Check for updates

Reconfirmation of Solubility of C_2^{2-} in Liquid Ammonia: Syntheses and Crystal Structures of the Tetrahedral Acetylide Ammoniates $[Zn(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$, $[Cd(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$ and $[In(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]^{-}$

Marina Kaas^{+, [b]} Melanie Werker^{+, [a]} Nikolaus Korber,^{*[b]} and Uwe Ruschewitz^{*[a]}

Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Josef Breu on the occasion of his 60th birthday

The new compounds with the sum formulae $[Cs([18]crown-6)]_2[Zn(C_2H)_4] \cdot 8NH_3$, $Rb_3[Rb([18]crown-6)(NH_3)][Cd(C_2H)_4]_2 \cdot \{[18] crown-6 \cdot 2NH_3\} \cdot NH_3$ and $[Cs([2.2.2]crypt)][ln(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]$ were synthesized in liquid ammonia. The anionic units show tetrahedrally coordinated central atoms with four hydrogenacetylide ligands or one C_2H^- and three $C_6H_5^-$ ligands. Solid state starting materials for these ligands were either AC_2H (A=Rb, Cs) or Cs_2C_2 . The fact that the indium compound contains a hydrogenacetylide ligand, which was formed from Cs_2C_2 , confirms again the equilibrium of the species C_2H_2 , C_2H^- and $C_2^{2^-}$ in liquid ammonia and again proves that acetylides slowly dissolve in this medium.

Introduction

Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry

meine Chemi

Zeitschrift für

Based on the excellent work of the french chemist and nobel prize winner H. Moissan, alkali metal acetylides have been well-known for more than 100 years. In a first step, he synthesized alkali metal hydrogenacetylides by reacting alkali metals dissolved in liquid ammonia with acetylene. In a second step, hydrogenacetylides were then converted into the corresponding alkali metal acetylides by heating in vacuo.^[1] These

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/zaac.202100191

compounds have been obtained in polycrystalline form and were structurally characterized in detail predominantly via x-ray powder diffraction experiments.^[2] In general these compounds are insoluble in common solvents.^[3] However, during the synthesis of KCuC₂ a possible solubility of the acetylides in liquid ammonia was observed for the first time. A suspension of potassium acetylide and copper iodide reacts at low temperatures of -78 °C within minutes to yield KCuC₂.^[4] Based on this observation, the solubility of acetylides in liquid ammonia was investigated in more detail. By dissolving the acetylides K_2C_2 , Rb₂C₂ and Cs₂C₂ in liquid ammonia, it was possible to obtain single crystals of these acetylides as ammoniates with the compositions K₂C₂·2NH₃, Rb₂C₂·2NH₃ and Cs₂C₂·7NH₃. Additionally, an equilibrium between acetylene, hydrogenacetylide and acetylide in liquid ammonia was postulated because also the crystallization of [Li(NH₃)₄]C₂H was observed. The hydrogenacetylide anion $C_{2}H^{-}$ in the compound was formed in solution from the stating material Li_2C_2 .^[5] In addition to the already mentioned ternary alkali metal copper acetylides,^[4] many ternary alkali metal transition metal acetylides have been reported for d¹⁰ configured metals like Pd(0),^[6,7,8] Pt(0),^[6,7,8] Ag(I)^[9] and Au(I).^[10] All of them show a linear coordination of the d¹⁰ metal by end-on bound acetylide anions leading to chain-type structural fragments.^[11] Extending the central atoms to Zn(II) or Cd(II) a tetrahedral coordination sphere and the formation of 3D networks seemed to be conceivable. Recently a compound of the composition $Cs_2Cd(C_2H)_2(C_2)$ has been presented. This compound is synthesized from caesium tetraethinylocadmate^[12] via two different routes - on the one hand by heating to 200 °C in an argon atmosphere and on the other hand under ammonothermal conditions.^[13] The starting material $Cs_2Cd(C_2H)_4$ and its analogues^[12,14,15,16] are well-known and contain isolated $[M^{II}(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$ tetrahedra. Usually they can only be obtained in polycrystalline form but some ammoniates of the potassium compounds^[17] ($K_2Cd(C_2H)_4 \cdot 2NH_3$ and $K_2Zn(C_2H)_4 \cdot 2NH_3$) were obtained as single crystals indicating a good solubility in liquid ammonia. $Cs_2Cd(C_2H)_2(C_2)$ contains terminating C_2H^- as well as bridging C_2^{2-} ions and shows a new structural design of the type $[M^{II}(C_2H)_{2/1}(C_2)_{2/2}]^{2-}$. This compound represents an intermediate on the way to a fully bridged 3D acetylide.^[13]

At this point, our research focused on the crystallization of further ammoniates of (hydrogen-) acetylides, with and without

^{© 2021} The Authors. Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

chelating reagents. In the following, we will present some of our results.

