NMR spectrum of freshly dissolved 3b in CD2Cl² recorded at –80 °C; formation of 3a is observable even after short amounts of time.

Figure S 28: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 3 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 29: ³¹P NMR spectra of 3 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature over the period of 29 days; equilibration of both isomers is initially fast and finally approaches a ratio of 0.17:1 (3a/3b).

Figure S 30: Ratio (3a/3b) obtained by integration of the ³¹P NMR spectra in Figure S 29 against the time which the isomeric mixture of 3 was kept in solution.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P4(1-IMe4)(4-Me)}] (4)*

*Figure S 31: ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal for residual protonated solvent.*

Figure S 32: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature.

11 – Functionalization of Pentaphosphole Complexes: Enhancing the reactivity of [Cp*Fe(n5-P5)] via Electrophilic Activation

Figure S 33: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 4 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature.

The crude product of **4** contains impurities of several side products (due to the extremely delicate reaction pathway) which necessitates the purification via liquid/liquid extraction (*vide supra*). However, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the crude reaction product (Figure S34) clearly shows the presence of $[(IMe₄)₂P]⁺$ further corroborating the outlined reaction pathway.

Figure S 34: Experimental ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the crude product mixture of 4; unassigned signals correspond to unidentified side products.

To probe the pathway leading to the formation of **4**, several (sub)stoichiometric reactivity studies were carried out. Note that the formation of 4 requires three equivalents of IMe₄, as two equivalents abstract a P atom resulting in $(Mea)_2P^+$ and the third equivalent is needed to stabilize 4 itself. While reacting A with equimolar amounts of IMe₄ results in an untraceable mixture of products, it was hypothesized that the smallest of the NHCs used within this study should exclusively form the 1,1-disubstituted species 4_{INT1} . The instability of this compound may be attributed to the strongly nucleophilic character of IMe₄ in combination with minimal steric protection, which allows for a myriad of possible side reactions, such as e. g. deprotonation. Thus, 4_{INT1} may only be formed and stable at low temperatures, preventing its isolation and structural study. However, it could be possible to spectroscopically observe this key intermediate on track to **4** via spectroscopic methods. Accordingly, when dissolving

equimolar amounts of **A** and IMe₄ in cold (–80 °C) THF and subjecting the mixture to ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy, the selective formation of 4_{INT1} can be observed as displayed in Figure S35. Indeed, the exclusive formation of the hypothesized 1,1-disubstituted isomer is revealed by the indicative (broadened) AMM'XX' spin system.

Figure S 35: Experimental ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of mixture of A and one equivalent of IMe⁴ in THF recorded at – 80 °C; The spectrum clearly indicates the formation of 4_{NTI} as the 1,1-substituted isomer exclusively and * marks *the signals associated with traces of an unidentified side product.*

To further probe the mechanism leading to the formation of **4**, it was exposed to two equivalents of IMe4. While this reaction ultimately affords the scrambling product **5** (*vide infra*) it was hypothesized that the potential intermediate formed upon addition of two units of IMe₄ to **A** would be highly sensitive and susceptible to decomposition. Again, low temperature $31P{1}$ H} NMR spectroscopy was employed to identify this second key intermediate on the way towards 4. Dissolving a mixture of A and two equivalent of IMe₄ in cold (-80 °C) THF affords a highly complex $31P{1H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure S36) in which several species can be identified. However, the primary product of this reaction reveals five distinct multiplets, which is in line with a chain type P₅ ligand in 4_{INT2} This indicates the cleavage of one P–P bond upon addition of the second equivalent of IMe₄ to 4_{INT1} . The presence of several other compounds in this mixture highlights the sensitivity of 4_{INT2} . The formation of 5 is directly attributed to the degradation of this intermediate, as shown by its isolation (at room temperature) from mixtures of **A** with two equivalents of IMe₄. Additionally, the presence of 4_{INT1} as well as $[(\text{Im}e_4)_2P]^+$ points towards a relatively unselective reaction under the given conditions. This is however expected, as both reagents were dissolved simultaneously. Finally, the formation of both **4** and **5** is observed upon warming the reaction mixture to 0 °C, which is in line with these species being the only ones within this system stable at room temperature. Identification of other species within this complex mixture (especially at low temperatures) was hampered due to signal broadening and overlap. However, most of the observed species are formed in small amounts and their formation is largely attributed to the non-ideal reaction conditions an NMR study provides (e. g. mixing, homogeneity). To our surprise it was however possible to grow a

single crystal of the key intermediate 4_{INT2} at low temperatures (*vide supra*) manifesting its constitution and providing essential structural insight into the reaction pathway towards **4**.

Figure S 36: Experimental ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of a mixture of A with two equivalents of IMe⁴ in THF recorded at given temperatures; The top spectrum corresponds to the spectrum of isolated 5 and is provided as reference and inserts provide assignment of the signals to the corresponding species in the reaction solution.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-IMe4P)(1-Me)}] (5)*

*Figure S 37: ¹H NMR spectrum of 5 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal for residual protonated solvent, indicates residual n-hexane and ▪ marks the signals associated with traces of 4 which are obtained as a side product in this reaction and cannot be fully separated due to nearly equivalent solubility.*

*Figure S 38: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 5 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; * marks the signals of trace amounts of 4 which could not be separated due to similar solubility.*

11 – Functionalization of Pentaphosphole Complexes: Enhancing the reactivity of [Cp*Fe(η5-P5)] via Electrophilic Activation

*Figure S 39: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 5 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; * marks the signals of trace amounts of 4 which could not be separated due to similar solubility.*

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(NHO)(Me)}][OTf] (6)*

*Figure S 40: ¹H NMR spectrum of 6 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal for residual protonated solvent; only the Cp* signals are integrated to obtain a relative ratio of both isomers, while all other signals are strongly overlapping.*

Figure S 41: Experimental ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 6 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature and signal assignment for both isomers.

Figure S 42: Experimental ³¹P NMR spectrum of 6 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature and signal assignment for both isomers.

*Figure S 43: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 6 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal for o-DFB, which is also found in the crystal lattice of this compound.*

*[{Cp*Fe}2{² ,4:3:1-P10Me2(IDippN)}][OTf] (7)*

NMR spectra of **7** could not yet be obtained within the time frame of this thesis, due to the complicated separation of this compound from side products. Furthermore, the potential for formation of isomers of **7** (Scheme S1) may further complicate the issue of obtaining interpretable NMR spectra.

Scheme S 1: Potential pathways for the formation of isomers of 7.

*[Cp*Fe{⁴ -P5(1-IDippN)(1-Me)}] (7INT)*

*Figure S 44: ¹H NMR spectrum of 7INT in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; * marks the signal of residual protonated solvent.*

Figure S 45: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 7INT in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature.

Figure S 46: Experimental (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P NMR spectrum of 7_{INT} *in C₆D₆ recorded at room temperature.*

*[{Cp*Fe}2{² ,4:1:1:1-P11Me2(IDipp)}][OTf] (8)*

NMR spectra of **8** could not yet be obtained in sufficient quality within the time frame of this thesis. As the solid state structure of this compound (Figure S 11) already indicates the positional disorder of one of the Me groups, the presence of two isomers of **8** may further complicate this issue. The selective syntheses of either one of these isomers or their separation are however not possible due to the comparably small steric demand of the Me substituent. Scheme S2 shows two possible transition states which would be accountable for the formation of the respective isomers.

Scheme S 2: Synthesis of 8 (top) and two possible transition states leading to the observed formation of two isomers (bottom).

11.5.4. Computational Details

General Considerations

DFT calculations were performed using the Orca 5.0 software package.^[44] Geometry optimizations were performed at the BP86^[45]/def2-SVP^[46] and single point calculations were performed at the ω B97X-D4^[47]/def2-TZVPPD^[46] or ω B97X-D4/def2-TZVP^[46] (7_{INT}) level of theory with PCM solvent correction for THF.^[48] Stationary points were verified by analytical frequency calculations.

NBO Analysis of 7INT

To gain deeper insight into the electronic structure of the key intermediate 7_{INT} , NBO analysis was performed using the NBO 7.0 software package.^[49] Selected NBOs as well as NLMOs are depicted in Figure S 56. Although the P1–N1 (1.64 Å) and the N1–C2 (1.31 Å) distances are below the sum of the covalent single bond radii,^[50] the Wiberg bond indices of 1.40 (N1–C2) and 0.91 (P1–N1) indicate only weak or even no multiple bonding, respectively. In agreement, the NBOs in Figure S 56 show clear P1–N1 (NBO 94) and N1–C2 (NBO 116) σ bonds but no clear π type interaction between these atoms. Instead, two occupied p-type orbitals are strongly located at the N1 center (NBO 85/86). The corresponding NLMOs (Figure S81, bottom) only show minor delocalization of these orbitals. Thus, the mesomeric formulations **B** and **C** (Scheme S3) of 7_{INT} should have the most significant statistical weight for the electronic structure of this intriguing compound. The potential π donor capability of the IDippN- substituent in 7_{INT} may cause the interesting insertion reactivity observed o the way towards the complex P¹⁰ species **7**.

Figure S 47: Selected NBOs (top) and NLMOs (bottom) of 7INT; cutoff: 0.03 a. u.

Scheme S 3: Potential mesomeric forms of 7INT.

Optimized Geometries

A

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -3401.01259, Free Energies/H = -3400.71573, ZPVE/ kcal/mol $(\omega B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 186.28$

IMe⁴

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -383.77637, Free Energies/H = -383.58229, ZPVE/ kcal/mol $(\omega$ B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 121.79

[(IMe4)2P]⁺

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -1108.87590, Free Energies/H = -1108.47760, ZPVE/ kcal/mol $(\omega$ B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 249.95

4INT1 (1,1-isomer)

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -3784.85850, Free Energies/H = -3784.36260, ZPVE/ kcal/mol $(\omega$ B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 311.15

4INT1 (1,2-isomer)

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -3784.85600, Free Energies/H = - 3784.36040 , ZPVE/ kcal/mol (ω B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 311.00

4INT2

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -4168.67790, Free Energies/H = -4167.98350, ZPVE/ kcal/mol $(\omega$ B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 435.71

4

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -3443.58910, Free Energies/H = -3443.09810, ZPVE/ kcal/mol $(\omega$ B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 308.12

TS1

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -3784.77470, Free Energies/H = - 3784.28910 , ZPVE/ kcal/mol (ω B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 304.73

TS2

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVPPD (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -4168.60990, Free Energies/H = -4167.92380, ZPVE/ kcal/mol $(\omega B97XD/def2-TZVP) = 430.50$

7INT

 ω B97XD/def2-TZVP (CPCM (THF)): Energies/H = -4614.02923, Free Energies/H = -4613.29140008, ZPVE/ kcal/mol = 548.87