Results and Discussion

emeine Chemie

Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry

Zeitschrift für

All three compounds with the sum formulae [Cs([18]crown- $6)_{2}[Zn(C_{2}H)_{4}] \cdot 8NH_{3}, Rb_{3}[Rb([18]crown-6)(NH_{3})][Cd(C_{2}H)_{4}]_{2} \cdot \{[18]$ crown- $6 \cdot 2NH_3 \cdot NH_3$ and $[Cs([2.2.2]crypt)][In(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]$ show as their anionic units a tetrahedrally coordinated central atom with either four hydrogenacetylide ligands or in case of the indium species one C_2H^- and three $C_6H_5^-$ ligands. The $M(C_2H)_4$ units exhibit an overall charge of 2-, which is the result of four onefold negatively charged hydrogenacetylide ligands and a Zn²⁺ or Cd²⁺ central atom. In contrast to that, the [In- $(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)$] unit shows a total charge of 1-, which can be explained by one negatively charged C_2H^- , three $C_6H_5^-$ ligands and a central In³⁺ cation. As given in the sum formulae, charge neutrality is achieved by alkali metal cations which are either chelated by agents like [18]-crown-6 (=1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclooctadecane) or [2.2.2]crypt (=4,7,13,16,21,24-hexaoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8.8.8]hexacosane) or spherically saturated by ammonia molecules and/or hydrogenacetylide ligands.

$[Zn(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$ and $[Cd(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$

 $[Cs([18]crown-6)]_2[Zn(C_2H)_4] \cdot 8NH_3$ crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group Pmmn and contains 0.25 formula units in the asymmetric unit. Some atoms are located on general Wyckoff positions and logical fragments are generated by symmetry operations. For a detailed description please check the supporting information. The anionic component thus results in a $[Zn(C_{2}H)_{4}]^{2-}$ molecular anion, built by a central Zn atom in the formal oxidation state + II, which is surrounded by four onefold negatively charged hydrogenacetylide ligands in a nearly ideal tetrahedral coordination. The distortions within the polyhedron were calculated using the Continuous Shape Measures (CShM) approach by Llunell et al.^[18] A low CShM_{T-4} value of 0.117 for the ZnC₄ polyhedron points to a tetrahedron with only small distortions. Selected distances and angles are given in Table 1. The average C-C distance is 1.19 Å and matches with the expected value for carbon triple bonds.^[19] The distances between C2-H2 and C4-H4 are in the expected range of typical C-H-bonds taking the standard deviations into account. All other geometric parameters are in good agreement with values reported for the compounds $K_2Zn(C_2H)_4 \cdot 2NH_3$ and $Rb_2Zn(C_2H)_4$.^[17,12] The overall negative charge of 2- is compensated by two [Cs([18]crown-6)] cation complexes, which are identical generated by symmetry. The chelate molecule shows rotational disorder which can be modelled by splitting atoms and adding different occupation factors (for values see table S1.7 in the SI). These operations lead to three different orientations of the crown ether molecule (see Figure S1.2 in the SI) of which only few H atoms could be refined. The Cs atom is lifted out of the imaginary oxygen plane and is η^2 -coordinated in the same direction by three hydrogenacetylide ligands of **Table 1.** Interatomic distances [Å] of the C_2H^- ligands in the tetrahedral anions. More geometric parameters are given in the supporting material.

Atoms	$[Zn(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$	$[Cd(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$	$[ln(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]^-$
M1–C1	2.024(14), 2x	2.257(7), 3x	2.179(6)
M1–C3	2.071(15), 2x	2.204(11)	
M2–C5		2.258(6), 3x	
M2–C7		2.199(11)	
C1–C2	1.206(19)	1.211(10)	1.191(9)
C3–C4	1.18(2)	1.207(18)	
C5–C6		1.194(10)	
C7–C8		1.178(19)	
C2–H2	1.07(10)	1.00(2)	0.93
C4–H4	1.24(12)	1.06(15)	
C6–H6		1.00(2)	
C8–H8		0.93	

one anionic unit (see figure 1). The coordination sphere of the Cs cation is saturated by one ammonia molecule of solvation. Three further solvent molecules are found in the unit cell, of which one N atom shows a very large thermal ellipsoid (see Figure S1.3 in the SI). Its position in the cell leads to a cluster of only partially occupied atoms.