11.6. References

- [1] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [2] a) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4164–4177; b) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4178–4235; c) M. Scheer, G. Balázs, A. Seitz, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4236–4256; d) L. Giusti, V. R. Landaeta, M. Vanni, J. A. Kelly, R. Wolf, M. Caporali, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *441*, 213927; e) C. M. Hoidn, D. J. Scott, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 1886–1902.
- [3] M. Donath, K. Schwedtmann, T. Schneider, F. Hennersdorf, A. Bauzá, A. Frontera, J. J. Weigand, *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 384–391.
- [4] a) U. Lennert, P. B. Arockiam, V. Streitferdt, D. J. Scott, C. Rödl, R. M. Gschwind, R. Wolf, *Nat. Cat.* **2019**, *2*, 1101–1106; b) R. Rothfelder, V. Streitferdt, U. Lennert, J. Cammarata, D. J. Scott, K. Zeitler, R. M. Gschwind, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 24650–24658.
- [5] D. J. Scott, J. Cammarata, M. Schimpf, R. Wolf, *Nat. Chem.* **2021**, *13*, 458–464.
- [6] O. J. Scherer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, *29*, 1104–1122.
- [7] S. Wang, J. D. Sears, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, M. L. Neidig, J. S. Figueroa, *Science* **2022**, *375*, 1393–1397.
- [8] A. K. Eckhardt, M.-L. Y. Riu, M. Ye, P. Müller, G. Bistoni, C. C. Cummins, *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 928–934.
- [9] a) M. Baudler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 492–512; b) M. Baudler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 419–441; c) M. Baudler, K. Glinka, *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 1623–1667.
- [10] a) N. Burford, T. S. Cameron, P. J. Ragogna, E. Ocando-Mavarez, M. Gee, R. McDonald, R. E. Wasylishen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7947–7948; b) M. Gonsior, I. Krossing, L. Müller, I. Raabe, M. Jansen, L. van Wüllen, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 4475– 4492; c) J. J. Weigand, N. Burford, M. D. Lumsden, A. Decken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 6733–6737; d) J. J. Weigand, M. Holthausen, R. Fröhlich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 295–298; e) M. H. Holthausen, J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6639–6657; f) A. Wiesner, S. Steinhauer, H. Beckers, C. Müller, S. Riedel, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 7169–7173; g) C. Taube, K. Schwedtmann, M. Noikham, E. Somsook, F. Hennersdorf, R. Wolf, J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 3585–3591.
- [11] a) O. Back, B. Donnadieu, P. Parameswaran, G. Frenking, G. Bertrand, *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 369–373; b) T. Köchner, T. A. Engesser, H. Scherer, D. A. Plattner, A. Steffani, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 6529–6531; c) J. Frötschel-Rittmeyer, M. Holthausen, C. Friedmann, D. Röhner, I. Krossing, J. J. Weigand, *Sci. Adv.* **2022**, *8*, eabq8613.
- [12] a) S. S. Chitnis, H. A. Sparkes, V. T. Annibale, N. E. Pridmore, A. M. Oliver, I. Manners, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 9536–9540; b) S. S. Chitnis, R. A. Musgrave, H. A. Sparkes, N. E. Pridmore, V. T. Annibale, I. Manners, *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 4522– 4538.
- [13] M. H. Holthausen, S. K. Surmiak, P. Jerabek, G. Frenking, J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11078–11082.
- [14] P. Royla, K. Schwedtmann, Z. Han, J. Fidelius, D. P. Gates, R. M. Gomila, A. Frontera, J. J. Weigand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, *145*, 10364–10375.
- [15] a) E. Mädl, M. V. Butovskii, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7643–7646; b) E. Mädl, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7702–7707; c) M. Piesch, S. Reichl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 16563– 16568; d) F. Riedlberger, S. Todisco, P. Mastrorilli, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 16251–16255; e) F. Riedlberger, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 13836–13839; f) M. Piesch, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, 11, 6745–6751; g) V. Heinl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202301016.
- [16] a) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 169–172; b) D. Tofan, B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 12349–12358; c) T. Li, J. Wiecko, N. A. Pushkarevsky, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, S. N. Konchenko, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 9491–9495; d) C. M. Knapp, B. H. Westcott, M. A. C. Raybould, J. E. McGrady, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9097–9100; e) A. Velian, C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 1003; f) T. Li, M. T. Gamer, M. Scheer, S. N. Konchenko, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 2183–2185; g) M. V. Butovskiy, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2972–2976; h) S. Pelties, A. W. Ehlers, R. Wolf, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, 52, 6601–6604; i) S. Pelties, T. Maier, D. Herrmann, B. de Bruin, C. Rebreyend, S. Gärtner, I. G. Shenderovich, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 6094–6102; j) M. Piesch, C. Graßl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 7154–7160; k) R. Yadav, M. Weber, A. K. Singh, L. Münzfeld, J. Gramüller, R. M. Gschwind, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 14128–14137; l) P. Coburger, J. Leitl, D. J. Scott, G. Hierlmeier, I. G. Shenderovich, E. Hey-Hawkins, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 11225–11235.
- [17] a) B. M. Cossairt, M.-C. Diawara, C. C. Cummins, *Science* **2009**, *323*, 602; b) B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 1595–1598; c) U. Chakraborty, J. Leitl, B. Mühldorf, M. Bodensteiner, S. Pelties, R. Wolf, *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 3693–3697; d) E. Mädl, E. Peresypkina, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 12298–12301; e) M. Piesch, S. Reichl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 15101–15108; f) S. Reichl, E. Mädl, F. Riedlberger, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 5774; g) J. A. Kelly, V. Streitferdt, M. Dimitrova, F. F. Westermair, R. M. Gschwind, R. J. F. Berger, R. Wolf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 20434–20441; h) S. B. Dinauer, M. Piesch, R. Szlosek, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202300459.
- [18] a) I. de los Rios, J.-R. Hamon, P. Hamon, C. Lapinte, L. Toupet, A. Romerosa, M. Peruzzini, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 3910–3912; b) I. Krossing, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 4603–4604; c) P. Barbaro, A. Ienco, C. Mealli, M. Peruzzini, O. J. Scherer, G. Schmitt, F. Vizza, G. Wolmershäuser, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 5196–5210; d) M. Peruzzini, R. R. Abdreimova, Y. Budnikova, A. Romerosa, O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, *689*, 4319–4331; e) P. Barbaro, C. Bazzicalupi, M. Peruzzini, S. Seniori Costantini, P. Stoppioni, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8628–8631; f) I. M. Riddlestone, P. Weis, A. Martens, M. Schorpp, H. Scherer, I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 10546–10551; g) J. Sun, H. Verplancke, J. I. Schweizer, M. Diefenbach, C. Würtele, M. Otte, I. Tkach, C. Herwig, C. Limberg, S. Demeshko, M. C. Holthausen, S. Schneider, *Chem* **2021**, *7*, 1952–1962.
- [19] a) G. Capozzi, L. Chiti, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 1799–1800; b) A. Barth, G. Huttner, M. Fritz, L. Zsolnai, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, *29*, 929–931.
- [20] a) M. Fleischmann, F. Dielmann, L. J. Gregoriades, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, S. Huber, A. Y. Timoshkin, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13110–13115; b) L. Dütsch, M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, G. Balázs, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3256–3261; c) C. Riesinger, L. Dütsch, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 17165–17170; d) C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 23879–23884; e) M. Piesch, S. Reichl, C. Riesinger, M. Seidl, G. Balazs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 9129–9140; f) C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 13037–13044; g) M. Piesch, A. Nicolay, M. Haimerl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, T. Don Tilley, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2022**, *28*, e202201144; h) C. Riesinger, F. Dielmann, R. Szlosek, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202218828; i) L. Zimmermann, C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, e202301974.
- [21] O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 59.
- [22] E. Urnius, W. W. Brennessel, C. J. Cramer, J. E. Ellis, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 832–834.
- [23] a) O. J. Scherer, J. Schwalb, G. Wolmershäuser, W. Kaim, R. Gross, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986**, *25*, 363–364; b) C. Riesinger, D. Röhner, I. Krossing, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2023**, *59*, 4495–4498.
- [24] C. M. Hoidn, T. M. Maier, K. Trabitsch, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18931–18936.
- [25] A. K. Adhikari, C. G. P. Ziegler, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18584–18590.
- [26] R. Yadav, T. Simler, B. Goswami, C. Schoo, R. Köppe, S. Dey, P. W. Roesky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 9443–9447.
- [27] R. Yadav, T. Simler, S. Reichl, B. Goswami, C. Schoo, R. Köppe, M. Scheer, P. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 1190–1195.
- [28] H. Brake, E. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Piesch, W. Kremer, L. Zimmermann, C. Klimas, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 16241–16246.
- [29] a) C. G. P. Ziegler, T. M. Maier, S. Pelties, C. Taube, F. Hennersdorf, A. W. Ehlers, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1302–1308; b) C. M. Hoidn, K. Trabitsch, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, e202301930.
- [30] P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, *119*, 2326–2337.
- [31] C. B. Macdonald, J. F. Binder, A. Swidan, J. H. Nguyen, S. C. Kosnik, B. D. Ellis, *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 7152–7166.
- [32] a) J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14180–14181; b) J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7052–7055; c) C. D. Martin, C. M. Weinstein, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, G. Bertrand, *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 4486–4488.
- [33] *https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator*, (07.08.2023).
- [34] A. J. Arduengo, R. Krafczyk, R. Schmutzler, H. A. Craig, J. R. Goerlich, W. J. Marshall, M. Unverzagt, *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 14523-14534.
- [35] V. Lavallo, Y. Canac, C. Präsang, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5705-5709.
- [36] N. Kuhn, T. Kratz, *Synth.* **1993**, *6*, 561-562.
- [37] S. M. I. Al-Rafia, A. C. Malcolm, S. K. Liew, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald, E. Rivard, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 6987-6989.
- [38] M. Tamm, D. Petrovic, S. Randoll, S. Beer, T. Bannenberg, P. G. Jonesa, J. Grunenberg, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2007**, *5*, 523-530.
- [39] A.M. Tondreau, Z. Benkö, J. R. Harmer, H. Grützmacher, *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 1545- 1554.
- [40] Agilent (**2014**). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.
- [41] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339-341
- [42] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [43] a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. C* **2015**, *71*, 3-8; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. A* 2008, *64*, 112-122.
- [44] a) F. Neese, F. Wennmohs, U. Becker, C. Rieplinger, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *152*, 224108–224125; b) F. Neese, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 1606-1620.
- [45] A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098-3100.
- [46] a) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297-3305; b) D. Rappoport, F. Furche, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *133*, 134105-134116.
- [47] a) J.-D. Chai, M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6620; b) J.- D. Chai, M. Head-Gordon, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *128*, 84106; c) Y.-S. Lin, G.-D. Li, S.-

P. Mao, J. D. Chai, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 263-272; d) E. Caldeweyher, S. Ehlert, A. Hansen, H. Neugebauer, S. Spicher, C. Bannwarth, S. Grimme, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *150*, 154122-154140.

- [48] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999-3093.
- [49] NBO 7.0. E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, P. Karafiloglou, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI (**2018**).
- [50] P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem.* A **2015**, *119*, 2326–2337.

12. The Metal Matters: Transition Metal Dependent Functionalization of Cationic Polyphosphorus Ligand Complexes

Preface

This chapter has not been published until the end of this thesis. It should give a first insight into the drastically differing functionalization reactivity of two isovalence electronic transition metal complexes featuring *cyclo*-P4R² ligands. While most Nickel based compounds are fully characterized, some of the results on the Molybdenum based species are of preliminary nature, so far. Further characterization and additional synthetic as well as computational investigations are needed and should be a topic of future research. Some of the presented results have already been part of the PhD thesis of Dr. Luis Dütsch and the BSc theses of Jan Wieneke and Lisa Orel.

Authors

Christoph Riesinger, Lisa Zimmermann, Jan Thomas Wieneke, Lisa Orel, Luis Dütsch and Manfred Scheer

Author Contributions

Christoph Riesinger – Conceptualization, Synthesis of **A1**, **A2**, **1a – d**, **3**, **4**, **5**, **7** and **8**, Interpretation of crystallographic data, Writing of original draft. Lisa Zimmermann – Synthesis of **B1**, **B2**, **2a – d**, **6**, Writing of original draft. Jan Thomas Wieneke – Synthetic assistance for compounds **A1**, **A2**, **1a – d**, **3**, **4**, **5**, **7** and **8**. Lisa Orel – Synthetic assistance for compounds **B1**, **B2**, **2a – d**, **6**. Luis Dütsch – Initial reactivity studies of **B** towards phosphenium cations. Manfred Scheer – Project administration, Funding acquisition, Writing of original draft.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the project Sche 384/36-2. C. R. is grateful to the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes for a PhD fellowship.

12.1. Abstract

Reaction of the isostructural complexes $[{L_nM}(n)^3-P_4R_2)]$ $({L_nM} = Cp^mNi (A), CpMo(CO)_2 (B),$ *R = Ph, ⁱPr) with NHCs results in functionalization of the cyclo-P4R² ligand. Concomitantly, the* ligand either rearranges or is opened solely depending on the transition metal present. Further *reaction with the anionic nucleophiles* [CN]^{*,*} [EtO] *and* [ECO] (E = P, As) furnishes *fragmentation, functionalization or expansion of the respective P⁴ ligand. The products reveal novel substituted polyphosphorus ligands featuring highly complex functionalization patterns. Furthermore, the comparison between the Ni and the corresponding Mo complexes for the first time provides insight into the tremendous influence the transition metal has on the reactivity of coordinated polyphosphorus cations.*

12.2. Introduction

The structural complexity and abundance of reactivity patterns within organic chemistry and specifically of the element carbon is unmatched within the periodic table. This is commonly attributed to the inherent stability of C–C single as well as multiple bonds and further substantiated by carbons capability to engage in four bonds.^[1] While no other element comes even close to the structural richness of carbon-based compounds, its arguably closest neighbor, phosphorus, is popularly regarded as a carbon copy.[2] Indeed, phosphorus is closely related to carbon, as they share a diagonal relationship in the periodic table. Furthermore, several hydrocarbon fragments are isolobal to P based ones, as e. g. P and CH,^[3] commonly found in organic aromatic compounds. Besides this fundamental interest, recently the (catalytic) conversion of white phosphorus (P_4) to value added phosphines has reinforced the scientific endeavors in phosphorus chemistry.^[4] Novel approaches focus on the transition metal,^[5] main group,^[6] oxidative^[7] and even photocatalytic^[8] transformation of P₄ to organophosphorus species, thereby avoiding hazards (e. g. poisonous, corrosive and flammable intermediates, stoichiometric amounts of waste) commonly attributed to the synthesis of these highly demanded products.^[9] Yet, most of these routes are highly specific and often limited to products of comparably low complexity. Recently our group demonstrated an Fe mediated cyclic conversion of P_4 to asymmetric mono-phosphines in a more general approach.[10] However, transition metal mediated functionalization of polyphosphorus species bears even greater potential, as it may allow the stabilization of otherwise unstable intermediates. Thus, it paves the way towards polyphosphorus species of yet unprecedented complexity, bordering that of carbon based organic compounds. While several prominent representatives of polyphosphorus ligand complexes, such as the side on P_2 complex $[(RNC)_4Fe(\eta^2-P_2)] (R = 2.6-(2.6(^{i}Pr)_2C_6H_3)_2C_6H_3)$,^[11] the all-P sandwich $[Ti(cyc/o-P_5)_2]^{2-[12]}$ or a *cyclo*-P⁸ ligand complex[13] are of more recent nature, the synthesis of such compounds played an essential role for several decades already.^[14] However, studies on the targeted functionalization of such complexes have only been a topic of recent years. It was shown that P_2 ^[15] as well as end-deck *cyclo*- P_n (n = 3,^[16] 4^[15a,17] and 5^[18]) complexes can readily be

functionalized with both nucleophiles and electrophiles. Comparably, studies on the functionalization of P_n ligands in triple decker complexes are much rarer.^[13,19] Strikingly however, most of these studies focus on the initial one or two functionalization steps.^[19b,20] while the opportunity to access much more complex functional and structural motifs has largely been overlooked. Regardless of the variety of different transition metals used in these complexes the structural motifs observed for the coordinated polyphosphorus ligands are often similar and usually base on the fulfillment of the 18 valence electron rule for the transition metal center. Thus, the nucleophilically functionalized [Cp‴Co(η^3 -P₄R)]⁻ (**I**)^[17c] and [Cp″Ta(CO)₂(η^3 -P₄R)] (II)^[15a] possess isostructural *cyclo*-P₄R ligands (Scheme 1). Moreover, the *cyclo*-P₅R₂ ligands in [Cp*Fe(η^4 -P₅R₂)] (III),^[10a] [Cp^{Ar}Co(η^4 -P₅R₂)] $^+$ (IV),^[20b] as well as [Cp‴Ta(CO)₂(η^4 - P_5R_2]⁺ (V)^[17d] are isostructural despite the presence of a 3d or a 5d metal and even the introduction of a positive charge. Although the introduction of electronically more complex ligands, such as BIAN (bis(arylimino)acenaphthene diamine) results in neutral complexes, the respective *cyclo*-P₅R₂ ligand remains isostructural to the above ones.^[17a,17b] Yet, the details of the influence of the coordinated transition metal onto the structure, bonding and reactivity of coordinated polyphosphorus ligands remain substantially underexplored to the best of our knowledge. Herein, this challenge is tackled and the reactivity of three isostructural *cyclo*-P4R² complexes, namely $[{L_nM}_{n}]^3 - P_4R_2]^{n}$ (${L_nM}_{}$ = ${Cp}^m$ Ni} (**A**, n = 1),^[16c] ${Cp}Mo(CO)_2$ (**B**, n = 1),^[21] ${Cp''Co}$ (C, n = 0)^[16e]) towards nucleophiles is comparred, which leads to drastically different products dependent on the employed transition metal. Furthermore, the multi-step functionalization of these compounds is explored, utilizing the above metal induced distinction, and providing a series of substituted polyphosphorus ligands of unprecedented complexity.

Scheme 1: Selected examples of isostructural polyphosphorus ligand complexes; Ar = 4-EtC6H4, R = alkyl, aryl.

12.3. Results and Discussion

To further extend the series of the isoelectronic complexes of the type $[\{\mathsf{L}_n\mathsf{M}\}(\eta^3\text{-}\mathsf{P}_4\mathsf{R}_2)]^{\mathsf{n}+}$, [16d,16e] the cyclo-P₃ complex $[CpMo(CO)₂(\eta^3-P_3)]^{[21]}$ was successfully reacted with a variety of phosphenium cations (see ESI). Notably, the derivatives $[{L_nM}(n^3-P_4R_2)][WCA]$ (L_nM = Cp'''Ni, $[WCA] = [PF_6]$, $R = Ph (A1)$, $'Pr (A2)$; $L_nM = CpMo(CO)_2$, $[WCA] = [OTT]$ or $[TEF]$, $R = Ph$ $(B1)$, $'Pr(B2)$; $[OTH] = [SO_3CF_3]$, $[TEF] = [Al{OC(CF_3)_3}_4]$ could be prepared on multi-gram scale enabling the desired investigation of their reactivity towards nucleophiles (see ESI). Interestingly, reacting **A1**/**2** with IDipp (1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethyl-phenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene) or I *ⁱ*Pr2Me² (1,4-Diisopropyl-2,3-dimethylimidazol-5-ylidene) leads to selective addition of the carbene to the P_4R_2 ligand (Figure 1a, left). In turn the ligand rearranges to form a carbene substituted *cyclo*-P₃ moiety with an exocyclic phosphino group in the products [Cp'"Ni(n^{1:1}-P4R2NHC)][PF6] (**1a**: 90%, **1b**: 91%, **1c**: 91%, **1d**: 81%). On the other hand, performing the same reactions with the Mo derivatives **B1**/**2** leads to ring opening of the *cyclo*-P4R² ligand and results in a substituted P₄ chain within [CpMo(CO)₂(η^3 -P₄R₂NHC)][WCA] (2a: 89%, 2b: 92%, **2c**: 90%, **2d**: 91%, Figure 1a, right). This difference highlights the tremendous influence of the transition metal on the polyphosphorus unit bound to it, even though the number of VE is the same. While the softer Ni prefers bonding which is best described as dative/polar, the harder Mo engages is more covalent Mo–P interactions. In contrast to the former reactions, the isoelectronic but neutral [Cp'''Co(n³-P₄Ph₂)] (C)^[16e] does not show reactivity towards NHCs, which is rationalized by the drastically decreased electrophilicity of this compound due to the lack of positive charge (see ESI).

Figure 1: Synthesis of 1 and 2 via nucleophilic functionalization of A/B with NHCs (i) + IDipp, o-DFB, r. t., 2 – 16 h; ii) + $l'Pr_2Me_2$, THF, -80 °C to r. t., 3 – 16 h; [WCA] = [OTf] (2a, 2b), [TEF] (2c, 2d); top) and the corresponding *molecular structures of two of the derivatives in the solid state (bottom); yields are given in parentheses and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.*

Compounds $1a - d$ are isostructural revealing a *cyclo*-P₃PR₂ ligand bearing an NHC substituent at P3 and being coordinated to the ${Cp''Ni}$ moiety in an $\eta^{1:1}$ fashion (Figure 1). In other words, the molecular structure of **1a** – **d** can be described as a housane-type, where the {Cp′′′Ni} fragment occupies one of the basal positions, while the capping position is taken by the (NHC)P moiety. The P–P bonds within **1a** – **d** (2.184(1) – 2.248(1) Å) are in the range of single bonds,^[22] while the angle between the two planes of the housane scaffold is in all cases close to 90°. Contrastingly, the molecular structures of **2a**/**c** (**2b**/**d** could not be obtained in sufficient crystal quality within the time frame of this thesis) show a chain type P_4R_2 ligand bearing the NHC substituent at P4 and being coordinated to the ${CpMo(CO)_2}$ moiety via P2 – P4 in 3 fashion. Interestingly, the phosphino group in **2a**/**c** remains uncoordinated within these compounds. Accordingly, the P2–P3 bond is slightly shortened (2.139(13)/2.142(1) Å) and close to the expected value for a P–P double bond,^[22] reminiscent of the allylic character of the (NHC)P4R² ligand in **2a**/**c**. The P1–P2 bond is within the range of the P–P single bond $(2.202(11)/2.225(1)$ Å) in both compounds and the P3–P4 bond $(2.110(9)/2.148(1)$ Å) again shows slight shortening due to the delocalization within the P_4 chain.

Spectroscopically, **1a** – **d** show behavior consistent with their integrity in solution, which can be seen e. g. in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra revealing comparably small ¹J_{P–P} coupling constants (see SI), which are indicative for the *cyclo-P*₃ structural motif. Furthermore, pronounced ⁿJ_{P-H} coupling in the ¹H and $31P\{^1H\}$ spectra allow the assignment of the phosphino group and thus the whole AMQX spin systems, which are found for these compounds (see SI). Similarly, the $31P{1H}$ NMR spectra of **2a** – **2d** are in line with the chain type P_4 ligand being retained in solution, indicated by comparably larger ¹ J_{P–P} coupling constants. Again, ⁿ J_{P–H} coupling allows the assignment of the phosphino residue and thus the whole spin system. Interestingly, **2a** – **d** express high ${}^{2}J_{P-P}$ coupling constants for the coupling of the PR₂ group (P1 in the solid state structure) with the respective P3 atom (**2a**: 366 Hz, **2b**: 361 Hz, **2c**: 350 Hz, **2d**: 358 Hz). This is attributed to geometrically enforced orbital interaction between these two P atoms (see solid state structures).

To gain deeper insight into the electronic structure, spectroscopic data and ultimately the reactivity of **1a** – **d** and **2a** – **d** DFT calculations are needed, which however could not be obtained within the time frame of this thesis.

While the structural and spectroscopic distinctions between **1a** – **d** and **2a** – **d** are remarkable, their reactivity was envisioned to differ even further allowing the access to a broad manifold of polyphosphorus architectures. As cyanolysis is an established reaction in this field,[20a] **1** was reacted with one equivalent of [Et4N][CN], each. The reaction proceeds rapidly affording $[{Cp''Ni}(\mu, \eta^{1:1:1:1} - P_4(PR_2)_2)]$ (3a: R = Ph; 3b: R = Pr), which could be isolated after chromatographic workup in good yields of 60% and 53%, respectively (Figure 2). The crude reaction mixture reveals the formation of (NHC)PCN,^[23] corroborating the fragmentation of the initial P4R² ligand in **1** by [CN]- . Additionally, small amounts of a second fragmentation product, namely [{Cp'''Ni}(µ, n^{2:2}-P₂(PⁱPr₂)₂)] (4, 20%), were obtained for the less sterically protected ⁱPr substituted derivatives.

Figure 2: Synthesis of 3 and 4 via cyanolysis of 1 (top) and the solid state structures of 3a and 4 (bottom yields are given in parentheses and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

While the cyanolysis of derivatives of **2** was attempted, the isolation of potential products of this reaction could not yet be achieved and should be a target of future investigations. Structurally, **3a** and **3b** can be derived from **1** via extrusion of the (NHC)PCN fragment, leading to a formally P=P double bonded species, which could then dimerize to the title compounds. While **3b** could not be obtained as crystals of sufficient quality, the rare cis-*cyclo*-P₄(PR₂)₂ ligand in 3a shows bond lengths $(2.215(2) - 2.245(1)$ Å) in the range of P-P single bonds^[22] and bridges two {Cp"'Ni} fragments in $\mu, \eta^{1:1:1:1}$ fashion. Notably, this ligand can be described as an exotic 1,2-disubstituted butterfly-type structural motif. In contrast, the chain type P2(P*ⁱ*Pr2)² ligand in **4** features shortened P1/P1′–P2/P2′ bonds (2.128(1) Å) in agreement with partial double bond character of these bonds, while the P2–P2′ bond length (2.244(1) Å) is in line with a P–P single bond.^[22] The two ${Cp''N}i$ fragments are thus bridged by this ligand in a μ , $\eta^{2:2}$ fashion.

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of **3a** and **3b** show similar but extremely complex AA′MM′XX′ spin systems indicating the preservation of their C_2 symmetry in solution. Moreover, all three signals for **3a**/**b** are significantly low field shifted compared to **1**, which further agrees with the butterfly type structure and thus expanded P₄ cycle, found for these compounds. In contrast, compound **4** only shows two signals consistent with its AA′XX′ spin system and again the preservation of its C_2 symmetry in solution. Interestingly, the comparably high $\frac{1}{2}J_{PA/A'-PX/X'}$ coupling constant (482/481 Hz) is in line with the partial double bond character for the P1/P1′–P2/P2′ bonds. Interestingly, this disagrees with the expected butene-like character for the $R_2PP=PPR_2$ ligand written in its Lewis formula, where the formal double bond character is denoted to the central P^{χ} –P^{χ} bond. The respective coupling constant (¹ $J_{P X-X'}$ = 324 Hz) however further stresses the presence of single bond character for the central P–P bond, while double bond character is observed for the outer two (in agreement with the solid state structure). This effect is attributed to the coordination to the {Cp"'Ni} moieties and its dramatic effect on the electronic structure of the ligand, which needs to be corroborated by future DFT calculations.

In contrast to [CN], the weakly nucleophilic character of EtO should preserve the integrity of the P⁴ ligand, while the formation of a new P–O bond should provide the driving force and lead to comparably stable products. Interestingly, addition of KOEt to the IDipp substituted **1a**/**c** at cold temperatures affords dark green/turquoise solutions, which however rapidly turn to a reddish brown upon warming to room temperature. Investigating this behavior by means of low temperature ³¹P NMR spectroscopy revealed the formation of an intermediate $[Cp'''Ni(n^{1:1} - Cq)]$ $IDippPPP(OEt)PR₂)$] ($5a_{INT}$: R = iPT , $5b_{INT}$: R = Ph), which however rearranged upon warming beyond -20 °C (5a_{INT}) or -40 °C (5b_{INT}), respectively (Figure 3). In an attempt to structurally consolidate this intermediate, single crystals of $5a_{INT}$ could be obtained at -30 °C revealing a chain type P_4 ligand bearing the EtO substituent at P2 (Figure 3, see SI). However, the temperature sensitivity of these compounds prevented their isolation as pure compounds. Above -20 °C 5a_{INT} rearranges to give [Cp"'Ni(n²-IDippPP(OEt)PP^{*i*}Pr₂)] (5a, 67%) as an isolable product. Interestingly, the isostructural $[Cp'''Ni(n^2-1DippPP(OEt)PPPh₂)]$ could not be isolated as it further rearranges to a yet unidentified final product (see SI). Thus, the addition of EtO-to the P⁴ ligand in **1** appears to initially take place at P2, which is accompanied by opening of the *cyclo*-P³ moiety and formation of the IDippPPP(OEt)P*ⁱ*Pr² ligand found in **5aINT**. Afterwards, the EtO⁻ substituent shifts to P3 to give the IDippPP(OEt)PP^{'p}r₂ ligand, which is accompanied by the {Cp"'Ni} fragment switching from $\eta^{1:1}$ into η^2 coordination mode. While this arrangement could only be stabilized within **5a**, it appears to further rearrange upon introducing different substituents at the P_4 chain (see SI). In contrast to this complicated reactivity observed for the Ni complexes **1a** – **d**, the corresponding Mo complex **2b** reacted with KOEt in a much

Figure 3: Reactivity of 1a/c and 2b towards [EtO] (top) and the solid state structures of 5a_{INT}, 5a and 6 (bottom); *yields are given in parentheses and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.*

simpler addition reaction. As the P_4 ligand in 2b is already a chain, the EtO⁻ easily adds to P3 affording [CpMo(CO)₂(n^{1:1}-IDippPP(OEt)PPR₂ (6, 18%, Figure 3). However, in contrast to 5a, which features the same ligand bound to Ni in an η^2 mode, the $\{\text{CPMo}(\text{CO})_2\}$ fragment in 6 is coordinated to it in an $\eta^{1:1}$ fashion via P2 and P4. This again highlights the preference of Mo for more covalent (X-type) interactions with polyphosphorus ligands compared to the preferential coordination of Ni via dative interaction (L-type).