The anionic component of the Cd species shows the same structural motif as the Zn compound: A tetrahedrally coordinated central atom in the formal oxidation state + II and four hydrogenacetylide ligands. Apart from that Rb₃[Rb([18]crown-6)(NH₃)][Cd(C₂H)₄]₂·{[18]crown-6·2NH₃}·NH₃ crystallizes in the higher symmetric rhombohedral space group $R\bar{3}m$ and consequently the asymmetric unit only contains 1/6 formula units. In the supporting material an overview of the occupied Wyckoff positions is given. One striking feature of the structure is the very long *c*-axis (see Figure S2.3 in the SI), leading to a diffraction pattern with reflections, which are very close to each another in this direction (see Figure S2.4 in the SI). Furthermore, there are two symmetrically independent $[Cd(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$ units in the unit cell with slightly different geometries.

The values from Shape^[18] are 0.024 for the polyhedron around Cd1 and 0.067 for the polyhedron around Cd2, which



Figure 1. The tetrahedral $[Zn(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$ anion with two $[Cs([18] crown-6)]^+$ cation complexes in $[Cs([18]crown-6)]_2[Zn(C_2H)_4] \cdot 8NH_3$.

point to an only very weak distortion of the tetrahedrons. This (trigonal) distortion is also reflected in the different Cd-C distances in both symmetrically independent anions (cp. Table 1): the Cd–C distance along the three-fold axis of the tetrahedron is always slightly shorter (~0.05-0.06 Å) than the three other Cd-C distances. It is notable that the three-fold axes of the tetrahedrons are parallel to the long c-axis. Besides this, the tetrahedrons are disordered, which was modelled with a second set of Cd atoms named Cd1A and Cd2A (see Figure S2.5 in the SI, occupancy factors are around 0.05 for Cd1A and 0.02 for Cd2A). The associated lighter atoms could not be refined. Apart from that, the average Cd-C distance of 2.23 Å is in good agreement with the corresponding values in $K_2Cd(C_2H)_4 \cdot 2NH_3$ and $Rb_2Cd(C_2H)_4$.^[17,12] The C–C distances are in the expected range of carbon triple bonds.^[19] For further geometric parameters please check Table 1 and the supporting material. The overall negative charge of both anionic units ($2 \times$ 2-) is compensated by one [Rb([18]crown-6)] cation complex (named Rb2) and three Rb cations (named Rb1). The coordination sphere of Rb2 consists of three η^2 -coordinations to hydrogenacetylide ligands and the contact to one ammonia molecule through the crown ether molecule and is similar to the coordination sphere of the Cs1 atom in [Cs([18]crown-6)]₂[Zn- $(C_2H)_4$ \cdot 8NH₃. The coordination spheres of the Rb1 cations are saturated by η^2 -contacts to four hydrogenacetylide ligands of two different anions as well as to two oxygen atoms of two different crown ether molecules (see figures 2 and S2.2 in the SI). One of the [18]crown-6 molecules binds NH₃ on both sides of the carbon oxygen ring. The arrangement of anionic and cationic fragments leads to a layer-type structure of [Cd-(C₂H)₄...Rb1] units parallel to (001). Furthermore, the structure includes one unattached solvent molecule.

Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry

meine Chemie

chrift für

$[ln(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]^-$

The indium compound differs from the above-mentioned Zn and Cd species not just because it crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ but also because the central atom is surrounded by only one negatively charged hydrogenacetylide and three phenyl ligands (see Figure 3). Taking the number of counter cations per formula unit into account the oxidation state of the indium atom is assigned to +III. The In-C bonds can be divided into the longer $In-(C_6H_5)$ and the slightly shorter $In-(C_2H)$ distances. The latter matches with the value found in $[Li(thf)_4][ln(C_2(C_6H_5))_4]$ (thf = tetrahydrofuran).^[20] In accordance with typical values for carbon triple bonds the C-C distance in the hydrogenacetylide ligand is 1.191(10) Å.^[19] The spatial positions of the four carbon atoms attached to the central indium atom result in a nearly perfect tetrahedron. The calculation with Shape yields in a low CShM_{T-4} value of 0.071 and points to a very slight distortion.^[18] Charge neutrality in the compound is achieved by a Cs cation which is chelated by a [2.2.2]crypt molecule.