Structurally, the complexes $5a_{INT}$, $5a$ and 6 all feature constitutional isomers of a P_4 ligand bearing two hydrocarbon residues at P1 and an NHC substituent at P4. While the EtOsubstituent is attached to P2 in $5a_{INT}$ it is shifted to P3 in both 5a and 6. However, the latter compounds are easily distinguished, as the Ni atom in **5a** coordinates to the P⁴ ligand via P1 and P2 in η^2 mode, while the Mo atom in 6 is bound in $\eta^{1:1}$ fashion via P2 and P4. The coordination mode of Ni in **5a** goes in hand with a decrease in bond length for the P1–P2 bond $(2.123(1)$ Å), which is closer to the value expected for a P=P double bond. This is also in line with the decreased pyramidalization (ca. 333.2°) found for P1 in **5a**. The residual P–P bonds in **5aINT** (2.180(1) – 2.202(1) Å), **5a** (2.183(1) Å) and **6** (2.176(1) – 2.234(1) Å) are best described as P–P single bonds.[22]

The NMR spectra of the deep turquoise/green reaction mixture of **1a**/**c** with KOEt indicates immediate formation of the intermediates $5a_{\text{INT}}$ or $5b_{\text{INT}}$, respectively. In the $31P\{1H\}$ NMR spectrum 5a_{INT} shows an AMXY spin system reminiscent of the transformation to a *catena-P₄* ligand. Upon warming to room temperature **5a**_{INT} rearranges rapidly to 5a, simplifying the observed spectrum to an AMQX spin system. In the ³¹P spectrum, the P^M signal of **5a** significantly broadens, corroborating it as the ^{*i*}Pr₂P-moiety. Furthermore, the comparably large ¹*J*PM-PX coupling constant (472 Hz) is in a similar range to that observed for **3a**/**b** and thus hints towards the double bond character of this bond. Similar spectra are observed in the case of **5b_{INT}**, however at far lower temperatures (see SI). At above -20 °C another rearrangement to the final, yet unidentified, product is indicated by the respective $31P\{1H\}$ NMR spectrum now revealing an AXYZ spin system. However, this compound could not be isolated so far, nor could it be structurally validated within the time frame of this thesis. **6** again shows a comparably simple ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum in agreement with an AMXY spin system and thus corroborating the structural integrity of the *catena*-P⁴ ligand in solution (see SI).

To complete this reactivity survey, the "E-" surrogates [ECO] (E = N, P,^[24] As^[25]) were reacted with both 1 and 2, respectively. However, while neither 1 or 2 react with [NCO] or even N₃ salts, the latter rapidly decompose upon exposure to Na $[OCP]^{[24]}$ or K $[AsCO]$.^[25] In contrast, **1a** reacts with both of these salts to afford the pnictogen expanded species $[Cp'''Ni(n^{1:1} - Cq)]$ EP₄Ph₂IDipp)] (**7**: E = P, **8**: E = As (73%), Figure 4). Notably, the other derivatives $1b - d$ react similarly with $[ECO]$ ⁻ $(E = P, As)$ salts, but do so in a much less selective fashion, labelling the isolation of the respective products unfeasible. This is attributed to the high degree of stabilization invoked by the substitution pattern in **1a** and thus **7** and **8**, respectively. Yet, the formation of **7** is accompanied by formation of a side product hampering its isolation as a pure compound within the time frame of this thesis (see SI). Nevertheless, it was possible to obtain

Figure 4: Expansion reactions of 1a with [ECO] (E = P, As; top) and molecular structures of 7 and 8 (bottom); yields are given in parentheses and ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

a few single crystals of **7** via slow evaporation of solvent from a concentrated *n*-hexane solution. Both, **7** and **8** are isostructural and show the expansion of the initial P_4 ligand by another pnictogen atom (Figure 4). Interestingly, the As atom in **8** occupies the unsubstituted P5 (in **7**) position to 87% and is barely disordered within the three membered ring (13%). Thus, the housane-type structural motif is retained, with the introduced pnictogen atom adding to the P2–P3 bond (in **1a**). Accordingly, P4 is kicked out of the P₃ ring and now serves as the capping IDippP-substituent of the housane scaffold in **7** and **8**. The P–P (**7**: 2.210(1) – 2.266(1) Å) and P–P/As (**8**:2.184(6) – 2.219(6)/2.306(5) – 2.361(7) Å) bond lengths in both compounds are similar to those in the starting material **1a** and thus best described as single bonds.[22] **7** features a distinct AMQXY spin system in its ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (see SI), agreeing with its five chemically inequivalent P atoms. The broadening of the P^A signal corroborates the presence of the Ph₂P-moiety and additionally allows for the assignment of the whole spin systems. Notably, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **8** is highly similar to that of **7** (see SI) with only the P^Q signal missing and thus leading to an AMXY spin system. Again, the P^A signal is significantly broadened in the $31P$ NMR spectrum, indicating the Ph₂P-moiety and thus allowing the assignment of the whole spin system.

12.4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the reaction of the isostructural *cyclo*-P₄R₂ complexes **A** and **B** with NHCs affords the structurally distinct products $1a - d$, as well as $2a - d$, based on the employed transition metal fragment. While rearrangement and formation of a *cyclo-P₃* ligand with an exocyclic phosphino group is observed in the Ni system **1**, the Mo system **2** reveals opening of the P⁴ cycle to afford a trisubstituted *catene*-P⁴ ligand. To explore the complex reactivity of these species, both **1** and **2** were further reacted with [CN]- . Again, the influence of the present

transition metal fragment is stressed, as **1** undergoes a rapid fragmentation process to yield **3** and **4**, while the reactivity of **2** towards [CN]- could not finally be disclosed but appears to take a different course. Functionalization of **1** and **2** with [EtO]- gives rise to several regioisomers of a complexly tetra-substituted *catena*-P₄ ligand (in 5a_{INT}, 5a and 6) based on reaction conditions and importantly the coordinated transition metal. To complete this reactivity survey, the Ni species **1a** was shown to be expandable by one pnictogen atom via the reaction with [ECO] salts. While similar reactivity could not be observed for the Mo system, the products **7** and **8** feature unprecedented phosphino-phosphinideno-*cyclo*-P³ and -*cyclo*-P2As ligands, respectively. Notably, the As atom in **8** is selectively (87%) introduced at the former P5 (in **7**) position providing valuable information about the reaction pathway of this expansion reaction. These experimental findings need to be further substantiated by future computational studies, giving insight into the electronic structure of the discussed compounds. Most notably, they may focus on the tremendous influence of the different, yet iso-valence electronic transition metal centers on the reactivity of the coordinated polyphosphorus ligand. Careful choice of the latter enables distinct reactivity and thus grants access to polyphosphorus species with functionalization patterns of unprecedented complexity. While this study focused on the Ni/Mo systems **1** and **2**, its implications are expected to be generalizable and promote the design and synthesis of novel (poly-)phosphorus species for future applications.

12.5. Supporting Information

12.5.1. Experimental Procedures

General Considerations

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques at a Stock apparatus under $N₂$ as an inert gas or in a glove box with Ar atmosphere. All glassware was dried with a heat gun (600 °C) for at least 30 min prior to use. *o*-DFB (1,2-difluorobenzene) was distilled from P_2O_5 , CD₂Cl₂ was distilled from CaH₂ and other solvents were directly taken from an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system, degassed at room temperature and stored over molecular sieves. Solution ¹H (400.130 MHz), ¹⁹F (376.498 MHz), ³¹P (161.976 MHz) and spectra were recorded at an Avance400 (Bruker) spectrometer using $(H_3C)_4Si$ (1H , ^{13}C), CFCI₃ (¹⁹F) or 85% phosphoric acid (³¹P), respectively, as external standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are provided in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Chemical shifts and coupling constants for $3^{1}P\{1H\}$ and $3^{1}P$ NMR spectra were partly derived from spectral simulation using the built-in simulation package of TopSpin3.2. The following abbreviations are used: $s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $dd =$ doublet of doublets, $dt =$ doublet of triplets, $t =$ triplet, td = triplet of doublets br = broad and $m =$ multiplet. Mass spectra were recorded at the internal mass spectrometry department using a ThermoQuest Finnigan TSQ 7000 (ESI), Finnigan MAT 95 (LIFDI) mass spectrometer or by the first author on a Waters Micromass LCT ESI-TOF mass-spectrometer and peak assignment was performed using the Molecular weight calculator 6.50.[1] IR spectra were recorded as solids using a ThermoFisher Nicolet iS5 FT-IR spectrometer with an iD7 ATR module and an ITX Germanium or ITX Diamond crystal. Elemental analysis of the products was conducted by the elemental analysis department at the University of Regensburg using an Elementar Vario EL. The starting materials $[Cp'''\text{Ni}(n^3-1)]$ P₃)],^[16c] [CpMo(CO)₂(η³-P₃)],^[21] IDipp,^[27] I[']Pr₂Me₂,^[28] NaOCP•diox,^[24] KAsCO (adapted from literature procedures)^[25] and $TI|TEF|^{29}$ were synthesized according to literature procedures. The synthesis of $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^3-P_4R_2)][PF_6]$ (R = Ph, $'Pr$) is adapted from literature procedures.^[16d] All other chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification.

Synthesis of [Cp'''Ni(³ -P4Ph2)][PF6] (A1)

 $[Cp''Ni(\eta^3-P_3)]$ (154 mg, 0.4 mmol, 1 eq.) and TI $[PF_6]$ (140 mg, 0.4 mmol, 1 eq.) were mixed and dissolved in 6 mL of o -DFB. Ph₂PCI (88mg, 73 µL, 0.4 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 2 mL of toluene was added leading to a slow change of color to intense red and the precipitation of colorless solid. The mixture was stirred for 21 h and then left for the precipitate to settle. Afterwards, the solution was decanted and directly layered below 25 mL of *n*-hexane. Storage of this mixture for three days afforded **A1** as dark red crystalline blocks of X-ray quality.

Notably, A1 has been prepared with several other counter anions.^[16d] The procedure described here can be expanded to multigram scale.

Synthesis of [Cp'''Ni(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr ²)][PF6] (A2)

 $[Cp''Ni(\eta^3-P_3)]$ (154 mg, 0.4 mmol, 1 eq.) and TI $[PF_6]$ (140 mg, 0.4 mmol, 1 eq.) were mixed and dissolved in 10 mL of o -DFB. ^{*i*}Pr₂PCI (61mg, 63 µL, 0.4 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 2 mL of *o*-DFB was added leading to a slow change of color to red and the precipitation of colorless solid. The mixture was stirred for 3 d and then 30 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate a red solid*.* The supernatant was decanted, the solid dried and then 4 mL *o*-DFB were added. The red solution was filtered and then layered with 50 mL of *n*-hexane. This mixture was stored for 5 d at room temperature to afford **A2** as dark red crystals in X-ray quality. The procedure described here can be expanded to multigram scale.

Synthesis of [CpMo(CO)2(³ -P4Ph2)][OTf] (B1)

 $[ChMo(CO)₂(\eta³-P₃)]$ (1.00 g, 3.23 mmol, 1 eq.) and TI[OTf] (1.14 g, 3.23 mmol, 1 eq.) were mixed and dissolved in 15 mL of o -DFB. Ph₂PCI (0.58 mL, 3.23 mmol, 1 eq.) was added slowly leading to a slow change of color to yellow and the precipitation of colorless solid. The mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature, concentrated and precipitated by addition of *n*hexane (50 mL). The yellow precipitate was washed with *n*-hexane (5 mL) and dried under reduced pressure (10-3 mbar). Afterwards, the solid was dissolved in 50 mL of *o*-DFB, filtered through a glass fiber filter paper and dried under reduced pressure (10-3 mbar). Crystals of **B1** suitable for single crystal X-ray measurements were obtained by layering a concentrated solution of **B1** in *o*-DFB with *n*-hexane (30 mL).

Synthesis of [CpMo(CO)2(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2)][OTf] (B2)

 $[ChMo(CO)₂(\eta³-P₃)]$ (1.00 g, 3.23 mmol, 1 eq.) and TI[TEF] (3.78 g, 3.23 mmol, 1 eq.) were mixed and dissolved in 10 mL of o -DFB. [']Pr₂PCI (0.52 mL, 3.23 mmol, 1 eq.) was added slowly leading to a slow change of color to yellow and the precipitation of colorless solid. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature, concentrated and precipitated by addition of *n*-hexane (50 mL). The yellow precipitate was washed with *n*-hexane (5 mL) and dried under reduced pressure (10-3 mbar). Afterwards, the solid was dissolved in 20 mL of *o*-DFB, filtered through a glass fiber filter paper and precipitated again by addition of *n*-hexane (30 mL). The solvent was decanted and the yellow powder was dried under reduced pressure (10⁻³ mbar). Crystals of **B2** suitable for single crystal X-ray measurements were obtained by layering a concentrated solution of **B2** in CH₂Cl₂ with *n*-hexane (30 mL).

Synthesis of [Cp'''Ni(1:1-P4Ph2IDipp)][PF6] (1a)

A1 (1 g, 1.4 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB and IDipp (544 mg, 1.4 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 4 mL of *o*-DFB was added at -30 °C to afford an immediate change of color from dark red to yellowish brown. The solution was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for additional 2 h. Afterwards, the solution was constrained to 3 mL and 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate [Cp"'Ni(n^{1:1}-P₄Ph₂IDipp)][PF₆] (1a) as an olive green powder. The supernatant was decanted and the solid washed three times with 15 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure afforded **1a** as an olive green solid, which could be recrystallized from concentrated THF solutions layered with *n*-hexane and storage at room temperature.

Synthesis of [Cp'''Ni(1:1-P4Ph2I ⁱPr2Me2)][PF6] (1b)

A1 (1.43 g, 2 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 8 mL of THF and $I^iPr_2Me_2$ (380 mg, 2.1 mmol, 1.05 eq.) dissolved in 10 mL of THF was added at -80 °C to afford an immediate change of color from dark red to brownish red. The solution was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for additional 16 h. Afterwards, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the solid washed two times with 20 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure afforded [Cp"'Ni(n^{1:1}-P₄Ph₂I[']Pr₂Me₂)][PF₆] (1b) as a dark red solid, which could be recrystallized from concentrated THF solutions layered with *n*-hexane and storage at room temperature.

Synthesis of [Cp'''Ni(1:1-P⁴ ⁱPr ²IDipp)][PF6] (1c)

A2 (1.04 g, 1.6 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 8 mL of THF and IDipp (652 mg, 1.68 mmol, 1.05 eq.) dissolved in 10 mL of THF was added at -80 °C to afford an immediate change of color from dark red to brownish red. The solution was allowed to reach room temperature, leading to a change of color too yellowish brown, and stirred for additional 16 h. Afterwards, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the solid washed two times with 15 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure afforded [Cp"'Ni(n^{1:1}-P₄[']Pr₂|Dipp)][PF₆] (1c) as a dark yellowish brown solid, which could be recrystallized from concentrated *o*-DFB solutions layered with *n*-hexane and storage at room temperature.

Synthesis of [Cp'''Ni(1:1-P⁴ ⁱPr ²I ⁱPr2Me2)][PF6] (1d)

A2 (1.04 g, 1.6 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 8 mL of THF and I[']Pr₂Me₂ (302 mg, 1.68 mmol, 1.05 eq.) dissolved in 10 mL of THF was added at -80 °C to afford an immediate change of color from dark red to brownish red. The solution was allowed to reach room temperature, leading to a change of color too yellowish brown, and stirred for additional 16 h. Afterwards, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the solid washed three times with 15 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure afforded [Cp"'Ni(n^{1:1}-P₄'Pr₂I'Pr₂Me₂)][PF₆] (**1d**) as a dark brown solid, which could be recrystallized from concentrated *o*-DFB solutions layered with *n*hexane and storage at room temperature.

Synthesis of [CpMo(CO)2(³ -P4Ph2IDipp)][OTf] (2a)

B1 (570 mg, 0.88 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 15 mL of *o*-DFB and IDipp (341 mg, 0.88 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 5 mL of *o*-DFB was added at room temperature to afford an immediate change of color from yellow to orange. The solution was stirred for 16 h. Afterwards, the solution was constrained to 3 mL and 50 mL of *n*-pentane were added to precipitate [CpMo(CO)₂(n³-P₄Ph₂IDipp)][OTf] (2a) as an orange powder. The supernatant was decanted and the solid washed with 15 mL of *n*-pentane, each. Drying under reduced pressure afforded **2a** as an orange solid, which could be recrystallized from concentrated *o*-DFB solutions layered with *n*-hexane and storage at room temperature.

Synthesis of [CpMo(CO)2(³ -P4Ph2I ⁱPr2Me2)][OTf] (2b)

B1 (644 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 20 mL of THF and I[']Pr₂Me₂ (180 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) dissolved in 5 mL of THF was added at -80 °C to afford an immediate change of color from yellow to red. The solution was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for additional 16 h. Afterwards, the solvent was reduced *in vacuo* to 5 mL and *n*-pentane was added to precipitate an orange powder [CpMo(CO)₂(n³-P₄Ph₂I[']Pr₂Me₂)][OTf] (2b) which was washed with 20 mL of *n*-pentane. Drying under reduced pressure afforded (**2b**) as an orange solid, which could be recrystallized from concentrated THF solutions layered with *n*-hexane and storage at room temperature.

Synthesis of [CpMo(CO)2(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2IDipp)][TEF] (2c)

B2 (1.39 g, 1.0 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 15 mL of *o*-DFB and IDipp (390 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 5 mL of *o*-DFB was added at room temperature to afford an immediate change of color from yellow to red. The solution was stirred for 3 h. Afterwards, the solution was concentrated to 3 mL and 50 mL of *n*-hexane were added to precipitate $[{\sf CpMo}({\sf CO})_2(\eta^3-$ P₄'Pr₂IDipp)][TEF] (2c) as an orange powder. The supernatant was decanted and the solid washed with 15 mL of *n*-hexane, each. Drying under reduced pressure afforded **2c** as an orange solid, which could be recrystallized from concentrated *o*-DFB solutions layered with *n*hexane and storage at room temperature.

Synthesis of [CpMo(CO)2(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2I ⁱPr2Me2)][TEF] (2d)

B2 (1.39 g, 1.0 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 20 mL of THF and I'Pr₂Me₂ (180 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) dissolved in 5 mL of THF was added at -80 °C to afford an immediate change of color from yellow to red. The solution was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for additional 3 h. Afterwards, the solvent was removed *in vacuo.* 5 mL of *o*-DFB were added and then *n*-pentane was added to precipitate an orange powder $[{\sf CpMo}({\sf CO})_2(\eta^3-$ P₄'Pr₂I'Pr₂Me₂)][TEF] (2d) which was washed with 20 mL of *n*-hexane. Drying under reduced pressure afforded **2d** as an orange solid, which could be recrystallized from concentrated THF solutions layered with *n*-hexane and storage at room temperature.

Cyanolysis of $[Cp''']$ *Ni* $(\eta^{1.1} - P_4R_2|Dipp)$ $[PF_6]$ $(R = Ph, {}^iPr)$

1a/**1c** (663 mg (**1a**) or 621 mg (**1c**), 0.6 mmol, 1 eq.) and [Et4N][CN] (94 mg, 0.6 mmol, 1 eq.) were mixed and dissolved in 10 mL of THF, resulting in a slow change of color towards reddish brown. The mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 3 h and then the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and SiO_2 (ca. 10 g) were added. The solvent was removed again, and the resulting free flowing solid was transferred onto a column (SiO₂/nhexane, 2/20cm). Eluting with pure *n*-hexane yields a pale yellow and of [Cp'"Ni(n³-P₃)] which was discarded. In case of **1c** (R = *ⁱ*Pr), increasing the polarity to *n*-hexane/toluene (10:1) affords an additional small red band of the decomposition product $[{Cp''Ni}]_2(\mu, \eta^{2.2}-(PP^{i}Pr_2)_2]$ (4). Finally, a mixture of *n*-hexane/toluene (5:1) elutes the main products [{Cp"Ni}₂(µ, n^{1:1:1:1}-cyclo- $P_4(PR_2)$)] (**3a**: R = Ph, **3b**: R = ^{*i*}Pr) as dark brownish red bands. Simple removing the solvent *in vacuo* affords the respective crude product. While **4** can be obtained as a pure compound by recrystallization from concentrated *n*-hexane solutions at 4 °C, **3a**/**b** were further purified by layering concentrated solutions in toluene with acetonitrile and storage at 4 °C. Notably, the proposed byproduct of this reaction, namely IDippPCN, has not been described in the literature before. However, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of crude **3a** (Figure S 55) reveals a sharp singlet (δ = -122.4 ppm) in nearly perfect agreement with previously reported carbene supported cyano-phosphinidene species.^[23] such as I[']Pr₂Me₂PCN, which is formed during the preparation of **3b**.

3a:

The resolution of these ³¹P NMR spectra is not sufficient to reliably simulate the extremely complex spin system of compound **3a**, which is why the provision of coupling constants is neglected.

3b:

The resolution of these ³¹P NMR spectra is not sufficient to reliably simulate the extremely complex spin system of compound **3b**, which is why the provision of coupling constants is neglected.

4:

Reactivity of 1c towards KOEt

1c (207 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1eq.) was dissolved in 3 mL of THF and KOEt (18 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 3 mL of THF was added at -80 °C affording an immediate change of color to an intense turquoise. Upon letting the solution reach room temperature it changes in color via greenish brown to a brownish red. After stirring the solution at room temperature for 1 h the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. 20 mL of *n*-hexane were added to the solid to afford a brownish red solution (and a colorless solid), which was filtered and then constrained to 0.1 mL upon which [Cp"'Ni(n²-IDippPP(OEt)PP[']Pr₂)] (5a) started to precipitate. The mixture was dissolved in 4 mL of toluene, layered with 30 mL of acetonitrile and stored at 4 °C to afford **5a** as a crystalline material suitable for X-ray analysis. The crude product of **5a** can be obtained by completely removing the *n*-hexane after filtration and not performing the recrystallization.

Notably, the intermediate $5a_{INT}$ could not be isolated as a pure compound due to its rapid degradation above -10 °C. However, it was possible to study this highly sensitive species by means of low temperature NMR spectroscopy (*vide infra*). Furthermore, gas phase diffusion at -30 °C even allowed for the growth of a single crystal of 5a_{INT} and thus its crystallographic study.

5a

Reactivity of 2b towards KOEt

2b (82 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1eq.) was dissolved in 5 mL of THF and KOEt (9 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq.) dissolved in 3 mL of THF was added at -80 °C affording an immediate change of color from yellow to light red. Upon letting the solution reach room temperature it changes in color to an intense red. After stirring the solution at room temperature for 1 h the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The solid was washed with 20 mL of *n*-hexane and subsequently dried under reduced pressure (10 3 mbar). The solid was dissolved in 7 mL of toluene, filtered through a glass fiber filter paper, concentrated to 3 mL and stored at -30 °C to afford $[CPMo(CO)₂(\eta^{1:1}]-$ I *ⁱ*Pr2Me2PPP(OEt)PPh2)] (**6**) as a crystalline material suitable for X-ray analysis.

Reactivity of 1a towards [ECO]– salts (E = P, As)

1a (234 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 4 mL of THF and NaOCP•diox (34 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) or KAsCO (28 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 eq.) in 6 mL of THF was added at -80 °C affording a rapid change of color to intense red or dark brownish red, respectively. The solution was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and 30 mL of *n*-hexane added to the solid residue. The dark red solution was filtered, and the solvent removed afterwards affording $[Cp'''Ni(\eta^{1:1}-EP_4Ph_2|Dipp)]$ (7: E = P, 8: E = As) as dark red powders. Crystals of **7** could be obtained by gas phase diffusion of a saturated *n*-pentane solution into toluene at room temperature. In contrast, **8** can simply be recrystallized by layering a concentrated solution in Et₂O upon acetonitrile and storing the mixture at -30 $^{\circ}$ C yielding the compound as dark red needles suitable for X-ray analysis.

7:

Compound **7** could so far only be obtained in amounts of few single crystals by the method described above. Separation of bulk **7** from side products is hampered by the low stability of this compound and a matter of future investigations.

8:

31P-NMR (C_6D_6 , 300 K): δ ppm = -31.3 (m (br), significant broadening due to ⁿ J_{P-H} coupling, 1 P, P^A), -67.5 (m, ¹*J*PM-PX = 261 Hz, ²*J*PM-PY = 104 Hz, ³*J*PM-PA = 27 Hz, 1 P, P^M), -148.4 (m, ¹*J*PX-PM = 261 Hz, ¹*J*PX-PY = 220 Hz, ²*J*PX-PA = 62 Hz, 1 P, P^X), -151.6 (m, ¹*J*PY-PX = 220 Hz, ¹*J*PY-PA = 207 Hz, ²*J*PY-PM = 104 Hz, 1 P, P^Y)

12.5.2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

General Considerations

The crystallographic data for all synthesized compounds was collected either on an Xcalibur Gemini with an AtlasS2 detector using $Cu-K_{\alpha}$ radiation (A1) on a GV1000 diffractometer (AT) with a TitanS2 detector using Cu-K_a radiation (**B1, 3a, 5a, 6, 8**) or on a XtaLAB Synergy R, DW system with HyPix-Arc 150 detector applying Cu-Kα radiation (**A2**, **1a**, **1b**, **1c**, **1d**, **2a**, **2c**, **4, 5a_{INT}, 7**) from a rotating anode X-ray source. All measurements were performed at 123 K. Data collection, data reduction and absorption correction were performed with the CrysAlisPro software package.^[30] Structure solution and refinement was conducted in Olex2 (1.5 alpha)^[31] with ShelXT (solution)^[32] and ShelXL (refinement)^[33] (full-matric least-squares method against F^2). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters and hydrogen atoms were treated as riding models with isotropic displacement parameters and fixed C-H bond lengths (sp³: 0.96 (CH₃), 0.97 (CH₂), sp²: 0.93 (CH)). Visualization of the crystal structures was performed with Olex2.[31]