Probably the most notable difference from the group 12 compounds is the fact that the indium species was synthesized by using the dialkali metal acetylide Cs₂C₂ as starting material. For the Zn and Cd compounds the hydrogenacetylides RbC₂H and CsC₂H were used as starting materials. In our earlier publication we described the equilibrium between the acetylide species H_2C_2 , C_2H^- and C_2^{2-} in liquid ammonia and that acetylide solids slowly dissolve in this medium.^[5] With these new results, we can now reconfirm that the C_2^{2-} dumbbell in Cs_2C_2 is protonated to the HC_2^- species in liquid ammonia (see Scheme 1). The hydrogenacetylide unit then reacts with suitable central atoms in complexes or organometallic compounds and can replace weaker bonded ligands. Previously used starting materials like A_2 Zn(CN)₄ (A = alkali metal), [Zn(NH₃)₂)(SCN)₂] or Cd(SCN)₂ were suitable for the synthesis of tetraethinylozincates or -cadmat as well but did not always lead to crystalline products.[12]



Figure 2. The two symmetrically inequivalent $[Cd(C_2H)_4]^{2-}$ anions with their surroundings in the structure of Rb₃[Rb([18]crown-6)(NH₃)][Cd(C₂H)₄]₂ · {[18]crown-6 · 2NH₃} · NH₃.



Figure 3. The asymmetric unit in $[Cs([2.2.2]crypt)][In(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]$ with the $[In(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]^-$ tetrahedron.







Conclusion

We have been able to synthesize, crystallize and characterize three new compounds of composition [Cs([18]crown-6)]₂[Zn- $(C_{2}H)_{4}$ · 8NH₃, Rb₃[Rb([18]crown-6)(NH₃)][Cd(C₂H)₄]₂ · {[18]crown- $6 \cdot 2NH_3 \cdot NH_3$ and $[Cs([2.2.2]crypt)][In(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]$. These ammoniates were obtained by dissolving CsC₂H, RbC₂H or Cs₂C₂ with chelating reagents and organometallic compounds like $Zn(C_6H_5)_2$, $Cd(C_6H_5)_2$ or $In(C_6H_5)_3$ in liquid ammonia. They were analysed by single crystal x-ray diffraction at low temperatures. The central atoms of the anionic units are coordinated by either four hydrogenacetylide ligands or in case of the indium species by one C_2H^- and three $C_6H_5^-$ ligands. Regardless of the ligand type, tetrahedral polyhedra are formed with only slight geometric distortions. Due to the fact that the indium compound was synthesized starting from caesium acetylide Cs₂C₂, the postulated equilibrium between C_2H_2 , C_2H^- and C_2^{2-} in liquid ammonia was confirmed (see Scheme 1). Furthermore, the (low) solubility of acetylides like Cs₂C₂ in liquid ammonia was shown again. In addition, with the crystallization of [Cs([18]crown- $(6)]_{2}[Zn(C_{2}H)_{4}] \cdot 8NH_{3}$, a first Cs/Zn acetylide has been structurally characterized by X-ray diffraction. Until now, Cs₂Zn(C₂H)₄ has been analyzed exclusively by Raman spectroscopy, contrary to the literature-known analogues like $Cs_2Cd(C_2H)_4$.^[12]

Experimental

All compounds are very sensitive to air and moisture so that the preparations were carried out under inert conditions using Schlenk and glove-box techniques. NH₃ (Linde) was dried by distillation from sodium and it was stored at -78 °C. C_2H_2 was purchased from Air Products GmbH at 99.1% purity and further purified with activated carbon and molecular sieve (3 Å).