Compound	A1	A2	B1	1a
Empirical formula	$C_{29}H_{39}F_6P_5Ni$	$C23H43F6NiP5$	$C_{40}H_{30}F_6Mo_2O_{10}PsS_2$	$C_{112}H_{150}F_{12}N_4Ni_2P_{10}$
Formula weight	715.16	647.13	1288.40	2207.47
Temperature/K	293(2)	100.00(10)	123.15	210(120)
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/c$	\overline{P}	\overline{P}
$a/\text{\AA}$	10.4531(5)	15.5378(2)	10.3127(2)	17.5318(2)
b/\AA	24.9776(9)	13.9119(2)	10.7357(2)	17.6671(2)
$c/\text{\AA}$	13.0061(6)	14.4028(2)	24.2951(4)	19.0793(3)
α /°	90	90	88.244(2)	81.9830(10)
β /°	108.778(5)	104.226(2)	84.235(2)	86.0820(10)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	90	90	64.221(2)	85.5260(10)
Volume/ \AA^3	3215.1(3)	3017.84(8)	2409.61(8)	5824.07(13)
Z	4	4	2	2
$\frac{\rho_{\text{calc}} g}{\text{cm}^3}$	1.477	1.424	1.776	1.259
μ /mm ⁻¹	0.907	3.876	8.285	2.237
F(000)	1480.0	1352.0	1280.0	2328.0
Crystal size/mm ³	$1.027 \times 0.157 \times 0.118$ $0.35 \times 0.29 \times 0.12$		$0.2 \times 0.14 \times 0.12$	$0.49 \times 0.16 \times 0.11$
Radiation	Mo K α (λ = 0.71073) Cu K α (λ = 1.54184)		Cu Kα ($λ = 1.54184$)	Cu Kα ($λ = 1.54184$)
20 range for data collection/°	6.564 to 64.9	5.868 to 143.734	7.316 to 133.542	5.064 to 148.204
Index ranges	$-9 \le h \le 15$, $-37 \le k \le -18 \le h \le 18$, $-16 \le k$ $-12 \le h \le 12$, $-12 \le k$ $-19 \le h \le 21$, $-22 \le k$ $35, -19 \leq l \leq 18$	$≤ 16, -17 ≤ l ≤ 16$	\leq 12, -19 \leq \leq 28	\leq 21, -23 \leq 1 \leq 23
Reflections collected	18134	41024	40387	65155
Independent	10126 [$R_{int} = 0.0222$]	5810 [$R_{int} = 0.0580$,		8493 [$R_{int} = 0.0813$, 22252 [$R_{int} = 0.0184$,
reflections	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0466$	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0286$	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0462$	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0171$
Data/restraints/para meters	10126/0/376	5810/54/536	8493/605/844	22252/833/1942
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.037	1.051	1.026	1.179
Final R indexes	$R_1 = 0.0386$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0517$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0573$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0434$, w $R_2 =$
$[1>=2σ (1)]$	0.0760	0.1162	0.1523	0.1001
Final R indexes [all	$R_1 = 0.0585$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0586$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0626$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0455$, w $R_2 =$
data]	0.0842	0.1195	0.1602	0.1011
Largest diff. peak/hole / e \AA ⁻³	$0.66/-0.33$	$0.56/-0.69$	$0.91/-1.37$	$0.38/-0.28$

Table S 1: Crystallographic and refinement data for compounds A1, A2, B1 and 1a.

Table S 2: Crystallographic and refinement data for compounds 1b, 1c, 1d and 2a.

Table S 3: Crystallographic and refinement data for compounds 2c, 3a, 4 and 5a.

Compound	5a _{INT}	6	$\overline{7}$	8
Empirical formula	$C_{113}H_{189}N_4Ni_2O_2P_8$	C37.6H46.4MoN2O3P4	$C_{56}H_{75}N_2P_5Ni$	C60.5H84AsN3NiP4
Formula weight	2000.85	794.18	989.74	1110.81
Temperature/K	123.00(10)	159.15	123.01(10)	122.97(10)
Crystal system	triclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	\overline{P}	\overline{P}	$P2_1/c$	C2/c
$a/\text{\AA}$	13.8094(2)	12.1481(2)	22.6390(5)	25.2732(3)
b/\AA	20.4395(3)	12.4558(2)	10.4198(2)	10.53900(10)
$c/\text{\AA}$	21.9281(4)	14.9933(2)	24.9039(5)	45.1650(5)
α /°	96.889(2)	86.9260(10)	90	90
β /°	95.901(2)	81.9380(10)	111.938(2)	104.2430(10)
$\gamma/2$	105.303(2)	61.533(2)	90	90
Volume/ \AA^3	5868.08(17)	1974.46(6)	5449.3(2)	11660.1(2)
Z	2	2	$\overline{4}$	8
$\rho_{\rm calc}g/cm^3$	1.132	1.336	1.206	1.266
μ /mm ⁻¹	1.777	4.537	2.172	2.441
F(000)	2174.0	824.0	2112.0	4712.0
Crystal size/mm ³	$0.11 \times 0.04 \times 0.02$	$0.22 \times 0.18 \times 0.12$	$0.17 \times 0.03 \times 0.03$	$0.39 \times 0.12 \times 0.05$
Radiation	Cu Kα ($λ = 1.54184$)	Cu Kα (λ = 1.54184)	Cu Kα ($λ = 1.54184$)	Cu Kα ($λ = 1.54184$)
20 range for data collection/°	4.536 to 143.37	8.076 to 133.39	7.226 to 148.582	7.352 to 134.156
				$-16 \le h \le 16$, $-21 \le k \le -13 \le h \le 14$, $-14 \le k \le -27 \le h \le 25$, $-12 \le k \le -30 \le h \le 29$, $-12 \le k \le 20$
Index ranges	24, $-27 \le l \le 26$	14, $-17 \le l \le 16$	12, $-29 \le l \le 30$	11, $-53 \le l \le 53$
Reflections collected	71952	34276	33659	49384
Independent	22138 [$R_{int} = 0.0504$,	6945 [$R_{int} = 0.0478$]	10310 [$R_{int} = 0.0515$]	10287 [$R_{int} = 0.0486$]
reflections	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0762$	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0253$	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0538$	$R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0267$
Data/restraints/param eters	22138/114/1185	6945/0/397	10310/0/594	10287/271/709
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.028	1.058	1.067	1.043
Final R indexes [I>=2σ	$R_1 = 0.0588$, wR ₂ =	$R1 = 0.0303$, wR2 =	$R_1 = 0.0609$, wR ₂ =	$R_1 = 0.0346$, wR ₂ =
(I)	0.1394	0.0842	0.1677	0.0922
Final R indexes [all	$R_1 = 0.1087$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0309$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0789$, w $R_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0367$, w $R_2 =$
data]	0.1589	0.0847	0.1813	0.0939
Largest diff. peak/hole / $e \AA$ ⁻³	$0.65/-0.56$	$0.46/-0.80$	$1.26/-0.78$	$0.46/-0.41$

Table S 4: Crystallographic and refinement data for compounds $5a_{INT}$ *, 6, 7 and 8.*

[Cp'''Ni(³ -P4Ph2)][PF6] (A1)

A1 crystallizes as red blocks from concentrated solutions in *o*-DFB layered with *n*-hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days (Figure S1). **A1** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/n$ with one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit.

Figure S 1: Solid state structure of A1. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2)][PF6] (A2)

A2 crystallizes as dark red plates from concentrated solutions in *o*-DFB layered with *n*-hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days (Figure S2). **A2** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/n$ with one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 2: Solid state structure of A2. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P4Ph2)][OTf] (B1)

B1 crystallizes as light yellow blocks from concentrated solutions in *o*-DFB layered with *n*hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days (Figure S3). **B1** crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*̅ with two anions and two cations in the asymmetric unit. Disorders within the anions and the C_6H_5 group of the cation were treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 3: Solid state structure of B1. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P4Ph2IDipp)][PF6] (1a)

1a crystallizes as dark greenish brown sticks from concentrated solutions in THF layered with *n*-hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days (Figure S4). **1a** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/n$ with one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 4: Solid state structure of 1a. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P4Ph2I ⁱPr2Me2)][OTf] (1b[OTf])

1b crystallizes from concentrated solutions in THF layered with *n*-hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days. **1b** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pbcn* with one anion, one cation and one molecule of *n*-hexane in the asymmetric unit. However, the crystal quality of 1b could not be improved to a satisfactory limit. Thus, the $[PF_6]$ anion was exchanged for [OTf]: 1b^[OTf] also crystallizes from concentrated THF solutions layered with *n*-hexane and storage at room temperature forming brownish sticks (Figure S5). However, it crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pbca* with only an anion and a cation being present in the asymmetric unit.

Figure S 5: Solid state structure of 1b. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P⁴ ⁱPr ²IDipp)][PF6] (1c)

1c crystallizes as greenish plates from concentrated solutions in *o*-DFB layered with *n*-hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days (Figure S6). **1c** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2₁/n$ with one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit.

Figure S 6: Solid state structure of 1c. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P⁴ ⁱPr ²I ⁱPr2Me2)][PF6] (1d)

1d crystallizes as greenish brown plates from concentrated solutions in *o*-DFB layered with *n*hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days (Figure S7). **1d** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pna*2₁ with two anions, two cations and one molecule of *n*hexane in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints and the *n*-hexane was treated with a solvent mask.

Figure S 7: Solid state structure of 1d. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P4Ph2IDipp)][OTf] (2a)

2a crystallizes as light yellow plates from concentrated solutions in *o*-DFB layered with *n*hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days (Figure S8). **2a** crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*1̅ with one anion, one cation and two molecules *o*-DFB in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints. The *o*-DFB was treated with a solvent mask.

Figure S 8 Solid state structure of 2a. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2IDipp)][TEF] (2c)

2c crystallizes as light yellow plates from concentrated solutions in *o*-DFB layered with *n*hexane and upon storage at room temperature for several days (Figure S9). **2c** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* with one anion and one cation in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 9: Solid state structure of 2c. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[{Cp'''Ni}2(,1:1:1:1-cyclo-P4(PPh2)2)] (3a)

3a crystallizes as dark brownish red sticks from concentrated toluene solutions layered with acetonitrile and storage at 4 °C for several days (Figure S10). **3a** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *I*2/*a* with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 10: Solid state structure of 3a. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[{Cp'''Ni}2(,2:2-(PPⁱPr2)2)] (4)

4 crystallizes as clear red sticks by storing a concentrated solution in *n*-hexane at 4°C for 2 days (Figure S11). It crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1 with half of a molecule in the asymmetric unit.

Figure S 11: Solid state structure of 4 Depicted is the asymmetric unit and its symmetry equivalent, and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(² -IDippPP(OEt)PPⁱPr2)] (5a)

5a crystallizes as dark yellowish brown sticks from concentrated toluene solutions layered with acetonitrile and storage at 4 °C for several days (Figure S12). **5a** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* with one molecule, one acetonitrile and half of a molecule of *n*-hexane in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints and the *n*-hexane was treated with a solvent mask.

Figure S 12: Solid state structure of 5a. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-IDippPPP(OEt)PⁱPr2)] (5aINT)

5a_{INT} must be handled with great care as it decomposes at temperatures higher than -10 °C. 5a_{INT} crystallizes as dark turquoise platelets via inverse gas diffusion from a concentrated *n*hexane solution into toluene at -30 °C (Figure S13). It crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*¹ with two molecules and 1.5 *n*-hexane molecules in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints and the *n*-hexane was treated with a solvent mask.

Figure S 13: Solid state structure of $5a_{INT}$ *. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.*

[CpMo(CO)2(1:1-I ⁱPr2Me2PPP(OEt)PPh2)] (6)

6 crystallizes as intense orange blocks from concentrated toluene solutions and storage at 4 °C for several days (Figure S14). **6** crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*̅ with one molecule and 0.8 molecules of toluene in the asymmetric unit. The toluene was treated with a solvent mask. Disorders within the OEt group was treated with adequate restraints.

Figure S 14: Solid state structure of 6. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P5Ph2IDipp)] (7)

7 crystallizes as yellow needles via inverse gas diffusion from a concentrated *n*-hexane solution into toluene at room temperature (Figure S15). It crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P*21/*c* with one molecule in the asymmetric unit.

Figure S 15: Solid state structure of 7. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-AsP4Ph2IDipp)] (8)

8 crystallizes as dark red needles from concentrated Et₂O solutions layered with acetonitrile and storage at -30 °C for several days (Figure S16). **8** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *C*2/*c* with one molecule, half of a molecule of *n*-hexane and one acetonitrile molecule in the asymmetric unit. Disorder was treated with adequate restraints. Notably, the As atom is disordered across all three positions within the three membered ring. However, it occupies the unsubstituted position to 87%, while the others are equally occupied to 6/7%, each.

Figure S 16: Solid state structure of 8. Depicted is the asymmetric unit and ADPs (anisotropic displacement parameters) are drawn at 50 % probability.

12.5.3. NMR Spectroscopic Investigations

[Cp'''Ni(³ -P4Ph2)][PF6] (A1)

Figure S 17: ¹H NMR spectrum of A1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2.

Figure S 18: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectrum of A1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

Figure S 19: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of A1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[Cp'''Ni(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2)][PF6] (A2)

Figure S 20: ¹H NMR spectrum of A2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2.

Figure S 21: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectrum of A2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

Figure S 22: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of A2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P4Ph2)][OTf] (B1)

Figure S 23: ¹H NMR spectrum of B1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2.

*Figure S 24: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectrum of B1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum. * = residual [CpMo(CO)2(3 -P3)] (3 %).*

Figure S 25: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of B1 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature. : residual o-DFB.
[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2)][TEF] (B2)

Figure S 26: ¹H NMR spectrum of B2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2.

Figure S 27: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectrum of B2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

Figure S 28: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of B2 in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P4Ph2IDipp)][PF6] (1a)

Figure S 29: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1a in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2.

Figure S 30: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 1a in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

Figure S 31: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1a in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P4Ph2I ⁱPr2Me2)][PF6] (1b)

Figure S 32: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1b in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2, several small unassigned signals mark trace impurities of [IⁱPr2Me2H]⁺ .

*Figure S 33: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 1b in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum; * marks one of the lines of the [PF6] - signal.*

Figure S 34: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1b in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P⁴ ⁱPr ²IDipp)][PF6] (1c)

Figure S 35: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1c in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2.

*Figure S 36: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 1c in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum; * marks lines of the [PF6] - signal.*

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P⁴ ⁱPr ²I ⁱPr2Me2)][PF6] (1d)

Figure S 38: ¹H NMR spectrum of 1d in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2, several small unassigned signals mark trace impurities of IⁱPr2Me2H⁺ .

*Figure S 39: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 1d in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum; * marks lines of the [PF6] - signal.*

Figure S 40: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1d in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P4Ph2IDipp)][OTf] (2a)

*Figure S 41: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2a in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2. * = trace impurities of [IDippH]⁺ .*

*Figure S 42: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 2a in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum. * = residual [CpMo(CO)2(3 -P3)] (<1 %).*

Figure S 43: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2a in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature. = residual o-DFB.

[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P4Ph2I ⁱPr2Me2)][OTf] (2b)

*Figure S 44: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2b in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD*₂*Cl*₂*.* $* =$ *trace impurities of [I^{<i>i*} *Pr*₂*Me*₂*H*]^{*+*}*.*

Figure S 45: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 2b in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured $3^{1}P$ *^{<i>f*} H *} NMR spectrum.* $* =$ *residual [CpMo(CO)₂(* n^{3} *-P₃)] (<1 %).*

Figure S 46: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2b in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2IDipp)][TEF] (2c)

*Figure S 47: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2c in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD2Cl2. * = trace impurities of [IDippH]⁺ .*

Figure S 48: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 2c in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured $3^{1}P$ *^{<i>f*} H *} NMR spectrum.* $* =$ *residual [CpMo(CO)₂(* n^{3} *-P₃)] (<1 %).*

Figure S 49: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2c in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[CpMo(CO)2(³ -P⁴ ⁱPr2I ⁱPr2Me2)][TEF] (2d)

*Figure S 50: ¹H NMR spectrum of 2d in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of CD*₂*Cl*₂*.* $* =$ *trace impurities of [I^{<i>i*} *Pr*₂*Me*₂*H*]^{*+*}*.*

Figure S 51: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P NMR spectrum of 2d in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured $3^{1}P$ *^{<i>f*} H *} NMR spectrum.* $* =$ *residual [CpMo(CO)₂(* n^{3} *-P₃)] (<1 %).*

Figure S 52: ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2d in CD2Cl² recorded at room temperature.

[{Cp'''Ni}2(,1:1:1:1-cyclo-P4(PPh2)2)] (3a)

Figure S 53: ¹H NMR spectrum of 3a in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of C6D6.

Figure S 54: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectra of 3a in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) and simulated (inverted) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum.

*Figure S 55: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture of 3a; The signal marked with * corresponds to proposed IDippPCN and • marks the signal of [Cp'''Ni(* η *³-P₃)].*

[{Cp'''Ni}2(,1:1:1:1-cyclo-P4(PⁱPr2)2)] (3b)

Figure S 56: ¹H NMR spectrum of 3b in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of C6D6.

Figure S 57: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectra of 3b in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) and simulated (inverted) 31P{1H} NMR spectrum.

[{Cp'''Ni}2(,2:2-(PPⁱPr2)2)] (4)

Figure S 58: ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of C6D6.

Figure S 59: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectra of 4 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) and simulated (inverted) 31P{1H} NMR spectrum.

[Cp'''Ni(² -IDippPP(OEt)PPⁱPr2)] (5a)

Figure S 60: ¹H NMR spectrum of 5a in C_6D_6 *recorded at room temperature;* \cdot *= residual solvent signal of* C_6D_6 *, * = residual MeCN from the dissolved crystals.*

*Figure S 61: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectra of 5a in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum; * = rotational isomer of 5a.*

As to get further insight into the intriguing color change during the synthesis of **5a**, variable temperature ³¹P NMR spectroscopy was employed. Dissolving **1c** (52 mg, 0.05 mmol) and KOEt (5 mg, 0.05 mmol) in 0.6 mL of THF- a^6 affords a dark turquoise solution of 5a_{INT} which was then subjected to NMR spectroscopy (Figure S62). As complete dissolution of KOEt was not possible under the given conditions (the NMR tube was shaken several times outside the cold bath to guarantee it not warming up beyond -80 °C), some staring material **1c** is still present within the mixture. The formation of $5a_{INT}$ appears to be immediate as indicated by its

sole presence in the ³¹P NMR spectra at -80 °C. Furthermore $5a_{INT}$ appears to be temperature stable up to -20 °C at which it slowly starts to rearrange to **5a**. This rearrangement process drastically speeds up upon further warming the sample to 0 °C and then room temperature, at which there is only product **5a** left in solution.

Figure S 62: ³¹P (bottom) and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of the reaction of 1c (52 mg, 0.05 mmol) with KOEt (5 mg, 0.05 mmol) intermediately forming 5a_{INT}, which rapidly rearranges at around -10 °C to afford 5a; Enlarged signals of *5aINT at -80 °C (top); marks residual starting material 1c.*

Reactivity studies of 1a towards KOEt

Similar to the synthesis of **5a** the addition of one equivalent of KOEt to a THF solution of 1a at -80 °C results in a color change to dark green. Variable temperature ³¹P NMR spectroscopy was employed to elucidate the nature of this color change. Dissolving **1a** (59 mg, 0.05 mmol) and KOEt (5 mg, 0.05 mmol) in 0.6 mL of THF- d_8 affords a dark intense green solution of 5b_{INT} which was then subjected to NMR spectroscopy (Figure S63). Interestingly, the $31P$ NMR spectrum of this solution reveals two sets of signals, whose spin systems are however extremely similar. Thus, and in comparison to the ³¹P NMR spectrum of $5a_{INT}$ both spin systems are attributed to isostructural (to $5a_{INT}$) species $5b_{INT}$. However, $5b_{INT}$ appears to form two distinct isomers, **5b**_{INT1} and **5b**_{INT2}, which are most probably related by either rotation around a P–P bond or are diastereomers arising from the stereochemistry at the P_4 chain. Nevertheless, both isomers are only stable up to a temperature of ca. -40 °C. Warming the solution beyond this temperature leads to rapid rearrangement resulting in the formation of **5b** at -20 °C, which is completed already at 0 °C. While this reaction appears to be reasonably selective, isolation and thus characterization of **5b** could not be achieved within the time frame of this thesis. However, small signals, which may be attributed to a compound isostructural to **5a**, namely **5b_{INT3}**, can be found in the ³¹P NMR spectra between -60 °C and -40 °C. This suggests further rearrangement of the *catena*-P⁴ ligand (found in **5a**) to the yet unidentified final product **5b**.

12 – The Metal Matters: Transition Metal Dependent Functionalization of Cationic Polyphosphorus Ligand Complexes

Figure S 63: ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction of 1a (59 mg, 0.05 mmol) with KOEt (5 mg, 0.05 mmol) intermediately forming two isomers of 5b_{INT}, which rapidly rearrange at around -40 °C to finally afford 5b at room temperature; Enlarged signals of both isomers $5b_{INT1}$ *and* $5b_{INT2}$ *at -80 °C* (top); * marks the signals associated with $5b_{IN3}$.

[CpMo(CO)2(1:1-I ⁱPr2Me2PPP(OEt)PPh2)] (6)

6 could so far only be isolated in poor yields prohibiting its complete spectroscopic characterization. NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture allowed the assignment of **6** but spectra (*vide supra*) of reasonable quality could not yet be obtained.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-P5Ph2IDipp)] (7)

While single crystals of **7** could be obtained (*vide supra*), separation of **7** as a bulk material from side products could not be achieved within the time frame of this thesis. Thus, spectroscopic data of reasonable quality cannot be provided so far.

[Cp'''Ni(1:1-AsP4Ph2IDipp)] (8)

*Figure S 64: ¹H NMR spectrum of 10 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature; = residual solvent signal of C6D6, * = residual MeCN from the dissolved crystals.*

Figure S 65: ³¹P{¹H} (bottom) and ³¹P (middle) NMR spectra of 10 in C6D⁶ recorded at room temperature as well as enlarged signals within the measured (top) and simulated (inverted) 31P{1H} NMR spectrum.

12.6. References

- [1] A. F. Holleman, N. Wiberg, *Lehrbuch der anorganischen Chemie*, De Gruyter, Berlin (DE), **2007**, 143.
- [2] a) F. Mathey, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 1578–1604; b) K. B. Dillon, F. Mathey, J. F. Nixon, *Phosphorus*. *The carbon copy: from organophosphorus to phospha-organic chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, New York, Weinheim, Brisbane, Singapore, Toronto, **2022**.
- [3] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [4] D. J. Scott, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2022**, *61*, e202205019.
- [5] a) B. M. Cossairt, N. A. Piro, C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4164–4177; b) M. Caporali, L. Gonsalvi, A. Rossin, M. Peruzzini, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4178–4235; c) L. Giusti, V. R. Landaeta, M. Vanni, J. A. Kelly, R. Wolf, M. Caporali, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *441*, 213927–214019; d) C. M. Hoidn, D. J. Scott, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 1886–1902.
- [6] a) M. Scheer, G. Balázs, A. Seitz, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 4236–4256; b) D. J. Scott, J. Cammarata, M. Schimpf, R. Wolf, *Nat. Chem.* **2021**, *13*, 458–464.
- [7] M. Donath, K. Schwedtmann, T. Schneider, F. Hennersdorf, A. Bauzá, A. Frontera, J. J. Weigand, *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 384–391.
- [8] U. Lennert, P. B. Arockiam, V. Streitferdt, D. J. Scott, C. Rödl, R. M. Gschwind, R. Wolf, *Nat. Cat.* **2019**, *2*, 1101–1106.
- [9] a) J. Svara, N. Weferling, T. Hofmann in *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley, **2003**; b) G. Bettermann, W. Krause, G. Riess, T. Hofmann in *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley, **2003**.
- [10]a) S. Reichl, E. Mädl, F. Riedlberger, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 5774; b) S. Reichl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 3834– 3838; c) S. Reichl, F. Riedlberger, M. Piesch, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 7285–7290.
- [11]S. Wang, J. D. Sears, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, M. L. Neidig, J. S. Figueroa, *Science* **2022**, *375*, 1393–1397.
- [12]E. Urnius, W. W. Brennessel, C. J. Cramer, J. E. Ellis, P. v. R. Schleyer, *Science* **2002**, *295*, 832–834.
- [13]C. Riesinger, F. Dielmann, R. Szlosek, A. V. Virovets, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202218828.
- [14]a) O. J. Scherer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990**, *29*, 1104–1122; b) O. J. Scherer, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *32*, 751–762.
- [15]a) F. Riedlberger, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 13836–13839; b) L. Zimmermann, C. Riesinger, G. Balazs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, e202301974.
- [16]a) G. Capozzi, L. Chiti, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 1799–1800; b) M. Di Vaira, P. Stoppioni, S. Midollini, F. Laschi, P. Zanello, *Polyhedron* **1991**, *10*, 2123–2129; c) E. Mädl, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7702–7707; d) C. Riesinger, L. Dütsch, G. Balázs, M.

Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 17165–17170; e) M. Piesch, S. Reichl, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 15101–15108.

- [17]a) C. G. P. Ziegler, T. M. Maier, S. Pelties, C. Taube, F. Hennersdorf, A. W. Ehlers, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1302–1308; b) C. G. P. Ziegler, F. Hennersdorf, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2020**, *646*, 552–557; c) M. Piesch, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 6745–6751; d) C. Riesinger, A. Erhard, M. Scheer, *Chem. Commun.* **2023**, *59*, 10117–10120; e) C. M. Hoidn, K. Trabitsch, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, e202301930.
- [18]a) E. Mädl, M. V. Butovskii, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7643–7646; b) F. Riedlberger, S. Todisco, P. Mastrorilli, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 16251–16255; c) C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 23879–23884; d) C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 13037–13044.
- [19]a) U. Chakraborty, J. Leitl, B. Mühldorf, M. Bodensteiner, S. Pelties, R. Wolf, *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 3693–3697; b) S. B. Dinauer, M. Piesch, R. Szlosek, M. Seidl, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202300459.
- [20]a) C. M. Hoidn, T. M. Maier, K. Trabitsch, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18931–18936; b) A. K. Adhikari, C. G. P. Ziegler, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18584–18590.
- [21]O. J. Scherer, H. Sitzmann, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, *268*, C9-C12.
- [22]P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem A* **2015**, *119*, 2326–2337.
- [23]Y. Mei, Z. Yan, L. L. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 1517–1522.
- [24]a) F. F. Puschmann, D. Stein, D. Heift, C. Hendriksen, Z. A. Gal, H.-F. Grützmacher, H. Grützmacher, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8420–8423; b) A. R. Jupp, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10248-10251.
- [25]a) A. Hinz, J. M. Goicoechea, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 15515–15519; b) S. Yao, Y. Grossheim, A. Kostenko, E. Ballestero-Martínez, S. Schutte, M. Bispinghoff, H. Grützmacher, M. Driess, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 7465–7469.
- [26]*https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator*, (07.08.2023).
- [27]A. J. Arduengo, R. Krafczyk, R. Schmutzler, H. A. Craig, J. R. Goerlich, W. J. Marshall, M. Unverzagt, *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 14523-14534.
- [28]N. Kuhn, T. Kratz, *Synth.* **1993**, *6*, 561-562.
- [29]M. Gonsior, I. Krossing and N. Mitzel, *Z. anorg. allg. Chem.*, **2002**, *628*, 1821–1830.
- [30]Agilent (**2014**). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.
- [31]O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339-341.
- [32]G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8.
- [33]a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. C* **2015**, *71*, 3-8; b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2008**, *64*, 112-122.