The solid state acetylides RbC₂H, CsC₂H and Cs₂C₂ were synthesized by following known methods.^[21] Zn(C₆H₅)₂, [18]crown-6 and [2.2.2] crypt were purchased from Sigma Aldrich at 98% purities. [18]crown-6 was purified by sublimation. Cd(C₆H₅)₂ was synthesized according to^[22] and ln(C₆H₅)₃ to.^[23] Rubidium was synthesized by carrying out the method of Hackspill and purified by distillation.^[24]

[Cs([18]crown-6)]₂[Zn(C₂H)₄]·8NH₃ was obtained from the reaction between CsC₂H (20 mg, 0.12 mmol), [18]crown-6 (8 mg, 0.03 mmol) and Zn(C₆H₅)₂ (7 mg, 0.03 mmol) in liquid ammonia. Colorless crystals in moderate yield were isolated using liquid nitrogen under perfluorinated polyether oil from the Schlenk tube and structurally characterized by single-crystal x-ray diffractometry.^[25] The experiment was carried out at a *Rigaku* GV50 diffractometer (microfocus, Cu–K_α, λ = 1.54184 Å, titan detector). Reflections were collected at 123 K. Space group and cell parameters are: *Pmmn*, *a* = 16.3883(2) Å, *b* = 14.1113(2) Å, *c* = 10.9923(1) Å, *α* = 90°, *β* = 90°, *γ* = 90°, *V* = 2542.08(5) Å³.

 $Rb_{3}[Rb([18]crown-6)(NH_{3})][Cd(C_{2}H)_{4}]_{2} \cdot \{[18]crown-6 \cdot 2NH_{3}\} \cdot NH_{3}$ was synthesized using RbC₂H (20 mg, 0.2 mmol), [18]crown-6 (12 mg, 0.05 mmol) and $Cd(C_6H_5)_2$ (12 mg, 0.05 mmol) or in another attempt using Rb (10 mg, 0.12 mmol), [18]crown-6 (16 mg, 0.06 mmol), $Cd(C_6H_5)_2$ (16 mg, 0.06 mmol) and C_2H_2 . The solvent was liquid ammonia in both cases. In the latter, dried acetylene gas was inserted into the vessel until the blue colour of the alkali metal ammonia solution disappeared. As the quality of the colourless crystals from the latter attempt was higher, a suitable crystal was isolated from that Schlenk vessel. The isolation and the x-ray experiment were carried out as described above for the Zn compound on the same diffractometer. The detector distance was slightly enhanced to 62 mm due to the very close reflections along the c-axis. Space group and cell parameters are: $R\bar{3}m$, a=b=12.0744(2) Å, c = 63.2518(9) Å, $a = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 90^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$, V =7986.1(3) Å³.

[Cs([2.2.2]crypt)][In(C₆H₅)₃(C₂H)] was obtained from the reaction between Cs₂C₂ (25 mg, 0.09 mmol), [18]crown-6 (23 mg, 0.09 mmol), [2.2.2]crypt (32.5 mg, 0.09 mmol) and In(C₆H₅)₃ (30 mg, 0.09 mmol) in liquid ammonia. The isolation and the x-ray experiment were carried out as described above for the Zn compound on the same diffractometer. Space group and cell parameters are: $P2_1/n$, a = 14.3237(1) Å, b = 16.1661(1) Å, c = 17.0318(1) Å, $\alpha = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 102.036(1)^\circ$, $\gamma = 90^\circ$, V = 3857.16(4) Å³.

All structures were solved using SHELXT-2014/5 and refined using SHELXL-2016/6 from the SHELX package^[26] within the graphical interface Olex^{2,[27]} Absorption was corrected in CrysAlisPro by numeric absorption correction over a multifaced crystal model based on Gaussian integration and by empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics (SCALE3 ABSPACK).^[28] Checking for higher symmetry (Addsym) or twinning (twinrotmat) was done with Platon and Olex^{2,[29]} For visualization of molecules and structures DIAMOND was used.^[30]

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on quoting the depository number CCDC-1995267 for $[Cs([18]crown-6)]_2[Zn-(C_2H)_4] \cdot 8NH_3$, CCDC-1995268 for $Rb_3[Rb([18]crown-6)(NH_3)][Cd-(C_2H)_4]_2 \cdot [[18]crown-6 \cdot 2NH_3] \cdot NH_3$ and CCDC-1995269 for $[Cs([2.2.2] crypt)][In(C_6H_5)_3(C_2H)]$ (Fax: +44-1223-336-033; E-Mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Supporting Information

(See footnote on the first page of this article): Crystallographic data concerning measurements and refinement as well as further geometric parameters, Wyckoff positions and figures of the molecules within the structures plus powder diffractograms and syntheses of the starting materials.