13. Conclusion

In conclusion, this thesis tackles the challenge of expanding the chemistry of polypnictogen cations within three distinct categories. Chapters $3 - 8$ systematically established the functionalization of neutral *cyclo*-P_n (n = 3, 4, 5, 8) ligand complexes with cationic electrophiles as one of the most versatile synthetic entries into cationic polyphosphorus chemistry. Without nearly any exceptions,^[1] this approach has previously been overlooked. Chapters 9 and 10 expanded the redox chemistry of As_n ligand complexes. While the oxidation of Pn_n ligand complexes has already been employed to access polypnictogen cations,^[2] most of the earlier results were obtained for P_n ligand systems. Lastly, chapters 11 and 12 targeted the reactivity of the most accessible of the above cationic species towards nucleophiles. Again, this reactivity has barely been explored previously,^[3] but demonstrated itself as a useful tool to access highly complex polyphosphorus architectures within this thesis.

The cyclo-P₃ complex $[Cp''Ni(\eta^3-P_3)]$,^[4] which is isolobal to P_4 ,^[5] reacted with phosphenium cations $[R_2P]^+$ ($R =$ alkyl, aryl, halide) under ring expansion and formation of *cyclo*- P_4R_2 units (Scheme 1). Notably, similar reactivity was observed for an isoelectronic molybdenum complex. However, reacting these complexes with strong neutral nucleophiles, such as NHCs, resulted in completely different products. While the P_4R_2 structural motif in the Ni system rearranged to a substituted *cyclo-P*₃ unit with an exocyclic phosphino residue, the one in the Mo system underwent ring opening and formation of a *catena*-P⁴ ligand. The respective products were then investigated with regard to their further nucleophilic functionalization. However, only the Ni system provided a variety of complexly functionalized neutral polyphosphorus species, so far. Yet, initial results on the Mo system again hint towards the drastic influence of the transition metal on the product formed.

The insertion reactivity of phosphenium cations developed for the *cyclo*-P³ ligands above was then transferred to the *cyclo*-P₄ compounds $[Cp^{R}Ta(CO)₂(\eta^{4}-P₄)]$ ($Cp^{R} = Cp'',^{[6]} Cp''',^{[7]}$ Scheme 2). Again ring expansion and thus the formation of *cyclo-P₅R₂* ligands could be observed. However, when the heavier congeners, namely arsenium and stibenium cations, were used, the products displayed substitution reactivity and lead to functionalized *cyclo-P₄* ligands. In case of the arsenium species, an intriguing equilibrium between solid state structure and spectroscopic behavior in solution could be observed.

Scheme 1: Reactivity of [Cp"'Ni(η ³-P₃)] and an isostructural Mo complex towards phosphenium cations and the *reactivity of the resulting products towards carbenes and anionic nucleophiles.*

Scheme 2: Reactivity of a cyclo-P⁴ complex towards phosphenium cations and their heavier analogs, arsenium and stibenium cations.

Further increasing the ring size to *cyclo*-P⁵ ligands completely shut down the insertion reactivity of phosphenium cations observed beforehand (see chapter 6). Instead, the functionalization of $[Cp^*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]^{[8]}$ with p-block electrophiles yielded a plethora of substituted pentaphosphole ligand complexes (Scheme 3). While these species have previously been synthetically inaccessible the stabilization invoked by the coordination to the {Cp*Fe}⁺ fragment even allowed the preparation of the parent pentaphosphole compound bearing a proton substituent (*cyclo*-P5H). Investigating the reactivity of the simpler carbon substituted derivative $[Cp*Fe(n^5-P₅Me)]$ [OTf] towards carbenes and their analogs showcased the unprecedented reactivity potential of these compounds in polyphosphorus chemistry. It provided easy access to further substituted and contracted P_5R_2 and P_4R_2 species, respectively. However, an expansion reaction leading to elaborate P_{10} as well as P_{11} scaffolds could also be identified. Lastly, the reactivity of the neutral $[Cp*Fe(\eta^5-P_5)]$ towards cationic transition metal compounds was also explored. This not only allowed the preparation of a serious of triple-decker complexes but even granted access to novel *cyclo*-P⁵ bearing quadruple-decker compounds.

*Scheme 3: Synthesis of pentaphosphole complexes from [Cp*Fe(* η *⁵-P₅)] even including the parent compound (top right), reactivity of these pentaphosphole complexes towards carbenes ad their analoga (bottom right) and synthesis of novel triple-decker as well as quadruple-decker complexes (left).*

Scheme 4: Reactivity of a cyclo-P⁸ complex upon coordination, arsenium functionalization, oxidation, and reduction.

The cyclo-P₈ ligand in $[{Cp}'''Ta}_2(\mu, \eta^{2:2:2:2:1:1} - P_8)]$ is, to the best of our knowledge, the largest monocyclic polyphosphorus species reported to date. Its´ preparation also allowed the assessment of its basic modes of reactivity (Scheme 4). Thus, it was shown to readily coordinate to {W(CO)5} fragments and easily be functionalized with an arsenium cation. Furthermore, exploring the redox reactivity of this unprecedented compound gave rise to a cationic complex bearing a P¹⁶ ligand, which represents the largest cationic polyphosphorus species known to date. Similarly, reduction also leads to dimerization but concomitant P–P bond cleavage, thus resulting in a complex bearing a *catena*-P₁₆ ligand.

In contrast, the redox reactivity of the *cyclo*-As₃ complex $[Cp'''Ni(n³-As₃)]^[9]$ results in fragmentation reactions. This provided access to a series of $As₃$ triple-decker complexes in three different redox states (Scheme 5). Interestingly, one of the As–As bonds in the respective anion undergoes stepwise cleavage upon oxidation. A similar trend has previously been observed for the isostructural P_3 complex.^[4]

Scheme 5: Redox reactivity of an end-deck cyclo-As³ complex yielding ionic triple-decker complexes upon fragmentation and synthesis of the neutral derivative via oxidation of the anion or reduction of the cation.

*Scheme 6: Redox reactivity of a {Cp*Fe} stabilized As⁶ prismane complex.*

Lastly, the redox reactivity of an $As₆$ prismane complex with three ${CD[*]Fe}$ units was explored. While the different redox states only lead to minor structural changes, the compound showed electrochemical stability over five distinct redox states, of which four could even be realized and isolated synthetically (Scheme 6).

With the above results in mind, future research on polypnictogen cations should focus on several key aspects. While P_n ligand complexes with $n = 3 - 5$ and 8 could successfully be functionalized with cationic electrophiles simple P² as well as *cyclo*-P⁶ ligand in hexaphosphabenzene complexes have not been part of this thesis. While the former of these two could recently be realized,^[10] the latter still needs to be explored. On the other hand, the oxidation of neutral polypnictogen complexes continues to prove itself as a valuable tool to access polypnictogen cations. Further exploration of this synthetic avenue may involve other As_n as well as larger Sb_n ligand complexes, such as $[\mathsf{Cp*Fe(n^{5}\text{-}As_5)}]^{[11]}$ or $[\{\mathsf{Cp''Mo}\}_2(\mu, n^{5:5}\text{-}$ Sb₅).^[12] Finally, the availability of more and more transition metal stabilized polypnictogen cations provides the basis for studies towards their nucleophilic functionalization. The two distinct studies within this thesis highlight the extraordinary potential of this pathway towards polyphosphorus species revealing yet unprecedented molecular and electronic structures. Future work could focus on the reactivity of larger polyphosphorus cations and especially polyarsenic and -antimony species.

References

- [1] a) G. Capozzi, L. Chiti, M. Di Vaira, M. Peruzzini, P. Stoppioni, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 1799–1800; b) M. Di Vaira, P. Stoppioni, S. Midollini, F. Laschi, P. Zanello, *Polyhedron* **1991**, *10*, 2123–2129; c) M. Weber, G. Balázs, A. V. Virovets, E. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 3920–3933.
- [2] a) M. V. Butovskiy, G. Balázs, M. Bodensteiner, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, J. Sutter, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2972–2976; b) M. Fleischmann, F. Dielmann, L. J. Gregoriades, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, S. Huber, A. Y. Timoshkin, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13110–13115; c) M. Fleischmann, F. Dielmann, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 15248– 15251; d) L. Dütsch, M. Fleischmann, S. Welsch, G. Balázs, W. Kremer, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3256–3261; e) L. Dütsch, C. Riesinger, G. Balázs, M. Seidl, M. Scheer, *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 14531–14539.
- [3] A. K. Adhikari, C. G. P. Ziegler, K. Schwedtmann, C. Taube, J. J. Weigand, R. Wolf, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18584–18590.
- [4] E. Mädl, G. Balázs, E. V. Peresypkina, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7702–7707.
- [5] R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1982**, *21*, 711–724.
- [6] O. J. Scherer, R. Winter, G. Wolmershäuser, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1993**, *619*, 827– 835.
- [7] F. Dielmann, E. V. Peresypkina, B. Krämer, F. Hastreiter, B. P. Johnson, M. Zabel, C. Heindl, M. Scheer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 14833–14837.
- [8] O. J. Scherer, T. Brück, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 59.
- [9] M. Piesch, S. Reichl, C. Riesinger, M. Seidl, G. Balazs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 9129–9140.
- [10] L. Zimmermann, C. Riesinger, G. Balazs, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2023**, e202301974.
- [11] O. J. Scherer, C. Blath, G. Wolmershäuser, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, *387*, C21-C24.
- [12] H. J. Breunig, N. Burford, R. Rösler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4148–4150.

14. Appendices

14.1. Abbreviations

14.2. Acknowledgements

Finally, I want to thank:

- Prof. Dr. Manfred Scheer, for providing me the opportunity to work on this fascinating topic, a positive and thriving research environment in his group, his scientific mentorship and the possibility to perform international research stays as well as attend national and international conferences.
- Prof. Dr. Nikolaus Korber (Zweitgutachter), Prof. Dr. Frank-Michael Matysik (Drittprüfer) and Apl. Prof. Dr. Rainer Müller (Vorsitz) for chairing the examination committee.
- Prof. Dr. Neil Burford at the University of Victoria (BC, Canada) for accepting me into his group for a research stay during my Msc studies. The experience I gained from this stay invaluably benefited my later research and personal development.
- Prof. Dr. T. Don Tilley at the University of California, Berkeley for accepting me into his group for a research stay during the summer in 2023. I learned so much about chemistry and beyond in these short three months. Thanks also to the whole Tilley group and especially my lab mates Matt See and Jose Martinez Fernandez who kindly introduced me into their chemistry as well as the city of Berkeley and its surroundings.
- Dr. Gábor Balázs for all the discussions about chemistry (accompanied by nice coffee or a cold beer), your help with spectroscopic data and your assistance with any other problems beyond that. I especially want to thank you for the countless hours you invested in helping me to learn useful DFT methods.
- Dr. Michael Bodensteiner for all the time you spent on helping and training me on Xray crystallographic problems. Without your help I would have never been able to conduct the research in this thesis.
- My lab mate and former lab supervisor Dr. Luis Dütsch, who trained me during my BSc and MSc theses and taught me all the necessary synthetic skills for conducting research on highly sensitive compounds. Thank you for all the discussions and advice on chemistry, life in general and especially for simply all the good times we had in- and outside the lab.
- My former MSc student and now lab mate Lisa Zimmermann for the great vibe in the lab, numerous discussions on chemistry and all your valuable input when it comes to graphical design. However, I especially want to thank you for all the great time beyond the lab, be it high up in the alps or just climbing the local rocks in close-by Schönhofen.

You are the greatest climbing partner I could imagine and the memories of our adventures are some of the best I have.

- My former MSc student and now lab mate Maximilian Widmann for even more great vibe in our "cations" lab. Thanks for all your input, your help in the lab and especially all the Bavarian sayings you do not hesitate to recite. They often really made my day and helped to keep a good mood around the lab even when the chemistry did not work out as planned.
- My BSc students Alexander Erhard, Philip Blank, Adrian Stadler and Jan Wieneke for all your commitment and great ideas. Without your hard work most of the projects in this thesis would not have been possible.
- Dr. Michael Seidl for your assistance with X-ray crystallographic data, when I was at my wits end.
- Prof. Dr. Ingo Krossing and Prof. Dr. Fabian Dielmann for two fruitful collaborations (chapters 7 and 8, respectively).
- All past and present members of the Scheer group for the great working environment and enriching scietific exchange, including Bijan, Felix, Helena, Kevin, Julian, Lena, Lisa, Luis, Lukas, Maria, Martin, Martin, Matthias, Maximilian, Mehdi, Michael Robert, Sabrina, Sarah, Sophie, Stephan, Tania, Thach and Veronika. A special thanks goes to the technicians Martina, Petra, Lukas and Sophie for all your help on preparing even the most complicated starting materials and secretary Mina Pesé for always helping me with any kind of bureaucratic challenges.
- All staff members at the analytical facilities including Dr. Michael Bodensteiner, Dr. Stefanie Gärtner, Birgit Hischa, Sabine Stempfhuber, Florian Meurer, Charmaine Berner and Angelika Hinterberger (X-ray crystallography), Dr. Ilya Shenderovich, Fritz Kastner, Tuan Anh Nguyen and Annette Schramm (NMR spectroscopy), Dr. Thomas Herl, Josef Kiermaier and Wolfgang Söllner (mass spectrometry), and Helmut Schüller and Barbara Baumann (elemental analysis), as well as the members of the glass blowing workshop at the University of Regensburg.
- The Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes e. V. for financial as well as ideational support throughout the time frame of this thesis.
- All of my friends for the great time we had and the memories we made. Without any of you it would not have been the same. Thanks for all your support even in when times were not the most joyful (especially during COVID-19).
- Kati, a friend I thought I lost. Thank you for returning into my life. You make every single day of it a special one to me.
- My family for always having my back, for your endless support and enabling me to pursue the path I am on. You are the best!