SHORT COMMUNICATION

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the German Science Foundation for financial support (DFG, Projects KO 1857/9-1 and RU 546/9-1). Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: acetylides · crystal structure · hydrogenacetylide ligand · liquid ammonia · tetrahedral anions

- a) H. Moissan, C. R. Acad. Sci. 1898, 126, 302; b) H. Moissan, C. R. Acad. Sci. 1898, 127, 911; c) H. Moissan, C. R. Acad. Sci. 1903, 136, 1217; d) H. Moissan, Bl. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1904, 31, 511.
- [2] a) H. Föppl, Angew. Chem. 1958, 70, 401; b) R. Juza, V. Wehle, Naturwissenschaften 1965, 52, 537; c) R. Juza, V. Wehle, H.-U. Schuster, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1967, 352, 252; d) U. Ruschewitz, R. Pöttgen, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 1599; e) S. Hemmersbach, B. Zibrowius, U. Ruschewitz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 1440; f) U. Ruschewitz, P. Müller, W. Kockelmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 513; g) K.-H. Klöss, D. Hinz-Hübner, U. Ruschewitz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2002, 628, 2701.
- [3] a) W. A. Barber, C. L. Sloan, J. Phys. Chem. 1961, 65, 2026;
 b) A. C. Matignon, C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 1897, 125, 1034.
- [4] U. Cremer, W. Kockelmann, M. Bertmer, U. Ruschewitz, Solid State Sci. 2002, 4, 247.
- [5] M. Hamberger, S. Liebig, U. Friedrich, N. Korber, U. Ruschewitz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 13006.
- [6] M. Weiß, U. Ruschewitz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1997, 623, 1208.
- [7] S. Hemmersbach, B. Zibrowius, W. Kockelmann, U. Ruschewitz, Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 1952.
- [8] U. Ruschewitz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 1231.
- [9] W. Kockelmann, U. Ruschewitz, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 3697.
- [10] J. Offermanns, U. Ruschewitz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2000, 626, 649.
- [11] U. Ruschewitz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2006, 632, 705.
- [12] U. Cremer, U. Ruschewitz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2004, 630, 337.

- [13] M. Werker, U. Ruschewitz, Inorg. Chem. 2019, 58, 16205.
- [14] R. Nast, R. Müller, Chem. Ber. 1958, 91, 2861.
- [15] R. Nast, C. Richers, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1963, 319, 320.
- [16] E. E. Weiss, H. Plass, J. Organomet. Chem. 1968, 14, 21.
- [17] U. Cremer, I. Pantenburg, U. Ruschewitz, *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, 42, 7716.
- [18] a) M. Llunell, D. Casanova, J. Cirera, P. Alemany, S. Alvarez, Shape version 2.1), Program for the Stereochemical Analysis of Molecular Fragments by Means of Continuous Shape Measures and Associated Tools, Departament de Química Física, Departament de Química Inorgànica, and Institut de Química Teorica I Computacional, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona (Spain) 2013; b) D. Casanova, J. Cirera, M. Llunell, P. Alemany, D. Avnir, S. Alvarez, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1755.
- [19] A. F. Holleman, E. Wiberg, N. Wiberg, Anorganische Chemie, Vol. 1, 103rd edition, De Gruyter, Berlin, **2016**, p 1025.
- [20] A. J. Edwards, A. Fallaize, P. R. Raithby, M.-A. Rennie, A. Steiner, K. L. Verhorevoort, D. S. Wright, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1996, 133.
- [21] a) U. Ruschewitz, W. Kockelmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 1041; b) U. Ruschewitz, P. Müller, W. Kockelmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 513.
- [22] A. F. Holleman, E. Wiberg, N. Wiberg, Anorganische Vol. 2, 103rd edition, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2016, p. 1762.
- [23] a) J. T. Leman, A. R. Barron, *Organometallics* 1989, *8*, 2214;
 b) M. Barbero, S. Cadamuro, S. Dughera, C. Giaveno, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 4884.
- [24] L. Hackspill, C. R. Acad. Sci. 1905, 106.
- [25] T. Kottke, D. Stalke, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1993, 26, 615.
- [26] a) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. 2015, A71, 3; b) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. 2015, C71, 3.
- [27] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2009, 42, 339.
- [28] Agilent Technologies UK Ltd., CrysAlisPro Software, diff. versions, 2011, 16., oxford, UK.
- [29] A. L. Spek, PLATON Software, 2005, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- [30] K. Brandenburg, H. Putz, DIAMOND Software, diff. versions, 2011, Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

Manuscript received: May 31, 2021 Revised manuscript received: August 20, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: August 22, 2021